



3rd World Seabird Conference
October 4 – 8, 2021



#WSC3

worldseabirdconference.com

Table of Contents

Symposium Sessions	5
Symposium 1 – Conservation physiology in seabirds: Understanding mechanisms, causes and consequences in a changing world	5
Symposium 2 – Fine scale seabird foraging behavior in relation to fisheries.....	14
Symposium 3 – Unravelling fundamental processes in seabird ecology: The role of multi-colony studies	17
Symposium 4 – Marine renewables and seabirds: How can behavioural and ecological insights inform sustainable planning and development.....	33
Symposium 5 – Outcomes and progress of active seabird restoration projects	40
Symposium 6 – Heatwave impacts on marine birds.....	49
Symposium 7 – Adaptation and intervention as a climate response	60
Symposium 8 – Prey-mediated effects of environmental change on seabirds	63
Symposium 9 – Maintaining ecosystem services by seabirds – role of local and indigenous communities and cultures	68
Symposium 10 – The ecology of host-parasite interactions in seabirds: Combining approaches to understand eco-epidemiological dynamics and inform conservation decisions.....	70
Symposium 11 – Sea-ice and seabirds: An amphipolar perspective of the impacts on foraging and demography	73
Symposium 12 – Sensory Ecology: Foraging, reproduction and conservation	76
Symposium 13 – The importance of river plumes to seabirds and seabird prey	82
Symposium 14 – Seabird bycatch in commercial fisheries: Progress and challenges	85
Symposium 15 – Effects of wind and weather on seabird navigation, foraging and energetics.....	93
Symposium 16 – Applications of genomics to seabird conservation	98
Symposium 17 – The threat of marine debris to seabirds: Disentangling the demonstrated from the perceived.....	105
Individual Paper Sessions.....	109
Foraging Ecology I	109
Tracking I.....	112
Behavior I	117
Foraging Ecology II	121
Tracking II.....	124
Behavior II, Genetics I	126
Foraging Ecology III	129

Behavior III, Genetics II	133
Tracking III.....	134
Conservation I	136
Biology & Breeding/Non-Breeding Biology I.....	139
Conservation II	142
Biology & Breeding/Non-Breeding Biology II.....	145
Conservation III	147
Biology & Breeding/Non-Breeding Biology III.....	150
Conservation IV.....	153
Conservation V, Diseases/Parasites I, Physiology I, & General.....	157
Monitoring I, Demography & Surveys I.....	161
Fisheries Interaction I.....	166
Demography & Surveys II, Diet I	169
Monitoring II	173
Disease/Parasite II, Physiology II, Diet II	176
Monitoring III, Demography & Surveys II.....	179
Fisheries Interaction II.....	180
Climate & MPA I.....	182
Pollution I	187
Predation & Restoration I	191
Climate & MPA II.....	192
Pollution II, Predation & Restoration II.....	194
Poster Session Atlantic 1.....	198
A – Behavior	198
B – Biology/Breeding Biology/Nonbreeding Biolog.....	201
C – Bycatch.....	204
D – Climate change	206
E – Conservation	207
F – Demography.....	209
G – Diet	211
I – Fisheries interactions	213
J – Foraging ecology	215
K – Miscellaneous	220

L – Physiology.....	224
M – Pollution.....	226
N- Surveys	229
O –Tracking	231
Poster Session Pacific 1.....	234
A – Behavior	234
B – Biology/Breeding Biology/Nonbreeding Biology	236
C – Bycatch.....	236
D – Climate change	237
E – Conservation	238
H – Diseases/Parasites	239
J – Foraging ecology	240
K – Miscellaneous	240
Poster Session Atlantic 2.....	242
A – Behavior	242
B – Biology/Breeding Biology/Nonbreeding Biology	246
C – Bycatch.....	249
D – Climate change	251
E – Conservation	252
F – Demography.....	255
G – Diet	256
H – Diseases/Parasites	258
I – Fisheries interactions	259
J – Foraging ecology	260
K – Miscellaneous	265
L – Physiology.....	268
M – Pollution.....	269
O –Tracking	273
Poster Session Pacific 2.....	276
N- Surveys	276
B – Biology/Breeding Biology/Nonbreeding Biology	278
D – Climate change	280
E – Conservation	281

G – Diet 282
K – Miscellaneous 283
M – Pollution..... 284
P - Surveys..... 285

This is the first such study of contaminant levels in Gannet, or in any seabird egg in Ireland. Stable isotopes of carbon (d13C) and nitrogen (d15N) were measured in each egg to understand the influence of diet in contaminant levels detected. Significantly higher levels of PCBs, PBDEs and mercury were detected near Dublin (Ireland's industrialized capital city and location of its largest port) compared to Wexford. No differences were observed in levels of OCs and heavy metals between the two colonies. Stable isotope analysis demonstrated that Gannets in both locations occupy the same dietary niche excluding a difference in diet as the driver of differing contaminant levels in the two feeding areas. Though Gannets travel significant distances when foraging for food (~200km) tracking studies have shown that Gannets colonies maintain exclusive feeding areas with little overlap between neighbouring colonies. Differences between colonies within the feeding range of Gannets can therefore be detected despite Gannet's high dispersal ability. These results are in concurrence with elevated levels of contaminants in lower trophic level organisms that have been found in Dublin Bay compared to the rest of Ireland, indicating potential for Gannets as a higher trophic level indicator - though variability in their diet, including feeding on fishing discard, may lead to unacceptable levels of variability for an indicator species.

