# CanadaWatch

## PRACTICAL AND AUTHORITATIVE ANALYSIS OF KEY NATIONAL ISSUES

This issue is a joint project of the Robarts Centre for Canadian Studies of York University and the Latin American School of Social Sciences (FLACSO) with the assistance of The North South Institute, Ottawa.

## **EDITORIAL**

## The remarkable turning point

### THE NEW DYNAMISM

The emergence of Latin America as a bristling, confident regional power is most remarkable. With growth averaging a robust 5.5 percent, this has been the best year since the 1960s. Inflation, once the scourge of the continent, is in single-digit territory. The picture looks bright with economic growth forecast at over 5 percent for the entire region for 2011, compared with 1.8 percent in the United States.

The bigger story behind the numbers is that no Latin American country is in

#### BY DANIEL DRACHE

Daniel Drache is associate director of the Robarts Centre for Canadian Studies at York University.

hock to the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The era of neoliberal policies that were punitive and harmful to millions is now a closed chapter. The loans are all repaid, and this has given the region, as Pablo Trucco and Diana Tussie underline in this special issue, new breathing space to experiment with innovative social initiatives and political programs.

The shift in power dynamics is still an unfolding story. The old order that kept Latin America solidly in Washington's backyard was unipolar—centred on the United States with the European Union a junior partner, and Latin America with neither voice nor influence globally. All this has changed. The present order is multipolar with Asia and Latin America no longer relegated to secondary positions. At the Cancun meetings of the WTO in 2003, the G20 marked its "coming of age," in the apt words of Brazil's

The remarkable turning point, page 3

## THE RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES OF FUNDAMENTAL POLITICAL CHANGE

## Obama, Canada, and civil society South and North

## THE OBAMA CHALLENGE: PROMISE AND CONTRADICTION

Many civil society networks in the southern hemisphere, like many others around the world, positively anticipated the impact of a Barack Obama victory on US policy, including foreign and security dimensions, given some hints in his campaign promise for "A New Partnership for the Americas." It was apparent to North Americans that Obama should respond to his base in the so-called rust belt with some sort of revision to US international trade policy, possibly even a revision of NAFTA. His

### BY JOHN W. FOSTER

John Foster teaches globalization and human rights at Carleton University and the University of Regina and is an ongoing participant in the Common Frontiers coalition.

appearance, however brief, at the Summit of the Americas in Trinidad and Tobago in 2009 offered a key opportunity to initiate something new in terms of listening to leaders in change processes in the hemisphere, including civil society. Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez presented Obama with Eduardo Galeano's *Open Veins of Latin America*.

But Obama quickly swept illusions aside, particularly for those interested in a real change in US trade and investment policies. He announced that he would proceed with the US agenda of bilateral negotiations with several Latin American countries, and he appointed Ron Kirk, a

Obama, Canada, and civil society, page 5

The contents of this issue are listed in the Features box on page 2.



## **CANADA WATCH FALL 2010**

## A Remarkable Turning Point: Post-neoliberal Latin America and the Shadow of Obama FEATURES

The remarkable turning point  By Daniel Drache	PUBLIC POLICY PITFALLS AND OTHER DISASTERS  The natural resource curse in 21st-century Latin America  By Albert Berry
A historic electoral triumph: Why now?  By Jorge Heine	Natural disasters: A fresh look at corporate accountability  By Marcelo Saguier
US policy in Latin America and the Caribbean: Where have all the Yankees gone?  By Jean Daudelin	NEW SECURITY THREATS AND THE MILITARY Defence is a civil matter first and foremost By Rut Diamint
POST-NEOLIBERAL, MACROECONOMIC FUNDAMENTALS, AND NEW STATE PRACTICES The much anticipated return of Raúl Prebisch By Ed Dosman	Impunity and violence in Central America By Francisco Rojas Aravena
Regionalism in post-neoliberal Latin America By Pía Riggirozzi	A tepid partnership: Free trade and labour rights in Central America By Doris Osterlof
Latin America's big three  By Laura Macdonald, Arne Ruckert 19  Short on vision: Canada's foreign policy for the new Latin America  By Pablo Heidrich	A new world map and Brazil's foreign policy By Celso Amorim
	Decentralizing finance:



