Some totally geodesic submanifolds of the nonlinear Grassmannian of a compact symmetric space

# **Marcos Salvai**

# Monatshefte für Mathematik

ISSN 0026-9255

Monatsh Math DOI 10.1007/s00605-014-0642-2





D Springer

Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag Wien. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



# Some totally geodesic submanifolds of the nonlinear Grassmannian of a compact symmetric space

**Marcos Salvai** 

Received: 8 October 2013 / Accepted: 7 May 2014 © Springer-Verlag Wien 2014

**Abstract** Let *M* and *N* be two connected smooth manifolds, where *M* is compact and oriented and *N* is Riemannian. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the Fréchet manifold of all embeddings of *M* in *N*, endowed with the canonical weak Riemannian metric. Let ~ be the equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by  $f \sim g$  if and only if  $f = g \circ \phi$  for some orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $\phi$  of *M*. The Fréchet manifold  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{E}/\sim$  of equivalence classes, which may be thought of as the set of submanifolds of *N* diffeomorphic to *M* and is called the nonlinear Grassmannian (or Chow manifold) of *N* of type *M*, inherits from  $\mathcal{E}$  a weak Riemannian structure. We consider the following particular case: *N* is a compact irreducible symmetric space and *M* is a reflective submanifold of *N* (that is, a connected component of the set of fixed points of an involutive isometry of *N*). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of submanifolds of *N* which are congruent to *M*. We prove that the natural inclusion of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  is totally geodesic.

**Keywords** Manifold of embeddings · Geodesic · Symmetric space · Reflective submanifold

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 22C05 · 53C22 · 53C35 · 58B20 · 58D10 · 58E10

Communicated by A. Cap.

M. Salvai (🖂)

Partially supported by FONCYT, CIEM (CONICET) and SECYT (UNC).

FaMAF-CIEM, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina e-mail: salvai@famaf.unc.edu.ar

# 1 Introduction and statement of the result

# 1.1 Manifolds of embeddings

Let M, N be connected smooth manifolds (from now on, by smooth we mean  $C^{\infty}$ ). The set  $\mathcal{E}$  of all embeddings of M into N is a Fréchet manifold (Theorem 44.1 in [4]; see also [8]). If M is compact and oriented and N is Riemannian, then  $\mathcal{E}$  has a canonical weak Riemannian metric defined as follows: If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $u, v \in T_f \mathcal{E}$  (that is, u, v are smooth vector fields along f), then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{M} \langle u(x), v(x) \rangle \, \omega_f(x), \tag{1}$$

where  $\omega_f$  is the volume element of the Riemannian metric on M induced by f.

Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by  $f \sim g$  if and only if  $f = g \circ \phi$  for some orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $\phi$  of M. The set  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{E}/\sim$  of equivalence classes is called the nonlinear Grassmannian (or Chow manifold) of N of type M. It is a Fréchet manifold with a weak Riemannian metric in such a way that the associated projection  $\Pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{S}$  is a principal bundle with structure group  $\text{Diff}_+(M)$ , and a Riemannian submersion. Cf. [6], where much more general metrics on  $\mathcal{S}$  are considered.

For any  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  we have the decomposition  $T_f \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}_f \oplus \mathcal{V}_f$  in horizontal and vertical subspaces at f, where  $\mathcal{V}_f = \text{Ker}(d\Pi_f)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_f$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{V}_f$ . They consist of all the smooth vector fields along f which are tangent to f(M), respectively, normal, at each point of M.

# 1.2 Reflective submanifolds

A reflective submanifold M of a Riemannian manifold N is a connected component of the set of fixed points of an involutive isometry of N. In particular, M is closed and totally geodesic in N. Reflective submanifolds of symmetric spaces have been extensively studied by Leung in a series of papers beginning with [5] (see also Chapter 9 of [2]). For instance, every complete totally geodesic connected submanifold of a simply connected space form is reflective. Also, the reflective submanifolds of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are exactly, up to isometry,  $\mathbb{C}P^k$   $(1 \le k < n)$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  (canonical embedding). In particular,  $\mathbb{R}P^1$ , that is, a geodesic, is not a reflective submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  if  $n \ge 2$ .

### 1.3 The nonlinear Grassmannian of a compact symmetric space

Let *N* be a compact connected symmetric space and let *G* be the identity component of the isometry group of *N*. Let  $o \in N$  and let *K* be the isotropy subgroup at *o*. We have the canonical projection  $\pi : G \to N$ ,  $\pi (g) = g(o)$ . For the sake of simplicity, we assume further that *G* is semisimple and  $\pi$  is a Riemannian submersion, where *G* 

is endowed with the Riemannian metric defined at the identity by the opposite of the Killing form.

