

Intraguild Predation and Interspecific Killing as Structuring Forces of Carnivoran Communities in South America

Tadeu G. de Oliveira · Javier A. Pereira

Published online: 12 December 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract Intraguild predation (IGP) and interspecific killing (IK) have been recently acknowledged as important ecological forces that could influence community structure. Not only can carnivores influence prey community composition, they might also impact the populations of other carnivores. The goal of the current study was to assess the role of IGP and IK as significant forces influencing carnivoran assemblages in South America. To this end, we compiled the available records on 35 species of terrestrial carnivorans in the subcontinent, to investigate the potential and actual extent of IGP/IK as widespread ecological forces. We considered potential intraguild predators those having >20 % range overlap and body mass 2–5.4 times greater than that of other guild members and likely-potential intraguild predators those that, in addition, were also hypercarnivorous. The potential number of intraguild predators for those species evaluated ranged from zero to 18 (mean=5.35±SE 0.74). IGP/IK events ($n=116$) included 52 pairs of Neotropical carnivorans, 13 of which were killers and 25 were victims. Confirmed intraguild predator species ($n=13$) accounted for 37.1 % of the assemblage, nearly the same value predicted to be likely potential predators ($n=14$). IGP and IK were highly associated with the hypercarnivorous felids, whereas victim species were most

often the omnivorous procyonids and skunks. The results indicate jaguars, pumas, and ocelots as the species most likely to have significant impact on the guild. IGP and IK are not random and reflect widespread interactions that influence carnivoran community structure in South America.

Keywords Intraguild predation · Interspecific killing · Carnivorans · South America · Community structure · Felids

Introduction

After the assessment of Polis et al. (1989), intraguild predation (i.e., the killing and eating behavior among potential competitors, Arim and Marquet 2004) and interspecific killing (i.e., the killing of potentially competing species without any immediate energetic gain to the predator species, Polis et al. 1989), have been afforded increasing relevance as ecological forces involved in structuring communities. Both intraguild predation (IGP) and interspecific killing (IK), the most extreme forms of interference competition, have been reported for a wide variety of animal taxa (Polis et al. 1989; Arim and Marquet 2004; Gagnon et al. 2011) and have potential effects on the ecology and evolution of the species involved (Polis et al. 1989; Polis and Holt 1992). The behavior of IGP and IK predators may not only impact the populations of other predators, but also influence the composition of prey communities by their effects on interspecific competitors and shaping the evolutionary history of the species involved (e.g., Courchamp et al. 1999; Crooks and Soulé 1999; Finke and Denno 2005; Berger et al. 2008). Thus, IGP and IK have become a popular topic among ecologists, wildlife managers, and conservation biologists.

Intraguild predation and interspecific killing are both associated with some well-defined behavioral attributes (Arim and Marquet 2004). In communities of carnivorous mammals, the largest and behaviorally most dominant species influence the population sizes, behaviors, and distribution of subordinate

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10914-013-9251-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

T. G. de Oliveira (✉)
Depto. Biologia, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, Cidade
Universitária Paulo VI, CP 09, São Luís, MA, Brazil 65055-150
e-mail: tadeu4@yahoo.com

T. G. de Oliveira
Instituto Pró-Carnívoros, R. Quaresmeiras, Qd-8, No. 14, São Luís,
MA 65076-270, Brazil

J. A. Pereira
División Mastozoología, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales
“Bernardino Rivadavia”, Angel Gallardo 470, Buenos
Aires C1405DJR, Argentina
e-mail: javipereira@yahoo.com

species through both predation and competitive displacement (e.g., Lindstrom et al. 1995; Mills and Gorman 1997; Creel et al. 2001; Kamler et al. 2003). Thus, IGP and IK are often highly asymmetric in carnivores (Palomares and Caro 1999; Linnell and Strand 2000), where both frequency and intensity of killing and/or predation reach a maximum when the larger species is 2–5.4 times the mass of the victim (Donadio and Buskirk 2006). Patterns of predator species grouping behavior also appear to play an important role; social carnivores kill larger species than solitary ones (Palomares and Caro 1999). Predatory habits and predator taxonomic relatedness are also influential in predisposing carnivores to attack each other. Species that routinely eat vertebrate prey are more prone to interspecific killing; in addition, carnivores tend to interact more with species in the same taxonomic family than with species in different families (Donadio and Buskirk 2006).

Palomares and Caro (1999) first quantified the distribution of interspecific killing among mammalian carnivores, analyzing 97 different pairwise interactions involving 54 victim and 27 killer species. They showed that mortality from carnivores killing a victim through IGP or IK interactions was 38 % (ranging from 4 to 76 %). Further specific contributions on carnivores have proliferated (e.g., Sunde et al. 1999; Fedriani et al. 2000; Berger and Gese 2007), and IGP and IK have been demonstrated to be fundamental determinants of the structure of carnivore assemblages. For this reason, IGP and IK have become important considerations in conservation management, since threatened species may face possible negative impacts from other carnivores that are capable of killing them (Laurenson 1995; Creel and Creel 1996; Durant 1998; Linnell and Strand 2000; Podra et al. 2013).

Caro and Stoner (2003) and Hunter and Caro (2008) assessed the potential for interspecific competition and predation within the assemblages of mammalian carnivorous species in Africa and the Americas. Their continental-scale approaches captured the diversity of potential killing interactions within large sets of carnivores (70 species in Africa and 77 in the Americas), including species with different degrees of phylogenetic relatedness and variation in range overlap. However, these authors did not validate their findings with specific records of predation events. Thus, empirical data on the frequency and distribution of IGP and IK interactions within these assemblages are still lacking.

Forty native terrestrial carnivore species occur in South America, including eleven canids, one bear, eight procyonids, seven mustelids, three skunks, and ten felids. These species share many common attributes that may predispose them to competitive interactions (Hunter and Caro 2008). For example, several of these species are known to coexist throughout vast geographic areas, showing considerable overlap in range and habitat usage (e.g., jaguar *Panthera onca*, puma *Puma concolor*, bush dog *Speothos venaticus*, tayra *Eira barbara*, and South American coati *Nasua nasua*). Most South

American carnivore species are generalists (e.g., canids, procyonids), but hypercarnivores (felids) are also widespread. Although the range in body size may span up to two orders of magnitude (average body mass = 1.2 kg for olingos *Bassaricyon* spp. and 120 kg for the spectacled bear *Tremarctos ornatus*), small-bodied (<7 kg) carnivores predominate, with more than 70 % of all these species classified in this category. In spite of all these factors indicating a high potential for competition, only one attempt has been made to investigate the role of IGP/IK in structuring carnivore community assemblages in South America (see Oliveira et al. 2010; Oliveira 2011 for a case with felids).