#### **EDITORS**

Daniel Drache Robarts Centre for Canadian Studies, York University Diana Tussie Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (FLACSO), Buenos Aires

With the assistance of the Latin American Trade Network (LATN)

#### **MANAGING EDITOR**

Laura Taman

#### **COLUMNISTS IN THIS ISSUE**

Eduardo Bianchi	Daniel Drache
Marcelo Saguier	John W. Foster
Rut Diamint	Jorge Heine
Francisco Rojas Aravena	Jean Daudelin
	Ed Dosman
Josette Altmann	Kathryn Hochstetler
Doris Osterlof	Pía Riggirozzi
M. Teresa Gutiérrez Haces	Sean Burges
Celso Amorim	Laura Macdonald
Federico Merke	Arne Ruckert
Pablo Trucco	Pablo Heidrich
Diana Tussie	Albert Berry

#### **PRODUCTION**

WordsWorth Communications

## **CONTACT FOR INFORMATION**

Canada Watch

7th Floor, York Research Tower, 4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3 Phone 416-736-5499 Fax 416-650-8069 www.robarts.yorku.ca

For information regarding future issues, contact Laura Taman, Coordinator, Robarts Centre. Please address comments to Seth Feldman, Director, Robarts Centre.

Canada Watch is produced by the Robarts Centre for Canadian Studies of York University.

Copyright © 2010 The Robarts Centre for Canadian Studies

Printed in Canada ISS

ISSN 1191-7733



redefine THE POSSIBLE.

2 CANADA WATCH • FALL 2010

By Pablo Trucco and Diana Tussie . . . 43

New steps for a new dance

## Defence is a civil matter first and foremost

## PRESIDENT BUSH'S OBSESSION: THE SECURITY-FIRST DOCTRINE

The obsession with security that marked the Bush administration led to at least two counterproductive side effects in Latin America. First, it alienated several Latin American leaders from the US-led agenda, and gave them the fuel to build their own agenda distinct from Washington's single-minded focus. Second, it led to an increase in defence expenditures throughout the region.

Discontent has been simmering ever since the invasion of Iraq. Many have argued that the United States "lost" Latin America during the Bush administration. As an indicator of the state of the relationship, a group convened in 2008 by the Council on Foreign Relations to provide options for the incoming president, Barack Obama, stated in its report, "US-Latin America Relations: A New Direction for a New Reality," that "the era of the United States as the dominant influence in Latin America is over." From the Latin American standpoint, China emerged as both a formidable competitor and an attractive partner; Brazil is competing to be the main rule maker in the region; and the European Union is strengthening its relationship with Latin America and the Caribbean.

The stance taken in the report reflected the feeling throughout much of the continent. Most South American leaders and important sections of civil society did not see the "war on terror" as their own cause or as a cause that needed support. Therefore, opposition to the dictation of hemispheric relations by Washington gained momentum, especially after the invasion of Iraq and the failure to find weapons of mass destruction. The widening disparity of interests gave rise to new instances of cooperation regarding security in Latin America. Under Brazil's initiative, the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR) was

### BY RUT DIAMINT

Rut Diamint is a researcher at the National Council of Scientific and Technological Research of Argentina (CONICET) and professor at the Universidad Torcuato Di Tella, Buenos Aires.

Discontent has been simmering ever since the invasion of Iraq. Many have argued that the United States "lost" Latin America during the Bush administration.

formed and the South American Defence Council was launched.

As a second consequence, the increase in defence expenditures in order to secure foreign policy served as justification for both the government and the armed forces to convince society of the urgency and benefits of rearmament. According to Military Balance 2009, published by the International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) in London, defence spending in Latin America and the Caribbean grew 91 percent between 2003 and 2008. However, the region abandoned the proposed Confidence and Security-Building Measures compromise to assume a realistic view based on the notion that power depends on the use of coercion. According to the SIPRI Yearbook of 2010 (Stockholm International Peace Research Institute), between 2008 and 2009 Uruguay spent 23.3 percent more on defence: Ecuador increased its expenditure by 17 percent, Brazil 16.4 percent, Colombia 10.7 percent, Peru 8.29 percent, and Bolivia 7.2 percent.

