

Representations of the weighted WG inverse and a rank equation's solution

D.E. Ferreyra*, V. Orquera†, N. Thome‡

Abstract

In this paper, we present several representations of the W -weighted WG inverse. These representations are expressed in terms of matrix powers as well as in terms of matrix products involving only the Moore-Penrose inverse. In addition, a new characterization of the W -weighted WG inverse is presented by using a rank equation.

AMS Classification: 15A09

Keywords: Generalized inverse; W -weighted WG inverse; Weighted Core EP inverse; W -weighted Drazin inverse; rank equation.

1 Introduction

The theory of generalized inverses seems to be maturing very fastly over the last century. It all started with the Moore-Penrose inverse and grew hand in hand of several contributors. In fact, recently several new generalized inverses were introduced [1, 2, 3, 4]. Roughly speaking, they are defined either by using the Moore-Penrose inverse and/or Drazin inverse, or by using projectors. From the viewpoint of the applications, generalized inverses appear as a useful tool in areas such as Markov chains [5, 6], Chemical equations [7], Robotics [8], Coding theory [9], etc.

While the Moore-Penrose inverse was introduced for rectangular matrices, Drazin inverse was firstly considered for square matrices. In 1980, Cline and Greville [10] extended the Drazin inverse to rectangular matrices and it was called the W -weighted Drazin inverse. This weighted generalized inverse has attracted great interest for mathematician researchers in the area of generalized inverse theory [11, 12, 13]. The W -weighted Drazin inverse is useful in various applications (for instance, in singular equations [14], numerical analysis [15], neural computing [16], partial orders [17, 18], etc.).

*Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, CONICET, FCEFQyN, RN 36 KM 601, 5800 Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina.
E-mail: deferreyra@exa.unrc.edu.ar

†Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, FCEFQyN, RN 36 KM 601, 5800 Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina. E-mail: vorquera@exa.unrc.edu.ar

‡Instituto Universitario de Matemática Multidisciplinar, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: njthome@mat.upv.es

Recently, the core-EP inverse has caught the attention of many authors. The core-EP inverse of a square matrix was defined in [3], and generalized to a rectangular matrix in [19]. Recently, several weighted generalized inverses such as weighted DMP inverses [20], weighted CMP inverses [21, 22], and weighted WG inverses [23] have been introduced as well.

We denote by $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the symbols A^* , A^{-1} , $\text{rk}(A)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$, and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ will denote the conjugate transpose, the inverse (whenever it exists), the rank, the kernel, and the range space of A , respectively. Moreover, I_n will refer to the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. The Moore-Penrose inverse of A is the unique matrix $A^\dagger \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfying the following four equations [5]

$$AA^\dagger A = A, \quad A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger, \quad (AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger, \quad (A^\dagger A)^* = A^\dagger A.$$

The Moore-Penrose inverse is used to represent the orthogonal projectors $P_A := AA^\dagger$ and $Q_A := A^\dagger A$ onto $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^*)$, respectively.

For a given complex square matrix A , the index of A , denoted by $\text{Ind}(A)$, is the smallest nonnegative integer k such that $\mathcal{R}(A^k) = \mathcal{R}(A^{k+1})$.

Let $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be a fixed nonzero matrix. We recall that the W -weighted Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the unique matrix $A^{d,W} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ satisfying the three equations [10]

$$A^{d,W} W A W A^{d,W} = A^{d,W}, \quad A W A^{d,W} = A^{d,W} W A, \quad A^{d,W} W (A W)^{k+1} = (A W)^k,$$

where $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(A W), \text{Ind}(W A)\}$.

For the particular $k = 1$ case, the W -weighted Drazin inverse of A is called the weighted group inverse of A and is denoted by $A^{\#,W}$. When $m = n$ and $W = I_n$, we recover the Drazin inverse, that is, $A^{d,W} = A^d$. Moreover, if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, then the Drazin inverse is called the group inverse of A and denoted by $A^\#$.

Several representations and properties of the W -weighted Drazin inverse can be found in [10, 12, 13, 15]. The W -weighted Drazin inverse satisfies the following two dual representations

$$A^{d,W} = A[(W A)^{d^2}] = [(A W)^{d^2}] A, \tag{1}$$

and the following two important properties

$$A^{d,W} W = (A W)^d, \quad W A^{d,W} = (W A)^d. \tag{2}$$

The core inverse was introduced by O. Baksalary and G. Trenkler in [1]. For a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the core inverse of A is the unique matrix $A^\oplus \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ defined by the conditions

$$A A^\oplus = P_A, \quad \mathcal{R}(A^\oplus) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A).$$

It is well known that A is core invertible if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) \leq 1$. Some more characterizations were given in [24] and numerical aspects were investigated in [25].

