

An Acad Bras Cienc (2022) 94(1): e20200092 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202220200092 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências | *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* Printed ISSN 0001-3765 I Online ISSN 1678-2690 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

ANIMAL SCIENCE

Cytogenetics of four foam-nesting frog species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group (Anura, Leptodactylidae)

JUAN M. FERRO, ALBERTO TAFFAREL, CRISTIAN TOMATIS, CLAUDIO BORTEIRO, FRANCISCO KOLENC, KALEB P. GATTO, LUCIANA B. LOURENÇO & DIEGO BALDO

Abstract: Intending to increase the knowledge about cytogenetics of Physalaemus and the sparsely studied P. gracilis group, we analyzed the karyotypes of P. carrizorum, P. gracilis, P. lisei, and P. sp. aff. gracilis. We studied chromosome morphology, heterochromatin patterns, Ag-NORs location and mapped the repetitive DNA sequence PcP190. All species showed diploid karyotypes composed of 22 bi-armed chromosomes and similar C- bands and Ag-NOR patterns. C-bands were mainly centromeric and pericentromeric; non-centromeric C-bands were detected on the telomeres of pair 1 in P. lisei, although polymorphic, and interstitially on pair 10 of P. gracilis. This last character is useful to distinguish P. aracilis from its sibling species P. sp. aff. gracilis. The Ag-NOR sites were detected on the long arms of chromosome pair 8 but with a variable position among species. Clusters of PcP190 showed centromeric and pericentromeric positions coincident with conspicuous C-bands, on pairs 2 and 9 in P. gracilis and P. sp. aff. gracilis, pair 3 in P. carrizorum, and pair 7 in P. lisei. These results significantly increase the knowledge about Physalaemus cytogenetics and encourage further studies on the satellite PcP190 in other genera of Leiuperinae to better understand its taxonomic distribution and the evolutionary dynamics.

Key words: Leiuperinae, Ag-NORs, C-Bands, satDNA, PcP190.

INTRODUCTION

The foam-nesting frog genus *Physalaemus* is a monophyletic group of Neotropical leptodactylids belonging to the sub-family Leiuperinae that inhabits several South American ecoregions, from the southern part of the Guianas and Venezuela to central Argentina, including a large portion of Brazil, southeastern Colombia, eastern Bolivia, Paraguay and Uruguay (Frost 2019). Current knowledge about the phylogenetic relationships of these frogs arranges them into two major clades. The *P. signifer* clade comprises the *P. deimaticus* and *P. signifer* species groups, plus *P. maculiventris* and *P. nattereri*. Additionally, the *P. cuvieri* clade consists of five species groups: *P. biligonigerus*, *P. cuvieri*, *P. henselii*, *P. olfersii*, and *P. gracilis*, and the species *P. cicada* unassigned to any group (Lourenço et al. 2015).

The cytogenetics of *Physalaemus* has provided valuable information to elucidate the taxonomic relations and chromosome evolution of these frogs. Only 27 of the 48 currently recognized species have been karyotyped (Quinderé et al. 2009, Tomatis et al. 2009, Vittorazzi et al. 2014a, 2016, Lourenço et al. 2015 and references therein). As a rule, *Physalaemus* species have diploid karyotypes with 2n = 22, although two different fundamental numbers (FN) can be observed (42 and 44). The karyotypes with FN = 42 have a small telocentric chromosome and are found in all species of the *P. signifer* clade and *P. fernandezae*, whereas a FN = 44 is present in all the remaining species of the *P. cuvieri* clade.

Characteristic of many Physalaemus species is the location of the Nucleolar Organizer Regions (NORs) on small-sized chromosomes (i.e., pairs 8 to 11). However, the homology of this character among species should be interpreted cautiously, since it showed broad intraspecific variability (Ananias et al. 2007a, Quinderé et al. 2009, Vittorazzi et al. 2014a, Nascimento et al. 2019) or could be homoplastic (Lourenço et al. 2015). Within the P. cuvieri clade, most species show NORs on pair 8, which is a plesiomorphic condition of the genus (Lourenço et al. 2015). Similarly, C-bands are other interesting characters that may be phylogenetically informative. For instance, all karyotyped species of the P. cuvieri group share an interstitial Cband on the metacentric pair 5, and almost all species of the P. biligonigerus group share a pericentromeric C- band on the short arm of pair 3 (Vittorazzi et al. 2014a, and references therein). Besides, the satellite DNA (satDNA) sequence PcP190 that was mapped in several species of Engystomops, Physalaemus, and Pseudis is a promissory marker for the establishment of chromosomal homologies and its variation can reveal phylogenetically informative patterns in anurans (Vittorazzi et al. 2011, 2014b, 2016, Targueta et al. 2018, Gatto et al. 2016, 2018, 2019).

