

ISSN:2228-754X

2013, Vol 3, No 1: 12-19

A review of the biology and ecology of *Artemia persimilis* Piccinelli & Prosdocimi, 1968 (Crustacea: Anostraca) as basis for its management

Alejandro S. Mechaly^{1*}, Sabrina Angeletti², Patricio De los Ríos-Escalante³ and Patricia M. Cervellini^{2*}

Brain and Mind Research Institute, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Australia
 Departamento de Biología, Bioquímica y Farmacia. Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca. Argentina
 Facultad de Recursos Naturales, Escuela de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad Católica de Temuco, Temuco, Chile

(*equal contribution, both corresponding authors)

* Corresponding author (s):

Alejandro S. Mechaly

Brain and Mind Research Institute, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Australia

Tel.: +61 29 114 4210 Fax: +61 29 351 0599

E-mail: alejandro.mechaly@sydney.edu.au

Patricia M. Cervellini

Departamento de Biología, Bioquímica y Farmacia. Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca. Argentina

Tel.: +54 29 1459 5129 Fax: +54 29 1459 5130 E-mail: pcervell@uns.edu.ar

Abstract

he genus *Artemia* (brine shrimp) is a small cosmopolitan crustacean, which primarily inhabits hypersaline water bodies, such as inland salt lakes, ponds and coastal lagoons. In Argentina two bisexual populations are encountered: *Artemia franciscana* and *A. persimilis*. The second is believed to be endemic to Argentina, but recently there have been some reports of their presence in a few locations in southern Chile. *Artemia* have been extensively studied because it is the most useful

living food resource for the larval states of fish and crustaceans, and because of their unique reproductive strategies. Many authors in Argentina have agreed to indicate that *A. persimilis* needs special attention, and should be evaluated as a natural resource for aquaculture. The present paper provides a brief review of ecological aspects of *A. persimilis* and an overview of their use in aquaculture.

Key Words: Artemia persimilis, brine shrimp, ecology, aquaculture, Argentina

Introduction

n 982 an unknown Iranian geographer reported Artemia as brine worm from Urmia Lake, Iran (Asem, 2008) after that Schlösser pictured both sexes of Artemia clearly in 1756 (See Asem, 2008). Linnaeus in 1758 named this genus as Cancer salinus and this nomenclature was used until 1819. when Leach renamed it as Artemia salina (Asem et al., 2010). During the first half of the nineteenth century several new populations of Artemia were recorded and controversy was generated around the name of the species. Early taxonomists gave species names to populations with different morphologies, or collected at different temperatures and salinities. Generally, different names were given to reproductively isolated populations (De los Ríos and Zuñiga, 2000). To solve the discrepancies in the nomenclature of the genus *Artemia*, Barigozzi (1946) Goldschmidt (1952). and renamed all populations, independently of their location, as Artemia salina, but referred whether they were bisexual or parthenogenetic. In 1915, Abonyi published a list of 80 locations in 21 different countries where Artemia were found. However, in 1987, another study increased the number to 360 locations around the word (Vanhaecke et al. 1987). Furthermore, the existence of further undiscovered populations located in inaccessible areas remains a possibility. Currently, the family Artemiidae Grochowski is represented by seven bisexual species and a variety of parthenogenetic strains of diverse ploidy (in the Old-World and Australia) (Martin and Davis, 2001).

Brine shrimp of the genus *Artemia* (Crustacea, Anostraca) are very small invertebrates (8 to 12 mm long). In this genus, bisexual characteristics are defined by the criteria of reproductive isolation and parthenogenetic species (Crespo, 1999). Both types of reproduction can take place in the same strain. Thus, there are strains with sexual reproduction in which there are males and females, and stocks with parthenogenetic reproduction in which there are only females. *Artemia* present two types of reproduction: oviparous and ovoviviparous. The fact that both oviparous and ovoviviparous eggs are produced is related to environmental conditions, such as oxygen,

salinity, temperature and food. Under successful conditions, development is ovoviviparous, whilst when these conditions are not favorable, development is oviparous and cysts become eggs. Eggs or resistant cryptobiotic cysts are part of a strategy for survival in temporary environments (Pastorino *et al.*, 2002).