K. Manjunatha Prasad and K.S. Mohana extended this concept for $n \times n$ complex matrices of arbitrary index [3]. They defined the core EP inverse as the (unique) matrix $A^\oplus = A^k((A^*)^k A^{k+1})^\dagger (A^*)^k$, where $k = \text{Ind}(A)$.

Later, the core EP inverse was extended from square matrices to rectangular matrices in [19] and was called the weighted core EP inverse and denoted by $A^{\oplus, W}$. We recall that it is given by $A^{\oplus, W} = (WAWP_{(AW)^k})^\dagger$.

H. Wang and J. Chen [4] defined other generalized inverse for square matrices by using the core EP inverse, given by the matrix $A^\omega = (A^\oplus)^2 A$, and called the weak group inverse of A . Recently, in [23] the authors extended the weak group inverse from square to rectangular matrices and it is known as the W -weighted WG inverse. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, it is given by the unique matrix $A^{\omega, W} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ satisfying the two conditions

$$AWA^{\omega, W}WA^{\omega, W} = A^{\omega, W}, \quad AWA^{\omega, W} = A^{\oplus, W}WA. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, this new weighted inverse admits the following representation in terms of the weighted core EP inverse: $A^{\omega, W} = A^{\oplus, W}WA^{\oplus, W}WA = [A^{\oplus, W}W]^2 A$.

Another generalized inverse, named the CMP inverse and considered for rectangular matrices, was investigated by D. Mosić in [21] and generalized to invertible bounded linear operator between two Hilbert spaces in [22].

The main aim of this paper is to present several new representations of the W -weighted WG inverse. These representations are expressed in terms of different matrix powers as well as in terms of matrix products involving only the Moore-Penrose inverse. The importance of these representations is that Moore-Penrose inverse can be automatically computed in different computational packages. In addition, a new characterization of the W -weighted WG inverse is introduced by using a rank equation.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some preliminaries. Section 3 provides some representations for the W -weighted WG inverse in terms of purely Moore-Penrose inverses and other by means of only weighted WG inverse of square matrices. Section 4 gives a new characterization for W -weighted WG inverses by studying an adequate rank equation and some consequences are derived. full-rank decompositions are investigated for computing weighted core EP inverses and weighted WG inverses. Finally, Section 5 derives an additional representation for W -weighted WG inverses by using full-rank decompositions.

2 Preliminary results

In [26], H. Wang introduced the core EP decomposition. It was proved that for every nonzero matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(A) = k$, there exist unique matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $A = A_1 + A_2$ satisfying $\text{Ind}(A_1) \leq 1$, $A_2^k = 0$, and $A_1^* A_2 = A_2 A_1 = 0$ ([26, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.4]). Moreover,

there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that A can be represented as the sum of

$$A_1 = U \begin{bmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad (4)$$

where T is nonsingular, $\text{rk}(T) = \text{rk}(A^k)$, and N is nilpotent of index k . This representation of A is called the core EP decomposition of A .

Based on decomposition (4) for A , H. Wang proved that the core EP inverse of A has the form

$$A^{\oplus} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, in [4] it was proved that the weak group inverse can be factorized as

$$A^{\otimes} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & T^{-2}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad (6)$$

provided that $A = A_1 + A_2$ be written as in (4).

Throughout this paper, a nonzero matrix $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ will be fixed and used as a weight. In what follows, this weight matrix W will be not explicitly mentioned. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we notice that $AW \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $WA \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are both square matrices.

In [19] the authors introduced a new decomposition, called weighted core EP decomposition, extending the core EP decomposition from square to rectangular matrices. This result establishes a simultaneous unitary block upper triangularization of a pair of rectangular matrices.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$. Then there exist two unitary matrices $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, two nonsingular matrices $A_1, W_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{t \times t}$, and two matrices $A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-t) \times (n-t)}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-t) \times (m-t)}$ such that A_2W_2 and W_2A_2 are nilpotent of indices $\text{Ind}(AW)$ and $\text{Ind}(WA)$, respectively, with*

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} V^* \quad \text{and} \quad W = V \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_{12} \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} U^*. \quad (7)$$

The expressions for A and W provided in Theorem 2.1 give the so called weighted core EP decomposition of the pair $\{A, W\}$.

The weighted core EP inverse of a rectangular matrix can be represented by using the weighted core EP decomposition [19, Theorem 5.2]. More precisely, the weighted core EP inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ has the form

$$A^{\oplus, W} = U \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*. \quad (8)$$

In the same paper, the authors also gave the following useful representations:

$$(AW)^{\oplus} = U \begin{bmatrix} (A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad (WA)^{\oplus} = V \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*. \quad (9)$$

Remark 2.2. When $m = n$ and $W = I_n$, from the representations given in (5) and (8), it is easy to verify that the weighted core EP inverse and the core EP inverse coincide.