The goal of the current study was to assess the extent and potential role of intraguild predation and interspecific killing as significant forces influencing carnivore assemblages in South America. To accomplish this, we gathered all of the available data on diet and predation for South American terrestrial carnivore species, and estimated the number of potential intraguild predators for each.

Methods

We included all 40 species of terrestrial Carnivora found in South America in our analysis. However, all olingo species (*Bassaricyon alleni*, *Bassaricyon beddardi*, *Bassaricyon gabbii*), and all South American weasels (*Mustela africana*, *Mustela felipei*, *Mustela frenata*) were grouped as *Bassaricyon* spp. and *Mustela* spp. due to their high degree of ecological similarity and general paucity of data on individual species. Data on white-nosed coatis *Nasua narica* were combined with that for South American coatis, because the former has a very limited distribution in South America and is ecologically and morphologically equivalent to the latter.

To assess the extent of IGP and IK within the terrestrial South American carnivore assemblage we obtained records from published and unpublished sources (papers, thesis, reports, field observations, and unpublished data of carnivore specialists). To investigate the potential of IGP and IK to have a significant ecological influence, we compared the mean mass of all species whose ranges did not overlap marginally (established at >20 %). As competitive interactions should be the principle cause of IK events, the species diets and extent of overlap in habitat use were also compared. Body mass data, obtained from Redford and Eisenberg (1992), Eisenberg and Redford (1999), Sillero et al. (2004), and Oliveira and Cassaro (2005), were averaged across genders and populations for each species. The extent of geographic range overlap for each pair of species was determined by overlaying range maps taken from Oliveira (1994), Eisenberg and Redford (1999), Sillero et al. (2004), Oliveira and Cassaro (2005), and the IUCN database (IUCN 2013).

To assess dietary overlap among species, the feeding habits of all species were classified according to 11 different categories: fruits/plant material, insects, crustaceans, other invertebrates, fish, amphibians/reptiles, birds, small mammals (<1 kg), medium sized mammals (1–15 kg), large mammals (> 15 kg), and carrion. Each food category was ranked as main (+++), moderate (++) , occasional (+), or absent (0) item, according to its relative frequency of occurrence in each species diet. Aspects of the sociality (i.e., solitary, pairs, groups), activity (i.e., diurnal, crepuscular, nocturnal), and habitat use (we used broad categories such as Tropical rainforest, Subtropical rainforest, Tropical deciduous/semi-deciduous forest, Tropical thorny forest and scrubland, Semi-arid thorny scrub, Savanna, Wet/swampy savanna, Premontane forest, Montane forest, Temperate forest / Southern rainforest, Monte desert / Semi-desert, Patagonian steppes / scrub, High altitude semi-arid steppes and scrub, and Open grassland) of each carnivorous species were also considered. Diet, sociality, and habitat data were taken from Eisenberg and Redford (1999), Oliveira (2002, unpubl. data), Sillero et al. (2004), Wilson and Mittermeier (2009), and Oliveira et al. (2010). Nomenclature follows Wozencraft (2005), except for the Pampas cat *Leopardus colocolo* group, which we considered to represent a single species.

Potential intraguild predator species were identified as those having overlapping ranges and body masses 2–5.4 times larger than other guild members (Donadio and Buskirk 2006). Additionally, we categorized likely-potential intraguild predator species as those that were also either hypercarnivorous or, at least, those for which vertebrates are a considerable part of their diets. Donadio and Buskirk (2006) observed that at the above mentioned size range the frequency and intensity of carnivorous interspecific killing reaches a maximum, as there is higher potential for considerable dietary overlap and, consequently, higher benefit for the larger species to eliminate the smaller potential competitors. For this reason, only species with carnivorous diet were considered to be likely-potential predators. Predominantly omnivorous South American Carnivora would hardly interact in such a way.

Statistical analyses included testing for normality prior to using parametric or non-parametric tests. Tests included correlation analysis of body mass for killers and victims, paired comparisons of the number of potential and likely-potential intraguild predators, and comparisons of the number of events where a particular species was the killer or the victim.

Results and Discussion

Diet, behavior, and habitat use data all suggested that the potential for interespecific competition was high in South American carnivorous assemblages (Tables S1, S2, and S3). As such, there would be a potential benefit for the dominant

potential competitor to eliminate subordinate species. The potential number of intraguild predators for the 35 species evaluated here ranged from zero to 18, with an average of $5.35 \pm \text{SE } 0.74$, and a median of four (Table 1). Of all these species, only nine could not be considered potential intraguild predators. This is due to a combination of their limited geographic ranges, small body sizes, and low degree of carnivory in their diets. Thus, 26 species (74.3 %) of the assemblage could be considered potential intraguild predators. Only jaguars and spectacled bears were judged to not have any potential predators, whereas lesser grisons *Galictis cuja* (18), weasels (16), olingos (12), Molina's hog-nosed skunks *Conepatus humboldtii* and mountain coatis *Nasuella olivacea* (11 each), and oncillas *Leopardus tigrinus* (10) showed the greatest number of potential killers. Considering only adults, 94 % of the assemblage could be under threat from intraguild predation. However, when the analysis was limited to only the most likely potential predator species (i.e., species with mostly a carnivorous diet), the number declined to only 14 species (40 %). Thus, likely potential killers per species ranged from 0 to 12, with an average of $3.5 \pm \text{SE } 0.45$ and a median of three (Table 1). Paired comparisons for the number of potential and likely potential intraguild predator species showed significant differences ($W = -264.000$, $T = +6.000$, $T = -270.000$, $P < 0.001$). Both results (potential and likely potential killers) were similar to those found for intraguild predation in Africa (Caro and Stoner 2003). A review of 599 potential intraguild predators and 763 potential intraguild prey found intraguild predation to be common in nature, with frequencies ranging from 58.4 to 86.7 % (Arim and Marquet 2004). These previously reported values were well within the values estimated here, except those for likely potential killers, for which our results were notably smaller.