The genus *Artemia* has a cosmopolitan distribution and it is found in all five continents, living in temporary inland pools or various hypersaline ecosystems in coastal lagoons rich in chloride, inland sulfate lakes and salt ponds. These water bodies suffer periods of drying or freezing and can range in size from small puddles on the sides of roads, to extensive saline lakes (Cohen, 1995). Despite the wide range of salinities tolerated, it has not conquered the sea, which could be due to the fact that it is very sensitive to predation and is rapidly eliminated in the presence of many predatory invertebrates and fish (Browne and MacDonald, 1982). In the American continent only two bisexual Artemia populations were found, A. franciscana and A. persimilis (Cohen et al., 1999, 2012). The specie A. franciscana is the most dominant in the world, and in America, is found from Canada in the north to its most southern edge in Chile (Amat et al., 2004).

The genus Artemia in Argentina

The literature about Argentinian Artemia strains describes mainly the presence of A. franciscana. However another Argentinian Artemia population was identified as A. persimilis (Piccinelli and Prosdocimi, 1968), which lives principally in hypersaline ecosystems and was believed endemic to Argentina until a few years ago when it was detected in Chile (Gajardo et al., 1998, 2004; De los Ríos-Escalante, 2010; Cohen 2012). A. franciscana is present in Argentina at 36°S and north of this latitude, although A. persimilis is confined to areas south of latitude 37°S (Ruiz et al., 2008). The Table 1 shows the A. persimilis locations with their coordinates (Cohen 2012). In Italy, Halfer Cervini et al. (1968) and Piccinelli and Prosdocimi (1968) reported a rare presence of *A. persimilis* living in the salty marshes of San Bartolomeo in Sardinia.

Tab. 1: Geographical location of *A. persimilis* in Argentina (Cohen, 2012)

Locality	Province	Geographical coordinates
Lagon in Area de Naisé	La Damna provinca	36°52'S, 64°24'W
Lagoon in Area de Naicó Salinas Grandes de Hidalgo	La Pampa province La Pampa Province	37°13'S, 63°26'W
salinas Glandes de Fildalgo	•	•
Salina Colorada Grande Salina Colorada Chica	La Pampa province	38°18'S, 63°42'W
	La Pampa province	38°23'S, 63°36'W
Salina Callaqueo	La Pampa province	38°34'S, 63°32'W
Salina El Chancho	La Pampa province	38°37'S, 65°45'W
Salinas Grandes Anzoátegui	La Pampa province	39°S, 63°47'W
Salinas Chicas	Buenos Aires prov.	38°44'S, 62°57'W
Salitral Negro	Buenos Aires prov.	38°44'S, 63°13'W
Salitral de la Vidriera	Buenos Aires prov.	38°42'S, 62°40'W
Epecuén Lake	Buenos Aires prov.	37°13'S, 62°81'W
Winchel Lagoon	Buenos Aires prov.	39° S, 62° 30'W
Villalonga Lagoon	Buenos Aires prov.	39°51'S, 62°32'W
Salina de Luzzetti	Buenos Aires prov.	40°35'S, 62°40'W
Pond at Route 3, km 1128	Río Negro province	40°43'S, 65°W
Salitral Bajo del Gualicho	Río Negro province	40°24'S, 65°13'W
Lagoon near Rada Tilly	Chubut province	45°55'S, 67°34'W
Primera Lagoon (Caleta Olivia)	Santa Cruz province	46°27'S, 67°31'W
Segunda Lagoon (Caleta Olivia)	Santa Cruz province	46°27'S, 67°32'W
Salina in Estancia La Pava	Santa Cruz province	47°32'S, 66°38'W
Lagoon in Estancia El Caburé	Santa Cruz province	47°34'S, 66°31'W
Salitral Bajo Pichinini in Estancia Cerro Pancho	Santa Cruz province	47°45' S, 66°14'5W
Salitral Route 3, km 2035	Santa Cruz province	47°28'S, 67°16'W
Coastal salares Bahía Laura	Santa Cruz province	48°4' S, 66°48'W
Salitral from San Julián	Santa Cruz province	49°18'S, 67°44'W
Laguna Seca, near San Julián	Santa Cruz province	49°17'S, 67°46'W
Coastal salares in Gallegos N	Santa Cruz province	51°45'S, 69°13'W

However, under Laboratory conditions, attempts to breed Argentinean with Italian populations failed, probably because both populations belonged to different species (Barigozzi, 1989). Moreover, in recent years the existence of *A. persimilis* in Sardinia could not be confirmed.