In [23], the authors introduced a new canonical form for the W -weighted Drazin inverse of a rectangular matrix by using the weighted core EP decomposition of the pair $\{A, W\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$, be written as in (7). Then

$$A^{d,W} = U \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & A_1 R_{WA} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*, \quad (10)$$

where

$$R_{WA} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (W_1 A_1)^{j-k-2} (W_1 A_{12} + W_{12} A_2) (W_2 A_2)^{k-1-j}.$$

In particular, if $k = 1$ we have

$$A^{\#,W} = U \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & (A_1 W_1)^{-2} (A_{12} + W_1^{-1} W_{12} A_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*. \quad (11)$$

Based on the weighted core-EP decomposition (7), the weighted weak group inverse $A^{\otimes,W}$ is expressed by [23]

$$A^{\otimes,W} = U \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & (A_1 W_1)^{-2} (A_{12} + W_1^{-1} W_{12} A_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*. \quad (12)$$

Remark 2.4. When $k = 1$, it is easy to verify that the W -weighted Drazin (group) inverse and the weighted weak group inverse coincide, i.e., $A^{\otimes,W} = A^{\#,W}$.

We finish this section by presenting two propositions that will be useful in the rest of the paper.

Proposition 2.5. [5] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(A) = k$. Then for each integer $\ell \geq k$ we have,

$$A^d = A^\ell (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A^\ell. \quad (13)$$

Proposition 2.6. [19] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be written as in (4) such that $\text{Ind}(A) = k$. Then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,

$$P_{A^\ell} = U \begin{bmatrix} I_{\text{rk}(A^k)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*. \quad (14)$$

Proposition 2.7. [27] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be written as in (4) such that $\text{Ind}(A) = k$. Then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,

$$A^{\oplus} = A^d P_{A^\ell}. \quad (15)$$

3 Representations of the W -weighted WG inverse

As we mentioned in the introduction, in [23, Theorem 6] the authors gave the following representation for the W -weighted WG inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$:

$$A^{\otimes, W} = [A^{\oplus, W} W]^2 A. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, in [19] the authors gave the following representation for the weighted core EP inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$:

$$A^{\oplus, W} = (WAWP_{(AW)^k})^\dagger = \left[W(AW)^{k+1} ((AW)^k)^\dagger \right]^\dagger, \quad (17)$$

where $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$. We can use the expression in (17) to obtain a new representation of the inverse W -weighted WG inverse, that is,

$$A^{\otimes, W} = \left[\left[W(AW)^{k+1} ((AW)^k)^\dagger \right]^\dagger W \right]^2 A. \quad (18)$$

A computational disadvantage of the representation (18) arises from the need of computing the Moore-Penrose inverse of two different matrices. In [11], the authors obtained some representations for the weighted core EP inverse which involve only one Moore-Penrose inverse. In the same way, the following results give new representations for the W -weighted WG inverse involving only one Moore-Penrose inverse.

Firstly, we recall that the weighted core EP inverse can be represented as $A^{\oplus, W} = A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^k}$ [11, Theorem 4.1]. By using Proposition 2.6, it immediately follows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,*

$$A^{\oplus, W} = A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^\ell} = A^{d, W} (WA)^\ell ((WA)^\ell)^\dagger. \quad (19)$$

By applying above theorem and some properties of the core EP inverse of a square matrix we obtain the following interesting representation of the W -weighted WG inverse in terms of the Drazin inverse and the core EP inverse of a square matrix.

Theorem 3.2. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,*

$$A^{\otimes, W} = A[(WA)^d]^2 (WA)^{\oplus} WA. \quad (20)$$

Proof. From (16), (19), (2), and Theorem 2.7, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\otimes, W} &= [A^{\oplus, W} W]^2 A \\ &= A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^\ell} (WA^{d, W}) P_{(WA)^\ell} WA \\ &= A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^\ell} [(WA)^d P_{(WA)^\ell}] WA \\ &= A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^\ell} (WA)^{\oplus} WA \\ &= A^{d, W} (WA)^{\oplus} WA, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that $\mathcal{R}((WA)^{\oplus}WA) = \mathcal{R}((WA)^{\oplus}) = \mathcal{R}((WA)^{\ell})$.

Now, (20) follows directly from (1). \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,*

$$A^{\otimes, W} = A[(WA)^d]^3 P_{(WA)^{\ell}} WA. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.7. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,*

$$A^{\otimes, W} = A[(WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} (WA)^{\ell}]^3 (WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{\ell})^{\dagger} WA. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 2.5. \square

In above corollary we need to compute the Moore-Penrose inverse of two matrices. Next, we presents a more symmetrical result that requires the computation of only one Moore-Penrose inverse.

