

Historical land use by domestic grazing revealed by the soil seed bank: a case study from a natural semi-arid grassland of NW Patagonia

J. Franzese, L. Ghermandi and S. L. Gonzalez

Laboratorio Ecotono, Instituto de Investigaciones en Biodiversidad y Medioambiente, Universidad Nacional del Comahue - CONICET, S. C. Bariloche, RN, Argentina

Abstract

Livestock can affect the soil seed bank through various mechanisms associated with changes in vegetation. We sampled vegetation and seed banks of Patagonian grasslands, in areas with different historical use by grazing (exclosure, moderate use and high use) to evaluate to what extent changes in vegetation are reflected in the seed bank. We also evaluated the effect of historical grazing on horizontal spatial seed distribution by sampling under shrubs and in between plant gaps. We focused the study on functional groups, and on the palatable Poa ligularis, an indicator of grassland status. In general, the proportional changes in composition and abundance of functional groups produced in grazed sectors (relative to each exclosure) were bigger for the seed bank than for the aboveground vegetation. Impacts on seed bank were led by a decrease (moderate use), or total disappearance (high use) of perennial grasses, results clearly reflected by P. ligularis. Although shrubs were represented in vegetation, they were undetected in the seed bank through germination, probably due to the lack of the conditions required for breaking seed dormancy. Intensive grazing produced homogenization in seed spatial distribution. Our work revealed a poor contribution of the seed bank to vegetation regeneration at increasing historical use by grazing. We recommend sampling the seed bank when monitoring the conservation status of grasslands to obtain consistent management guidelines.

E-mail: jorgelina_franz@yahoo.com

Received 28 October 2014; revised 21 April 2015

Keywords: aboveground vegetation, disturbances, grassland degradation, palatable species, *Poa ligularis*, sheep

Introduction

Several anthropogenic disturbances affect vegetation of natural arid and semi-arid ecosystems. One of the most widespread disturbances based on the extent of its consequences is overgrazing by livestock, an important promoter of desertification (Geist and Lambin, 2004; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). Overgrazing can affect system integrity, which is in large part mediated by direct impact of animals on the standing vegetation (Schlesinger et al., 1990; López et al., 2013). It involves loss of vegetation cover (Mofidi et al., 2013), reduction or local disappearance of forage species (Hunt, 2001; Pazos et al., 2007), and species and/ or functional group replacement (typically grasses by woody plants) (Bertiller and Bisigato, 1998; Cibils and Borrelli, 2005). These changes may indirectly affect soil seed bank composition, abundance and spatial distribution (Sternberg et al., 2003; Pazos and Bertiller, 2008). In turn, alterations in the seed bank status can affect the expression of standing vegetation, generating a positive feedback on system degradation.

The soil seed bank is constituted by all viable seeds present on or in the soil or associated litter (Simpson *et al.*, 1989) and is considered crucial for the persistence of many species after aboveground community disturbances (Simpson *et al.*, 1989; Fenner and Thompson, 2005). Livestock can affect size and composition of seed bank through various mechanisms associated with changes in vegetation. On one hand, the decrease in plant cover due to intense tissue removal (in particular reproductive tissues) may affect the amount and quality of seed supply (Bullock *et al.*, 1994; Sternberg *et al.*, 2003), with negative consequences on the seed bank recharge of the consumed

Correspondence to: J. Franzese, Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Quintral 1250, S. C. Bariloche, RN 8400, Argentina.

plants. Accordingly, seed bank abundance of the most palatable species can be particularly reduced. On the other hand, structural changes in the environment associated with degradation by grazing can affect the quantity or quality of microsites (Kinloch and Friedel, 2005a; López et al., 2013). This may reduce the number of microsites available to retain seeds (Kinloch and Friedel. 2005a), decreasing the possibilities of incorporating them into the soil seed bank. Hence, domestic livestock may blur the patterns of horizontal seed distribution set in the absence of grazing. The effects of grazing on the soil seed bank are not unique for all natural grassland communities (Kinloch and Friedel, 2005b); instead, they can be strongly shaped by the historical grazing intensity and duration and by the species-specific responses to this disturbance.

A large portion of the temperate grasslands of South America is located in the southern tip of the continent, in Patagonia, Argentina. These natural arid and semi-arid systems have been extensively grazed by domestic livestock (mostly sheep) since the late nineteenth century, and grazing has been so generalized that, at present, there are no ungrazed areas (Paruelo et al., 2006; Michelson, 2008). Over time, evidence of desertification associated with grazing has been detected, although the degradation level is variable among vegetation types (Paruelo et al., 2006). In relative terms, sheep impose greater harvesting pressure on vegetation than native herbivores. One of the reasons for this is that sheep are confined to fenced areas, causing repeated stress on the same plants (Paruelo et al., 2006). Many studies have quantified the effects of livestock grazing on native vegetation (e.g. Gittins et al., 2010; Srur et al., 2013); however, soil seed bank responses under different grazing regimes and its relationship to established vegetation have been considerably less explored. This information can be useful as a baseline to monitor rehabilitation potential of overgrazed areas, the resilience of grazed communities to natural disturbances (e.g. droughts and volcanic eruptions), and support actions aimed at increasing the protected areas of the Patagonia temperate grasslands. The latter has been recently proposed by private initiatives (Michelson, 2008) due to the extremely low representation of temperate grasslands ecosystems in national and worldwide protected area systems (Hoekstra et al., 2005; Paruelo et al., 2006).

In this work, we sampled the aboveground vegetation and soil seed bank of natural grasslands of NW Patagonia, in areas with different degrees of historical grazing to evaluate to what extent changes in aboveground vegetation due to different historical use by grazing are reflected in the soil seed bank. We focused our study on functional groups defined by life forms (i.e. shrubs, herbs and perennial grasses) and on the perennial grass *Poa ligularis* Nees ex Steud. This species is the dominant grass of the studied grasslands and has high forage value, so it could be considered a key indicator of domestic grazing impact on grassland status (Velasco and Siffredi, 2009). We also evaluated the effect of grazing history on the horizontal distribution of seeds (considering density and size of seeds) by sampling in two contrasting microsites: leeward to shrubs and gaps (i.e. interpatches areas).

Materials and methods

Study area and sampled sites

The study was carried out in semi-arid grasslands located in the north-western Patagonia region, Argentina. The area belongs to the Extra-Andean Occidental Patagonia district (Godagnone and Bran, 2009). Climate is semi-arid and temperate, characterized by warm and dry summers, and cool and wet winters. Mean annual precipitation is 268.5 mm, of which 70% falls in autumn and winter. Mean annual temperature is 7.7°C; the mean maximum temperature of the warmest month (January) is 15°C, and the mean minimum temperature of the coldest month (July) is 2.1°C. Although the cold season occurs from April to October, frost probability exists throughout the year. Relief is predominantly flat or convex, with shallow soils (30 cm) of sandy loam texture, and neutral pH (Haplargid type; Godagnone and Bran, 2009). Bedrock is mainly composed of metamorphic and plutonic rocks (Godagnone and Bran, 2009). Vegetation cover ranges 40-60% and is dominated by the perennial tussock grasses Poa ligularis Nees ex Steud. (dioecious species), and Pappostipa speciosa var. speciosa (Trin. and Rupr.) Romasch, and by the shrubs Mulinum spinosum (Cav.) Pers., and Senecio filaginoides DC (Godagnone and Bran, 2009). These natural systems constitute an area of great forage interest, which has been historically used for animal husbandry (mainly sheep under extensive grazing). The forage biomass production for representative steppes in a good preservation state is estimated in 650 kg dry matter ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (López et al., 2010). Most of the perennial grass species have a high forage importance (i.e. plants highly consumed by domestic animals), reproduce strictly from seed and form transient seed banks (see Table 1 for bio-ecological traits). The relative importance of dominant perennial grasses is determined by the grazing history (Godagnone and Bran, 2009).