We obtained 116 records of IGP/IK events for terrestrial Neotropical carnivorous species in South America. These interactions involved 52 pairs of species. Thirteen species involved in these interactions were identified as killers and 25 as victims (Tables 2 and 3). There was a significant difference between the number of interactions where a species was the killer or the victim ($T = 522.5$, $P = 0.002$, $n = 26$). Thus, confirmed intraguild predators accounted for 37.1 % of all carnivorous species, about the same as those predicted to be likely potential predators ($n = 13$ and 14 , respectively). Considering the mean ratios, each killer species has, on average, 5.60 times $\pm \text{SE } 1.74$ (1.2–20.9, $n = 13$) the mean mass of their victims. However, when taking the mean of all species pairs, these values rise to $12.01 \pm \text{SE } 3.14$ (1–156.8, $n = 52$). This was undoubtedly a consequence of the higher ratios shown by species pairs involving jaguars and pumas, the species with the highest number of recorded killing events. The higher the ratio, the lower the potential gain from IK, because niche overlap is expected to decrease among species as their body sizes differences increase (Woodward and

Table 1 Potential for intraguild predation (IGP) and interspecific killing (IK) within South American terrestrial Carnivora

Species	Common name	Weight (kg)	Minimum predator mass required for IGP or IK (kg) ^a	Number of potential predators	Likely predator
<i>Lycalopex culpaeus</i>	Culpeo	7.3	14.6	1	Puma
<i>Lycalopex fulvipes</i>	Darwin's fox	2.7	5.4	2	Puma, culpeo
<i>Lycalopex griseus</i>	Chilla fox	3.2	6.4	2	Puma, culpeo
<i>Lycalopex gymnocercus</i>	Pampas fox	5.0	10	3	Puma, jaguar(?)
<i>Lycalopex sechurae</i>	Sechura fox	3.6	7.2	2	Puma, culpeo
<i>Lycalopex vetulus</i>	Hoary fox	3.4	6.8	5	Maned wolf, ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Atelocynus microtis</i>	Short-eared dog	7.8	15.6	2	Jaguar, puma
<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	Crab-eating fox	5.7	11.4	4	Maned wolf, ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Speothos venaticus</i>	Bush dog	6	12	3	Jaguar, puma, ocelot?
<i>Chrysocyon brachyurus</i>	Maned wolf	25	50	1	Puma, jaguar
<i>Urocyon cinereoargenteus</i>	Gray fox	3.7	7.4	5	Jaguar, puma, ocelot
<i>Tremarctus ornatus</i>	Spectacled bear	105	210	0	0
<i>Procyon cancrivorus</i>	Crab-eating racoon	8.8	17.6	3	Jaguar, puma
<i>Nasua nasua/Nasua narica</i>	Coati	3.9	7.8	6	Ocelot, jaguar, puma
<i>Nasuella olivacea</i>	Mountain coati	1.2	2.4	11	Culpeo, spectacled bear, ocelot, margay, oncilla, Pampas cat, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar
<i>Potos flavus</i>	Kinkajou	2.4	4.8	9	Ocelot, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar
<i>Bassaricyon</i> spp.	Olingos	1.2	2.4	12	Ocelot, margay, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar
<i>Mustela</i> spp.	Weasels	0.25	0.5	16	Ocelot, margay, oncilla, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar, gray fox?
<i>Galictis vittata</i>	Greater grison	2.7	5.4	8	Bush dog, ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Galictis cuja</i>	Lesser grison	1.6	3.2	18	Culpeo, chilla fox, bush dog, maned wolf, ocelot, margay, Andean cat, Geoffroy's cat, Pampas cat, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar
<i>Lyncodon patagonicus</i>	Patagonian weasel	0.25	0.5	8	Culpeo, chilla fox, Andean cat, Geoffroy's cat, Pampas cat, puma
<i>Eira barbara</i>	Tayra	4.6	9.2	4	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Conepatus semistriatus</i>	Striped hog-nosed skunk	2.4	4.8	9	Bush dog, maned wolf, ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Conepatus chinga</i>	Molina's hog-nosed skunk	1.8	3.7	11	Culpeo, chilla fox, Pampas fox, ocelot, Geoffroy's cat, Pampas cat, jaguarundi, puma, jaguar
<i>Conepatus humboldtii</i>	Humboldt's hog-nosed skunk	1.3	2.6	6	Culpeo, chilla fox, Geoffroy's cat, Pampas cat, Andean cat, puma
<i>Leopardus pardalis</i>	Ocelot	11	22	3	Puma, jaguar
<i>Leopardus wiedii</i>	Margay	3.3	6.6	6	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	Oncilla	2.4	4.8	10	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Leopardus guigna</i>	Guiña	1.7	3.4	3	Culpeo, puma
<i>Leopardus jacobitus</i>	Andean cat	4	8	2	Puma
<i>Leopardus geoffroyi</i>	Geoffroy's cat	4.3	8.6	4	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Leopardus colocolo</i>	Pampas cat	3.5	7	5	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Puma yagouaroundi</i>	Jaguarundi	5.2	10.4	4	Ocelot, puma, jaguar
<i>Puma concolor</i>	Puma	39.2	78.4	1	Jaguar
<i>Panthera onca</i>	Jaguar	61.4	122.8	0	0

^a Following Donadio and Buskirk (2006), we considered each focal species at risk of being killed by other carnivorans if body mass of predator species is at least two times larger than the focal species' body mass

Hildrew 2002). Thus, there should be a body size limit to the ecological gain from eliminating potential competitors.