Corollary 3.5. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ then, for each integer $\ell \geq k$,*

$$A^{\otimes, W} = A[(WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} (WA)^{\ell}]^3 (WA)^{2\ell+1} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} WA. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 2.6. \square

Now, we give several new representations and properties of $A^{\otimes, W}$.

Theorem 3.6. *For each integer $\ell \geq k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$, the W -weighted WG inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be represented as follows:*

$$(a) \ A^{\otimes, W} = A \left[\left[(WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} (WA)^{\ell} \right]^2 (WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{\ell})^{\dagger} WA \right]^2.$$

$$(b) \ A^{\otimes, W} = A \left[\left[(WA)^{\ell} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} (WA)^{\ell} \right]^2 (WA)^{2\ell+1} ((WA)^{2\ell+1})^{\dagger} WA \right]^2.$$

Proof. (a) From (16), (19), and (1), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\otimes, W} &= [A^{\oplus, W} W]^2 A \\ &= A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^{\ell}} W A^{d, W} P_{(WA)^{\ell}} W A \\ &= A((WA)^d)^2 P_{(WA)^{\ell}} W A((WA)^d)^2 P_{(WA)^{\ell}} W A \\ &= A \left[[(WA)^d]^2 P_{(WA)^{\ell}} W A \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the assertion follows directly from Proposition 2.5.

(b) It follows from part (a) and Proposition 2.6. \square

Some well-known representations of the weak group inverse can be derived as particular cases by setting $W = I_n$ in the above theorem.

Corollary 3.7. For each integer $\ell \geq k = \text{Ind}(A)$, the weak group inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ can be represented as follows:

$$(a) A^{\textcircled{w}} = A[A^\ell (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A^\ell]^3 A^{2\ell+1} (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A.$$

$$(b) A^{\textcircled{w}} = A \left[\left[A^\ell (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A^\ell \right]^2 A^\ell (A^\ell)^\dagger A \right]^2.$$

$$(c) A^{\textcircled{w}} = A \left[\left[A^\ell (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A^\ell \right]^2 A^{2\ell+1} (A^{2\ell+1})^\dagger A \right]^2.$$

Before the study of some properties of $A^{\textcircled{w},W}$, we present an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and consider the weighted core EP decomposition of the pair $\{A, W\}$ as in (7). It then results that

$$(i) (AW)^{\textcircled{w}} = U \begin{bmatrix} (A_1 W_1)^{-1} & (A_1 W_1)^{-2}(A_1 W_{12} + A_{12} W_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

$$(ii) (WA)^{\textcircled{w}} = V \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1)^{-1} & (W_1 A_1)^{-2}(W_1 A_{12} + W_{12} A_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*.$$

Proof. (i) From Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$AW = U \begin{bmatrix} A_1 W_1 & A_1 W_{12} + A_{12} W_2 \\ 0 & A_2 W_2 \end{bmatrix} U^*. \quad (24)$$

So, a core EP decomposition of AW is given by $AW = (AW)_1 + (AW)_2$, where

$$(AW)_1 = U \begin{bmatrix} A_1 W_1 & A_1 W_{12} + A_{12} W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad (AW)_2 = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 W_2 \end{bmatrix} U^*. \quad (25)$$

Now, by applying (6) we get

$$(AW)^{\textcircled{w}} = U \begin{bmatrix} (A_1 W_1)^{-1} & (A_1 W_1)^{-2}(A_1 W_{12} + A_{12} W_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

Part (ii) can be proved in a similar way. \square

Next, some new properties of $A^{\textcircled{w},W}$ are given.

Theorem 3.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and consider the weighted core EP decomposition of the pair $\{A, W\}$ as in (7). It then results that

$$(i) WA^{\textcircled{w},W} = (WA)^{\textcircled{w}}.$$

$$(ii) A^{\textcircled{w},W} = A[(WA)^{\textcircled{w}}]^2.$$

$$(iii) A^{\textcircled{w},W} = (AW)^{\textcircled{w}} A(WA)^{\textcircled{w}}.$$

$$(iv) A^{\otimes, W} W A W A^{\otimes, W} = A^{\otimes, W}.$$

$$(v) A^{\otimes, W} = A[(WA)^{\oplus}]^3 WA.$$

Proof. Items (i)-(v) can be easily derived from (7), (9), (12) and Lemma 3.8. \square

Remark 3.10. We note that parts (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.9 give two interesting properties of the W -weighted WG inverse similar to that satisfied by the W -weighted Drazin inverse (See Eqs. (1) and (2)). However, the equalities $A^{d, W} = [(AW)^d]^2 A$ and $A^{d, W} W = (AW)^d$ do not remain valid for the W -weighted WG inverse, provided that $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\} \geq 2$, as we can check with the following examples.