The study area was affected by the fall of volcanic ash emitted after the eruption of the Puyehue–Cordón Caulle Volcanic Complex (40°30′S–72°12′W) in June

Species	Seed weight (mg) ^A	Reproductive strategy ^B	Seed bank ^C	Forage importance ^D
Bromus setifolius J. Presl	5.28	Sexual	Transient ¹	High
Festuca argentina (Speg.) Parodi	2.93	Sexual	Transient ²	Nil
Festuca pallescens (StYves) Parodi	1.85	Sexual	Transient ³	High
Hordeum comosum J. Presl	3.68	Sexual	Transient ⁴	High
Pappostipa speciosa (Trin. & Rupr.) Romasch.	9.22	Sexual	Transient ⁵	Intermediate
Poa lanuginosa Poir.	0.10	Asexual	Persistent ⁶	High
Poa ligularis Nees ex Steud.	0.16	Sexual	Persistent ⁷	High

 Table I
 Bio-ecological traits of the perennial grasses from the studied semi-arid grasslands. The forage importance of each species is based on their relative level of consumption by sheep.

Data source: ^AMean value obtained after weighting sixty batches of ten seeds each; ^{B,D}Velasco and Siffredi (2009); ^{C1}Rotundo and Aguiar (2005), ^{C2–7}Gonzalez (2011).

2011 (National Service of Geology and Mining). A fine-textured tephra formed a 3- to 5-cm-thick layer that in the following months was redistributed among microsites by wind and precipitation (Gaitán *et al.*, 2011). The mineralogical composition of the tephra corresponded to abundant volcanic glass and few aluminosilicates (Cremona *et al.*, 2011). This material made a low to very low contribution of nutrients in an available form to vegetation (Cremona *et al.*, 2011). Vegetation and seed bank samplings were performed ca. 1 year after the eruption, and at that time, grasslands were free of sheep as they had been removed soon after the eruption to protect them from the negative effects of ash.

Sampling was performed in the Campo Anexo Pilcaniyeu of EEA INTA Bariloche (41°01'42"S-70°35'21"W), in two sites (6 km apart) that belong to the same vegetation community, each one having two paired sectors with different grazing histories (stocking rate and time). Based on a recent study (López et al., 2013), the sectors within each site were described as: Moderate historical use site: a sector with a moderate stocking rate of 0.3 sheep ha^{-1} year for over 22 years (*Grazed*) and a paired 1.5 ha exclosure against large herbivores built over 22 years ago (Exclosure); and High historical use site: a sector with a high stocking rate of 0.6-0.7 sheep ha^{-1} year for at least 80 years (*Grazed*) and a paired 1 ha exclosure built over 38 years ago (Exclosure). According to the results obtained by López et al. (2013), among the sectors described above, the one that withstood the highest grazing pressure represented the most degraded state of the community, with a considerable reduction of key ecosystem processes such as recruitment and water use efficiency. Both exclosures were similar in structural and functional attributes and represented a good condition of the grassland community.

Sampling design

In the spring 2012, we sampled the aboveground soil seed bank (September) and vegetation (December) in the grazed sectors and its paired exclosures. Vegetation was sampled in December to include the summer annuals. We identified and measured cover percentage of all vascular plants species, using a 1 m² plot (subdivided in 50×50 cm quadrats to increase measurement accuracy) placed randomly 20 times in each sampled sector. To estimate the soil seed bank, we sampled different microsites, considering that recruitment is spatially heterogeneous with most recruitment occurring under shrubs (López, 2011). Then, we randomly collected thirty soil samples (each 7.3 cm in diameter and 3 cm in depth) from shrub microsites (leeward) and thirty soil samples from its closest gaps. We gathered a total of 240 soil samples [30 samples \times 2 microsite types (shrubs and gaps) \times 4 contrasting grazing histories (two grazed sectors and two exclosures)]. On October 2012, soil samples were sieved to remove organic debris and stones and placed in a glasshouse with uncontrolled conditions for 10 months, until seedling emergence had ceased. Temperature inside the glasshouse was ca. 5 °C above outside temperature. The mean outside monthly temperatures (day/night values) for the studied period in Bariloche are 13/2·3°C (October), 15·7/4°C (November), 19/6·9°C (December), 20·4/7·8°C (January), 19·8/7·7°C (February), 18/5·9°C (March), 14·1/3·7°C (April), 10·3/2·1°C (May), 6·9/-0·4°C (June), 7·4/-1.2°C (July) and 8.6/-0.4°C (August) (Meteorological Station, INTA Bariloche). Pots were watered regularly and seedlings were counted and removed weekly. Plants that could not be early identified were transplanted in separate pots and grown until they could be identified. However, among grass species, only P. ligularis was identifiable to species level. To weight

seed bank density values obtained from microsites with a differential amount of accumulated ash (see details in next section), we also measured ash depth in twenty randomly selected shrub microsites (leeward) and its closest gaps in each sampled site. Finally, we classified seeds from the seed bank in two seed mass classes (see below) to evaluate the effect of grazing history on the horizontal distribution of different sized seeds. Seed mass data were obtained by weighing ten or sixty batches of ten fresh seeds per species (depending on seed availability), with the exception of two exotic species *Cerastium arvense* L. and *Sonchus asper* (L.) Hill whose seed weight was obtained from Török *et al.* (2013).

Data analysis

We described the community composition for the sectors with different historical use by grazing in each of two grasslands according to functional groups (perennial grasses, shrubs and herbs), species origin (native or exotic) and relative forage value of perennial grasses. To assess the impact of grazing on standing vegetation and soil seed bank, we compared cover and seed density of total vegetation, perennial grasses, P. ligularis, shrubs and herbs between grazed and ungrazed sectors within each site, using t-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests (independent samples; nonparametric data). To compare seed bank density between microsites that had different amounts of accumulated ash, we weighted each seed abundance value considering the mean ash accumulated in the microsite from where it came, using the following formula:

$$\label{eq:gap_excl} \begin{split} & Weighted seed density_{gap(Excl)} = \\ & \frac{Seeds \cdot m^{-2} * Mean \ ash \ depth_{gap(Excl)}}{(Ash_{shrub(Excl)} + Ash_{gap(Excl)} + Ash_{shrub(Graz)} + Ash_{gap(Graz)})/4} \end{split}$$

where each weighted value was the result of dividing the product of the seed density in a sample (expressed in square metres) by the mean ash depth of that microsite, divided by the average ash depth considering all the sectors and microsites from each site. In the formula, the terms 'Excl' and 'Graz' refer to 'exclosure' and 'grazed' sectors, respectively, while 'gap' and 'shrub' refer to the sampled microsites. Weighted values of seed bank abundance (i.e. density and density by seed mass classes) were compared between shrub and gap microsites within each sector using Wilcoxon tests (dependent samples; nonparametric data). Seed size was categorized in two classes: 0-0.5 mg and >3 mg. The intermediate seed mass class was excluded from the analysis as it was not found in the site with a moderate historical use, and was poorly represented (<10%) in the site with high historical use. Ash depth was compared between microsites within each sector using Wilcoxon tests. The significance level was $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

The relative representation of the functional groups differed greatly between vegetation and seed bank (Figure 1). Both sites were dominated by perennial grasses in the vegetation and by herbs in the soil seed bank (Figure 1, Table 2). Shrubs were also present in the vegetation of both sites, but they appeared only in the seed bank of the site with high grazing use (Figure 1).

All the shrub seeds belonged to *S. filaginoides* (Asteraceae). Exotic species were mostly annuals (Table 2) and had minor importance in standing vegetation composition, but a large representation in the seed bank especially in the grazed sectors (Figure 2).