The Physalaemus gracilis group is composed of six species (*P. barrioi*, *P. carrizorum*, *P. evangelistai*, *P. gracilis*, *P. jordanensis*, and *P. lisei*) that inhabit southeastern Brazil, northeastern Argentina, and Uruguay (Nascimento et al. 2005, Lourenço et al. 2015, Cardozo & Pereyra 2018). Cytogenetic data for this group is scarce and restricted to *P. barrioi* (Provete et al. 2012) and *P. gracilis* (Brum-Zorrilla & Sáez 1968, de Lucca et al. 1974), which have karyotypes of 22 bi-armed chromosomes (FN = 44). In *P. barrioi*, there is additional information regarding the position of NORs terminal on pair 10 and C-banding pattern that is mostly centromeric and pericentromeric, except for an interstitial band present on the long arm of pair 4 (Provete et al. 2012).

In this paper, we cytogenetically studied four species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group: *P. carrizorum*, *P. gracilis*, *P. lisei*, and a different species from Uruguay referred herein as *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis*. We provide information concerning Ag-NORs, C-banding patterns, and the chromosomal location of the repetitive sequence PcP190, and discuss our findings under the view of current taxonomy and phylogenetic hypotheses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We studied 13 specimens of Physalaemus carrizorum, 4 of P. gracilis, 7 of P. sp. aff. gracilis, and 7 of P. lisei. Mitotic metaphases were obtained from intestines and bone marrow tissue following Schmid et al. (2010). The chromosome number and morphology were studied on preparations stained with buffered Giemsa solution (10%). C-bands and Ag-NOR were obtained according to Sumner (1972) and Howell & Black (1980), respectively. The satDNA sequence PcP190 (Vittorazzi et al. 2011) was mapped to mitotic preparations by in situ hybridizations after Pinkel et al. (1986). Probes consisted of PcP190 sequences amplified from a cloned type 1-PcP190 fragment (KX170909) using a PCR dig Probe Synthesis Kit (Roche) and were detected with Rhodamine conjugated with anti-digoxigenin (Roche). Chromosomes were measured using the software DRAWID (Kirov et al. 2017), excluding secondary constrictions in measurements, and classified either as metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), and

subtelocentric following Green & Sessions (1991). In the karyograms, the chromosome pairs were ordered to reflect our hypotheses of chromosomal homeologies (Lourenço et al. 2015, Targueta et al. 2018), regardless of chromosome size.

Collected specimens are housed in the herpetological collections of the Laboratorio de Genética Evolutiva, Instituto de Biología Subtropical, Posadas, Misiones, Argentina (LGE), and Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Montevideo, Uruguay (MNHN), and the Collection of tissue and chromosome preparation "Shirlei Maria Recco Pimentel", Department of Structural and Functional Biology at the Biology Institute of the University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. The collections of specimens were approved by the Ministerio de Ecología y Recursos Naturales Renovables (Argentina, MEyRNR 061/2015, 073/2016, 035/2017 and 047/2018), Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade and Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade (Brazil, ICMBio/SISBIO 32483-3), and División Fauna (Uruguay, MGAP Res 195/06). Protocols of euthanasia and preservation of specimens were performed with the approval of the Ethics Committee in Animal Use (CEUA UNICAMP 4802-1 and MNHN 1/2019). The sex and collection information of studied specimens are detailed below.

Physalaemus sp. aff. gracilis — URUGUAY: San José: Estancia Maitea, Sierra de Mahoma, MNHN 9984 (♀), 9985 (♂), 9986 (♂); Maldonado: Route 109 2 km southern Aiguá, MNHN 9982 (♂), 9984 (♂), LGE 15682 (♀); Treinta y Tres: Bañado de Los Oliveras, MNHN 9511 (♀).

Physalaemus gracilis — BRAZIL: Rio Grande do Sul: Gravataí, SMRP 37.16 (♂), 37.17 (♂), 37.18 (♂), 37.19 (♂)

Physalaemus carrizorum — ARGENTINA: Misiones: Moconá Provincial Park, LGE 15317(♂), LGE 15318, 15319 (♂), 15320 (♂); Arroyo Los Muertos, near Provincial route N°2, LGE 24602 (\mathcal{J}), LGE 15325 (\mathcal{Q}); Piñalito Provincial Park, LGE 24602 (\mathcal{J}), 20450 (\mathcal{J}), 20453 (undetermined); Provincial route N°18, 25 km west Bernardo de Irigoyen, LGE 20433 (\mathcal{J}), 20434 (\mathcal{J}); Provincial route N° 18, 45 km northwest Bernardo de Irigoyen, LGE 3383 (\mathcal{J}); National route N° 14 km northwest Tobuna, LGE 21828 (\mathcal{J}).

Physalaemus lisei — BRAZIL: Rio Grande do Sul: Gravataí, SMRP 38.9 (♀), 38.10 (♀), 38.11 (♀), 38.12 (♀), 38.13 (♀), 38.14 (♂), 38.15 (♂).