Example 3.11. *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\} = \max\{1, 2\} = 2$.

$$A^{\otimes, W} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [(AW)^{\otimes}]^2 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 3.12. *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\} = \max\{1, 2\} = 2$.

$$A^{\otimes, W} W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (AW)^{\otimes} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4 Characterization of the W -weighted WG inverse

In this section we give a new characterization of the W -weighted WG inverse by using a rank equation.

It is well known that if A is a nonsingular matrix of size $n \times n$, then the inverse A^{-1} of A is the unique matrix X that satisfies the rank equation

$$\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n \\ I_n & X \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(A).$$

The following two results are needed in what follows.

Lemma 4.1. ([28, Lemma 1]) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and M be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix partitioned as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & AQ \\ PA & B \end{bmatrix},$$

for P , Q , and B being matrices of adequate sizes. Then $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B - PAQ)$.

Now, we present the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and consider the weighted core EP decomposition of the pair $\{A, W\}$ as in (7) with $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$ and $t = \text{rk}(A_1) = \text{rk}(W_1)$. Then there exist a unique matrix X such that

$$X(WA)^k = 0, \quad X^2 = X, \quad ((WA)^k)^* WAX = 0, \quad \text{rk}(X) = n - t, \quad (26)$$

a unique matrix Y such that

$$Y(AW)^k = 0, \quad Y^2 = Y, \quad ((WA)^k)^* (WA)^2 WY = 0, \quad \text{rk}(Y) = m - t, \quad (27)$$

and a unique matrix Z such that

$$\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} WAW & I - X \\ I - Y & Z \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(WAW). \quad (28)$$

The matrix Z is the weighted weak group inverse $A^{\textcircled{W}}$ of A . Furthermore, we have

$$X = I_n - WAWA^{\textcircled{W}}, \quad Y = I_m - A^{\textcircled{W}}WAW. \quad (29)$$

Proof. We assume that the pair $\{A, W\}$ is written as in (7) in the weighted core EP decomposition. It is straightforward to see that

$$WA = V \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1 & W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2 \\ 0 & W_2A_2 \end{bmatrix} V^* \quad (30)$$

and

$$(WA)^k = V \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1)^k & \tilde{T}_{WA} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*, \quad (31)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{WA} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (W_1A_1)^{j-k-1} (W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2) (W_2A_2)^{k-1-j}$.

By Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 3.9, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= I_n - WAWA^{\textcircled{W}} = I_n - WA(WA)^{\textcircled{W}} \\ &= V \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(W_1A_1)^{-1}(W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2) \\ 0 & I_{n-t} \end{bmatrix} V^* \end{aligned}$$

satisfies conditions $X(WA)^k = 0$, $X^2 = X$, and $((WA)^k)^* WAX = 0$. Moreover, it is clear that $\text{rk}(X) = n - t$.

In order to show uniqueness, let X_0 be a matrix which satisfies (26). Let $X_1 = V^*X_0V$, and let X_1 be partitioned as

$$X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix},$$

with E and H of sizes $t \times t$ and $(n-t) \times (n-t)$, respectively.

From $X_0(WA)^k = 0$ and the fact that W_1A_1 is nonsingular we obtain $E = 0$ and $G = 0$. Since X_0 satisfies $X_0^2 = X_0$ and $\text{rk}(X_0) = n-t$, it follows that H is nonsingular, and so $H = I_{n-t}$. Therefore,

$$X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & I_{n-t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, from $((WA)^k)^*WAX_0 = 0$, we have $((W_1A_1)^k)^*(W_1A_1F + W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2) = 0$ which is equivalent to $F = -(W_1A_1)^{-1}(W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$X_0 = V \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(W_1A_1)^{-1}(W_1A_{12} + W_{12}A_2) \\ 0 & I_{n-t} \end{bmatrix} V^* = X.$$

Now, we shall prove that there exists a unique matrix Y satisfying condition (27). It is straightforward to see that

$$AW = U \begin{bmatrix} A_1W_1 & A_1W_{12} + A_{12}W_2 \\ 0 & A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix} U^* \quad (32)$$

and

$$(AW)^k = U \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^k & \tilde{T}_{AW} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{AW} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (A_1W_1)^j (A_1W_{12} + A_{12}W_2) (A_2W_2)^{k-1-j}$.