In general, proportional changes produced in grazed sectors (relative to each exclosure) were bigger for the seed bank than for the aboveground vegetation (Figure 1). On one hand, the site with moderate use did not show significant changes in total vegetation, perennial grass and shrub cover between the exclosure and the grazed sectors (U = 190.5, $t_{38} = 0.41$, and U = 160, respectively; P > 0.05 for all cases; Figure 3a, c,e), but cover of Poa ligularis and herbs diminished under grazing (*P. ligularis* cover: U = 110, P < 0.05; herb cover: U = 61, P < 0.001; Figure 3c,g). The grazed sector had a marked decrease in grass seeds especially in *P. ligularis* (grass seeds: U = 297, P < 0.05; *P. ligularis* seeds: U = 282.5; P < 0.05; Figure 3c) and an increase in herb seed density (U = 215, P < 0.001; Figure 3g). Notably, we did not find any shrub seed in the seed bank of the moderate grazing history site (Figure 3e). On the other hand, in the site with high grazing use, we observed no differences in total vegetation, and perennial grass cover between the exclosure and the grazed sectors (U = 185.5, and U = 150, respectively; P > 0.05 for both cases; Figure 3b,d), but there were notable differences in P. ligularis, shrub and herb cover (P. ligularis cover: U = 11.5, P < 0.001; shrub cover: U = 105.5, P < 0.05; herb cover: U = 0.00, P < 0.001). In the grazed sector, P. ligularis abundance diminished dramatically to almost zero cover (Figure 3d), shrub cover increased by almost 200% compared to the exclosure (Figure 3f), and herb cover was half of that found in the exclosure (Figure 3h). Total seed bank abundance decreased in the grazed sector (Figure 3b) due to the absence of grass seeds, and a decrease of herb seeds (Figure 3d,h). However, herb seed bank density was not statistically different to the exclosure (U = 392.5,