RESULTS

The four species studied herein presented similar karyotypes with 11 bi-armed chromosome pairs (2n = 2x = 22; FN = 44), containing seven large to medium-sized and four small-sized ones. Pairs 1, 2, 5, 6, and 9–11 were metacentric, while pairs 3, 4, and 7 were submetacentric. Pair 8 invariably showed secondary constrictions, being metacentric in *Physalaemus gracilis*, *P*. sp. aff. *gracilis*, and *P. lisei* but submetacentric in *P. carrizorum* (Figure 1 a,d, Table I).

The Ag-NORs were detected only on the long arms of chromosome pair 8, coinciding with secondary constrictions in Giemsa stained metaphases. They were terminal in *Physalaemus* sp. aff. *gracilis* and *P. gracilis*, but interstitial in *P. carrizorum* and *P. lisei* (Figure 1 a,d). Besides, one female of *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis* (MNHN 9511) and one male of *P. gracilis* (SMRP 37.16) showed different sizes for the Ag-NORs between homologues (Figure 1 a,d).

Heterochromatic bands were observed in the centromeric regions and stained more intensely on (peri)centromeres of pair 3 in the four species and pair 7 in *Physalaemus lisei* (Figure 2a, c, e, g). In *Physalaemus* sp. aff. *gracilis* and *P. gracilis*, the NORs were also associated with C-bands (Figure 2c, e). In *P.*



Figure 1. Giemsa stained karyotypes of four species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group. (a) *P. carrizorum*, (b) *P. gracilis*, (c) *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis*, (d) *P. lisei*. The insets (*) show chromosomes with Ag-NORs. Bar = 10 µm.

gracilis, an additional interstitial C-band was observed in pair 10. Moreover, in *P. lisei*, we observed polymorphisms for terminal C-bands associated with chromosomes of pair 1. Of the four specimens analyzed by this technique (3Q, 1d), the three females showed positive C-bands on both homologues in 1q while the male was heterozygous for such band, being present in only one chromosome. On the other hand, two of these females showed additional C-bands in both homologues in 1p (Figure 2i).

FISH with PcP190 probe showed fluorescent centromeric/pericentromeric marks in one chromosome pair of *Physalaemus carrizorum* and *P. lisei*, and in two chromosome pairs in *P.* sp. aff. gracilis and *P. gracilis*, always associated with heterochromatin but with a different location between species: pairs 2 and 9 in *P. gracilis* and *P.* sp. aff. gracilis, pair 3 in *P. carrizorum*, and pair 7 in *P. lisei* (Figure 2b, d, f, h).

DISCUSSION

Previous reports on cytogenetics of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group only referred to the chromosome number of specimens from

Uruguay (presumably *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis*, as *P. gracilis*, Brum-Zorrilla & Sáez 1968), karyotype descriptions of *P. gracilis* from an uncertain locality in Brazil (de Lucca et al. 1974), and of *P. barrioi* from the type locality of the species (Serra de Bocaina-Brazil, Provete et al. 2012). To date, there is no cytogenetic information about *P. evangelistai* and *P. jordanensis*.

The basic chromosome number (x = 11)observed in the Physalaemus gracilis group is shared by all currently analyzed species of the genus (Lourenço et al. 2015, and references therein; present study). Within Leptodactylidae, this character is widespread in the remaining four genera of Leiuperinae, Engystomops, Edalorhina, Pleurodema, and Pseudopaludicola (Barrio & Rinaldi de Chieri 1970, Lourenço et al. 2000, 2006, Targueta et al. 2011, 2018, Cardozo et al. 2016, 2018, and also in *Leptodactylus* (Leptodactylinae, Coelho et al. 2016, and references therein). In contrast, different numbers are observed in Paratelmatobiinae (x = 12 in *Paratelmatobius* and Scythrophrys, Lourenço et al. 2008), and in other genera of Leptodactylinae (x = 9 in *Lithodytes* and x = 12 and 13 in Adenomera, Bogart 1970, Zaracho & Hernando 2011, Coelho et al. 2016).

