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#### Abstract

If a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation $A$ in a Hilbert space and a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ are assumed to satisfy that the domain of $A$ is invariant under the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{S}$, then $A$ admits a particular matrix representation with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. This matrix representation of $A$ is used to give explicit formulae for the Schur complement of $A$ on $\mathcal{S}$ as well as the $\mathcal{S}$-compression of $A$.
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## 1. Introduction

Given a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation $A$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a closed subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, it is not always the case that $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. On the other hand, if it does, the matrix representation need not be unique. Results on this subject can be found in [8, 14, 11, 6, 10]. Under the hypothesis that $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ (the domain of $A$ ) is an invariant subspace for the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{S}, P_{\mathcal{S}}$ (that is $\left.\mathcal{D}_{1}:=P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)\right)$, we show that $A$ can be represented by a $2 \times 2$ block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are linear relations. Furthermore, $A$ admits a specific representation $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ similar to the one for bounded operators (cf. [1], [7, Lema A.1]), in the sense that $a$ and $d$ in this decomposition are nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations and there exists a contraction $g: \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $b=\left.a^{1 / 2} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}(\operatorname{dom}(A))}$ and $c=\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} a^{1 / 2}\right|_{P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A))}$.

In [3], Arlinskiĭ proves that for $\leq$ the forms order [12, 4], the maximum of the following set of nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations,

$$
\left\{X: 0 \leq X \leq A, \operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right\}
$$

always exists and he defines the Schur complement of the relation $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S}, A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$, as this maximum. Under the invariance condition mentioned above, we give a matrix formula for $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$ in terms of the matrix coefficients of $A$; namely,

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & T^{*} T
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $T:=\overline{\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}(\operatorname{dom}(A))}}$, where $D_{g}:=\left(1-g^{*} g\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the defect operator associated to the matrix representation of $A$. We also give an alternate proof of the existence of the Schur complement. This formula is an extension of the well known formula by Anderson and Trapp for bounded operators [1]. We also define the $\mathcal{S}$ compression $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $A$. If we assume further that $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$ is an invariant subspace of the orthogonal projection $P_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}:=\overline{A^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$, then we obtain Pekarev-type formulae for $A / \mathcal{S}$ and $A_{\mathcal{S}}[15]$, and we show that $A=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A / \mathcal{S}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we outline some background material, primarly on linear relations. Section 3 is devoted to the problem of representing a selfadjoint linear relation $A$ as a $2 \times 2$ relation matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. In Proposition 3.5, we prove that the relation $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ if and only if its operator part $A_{0}$ admits a block matrix representation with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{1}}$ plus the extra condition $\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(A)$ (the multivalued part of $A$ ). The main result of this section is Theorem 3.11 where this matrix representation of $A$ is fully described when $A$ is nonnegative. In Section 4, we again use the matrix representation of the nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation $A$ to derive formulae for the Schur complement and compression of $A$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout, all spaces are complex and separable Hilbert spaces. As usual, the direct sum of two subspaces $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is indicated by $\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{N}$ and the orthogonal direct sum by $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. The orthogonal complement of a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is written as $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$, or $\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{M}$ interchangeably. The symbol $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection with range $\mathcal{M}$.

The space of everywhere defined bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ is written as $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, or $L(\mathcal{H})$ when $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{K}$. The identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$ is written as 1 , or $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ if it is necessary to disambiguate.

The notion of Schur complement (or shorted operator) of $A$ to $\mathcal{S}$ for a nonnegative selfadjoint operator $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ a closed subspace, was introduced by M.G. Krein [13]. When $\leq$ is the usual order in $L(\mathcal{H})$, he proved that the set $\left\{X \in L(\mathcal{H}): 0 \leq X \leq A\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right\}$ has a maximum element, which he defined as the Schur complement $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$ of $A$ to $\mathcal{S}$. This notion was later rediscovered by Anderson and Trapp [1]. If $A$ is represented as the $2 \times 2$ block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{c}a \\ b^{*} \\ d\end{array}\right)$ with respect to the decomposition of $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$, they established the formula

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & d-y^{*} y
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $y$ is the unique solution of the equation $b=a^{1 / 2} x$ such that the range inclusion $\operatorname{ran}(y) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran}}(a)$ holds.

Although familiarity with the theory of linear relations is presumed, some background material from [9] is summarized below.

A linear relation (l.r.) from a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ is a linear subspace $T$ of the cartesian product $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$. The domain, range, null space or kernel and multivalued part of $T$ is denoted by $\operatorname{dom}(T), \operatorname{ran}(T), \operatorname{ker}(T)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(T)$, respectively. When $\operatorname{mul}(T)=\{0\}, T$ is an operator; in this case, the operator $T$ is uniquely determinated by $T x=y$ for $(x, y) \in T$.

The sum of two linear relations $T$ and $S$ from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ is the linear relation defined by

$$
T+S:=\{(x, y+z):(x, y) \in T \text { and }(x, z) \in S\} .
$$

The componentwise sum is the linear relation defined by

$$
T \hat{+} S:=\left\{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y+z\right):\left(x_{1}, y\right) \in T \text { and }\left(x_{2}, z\right) \in S\right\} .
$$

The componentwise sum of $T$ and $S$ with $T \perp S$ is denoted by $T \hat{\oplus} S$. Let $T$ be a linear relation from $\mathcal{H}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and let $S$ be a linear relation from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ then the product $S T$ is a linear relation from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ defined by

$$
S T:=\{(x, y):(x, z) \in T \text { and }(z, y) \in S \text { for some } z \in \mathcal{E}\}
$$

If $T \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ then $(x, y) \in S T$ if and only if $(T x, y) \in S$.
The closure of a linear relation from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ is the closure of the linear subspace in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$, when the product is provided with the product topology. The closure of an operator need not be an operator; if it is then one speaks of a closable operator. The relation $T$ is called closed when it is closed as a subspace of $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$. The adjoint relation from $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$
T^{*}:=J T^{\perp}=(J T)^{\perp},
$$

where $J(x, y)=(y,-x)$. The adjoint is automatically a closed linear relation and, if $\bar{T}$ denotes the closure of $T$, then $\bar{T}=T^{* *}:=\left(T^{*}\right)^{*}$. By definition, it is immediate that $\bar{T}^{*}=T^{*}$. Clearly,

$$
T^{*}=\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}:\langle g, x\rangle=\langle f, y\rangle \text { for all }(f, g) \in T\}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(T)^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ran}(T)^{\perp}$. Then, if $T$ is closed both $\operatorname{ker}(T)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(T)$ are closed subspaces.

Let $T$ be a linear relation from $\mathcal{H}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and let $S$ be a linear relation from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} S^{*} \subset(S T)^{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is equality in (2.1) if $S \in L(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$. If $T$ and $S$ are linear relations from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}+S^{*} \subset(T+S)^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is equality in $(2.2)$ if $S \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.
Let $T$ be a (not necessarily closed) linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. Define $T_{0}:=T \cap$ $\left.\overline{(\overline{\mathrm{dom}}}(T) \times \overline{\mathrm{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)$ and $T_{\mathrm{mul}}:=\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(T)$. Then $T_{0}$ is a closable operator from $\overline{\mathrm{dom}}(T)$ to $\overline{\mathrm{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)$ [11].

Theorem 2.1 ([11, Theorem 3.9]). Let T be a (not necessarily closed) linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. If there exists a linear relation $B$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=B \hat{+} T_{\mathrm{mul}}, \quad \operatorname{ran}(B) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the sum in (2.3) is direct and B is a closable operator which coincides with $T_{0}$. In particular, the decomposition of $T$ in (2.3) is unique.

Hence if $T$ admits a componentwise sum decomposition of the form (2.3) then, since $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)=\operatorname{mul}(\bar{T})^{\perp} \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(T)^{\perp}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus} T_{\mathrm{mul}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $T$ is decomposable if $T$ admits the componentwise sum decomposition (2.3), or equivalently, (2.4).