group Seed Family and Species Exclosure Grazed Exclosure Grazed Species (mg) VEG SB VEG SB VEG SB VEG SB Grasses Poarcace 190 (0.50) 1-60 (0.70) 0.90 (0.23) 0.15 (0.10) 5.70 (2.0) (speg) Parodi 1-65 (1.10) 2.18 (1.10) 0.10 (0.10) 5.70 (2.0) (6.03) 0.030 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) 1.57 (2.0) (5.1 (2.2)) 1.8 (3.70) 0.8 (0.73) 0.03 (0.03) 1.5 (1.10) 1.8 (0.7) 0.03 (0.03) 1.5 (0.10) 0.13 (0.10) 0.18 (0.7) 0.03 (0.03) 1.5 (0.10) 0.13 (0.10) 0.18 (0.7) 0.03 (0.03) 1.5 (0.10) 0.5 (0.10)<	Functional	Moderate historical use				High historical use				
Species (mg) VEG SB VEG	group Family and	Seed	Exclos	ure	Graze	ed	Exclos	sure	Graze	d
Grasses Poaccac Bromus setiplius J. Presl 1-90 (0.50) 1-60 (0.70) 0-90 (0.23) 0.15 (0-10) Fettua argentina 1.65 (1-10) 2-18 (1-10) 0.10 (0-10) 5.70 (2-0) (Speg.) Parodi 0-30 (0-30) 0.03 (0-30) 0.03 (0-30) (St.Yees) Parodi 0-13 (0-10) 0-18 (0-07) 0-03 (0-03) J. Presl 0-238 (1-0) 0-18 (0-07) 0-03 (0-03) J. Presl 0-28 (0-20) 0-85 (2-20) 18 (3-70) Fauprostips speciesa (Trin. 2-38 (1-0) 9-80 (2-0) 0-05 (0-10) Pau famighiosa Poir. 0-22 (0-20) 0-05 (0-10) 0-18 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 0-22 (0-20) 0-03 (0-03) 0.10 (0-10) Valpia sp. 0-16 20-25 (2-70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. Valpia sp. 0-03 (0-03) 0-16 20-25 (2-70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 13-11 121 24-12 Shrubs 3 3-19 2-5-04 38 31-31 121 24-12 Shrubs	Species	(mg)	VEG	SB	VEG	SB	VEG	SB	VEG	SB
Poacca 1.90 (0.50) 1-60 (0.70) 0.99 (0.23) 0.15 (0.10) 0.15 (0.10) Fextua argenina 1.90 (0.50) 2.18 (1.0) 0.10 (0.10) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) (Spec) Parodi 2.18 (1.0) 2.18 (1.0) 0.30 (0.30) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) (StrYes) Parodi	Grasses									
Bromus settiofute J. Presi 1.90 (0.50) 1.60 (0.70) 0.90 (0.23) 0.15 (0.10) Festuca argentina 1.65 (1.10) 2.18 (1.10) 0.010 (0.10) 5.70 (2.0) (Specp) Parodi - 0.33 (0.30) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) (Stryves) Parodi - 0.18 (0.70) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) (Stryves) Parodi - 0.18 (0.70) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) (Stryves) Parodi - 0.13 (0.10) 0.13 (0.10) 0.18 (0.70) 0.03 (0.03) J. Presi - - 0.28 (0.20) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) 1.005 (0.10) Palamisina Poir. 0.23 (0.20) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03) Nees ex Steud. - 0.28 (0.20) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) 0.13 (0.10) 0.03 (0.03) Nees ex Steud. - - 0.20 (0.20) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) 0.13 (0.10) Total grasses 3.67 ⁷ 53 (2.6) 30 (14) 68 (2.7) 0.03 (0.03) Structo - - 0.18 (0.10) - 0.45 (0.40) 0.45 (0.	Poaceae									
Festuca argentina 1-65 (1-10) 2-18 (1-10) 0-10 (0-10) 5-70 (2-0) (St, Yves) Parodi	Bromus setifolius J. Presl		1.90 (0.50)		1.60 (0.70)		0.90 (0.23)		0.15 (0.10)	
(Speg.) Parodi 0-30 (0-30) 0-03 (0-3) <i>Festura pallescens</i> 0-13 (0-10) 0-13 (0-10) 0-18 (0-7) 0-03 (0-3) <i>Hordeum commosum</i> 0-13 (0-10) 0-13 (0-10) 0-18 (0-7) 0-03 (0-3) 0-03 <i>J.</i> Presl 9-80 (2-0) 10-85 (2-20) 18 (3-7) 0-10 <i>Poa linguinoa</i> Poir. 0-28 (0-20) 10-85 (2-20) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) 0-10 <i>Poa linguinoa</i> Poir. 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) <i>Poa linguinoa</i> Poir. 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. - 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Total grasses 0-16 20-25 (2-70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Total grasses 3-67 [†] 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) 12 2 12 2 12 2 12 12 12 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Festuca argentina		1.65 (1.10)		2.18 (1.10)		0.10 (0.10)		5.70 (2.0)	
Festica pallacens 0-30 (0-30) 0-03 (0-3) (St. Yves) Parodi - - 0-03 (0-3) Hordeum commosum 0-13 (0-10) 0-13 (0-10) 0-18 (0-07) 0-03 (0-3) J. Presl - - - 0-03 (0-3) 0-03 (0-3) 6 Rupr.) Romasch. - - - 0-03 (0-3) 0-03 (0-3) 6 Rupr.) Romasch. - - 0-05 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10) Paal aunginesa Poir. 0-05 (0-22) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. - 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. - 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. - - - 0-03 (0-03) - 0-03 (0-03) - Partigiaris 0-16 20-25 (2-70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 13.11 121 24.12 Structs - - 0-35 (0-40) - 0-45 (0-40) - - - <td>(Speg.) Parodi</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	(Speg.) Parodi									
(St.Yves) Parodi 0.13 (0.10) 0.13 (0.10) 0.18 (0.07) 0.03 (0.03) J. Presl 920 (0.20) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) Papapositia speciesa (Trin. 2.38 (1.0) 9.80 (2.0) 10.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) Pata laruiginosa Poir. 0.28 (0.20) 0.85 (2.20) 18 (3.70) 10.5 (0.10) Poa laruiginosa Poir. 0.28 (0.20) 8 (8) 18.98 (2.4) 53 (3.20) 0.13 (0.10) Poa laruiginosa Poir. 0.28 (0.20) 8 (8) 18.98 (2.4) 53 (3.20) 0.13 (0.10) Neces ex Steud.	Festuca pallescens						0.30 (0.30)		0.03 (0.03)	
Hardenun commesum 0-13 (0-10) 0-13 (0-10) 0-18 (0-07) 0-03 (0-03) J. Presl	(St.Yves) Parodi									
J. Presł Papostipa speciosa (Trin. 2-38 (1-0) 9-80 (2-0) 10-85 (2-20) 18 (3-70) F Rupr.) Romasch. 0-28 (0-20) 0.05 (0-10) 0.05 (0-10) Poal inguinasa Poir. 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 11-05 (2-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 11-05 (2-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 11-05 (2-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 11-05 (2-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. 11-05 (2-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0-10) Portanial grasses 3-67 [†] 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) 1-2 24-12 Structor 26-31 196 25-04 38 31-31 121 24-12 Structor 0-35 (0-40) 0-88 (0-85) 0-88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) 1-0 Structor 0-35 (0-40) 0-88 (8)	Hordeum commosum		0.13 (0.10)		0.13 (0.10)		0.18 (0.07)		0.03 (0.03)	
Pappositipa speciosa (Trin. 2-38 (1-0) 9-80 (2-0) 10-85 (2-20) 18 (3-70) 6 Rupr.) Romasch. 0-28 (0-20) 0.05 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10) Poa lanuginosa Poir. 0-28 (0-20) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0.13 (0-10) Poa lanuginosa Poir. 0-25 (2-70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2-40) 8 (8) 18-98 (2-44) 53 (32) 0.13 (0-10) Nees ex Steud. Vulpia sp. 0-25 (0-20) 30 (14) 68 (27) 0.03 (0-03) C. C. Gmell	J. Presl									
6 Rupr.) Romasch. 0.28 (0.20) 0.05 (0.10) Paa lanuginoa Poir. 0.16 20-25 (2.70) 143 (40) 11-05 (2.40) 8 (8) 18-98 (2.44) 53 (32) 0-13 (0.10) Paa lanuginoa Poir. Valpia sp.	Pappostipa speciosa (Trin.		2.38 (1.0)		9.80 (2.0)		10.85 (2.20)		18 (3.70)	
Paa lanuginosa Poir. 0.28 (0.20) 0.05 (0.10) Paa ligularis 0.16 20.25 (2.70) 143 (40) 11.05 (2.40) 8 (8) 18.98 (2.44) 53 (32) 0.13 (0.10) Nees ex Steud. Vulpia sp.	& Rupr.) Romasch.									
Paa ligularis 0.16 20.25 (2.70) 143 (40) 11.05 (2.40) 8 (8) 18.98 (2.44) 53 (32) 0.13 (0.10) Nees ex Steud.	Poa lanuginosa Poir.				0.28 (0.20)				0.05 (0.10)	
Nees ex Steud. ^{Vulpia sp.} C. C. Gmell 0-03 (0-03) Perennial grasses* 3·67 [†] 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) Total grasses 26·31 196 25·04 38 31·31 121 24·12 Shrubs 6*8 (0*85) 6·10 (2·30) 6*8 (0*85) 6·10 (2·30) Asteraceae 0*85 (0·40) 0*88 (0·85) 6·10 (2·30) Senecio bracteolatus 0·35 (0·40) 0*88 (0·85) 6·10 (2·30) Senecio bracteolatus 0·35 (0·40) 0*85 (0·80) 1·25 (0·64) 8 (8) 2·63 (1·70) 15 (1) Apiaceae 0*78 (0·60) 3*83 (1·90) 2·43 (0·88) 3·68 (1·80) 16 (1) Juss. ex Poir. 0-15 (0·10) 0*15 (0·10) 0.50 (0·50) Juss. ex Poir. 0-20 (0·20) Britton et Rose 0-20 (0·20) Britton et Rose 0.18 (0·10) 0.05 (0·10) 0.10 (0·20)	Poa ligularis	0.16	20.25 (2.70)	143 (40)	11.05 (2.40)	8 (8)	18.98 (2.44)	53 (32)	0.13 (0.10)	
Vulpia sp. C. C. Gmell 0.03 (0.03) Perennial grasses* 3.67 [†] 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) Total grasses 26·31 196 25·04 38 31·31 121 24·12 Shrubs Asteraceae 0-88 (0-85) 6·10 (2·30) 6/10 (2·30) 6/10 (2·30) 6/10 (2·30) 6/10 (2·30) Asteraceae 0-35 (0-40) 0-85 (0-80) 1·25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2.63 (1·70) 15 (1 Senecio bracteolatus 0-78 (0-60) 3·83 (1·90) 2·43 (0-88) 3-68 (1·80) 1/25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2.63 (1·70) 15 (1 Apiaceae 0-78 (0-60) 3·83 (1·90) 2·43 (0-88) 3-68 (1·80) 1/25 (0/20) 1/25 (Nees ex Steud.									
C. C. Gmell Perennial grasses* 3.67 [†] 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) Total grasses 26.31 196 25.04 38 31.31 121 24.12 Shrubs 38 31.31 121 24.12 24.12 Shrubs 0.88 (0.85) 6.10 (2.30) 6.10 (2.30) 6.10 (2.30) Asteraceae 0.35 (0.40) 0.88 (0.85) 6.10 (2.30) 6.10 (2.30) (Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don 0.35 (0.40) 0.45 (0.40) 0.45 (0.40) Hook & Arn. 0.45 (0.40) 0.45 (0.40) 1.25 (0.64) 8 (8) 2.63 (1.70) 15 (1.40) Apiaceae 3.68 (1.80) 1.25 (0.64) 8 (8) 2.63 (1.70) 15 (1.40) (Cav.) Pers. 3.68 (1.80) Juss. ex Poir. Cataceae Berberis heterophylla	Vulpia sp.								0.03 (0.03)	
Perennial grasses* 3.67' 53 (26) 30 (14) 68 (27) Total grasses 26-31 196 25-04 38 31-31 121 24-12 Shrubs Asteraceae 0.88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) 6.10 (2-30) 6.10 (2-30) (Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don 0.95 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) Hook. & Arn. 0.35 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 1.00 (2-30) 1.01 (2-30) Apiaceae 0.35 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 0.45 (0-40) 1.01 (2-30) 1.	C. C. Gmell	*								
Total grasses 26-31 196 25-04 38 31-31 121 24-12 Shrubs Asteraceae 0-88 0-88 0-85 6-10 (2-30) Asteraceae 0-88 0-85 0-45 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Hook. & Arn. 0-35 0-40 0-45 (0-40) 10	Perennial grasses*	3.67		53 (26)		30 (14)		68 (27)		
Asteraceae 0-88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) Nassauvia glomerulosa 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Kenecio bracteolatus 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Hook. & Arm. 0-35 (0-40) 1-25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2-63 (1-70) 15 (1 Apiaceae 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) 0 Mulinum spinosum 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) 0 Cactaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0-50 (0-50) 0 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose 0-20 (0-20) 0-15 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10) Ephedraceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-20 (0-20) 0-10 (0-20) 0-10 (0-20) Britton et Rose 0-20 (0-20) 0-10 (0-20) 0-10 (0-20) Britton et Rose 0-15 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10)	Total grasses		26.31	196	25.04	38	31.31	121	24.12	
Asteraceae 0-88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) (Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Senecio bracteolatus 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Hook. & Arn. 0-35 (0-40) 1-25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2-63 (1-70) 15 (1 Apiaceae 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) 0 (Cav.) Pers. 0-15 (0-10) 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0 Berberidaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0 Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) 0-15 (0-10) 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose 0-18 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10)	Shrubs									
Nassauvia glomerulosa 0-88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) (Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Senecio bracteolatus 0-35 (0-40) 0-45 (0-40) Hook. & Arn. 0-88 (0-85) 6-10 (2-30) Senecio filaginoides D. C. 1-0 2-98 (1-0) 0-85 (0-80) 1-25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2-63 (1-70) 15 (1 Apiaceae 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) 0 (Cav.) Pers. 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) 0 Berberidaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0 0 0-50 (0-50) 0 Juss. ex Poir. 0-20 (0-20) 0-20 (0-20) 0-20 (0-20) 0 0-20 (0-20) 0 Britton et Rose 0-18 (0-10) 0-18 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10) 0 </td <td>Asteraceae</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Asteraceae									
(Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don Senecio bracteolatus 0.35 (0.40) 0.45 (0.40) Hook. & Arn. Senecio filaginoides D. C. 1.0 2.98 (1.0) 0.85 (0.80) 1.25 (0.64) 8 (8) 2.63 (1.70) 15 (1.70) Apiaceae Mulinum spinosum 0.78 (0.60) 3.83 (1.90) 2.43 (0.88) 3.68 (1.80) (Cav.) Pers. Berberidaceae 0.15 (0.10) 0.50 (0.50) 0.50 (0.50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactaceae 0.15 (0.10) 0.20 (0.20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae 0.18 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10)	Nassauvia glomerulosa						0.88 (0.85)		6.10 (2.30)	
Senecto bracteolatus 0.35 (0.40) 0.45 (0.40) Hook. & Arn.	(Lag. ex. Lindl.) D. Don									
Hook, & Arn. Senecio filaginoides D. C. 1-0 2-98 (1-0) 0-85 (0-80) 1-25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2-63 (1-70) 15 (1 Apiaceae Mulinum spinosum 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) (Cav.) Pers. Berberidaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0-50 (0-50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae 0-18 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10)	Senecio bracteolatus				0.35 (0.40)				0.45 (0.40)	
Senecto fulginoides D. C. 1-0 2-98 (1-0) 0-85 (0-80) 1-25 (0-64) 8 (8) 2-63 (1-70) 15 (1 Apiaceae Mulinum spinosum 0-78 (0-60) 3-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) (Cav.) Pers. Berberidaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) 0-50 (0-50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae 0-18 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10)	Hook. & Arn.							a (a)		
Apiaceae Mulinum spinosum 0.78 (0.60) 3.83 (1.90) 2.43 (0.88) 3.68 (1.80) (Cav.) Pers. Berberidaceae 0.15 (0.10) 0.50 (0.50) Berberis heterophylla 0.15 (0.10) 0.50 (0.50) Juss. ex Poir. 0.20 (0.20) Britton et Rose 0.18 (0.10) 0.25 (0.10)	Senecio filaginoides D. C.	1.0	2.98 (1.0)		0.85 (0.80)		1.25 (0.64)	8 (8)	2.63 (1.70)	15 (10)
Multinum spinstum 0-78 (0-60) 5-83 (1-90) 2-43 (0-88) 3-68 (1-80) (Cav.) Pers. Berberidaceae 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) Juss. ex Poir. 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) Cactaceae 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose 0-18 (0-10) 0-05 (0-10)	Аріасеае		0.72 (0.40)		2.02 (1.00)		2 42 (0 00)		2 (0 (1 00)	
Berberidaceae Berberis heterophylla O-15 (0-10) O-50 (0-50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactaceae Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae Ephedraceae	Mulinum spinosum		0.78 (0.60)		3.83 (1.90)		2.43 (0.88)		3.68 (1.80)	
Berberiacceae 0.15 (0.10) 0.50 (0.50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactacceae 0.20 (0.20) Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) 0.20 (0.20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae Ephedraceae 0.18 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10)	(Cav.) Pers.									
Bereers heterophylia 0-15 (0-10) 0-50 (0-50) Juss. ex Poir. Cactaceae 0-20 (0-20) Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae Ephedra ochreata Miers 0-18 (0-10)	Berberidaceae		0.15 (0.10)						0.50 (0.50)	
Cactaceae Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) 0-20 (0-20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae Enhedra ochreata Miers 0-18 (0-10)	Berberis neterophylla		0.15 (0.10)						0.20 (0.20)	
Maihuenia patagonica (Phil.) 0.20 (0.20) Britton et Rose Ephedraceae Enhedra ochreata Miers 0.18 (0.10)	Suss. ex Foil.									
Britton et Rose 0.18 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10)	Mailmunia natagoniag (Dhil.)								0.20 (0.20)	
Ephedraceae Enhedra ochreata Miers 0.18 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10)	Prittop et Pose								0.20 (0.20)	
Enhedra ochreata Miers 0.18 (0.10) 0.05 (0.10)	Enhedraceae									
	Enhedra ochreata Miers		0.18 (0.10)						0.05 (0.10)	
Ephageae	Fabaceae		0.10 (0.10)						0.03 (0.10)	
Ademia abcordata Clos 0.08 (0.04) 0.03 (0.03)	Adesmia abcardata Clos		0.08 (0.04)						0.03 (0.03)	
Adesmia volchnani i Phil 1.35 (0.80) 2.30 (2.0) 0.13 (0.10) 0.70 (0.70)	Adesmia volchmannii Phil		1.35 (0.80)		2.30 (2.0)		0.13 (0.10)		0.09(0.09)	
Rosaceae	Rosaceae		1.55 (0.00)		2.90 (2.0)		0.13 (0.10)		0.70 (0.70)	
Tetraalochin 0.40 (0.30) 2.85 (1.20) 0.03 (0.03) 0.03 (0.03)	Tetraalochin		0.40 (0.30)		2.85 (1.20)		0.03 (0.03)		0.03 (0.03)	
alatum (Gill. ex	alatum (Gill, ex		0 10 (0 50)		205 (120)		0 0 0 (0 0 0)		0 0 0 (0 0)	
Hook. & Arn.) Kuntze	Hook, & Arn.) Kuntze									
Total shrubs 5.92 7.88 4.72 8 14.37 15	Total shrubs		5.92		7.88		4.72	8	14.37	15