	P. carrizorum	P. sp. aff. gracilis	P. gracilis	P. lisei
1	14.37 (m) 0.44 ± 0.01	15.31 (m) 0.45 ± 0.01	14.65 (m) 0.46 ± 0.02	15.15 (m) 0.43 ± 0.02
2	12.78 (m) 0.39 ± 0.01	13.04 (sm) 0.34 ± 0.03	12.81 (sm) 0.36 ± 0.03	11.74 (m) 0.38 ± 0.01
3	10.94 (sm) 0.30 ± 0.00	10.99 (st) 0.23 ± 0.07	11.11 (sm) 0.25 ± 0.02	10.61 (sm) 0.29 ± 0.03
4	10.47 (sm) 0.26 ± 0.01	10.19 (st) 0.25 ± 0.02	10.34 (st) 0.23 ± 0.02	10.55 (sm) 0.28 ± 0.03
5	9.86 (m) 0.46 ± 0.03	10.17 (m) 0.42 ± 0.01	10.43 (m) 0.43 ± 0.03	10.22 (m) 0.41 ± 0.03
6	9.77 (m) 0.45 ± 0.03	9.65 (m) 0.43 ± 0.02	9.20 (m) 0.41 ± 0.02	9.58 (m) 0.39 ± 0.04
7	8.67 (sm) 0.32 ± 0.01	8.66 (sm) 0.36 ± 0.02	8.39 (sm) 0.34 ± 0.05	8.37 (sm) 0.34 ± 0.02
8	5.15 (m) 0.45 ± 0.01	5.76 (m) 0.42 ± 0.04	5.48 (m) 0.46 ± 0.01	5.96 (m) 0.47 ± 0.02
9	6.48 (m) 0.45 ± 0.01	5.50 (m) 0.42 ± 0.07	6.43 (m) 0.44 ± 0.03	6.23 (m) 0.45 ± 0.01
10	5.83 (m) 0.45 ± 0.03	5.57 (m) 0.45 ± 0.04	5.79 (m) 0.45 ± 0.02	6.15 (m) 0.44 ± 0.03
11	5.68 (m) 0.41 ± 0.01	5.16 (m) 0.44 ± 0.03	5.37 (m) 0.44 ± 0.04	5.44 (m) 0.39 ± 0.04

Table I. Chromosome measurements of species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group. The chromosome percentage is relative to the haploid set. Centromeric index ± Standard Deviation. Chromosome morphology: metacentric (m); submetacentric (sm); subtelocentric (st).

Moreover, within the higher taxon Hyloidea, this character state is shared by the families Brachycephalidae, Craugastoridae (Schmid et al. 2010, and references therein), Bufonidae (Baldo et al. 2012, and references therein), and Odontophrynidae (Ananias et al. 2007b, Rocha et al. 2017, and references therein). It is remarkable that in some recent phylogenomic analyzes, all these taxa were recovered within the major clade Commutabirana that includes the superfamily Brachycephaloidea (Brachycephalidae, Craugastoridae, Eleutherodactylidae) and the families Allophrynidae, Bufonidae, Centrolenidae, Dendrobatidae, Leptodactylidae, and Odontophrynidae (Feng et al. 2017, Streicher et al. 2018), and in which the state x = 11optimizes as a synapomorphy. Alternatively, in a more inclusive phylogenetic hypothesis recently proposed by Jetz & Pyron (2018), x = 11optimizes as a synapomorphy for a minor clade that excludes Brachycephaloidea.

As previously stated, among the *Physalaemus* karyotypes, are observed two distinct FN, FN = 44

and FN = 42 (Vittorazzi et al. 2016, and references therein). Karyotypes composed of only bi-armed chromosomes (FN = 44) are present in species of the P. cuvieri clade but also among the other genera of leiuperines Edalorhina, Engystomops, Pleurodema, and Pseudopaludicola (Barrio & Rinaldi de Chieri 1970, Lourenço et al. 2000, 2006, Targueta et al. 2011, 2018, Cardozo et al. 2016, 2018. Conversely, karyotypes with one small pair of telocentric chromosomes (FN = 42) were reported for species of the P. signifer clade and P. fernandezae (P. cuvieri clade), and with one small or medium pair in some species of Engystomops (Tomatis et al. 2009, Lourenço et al. 2015, Targueta et al. 2018). The similar FN observed in these three taxa likely corresponds to homoplasy, and the character state FN = 42 could be considered a synapomorphy of the P. signifier clade (Lourenço et al. 2015). It should be stressed that the relation between P. fernandezae and P. henselii, and the remaining species of the P. cuvieri clade is poorly supported (Lourenço et al. 2015) and, in this regard, future



Figure 2. Mitotic chromosomes of four species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group after C-banding (a, c, e, g, i) and FISH with PcP190 satDNA (b, d, f, h). (a, b) *P. carrizorum*, (c, d) *P. gracilis*, (e, f) *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis*, (g,i) *P. lisei*. The polymorphic variation for C-bands of pair 1 in *P. lisei* are shown in (i). Bar = 10 µm.

interpretations of the transformation observed in *P. fernandezae* would change as more information would become available.