From Lemma 3.8 and (32), it is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= I_m - A^{\oplus, W} WAW \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & I_{m-t} \end{bmatrix} U^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ is a matrix which will be not necessary in what follows. According to (33), it easy to see that $Y(AW)^k = 0$, $Y^2 = Y$, and $\text{rk}(Y) = m-t$. On the other hand, since $BB^{\oplus} = B^{\oplus}B$ when B is a square matrix, and from the fact that $AW(AW)^{\oplus} = P_{(AW)^k} = (P_{(AW)^k})^*$ (see [19, Lemma 2.6]) we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
((WA)^k)^*(WA)^2WY &= ((WA)^k)^*(WA)^2W(I_m - A^{\otimes, W}WAW) \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*(WA)^2(W - WA^{\otimes, W}WAW) \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*(WA)^2(I_m - (WA)^{\otimes}WA)W \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*WA(WA - WA(WA)^{\otimes}WA)W \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*WA(I_m - WA(WA)^{\otimes})WAW \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*WA(I_m - (WA)^{\oplus}WA)WAW \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*(WA - WA(WA)^{\oplus}WA)WAW \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*(I_m - WA(WA)^{\oplus})(WA)^2W \\
&= ((WA)^k)^*(I_m - P_{(AW)^k})(WA)^2W \\
&= [((WA)^k)^* - (P_{(AW)^k}(WA)^k)^*](WA)^2W \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of such a matrix Y can be similarly proved to that of X .

Finally, let $A^{\otimes, W}$ be the weighted weak group inverse of A . Observe that Eq. (29) holds. For these X and Y , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} WAW & I_n - X \\ I_m - Y & Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} WAW & WAWA^{\otimes, W} \\ A^{\otimes, W}WAW & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.1 and the condition (28) we get

$$\text{rk}(Z - A^{\otimes, W}WAWA^{\otimes, W}) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to $Z = A^{\otimes, W}$ because $A^{\otimes, W}WAWA^{\otimes, W} = A^{\otimes, W}$ by Theorem 3.9 (iv). This completes the proof of theorem. \square

Consequently, we give a new characterization of the weighted group inverse $A^{\#, W}$ of A .

Corollary 4.3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, with $\max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\} = 1$ and $t = \text{rk}(A_1) = \text{rk}(W_1)$, be written as in (7). Then there exist a unique matrix X such that*

$$XWA = 0, \quad X^2 = X, \quad (WA)^*WAX = 0, \quad \text{rk}(X) = n - t, \tag{34}$$

a unique matrix Y such that

$$YAW = 0, \quad Y^2 = Y, \quad (WA)^*(WA)^2WY = 0, \quad \text{rk}(Y) = m - t, \tag{35}$$

and a unique Z such that

$$\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} WAW & I - X \\ I - Y & Z \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(WAW). \tag{36}$$

The matrix Z is the weighted group inverse $A^{\#,W}$ of A . Furthermore, we have

$$X = I_n - WAWA^{\#,W}, \quad Y = I_m - A^{\#,W}WAW. \quad (37)$$

Remark 4.4. From (2), we observe that (37) is equivalent to

$$X = I_n - WA(WA)^{\#}, \quad Y = I_m - (AW)^{\#}AW.$$

A well-known characterization of the group inverse [29, 30] can be derived by setting $W = I_n$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of index 1 in corollary above.

Corollary 4.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix of index 1 such that $t = \text{rk}(A)$. Then, there exist a unique matrix Y such that

$$YA = 0, \quad AY = 0, \quad Y^2 = Y, \quad \text{rk}(Y) = n - t, \quad (38)$$

and a unique matrix X such that

$$\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n - Y \\ I_n - Y & X \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(A). \quad (39)$$

The matrix X is the group inverse $A^{\#}$ of A . Furthermore, we have $Y = I_n - AA^{\#}$.

5 Algorithm and numerical example

In this section, we derive one more representation for the generalized inverse $A^{\otimes,W}$ based on the procedure of Cline [31]. In addition, we present an algorithm for computing it.

In view of the representations obtained in Section 3, if $\max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\} \geq 1$, it appears greater than one powers of WA or AW when calculating the W -weighted WG inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Specifically, if WA (or AW) is ill-conditioned, the best method is probably the sequential procedure of Cline [31], which involves full-rank decomposition of matrices of successively smaller sizes until a nonsingular matrix is reached. Thus, by [5, p. 166], if we take $WA = P_1Q_1$, $Q_iP_i = P_{i+1}Q_{i+1}$ is a full-rank decomposition of Q_iP_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and Q_kP_k nonsingular, then

$$(WA)^d = P(Q_kP_k)^{-k-1}Q. \quad (40)$$

Next, by using Corollary 3.3, we derive a new representation for computing the W -weighted WG inverse by means of the sequential procedure of Cline.

Theorem 5.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(AW), \text{Ind}(WA)\}$. Let P_1Q_1 be a full-rank decomposition of WA , $P_{i+1}Q_{i+1}$ a full-rank decomposition of Q_iP_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and Q_kP_k nonsingular. Then the following hold:

$$A^{\otimes,W} = A[P(Q_kP_k)^{-k-1}Q]^3P(P^*P)^{-1}P^*P_1Q_1, \quad (41)$$

where $P = P_1P_2 \cdots P_k$ and $Q = Q_k \cdots Q_2Q_1$.