Table 2 Mean cover percentage (\pm SE) of the standing vegetation (VEG) and mean seed bank (SB) density (seeds m⁻² \pm SE) in exclosed and grazed sectors from grasslands with moderate and high historical use by sheep respectively.

Table 2 (continued)

Functional		Moderate historical use				High historical use			
group	Seed	Exclosure		Grazed		Exclosure		Grazed	
Family and	mass			Giuz		Exclos			
Species	(mg)	VEG	SB	VEG	SB	VEG	SB	VEG	SB
Herbs									
Amaryllidaceae									
Rodophiala mendocina				0.05 (0.03)		0.28 (0.14)		0.05 (0.03)	
(Phil.) Ravenna									
Asteraceae									
Hypochaeris incana (Hook.						0.03 (0.03)			
& Arn.) Macloskie									
Leucheria		0.44 (0.10)		0.03 (0.03)		0.94 (0.25)			
achillaeifolia									
Hook. & Arn.									
Perezia recurvata		0.83 (0.30)		0.33 (0.20)		1.43 (0.57)			
(Vahl) Less.									
Sonchus asper [‡] (L.) Hill	0.29		8 (8)						
Triptilion achilleae D. C.				0.1 (0.05)		0.03 (0.03)		0.43 (0.20)	
Brassicaceae									
Draba verna‡ L.	0.016		91 (28)		75 (37)		75 (35)		60 (32)
Boraginaceae									
Heliotropium	0.18				8 (8)				
paronychioides A. D. C.									
Caryopyllaceae									
Cerastium arvense‡ L.	0.15	0.45 (0.20)		0.08 (0.10)		0.63 (0.17)	23 (17)	0.50 (0.20)	8 (8)
Holosteum	0.09		38 (16)		166 (48)		68 (35)		15 (10)
umbellatum‡ L.									
Euphorbiaceae									
Euphorbia collina Phil.				0.10 (0.10)					
Iridaceae									
Olsynium junceum		1.25 (0.30)		0.15 (0.10)		0.20 (0.20)		0.05 (0.03)	
(E. Mey. ex J. Presl)									
Goldblatt									
Sisyrinchium arenarium				0.05 (0.03)		0.18 (0.13)		0.03 (0.03)	
Poepp.									
Polemoniaceae									
Microsteris gracilis‡	1.41	0.03 (0.02)		0.20 (0.10)			8 (8)		
(Hook.) Greene									
Rubiaceae									
Oreopulus glacialis		1.13 (0.70)							
(Poepp.) Ricardi									
Santalaceae									
Arjona tuberosa Cav.		0.23 (0.10)		0.10 (0.10)		0.64 (0.16)		0.28 (0.10)	
Scrophulariaceae									
Calceolaria polyrrhiza Cav.	0.04	0.80 (0.20)	166 (41)	0.35 (0.10)	151 (43)	0.63 (0.28)	45 (25)	0.20 (0.10)	45 (17)
Total herbs		5.16	303	2.79	400	4.99	219	1.57	128
Unid dico			8 (8)		8 (8)		23 (17)	0.03 (0.03)	
Total		37.39	507	35.71	446	41.02	371	40.09	143

Unid dico, Unidentified dicotyledon. Seed weight was only indicated for species found in the seed bank. *Seeds of perennial grasses that were not identified to species level.