Almost all karyotyped species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group show the NORs in chromosome pair 8, excepting *P. barrioi* in which this marker is present in pair 10 (Provete et al. 2012). In the *P. olfersii* group, a clade phylogenetically closely related to the *P. gracilis* group, the Ag-NORs are present in pairs 3 and 7 in *P. feioi* (as *P. olfersii* from the locality of Viçosa,

state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, Milani et al. 2011), 3 and 4 in *P. olfersii* (in specimens from Teresópolis, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Milani et al. 2011), and possibly in pair 11 in *P. soaresi* inferred by the presence of secondary constrictions (de Lucca et al. 1974). Moreover, in the *P. biligonigerus* group, which is phylogenetically closely related to the *P. gracilis* and *P. olfersii* groups, all species show distal Ag-NORs in pairs 8 or 9 (Amaral et al. 2000, Silva et al. 1999, Tomatis et al. 2009, Vittorazzi et al. 2014a). In this sense, NORs in pair 3 would be an apomorphy of a less inclusive clade within the P. olfersii group, pending the study of this marker on P. lateristriga and P. soaresi to confirm this assumption. Besides, the similar size and morphology of chromosome pairs 8–10 in species of the *P. biligonigerus* and *P. gracilis* groups allow us to assume that NOR-bearing chromosomes of these species are homeologous, with the caveat that this hypothesis should be tested with the aid of other chromosome markers. Moreover, Lourenço et al. (2015) stated that the NORs on pair 8 would represent a plesiomorphic condition for Physalaemus, as it is shared by other genera of Leiuperinae and Leptodactylinae that also present 2n = 22 karyotypes (Bogart 1974, Lourenço et al. 2000, 2008, 2015, Coelho et al. 2016).

Two specimens, one of *Physalaemus gracilis* and one of *P.* sp. aff. *gracilis* showed heteromorphisms for Ag-NOR size. This sort of variation is frequently reported as a source of polymorphisms in anuran cytogenetics and may be the consequence of different mechanisms such as unequal meiotic exchanges or tandem duplication of rDNA (Schmid 1982, Schmid et al. 2010).

All species in the *Physalaemus gracilis* group have similar patterns of (peri)centromeric C-bands. Besides, P. sp. aff. gracilis and P. gracilis show heterochromatin associated with the NOR sites, and P. barrioi and P. gracilis have interstitial C-bands on pairs 4 and 10, respectively (Provete et al. 2012, this study). In P. *gracilis*, the C-band on chromosome pair 10 has an additional taxonomic value as it allows us to distinguish *P. gracilis* from its sibling species P. sp. aff. gracilis. Finally, in Physalaemus lisei, the detection of conspicuous telomeric C-bands varies among specimens for both arms (1p and 1q) and, although the only male studied by this technique had a particular pattern not observed in females, with a single C-band on

one homologue of 1q, it is still necessary to study more males in order to exclude that this last polymorphism is sex-biased.

CONCLUSION

Some studies have demonstrated an extensive taxonomic distribution regarding the PcP190 sequences, a satDNA probably derived from 5S rDNA (Vittorazzi et al. 2011). Its presence was confirmed for the families Leptodactylidae (Engystomops, Leptodactylus, and Physalaemus), Hylodidae (Crossodactylus), and Hylidae (Pseudis). This satDNA marker was previously mapped on the karyotypes of 8 species of Engystomops, 7 species of Physalaemus, and 7 species of Pseudis (Vittorazzi et al. 2011, 2014b, 2016, Gatto et al. 2016, Targueta et al. 2018, and references therein; Gatto et al. 2019). As a generality, the chromosome position of PcP190 is biased towards the centromeres or proximally associated with C- bands (Vittorazzi et al. 2014b). Exceptions are the sex chromosomes of Physalaemus ephippifer and species of Pseudis, in which PcP190 clusters were detected in interstitial heterochromatic bands, suggesting a possible role in the differentiation of sex chromosomes (Vittorazzi et al. 2014b, Gatto et al. 2016, 2019). In a similar way to what is observed in other species of Physalaemus, all four species in the P. gracilis group analyzed in this work have variable PcP190 location. It is worth noting that its presence on pair 3 is a recurrent feature shown by 7 of 11 studied species in the genus: P. albifrons, P. albonotatus, P. carrizorum, P. centralis (in addition to several pairs), P. cuvieri (in addition to several pairs), P. kroyeri (in addition to pair 1), and P. ephippifer (in addition to the W chromosome) (Vittorazzi et al. 2011, 2014b, 2016, this study). This feature was also reported in almost all studied species

of Engystomops (6 of 8 species), excepting E. coloradorum and E. "magnus" (see Targueta et al. 2018). The recurring occurrence of PcP190 in pair 3 of both Engystomops and Physalaemus is a promising informative marker to establish homeology. However, in order to obtain a better picture of this character distribution within Leiuperinae, a more considerable amount of data has to be collected in the remaining groups of the P. cuvieri clade (i.e., P. biligonigerus, P. henselii, and P. olfersii groups), the P. signifer clade, Edalorhina, Pseudopaludicola, and Pleurodema (for details about the phylogenetic relationships of these taxa, see Lourenço et al. 2015). Moreover, given the similarity between PcP190 and 5S nucleotide sequences, further data should also include information about the chromosomal mapping of 5S rDNA.