Proof. As $WA = P_1Q_1$ is assumed to be a full-rank factorization, from (40) we have $(WA)^d = P(Q_kP_k)^{-k-1}Q$, where $P = P_1P_2 \cdots P_k$ and $Q = Q_k \cdots Q_2Q_1$.

Assuming that $P_{i+1}Q_{i+1}$ is a full-rank decomposition of Q_iP_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and Q_kP_k is nonsingular, we can see that PQ is a full-rank decomposition of $(WA)^k$. In fact, the equality $(WA)^k = PQ$ is clear; in particular $(WA)^2 = P_1P_2Q_2Q_1$. Since $\text{rk}(WA) = \text{rk}(P_1) = \text{rk}(Q_1)$, we get that P_1 admits a left inverse $P_1^{(\ell)}$ and Q_1 admits a right inverse $Q_1^{(r)}$. If $P_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times s}$, from $\text{rk}(P_2Q_2) \geq \text{rk}(P_2) + \text{rk}(Q_2) - s = \text{rk}(P_2) = \text{rk}(Q_2)$, we get

$$\text{rk}(P_2) = \text{rk}(Q_2) = \text{rk}(P_2Q_2) = \text{rk}(P_1^{(\ell)}(WA)^2Q_1^{(r)}) \leq \text{rk}((WA)^2) \leq \text{rk}(P_2Q_2).$$

Following a similar argument we arrive at $\text{rk}((WA)^k) = \text{rk}(P) = \text{rk}(Q)$. Now, for $\ell \geq k$ we have

$$P_{(WA)^\ell} = P_{(WA)^k} = (WA)^k((WA)^k)^\dagger = PQQ^*(QQ^*)^{-1}(P^*P)^{-1}P^* = P(P^*P)^{-1}P^*. \quad (42)$$

Now, expression (41) follows from Corollary 3.3, (40), and (42). \square

Following the same notation as in Theorem 5.1, we derive a procedure for computing the W -weighted WG inverse inverse $A^{\mathbb{W},W}$ in the following algorithm.

Algorithm

Input: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$.

Output: $A^{\mathbb{W},W}$.

Step 1

Compute $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(WA), \text{Ind}(AW)\}$.

Step 2 Perform elementary row operations on WA to get the full-rank decomposition P_1Q_1 of WA .

Step 3 For $i = 1$ to $k-1$ perform the product Q_iP_i and calculate the full-rank decomposition $P_{i+1}Q_{i+1}$ of Q_iP_i .

Step 4 Compute $P = P_1P_2 \cdots P_k$ and $Q = Q_k \cdots Q_2Q_1$.

Step 5 Compute $A^{\mathbb{W},W} = A[P(Q_kP_k)^{-k-1}Q]^3P(P^*P)^{-1}P^*P_1Q_1$.

End

Now, we give an example to demonstrate the performance of the algorithm for computing the generalized inverse $A^{\mathbb{W},W}$.

Example 5.2. *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use the above algorithm to compute the W -weighted WG inverse $A^{\oplus, W}$ of the matrix A with respect to weight W .

We have

$$WA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $k = \max\{\text{Ind}(WA), \text{Ind}(AW)\} = 3$ as required in Step 1. Computing a full-rank decomposition of the product WA , we obtain $WA = P_1Q_1$, where

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as required in Step 2. Since $k = 3$, from Step 3, we need to compute full-rank decomposition of Q_1P_1 and Q_2P_2 , respectively. In fact, for $i = 1$

$$Q_1P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P_2Q_2,$$

where

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $i = 2$, we have

$$Q_2P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P_3Q_3,$$

where

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Step 4, we obtain

$$P = P_1P_2P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = Q_3Q_2Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, from Step 5, we conclude that

$$A^{\otimes, W} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conflict of interests

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

The first author was partially supported by Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (Grant PPI 18/C559), CONICET (Grant PIP 112-201501-00433CO), Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Facultad de Ingeniería (Grant Resol. Nro. 135/19), and by ANPCyT (Grant PICT 2018-03492). The second author was partially supported by Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (Grant PPI 18/C559).

The third author was partially supported by Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Facultad de Ingeniería (Grant Resol. Nro. 135/19), by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain (grant DGI MTM2017-90682-REDT), and by Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (Grant Res. 083/2020).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for his impressive work and for many valuable comments and suggestions that helped them to improve the readability and organization of the paper.