There was a strong, significant, and positive correlation between body mass of killers and mean mass of victims ($r=0.950$,

Table 2 Records of intraguild predation and interspecific killing within terrestrial South American carnivorans

Killer	Victim	Number of areas reported	Source	
<i>Panthera onca</i>	<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	1	A. Paviolo pers. comm.	
	<i>Nasua</i> spp.	11	Rabinowitz and Nottingham 1986; Crawshaw 1995; Aranda and Sanchez-Cordero 1996; Garla et al. 2001; Hass and Valenzuela 2002; Nuñez et al. 2002; Perovic 2002; Azevedo 2008; Palacio 2010; A. Paviolo pers. comm.	
	<i>Procyon cancrivorus</i>	5	Garla et al. 2001; Polisar et al. 2003; Scognamillo et al. 2003; Azevedo 2008; Palacio 2010	
	<i>Potos flavus</i>	3	Rabinowitz and Nottingham 1986; Chinchilla 1997; Garla et al. 2001	
	<i>Bassaricyon</i> sp.	1	Emmons 1987	
	<i>Eira barbara</i>	2	Crawshaw 1995; A. Paviolo pers. comm.	
	<i>Leopardus pardalis</i>	3	Chinchilla 1997; González-Maya et al. 2010; R. Leite-Pitman unpubl. data	
	<i>Leopardus wiedii</i>	2	Crawshaw 1995; Perovic 2002	
	<i>Leopardus</i> sp.	2	Taber et al. 1997; Garla et al. 2001	
	<i>Puma concolor</i>	2	Crawshaw and Quigley 1984; P. Perovic unpubl. data	
	<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	2	Brito 2000; A. Paviolo pers. comm.	
	<i>Lycalopex gymnocercus</i>	2	Pessino et al. 2001; Wander unpubl. data	
	<i>Lycalopex culpaeus</i>	4	Iriarte et al. 1991; Novaro et al. 2005; Pacheco et al. 2004; Elbroch and Wittmer 2013	
	<i>Puma concolor</i>	<i>Lycalopex griseus</i>	4	Yañez et al. 1986; Johnson and Franklin 1994; Skewes et al. 2012; A. Valenzuela pers. comm.
<i>Lycalopex vetulus</i>		1	E. Lima pers. comm.	
<i>Chrysocyon brachyurus</i>		1	J.P. Santos pers. comm.	
<i>Urocyon cinereoargenteus</i>		1	Monroy-Vilchis et al. 2009	
<i>Nasua</i> spp.		9	Crawshaw 1995; Aranda and Sanchez-Cordero 1996; Brito 2000; Hass and Valenzuela 2002; Nuñez et al. 2002; Moreno et al. 2006; Martins et al. 2008; Monroy-Vilchis et al. 2009; Palacio 2010; A. Paviolo pers. comm.	
<i>Nasuella olivacea</i>		1	Hernández-Guzmán et al. 2011	
<i>Procyon cancrivorus</i>		1	Brito 2000	
<i>Potos flavus</i>		1	Brito 2000	
<i>Galictis cuja</i>		4	Pessino et al. 2001; Zapata 2005; Palacio 2010; Zanon-Martínez et al. 2012	
<i>Mustela</i> sp.		1	Monroy-Vilchis et al. 2009	
? <i>Eira barbara</i>		1	Farrell et al. 2000	
<i>Conepatus semistriatus</i>		1	Wolff 2001	
<i>Conepatus chinga</i>		4	Pessino et al. 2001; Pacheco et al. 2004; Zanon-Martínez 2006; Skewes et al. 2012	
<i>Conepatus humboldtii</i>		3	Iriarte et al. 1991; Johnson and Franklin 1994; G. Aprile pers. comm.	
<i>Leopardus</i> sp.		1	Branch et al. 1996	
<i>Leopardus geoffroyi</i>		2	Zanon-Martínez 2006; Pereira et al. 2010	
<i>Leopardus colocolo</i>		1	Zanon-Martínez 2006	
<i>Puma yagouaroundi</i>		2	Crawshaw 1995; Martins et al. 2008	
<i>Leopardus pardalis</i>		<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	1	Crawshaw 1995
		<i>Nasua</i> spp.	4	Gompper and Decker 1998; Moreno et al. 2006; Palacio 2010; Rocha-Mendes et al. 2010
		<i>Procyon cancrivorus</i>	3	Crawshaw 1995; Moreno et al. 2006; Bianchi et al. 2010
		<i>Potos flavus</i>	1	Bianchi et al. 2010
	<i>Bassaricyon</i> sp.	1	Emmons 1987	
	<i>Eira barbara</i>	1	Bianchi et al. 2010	
	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	1	Crawshaw 1995	

Table 2 (continued)

Killer	Victim	Number of areas reported	Source
	<i>Leopardus wiedii</i>	1	T.G. de Oliveira pers. obs.
	<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	1	T.G.de Oliveira pers. obs.
<i>Leopardus wiedii</i>	<i>Nasua nasua</i>	1	Wang 2002
	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	2	Wang 2002; Rinaldi 2010
<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	<i>Nasua nasua</i>	1	Rocha-Mendes et al. 2010
<i>Leopardus colocolo</i>	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	1	Zapata et al. 2007
<i>Leopardus geoffroyi</i>	<i>Conepatus chinga</i>	1	J. Pereira pers. obs.
<i>Puma yagouaroundi</i>	<i>Nasua nasua</i>	1	Gompper and Decker 1998
	<i>Lycalopex gymnocercus</i>	1	P. Perovic pers. comm.
<i>Chrysocyon brachyurus</i>	<i>Lycalopex vetulus</i>	3	Jácomo et al. 2004; J. Dalponte unpubl. data
	<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	1	Rodrigues et al. 2007
	Canidae	1	Rodrigues et al. 2007
	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	2	Juarez and Marinho-Filho 2002; Jácomo et al. 2004
<i>Lycalopex culpaeus</i>	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	1	Zapata et al. 2005
	<i>Conepatus humboldtii</i>	2	Johnson and Franklin 1994; Zapata et al. 2005
<i>Lycalopex griseus</i>	<i>Galictis cuja</i>	1	Zapata et al. 2005
	<i>Conepatus humboldtii</i>	2	Johnson and Franklin 1994; Zapata et al. 2005
<i>Lycalopex gymnocercus</i>	<i>Conepatus chinga</i>	1	Castillo et al. 2011
<i>Galictis cuja</i>	<i>Conepatus humboldtii</i>	1	Zapata et al. 2005

$P=0.0000007$, $N=13$). This suggests that size is indeed quite relevant to IGP and IK behavior. Palomares and Caro (1999) found a similar trend, with weight of victim increasing with weight of solitary killers. We did not focus on the actual consumption of victims, but based on the diet studies we assessed (references on Table 2), it seemed to be a widespread outcome. However, there were also instances where this did not happen.