Acknowledgments

JMF, AT, DB are grateful to the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 2015-2381, PICT 2018-3349, PICT 2019-00141, and PICT 2019-03895); CB and FK acknowledge Sistema Nacional de Investigadores – Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (SIN – ANII). JMF is grateful to the Universidad Nacional de Misiones (16Q001-TI). We thank Juan Martín Boeris for helping to collect some specimens.

REFERENCES

AMARAL MJLVD, CARDOSO AJ & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2000. Cytogenetic analysis of three *Physalaemus* species (Amphibia, Anura). Caryologia 53: 283-288.

ANANIAS F, BOMBEIRO AL, SILVA CDBS, SILVA APZ, HADDAD CFB & KASAHARA S. 2007b. Cytogenetics of *Eupemphix nattereri* Steindachner, 1963 (Anura: Leiuperidae) and karyotypic similarity with species of related genera: taxonomic implications. Acta Zool Sin 53: 285-293.

ANANIAS F, MODESTO ADS, MENDES SC & NAPOLI MF. 2007a. Unusual primitive heteromorphic ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes in *Proceratophrys boiei* (Anura, Cycloramphidae, Alsodinae), with description of C-Band interpopulational polymorphism. Hereditas 144: 206-212. BALDO D, COTICHELLI L, PEREYRA MO, BORTEIRO C, NETTO F, KOLENC F, BRUSQUETTI F & BIDAU C. 2012. A Cytotaxonomic survey of the genus *Melanophryniscus* Gallardo, 1961 (Anura: Bufonidae). J Herpetol 46: 25-32.

BARRIO A & RINALDI DE CHIERI P. 1970. Estudios citogeneticos sobre el género *Pleurodema* y sus consecuencias evolutivas (Amphibia, Anura, Leptodactylidae). Physis 80: 309-319.

BOGART JP. 1970. Systematic problems in the amphibian family Leptodactylidae (Anura) as indicated by karyotypic analysis. Cytog 9: 369-383.

BOGART JP. 1974. A karyosystematic study of frogs in the genus *Leptodactylus* (Anura: Leptodactylidae). Copeia 1974: 728-737.

BRUM-ZORRILLA N & SÁEZ FA. 1968. Chromosomes of Leptodactylidae (Amphibia, Anura). Experientia 24: 969.

CARDOZO DE, BALDO D, PUPIN N, GASPARINI JL & HADDAD CFB. 2018. A new species of *Pseudopaludicola* (Anura, Leiuperinae) from Espírito Santo, Brazil. PeerJ 6: e4766.

CARDOZO DE, BOERIS JM, FERRO JM, BORTEIRO C, KOLENC F, SUÁREZ P, NETTO F, BRUSQUETTI F & BALDO D. 2016. Evidence for independent instances of chromosome number reduction in the genus *Pseudopaludicola* (Anura: Leptodactylidae). Salamandra 52: 11-22.

CARDOZO DE & PEREYRA MO. 2018. A new species of *Physalaemus* (Anura, Leptodactylidae) from the Atlantic Forest of Misiones, northeastern Argentina. Zootaxa 4387: 580-590.

COELHO AC, DE MATTOS TL, VIANA P, TERENCIO ML, SCHNEIDER CH, MENIN M & GROSS MC. 2016. Intra-generic and interspecific karyotype patterns of *Leptodactylus* and *Adenomera* (Anura, Leptodactylidae) with inclusion of five species from Central Amazonia. Genetica 144: 37-46.

DE LUCCA EJ, JIM J & FORESTI F. 1974. Chromosomal studies in twelve species of Leptodactylidae and one Brachycephalidae. Caryologia 27: 183-192.

FENG YJ, BLACKBURN DC, LIANG D, HILLIS DM, WAKE DB, CANNATELLA DC & ZHANG P. 2017. Phylogenomics reveals rapid, simultaneous diversification of three major clades of Gondwanan frogs at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114: 5864-5870.

FROST DR. 2019. Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0 (accessed December 2019). American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index. html.

GATTO KP, BUSIN CS & LOURENÇO LB. 2016. Unraveling the sex chromosome heteromorphism of the paradoxical frog *Pseudis tocantins*. PLoS ONE 11(5): e0156176.

GATTO KP, MATTOS JV, SEGER KR & LOURENÇO LB. 2018. Sex chromosome differentiation in the frog genus *Pseudis*

JUAN M. FERRO et al.

involves satellite DNA and chromosome rearrangements. Front Genet 9: 301. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00301.

GATTO KP, SEGER KR, GARCIA PCA & LOURENÇO LB. 2019. Satellite DNA mapping in *Pseudis fusca* (Hylidae, Pseudinae) provides new insights into sex chromosome evolution in paradoxical frogs. Genes 10: 160. doi:10.3390/ genes10020160.

GREEN DM & SESSIONS SK. 1991. Nomenclature for chromosomes In: Green DM & Sessions SK (Eds), Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution. New York, San Diego Academic Press, p. 431-432.