However, the picture is complex. Venezuela cut its defence budget by 24.8 percent, Chile by 4.49 percent, and Argentina by 6.52 percent. Several South American countries established strategic agreements with other countries, bypassing the United States, their traditional arms supplier. Brazil pursued an alliance with France to construct a nuclear submarine for the navy. Venezuela obtained 50 Sukhoi combat helicopters and 100,000 Kalashnikov rifles from Russia; it subsequently purchased 18 fighter planes from China. Chile, which spends above the rest on arms alone-comfortably exceeding Venezuela's defence expenditures-turned to Germany, Spain, and the Netherlands, as reported by SIPRI in 2010. Not only were US profits from the sale of military equipment reduced; the United States also lost extended training and logistics contracts with South American countries.

## IN THE HANDS OF CIVILIANS

The question in the region is: Do these new dynamics in the field of defence lead to greater accountability of the armed forces? With varying degrees of success over the last two decades, the armed forces have been returned to their barracks. It was Argentina, with one of the worst records in human rights abuses, that led the region toward greater institutionalization of civilian control over defence. The process, which began with the return of democracy in 1983, has suffered setbacks. While it culminated in clear civilian supremacy with the establishment of new laws and institutions, it has not achieved full reconciliation between the government and the military, in the absence of consensus about the defence model that Argentina would wish to develop for the future.

In the case of Brazil, the creation of the Ministry of Defence under President Fernando Henrique Cardoso repre-

Defence is a civil matter, page 30

CANADA WATCH • FALL 2010 29

## Defence is a civil matter continued from page 29

sented a major reform of the military's full autonomy. Unlike Argentina, however, Brazilian society has not confronted military repression and human rights violations. The democratic governments have not been impelled to take civilian control of the armed forces. The increasing danger from organized crime and public insecurity has even led other countries to involve the military in domestic affairs. That is, for example, what has taken place in Mexico, where the government has left the fight against organized crime in the hands of the

In this de-militarized context, the armed forces lost their veto power over the political agenda and much of their clout. With the achievement of a relative degree of civilian control over the armed forces, the focus of the debate shifted to governments' ability to oversee the military effectively through the ministries of defence. However, when security reemerged as a priority, hand in hand with the global war on terror and organized crime, there was little analysis regarding the role and responsibilities of civilian leadership in military initiatives. Although today's government officials are knowledgeable, the technical issues remain under military control. Hence, the government is forced to continue relying on the military.

## **SHORTCOMINGS OF** CIVILIAN CONTROL

How do we account for the lack of civilian control over defence? There are at least three reasons. First, governments have tried to avoid the high political costs of radical changes to the structure of the armed forces by maintaining arm'slength relationships with the existing military. To illustrate, the first three democratic governments in Chile introduced very gradual reforms in order to avoid political tensions.

Second, several governments facing crises of political representation found that the support of the military was expedient. In the case of political leaders

The securitization of foreign policy served as justification for both the government and the armed forces to convince society of the urgency and the benefits of rearmament.

rising to power without the support of political parties, an alliance with the military provided some guarantee of order. Alberto Fujimori in Peru dissolved Congress and used the military as "party support" to accumulate political power. In Venezuela, Hugo Chavez relied on similar tactics, using the military as a political party as well as a provider of social welfare.

Third. Latin American countries did not encourage the strategic thinking necessary to guide the development of military policy-a precondition for strengthening civilian leadership. One might argue that Brazil is an exception. However, despite the fact that Brazil developed defence priorities, the Ministry of Defence remains weak with a small civilian staff and a large contingent of army officers. In other Latin American countries, the armed forces designed and implemented defence policies. Argentina still lacks sufficient knowledge in the history of state-military relations.

## A NEW START: IN THE HANDS OF REGIONAL SECURITY

After many years of democratic rule, Latin America has not significantly expanded its knowledge base around defence, and defence remains largely beyond the scope of civilian authority. Few governments have held an encompassing debate on defence matters. Measures leading to greater accountability are rare-for example, congressional control over defence expenditures or the definition of military roles.