In particular, if $T$ is a closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ then $\operatorname{mul}(T)=\operatorname{dom}\left(T^{*}\right)^{\perp}$ and $T$ is decomposable and (2.4) is valid. In this case, $T_{0}$ is a closed operator from $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ to $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)$ and $T_{\mathrm{mul}}$ is a closed linear relation. Also, $\operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(T)$ and $\operatorname{ran}\left(T_{0}\right) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)$. The operator part $T_{0}$ is densely defined in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ and maps into $\overline{\mathrm{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)$. The operator parts $T_{0}$ and $\left(T^{*}\right)_{0}$ are connected by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{0}\right)^{\times}=\left(T^{*}\right)_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(T_{0}\right)^{\times}$denotes the adjoint of $T_{0}$ when viewed as an operator from $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ to $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)$.

A linear relation $T$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is symmetric if $T \subset T^{*}$, selfadjoint if $T=T^{*}$ and nonnegative if $\langle y, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in T$. If $T$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation we write $T \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $T$ be a closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and suppose that $T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus} T_{\mathrm{mul}}$ as in (2.4). Then $T$ is selfadjoint if and only if $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ and $T_{0}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$.
Proof. If $T=T^{*}$ then clearly $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ and, by $(2.5),\left(T_{0}\right)^{\times}=\left(T^{*}\right)_{0}=T_{0}$ [9]. Conversely, suppose that $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$ and $T_{0}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$. Then $\operatorname{mul}(T)=\operatorname{dom}\left(T^{*}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dom}(T)^{\perp}=\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*}\right)$ and, by (2.5), $\left(T^{*}\right)_{0}=\left(T_{0}\right)^{\times}=T_{0}$. So that

$$
T^{*}=\left(T^{*}\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)=T_{0} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(T))=T
$$

Next a well-known result due to von Neumann (see [16, Proposition 3.18]) is extended to closed linear relations:
Theorem 2.3 ([9, Lemma 2.4]). Let $T$ be a closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $T^{*} T$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} T=T^{*} T_{0}=T_{0}{ }^{*} T_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is the operator part of $T$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\operatorname{ker}(T)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T_{0}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(T_{0}^{*}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the operator part of $T^{*} T$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0}=\left(T^{*}\right)_{0} T_{0}=\left(T_{0}\right)^{\times} T_{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $T$ is selfadjoint (and therefore closed), $\operatorname{mul}(T)=\operatorname{dom}(T)^{\perp}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T) \oplus \operatorname{mul}(T)$. In this case $T$ can be written as $T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus} T_{\text {mul }}$ where, by Lemma [2.2, $T_{0}$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)$. For $T \geq 0$, the (unique) nonnegative selfadjoint square root of $T$ is defined by

$$
T^{1 / 2}:=T_{0}^{1 / 2} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(T)),
$$

where $T_{0}^{1 / 2}$ is the square root of $T_{0}$ [5]. Then, $\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{mul}(T), T_{0}^{1 / 2}=\left(T^{1 / 2}\right)_{0}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{1 / 2}\right)$ [9, Lemma 2.5]. Also, by (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}(T)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T_{0}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural ordering for nonnegative selfadjoint relations in $\mathcal{H}$. For two nonnegative selfadjoint relations $A$ and $B$, we write $A \leq B$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \text { and }\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} u\right\| \leq\left\|B_{0}^{1 / 2} u\right\|, \text { for all } u \in \operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following is a result given in [9, Theorem 3.4]; we include its proof for the sake of completeness.
Lemma 2.4. Let $A, B$ be nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations such that $A \leq B$. Then, there exists a contraction $W \in L(\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(B), \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W B_{0}^{1 / 2} \subset A_{0}^{1 / 2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are the operator parts of $A$ and $B$, respectively.
Proof. Since $A \leq B, \operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} u\right\| \leq\left\|B_{0}^{1 / 2} u\right\|, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in \operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Define the linear relation

$$
W:=\left\{\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2} h, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right): h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $(x, y) \in W$ then $(x, y)=\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2} h, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right)$ for some $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then, by (2.12),

$$
\|y\|=\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\| \leq\left\|B_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|=\|x\| .
$$

So that $W$ is a contraction from $\operatorname{ran}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ to $\operatorname{ran}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then $W$ has a unique extension named again $W$ from $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(B)$ to $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$. Defining $W$ as zero in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(B) \ominus \operatorname{ran}\left(B_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$, the result follows.

If $T$ is a linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{dom}(T)$ then
$\left.T\right|_{\mathcal{S}}:=\{(x, y) \in T: x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $T(\mathcal{S}):=\{y:(x, y) \in T$ for some $x \in \mathcal{S}\}$.
A linear subspace $\mathcal{D}$ of $\operatorname{dom}(T)$ is a core of $T$ if the set $\left.T\right|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is dense in $T$, in which case $\overline{T(\mathcal{D})}=\overline{\operatorname{ran}} T$. If $T$ admits the sum decomposition $T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus} T_{\mathrm{mul}}$ as in (2.4) and $\mathcal{D}$ is a core of $T_{0}$ then $\mathcal{D}$ is a core of $T$. If $T$ is a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a core of $T$ then $\left(\left.T\right|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)^{*}=T$.

## 3. Matrix decomposition of nonnegative selfadjoint relations

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and let $a \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, b \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}, c \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $d \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ be linear relations. In [10, Definition 5.1], the linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ generated by the blocks $a, b, c$ and $d$ is defined as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right):=\left\{\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\binom{w_{1}+z_{1}}{w_{2}+z_{2}}\right): \begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in a,\left(x_{2}, z_{1}\right) \in b \\
\left(x_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in c,\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right) \in d
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, given a linear relation $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, we say that $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ if there exist blocks $a \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, b \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}, c \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $d \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ such that $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$. In this case, it is easy to check that:

1. $\operatorname{dom}(a) \cap \operatorname{dom}(c)=\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\operatorname{dom}(b) \cap \operatorname{dom}(d)=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)$.
2. $\operatorname{mul}(a)+\operatorname{mul}(b)=\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(c)+\operatorname{mul}(d)=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{S}$ closed. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{M}$;
(iii) $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [10, Theorem 5.1]). Let $A$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) A admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$;
(ii) $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{mul}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(A)$;
(iii) A admits a representation as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{3.1}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}}, b:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}, c:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $d:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. If $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ then $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{mul}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(A)$.

Proof. Since $A$ is selfadjoint, $\operatorname{mul}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(A)^{\perp}$. Let $y \in \operatorname{mul}(A)$. Then, for all $h \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$

$$
\left\langle P_{\mathcal{S}} y, h\right\rangle=\left\langle y, P_{\mathcal{S}} h\right\rangle=0,
$$

because $P_{\mathcal{S}} h \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Therefore $P_{\mathcal{S}} y \in \operatorname{dom}(A)^{\perp}=\operatorname{mul}(A)$.

Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}:=\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A), \mathcal{D}_{2}:=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \mathcal{M}_{1}:=\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A) \text { and } \mathcal{M}_{2}:=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ then, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2} \text { and } \operatorname{mul}(A)=\mathcal{M}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$.
Define $\mathcal{N}_{i}:=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{i}}$, for $i=1$, 2. Clearly, $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Then, the following are equivalent:
(i) $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$;
(ii) $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$;
(iii) $P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$.

In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\mathcal{S} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \overline{\mathrm{dom}}(A)$.
Proof. $(i) \Leftrightarrow($ ii $)$ : If $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ then by (3.4), $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$, $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\mathcal{N}_{1} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}(\operatorname{dom}(A))=\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$.
Also $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}_{1}\right)^{\perp}$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(A)$. In fact, $\left(\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}_{1}\right)^{\perp}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{1} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{dom}}(A)$. Hence

$$
\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{S} \ominus \mathcal{N}_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus(\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A))=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S} .
$$

Conversely, suppose that $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$. Then $P_{\mathcal{S}}=$ $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}+P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}$. Since $\operatorname{dom}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(A)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\perp}$, it follows that

$$
P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A))=\left(P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}+P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}\right)(\operatorname{dom}(A))=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A) .
$$

$(i) \Leftrightarrow(i i i)$ : It follows as $(i) \Leftrightarrow(i i)$ using that $P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$.
In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\mathcal{S} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\overline{\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A)} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \cap$ $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ always holds. Conversely, if $x \in \mathcal{S} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ write $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$, with $x_{1} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{2}$. Then $x_{2}=x-x_{1} \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. So that $x_{2}=0$. Likewise, $\mathcal{N}_{2}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$.