In 2005 *A. persimilis* was found in the Salitral de La Vidriera (Buenos Aires province) (Mechaly and Cervellini, 2005). In salty places such as La Vidriera, *A. persimilis* shares the habitat with the clearwatered copepod *Boeckella poopoensis*, and the ciliophora *Rhopalophyra, salina Kahl* (Cervellini *et al.*, 2005). All these species were detected in a very low density. These results differ with the observations in the literature that describe the noncoexistence between *B. poopoensis* and brine shrimps (Echaniz *et al.*, 2006; Vignatti *et al.*, 2007). *A. franciscana* does not share habitats with the halophilic copepod *B. poopoensis* found in saline lakes in the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes (Hulbert

and Chang, 1984; Williams et al., 1995). The noncoexistence could be due to the predation of B. poopoensis on Artemia nauplius (Hulbert et al., 1986). Regarding this point, it is unclear if this predation ability is associated exclusively with A. franciscana, as this may not be the case with A. persimilis. The ostracoda Limnocythere solum (Whatley and Cholich, 1974) a species that belongs in clear-watered environments (Moquilevsky and Whatley, 1995) was also found in El Salitral de la Vidriera during the same period. The presence of *B*. poopoensis and L. solum were related to the physicochemical characteristics of the water, which were altered by high rainfalls prior to the sampling period, and which resulted in low salinity in the pond (Cervellini et al., 2002), Also De los Ríos-Escalante and Gajardo (2010) have studied the zooplankton assemblages in southern Chilean saline lakes (51-53°S) and revealed that in De los Cisnes lagoon both A. persimilis, B. poopoensis and harpacticoids

copepods were found.

The genus *Artemia* in aquaculture; Overview of *A. persimilis*

The crustacean Artemia has attained much importance due to its high demand in aquaculture. as it represents one of the most widely used live diets in the culture of marine fish and crustaceans (Sorgeloos et al., 1986). The success of a massive cultivation of shellfish and finfish largely depends on the availability of proper nutrition for both juveniles and adults. The mass culture of zooplankton, which is the natural food for the larval stages, it was not economically useful (Girin and Person- Le Ruyet, 1977). The discoveries of Seale (1933) and Rollefsen (1939), that the larval stages of Artemia are an excellent food source for young fish, represented an important breakthrough in the development of aquaculture. This live food can be easily produced from the cysts, which are found in large numbers on the banks of certain salty lakes. In fact, these cysts are diapause stage embryos that can be stored for years and, after hydration for 24 hours in seawater, produce a swimming larva.

Artemia is a good food source for many animals: foraminifera, coelenterates, flatworms, polychaetes, insects, chaetognaths and especially for various crustaceans and salty and freshwater fish (Sorgeloos, 1986). Kinne in 1977 indicated that over 85% of farmed marine animals were fed only with Artemia, or in combination with other foods. Following the Kyoto conference (FAO Technical Conference on Aquaculture, 1976) it was reported that there was, temporarily, a technical problem with the shortage of cysts (Sorgeloos, 1979). But the situation did not improve until late 1979, due not only to the exploitation of new natural water resources in Europe, Asia, America and Australia (Sorgeloos, 1986), but also to the success of *Artemia* inoculation and transplantation in Brazil and in Thailand (Sorgeloos et al., 1979). For several years, the "Artemia Reference Centre" (ARC) at the University of Ghent (Belgium) has been studying the main aspects of the use of Artemia in aquaculture. The ARC works closely with various centers of aquaculture in developing countries, and coordinates the activities of the "International Study on *Artemia*", an interdisciplinary group composed of European and American laboratories studying different strains of Artemia in order to use them as food in aquaculture. As we mentioned previously, Artemia nauplii has been long considered the most suitable protein supplement and live food for the intensive production of crustaceans and fish larvae. In fact these are nutritionally adequate, readily available mobile prey, and perhaps more importantly, they can be easily hatched from their dormant cysts and then made commercially available. Moreover Artemia nauplii are an attractive and versatile live food for farmed species in their natural diet, where plankton is easily collected, but its cultivation on a commercial scale is less likely (Tackaert et al., 1989).