†Mean seed mass of perennial grasses is reported.

‡Exotic species. Annual species were underlined.



Figure I Relative representation of the functional groups in the standing vegetation and the seed bank of exclosure (Ex) and grazed (Gr) sectors from grasslands with moderate and high historical grazing pressure. Herbs, shrubs and perennial grasses are indicated in white, black and grey colour respectively.



Figure 2 Relative representation of exotic (in black) and native (in grey) species in the standing vegetation and the seed bank of exclosure (Ex) and grazed (Gr) sectors from grass-lands with moderate and high historical grazing pressure.

P > 0.05; Figure 3h). We found shrub seeds in this site, but in low density in both sectors (7.5 and 15 seeds m⁻² in the exclosure and grazed sectors – no weighted data, respectively; Figure 3f).

Grazed sectors suffered marked changes in the relative composition of grasses with different forage importance in comparison with the exclosures (Figure 4). This was particularly notable in the sector with high grazing pressure, where grasses with the highest forage importance were non-existent, and grasses of intermediate forage importance were dominant (Figure 4). The category of grasses with nil forage importance comprised only *Festuca argentina* (Speg.) Parodi.

Considering horizontal seed distribution in microsites, in both sites, seed density was greater in leeward of shrubs than in gaps (moderate exclosure: Z = 3.25; moderate grazed: Z = 3.06; high exclosure: Z = 2.76; P < 0.01 for all cases), excepting in the grazed sector with a high grazing history where seed density was similar in both microsites (Z = 0.54, P > 0.05; Figure 5).

There were differences in the horizontal spatial distribution of different sized seeds in most of the studied sectors. Shrubs harboured a greater amount of the smallest seeds than between plant gaps in the site with a moderate historical use (exclosure: Z = 3.10; grazed: Z = 2.98, P < 0.01 for both cases; Figure 6), and also in the exclosure of the site with a high use (Z = 2.27, P < 0.05; Figure 6). Only in the exclosure of the moderately used site did shrubs also harbour a larger amount of the bigger seeds than the gaps (Z = 2.02, P < 0.05; Figure 6). The grazed sector with high use did not contain the bigger seed class nor any *P. ligularis* seeds, and the distribution of the smallest seeds was similar between microsites (Z = 0.47, P > 0.05; Figure 6).

In both sites, ash depth was greater in the lee of the shrubs than in the interplant gaps (moderate exclosure: Z = 3.80; moderate grazed: Z = 3.91; high exclosure: Z = 3.91; high grazed: Z = 3.62; P < 0.001 for all cases). Shrubs accumulated an average ash layer of 3-4.4 cm, and gaps accumulated an average ash layer of 0.5-0.8 cm.

Discussion

In the semi-arid grasslands studied, grazing by domestic animals produced greater relative changes in the soil seed bank than in the vegetation. As was shown in Figure 1, composition and abundance of functional groups in the seed bank were proportionately more affected by livestock, compared to the vegetation, with greater changes in the grassland that had experienced higher grazing pressure for a longer period of time (ca. 80 years). The impact on the seed bank was led by a



Figure 3 Aboveground vegetation cover (%) and seed bank abundance (seeds m^{-2}) of grasslands with moderate (left column) and high (right column) historical use by grazing in exclosures (grey bars) and grazed sectors (white bars). (a, b) Cover and seed density of total vegetation; (c, d) cover and seed density of perennial grasses; (e, f) cover and seed density of shrubs; and (g, h) cover and seed density of herbs. Symbols in bold indicate the results obtained from *Poa ligularis* comparisons. np: statistical analysis not performed; ns: non-significant differences; * = P < 0.05; *** = P < 0.001.



Figure 4 Relative composition (%) of grasses with different forage importance of exclosed (Ex) and grazed (Gr) areas of grasslands with moderate and high historical use by grazing. Grasses with null, intermediate and high forage importance are indicated in white, black and grey colour respectively.

marked decrease (under moderate use), or total disappearance (under high use) of perennial grasses, a result clearly reflected by Poa ligularis, the dominant grass in the absence of grazing.

Perennial grasses that dominate perennial grassland communities commonly have a low presence in the seed bank (Rice, 1989). The scarce representation of many perennial grasses can be explained by the relatively big size and flat shape of their seeds which hinders their burial and leads to the formation of transient seed banks (Thompson, 1987; Thompson et al., 1993). As an example, the proportions of seeds in soil of Pappostipa speciosa (seed size: 12 mm long and 1 mm wide), a common perennial grass of many areas in Patagonia, have been found to be nil or very low (from <1 to <6.3%, control treatments) in studies performed in different communities (Ghermandi, 1997; Gonzalez and Ghermandi, 2008; Pazos and Bertiller, 2008). Contrary to expectations, perennial grasses from the exclosures studied here made a considerable contribution to the seed bank (30-40%), mostly represented by P. ligularis seeds. Unlike most perennial grass of the community, *P. ligularis* has very small seeds (0.16 mg) which would favour the formation of persistent seed banks and therefore its dominance within the grass fraction of the seed bank.

However, the relative contribution of P. ligularis (a dioecious species) to the seed bank greatly diminished in grazed sectors probably due to an impoverishment in seed soil recharge, mediated in part by sex-related mechanisms. In the Patagonian steppe of SW Chubut, Graff et al. (2013) found male-biased ratios of this species with increasing grazing intensity derived from a female-biased consumption by domestic herbivores. This biased consumption was a result of a greater distance of females than males from unpalatable competitors, which made the former more visible and exposed to be browsed. This mechanism could explain why, although the moderately grazed sector had half the cover of P. ligularis compared to the neighbouring exclosure (11 vs 20% respectively), it had eighteen-fold fewer seeds of this species in the seed bank (8 seeds m^{-2} vs 143 seeds m⁻²; Table 2). In the same way, micro-environments with low nutrient and water availability in arid steppes of NE Patagonia were better tolerated by male than female individuals of P. ligularis (Bertiller et al., 2000, 2002). Accordingly, the reduction in quantity of P. ligularis seeds observed in our study could be to a large extent a consequence of sex-biased responses to higher foraging pressure and environmental degradation at increasing grazing intensities.

The studied grassland sites were composed of five to ten shrub species (Table 2), but we only found one shrub species, S. filaginoides (Asteraceae), in the seed bank of the site with high grazing pressure. Senecio filaginoides, similar to the native S. bracteolatus Hook. & Arn. (Gonzalez and Ghermandi, 2012), appears to have no dormant seeds (transient seed bank). Apparently, the method used to estimate the seed bank (seedling emergence) failed in detecting shrub species with dormant seeds. As an illustration, empirical evidence indicates that seeds of M. spinosum (one of the dominant shrubs) have morphophysiological dor-



m⁻²; weighted values) leeward of shrubs (grey bars) and in interplant gap microsites (white bars) in exclosed and grazed sectors of grasslands with moderate and high historical use by grazing. ns: non-significant differences; ** = P < 0.01.