Now, suppose that the selfadjoint linear relation $A$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus} A_{\mathrm{mul}}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}$ is the selfadjoint operator part of $A$ in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$.
Proposition 3.5. Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and suppose that $A$ is written as in (3.6). Then A admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ if and only if $A_{0}$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{1}}$, $\mathcal{N}_{2}=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ are defined as in (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof. If $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$, by Theorem 3.2, $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Then, by Lemma 3.4 equation (3.5) follows and $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1} / / \mathcal{N}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)$, where $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1} / / \mathcal{N}_{2}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ in $L\left(\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(A_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 the linear operator $A_{0}$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation (in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(A_{0}\right)$ ) with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$ and, by Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$. Conversely, if the linear operator $A_{0}$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$, by Theorem $3.2, P_{\mathcal{N}_{1} / / \mathcal{N}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)$. So that, by Lemma 3.1, equation (3.5) follows. Then, $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq$
$\operatorname{dom}(A)$ and, since $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$, by Lemma 3.4 $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.2, $A$ admits a $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$.

Corollary 3.6. Let A be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and suppose that $A$ is written as in (3.6).

If $A_{0}$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}, A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right)$, then $A$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right) & b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}\right) & d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}+\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{M}_{2}$.

Conversely, if $A$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}, A=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, then $A_{0}$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$,

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a & P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b \\
P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c & P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $A_{0}$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Set $a:=a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left\{\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, b:=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), c:=c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, $d:=d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$, it is clear that $a \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, b \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}, c \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $d \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dom}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) & =\operatorname{dom}(a) \cap \operatorname{dom}(c) \oplus \operatorname{dom}(b) \cap \operatorname{dom}(d) \\
& =\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(c_{0}\right) \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(b_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{1} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2} \cap \operatorname{dom}(A) \\
& =\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{mul}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) & =\operatorname{mul}(a)+\operatorname{mul}(b) \oplus \operatorname{mul}(c)+\operatorname{mul}(d) \\
& =\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}+\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{M}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}=\operatorname{mul}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(x, y) \in A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A))$. Then there exists $m \in \operatorname{mul}(A)$ such that $(x, y)=\left(x, A_{0} x\right)+(0, m)$. Then $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ for some $x_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$ for some $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $m_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Since $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $m_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$, there exist $m_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, m_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $m_{2}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $m_{1}=m_{1}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime \prime}$
and $m_{2}=m_{2}^{\prime}+m_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x, y) & =\left(x, A_{0} x\right)+(0, m)=\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}\right)+\left(0,\binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\binom{a_{0} x_{1}+b_{0} x_{2}+m_{1}}{c_{0} x_{1}+d_{0} x_{2}+m_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\binom{a_{0} x_{1}+b_{0} x_{2}+m_{1}^{\prime}+m_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{c_{0} x_{1}+d_{0} x_{2}+m_{2}^{\prime}+m_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $\left(x_{1}, a_{0} x_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, a_{0} x_{1}\right)+\left(0, m_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in a,\left(x_{2}, b_{0} x_{2}+m_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x_{2}, b_{0} x_{2}\right)+$ $\left(0, m_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in b,\left(x_{1}, c_{0} x_{1}+m_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, c_{0} x_{1}\right)+\left(0, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in c$ and $\left(x_{2}, d_{0} x_{2}+m_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\left(x_{2}, d_{0} x_{2}\right)+\left(0, m_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in d$, it follows that $(x, y) \in\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$. Hence, $A \subset\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ and, since $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(A)=\operatorname{mul}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, by [9] Corollary 2.2], $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$.

Conversely, suppose that $A$ is represented as $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$. Set $a_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a$, $b_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b, c_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c$ and $d_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d$. Then $a_{0}$ is an operator in $\mathcal{N}_{1}$. In fact, if $(0, y) \in a_{0}$, then there exists $z \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(0, z) \in a$ and $y=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} z$. Therefore, $z \in \operatorname{mul}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{1} \perp \mathcal{N}_{1}$ and then $y=0$. Analogously, $b_{0}, c_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ are operators. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dom}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right) & =\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(c_{0}\right) \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(b_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dom}(a) \cap \operatorname{dom}(c) \oplus \operatorname{dom}(b) \cap \operatorname{dom}(d) \\
& =\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(x, y) \in\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right)$. Then $x=x_{1}+x_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ and $y=\binom{a_{0} x_{1}+b_{0} x_{2}}{c_{0} x_{1}+d_{0} x_{2}} \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$.

Set $w_{1}:=a_{0} x_{1}$ and $z_{1}:=b_{0} x_{2}$. Then $\left(x_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in a_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a$ and $\left(x_{2}, z_{1}\right) \in$ $b_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b$. Then, there exists $s_{1} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left(x_{1}, s_{1}\right) \in a$ and $w_{1}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} s_{1}$, and there exists $t_{1} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left(x_{2}, t_{1}\right) \in b$ and $z_{1}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} t_{1}$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$ then $P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}+P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}=P_{\mathcal{S}}$ so that

$$
w_{1}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} s_{1}=s_{1}-P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} s_{1} \text { and } z_{1}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} t_{1}=t_{1}-P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} t_{1} .
$$

Hence, since $P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} s_{1}+P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} t_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}=\operatorname{mul}(a)+\operatorname{mul}(b)$, there exist $m_{1} \in \operatorname{mul}(a)$ and $n_{1} \in \operatorname{mul}(b)$ such that $P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} s_{1}+P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} t_{1}=m_{1}+n_{1}$. Then $\left(0, m_{1}\right) \in a$ and $\left(0, n_{1}\right) \in b$. Therefore $w_{1}+z_{1}=\left(s_{1}-m_{1}\right)+\left(t_{1}-n_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\left(x_{1}, s_{1}-m_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}, s_{1}\right)-\left(0, m_{1}\right) \in a \text { and }\left(x_{2}, t_{1}-n_{1}\right)=\left(x_{2}, t_{1}\right)-\left(0, n_{1}\right) \in b .
$$

Similarly, set $w_{2}:=c_{0} x_{1}$ and $z_{2}:=d_{0} x_{2}$. Then, there exist $s_{2}, t_{2} \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}, m_{2} \in \operatorname{mul}(c)$ and $n_{2} \in \operatorname{mul}(d)$ such that $w_{2}+z_{2}=\left(s_{2}-m_{2}\right)+\left(t_{2}-n_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, s_{2}-m_{2}\right) \in c$ and
$\left(x_{2}, t_{2}-n_{2}\right) \in d$. Therefore,

$$
(x, y)=\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\binom{w_{1}+z_{1}}{w_{2}+z_{2}}\right)=\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}},\binom{\left(s_{1}-m_{1}\right)+\left(t_{1}-n_{1}\right)}{\left(s_{2}-m_{2}\right)+\left(t_{2}-n_{2}\right)}\right) \in A
$$

Hence, $(x, y) \in A \cap(\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A) \times \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A))=A_{0}$. Then, $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right) \subset A_{0}$ and, since dom $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)$, it follows that $A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right)$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and suppose that $A$ admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}, A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$.If $\operatorname{dom}(a) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(c)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(b) \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(a)$ then

$$
a=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(a)) .
$$

Similar results can be stated for $b, c$ and $d$.
Proof. By Corollary 3.6, A admits the representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(a)) & P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(b)) \\
P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(c)) & P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(d))
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Set $\tilde{a}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(a)), \tilde{b}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(b)), \tilde{c}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times$ $\operatorname{mul}(c))$ and $\tilde{d}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(d))$.

Clearly, $\operatorname{dom}(a)=\operatorname{dom}(\tilde{a})$ and $\operatorname{mul}(a)=\operatorname{mul}(\tilde{a})$. Let $(x, y) \in a$ then there exists $y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ such that $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in c$ because $\operatorname{dom}(a) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(c)$. So that

$$
(x, y)=\left(\binom{x}{0},\binom{y+0}{y^{\prime}+0}\right) \in A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{a} & \tilde{b} \\
\tilde{c} & \tilde{d}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $(x, y)=\left(\binom{x}{0},\binom{y}{y^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\binom{x}{0},\binom{w+z}{w^{\prime}+z^{\prime}}\right)$ with $(x, w) \in \tilde{a},\left(x, w^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\tilde{c},(0, z) \in \tilde{b}$ and $\left(0, z^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{d}$.