Artemia is a very nutritious food that meets the requirements of macro and micronutrients required by fish and crustacean larvae, due to the presence of essential fatty acids or HUFAs (highly unsaturated fatty acids) (Crespo, 1999). In marine fish egg, miomembranes contain mainly long-chain fatty acids; the HUFAs are involved in normal development of the nervous system and vision in the early stages of the life cycle (Robin, 1995). Strong demand for Artemia causes bottlenecks in the supply, and in addition can cause high prices and low quality (Sorgeloos et al., 1986). The quality of the cysts depends on a number of factors, such as the intrinsic nutritional quality, the characteristics of diapause, size of cysts and nauplii, among others, which can also influence the market value (Bossier et al., 2004; Sato et al., 2004). Lavens and Sorgeloos (1996) have used other criteria to also define the quality of Artemia cysts as: percentage of cysts hatched and their synchrony times, as well as the number of cysts per gram (Triantaphyllidis, et al., 1998). A. franciscana harvested at about 1,000 and 3,000 tons of cysts and biomass, respectively. 90% of the cysts that are consumed worldwide come from the Great Salt Lake (Utah, USA) (Castro et al., 2000). Unfortunately, the production of this site suffered due climate change, and the stocks depleted, so it has been necessary to locate and evaluate new Artemia populations in order to contribute to the supply of domestic and international markets. However, other species are also being exploited, mainly in China, Brazil and Vietnam (De los Ríos, 2001).

The study of *A. persimilis* in Argentina is based primarily on systematic aspects and distribution (Cohen, 1995, 1998). Little is known about the characteristics of their life cycle and development, so pilot scale studies would be of great importance for ensuring sustainable development of aquaculture resources. Laboratory experiments have evaluated the use of A. persimilis in relation to the practical applicability of the resource in aquaculture (Mechaly et al., 2004). Different strains of A. franciscana (Platinum grade Argentemia) and A. persimilis (Artemix) were used and hatched in accordance with Sorgeloos' method. Hatching efficiency (Number nauplii/g cysts), rate of mortality to different concentrations of microalgae (Nannochloropsis oculata) and daily rate of growth of the nauplii were determined. The values obtained revealed that hatching efficiency was 180 000 nauplii/g cysts (74.5%) in 24 h, for *A. franciscana* and 175 000 (66.6%) in 30 h, for A. persimilis. The rate of mortality for both species was 80% at lower concentrations of algae (2 million cells algae/ml). The rate of growth in total length went from 465 mm for A. persimilis and 502 mm for A. franciscana, to 967 mm and 969 mm respectively at 72 h. The high rates of Hatching Efficiency and the adequate size of nauplii of A. persimilis constitutes the first result given values for this species in Argentina, and confirm the potential of the species to be used in aguaculture. On the other hand, it is important to note that in Argentina, in particular the region of Buenos Aires and La Pampa Province, there are numerous water bodies, which are a natural source for obtaining A. persimilis cysts, e.g. Salitral de La Vidriera, Salinas Chicas, among others.

In summary, the success of hatching rate and the appropriate naupliar size, confirms the potential of the nauplii of *A. persimilis* for production when it is compared with commercial strains of other *Artemia* species. Moreover, investigations of Sato *et al.* (2004) confirm that the cysts of the Argentinean *A. persimilis* present high quality in relation to their nutritional parameters and are comparable to the

cysts that are traded in the international market. Furthermore, as pointed out by Vilela and Menezes (1994), the smaller sized nauplii are the most desirable for using as live food in marine fish and shellfish, therefore such approach would confirm the potential of *A. persimilis* for these purposes.

Conclusions and Perspectives

Artemia have been widely studied due to their high monetary value, as food for larval fish in aquaculture, and due to their unique reproductive strategies. For these reasons, A. persimilis shows promise as a good alternative for use as live food in Argentinian aquaculture and future research is needed on the potential for their commercial production. Thus, this natural resource needs further biological and ecological studies, to evaluate its potential as a food source for aquaculture.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to the members of the Laboratory de Zoología de Invertebrados II at Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahia Blanca, Argentina for their advice and helpful comments in the manuscript.