Some interesting patterns also emerged from our data. Jaguars, pumas, and ocelots were identified as killers in

80 % of the cases that were considered here. These three felid species are also the largest bodied hypercarnivores in South America. In this way, IGP/IK events are highly concentrated among hypercarnivorous species, whereas ca. 51 % of the victim species were the omnivorous procyonids and skunks (Table 3). These results support the hypothesis of Hunter and Caro (2008) regarding the high potential IGP pressure faced by skunks, but contradicts the low potential IGP pressure predicted for procyonids. Although these authors conjectured that procyonids may reduce intraguild predation pressure by altering their spatial and temporal use of resources, our data were conclusive with respect to their high frequency as intraguild prey items. It is also likely that procyonids were highly represented as victims due to their overall abundance, which is the highest for all Neotropical carnivoran species (Robinson and Redford 1989).

Most of the records presented here consist of IGP feeding events and not competitive exclusion through IK. That is to say, 51.1 % of all killer-victim pairs should represent IGP feeding events, and thus, were not related to interference competition. Nevertheless, species with a predominantly carnivorous diet killing another meat eating species, which defines the essence of IK, i.e., the killing of a potential competitor, accounted for just 26.1 % of all killing events involving South American carnivorans. Of all pairs of predator mass-victim mass ratios, 45.3 % were up to 5.4 times the body mass of the victim species, which could indicate that there is

Table 3 Family summary of intraguild predation and interspecific killing within the South American terrestrial carnivoran assemblage

Family	Number of killer species (% all species in the family/all species)	Number of species killed (% all species in the family/all species)	Number of interactions where one species was the killer	Number of interactions where one species was killed
Canidae	4 (36.4)	7 (63.6)	14	23
Procyonidae	–	5 (100)	–	44
Ursidae	–	–	–	–
Mustelidae	1 (20)	3 (60)	1	17
Mephitidae	–	3 (100)	–	15
Felidae	8 (80)	7 (70)	101	17
Total	13 (37.1)	25 (71.4)	116	116

significant benefit from competitive exclusion (Donadio and Buskirk 2006). Of the three main intraguild predators, 77.8 % of all ocelot victim species fell within the range reported by Donadio and Buskirk (2006) indicating some competitive gain, whereas jaguars and pumas represented only 22.2 % and 16.7 %, respectively. This finding suggests that the medium-sized ocelot may be the most impacting species of the South American terrestrial carnivoran guild with respect to IGP and IK pressures. It has been previously shown that ocelots have a negative impact on smaller sized, sympatric species, an observation known as the “ocelot effect” (Oliveira et al. 2010; Oliveira 2011). The findings presented here could further expand the potential influence of this felid species on other members of the guild, beyond other felids. The ocelot’s medium size makes it more prone to overlap with other felids and thus confers to it some advantage from interspecific killing by way of competitive release, compared to the much larger big cats.

Maned wolves *Chrysocyon brachyurus*, Pampas foxes *Lycalopex gymnocercus* and, to a lesser extent, chilla foxes *Lycalopex griseus*, were the only killer species whose diet was not mostly carnivorous, i.e., about 77–85 % of known intraguild predators are hypercarnivores. The omnivorous coatis were the most frequently killed carnivorans and also the only species that actually showed some importance as a component of killer species diets (found in about 35 % of jaguar diet studies). However, for both jaguars and pumas, carnivoran species accounted for just a minor portion of their diet (<5 %, 0–22.1 %, see review by Oliveira 2002). Despite this, IGP on hypercarnivores could also be very representative. Both in Costa Rica and Amazonian Peru, jaguar predation on ocelots was found to be high (Gonzalez-Maya et al. 2010; R. Leite-Pitman unpubl. data). Although they show considerable body size differences, their diets have several items in common (Oliveira et al. 2010) and their mass ratio of 5.4 is indeed within the range suggested for species having the highest potential gain from IK. The second most preyed upon species was the small (1.6 kg) and highly carnivorous lesser grison.

Some of the cases reported here were not expected, given the mass of the species involved. An adult oncilla (2.4 kg, 1.8–3.5 kg, Oliveira and Cassaro 2005) or margay *Leopardus wiedii* (3.3 kg, 2.3–4.9 kg, Oliveira and Cassaro 2005) would unlikely kill an adult coati, which weighs considerably more than the cats (3.9 kg, 2–7.2 kg, Eisenberg and Redford 1999), besides presenting fierce behavior (Hass and Valenzuela 2002). As such, events like these (and probably the one involving lesser grison predation on a Humboldt’s hog-nosed skunk) likely represent predation upon young/juvenile individuals (ca. 40 % of adult mass, or 1.56 kg, value that we considered for the ratio calculation between these felids and the coati). Palomares and Caro (1999) noted that some interacting species are only able to kill non-adults of the other

species, which was probably the case for these particular events. If predation upon non-adults is taken into account, then the number of potential intraguild predators rises considerably for most species.

For the Andean region and the southern cone, besides pumas, only culpeo foxes *Lycalopex culpaeus* and, to a lesser extent chilla foxes, Geoffroy’s cat *Leopardus geoffroyi*, Pampas cats, and Andean cats *Leopardus jacobita* could act as intraguild predators. In the tropical regions of South America, besides pumas and Pampas cats, killer species also include jaguars, ocelots, jaguarundis *Puma yagouaroundi*, margays, oncillas, maned wolves, and bush dogs. Evidence of interactions among these carnivoran species (e.g., Scrocchi and Halloy 1986; Oliveira 2011; Lucherini and Luengos Vidal 2003; P. Perovic pers. comm.; T. Oliveira pers. obs.) suggests that, although exploitative and/or interference competition may be operating, IGP and IK would be unlikely between species whose body mass ratios are <2. Additionally, the current rarity and distribution of some species (e.g., bush dogs, Oliveira 2009; and Patagonian weasels *Lyncodon patagonicus*, Schiaffini et al. 2013) could be a product of competitive interactions with larger carnivorans. These interactions warrant further investigation and pose some interesting hypotheses to be tested.