HOWELL WN & BLACK DA. 1980. Controlled silver staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protector colloidal developer: A step method. Experientia 36: 1014-1015.

JETZ W & PYRON RA. 2018. The interplay of past diversification and evolutionary isolation with present imperilment across the amphibian tree of life. Nat Ecol Evol 2: 850-858.

KIROV I, KHRUSTALEVA L, VAN LAERE K, SOLOVIEV A, MEEUS S, ROMANOV D & FESENKO I. 2017. DRAWID: user-friendly java software for chromosome measurements and idiogram drawing. Comp Cytogenet 11(4): 747-757.

LOURENÇO LB, BACCI-JÚNIOR M, MARTINS VG, RECCO-PIMENTEL SM & HADDAD CFB. 2008. Molecular phylogeny and karyotype differentiation in *Paratelmatobius* and *Scythrophrys* (Anura, Leptodactylidae). Genetica 132: 255-266.

LOURENÇO LB, CARDOSO AJ & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2000. Cytogenetics of *Edalorhina perezi* (Anura, Leptodactylidae). Cytologia 65: 359-363.

LOURENÇO LB, NASCIMENTO JAA, ANDRADE GV, ROSSA-FERES DC & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2006. Chromosomal analysis of the leptodactylids *Pleurodema diplolistris* and *Physalaemus nattereri* (Amphibia, Anura). Amphibia-Reptilia 27: 481-489.

LOURENÇO LB, TARGUETA CP, BALDO D, NASCIMENTO J, GARCIA PCA, ANDRADE GV, HADDAD CFB & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2015. Phylogeny of frogs from the genus *Physalaemus* (Anura, Leptodactylidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol 92: 204-216.

MILANI M, CASSINI CS, RECCO-PIMENTEL MS & LOURENÇO LB. 2011. Karyotypic data detect interpopulational variation in *Physalaemus olfersii* and the first case of supernumerary chromosomes in the genus. Anim Biol 2: 21-28.

NASCIMENTO J, LIMA JD, SUÁREZ P, BALDO D, ANDRADE GV, TODD WP, FITZPATRICK BM, HADDAD CFB, RECCO-PIMENTEL SM & LOURENÇO LB. 2019. Extensive cryptic diversity within the Physalaemus cuvieri – Physalaemus ephippifer species complex (Amphibia, Anura) revealed by cytogenetic, mitochondrial, and genomic markers. Front Genet 10: 719. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00719.

NASCIMENTO LB, CARAMASCHI U & CRUZ CAG. 2005. Taxonomic review of the species groups of the genus *Physalaemus* Fitzinger, 1826 with revalidation of the genera *Engystomops* Jimenéz-de-la-Espada, 1872 and *Eupemphix* Steindachner, 1863 (Amphibia, Anura, Leptodactylidae). Arg Mus Nac, Rio de Janeiro 63: 297-320.

PINKEL D, STRAUME T & GRAY JW. 1986. Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative, high-sensitivity, fluorescence hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83: 2934-2938.

PROVETE DB, GAREY MV, TOLEDO LF, NASCIMENTO J, LOURENÇO LB, ROSSA-FERES DC & HADDAD CFB. 2012. Redescription of *Physalaemus barrioi* (Anura: Leiuperidae). Copeia 2012: 507-518.

QUINDERÉ YRSD, LOURENÇO LB, ANDRADE GV, TOMATIS C, BALDO D & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2009. Polytypic and polymorphic cytogenetic variations in the widespread anuran *Physalaemus cuvieri* (Anura, Leiuperidae) with emphasis on nucleolar organizing regions. Biol Res 42: 79-92.

ROCHA PC, SENA LMF, PEZZUTI TL, LEITE FSF, SVARTMAN M, ROSSET SD, BALDO D & GARCIA PCA. 2017. A new diploid species belonging to the *Odontophrynus americanus* species group (Anura: Odontophrynidae) from the Espinhaço range, Brazil. Zootaxa 4329(4): 327-350.

SCHMID M. 1982. Chromosome banding in Amphibia - VII. Analysis of the structure and variability of NORs in Anura. Chromosoma 87: 327-344.

SCHMID M, STEINLEIN C, BOGART JP, FEICHTINGER W, LEÓN P, LA MARCA E, DÍAZ LM, SANZ A, CHEN SH & HEDGES SB. 2010. The chromosomes of terraranan frogs insights into vertebrate cytogenetics. Cytog Genome Res 131: 1-568.

SILVA APZ, HADDAD CFB & KASAHARA S. 1999. Nucleolus organizer regions in *Physalaemus cuvieri* (Anura, Leptodactylidae), with evidence of a unique case of Ag-NOR variability. Hereditas 141: 135-141.

STREICHER JW, MILLER EC, GUERRERO PC, CORREA C, ORTIZ JC, CRAWFORD AJ, PIE MR & WIENS JJ. 2018. Evaluating methods for phylogenomic analyses, and a new phylogeny for a major frog clade (Hyloidea) based on 2214 loci. Mol Phylogenet Evol 119: 128-143.