Then $(0, z) \in \operatorname{mul}(\tilde{b})=\operatorname{mul}(b) \subseteq \operatorname{mul}(a)=\operatorname{mul}(\tilde{a})$ so that, $(0, z) \in \tilde{a}$. Hence

$$
(x, y)=(x, w+z)=(x, w)+(0, z) \in \tilde{a} .
$$

Then $a \subseteq \tilde{a}$ and since $\operatorname{dom}(a)=\operatorname{dom}(\tilde{a})$ and $\operatorname{mul}(a)=\operatorname{mul}(\tilde{a})$, by [9, Corollary 2.2], $a=\tilde{a}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(a))$. The analogous results for $b, c$ and $d$ follow in a similar way.

Next we focus on describing the matrix decompositions of nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations (operators).

The following lemmas are needed for the proof of Proposition 3.10 .
Lemma 3.8. Let $A$ be a selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Consider the matrix representation of $A$ as in (3.1). Then $a$ and $d$ are symmetric linear relations, $c \subset b^{*}$ and $a, b, c$ and $d$ are decomposable linear relations with (unique) decompositions: $a=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), b=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the matrix representation of $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ given by Theorem 3.2. From Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Write $A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus} A_{\text {mul }}$ as in (3.6). Then, by Corollary 3.6, $A_{0}$ admits the matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0}  \tag{3.7}\\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a, b_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b, c_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c$ and $d_{0}:=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d$. Since $\operatorname{dom}(a)=$ $\operatorname{dom}(c)=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mul}(a)=\operatorname{mul}(b)=\mathcal{M}_{1}$, by Corollary 3.7] $a=a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$. Likewise, $b=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Define

$$
\hat{A}_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0}^{\times} & c_{0}^{\times} \\
b_{0}^{\times} & d_{0}^{\times}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{dom}\left(\hat{A}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{\times}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(b_{0}^{\times}\right) \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(c_{0}^{\times}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}^{\times}\right)$, where $a_{0}^{\times}$denotes the adjoint of $a_{0}$ when viewed as an operator from $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{1}$, likewise $b_{0}^{\times}, c_{0}^{\times}$and $d_{0}^{\times}$.

Since $A$ is selfadjoint, $A_{0}=A_{0}^{\times}$, where $A_{0}^{\times}$denotes the adjoint of $A_{0}$ when viewed as an operator from $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ to $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$. Then $A_{0}^{\times}$admits a matrix decomposition with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$. Then, by [6, Theorem 2.2], $A_{0}=A_{0}^{\times}=\hat{A}_{0}$. So that

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0}^{\times} & c_{0}^{\times} \\
b_{0}^{\times} & d_{0}^{\times}
\end{array}\right)=\hat{A}_{0} .
$$

Then

$$
a_{0} \subset a_{0}^{\times}, \quad d_{0} \subset d_{0}^{\times}, \quad b_{0} \subset c_{0}^{\times} \text {and } c_{0} \subset b_{0}^{\times} .
$$

So that $a_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ are symmetric operators on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2}$, respectively, and $b_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ are closable operators. Also, since $a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}$ are closable operators, by Theorem 2.1 $a, b, c$ and $d$ are decomposable with (unique) decompositions: $a=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), b=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Let us see that $a \subset a^{*}$. Let $\left(x_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in a$, then $x_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ and there exists $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ such that

$$
\left(x_{1}, w_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}, a_{0} x_{1}\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right) .
$$

Also, let $(f, g) \in a$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ and there exists $m \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ such that

$$
(f, g)=\left(f, a_{0} f\right)+(0, m)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle g, x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} & =\left\langle a_{0} f+m, x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle a_{0} f, x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle a_{0} f, x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} \\
& =\left\langle a_{0}^{\times} f, x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}=\left\langle f, a_{0} x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{1}}=\left\langle f, a_{0} x_{1}+m_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle f, w_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(x_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in a^{*}$. Likewise, $d \subset d^{*}$ and $c \subset b^{*}$.

By the proof of the last lemma, $A \subset\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{*} & c^{*} \\ b^{*} & d^{*}\end{array}\right)$ and, by [10, Proposition 6.1], the other inclusion always holds. So that $A$ admits the matrix representation

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{*} & c^{*} \\
b^{*} & d^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.9 (cf. [12, Chapter VI], [4, Lemma 5.3.1]). Let A be a nonnegative symmetric linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$. If $A_{F}$ is the Friedrichs extension of $A$, then $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ is a core of $A_{F}^{1 / 2}$ and $\operatorname{mul}\left(A_{F}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(A^{*}\right)$.
Proposition 3.10. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Then $A$ admits the $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{F} & b  \tag{3.8}\\
c & d_{F}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$ are the Friedrichs extensions of $a:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $d:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}$, respectively, $b:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}, c:=\left.P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} A\right|_{\mathcal{S}}$ are decomposable linear relations and $c \subset b^{*}$.

Moreover, if $A$ is written as in (3.6) then $A_{0}$ admits the matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$ :

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(a_{F}\right)_{0} & b_{0}  \tag{3.9}\\
c_{0} & \left(d_{F}\right)_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}$ and $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}$ are the nonnegative selfadjoint operator parts of $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$, respectively and $a_{F}=\left(a_{F}\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), b=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=$ $c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=\left(d_{F}\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$, where $b_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} b, c_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} c$ and $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}$ and $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}$ are the Friedrichs extensions of $a_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{1}} a$ and $d_{0}=P_{\mathcal{N}_{2}} d$, respectively.

Proof. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the matrix representation of $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ as in Lemma3.8. Since $A \geq 0$, it follows that $a$ and $d$ are nonnegative symmetric linear relations.

Also, by Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 if $A$ is written as in (3.6) then $A_{0}$ admits the matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}: A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{0} & b_{0} \\ c_{0} & d_{0}\end{array}\right)$, where $a=a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), b=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=$ $d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Let $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$ be the Friedrichs extensions of $a$ and $d$, respectively. By Lemma $3.9 \operatorname{dom}(a)=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a_{F}^{1 / 2}$ and $\operatorname{dom}(d)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d_{F}^{1 / 2}$.

Set

$$
A^{\prime}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{F} & b \\
c & d_{F}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{F}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}(c) \oplus \operatorname{dom}(b) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{F}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(A)$, because $\operatorname{dom}(c)=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dom}(b)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$. $\operatorname{Also}, \operatorname{mul}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(a_{F}\right)+\operatorname{mul}(b) \oplus$
$\operatorname{mul}(c)+\operatorname{mul}\left(d_{F}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}=\operatorname{mul}(A)$, because $\operatorname{mul}\left(a_{F}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(a^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(a)^{\perp}=$ $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \operatorname{mul}(b)=\mathcal{M}_{1}, \operatorname{mul}\left(d_{F}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(d^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(d)^{\perp}=\mathcal{M}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{mul}(c)=\mathcal{M}_{2}$. But, since $A \subset A^{\prime}$, it follows that

$$
A=A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{F} & b \\
c & d_{F}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$ are selfadjoint, $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$ are decomposable and $a_{F}=\left(a_{F}\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times$ $\left.\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and $d_{F}=\left(d_{F}\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ where $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}$ and $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}$ are the nonnegative selfadjoint operator parts of $a_{F}$ and $d_{F}$, respectively.