Concluding Remarks

Our results showed that jaguars, pumas, and ocelots were the most likely species to have an impact on the structure of the Neotropical carnivoran guild. Of these three species, it is likely that the medium-sized ocelots exert the greatest impact, as this species attains higher densities and would also benefit more from competitive release than the much larger cat species. Although our results may be potentially biased, due to the higher volume of data available for felids compared to other taxonomic groups (e.g., mustelids), the observed pattern is indicative of a strong role played by these felids as intraguild predators.

Most interactions within the South American carnivoran assemblage seemed to be more related to dietary purposes (IGP) than of a competitive nature (IK). Nevertheless, both IGP and IK could potentially be affecting the structure of communities and at least be partially responsible for shaping the evolutionary history of some species. Our findings are consistent with the idea that intraguild predation and interspecific killing are not random and represent widespread interactions that should be involved in structuring carnivoran communities in South America.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank all of our colleagues who contributed their papers or unpublished data, especially Renata Leite-Pitman, Agustin Paviolo, Pablo Perovic, Alejandro Valenzuela, Gustavo Aprile, Jean Pierre Santos, Edson Lima, and Julio Cesar Dalponte, and

offer our sincerest gratitude for the invaluable comments of Emiliano Donadio, John Wible, and two anonymous reviewers.

References

- Aranda M, Sánchez-Cordero V (1996) Prey spectra of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and Puma (*Puma concolor*) in tropical forests of Mexico. *Stud Neotrop Fauna E* 31:65-67
- Arim M, Marquet PA (2004) Intraguild predation: a widespread interaction related to species biology. *Ecol Lett* 7:557-564
- Azevedo FC (2008) Food habits and livestock depredation of sympatric jaguars and pumas in the Iguaçú National Park area, south Brazil. *Biotropica* 40:494-500
- Berger KM, Gese EM (2007) Does interference competition with wolves limit the distribution and abundance of coyotes? *J Anim Ecol* 76:1075-1085
- Berger KM, Gese EM, Berger J (2008) Indirect effects and traditional trophic cascades: a test involving wolves, coyotes, and pronghorn. *Ecology* 89:818-828
- Bianchi RC, Mendes SL, Junior PM (2010) Food habits of the ocelot *Leopardus pardalis* in two areas in southeast Brazil. *Stud Neotrop Fauna E* 45:111-119
- Branch LC, Pessino M, Villarreal D (1996) Response of pumas to a population decline of the plains vizcacha. *J Mammal* 77:1132-1140
- Brito BFA (2000) Ecologia alimentar da onça parda *Puma concolor* na Mata Atlântica de Linhares, Espírito Santo, Brasil. MSc Dissertation, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília
- Caro TM, Stoner CJ (2003) The potential for interspecific competition among African carnivores. *Biol Conserv* 110:67-75
- Castillo DF, Birochio DE, Lucherini M, Casanave EB (2011) Diet of adults and cubs of *Lycalopex gymnocercus* in Pampas grassland: a validation of the Optimal Foraging Theory? *Ann Zool Fennici* 48:251-256
- Chinchilla FA (1997) La dieta del jaguar *Panthera onca*, el puma *Felis concolor* y el manigordo *Felis pardalis* (Carnivora: Felidae) en el Parque Nacional Corcovado, Costa Rica. *Rev Biol Trop* 45:1223-1229
- Courchamp F, Langlais M, Sugihara G (1999) Cats protecting birds: modeling the mesopredator release effect. *J Anim Ecol* 68:282-292
- Crawshaw PG Jr (1995) Comparative ecology of ocelot *Felis pardalis* and jaguar *Panthera onca* in a protected subtropical forest in Brazil and Argentina. PhD Dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville
- Crawshaw PG Jr, Quigley HB (1984) A ecologia do jaguar ou onça pintada no Pantanal. Relatório Final, Instituto Brasileiro de Desenvolvimento Florestal, Brasília, DF, 112 pp
- Creel S, Creel NM (1996) Limitation of African wild dogs by competition with larger carnivores. *Conserv Biol* 10:526-538
- Creel S, Spong G, Creel NM (2001) Interspecific competition and the population biology of extinction-prone carnivores. In: Gittleman JL, Funk SM, Macdonald D, Wayne RK (eds) *Carnivore Conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 35-60
- Crooks KR, Soulé ME (1999) Mesopredator release and avifaunal extinctions in a fragmented system. *Nature* 400:563-566