References

Abonyi A. (1915) Experimentelle Daten zum Erkennen der *Artemia-Gattung.* Z. wilt. Zool. 114: 95.

Amat F., Cohen R.G., Hontoria F. and Navarro J.C. (2004) Further evidence and characterization of *Artemia franciscana* (Kellogg, 1906) populations in Argentina. J. Biogeography. 31: 1735-1749.

Amat F., Hontoria F., Navarro J.C., Cohen R. and Rodríguez S. (1994) Aproximación preliminar a la distribución del género *Artemia* (especie *A. persimilis*) en Argentina. Provincia de Buenos Aires y La Pampa. Memorias del VII Congreso Latinoamericano de acuicultura y V Seminario Nacional de Acuicultura. "Acuicultura y desarrollo sustentable" Santa Fé de Bogotá. Colombia: 73-83.

Asem A. (2008) Historical record on brine shrimp *Artemia* more than one thousand years ago from Urmia Lake- Iran, Journal of Biological Research, 9: 113-114.

Asem A., Rastegar-Pouyani N. and De Los Ríos-

- Escalante P. (2010) The genus *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Branchiopoda) I. True and false taxonomical descriptions. Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res. 38: 501-506.
- Barigozzi C. (1946) Über die geographische Verbreitung der mutanten von *Artemia salina* Leach. Arch. Julius Klaus-Stift, 21: 479-482.
- Barigozzi C. (1989) Cytogenetics and speciation of the brine shrimp *Artemia. Atti Acc. Lincei Mem. fis.* 19: 57-96.
- Bossier P., Xiaomei W., Catania F., Dooms S., Van Stappen G., Naessens E. and Sorgeloos P. (2004) An RFLP database for authentication of commercial cyst samples of the brine shrimp *Artemia* spp. (International Study on *Artemia* LXX). Aquaculture 231: 93-112.
- Browne R.A. and MacDonald G.H. (1982) Biogeography of the brine shrimp *Artemia*: Distribution of parthenogenetic and sexual populations. J. Biogeogr. 9: 331-338.
- Castro B.T., Castro M.J., Marin V.R.E., Young G.R., Jenoure D., Castro M.G., Malpica S.A. and De Lara A.R. (2000) Calidad de quistes de *Artemia franciscana* (Kellogg, 1906) de la Laguna Pequeña de Yallahs. Jamaica. Ciencias Marinas. 26: 201-214.
- Cervellini P.M., Mechaly A.S. and Burela S. (2002) Presencia de Phallocryptus wrighti (Smirnov, 1948) (Anostraca, Thamnocephalidae) en el Salitral de la Vidriera, Provincia de Buenos Aires. Acta VIII Jornadas Pampeanas de Ciencias Naturales. 83-85.
- Cohen R.G. (1995) Crustacea Anostraca. In: E.C. Lopretto y G. Tell (eds) Ecosistemas de Aguas Contientales. Metodologías de estudio, Ediciones Sur. La Plata, Argentina: 871-895.
- Cohen R.G. (1998) Anostraca. In: J.J. Morrone y S. Coscarrón (eds) Biodiversidad de Artrópodos Argentinos, Ediciones Sur. La Plata, Argentina: 491-501.
- Cohen R.G., Amat F., Hontoria F. and Navarro J.C. (1999) Preliminary characterization of some Argentinean *Artemia* populations from La Pampa and Buenos Aires provinces. Int. J. Salt Lake Res., 8: 329-340.
- Cohen R.G. (2012) Review of the biogeography of *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Anostraca) in Argentina. International Journal of Artemia Biology. 2: 9-23.
- Crespo J.E. (1999) Sobre la reproducción de tres