Let us see that $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}$ is the Friedrichs extension of $a_{0}$ and $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}$ is the Friedrichs extension of $d_{0}$, cf. [4, Theorem 5.3.3]. Since $a$ is a nonnegative symmetric linear relation in $\mathcal{S}$, the form $\mathrm{t}_{a}$ given by $\mathrm{t}_{a}[u, v]:=\left\langle u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle$ for $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right),\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in a$ with $\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{t}_{a}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(a)$, is nonnegative and closable, [4, Lemma 5.1.17]. Also, by the proof of Lemma 3.8, $a_{0}$ is a nonnegative symmetric linear operator on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$, then the form $\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}$ given by $\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}[u, v]:=\left\langle a_{0} u, v\right\rangle$ for $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}\right)$, with $\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}\right)$, is nonnegative and closable. But

$$
\mathrm{t}_{a}=\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}} .
$$

In fact, it is clear that $\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{t}_{a}\right)$. Let $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{t}_{a}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(a)$ then there exist $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right),\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in a$. Then $u^{\prime}=a_{0} u+m$ for some $m \in \mathcal{M}_{1} \perp \mathcal{N}_{1}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{t}_{a}[u, v]=\left\langle a_{0} u+m, v\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{0} u, v\right\rangle=\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}[u, v],
$$

because $v \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$. Hence, the closures of the forms coincide, i.e., $\overline{\mathrm{t}_{a}}=\overline{\mathrm{t}_{a_{0}}}$. Then, by the Second Representation Theorem [4, Theorem 5.1.23],

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{t}_{a}}[u, v]=\left\langle\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} u,\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} v\right\rangle
$$

for every $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{t}_{a}}\right)$ and

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{t}_{a_{0}}}[u, v]=\left\langle\left(a_{0}\right)_{F}^{1 / 2} u,\left(a_{0}\right)_{F}^{1 / 2} v\right\rangle
$$

for every $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(a_{0}\right)_{F}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{t}_{a_{0}}}\right)$, where $\left(a_{0}\right)_{F}$ is the Friedrichs extension of $a_{0}$. So that $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}=\left(a_{0}\right)_{F}$. Likewise, $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}=\left(d_{0}\right)_{F}$. Then, $a_{0} \subset\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}, d_{0} \subset$ $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}$ and, by Lemma 3.9, $\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}$ and $\operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}$. Then

$$
A_{0} \subset A^{\prime \prime}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(a_{F}\right)_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & \left(d_{F}\right)_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

But, $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\left(a_{F}\right)_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(c_{0}\right) \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(b_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(d_{F}\right)_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right)$, because $\operatorname{dom}\left(c_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dom}\left(b_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then $A_{0}=A^{\prime \prime}$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Then $A$ admits a matrix decomposition in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{3.10}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that:

1. a and d are nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations with $\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(a)$, $\mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(d), \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(b), \mathcal{D}_{1}=\operatorname{dom}(c)$, and $c \subset b^{*} ;$
2. $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d^{1 / 2}$;
3. there exists a contraction $g: \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$
b=\left.a^{1 / 2} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \text { and } c=\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} .
$$

Proof. Items 1 and 2 are proved in Proposition 3.10 .
3: Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be the block matrix representation of $A$ given in (3.8).
From Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Write $A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus} A_{\text {mul }}$ as in (3.6). Then, by Proposition 3.10, $A_{0}$ admits the matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$ :

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & a_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ are the nonnegative selfadjoint operator parts of $a$ and $d$, respectively, $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a_{0}^{1 / 2}, \mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d_{0}^{1 / 2}, a=a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$, $b=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), c=c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$.

Since $A \geq 0$, then $A_{0}$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator on $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$. Then

$$
\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} h, A_{0}^{1 / 2} k\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0} h, k\right\rangle \text { for every } h, k \in \operatorname{dom}(A)
$$

because $A_{0}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} A_{0}^{1 / 2}$. In particular, for every $h_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$

$$
\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0} h_{1}, h_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{0} h_{1}, h_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}, a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

Then the map $a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)$,

$$
a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1} \mapsto A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}
$$

can be extended to a partial isometry $V_{1}$ on all of $\mathcal{N}_{1}$, with initial space $\overline{a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)}=$ $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ (where we used that $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a_{0}^{1 / 2}$ ), so that $\operatorname{ker}\left(V_{1}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$, and final space $\overline{A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1} a_{0}^{1 / 2}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{1} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for every $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $k_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle b_{0} h_{2}, k_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle A_{0} h_{2}, k_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, A_{0}^{1 / 2} k_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, V_{1} a_{0}^{1 / 2} k_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle V_{1}{ }^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, a_{0}^{1 / 2} k_{1}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $V_{1}{ }^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)^{\times}\right)$and $\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)^{\times} V_{1}{ }^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}=b_{0} h_{2}$. Since $a_{0}^{1 / 2}$ is selfadjoint and the above holds for any $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$, it follows that

$$
b_{0}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{1}^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{2}
$$

Likewise, there exists a partial isometry $V_{2}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ with initial space $\overline{d_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)}$ and final space $\overline{A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)}$, such that

$$
V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{2} \text { and } c_{0}=d_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{2}^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{1}
$$

Then

$$
b_{0} h_{2}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{1}{ }^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{1}{ }^{*} V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2} \text { for every } h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2} .
$$

Set $f:=V_{1}{ }^{*} V_{2}$. Then $f$ is a contraction from $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ such that $b_{0}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{2}$. Likewise, $c_{0}=d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} a_{0}^{1 / 2}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{1}$.

Using that $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}, f$ has an extension, again a contraction from $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ to $\mathcal{S}$, named $g$ such that $g x=0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Let $\left.(x, y) \in a^{1 / 2} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$. Then there exists $z \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ such that $\left.(x, z) \in d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$ and $(z, y) \in a^{1 / 2} g$. Then

$$
(x, z)=\left(x, d_{0}^{1 / 2} x\right)+\left(0, m_{2}\right)
$$

for some $m_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ and so $z=d_{0}^{1 / 2} x+m_{2}$. Also, since $(z, y) \in a^{1 / 2} g$, it follows that $(g z, y) \in a^{1 / 2}$. Then $(g z, y)=\left(g z, a_{0}^{1 / 2} g z\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right)$ for some $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$. Then, since $m_{2} \in \operatorname{ker}(g)$ and $d_{0}^{1 / 2} x \in \mathcal{N}_{2}$,

$$
y=a_{0}^{1 / 2} g z+m_{1}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} g\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} x+m_{2}\right)+m_{1}=a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2} x+m_{1}=b_{0} x+m_{1} .
$$

Hence,

$$
(x, y)=\left(x, b_{0} x\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right) \in b .
$$

Conversely, suppose that $(x, y) \in b$, then $x \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and

$$
(x, y)=\left(x, b_{0} x\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right)=\left(x, a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2} x\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right)
$$

for some $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and so $y=a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2} x+m_{1}$. Set $z:=d_{0}^{1 / 2} x \in \mathcal{N}_{2}$ then $(x, z)=\left.\left(x, d_{0}^{1 / 2} x\right) \in d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g z, y) & =\left(g z, a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2} x\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right)=\left(g z, a_{0}^{1 / 2} f z\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(g z, a_{0}^{1 / 2} g z\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right) \in a^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So that $(z, y) \in a^{1 / 2} g$ and then $\left.(x, y) \in a^{1 / 2} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$.
Likewise, $c=\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}}$.

Corollary 3.12. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be the block matrix representation of $A$ given in (3.8). Set $Z:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left.d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\end{array}\right)$ and $W:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & f \\ 0 & \left(1-f^{*} f\right)^{1 / 2}\end{array}\right) \in L(\mathcal{H})$, where $f: \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the contraction in the proof of Theorem 3.11 Then the operator WZ is closable and

$$
A=(W Z)^{*} W Z=(W Z)^{*} \overline{W Z} .
$$

Proof. Define $\Gamma:=W^{*} W=\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & f \\ f^{*} & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\Gamma \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Gamma \geq 0$, because $f$ is a contraction, and $Z$ is a densely defined operator with $\operatorname{dom}(Z)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d^{1 / 2}$,

$$
Z^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{1 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & d^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider the operator $Z^{*} \Gamma Z$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dom}\left(Z^{*} \Gamma Z\right)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}
$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{dom}\left(Z^{*} \Gamma Z\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(Z)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$. On the other hand, take $h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$, then

$$
\Gamma Z\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{a^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d^{1 / 2} h_{2}}=\binom{a^{1 / 2} h_{1}+f d^{1 / 2} h_{2}}{f^{*} a^{1 / 2} h_{1}+d^{1 / 2} h_{2}}
$$

Since $b=a^{1 / 2} f d^{1 / 2}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $a^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(a^{1 / 2}\right)$, it follows that $a^{1 / 2} h_{1}+$ $f d^{1 / 2} h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(a^{1 / 2}\right)$. Likewise, since $c=d^{1 / 2} f^{*} a^{1 / 2}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $d^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(d^{1 / 2}\right)$, it follows that $f^{*} a^{1 / 2} h_{1}+d^{1 / 2} h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(d^{1 / 2}\right)$. Hence, $\Gamma Z h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ and $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(Z^{*} \Gamma Z\right)$. Then $Z^{*} \Gamma Z$ has matrix representation and, by [6, Theorem 2.1],

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{*} \Gamma Z & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{1 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & d^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \left.d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & b \\
c & \left.d\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \subseteq A .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, since $\operatorname{dom}\left(Z^{*} \Gamma Z\right)=\operatorname{dom}(A)$ it follows that $A=Z^{*} \Gamma Z=Z^{*} W^{*} W Z=$ $(W Z)^{*} W Z$.