Let *M* be a reflective submanifold of *N* and let  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}$  be the spaces associated to *M*, *N* as in SubSect. 1.1. We may suppose that  $o \in M$ .

Let  $H = \{g \in G \mid g(M) = M\}$ . Since M is closed in G, H is a closed subgroup, and hence a Lie subgroup, of G.

Let C be the set of submanifolds of N which are G-congruent to M, that is,  $C = \{g(M) \mid g \in G\}$ . We may identify  $C \cong G/H$ .

Now we can state the main result of the paper: C is totally geodesic in S. More precisely,

**Theorem 1** Let  $\iota : M \to N$  be the inclusion and let

 $F: \mathcal{C} \cong G/H \to \mathcal{S}, \qquad F(gH) = \Pi \circ g \circ \iota$ 

(a well-defined map). Then  $(\mathcal{C}, F)$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- *Remark 2* (*a*) Geodesics in S are not good from the metric point of view, since (1) induces on S a vanishing geodesic distance [1,7]. Nevertheless, they are distinguished curves which deserve being studied. For instance, in the case  $M = S^1$ ,  $N = S^3$ , they played a role in a characterization of the Hopf fibrations of  $S^3$  [10].
- (b) We do not know whether the Riemannian metric induced on C from S is normal with respect to G (i.e., whether the canonical projection  $\tilde{\pi} : G \to C$  is a Riemannian submersion for some bi-invariant Riemannian metric on G), but at least in the simplest case it is:

**Proposition 3** Let M be a reflective submanifold of  $S^n$ , that is, M is a great sphere. Then the metric on C induced from S is normal.

Proof Let  $\{e_i \mid i = 0, ..., n\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and suppose  $M = S^n \cap \text{span} \{e_i \mid i = 0, ..., m\} \cong S^m$ . Given  $0 \le i < j \le n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $R_t^{i,j} \in SO(n+1) = G$  be the rotation fixing  $e_k$  for  $k \ne i, j$  and satisfying  $R_t^{i,j}e_i = (\cos t) e_i + (\sin t) e_j$ . Let  $E^{i,j} = \frac{d}{dt} |_0 R_t^{i,j}$ . Then  $\{E^{i,j} \mid 0 \le i < j \le m\}$  is an orthonormal basis of so(n+1) with respect to a negative multiple of the Killing form. Now we follow the notatios of SubSect. 1.3. If we take  $o = e_0$  and call  $\mathfrak{h}$  the Lie algebra of H, then  $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \operatorname{span} \{E^{i,j} \mid 0 \le i \le m < j \le n\}$ . We have to check that

$$d\pi_I: \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset so(n+1) = T_I G \to T_M \mathcal{C}$$

satisfies  $||d\pi_I(X)|| = c |X|$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$  and some constant *c*.

The vector fields corresponding to  $E^{i,j}$  along the inclusion  $\iota: S^m \to S^n$  are

$$V^{i,j}(q) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{0} R^{i,j}_{t}(q) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{0} (\cos t) x_{i}(q) e_{i} + (\sin t) x_{i}(q) e_{j} = x_{i}(q) e_{j},$$

🖄 Springer

where  $q \in S^m$ . Now we apply the definition (1). We compute

$$\left|V^{i,j}(q)\right|^{2} = x_{i}^{2}(q), \quad \left\langle V^{i,j}(q), V^{k,\ell}(q)\right\rangle = \delta_{j\ell}x_{i}(q)x_{k}(q).$$

Since  $y_i =_{\text{def}} x_i|_{S^m}$  (i = 0, ..., m) are elements of the canonical orthogonal basis of spherical harmonics on  $S^m$ , we have  $(V^{i,j}, V^{k,j}) = 0$  if  $i \neq k$ . Besides,

$$(m+1) \int_{S^m} y_i^2(q) \,\omega_\iota(q) = \sum_{s=0}^m \int_{S^m} y_s^2(q) \,\omega_\iota(q) = \int_{S^m} \omega_\iota(q) = \text{vol} \,(S^m)$$

Therefore,  $\|V^{i,j}\|^2 = \frac{1}{m+1}$  vol  $(S^m)$  and so one can take the square root of this number as *c* above.

# 2 Proof of the main result

#### 2.1 The structure of C

Naitoh proved that if M, N are as in SubSect. 1.3, then (G, H) is a symmetric pair. We recall here the more recent and general version by Tasaki. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra of G associated to the point  $o \in N$ .