- poblaciones sudamericanas de *Artemia franciscana* (Kellogg, 1906) (Crustacea, Anostraca). Bol. Soc. Biol., Chile. 70:1-5.
- De los Ríos P.R. and Zuñiga O. (2000) Comparación biométrica del lóbulo frontal en poblaciones americanas de Artemia (Anos traca, Artemiidae). Rev. Chilena de Historia Natural 73: 31-38.
- De los Ríos P.R. (2001) Crecimiento en poblaciones de *Artemia franciscana* y *A. persimilis* (Crustacea: Anostraca) en condiciones controladas. Rev. Biol. Trop., 49: 629-634.
- De los Ríos-Escalante P. (2010) Crustacean zooplankton communities in Chilean inland waters. Crustaceana. Monographs. 12: 1-109.
- De los Ríos-Escalante P. and Gajardo G. (2010) Potential heterogeneity in crustacean zooplankton assemblages in southern chilean saline lakes. Braz. J. Biol. 70: 1031-1032.
- Echaniz S.A., Vignatti A.M., de Paggi S.J., Paggi J.C. and Pilati A. (2006) Zooplankton seasonal abundance of South American saline shallow lakes. Intern. Revue ges. Hydrobiol., 91: 86-100.
- Gajardo G.M., Colihueque N., Parraguez M. and Sorgeloos P. (1998) International study on *Artemia* VIII. Morphologic differentiation and reproductive isolation of *Artemia* populations from south America. Intern. J. Salt Lake Res. 7: 133-151.
- Gajardo G.M., Crespo J., Triantafyllidis A., Tzika A., Baxevanis A.D., Kappas I. and Abatzopoulos T.J. (2004) Species identification of Chilean *Artemia* populations based on mitochondrial DNA RFLP analysis. Journ. Biogeogr., 31: 547- 555.
- Girin M. and Person- Le Ruyet J. (1977) L' elevage larvaire des poissons marins: chaines alimentaires et aliments composés. Bull. Fr. Pisci. 264: 88-101.
- Goldschmidt E. (1952) Fluctuation in chromosome number in *Artemia salina* Journal of Morphology. 91: 111-131.
- Halfer Cervini A.M., Piccinelli A.M., Prosdocimi T. and Baratelli Zambruni L. (1968) Sibling species in Artemia (Crustacea, Branchiopoda). Evolution. 22: 373-381.
- Hurlbert S.H. and C.C.Y. Chang (1984) Ancient ice islands in salt lakes of the central Andes. Science 224: 299-302.
- Hurlbert S.H., Loayza W. and Moreno T. (1986) Fishflamingo- plankton interactions in the Peruvian Andes. Limnol. Oceanogr., 31: 457-468.

- Kinne O. (1977) Marine ecology. Vol III: Cultivation. Part 2. John Wiley and Sons, New Cork USA. 1293
- Lavens P. and Sorgeloos P. (1996) Manual on the production and use of live food for aquaculture. FAO, Rome., 79-251.
- Leach W.E. (1819) Entomostraca, Dictionaire des Science Naturelles. 14: 524.
- Linnaeus C. (1758) Systema naturae. Hofniae. 1: 634.
- Lipko P., Papeschi A.G. and Cohen R.G. (2004) Cytogenetic analysis of four Argentinean populations of *Artemia* (Crustacea Branchiopoda, Anostraca) Caryologia. 57: 283-289.
- Martin J.W. and Davis G.E. (2001) An updated classification of the Recent Crustacea. Nat. Hist. Mus. Los Angeles County. Sci. Ser., 39: 1-124.
- Mechaly A.S. and Cervellini P.M. (2005) Condiciones físicas del salitral de la vidriera y su relación con el zooplancton. Geoacta 30: 63-71.
- Mechaly A.S., Cervellini P.M. and Bambill G.A. (2004) Experiencias preliminares con *Artemia persimilis* (Crustacea, Anostraca) como potencial alimento vivo en acuicultura. Revista AquaTIC. 21: 1-7.
- Moguilevsky A. and Whatley R. (1995) Crustacea Ostracodo. En: Ecosistema de Aguas Continentales. Metodologías para su estudio: Lopretto EC and Tell G. (eds.). Editorial Sur, La Plata, Argentina: 973-999.
- Pastorino X.I., Marschoff E. and Cohen R.G. (2002)
 Reproductive and brood cycles of *Artemia persimilis* Piccinelli and Prosdocimi from Colorada Chica Lake (Province of La Pampa, República Argentina), under laboratory conditions. Hydrobiologia. 486: 279–288.
- Piccinelli M. and Prosdocimi T. (1968) Descrizione tassonomica delle due specie *Artemia salina* L. E *Artemia persimilis* n. Sp. Instituto Lombardo (Rend. Sci.) 102: 113-118.
- Robin J.H. (1995) The importance of n-6 fatty acids in the culture of marine fish larvae. ICES mar. Sci. Symp. 201: 106-111.
- Rodríguez Gil S., Papeshi A.G. and Cohen R.G. (1998)
 Mitotic and meiotic chromosomes of *Artemia*(Branchiopoda) from populations of La Pampa province, Argentina. Journal of Crustacean Biology. 18: 36-41.
- Rollefsen G. (1939) Artificial rearing of try of seawater fish. Preliminary communication. Rapp. P. V. Reuní Cons. Perm. Int. Explor.Mer. 109: 133.
- Ruiz O., Amat F. and Saavedra C. (2008) Genetic characterization of Argentinean *Artemia* species