SUMNER AT. 1972. A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. Exp Cell Res 75: 304-306.

TARGUETA CP, RIVERA M & LOURENÇO LB. 2011. Karyotypic differentiation via 2n reduction and a finding of a case of triploidy in anurans of the genus *Engystomops* (Anura, Leiuperidae). Genetica 139: 1339-1347.

TARGUETA CP, VITTORAZZI SE, GATTO KP, BRUSCHI DP, VEIGA-MENONCELLO ACP, RECCO-PIMENTEL MS & LOURENÇO LB. 2018. Anuran cytogenetics. In: Norris N & Miller C (Eds), An

JUAN M. FERRO et al.

essential guide to cytogenetics. Nova Science Publishers, U.S.A., p. 136.

TOMATIS CG, BALDO D, KOLENC F & BORTEIRO C. 2009. Chromosomal variation in the species of the *Physalaemus henselii* group (Anura : Leiuperidae). J Herpetol 43: 555-560.

VITTORAZZI SE, LOURENÇO LB, DEL-GRANDE ML & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2011. Satellite DNA derived from 5S rDNA in *Physalaemus cuvieri* (Anura, Leiuperidae). Cytogenet Genome Res 134: 101-107.

VITTORAZZI SE, LOURENÇO LB & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2014b. Long-time evolution and highly dynamic satellite DNA in leptodactylid and hylodid frogs. BMC Genet 15: 1-11.

VITTORAZZI SE, LOURENÇO LB, SOLÉ M, FARIA RG & RECCO-PIMENTEL SM. 2016. Chromosomal analysis of *Physalaemus kroyeri* and *Physalaemus cicada* (Anura, Leptodactylidae). Comp Cytogenet 10: 311-323.

VITTORAZZI SE, QUINDERÉ YRSD, RECCO-PIMENTEL SM, TOMATIS C, BALDO D, LIMA JRF, FERRO JM, LIMA JD & LOURENÇO LB. 2014a. Comparative cytogenetics of *Physalaemus albifrons* and *Physalaemus cuvieri* species groups (Anura, Leptodactylidae). Comp Cytogenet 8: 103-124.

ZARACHO VH & HERNANDO AB. 2011. The karyotype of Adenomera diptyx (Boettger 1885) (Anura, Leptodactylidae) from northeastern Argentina. Gen Mol Biol 1: 84-87.

How to cite

FERRO JM, TAFFAREL A, TOMATIS C, BORTEIRO C, KOLENC F, GATTO KP, LOURENÇO LB & BALDO D. 2022. Cytogenetics of four foam-nesting frog species of the *Physalaemus gracilis* group (Anura, Leptodactylidae). An Acad Bras Cienc 94: e20200092. DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202220200092.

Manuscript received on January 20, 2020; accepted for publication on March 24, 2020

JUAN M. FERRO¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0287-5567

ALBERTO TAFFAREL¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9479-5152

CRISTIAN TOMATIS² https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7860-7951

CLAUDIO BORTEIRO³ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8722-0334

FRANCISCO KOLENC³ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9985-3630

KALEB P. GATTO^{4,5} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2261-229X

LUCIANA B. LOURENÇO⁴

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6602-6850

DIEGO BALDO¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2382-0872

¹ Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Químicas y Naturales, Instituto de Biología Subtropical (CONICET-UNAM), Laboratorio de Genética Evolutiva, Félix de Azara 1552, N3300LQH Posadas, Misiones, Argentina

²Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Químicas y Naturales, Félix de Azara 1552, N3300LQH Posadas, Misiones, Argentina

³Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Sección Herpetología, Miguelete 1825, 11800 Montevideo, Uruguay

⁴Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Laboratório de Estudos Cromossômicos, Departamento de Biologia Estrutural e Funcional, Bertrand Russell, s/n, 13083-865 Campinas, SP, Brazil

⁵Universidade Estadual Paulista, Instituto de Biociências, Centro de Aquicultura e Laboratório de Herpetologia, Departamento de Zoologia, Avenida 24A, Bela Vista, 13506-900 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Correspondence to: Diego Baldo

E-mail: diegobaldo@gmail.com

Author contributions

Juan M. Ferro: collected specimens, performed cytogenetic studies, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. Alberto Taffarel: performed cytogenetic studies, contributed to analyze the data, revised the manuscript. Cristian Tomatis: collected specimens, performed cytogenetic studies, contributed to analyze the data, revised the manuscript. Claudio Borteiro: collected specimens, revised the manuscript. Francisco Kolenc: collected specimens, revised the manuscript Kaleb P. Gatto: performed cytogenetic studies, revised the manuscript. Luciana B. Lourenço: contribute to analyze data, revised the manuscript. Diego Baldo: acquired the financial resources for this research, designed the study, collected specimens, analyzed the data, revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