Figure 6 Seed size density (seeds m⁻²; weighted values) leeward of shrubs and in gap microsites in the exclosure and the grazed area of grasslands with moderate and high historical use by grazing. White bars indicate density of seeds weighing up to 0.5 mg, and black bars indicate density of seeds heavier than 3 mg. *Poa ligularis* values are showed with dashed bars within the smallest seed size category. ns: non-significant differences; * = P < 0.05; ** = P < 0.01.

mancy (Gonzalez, 2011), a kind of dormancy that has been reported in other species from the Apiaceae family (Baskin and Baskin, 1998; Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006). Seed germination of this species requires time for the embryo to grow and a dormancy-breaking treatment (Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006). In early studies, dormancy of M. spinosum seeds was broken after 2 years of seed burial in field or after long periods of laboratory treatments that included cool stratification (Soriano, 1960). No germination occurred after 6 months of seed burial (Soriano, 1960), and germination was nil (Bran, 1982) or low in absence of cold stratification under laboratory conditions (Gonzalez and Ghermandi, 2012). In the present study, it is probable that seeds of M. spinosum (and other shrub species) could not be detected through germination due to the absence of the conditions required to promote the breakdown of seed dormancy. This hypothesis acquires relevance when it is considered that in a grassland where M. spinosum had a cover similar to that registered in the exclosures studied here, Fernández et al. (2002) retrieved 644 seeds m^{-2} of this species from soil samples gathered from gap edges. Unlike our study, they separated seeds manually from soil. Although studies on ecobiology of Patagonian species are increasing, there remains a lack of knowledge of germination ecophysiology for most of the species. Among many other applications, this information can be valuable to understanding soil seed bank responses of grasslands impacted by different land uses.

Water-limited environments display a heterogeneous spatial structure, characterized by vegetated patches interspaced by open areas (Aguiar and Sala, 1999). This heterogeneity is also reflected in the spatial distribution of seeds, whose density is usually greater in vegetated microsites compared with the interplant patch areas (Guo *et al.*, 1998; Kinloch and Friedel, 2005a). In this study, this spatial pattern was

observed in the exclosures and in the area that had withstood moderate historical use by sheep. However, intensive grazing produced homogenization in the horizontal spatial distribution of seeds and also in their size structure. The sector with high historical use experienced a substantial decrease of seeds leeward to shrubs, and such densities were similar to seed densities found in gaps and kept only the smaller seed mass class. The reduction in seed entrapment capacity of shrubs in relation to the exclosure could have been determined by a decline in patch connectivity and structural heterogeneity of the community (López et al., 2013) and by a change in the dominant shrub species. A reduced ability of shrubs to trap seeds could be due to differences in size and architecture between the shrub species that dominated the highly grazed sector and its neighbouring exclosure. In the highly grazed area, the short shrub Nassauvia glomerulosa (Lag. ex Lindl.) D. Don (5-80 cm high) dominated and, the exclosure was dominated by M. spinosum, a spherical cushion shrub that can reach large dimensions (30-300 cm high and 30-300 cm diameter; Table 2).

The studied area was affected by deposits of volcanic ash which was differentially distributed between microsites. Tephra deposits can affect plant functional groups differently, causing greater impact on species that lack underground organs, like annual herbs (del Moral and Grishin, 1999). The absence of annual species from vegetation (mostly exotic species; see Table 2) was probably a consequence of the volcanic ash deposits. The disappearance of annuals after the Puyehue eruption was also observed in other grasslands of the region (Ghermandi and Gonzalez, 2012). In a recent work, Ghermandi and Gonzalez (2012) adjudicated the suppression of annuals to the deposition of a thick ash layer that represented an obstacle to the emergence of the small seedlings produced by annual species. However, the authors suggested that the disappearance of this functional group from the vegetation would be temporal as they form persistent soil seed banks. In our study, annuals were absent from the vegetation but they were present in great abundance in the seed bank, which is in accordance with the suggestions made by Ghermandi and Gonzalez (2012). Additionally, in semi-arid grasslands of the region, annual plants are typically found in gap microsites (Ghermandi and Gonzalez, 2009); thus, greater ash accumulation leeward of shrubs than in gaps would not mean a major impact on this functional group.

The sector with high historical use had an almost exclusive predominance of the smallest seed mass class (with the exception of *S. filaginoides* seeds; Table 2), mainly represented by the exotic annual herbs *Draba verna* L. (0.016 mg) and *Holosteum umbellatum* L. (0.09 mg), the exotic perennial herb *C. arvense* (0.145 mg), and by the native perennial herb *Calceolaria polyrrhiza* (0.04 mg). The small seed size of these species favours the formation of persistent soil seed banks (Thompson, 1987; Thompson *et al.*, 1993), which ensures their persistence in spite of prolonged and severe disturbance in the environment as it seems was produced by sheep overstocking in the area.

Interestingly, neither total vegetation nor grass cover varied between ungrazed and grazed sectors, independent of their historical use. However, as grazing pressure increased, there were changes in relative abundance of functional groups and also in grass composition. While changes in the relative abundance of functional groups were determined by shrub encroachment and to a lesser extent by diminishing herb cover, changes in grass composition were driven by the replacement of high forage importance grasses by others of intermediate or null value. Shrub encroachment as well as the presence of grasses with little or nil forage importance is indicative of system degradation due to overgrazing. These results lead us to stress how inadequate total or perennial grass cover is as an indicator of the impact produced by domestic animals at the community level, and the importance of determining grass species identities and relative contributions to vegetation when comparing the conservation status of differently grazed areas. Furthermore, the study of species-specific responses to grazing is of importance as generalizations based on species associations cannot often be made (Orre et al., 2005; Franzese and Ghermandi, 2012).

Conclusions

Overall, the results obtained in our work revealed the small size of the native species seed reservoir, changes

in seed size structure and seed spatial arrangement, and the poor functionality of the seed bank under increasing domestic grazing pressure. These results would allow us to suggest that in temperate semi-arid grasslands that have endured historically heavy grazing, it would be not possible to rely on the soil seed bank to recover those species that were totally or nearly extinguished from the standing vegetation. Although our work is a study case (with one replicate of each grazing level), we consider that it makes a helpful contribution to the general understanding of the ecological mechanisms that can shape plant communities subjected to different historical use by domestic grazers.

Considering the increase in land degradation in Patagonia (Geist and Lambin, 2004), conservation efforts should be advocated that preserve soil seed bank integrity of grasslands with low or moderate historical grazing use. Management should be aimed at reducing grazing pressure on communities, particularly on palatable species. The generation of knowledge on the germination ecophysiology of native species should be a priority as this information could be used as a baseline to develop ex situ forage production alternatives (e.g. through the implementation of innovative methods such as hydroponic crops) or plant nurseries focused on the production of propagules for grassland restoration programmes. These actions, together with a diversification of the economy (e.g. agro-tourism), should be particularly encouraged to mitigate the impact of livestock on temperate natural grasslands of Patagonia. As a final point, because the soil seed bank showed a high sensitivity to grazing, we highlight the importance of sampling when monitoring the conservation status of grasslands, to achieve more knowledge and therefore potentially improve management of these ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge constructive comments of two anonymous referees and the Associate Editor. We thank the EEA INTA Bariloche, which allowed us to perform our study at Campo Anexo Pilcaniyeu. We are grateful to Jonathan Titus for the English revision and useful comments on the manuscript. The study was funded by the UNCo (Project B156), and CONICET (PIP 1091).

References

- AGUIAR M.R. and SALA O.E. (1999) Patch structure, dynamics and implications for the functioning of arid ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **14**, 273–277.
- BASKIN C.C. and BASKIN J.M. (1998) Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. San Diego, USA: Academic Press.

- BERTILLER M. and BISIGATO A.J. (1998) Vegetations dynamics under grazing disturbance. The state-andtransition model for the Patagonian steppes. *Ecología Austral*, **8**, 191–199.
- BERTILLER M.B., ARES J.O., GRAFF P. and BALDI R. (2000) Sex-related spatial patterns of *Poa ligularis* in relation to shrub patch occurrence in northern Patagonia. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **11**, 9–14.
- BERTILLER M.B., SAIN C.L., BISIGATO A.J., CORONATO F.R., ARES J.O. and GRAFF P. (2002) Spatial sex segregation in the dioecious grass *Poa ligularis* in northern Patagonia: the role of environmental patchiness. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **11**, 69–84.

BRAN D. (1982) Ensayos preliminares del poder germinativo de especies nativas. (Preliminary trials on the germination capacity of native species). *Memorias* técnicas EEA INTA Bariloche, 6, 24–30.

BULLOCK J.M., CLEAR H.B., DALE M.P. and SILVERTOWN J. (1994) An experimental study of the effects of sheep grazing on vegetation change in a species-poor grassland and the role of seedling recruitment into gaps. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **31**, 493–507.