If $Y:=W Z$, then $\operatorname{dom}(Y)=\operatorname{dom}(Z)=\operatorname{dom}(A)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dom}\left(Y^{*} Y\right)=$ $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(Y)$. Then, by [17, Theorem 5.1], $Y=W Z$ is closable. Finally,

$$
A=Y^{*} Y=A^{*}=\left(Y^{*} Y\right)^{*} \supset Y^{*} \bar{Y} \supset Y^{*} Y=A .
$$

## 4. The Schur complement of nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations

Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{S}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{4.1}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation of $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ as in Theorem 3.11 That is, $a$ and $d$ are nonnegative selfadjoint linear relations with $\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(a)$,
$\mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(d), \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(b), \mathcal{D}_{1}=\operatorname{dom}(c)$, and $c \subset b^{*}$. Also, $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a^{1 / 2}, \mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d^{1 / 2}$ and there exists a contraction $g: \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$
b=\left.a^{1 / 2} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \text { and } c=\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} .
$$

Write $A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus} A_{\text {mul }}$ as in (3.6). Then, $a=a_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right), b=b_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$, $c=c_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ and $d=d_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$, where

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0} & b_{0}  \tag{4.2}\\
c_{0} & d_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the $2 \times 2$ block matrix representation of $A_{0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$ given in (3.9). By Theorem 3.11, there exists a contraction $f: \mathcal{N}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{1}$ such that

$$
b_{0}=\left.a_{0}^{1 / 2} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \text { and } c_{0}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} .
$$

By Lemma3.4 $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Then $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}f & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is the matrix decomposition of $g: \mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}$.

In order to define the Schur complement of $A$, consider $D_{g}:=\left(1-g^{*} g\right)^{1 / 2} \in$ $L\left(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ and the closed linear relation

$$
T:=\overline{\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{S}^{\perp}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Under the above hypotheses,

$$
T^{*} T=d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f} \overline{\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right),
$$

where $D_{f}:=\left(1-f^{*} f\right)^{1 / 2} \in L\left(\mathcal{N}_{2}\right)$.
Proof. The matrix decomposition of $D_{g}$ with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}$ is $D_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}D_{f} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\left.D_{g} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{2} \times \mathcal{N}_{2}$ and, since $\left.d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times$ $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\overline{\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)}=\bar{t} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t:=\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T)=\operatorname{dom}(\bar{t}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{2}$, then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(T)=\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(\bar{t})=\mathcal{N}_{2} .
$$

Also,

$$
T^{*}=\left(\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)^{*}=\left(\left.d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)^{*} D_{g}=d^{1 / 2} D_{g},
$$

where we used that $D_{g} \in L\left(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ so there is equality in (2.1) and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d^{1 / 2}$. Then

$$
T^{*}=\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)\right) D_{g}=d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)=t^{\times} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right),
$$

where $t^{\times}$denotes the adjoint of $t$ when viewed as an operator in $\mathcal{N}_{2}$. Finally, since $t$ is a densely defined operator in $\mathcal{N}_{2}, t^{\times}$is an operator in $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{mul}\left(t^{\times} \bar{t}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(t^{\times}\right)=\{0\}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, $t^{\times} \bar{t}$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear operator in $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ and

$$
\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(T^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(T)^{\perp}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \ominus \mathcal{N}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{2} .
$$

Now, suppose that $(x, y) \in T^{*} T$. Then $(x, z) \in T$ and $(z, y) \in T^{*}$ for some $z \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, z)=\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)+(0, m) \text { for some } m \in \mathcal{M}_{2} \text { and } z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{2} \text { such that }\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{t} \\
& (z, y)=\left(z, t^{\times} z\right)+\left(0, m^{\prime}\right) \text { for some } m^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z \in \operatorname{dom}\left(T^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{2}, z^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ran}(\bar{t}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{2}$ and $z=z^{\prime}+m$, it holds that $m=0$ and $z=z^{\prime}$. Then, from the fact that $(x, z)=\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{t}$ and $\left(z, t^{\times} z\right) \in t^{\times}$it follows that $\left(x, t^{\times} z\right) \in t^{\times} \bar{t}$. Hence, since $y=t^{\times} z+m^{\prime}$,

$$
(x, y)=\left(x, t^{\times} z\right)+\left(0, m^{\prime}\right) \in t^{\times} \bar{t} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} T \subset t^{\times} \bar{t} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.3, $T^{*} T$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation in $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. Then $T^{*} T$ admits a unique decomposition as in (2.4):

$$
T^{*} T=\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)
$$

where $\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\mathcal{N}_{2}$. By (4.5), $\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0} \subset$ $t^{\times} \bar{t}$ and, since $\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0}$ and $t^{\times} \bar{t}$ are selfadjoint operators in $\mathcal{N}_{2}$, equality holds, i.e., $\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0}=t^{\times} \bar{t}$. Hence

$$
T^{*} T=\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)=d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f} \overline{\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)
$$

Consider the set

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right):=\left\{X \text { 1.r. in } \mathcal{H}: 0 \leq X \leq A, \operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right\}
$$

In [3], Arlinskiĭ proved that the set $\mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ has a maximum element and defined the Schur complement of $A$ to $\mathcal{S}$ denoted by $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$ as the maximum of $\mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$. In what follows we give an alternate proof of the existence of the Schur complement as well as a formula for $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$ using the matrix decomposition of $A$ when $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}(A)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and consider the matrix representation of $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ in 4.1). Then the set $\mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ has a maximum element $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$. Moreover,

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & T^{*} T
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $T:=\overline{\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}}$.
Proof. Write $A=A_{0} \hat{\oplus} A_{\operatorname{mul}(A)}$ and set $C:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & T^{*} T\end{array}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{ran}(C)=$ $\operatorname{ran}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\operatorname{ran}\left(\left(T^{*} T\right)_{0}\right) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $C^{*}=C \geq 0$. Suppose that $T$ is written as $T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(T))$ as in (2.4). Let $C_{0}$ be the operator part of $C$ then, by [9, Proposition 2.7],

$$
\left\langle C_{0}^{1 / 2} u, C_{0}^{1 / 2} v\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{0} u_{2}, T_{0} v_{2}\right\rangle
$$

for every $u=\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}, v=\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(C_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$.
Then, since $\mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T)=\operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$

$$
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(C_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Let (4.2) be the matrix decomposition of $A_{0}$ (in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)$ ) with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the partial isometries given in the proof of Theorem3.11 such that

$$
V_{1} a_{0}^{1 / 2}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{1} \text { and } V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \mathcal{D}_{2},
$$

and $f=V_{1}{ }^{*} V_{2}$. Then, by Corollary 3.12, $A_{0}=Z^{*} \Gamma Z$, where $\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & f \\ f^{*} & 1\end{array}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \left.d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) . \text { Let } h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2} . \text { Then } \\
&\left\langle A_{0} h, h\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)_{\mid \mathcal{N}_{1}}\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1-f^{*} f
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\|t h_{2}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us see that

$$
\left\|t h_{2}\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|T_{0} h_{2}\right\|^{2}
$$

In fact, $\left(h_{2}, t h_{2}\right) \in t \subseteq T$. Since $T=T_{0} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(T))$,

$$
\left(h_{2}, t h_{2}\right)=\left(h_{2}, T_{0} h_{2}\right)+(0, z)
$$

for some $z \in \operatorname{mul}(T)$. Then $t h_{2}=T_{0} h_{2}+z$. Since $T_{0} h_{2} \in \operatorname{ran}\left(T_{0}\right) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(T^{*}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{mul}(T)^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ it follows that

$$
\left\|t h_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\|T_{0} h_{2}\right\|^{2}+\|z\|^{2} \geq\left\|T_{0} h_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\|C_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|^{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle A_{0} h, h\right\rangle=\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|C_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|^{2} \text { for every } h \in \operatorname{dom}(A) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ is a core for $A_{0}^{1 / 2}$, by [16, Lemma 10.10], it follows that $\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(C_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\| \geq\left\|C_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|$ for every $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Hence, $A \geq C$. So that

$$
C \in \mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)
$$

Let $X \in \mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a contraction $W \in L(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$
X_{0}^{1 / 2} \supset W A_{0}^{1 / 2}
$$

where $X_{0}$ is the operator part of $X$. Recall that $X_{0}$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear operator in $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(X)$. Also, if $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$,

$$
X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}=W A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}=W V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}=W^{\prime} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}
$$

with $W^{\prime}=W V_{2}$. Also, since $X \leq A$, we have that $\operatorname{dom}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and

$$
\left\langle X_{0}^{1 / 2} h, X_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\rangle \leq\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} h, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0} h, h\right\rangle \text { for every } h \in \operatorname{dom}(A)
$$