Plastic ingestion in seabirds of the western Indian Ocean

Audrey Cartraud¹, Matthieu Le Corre¹, Jean Turquet¹, Julie Tourmetz¹

¹Université de La Réunion

Ingestion of plastic by marine fauna has been reported all around the world and affects a large number of seabirds including albatrosses, petrels, shearwaters, seagulls and boobies. Most of the studies on plastic ingestion by seabirds realized so far have been focusing in the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans but no information has been published on this issue in the western Indian Ocean. In this study we investigated plastic ingestion in nine seabird species breeding or foraging at Reunion Island and at Juan de Nova (Mozambique Channel), among which two species are endemic and endangered (the Barau's Petrel, *Pterodroma barau*) or critically endangered (the Mascarene petrel, *Pseudobulweria aterrima*). We analyzed the stomach contents of 222 individuals and showed the most affected species are tropical shearwaters (79%) and Barau's petrels (59%). The average number of plastic particles per contaminated bird was higher in Barau's petrels (6.10 ± 1.29) than in tropical shearwaters (3.84 ± 0.59) and all other studied species also showed plastic presence in their stomach contents to a lesser extent. We analyzed differences in plastic ingestion between juveniles and adults for three different species: Barau's petrels, tropical shearwaters and white-tailed tropicbirds. We showed significantly more plastic particles in juveniles of Barau's petrels than in adults and a significantly higher mass of plastic particles both in juveniles Barau's petrels and tropical shearwaters than in adults of these two species. These results demonstrate that the foraging areas of seabirds of the western Indian Ocean have a high level of plastic pollution. In Reunion Island, hundreds of tropical shearwaters and Barau's petrels are attracted by urban lights and die each year so we suggest to take advantage of this situation by using these species as long-term indicators of plastic marine pollution in the region. Keywords: plastic ingestion, seabird, marine pollution, Indian Ocean, *Pterodroma barau*, *Puffinus bailloni*.

Sex, age class, and foraging strategy of the Southern Giant Petrel might influence the hazard of plastic ingestion on the Patagonian shelf

Gabriela Blanco¹, Mariano Tonini², Gallo Luciana¹, Flavio Quintana¹

¹Instituto de Biología de Organismos Marinos - CONICET, ²Instituto Andino Patagonico de Tecnologías Biológicas y Geoambientales - CONICET

Plastic circulates at sea threatening marine megafauna. Southern Giant Petrels (SGP, *Macronectes giganteus*) are known to consume plastic, but the source and accumulation areas of marine litter remain unknown. The goal of this study is to understand how foraging behavior of SGP will impact their risk of plastic ingestion by mimicking the circulation of floating debris. We tracked 34 adult and juvenile birds using either PTTs or GPS, from Arce (45°00'S; 65°29'W) and Gran Robredo Islands (45°80'S; 66°03'W). To mimic plastic circulation at sea we employed the output of 15 years of daily-forcing simulations using ROMS-Agrif. We designed lagrangian simulations of particles (individual based model) using off-line particle-tracking model. Simulations incorporate physical characteristics of plastic that reaches the area from coastal cities and ships. Dual foraging strategy was recorded for all breeding birds. During short trips (N=108, recorded with GPS), both sexes foraged at 38.5 ± 12.9 km from the colony. During long trips (N= 60), males mainly foraged along the coast at 382 ± 65 km from the colony, while females moved to the shelf break and the middle shelf (459 ± 58 km). Throughout austral fall and winter, adults remained on the Patagonian shelf, but juveniles moved north using the shelf break as a corridor. The drift model showed how simulated particles generated a plastic corridor along the Patagonian shelf until reaching the Malvinas-Brazil confluence, concurring with juveniles' migration path. Accumulation hot spots occurred in the mid shelf and along the shelf break with a strong seasonal variation, overlapping with breeding females. Litter coming from cities and fisheries accumulated near the colony, corresponding with adult foraging areas during short trips, which may explain the 50 to 73% of plastic items found in chicks' diet. Our results showed that marine litter dispersed by ocean circulation, will threat SGP, depending on sex, age class, and breeding stage. Understanding where marine organisms come into contact with plastic, could help elucidate negative effects at population-levels to design management strategies that mitigate this environmental issue.

The shearwater and the bottlecap: understanding the broader impacts of plastic ingestion on seabirds

Alexander Bond¹, Jennifer Lavers², Ian Hutton³

¹Natural History Museum, ²University of Tasmania, ³Lord Howe Island Museum

While the problem of plastic pollution in the oceans has received wide media and public attention in the last decade, the scientific basis for our knowledge of its effects and consequences is still limited, despite meeting the irreversibility and global ubiquity criteria of being a planetary boundary threat. Since 2005, the Adrift Lab has studied the impact of plastics pollution on shearwaters (*Ardenna* spp) in Australia with an aim to understand the nature, extent, and consequences of plastics for the birds and their island ecosystems. We have employed a variety of tools, including contaminant analysis, nutritional biochemistry, histology, and blood chemistry combined with annual surveys of the frequency and intensity of plastic pollution, focusing on Flesh-footed Shearwaters (*Ardenna carneipes*) on Lord Howe Island. Here, we review the progress in knowledge around how plastics may be affecting individuals, populations, and communities. Birds with more plastics tend to have slower growth rates, higher contaminant burdens, altered physiology, and show tissue damage to the gastrointestinal tract compared with less-affected individuals. Birds also transport considerable volumes of plastic to the island and deposit it through regurgitation, and decomposition of carcasses. As one of the longest-running plastics monitoring research programmes in the world, we are able demonstrate the annual variability in plastic ingestion, and leverage this knowledge to begin to explore the broader effects of plastics on marine wildlife.