This is not to suggest a return to past practices-the military does not supervise regional security. For example, civilians led the 2009 coup in Honduras, with the invaluable help of the armed forces. It was not a repeat of the military coups of the 1970s. The approach of the South American Defence Council and the various defence forums of the subregion-the Democratic Security Framework Treaty on Central America (1995), the Regional Plan Against Organized Crime and Related Offences (2007) prepared by the Central American Integration System, the National Security and Law Enforcement Agency of CARICOM (2006), or the Amazon Treaty—represent a new pattern for Latin American relations with the world.

These regional rules and agreements represent a constraint on national governments, forcing the civil administration, particularly the ministries of foreign affairs and defence, to factor in these new foreign commitments and balance them with their more traditional ones. This constraint has indirectly created external pressure to advance civilian control of armed forces. It is in this area where progress has been most notable, banishing the historic neighbourhood rivalries that served in the past to increase the military's role and defence expenditures.

However, in late September 2010 a confusing situation arose in Ecuador. A police uprising faced direct intervention by President Correa, who was detained in the police hospital. A slow rescue by the armed forces was followed by the government's accusation—disputed by the opposition—of a coup attempt. There was an active and efficient response to this "attempt" from the South American presidents of UNASUR. Meeting a few

Defence is a civil matter, page 32

30 CANADA WATCH • FALL 2010

## Impunity and violence in Central America

### THE NEW CRIME WAVE

The rise in the number of drug-related killings, kidnappings, and homicides in Central America is part of a crime wave throughout the region. Organized gangs of criminals challenge the exercise of the state's monopoly on legitimate force. In all major cities in the region, there are "no go" areas that the police fear to enter. In these areas with the state absent, other actors establish the rules. These conditions affect the rule of law, governance, and the democratic coexistence of citizens. They reduce business opportunities and discourage foreign investment and legitimate businesses in general.

Central America's current main threats are of a new kind. They no longer are, strictly speaking, interstate disputes over border demarcation and illegal immigration, or paramilitary threats from revolutionary movements that require a coordinated response by one or more governments, although such traditional security issues continue to pose a threat to the region. The new security threat is a result of drug trafficking on an unprecedented scale. The isthmus is now a producer, storage facility, distributor, and consumer of drugs. It also acts as an intermediary in the distribution of illicit weapons and human trafficking. These activities increasingly involve local populations in various stages of the process. Drugs in Central America increasingly find new markets among youth and in rural sectors. These activities are eroding the social order of Central American society, resulting in more violence, more homicides and major crimes, and more displaced populations.

## TRAFFICKING OF PEOPLE AND WEAPONS

Another problem on the rise is the illicit traffic in weapons and human trafficking. Because of its strategic location, Central America functions as a bridge for the flow of illegal migrants from Latin America and other continents to the

#### BY FRANCISCO ROJAS ARAVENA

Francisco Rojas Aravena is Secretary General of the Latin American Faculty of Social Sciences (FLACSO).

The new security threat is a result of drug trafficking on an unprecedented scale.

United States. Weapons trafficking is also a problem. Three Central American countries—El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras—are among the 13 countries with the greatest number of deaths by firearms worldwide.

## THE MARAS

A further security problem in Central America is the *maras*—gangs, the perfect example to illustrate the link between violence and exclusion, unemployment, and weak social and family cohesion. Current political, economic, and familial systems are not providing education and job opportunities. Exclusion from the education system and lack of access to employment, decent employment in particular, constitute an incentive for young people to join organizations that offer them an identity and protection.

The gang problem has become more complex in recent years. The original characteristics that differentiated gangs from other organizations—control of territory, group honour, and power—have been transformed with the arrival of drug trafficking and other forms of organized crime. With the incursion of organized crime, these groups have developed into a transnational criminal force.

The initial response in Central America was militarization, which exacerbated the problem. The responses from Northern Triangle countries serve as examples: Honduras instituted the Zero

Tolerance, Operation Liberty, and Blue Freedom plans; El Salvador implemented the Firm Hand and Super Firm Hand plans; Guatemala applied the Broom plan and also reinstated the death penalty.