Let $h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then, since $\mathcal{D}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \operatorname{ker}(X)=\operatorname{ker}\left(X_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle X_{0}^{1 / 2} h, X_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\rangle & =\left\langle X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle W^{\prime} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, W^{\prime} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & W^{\prime *} W^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{0}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & W^{\prime *} W^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle A_{0} h, h\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}},\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a_{0}^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d_{0}^{1 / 2}$, we have that $\overline{a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)}=$ $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $\overline{d_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)}=\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Also, $\operatorname{ker}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(V_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}(f)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(f^{*}\right)$. Hence, by the last inequality, it follows that

$$
0 \leq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & W^{\prime *} W^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \leq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the inequality holds in the Hilbert space $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A)=\mathcal{N}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{2}$. Therefore

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & W^{\prime *} W^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \leq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & f \\
f^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)_{/ \mathcal{N}_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1-f^{*} f
\end{array}\right)
$$

So that $W^{\prime *} W^{\prime} \leq 1-f^{*} f$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle X_{0}^{1 / 2} h, X_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\rangle & =\left\langle W^{\prime} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, W^{\prime} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle\left(1-f^{*} f\right)^{1 / 2} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2},\left(1-f^{*} f\right)^{1 / 2} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\|D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\|t h_{2}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we show that $C \geq X$. Let $h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(C_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then $h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$. So that there exists $k \in \mathcal{N}_{2}$ such that $\left(h_{2}, k\right) \in T_{0} \subset T$. Since $T_{0}$ is an operator, it follows that $k=T_{0} h_{2}$. Also, since $\left(h_{2}, k\right) \in T=\overline{\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}}$, there exists a sequence $\left.\left(h_{n}, y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(h_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(h_{2}, k\right)$.

Since $\left.\left(h_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $h_{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exits $m_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ such that

$$
\left(h_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(h_{n}, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}\right)+\left(0, m_{n}\right) .
$$

Then, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=h_{2}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}+m_{n}=k$. But, since $D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathcal{N}_{2} \perp \mathcal{M}_{2}$, it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n}=0$ and then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t h_{n}=k$. From

$$
\left\|X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|t h_{n}\right\|^{2} \text { for every } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

it follows that $\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence (so it converges). From the fact that $X_{0}^{1 / 2}$ is a closed operator, $h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}=X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}$. Then, since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(X_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{dom}\left(C_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Therefore, since $h_{1} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(X_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\| & =\left\|X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|X_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t h_{n}\right\|=\|k\|=\left\|T_{0} h_{2}\right\|=\left\|C_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Suppose that $A \geq 0$ is (a densely defined) operator in $\mathcal{H}$. If $X \in \mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ then $X$ is an operator in $\mathcal{H}$. In fact, if $X \in \mathcal{M}\left(A, \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ then $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(X^{1 / 2}\right)$ and then

$$
\operatorname{mul}(X)=\operatorname{mul}\left(X^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(X^{1 / 2}\right)^{\perp} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{mul}(A)=\{0\}
$$

In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{1}}=\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1}=\mathcal{M}_{2}=\{0\}$. So that $f=g, d=d_{0}, T^{*} T=t^{\times} \bar{t}$ and,

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & T^{*} T
\end{array}\right)=\max \left\{X \text { 1.o. in } \mathcal{H}: 0 \leq X \leq A, \operatorname{ran}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right\}
$$

In a similar way, we now define $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ the compression of $A$. For this, consider the row linear relation

$$
S:=\left(\left.\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} \quad g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{S}
$$

with $\operatorname{dom}(S)=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(A)$. Define $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ by

$$
A_{\mathcal{S}}:=S^{*} \bar{S}
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.3, $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a nonnegative selfadjoint linear relation $\mathcal{H}$.
Lemma 4.3. Under the above hypotheses, $\bar{S}$ is decomposable and

$$
A_{\mathcal{S}}=s^{\times} \bar{s} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A)),
$$

where $s: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{1}$ is the closable linear operator defined by

$$
s:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & \left.f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \tag{4.6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $s^{\times}$is the adjoint of $s$ when viewed as an operator from $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}(S)$ to $\overline{\operatorname{dom}}\left(S^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Since $\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}}=\left.a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and $\left.g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$, it follows that

$$
S=s \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)
$$

In fact, it is clear that $\operatorname{ran}(s) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{1}$ and, since $\operatorname{mul}\left(\left.g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\{0\}, \operatorname{mul}(S)=$ $\operatorname{mul}\left(\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}}\right)+\operatorname{mul}\left(\left.g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dom}(S)=\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(s)$. Also, if $(h, y) \in S$ then $(h, y)=\left(\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)$ where $h_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $\left(h_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in$ $\left.a^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}}$ and $\left.\left(h_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$. So that $\left(h_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\left(h_{1}, a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}\right)+$ $\left(0, m_{1}\right)$ for some $m_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $y_{2}=f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h, y) & =\left(\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}, a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}+f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right)+\left(0, m_{1}\right) \in s \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S \subset s \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ and, by [9, Corollary 2.2], $S=s \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$.
The row operator $s$ is closable, in fact, $s^{\times}=\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*}}$ and, as $a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(f^{*}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{dom}\left(s^{\times}\right) \supseteq a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

which is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{1}$. Then $\bar{s}$ is an operator. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, $\bar{S}$ is decomposable and

$$
\bar{S}=\bar{s} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{1}\right) .
$$

Also, since $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is a core of $a^{1 / 2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ is a core of $d^{1 / 2}$, it follows that

$$
S^{*}=\binom{a^{1 / 2}}{d^{1 / 2} g^{*}}
$$

$\operatorname{mul}\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(S^{*}\right)=\operatorname{mul}\left(a^{1 / 2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{mul}\left(d^{1 / 2} g^{*}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}=\operatorname{mul}(A)$ and, by Theorem 2.3, the operator part of $S^{*} \bar{S}$ is $\left(S^{*} \bar{S}\right)_{0}=\left((\bar{S})_{0}\right)^{\times}(\bar{S})_{0}=s^{\times} \bar{s}$. Then

$$
A_{\mathcal{S}}=s^{\times} \bar{s} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A)) .
$$

Let $V_{1}$ be the partial isometry given in the proof of Theorem 3.11 Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=V_{1}{ }^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} \text { on } \operatorname{dom}(A) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Then

$$
A \geq A_{\mathcal{S}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}$ is the operator part of $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ then, by [9, Proposition 2.7],

$$
\left\langle\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} u,\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} v\right\rangle=\left\langle(\bar{S})_{0} u,(\bar{S})_{0} v\right\rangle=\langle\bar{s} u, \bar{s} v\rangle
$$

for every $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left((\bar{S})_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}(\bar{s})$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(s) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Let $h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}=\operatorname{dom}(s)$. Then, by (4.7),

$$
\left\|\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|=\|\bar{s} h\|=\|s h\|=\left\|V_{1}^{*} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\| \leq\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|=\left\|A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\| .
$$

Hence, since $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ is a core of $A^{1 / 2}$, by [16, Lemma 10.10], $A \geq A_{\mathcal{S}}$.
Define

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\overline{A^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A) .
$$

In the following we show that if the positive relations $A$ and $A^{1 / 2}$ admit a matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, respectively, then

$$
A=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}}
$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Consider the matrix representation of $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ in (4.1). Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)\right.$;
(ii) $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$;
(iii) $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$.

In this case, the linear relation $D_{g} d^{1 / 2}$ is decomposable.
Proof. Since $A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))=A_{0}^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \oplus \operatorname{mul}(A)$ and $\operatorname{mul}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right)=P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \oplus \operatorname{mul}(A)\right)=P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the partial isometries given in the proof of Theorem 3.11. Then $f=V_{1}{ }^{*} V_{2}$ and, since $\mathcal{L}=\overline{A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)}, P_{\mathcal{L}}=V_{1} V_{1}{ }^{*}$. Also,

$$
\left.A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.V_{1} a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} & \left.V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $A_{0}^{1 / 2}=\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{1}{ }^{*}}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{2}^{*}}$, so that $\operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} V_{2}^{*}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by Theorem 3.11 ,

$$
V_{1}^{*} P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)\right)=f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
V_{2}^{*} P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)\right)=f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)
$$

Then (4.9) follows.