- Donadio E, Buskirk SW (2006) Diet, morphology, and interspecific killing in Carnivora. *Am Naturalist* 167:524-536
- Durant SM (1998) Competition refuges and coexistence: an example from Serengeti carnivores. *J Anim Ecol* 67:370-386
- Eisenberg JF, Redford KH (1999) *Mammals of the Neotropics*. Vol. 3. Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, Brazil. University of Chicago Press, Chicago
- Elbroch LM, Wittmer HU (2013) The effects of puma prey selection and specialization on less abundant prey in Patagonia. *J Mammal* 94:259-268
- Emmons LH (1987) Comparative feeding ecology of felids in a Neotropical rainforest. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 20:271-283
- Farrell LE, Roman J, Sunquist ME (2000) Dietary separation of sympatric carnivores identified by molecular analysis of scats. *Mol Ecol* 9:1583-1590
- Fedriani JM, Fuller TK, Sauvajot RM, York EC (2000) Competition and intraguild predation among three sympatric carnivores. *Oecologia* 125:258-270
- Finke DL, Denno RF (2005) Predator diversity and the functioning of ecosystems: the role of intraguild predation in dampening trophic cascades. *Ecol Lett* 8:1299-1306
- Gagnon AE, Heimpel GE, Brodeur J (2011) The ubiquity of intraguild predation among predatory arthropods. *PLoS ONE* 6(11):e28061
- Garla RC, Setz EZF, Gobbi N (2001) Jaguar *Panthera onca* food habits in Atlantic rain forest of southeastern Brazil. *Biotropica* 33:691-696
- Gompper ME, Decker DM (1998) *Nasua nasua*. *Mammal Species* 580:1-9
- Gonzalez-Maya JF, Navarro-Arquez E, Schipper J (2010) Ocelots as prey items of jaguars: a case from Talamanca, Costa Rica. *Cat News* 53:11-12
- Hass CC, Valenzuela D (2002) Anti-predator benefits of group living in white-nosed coatis *Nasua narica*. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 51:570-578
- Hernández-Guzmán A, Payán E, Monroy-Vilchis O (2011) Hábitos alimentarios del *Puma concolor* (Carnivora: Felidae) en el Parque Nacional Natural Puracé, Colombia. *Rev Biol Trop* 59:1285-1294
- Hunter J, Caro T (2008) Interspecific competition and predation in American carnivore families. *Ethol Ecol Evol* 20:295-324
- Iriarte JA, Johnson WE, Franklin WL (1991) Feeding ecology of the Patagonia puma in southernmost Chile. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 64:145-156
- IUCN (2013) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 30 June 2013
- Jácomo ATA, Silveira L, Diniz-Filho JAF (2004) Niche separation between the maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus*, the crab-eating fox *Dusicyon thous* and the hoary fox *Dusicyon vetulus* in central Brazil. *J Zool (Lond)* 262:99-106
- Johnson EW, Franklin WL (1994) The role of body size on the diets of sympatric grey and culpeo foxes. *J Mammal* 75:163-174
- Juarez KM, Marinho-Filho J (2002) Diet, habitat use, and home ranges of sympatric canids in central Brazil. *J Mammal* 83:925-933
- Kamler JF, Ballard WB, Gilliland RL, Lemons PR, Mote K (2003) Impacts of coyotes on swift foxes in northwestern Texas. *J Wildl Manage* 67:317-323
- Laurenson MK (1995) Implications of high offspring mortality for cheetah population dynamics. In: Sinclair ARE, Arcese P (eds) *Serengeti II: Research, Conservation, and Management of an Ecosystem*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 385-399
- Lindstrom ER, Brainerd SM, Helldin JO, Overskaug K (1995) Pine marten–red fox interactions: a case of intraguild predation? *Ann Zool Fennici* 32:123-130
- Linnell JDC, Strand O (2000) Interference interactions, co-existence and conservation of mammalian carnivores. *Diversity Distrib* 6:169-176
- Lucherini M, Luengos-Vidal E (2003) Intraguild competition as a potential factor affecting the conservation of endangered cats in Argentina. *Endang Species Updates* 2:211-220
- Martins R, Quadros J, Mazzolli M (2008) Hábito alimentar e interferência antrópica na atividade de marcação territorial do *Puma concolor* e *Leopardus pardalis* (Carnívora, Felidae) e outros carnívoros na Estação Ecológica de Jureia-Itatins, São Paulo, Brasil. *Rev Bras Zool* 25:427-435
- Mills MGL, Gorman ML (1997) Factors affecting the density and distribution of wild dogs in the Kruger National Park. *Conserv Biol* 11:1397-1406
- Monroy-Vilchis O, Gómez Y, Janczur M, Urios V (2009) Food niche of *Puma concolor* in central México. *Wildl Biol* 15:97-105