- with different fatty acid profiles. Hydrobiologia. 610: 223-234.
- Sato N.E, Mallo J.C. and Fenucci J.L. (2004) Calidad de los quistes de *Artemia persimilis* (Piccinelli & Prosdocimi) (Crustacea: Branchiopoda) de diferentes zonas de Argentina, como alimento en acuicultura. Rev. Biol. Mar. Oceanog., 39: 79-92.
- Seale A. (1933) Brine shrimp (*Artemia*) as satisfactory live food for fishes, Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc., 63: 129-130.
- Schalamuk I., Blanco M., Marchioni D., Romero S. and Cábana C. (1999) Salinas y Sulfateras de la Región Pampeana, Buenos Aires y La Pampa. Recursos Minerales de la República Argentina. Vol. II. Anales N° 35. Secretaría de Minería. República Argentina.1947-1962.
- Schlösser D. (1756) Extrait d'une lettre de M. le Docteur Schlosser concernant un insecte peu connu. Observation periodique sur la physique, l'histoire naturille et les beaux arts, de Gautier. 58-60.
- Sorgeloos P. (1979) The brine shrimp, *Artemia salina*: a bottleneck in mariculture. FAO Technical Conference on Aquaculture, Kyoto, T.V.R. Pillay. A. Hill Eds., Fishing News Boocks Ltd., Farnham (Inglaterra). 321-324.
- Sorgeloos P., Lavens P., Legar P., Tackaert W. and Versichele D. (1986) Manual for the culture and use of brine shrimp *Artemia* in aquaculture. Prepared for the Belgian Administration for Development Cooperation. The Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. State University of Ghent, Belgium. Faculty of Agriculture. 319.
- Sorgeloos P., Bossuyt E., Bruggeman E., Cooreman A., Dobbelier J., Vanhaecke P. and Versichele D. (1979) Progress report on the activities of the *Artemia* Referente Center. ICES C.M./F. 54: 206-214.
- Tackaert W., Lager P., Lavens P. and Sorgeloos P. (1989) El cultivo y uso de *Artemia* en la acuicultura. In. Chávez, J.C. and S. Nishizaki (Eds.) El cultivo del camarón, langostino y cangrejo en el mundo: bases y tecnologías. McGraw Hill de México S.A. de C.V., México D.F.
- Triantaphyllidis GV, Abatzopoulos T.J. and Sorgeloos P. (1998) A review of the biogeography of the genus *Artemia* (Crustacea, Anostraca). J. Biogeogr., 25.213-226.
- Vanhaecke P., Tackaert W. and Sorgeloos P. (1987) The biogeography of *Artemia*: An updated review. In:

- P. Sorgeloos, D.A. Bengtson, W. Decleir and E. Jaspers (eds) *Artemia* Research and its Appliocations. Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium: 1: 129-155.
- Vignatti A., Echaniz S. and Martín M.C. (2007) El zooplancton de tres lagos someros de diferente salinidad y estado trófico en la región semiárida pampeana (Argentina). Gayana, Zoología. 1: 34-48.
- Vilela M.H. and Menezes M.A. (1994) Characterization of *Artemia sp.* Bisexual populations from Sado

- Estuary salines: Biometry and hatching characteristics. Inst. Port. Invest. Marit., Portugal. 1: 187-194.
- Whatley R.C. and Cholich T. (1974) A new Quaternary ostracod genus from Argentina. Palaeontology. 17: 669-684.
- Williams W.D., Carri T.R., Bayly I.A.E., Green J. and Hebrst D.B. (1995) Invertebrates in salt lakes of the Bolivian Altiplano. Int. J. Salt Lake Res., 4: 65-77.