Since $\left.g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $(i) \Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from (4.8) and (4.9).
Applying (4.3), it can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f}^{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.10), $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$ if and only if $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=$ $\mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows from (4.11) and from the fact that $\left.f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}+$ $\left.D_{f}^{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}$.

Since equation (4.3) holds and $\operatorname{mul}\left(\left.D_{g} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{2}$ to see that $D_{g} d^{1 / 2}$ is decomposable it is sufficient to prove that the operator $D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}$ is closable [11, Theorem 3.10]. In fact, let $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2}$ be such that $y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} y_{n} \rightarrow h$. Then, for every $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle h, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} y_{n}, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle y_{n}, d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f}^{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that, by (4.9), $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f}^{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then $h \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\left(D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{ran}\left(D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)^{\perp}$ and $h=0$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\operatorname{dom}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)$;
(ii) $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(iii) $A=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let us see that $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}$. In fact, let $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ then $h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(s^{\times} \bar{s}\right)$, where $s$ is as in 4.6), and $s^{\times} \bar{s}$ is the operator part of $A_{\mathcal{S}}$. Since $h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(s)$ and $s$ is closable, it follows that

$$
\bar{s} h_{2}=s h_{2}=f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(s^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*}\right)
$$

Hence $h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{D_{2}}\right)$. Then, by (4.8) and (4.9), $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By the proof of Lemma 4.5 ,

$$
\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} D_{f}^{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2}
$$

Also, since

$$
\left.g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}+\left.D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
$$

and $\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(d^{1 / 2}\right)$ (see Lemma 4.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}+\left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that

$$
s^{\times} s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & \left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $h=\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then

$$
s^{\times} s h=\binom{a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}+f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right)}{d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*}\left(a_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}+f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} & b_{0} \\
c_{0} & \left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) h
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that, since $f d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*}\right)$, it is possible to distribute. Then, since $\left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}=\left.d_{0}^{1 / 2} f^{*} f d_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \hat{\oplus}\left(\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}_{2}\right)$, it follows that

$$
A_{\mathcal{S}} \supset s^{\times} s \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{4.13}\\
c & \left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly,

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}} \supset\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, by [10, Lemma 5.5] and (4.12),

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{4.14}\\
c & \left.d^{1 / 2} g^{*} g d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \left.d^{1 / 2} D_{g}^{2} d^{1 / 2}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & \left.d\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)=A
$$

Hence $A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}} \supset A$ and, by (2.2),

$$
A=A^{*} \supset\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)^{*} \supset\left(A_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{*}+\left(A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)^{*}=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}} \supset A
$$

So that $A=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$.
$($ iii $) \Rightarrow(i)$ : It is straightforward.

For a nonnegative operator $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and a closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, Pekarev [15] showed that the Schur complement $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$ can be expressed as $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=A^{1 / 2} P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A^{1 / 2}$ where $\mathcal{L}=\overline{A^{1 / 2}(\mathcal{S})}$. In what follows, we extend this formula for a linear relation $A \geq$ 0 in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Corollary 4.7. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}, \quad A_{\mathcal{S}}=A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}
$$

Proof. Let $h=h_{1}+h_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t h_{2}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, D_{f} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(1-f^{*} f\right) d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle V_{2}^{*}\left(1-V_{1} V_{1}^{*}\right) V_{2} d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, d_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(1-P_{\mathcal{L}}\right) A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}\right\rangle=\left\|P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h=P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{2}$, because $A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1} \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, since $t$ is closable (see Lemma4.5), $\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}$ is also closable. Set $W:=\overline{P_{\left.\mathcal{L}^{\perp} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}}$. Then, since $\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}=\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A))$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A)) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $t$ and $\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}$ are closable operators, by (4.4) and (4.15), it follows that the operator part of $T$ is $T_{0}=\bar{t}$ and the operator part of $W$ is $W_{0}=\overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}$. Also,

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq A_{0}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right):=\left\{y \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right): A_{0}^{1 / 2} y \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right\}
$$

In fact, let $y \in \mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then, for every $h_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$,

$$
0=\left\langle y, A_{0}^{1 / 2} h_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{0}^{1 / 2} y, h_{1}\right\rangle
$$

So that

$$
A_{0}^{1 / 2} y \in \mathcal{D}_{1}^{\perp}=\left(\mathcal{S}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{1}\right) \cap \overline{\operatorname{dom}}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp}
$$

because $\mathcal{M}_{1}=\mathcal{S} \cap \operatorname{mul}(A)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ran}\left(W_{0}{ }^{*} W_{0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\perp} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, let $y \in \operatorname{ran}\left(W_{0}{ }^{*} W_{0}\right)$. Then, since $\operatorname{ran}\left(W_{0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, it follows that

$$
y=W_{0}^{*} W_{0} x=A_{0}^{1 / 2} W_{0} x
$$

for some $x \in \operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}{ }^{*} W_{0}\right)$. Then $W_{0} x \in \mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \subseteq A_{0}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\perp}\right)$ and $y=A_{0}^{1 / 2} W_{0} x \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. So that, by (4.16), $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(W_{0}^{*} W_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(W_{0}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right)$, where we used Theorem 2.3. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right) \Leftrightarrow P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right) \text { and }\left\|W_{0} h\right\|=\left\|T_{0} P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} h\right\| . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & T^{*} T
\end{array}\right)=W^{*} W=A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}
$$

where for the last equality we used that $\operatorname{ran}\left(\overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp} A^{1 / 2}}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$.
Suppose that $\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}$ is the operator part of $W^{*} W$ then, by [9, Proposition 2.7],

$$
\left\langle\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} u,\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} v\right\rangle=\left\langle W_{0} u, W_{0} v\right\rangle
$$

for every $u, v \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right)$.
Suppose that $\left(A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}$ is the operator part of $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}$. Let $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=$ $\mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$ then $h=h_{1}+h_{2}$ with $h_{1} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $h_{2} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then, by (4.17), $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right)$. Conversely, if $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right)$, by 4.17), $P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then $h=P_{\mathcal{S}} h+P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}} h \in \mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{dom}\left(T_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\left(A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Also, if $h \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(W_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dom}\left(\left(A_{/ S}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$, it follows that $h=h_{1}+h_{2} \in \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and, by (4.17),

$$
\left\|\left(A_{/ \mathcal{S}}\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|=\left\|T_{0} h_{2}\right\|=\left\|W_{0} h\right\|=\left\|\left(W^{*} W\right)_{0}^{1 / 2} h\right\|
$$

Then $A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=W^{*} W$.
Finally, by 4.7),

$$
V_{1} s=\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}
$$

Then, since $s$ is closable and $V_{1}$ is a partial isometry, the operator $\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}$ is closable and

$$
\bar{s}=\overline{\left.V_{1}^{*} P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}=V_{1}{ }^{*} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}} .
$$

So that

$$
s^{\times} \bar{s}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} P_{\mathcal{L}} V_{1} V_{1}{ }^{*} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} P_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}
$$

and, since $\operatorname{ran}\left(\overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{1 / 2} P_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}} & =A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}=A_{0}^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A_{0}^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A)) \\
& =s^{\times} \bar{s} \hat{\oplus}(\{0\} \times \operatorname{mul}(A))=A_{\mathcal{S}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.8. Let $A \geq 0$ be a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. If $A$ and $A^{1 / 2}$ admit a matrix representation with respect to $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, respectively, then

$$
A_{/ \mathcal{S}}=A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}^{\perp}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}, \quad A_{\mathcal{S}}=A^{1 / 2} \overline{\left.P_{\mathcal{L}} A^{1 / 2}\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(A)}}, \text { and } A=A_{\mathcal{S}}+A_{/ \mathcal{S}}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 $P_{\mathcal{S}}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then, since $A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{mul}(A)$, it follows that

$$
P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(A^{1 / 2}(\operatorname{dom}(A))\right) \subseteq P_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Then, the result follows from Corollary 4.7 and Theorem4.6
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