## **CORRUPTION**

Drug trafficking has caused rising levels of corruption among individuals from government, the police, and the judicial system. Corruption has become one of the major obstacles to addressing the violence in Central American countries with already weak institutional structures. It results in greater impunity and lawlessness, less state legitimacy, and a strengthening of the networks of organized crime.

Corruption, impunity, and human rights violations have led citizens to distrust their own institutions. Trust in the rule of law, security, and judicial systems is very low (the regional average in 2009 was 29 percent, according to data from the *lbero-American Barometer of Governance*). In every country, more than 20 percent of inmates have not yet been sentenced and convicted. The resulting low levels of legitimacy, coupled with poverty and insecurity, feed the problem, allowing organized crime to intrude further.

The creation of the International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG, the Spanish acronym) was an important development. In mid-April 2010, Carlos Castresana, then chief of CICIG, claimed that judges, prosecutors, politicians, members of congress, businesspeople, and police are all part of powerful mafias operating in Guatemala. The current situation in Central America shows that two dimensions of human security-freedom from fear and freedom from want-are affected by the crime wave. People do not meet their needs, and they live in fear of increasing violence.

Impunity and violence, page 32

CANADA WATCH • FALL 2010 31

## Impunity and violence continued from page 31

## **EFFECTIVE PROPOSALS** TO FIGHT CRIMINALITY

Proposals to fight the violence, crime, and impunity in Central America include the promotion of international cooperation, the exchange of information, and the application of models of best practices and successful experiences. In addition, the judicial, intelligence, and police sectors all require more training and modernization. Promoting civilian leadership in public defence and security and interagency cooperation are also necessary. Without the recognition that this is a shared problem, without the rebuilding of trust, and without joint responsibility to confront criminality, no strategy will be effective, and the result will be major frustration.

The complexity and multiple dimensions of this battle demand comprehensive policies. Social and economic policy designs that result in greater social integration are needed. Fractures in social integra-

Fractures in social integration weaken democracy and create opportunities for the activities of illegal networks.

tion weaken democracy and create opportunities for the activities of illegal networks. A military response alone does not solve the problem. Public safety policies should be state policies. The multidimensional nature of insecurity underlines the need for long-term solutions. Policies and programs must last longer than a single-term government. Policies must be continuous, sustainable, and constantly re-evaluated in order to progress and gradually become more proficient with lasting and efficient results.



he Latin American School of Social Sciences (FLACSO) was founded in 1957 by UNESCO. FLACSO is an international, inter-governmental, regional, autonomous body that is comprised of Latin American and Caribbean countries: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Cuba, Chile, Ecuador, Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Dominican Republic, Surinam, and Uruguay.

FLACSO's core objective is to establish post-graduate programs to train Latin Americans in different fields within the Social Sciences This function has been widened to its current main objectives:

- To promote critical research of problems related to Latin American social reality, aimed at analyzing concrete social processes.
- To assure the training of experts in Social Sciences in Latin America through specialization courses at the post-graduate level and the most updated theoretical, methodological, and technical tools.
- To spread Social Sciences knowledge and, above all, the results of its own research, through all means possible and with the support of the governments and/or institutions.
- To provide scientific consultation to governments, research institutions, and regional educational centers.
- To collaborate with national university institutions and analogous teaching and research bodies, and to promote collaboration and exchange among the international, regional, and national bodies, governmental and non-governmental.
- In general, to carry out every academic activity related to the Social Sciences leading to the development and integration of the countries comprising Latin America.

Defence is a civil matter continued from page 30

[A]fter many years of democratic rule, Latin America has not significantly expanded the knowledge base around defence. . . . [F]ew governments have held an encompassing debate on defence matters.

hours later in Buenos Aires, they released a message of unconditional and deep support for democracy in the region. Nonetheless, the events in Ecuador demonstrate the altered stability of the region because political power is not exercised in an open and accountable way. Further, the process of checks and balances, fundamental to securing a democracy, is not appropriately conducted.

Although the United States has lost influence over the armed forces of the hemisphere, Latin American governments are no longer challenged by their militaries. However, this does not mean that they have fulfilled the process of democratizing defence and institutionalizing civilian control.

www.flacso.org.ar/english

32 CANADA WATCH • FALL 2010