References

- [1] Baksalary, O.M.: Trenkler, G.: Core inverse of matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **58** (6), 681-697 (2010)
- [2] Malik, S.B., Thome N.: On a new generalized inverse for matrices of an arbitrary index. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **226**, 575-580 (2014)
- [3] Manjunatha Prasad, K., Mohana, K.S.: Core EP inverse. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **62** (3), 792-802 (2014)
- [4] Wang, H., Chen, J.: Weak group inverse. *Open Math.* **16**, 1218-1232 (2018)

- [5] Ben-Israel, A., Greville, T.N.E.: Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications. Second Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York (2003)
- [6] Kirkland, S.J., Neumann, M.: Group Inverses of M-Matrices and Their Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC, London (2013)
- [7] Soleimani, F., Stanimirović, P.S., Soleymani, F.: Some Matrix Iterations for Computing Generalized Inverses and Balancing Chemical Equations. Algorithms **8**, 982-998 (2015)
- [8] Doty, K.L., Melchiorri, C., Bonivento, C.: A Theory of Generalized Inverses Applied to Robotics. Int. J. Rob. Res. **12**, 1-19 (1993)
- [9] Xiao, G.Z., Shen, B.Z., Wu, C.K., Wong, C.S.: Some spectral techniques in coding theory. Discrete Math. **87**, 181-186 (1991)
- [10] Cline, R.E., Greville, T.N.E.: A Drazin inverse for rectangular matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. **29**, 53-62 (1980)
- [11] Gao, Y., Chen J., Patrício, P.: Representations and properties of the W -weighted core-EP inverse. Linear Multilinear Algebra **68** (6), 1160-1174 (2020)
- [12] Wei, Y.: A characterization for the W -weighted Drazin inverse and a Cramer rule for the W -weighted Drazin inverse solution. Appl. Math. Comput. **125**, 303-310 (2002)
- [13] Wei, Y.: Integral Representation of the W -Weighted Drazin Inverse. Appl. Math. Comput. **144**, 3-10 (2003)
- [14] Kyrchei, I.: Determinantal representations of the W -weighted Drazin inverse over the quaternion skew field. Appl. Math. Comput. **264**, 453-465 (2015)
- [15] Stanimirović, P.S., Katsikis V.N., Ma H.: Representations and properties of the W -weighted Drazin inverse. Linear Multilinear Algebra **65** (6), 1080-1096 (2017)
- [16] Wang, X., Ma, H., Stanimirović, P.S.: Recurrent neural network for computing the W -weighted Drazin inverse, Appl. Math. Comput. **300**, 1-20 (2017)
- [17] Hernández, A., Lattanzi, M., Thome, N.: On some new pre-orders defined by weighted Drazin inverses. Appl. Math. Comput. **282**, 108-116 (2015)
- [18] Hernández, A., Lattanzi, M., Thome, N.: Weighted binary relations involving the Drazin inverse. Appl. Math. Comput. **253**, 215-223 (2015)
- [19] Ferreyra, D.E., Levis, F.E., Thome, N.: Revisiting of the core EP inverse and its extension to rectangular matrices. Quaest. Math. **41**, 265-281 (2018)
- [20] Meng, L.S.: The DMP inverse for rectangular matrices. Filomat **31** (19), 6015-6019 (2017)

- [21] Mosić, D.: The CMP inverse for rectangular matrices. *Aequationes Math.* **92**, 649-659 (2018)
- [22] Mosić D., Kolundzija M.Z.: Weighted CMP inverse of an operator between Hilbert spaces. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math.* **113**, 2155-2173 (2019)
- [23] Ferreyra, D.E, Orquera V., Thome, N.: A weak group inverse for rectangular matrices. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math.* **113**, 3727-3740 (2019)
- [24] Chen, J.L., Zhu, H.H., Patrício, P., Zhang, Y.L.: Characterizations and representations of core and dual core inverses. *Canadian Mathematics Bulletin* **60** (2), 269-282 (2017)
- [25] Zhou, M., Chen, J., Stanimirovic, P.S., Katsikis, V.N., Ma H.: Complex varying-parameter Zhang neural networks for computing core and core-EP inverse. *Neural Processing Letters* **51** (2), 1299-1329 (2020)
- [26] Wang, H.: Core-EP decomposition and its applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **508**, 289-300 (2016)
- [27] Ferreyra, D.E., Levis, F.E., Thome, N.: Maximal classes of matrices determining generalized inverses. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **333**, 42-52 (2018)
- [28] Wei, Y.: A characterization and representation of the Drazin inverse. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **17** (4), 744-747 (1996)
- [29] Cvetković-Ilić, D.: On a problem of Thome and Wei. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **166** (1), 233-236 (2005)
- [30] Thome N., Wei Y.: Generalized inverses and a block-rank equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **141**, 471-476 (2003)
- [31] Cline, R.E.: Inverses of rank invariant powers of a matrix. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **5**, 182-197 (1968)