**Theorem 4** ([9,13]) Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie algebra of H and let  $m_{-} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  be such that  $d\pi_e \mathfrak{m}_{-} = T_o M$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_+ + \mathfrak{k}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_+ + \mathfrak{m}_-$  in such a way that

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_{-} + \mathfrak{m}_{-} \quad and \quad T_M \mathcal{C} \cong \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{k}_{+} + \mathfrak{m}_{+}.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Moreover,

$$\left[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}\right]\subset\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \quad and \quad \left[\mathfrak{h}^{\perp},\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}\right]\subset\mathfrak{h}. \tag{3}$$

2.2 The evolution equation for geodesics

Let M, N be as in SubSect. 1.1. Kainz obtained in [3] a necessary and sufficient condition for a curve  $f : I \to \mathcal{E}$  to be a geodesic, where I is an interval of the real line.

In the very particular case when f(t) is a totally geodesic embedding and f'(t) is a normal vector field along f(t) for all  $t \in I$ , the condition simplifies as follows [7, SubSect. 4.2]: f is a geodesic if and only if

$$\frac{D}{dt}\Big|_{t_o} f'(t)(x) \in d(f(t_o))_x(T_x M)$$
(4)

for all  $t_o$  and all  $x \in M$ , where  $\frac{D}{dt}$  denotes covariant derivative along the curve  $I \ni t \mapsto f(t)(x)$ .

#### Nonlinear Grassmannian of a compact symmetric space

# 2.3 The acceleration of an orbit in a normal space

When applying the criterion above to our case, we will need an expression for the covariant acceleration of the orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries.

Let *G* be a connected Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric and let *K* be a closed connected Lie subgroup of *G* with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Consider on P = G/K the Riemannian metric such that the canonical projection  $\pi : G \to P$  is a Riemannian submersion (the normal metric on *P*). In these conditions, the geodesics of *G* are one-parameter subgroups; in particular, the fibers are totally geodesic.

**Lemma 5** Let G and P be as above, and let  $\beta$  be the curve in P defined by  $\beta = \pi \circ \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  (t) = exp t (U + V), with  $U \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{k}^{\perp}$ . Then

$$\frac{D\dot{\beta}}{dt}(0) = d\pi_e[U, V]_e.$$

Before proving the lemma we recall from [11] some definitions and statements about submersions and parallel transport.

Let  $\pi : B \to P$  be a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. For  $E \in TB$ , let  $\mathcal{H}E$  and  $\mathcal{V}E$  denote the horizontal and vertical parts of E, respectively. The O'Neill tensor field A on B, of type (0, 2), is defined by

$$A_E F = \mathcal{V} \nabla_{\mathcal{H} E} \left( \mathcal{H} F \right) + \mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{H} E} \left( \mathcal{V} F \right).$$

Let *E* be a vector field along a curve  $\alpha$  in *B*. By the main result in [11],

$$\mathcal{H}(E') = \mathcal{L}\left(\left(d\pi \left(E\right)\right)'\right) + A_{\mathcal{H}E}\left(\mathcal{V}\dot{\alpha}\right) + A_{\mathcal{H}\dot{\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{V}E\right),\tag{5}$$

where the prime denotes covariant derivative (along  $\alpha$  or  $\pi \circ \alpha$ , accordingly) and, if *W* is a vector field along  $\pi \circ \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(W)$  is the horizontal vector field along  $\alpha$  projecting to *F*.

*Proof of Lemma 5* We consider the Riemannian submersion  $\pi : G \to P$  and apply Eq. (5) to  $E = \dot{\alpha} = U \circ \alpha + V \circ \alpha$ , whose covariant derivative vanishes since  $\alpha$  is a geodesic of *G* (the metric is bi-invariant). We obtain

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(\dot{\beta}') + 2A_{\mathcal{H}\dot{\alpha}} \left(\mathcal{V}\dot{\alpha}\right). \tag{6}$$

Hence, by definition of the tensor A and using that  $\nabla_V U = \frac{1}{2}[V, U]$  since the metric on G is bi-invariant, one has

$$\mathcal{L}(\dot{\beta}') = -2\mathcal{H}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{V}\dot{\alpha}) = -2\mathcal{H}((\nabla_{V}U)\circ\alpha) = \mathcal{H}(([U,V])\circ\alpha).$$

Applying  $d\pi$  and evaluating at t = 0, one gets the desired formula for  $\dot{\beta}'(0)$ .

🖄 Springer

*Proof of Theorem 1* We consider on C = G/H the metric induced from S (which in principle may not be normal). Let  $\tilde{F} : G/H \to \mathcal{E}$  be defined by  $\tilde{F}(gH) = g \circ \iota$ , that is, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H \xrightarrow{\widetilde{F}} & \mathcal{E} \\ \searrow & \downarrow \Pi \\ F & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

Given a geodesic  $\gamma$  in C, we will prove that  $\tilde{F} \circ \gamma$  is a horizontal geodesic in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Hence,  $F \circ \gamma$  is a geodesic in S and so (C, F) is totally geodesic, as desired. Since F is G-equivariant and the action of G preserves the metrics on C and  $\mathcal{E}$  and also the vertical and horizontal distributions on  $\mathcal{E}$  (see above their description in terms of vector fields along the embeddings), it suffices to prove the assertion only for  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) = H$ .