- Moreno RS, Kays RW, Samudio R Jr (2006) Competitive release in diets of ocelot *Leopardus pardalis* and puma *Puma concolor* after jaguar *Panthera onca* decline. *J Mammal* 87:808–816
- Novaro A, Funes M, Walker S (2005) An empirical test of source–sink dynamics induced by hunting. *J Appl Ecol* 42:910–920
- Núñez R, Miller B, Lindzey F (2002) Ecología del jaguar en la Reserva de la Biósfera de Chamela-Cuixmala, Jalisco, México. In: Medellín RA, Equihua C, Chetkiewicz CLB, Crawshaw PG Jr, Rabinowitz A, Redford KH, Robinson JG, Sanderson EW, Taber AB (eds) *El jaguar en el nuevo milenio*. Univ Nac Aut Mexico and Wildlife Conservation Society, Mexico DF and New York, pp 107–126
- Oliveira TG (1994) Neotropical Cats: Ecology and Conservation. EDUFMA, São Luís, Brazil
- Oliveira TG (2002) Comparative feeding ecology of the jaguar and puma in the Neotropics. In: Medellín RA, Equihua C, Chetkiewicz CLB, Crawshaw PG Jr, Rabinowitz A, Redford KH, Robinson JG, Sanderson EW, Taber AB (eds) *El jaguar en el nuevo milenio*. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and Wildlife Conservation Society, Mexico DF and New York, pp 265–288
- Oliveira TG (2009) Distribution, habitat utilization and conservation of the vulnerable bush dog *Speothos venaticus* in northern Brazil. *Oryx* 43:247–253
- Oliveira TG (2011) Ecología e conservação de pequenos felinos no Brasil e suas implicações para o manejo. PhD Dissertation, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, 203 pp
- Oliveira TG, Cassaro K (2005) Guia de campo dos felinos do Brasil. Pró-Carnívoros/Fundação Parque Zoológico de São Paulo/Sociedade de Zoológicos do Brasil/Pró-Vida Brasil, São Paulo, Brasil
- Oliveira TG, Tortato MA, Silveira L, Kasper CB, Mazim FD, Lucherini M, Jácomo AT, Soares JBC, Marques RV, Sunquist ME (2010) Ocelot ecology and its effect on the small-felid guild in the lowland Neotropics. In: Macdonald DW, Loveridge AJ (eds) *Biology and Conservation of Wild Felids*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 563–574
- Pacheco LF, Lucero A, Villca M (2004) Dieta del puma *Puma concolor* en el Parque Nacional Sajama, Bolivia, y su conflicto con la ganadería. *Ecología en Bolivia* 39:75–83
- Palacio L (2010) Ecología trófica comparada de especies simpátricas de felinos en la Selva Paranaense de Misiones, Argentina. BSc Thesis, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Buenos Aires, 67 pp
- Palomares F, Caro TM (1999) Interspecific killing among mammalian carnivores. *Am Naturalist* 153:492–508
- Pereira JA, Fracassi NG, Rago V, Ferreyra H, Marull CA, McAloose D, Uhart MM (2010) Causes of mortality in a Geoffroy's cat population - a long-term survey using diverse recording methods. *Eur J Wildl Res* 56:939–942
- Perovic P (2002) Ecología de la comunidad de félidos en las selvas nubladas del noroeste argentino. PhD Dissertation, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, 146 pp
- Pessino MEM, Sarasola JH, Wander C, Besoky N (2001) Respuesta a largo plazo del puma *Puma concolor* a una declinación poblacional de la vizcachá *Lagostomus maximus* en el desierto del Monte, Argentina. *Ecol Austr* 11:61–67
- Podra M, Gómez A, Palazón S (2013) Do American mink kill European mink? Cautionary message for future recovery efforts. *Eur J Wildl Res* 59:431–440
- Polis GA, Holt RD (1992) Intraguild predation: the dynamics of complex trophic interactions. *Trends Ecol Evol* 7:151–154
- Polis GA, Myers CA, Holt RD (1989) The ecology and evolution of intraguild predation: Potential competitors that eat each other. *Annu Rev Ecol Systemat* 20:297–330
- Polisar J, Maxit I, Scognamillo D, Farrell L, Sunquist ME, Eisenberg JF (2003) Jaguars, pumas, their prey base, and cattle ranching: ecological interpretations of a management problem. *Biol Conserv* 109: 297–310
- Rabinowitz AR, Nottingham BG (1986) Ecology and behavior of the jaguar *Panthera onca* in Belize, Central-America. *J Zool (Lond)* 210:149–159
- Redford KH, Eisenberg JF (1992) *Mammals of the Neotropics*. Vol. 2. Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, Paraguay. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Rinaldi AR (2010) Dieta de pequenos felinos silvestres (Carnivora, Felidae) em área antropizada de Mata Atlântica de Interior, Alto Rio Paraná, Paraná, Brasil. MSc Dissertation, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba
- Robinson JG, Redford KH (1989) Body size, diet, and population variation in Neotropical forest mammal species: predictors of local extinction? In: Redford KH, Eisenberg JF (eds) *Advances in Neotropical Mammalogy*. Sandhill Crane Press, Gainesville, pp 567–594
- Rocha-Mendes F, Mikich SB, Quadros J, Pedro WA (2010) Feeding ecology of carnivores (Mammalia, Carnivora) in Atlantic forest remnants, southern Brazil. *Biota Neotrop* 10: 21–30
- Rodrigues FHG, Hass A, Lacerda ACR, Grando RLSC, Bagno MA, Bezerra AMR, Silva WR (2007) Feeding habits of the maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus* in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Mastozool Neotrop* 14:37–51
- Schiaffini MI, Martin GM, Giménez AL, Prevosti FJ (2013) Distribution of *Lyncodon patagonicus* (Carnivora, Mustelidae): changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the present. *J Mammal* 94:339–350
- Scognamillo D, Maxit IE, Sunquist M, Polisar J (2003) Coexistence of jaguar *Panthera onca* and puma *Puma concolor* in a mosaic landscape in the Venezuelan llanos. *J Zool (Lond)* 259:269–279
- Scrocchi GJ, Halloy SP (1986) Notas sistematicas, ecologicas, etologicas y biogeograficas sobre el gato andino *Felis jacobita* Cornalia (Felidae, Carnivora). *Acta Zool Lilloana* 38:159–170
- Sillero C, Hoffmann M, Macdonald DW (eds) (2004) *Canids: foxes, wolves, jackals and dogs*. Status survey and conservation action plan. IUCN/SSC Canid Specialist Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK
- Skewes O, Moraga CA, Arriagada P, Rau JR (2012) El jabalí europeo *Sus scrofa*: Un invasor biológico como presa reciente del puma *Puma concolor* en el sur de Chile. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 85:227–232
- Sunde P, Overskaug K, Kvam T (1999) Intraguild predation of lynxes on foxes: evidence of interference competition? *Ecography* 22:521–523
- Taber AB, Novaro AJ, Neris N, Colman FH (1997) The food habits of sympatric jaguar and puma in the Paraguayan Chaco. *Biotropica* 29: 204–213
- Wang E (2002) Diets of ocelots *Leopardus pardalis*, margays *L. wiedii*, and oncillas *L. tigrinus* in the Atlantic rainforest in southeast Brazil. *Stud Neotrop Fauna E* 37:207–212
- Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA (2009) *Handbook of the Mammals of the World*. 1. Carnivora. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona
- Wolff F (2001) Vertebrate ecology in Caatinga: A. Distribution of wildlife in relation to water. B. Diet of pumas *Puma concolor* and relative abundance of felids. MSc Dissertation, University of Missouri, St. Louis
- Woodward G, Hildrew AG (2002) Food web structure in riverine landscapes. *Freshwater Biol* 47:777–798
- Wozencraft WC (2005) Order Carnivora. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM (eds) *Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic*

- Reference. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC, pp 532-628
- Yañez JL, Cárdenas JC, Gezelle P, Jaksic FM (1986) Food habits of the southernmost mountain lions *Felis concolor* in South America: natural versus livestock ranges. *J Mammal* 67:604-606
- Zanón-Martínez JI (2006) Puesta a prueba de la extinción ecológica de presas autóctonas del puma *Puma concolor* en Patagonia. BSc Thesis, Universidad de la Patagonia Austral, Santa Cruz
- Zanón-Martínez JI, Travaini A, Zapata S, Procopio D, Santillán MA (2012) The ecological role of native and introduced species in the diet of the puma *Puma concolor* in southern Patagonia. *Oryx* 46: 106-111
- Zapata SC (2005) Reparto de recursos en gremios de carnívoros: una aproximación ecológica y morfológica. PhD Dissertation, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires
- Zapata SC, Travaini A, Delibes M, Martínez-Peck R (2005) Food habits and resource partitioning between gray and culpeo foxes in southeastern Argentine Patagonia. *Stud Neotrop Fauna E* 40:97-103
- Zapata SC, Travaini A, Ferreras P, Delibes M (2007) Analysis of trophic structure of two carnivore assemblages by means of guild identification. *Eur J Wildl Res* 53:276-286