Now, by Theorem 4, since the metric of C is G-invariant, the geodesics of C are the same as the geodesics of C endowed with the normal metric (see Exercise 10(b) on page 330 of [12]). Hence,  $\gamma(t) = \tilde{\pi}e^{tX}$ , for some  $X \in \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ . We call  $f = \tilde{F} \circ \gamma$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{E}$ . Now we check that we can apply the criterion of Kainz. First, f(t) is totally geodesic for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $f(t) = e^{tX} f(0)$ , with  $e^{tX}$  an isometry of N and  $f(0) = \iota : M \to N$ , which is totally geodesic since it is reflective. Secondly, the vector field f'(t) along f(t) is normal to f(t). Again by  $e^{tX}$ -invariance, one can take t = 0. Let  $q \in M$ . Since M is a totally geodesic submanifold of the symmetric space G/H through  $o, q = e^Y \cdot o$  for some  $Y \in \mathfrak{m}_-$ . We compute

$$f'(0)(q) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{0} e^{tX}q = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{0} e^{tX}e^{Y}.o = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{0} e^{Y}e^{tZ}.o = \left(de^{Y}\right)_{o}d\pi_{o}(Z),$$

where

$$Z = \operatorname{Ad} \left( e^{-Y} \right) X = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \, \left( \operatorname{ad}_Y \right)^n X.$$

Now,  $[Y, X] \in [\mathfrak{m}_{-}, \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}] \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$  by (3). Hence,  $Z \in \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$  and so  $d\pi_{e}(Z) \perp T_{o}M$ . Therefore  $f'(0)(q) \perp (de^{Y})_{o} d\pi(\mathfrak{m}_{-}) = T_{q}M$  (this last equality is well-known to hold for totally geodesic submanifolds of a symmetric space).

Now we can use Kainz evolution Eq. (4). Again by  $e^{Y}$ -invariance, without loss of generality we may check it only at q = o. Let  $c(t) = e^{sX} . o$  and suppose, by Theorem 4, that X = U + V, with  $U \in \mathfrak{k}_+$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{m}_+$ . We can apply Lemma 5 to G/K, with M in the role of P:

$$\left. \frac{D}{dt} \right|_0 c'(t) = d\pi_e[U, V] \in d\pi_e[\mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{m}_+],$$

which belongs to  $\mathfrak{p}$  (since  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ) and also to  $\mathfrak{h}$ , since  $[\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}, \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  by (3). Therefore, by (2),  $\frac{D}{dt}|_{0}c'(t) \in d\pi_{e}(\mathfrak{m}_{-}) \in T_{o}M$ , as desired.

#### Nonlinear Grassmannian of a compact symmetric space

# References

- 1. Bauer, M., Bruveris, M., Harms, P., Michor, P.W.: Vanishing geodesic distance for the Riemannian metric with geodesic equation the KdV-equation. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **41**, 461–472 (2012)
- 2. Berndt, J., Console, S., Olmos, C.: Submanifolds and Holonomy. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton (2003)
- 3. Kainz, G.: A metric on the manifold of immersions and its Riemannian curvature. Monatsh. Math. 98, 211–217 (1984)
- Kriegl, A., Michor, P.: The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 53. AMS, Providence (1997)
- Leung, D.S.P.: On the classification of reflective submanifolds of Riemannian symmetric spaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24, 327–339 (1974)
- Micheli, M., Michor, P.W., Mumford, D.: Sobolev metrics on diffeomorphism groups and the derived geometry of spaces of submanifolds. Izv. Math. 77, 541–570 (2013)
- Michor, P., Mumford, D.: Vanishing geodesic distance on spaces of submanifolds and diffeomorphisms. Doc. Math. 10, 217–245 (2005)
- Molitor, M.: La grassmannienne non-linéaire comme variété fréchétique homogène. J. Lie Theory 18, 523–539 (2008)
- 9. Naitoh, H.: Symmetric submanifolds and generalized Gauss maps. Tsukuba J. Math. 14, 541–547 (1990)
- Salvai, M.: Some geometric characterizations of the Hopf fibrations of the three-sphere. Monatsh. Math. 147, 173–177 (2006)
- 11. O'Neill, B.: Submersions and geodesics. Duke Math. J. 34, 363–373 (1967)
- O'Neill, B.: Semi-Riemannian Geometry. With Applications to Relativity. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 103. Academic Press, New York (1983)
- Tasaki, H.: Geometry of reflective submanifolds in Riemannian symmetric spaces. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 58, 275–297 (2006)