CIBILS A.F. and BORRELLI P.R. (2005) Grasslands of Patagonia. In: Suttie J.M., Reynolds S.G. and Batello C. (eds) *Grasslands of the world.* pp. 121–170. Rome, Italy: FAO Plant Production and Protection Series.

CREMONA M.V., FERRARI J.L. and LOPEZ S. (2011) Las cenizas volcánicas y los suelos de la región (Volcanic ash and soils of the region). *Revista Presencia*, **57**, 8–11.

DEL MORAL R. and GRISHIN S.Y. (1999) Volcanic disturbances and ecosystem recovery. In: Walker L.R. (ed.) *Ecosystems of disturbed ground*, pp. 137–155.
Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science.

FENNER M. and THOMPSON K. (2005) *The ecology of seeds*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

FERNÁNDEZ R.J., GOLLUSCIO R.A., BISIGATO A.J. and SORIANO A. (2002) Gap colonization in the Patagonian semidesert: seed bank and diaspore morphology. *Ecography*, **25**, 336–344.

FINCH-SAVAGE W.E. and LEUBNER-METZGER G. (2006) Seed dormancy and the control of germination. *New Phytologist*, **171**, 501–523.

FRANZESE J. and GHERMANDI L. (2012) Effect of fire on recruitment of two dominant perennial grasses with different palatability from NW Patagonia grassland (Argentina). *Plant Ecology*, **213**, 471–481.

GAITÁN J.J., AYESA J.A., RAFFO F., UMAÑA F., BRAN D.E. and MORAGA H. (2011) Monitoreo de la distribución de cenizas volcánicas en Río Negro y Neuquén: situación a los 6 meses de la erupción. Bariloche, ARG: Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria.

GEIST H.J. and LAMBIN E.F. (2004) Dynamic causal patterns of desertification. *BioScience*, **54**, 817–829.

GHERMANDI L. (1997) Seasonal patterns in the seed bank of a grassland in north-western Patagonia. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **35**, 215–224.

GHERMANDI L. and GONZALEZ S.L. (2009) Diversity and functional groups dynamics affected by drought and fire in Patagonian grasslands. *Ecoscience*, **16**, 408–417.

- GHERMANDI L. and GONZALEZ S. (2012) Observaciones tempranas de la deposición de ceniza por la erupción volcánica del Cordón Caulle y sus consecuencias sobre la vegetación de la estepa del NO de la Patagonia. *Ecología Austral*, **22**, 144–149.
- GITTINS C., BUSSO C.A., BECKER G., GHERMANDI L. and SIFFREDI G. (2010) Defoliation frequency affects morphophysiological traits in the bunchgrass *Poa ligularis*. *Phyton*, **79**, 55–68.

GODAGNONE R.E. and BRAN D.E. (2009) Inventario integrado de los recursos naturales de la Provincia de Río Negro: geología, hidrogeología, geomorfología, suelo, clima, vegetación y fauna. Buenos Aires, ARG: Ediciones INTA.

GONZALEZ S.L. (2011) Estrategias de regeneración post-fuego en pastizales del noroeste patagónico: un enfoque experimental. Doctoral thesis, S.C. de Bariloche, Argentina: Universidad Nacional del Comahue.

- GONZALEZ S.L. and GHERMANDI L. (2008) Postfire seed bank dynamics in semiarid grasslands. *Plant Ecology*, **199**, 175–185.
- GONZALEZ S.L. and GHERMANDI L. (2012) Fire cue effects on seed germination of six species of northwestern Patagonian grasslands. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, **12**, 2753–2758.

GRAFF P., ROSITANO F. and AGUIAR M.R. (2013) Changes in sex ratios of a dioecious grass with grazing intensity: the interplay between gender traits, neighbour interactions and spatial patterns. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 1146–1157.

GUO Q., RUNDEL P.W. and GOODALL D.W. (1998) Horizontal and vertical distribution of desert seed banks: patterns, causes, and implications. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **38**, 465–478.

HOEKSTRA J., BOUCHER T., RICKETTS T. and ROBERTS C. (2005) Confronting a biome crisis: global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 23–29.

HUNT L.P. (2001) Heterogeneous grazing causes local extinction of edible perennial shrubs: a matrix analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **38**, 238–252.

KINLOCH J.E. and FRIEDEL M.H. (2005a) Soil seed reserves in arid grazing lands of central Australia. Part 2: availability of 'safe sites'. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 60, 163–185.

KINLOCH J.E. and FRIEDEL M.H. (2005b) Soil seed reserves in arid grazing lands of central Australia. Part 1: seed bank and vegetation dynamics. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **60**, 133–161.

LÓPEZ D. (2011) Una aproximación estructural-funcional del modelo de estados y transiciones para el estudio de la dinámica de la vegetación en estepas de Patagonia Norte. Doctoral thesis. Bariloche, ARG: Universidad Nacional del Comahue.

LÓPEZ D.R., CAVALLERO L., BRIZUELA M.A. and AGUIAR M.R. (2010) Ecosystemic structural-functional approach of the state and transition model. *Applied Vegetation Science*, **14**, 6–16.

LÓPEZ D.R., BRIZUELA M.A., WILLEMS P., AGUIAR M.R., SIFFREDI G. and BRAN D. (2013) Linking ecosystem resistance, resilience, and stability in steppes of North Patagonia. *Ecological Indicators*, **24**, 1–11.

- MICHELSON A.V. (2008) Patagonian steppes (Argentina and Chile). In: Michelson A.V. (eds) *Temperate grasslands of South America*. pp. 1–44. Hohhot, China: The World Temperate Grasslands Conservation Initiative Workshop.
- MILLENNIUM ECOSYSTEM ASSESSMENT (2005) *Ecosystems* and human well-being: desertification synthesis. Washington, DC, USA: World Resources Institute.
- MOFIDI M., JAFARI M., TAVILI A., RASHTBARI M. and ALIJANPOUR A. (2013) Grazing exclusion effect on soil and vegetation properties in Imam Kandi Rangelands, Iran. Arid Land Research and Management, **27**, 32–40.
- ORRE K.J., HUFFT R.A. and PARKER I.M. (2005) The effects of grazing on native and exotic seed banks at Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine Research Reserve. Elkhorn Slough Technical Report Series, 2.
- PARUELO J.M., GOLLUSCIO R.A., JOBBAGY E.G., CANEVARI M. and AGUIAR M.R. (2006) Situación ambiental en la estepa patagónica. In: Brown A., Martinez Ortiz U., Acerbi M. and Corcuera J. (eds) La situación ambiental argentina 2005, pp. 303–313. Buenos Aires, ARG: Fundación Vida Silvestre Argentina.
- PAZOS G.E. and BERTILLER M.B. (2008) Spatial patterns of the germinable soil seed bank of coexisting perennial-grass species in grazed shrublands of the Patagonian Monte. *Plant Ecology*, **198**, 111–120.
- PAZOS G.E., BISIGATO A.J. and BERTILLER M.B. (2007) Abundance and spatial patterning of coexisting perennial grasses in grazed shrublands of the Patagonian Monte. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **70**, 316–328.
- RICE K.J. (1989) Impacts of seed banks on grassland community structure and population dynamics.
 In: Leck M.A., Parker V.T. and Simpson R.L. (eds) *Ecology of soil seed banks*, pp. 212–230. San Diego, USA: Academic Press.
- ROTUNDO J.L. and AGUIAR M.R. (2005) Litter effects on plant regeneration in arid lands: a complex balance between seed retention, seed longevity and soil-seed contact. *Journal of Ecology*, **93**, 829–838.

- SCHLESINGER W.H., REYNOLDS J.F., CUNNINGHAM G.L., HUENNEKE L.F., JARRELL W.M., VIRGINIA R.A. and WHITFORD W.G. (1990) Biological feedbacks in global desertification. *Science*, **247**, 1043–1048.
- SIMPSON R.L., LECK M.A. and PARKER V.T. (1989) Seed banks: general concepts and methodological issues. In: Leck M.A., Parker V.T. and Simpson R.L. (eds) *Ecology* of soil seed banks, pp. 3–8. New York, USA: Academic Press.
- SORIANO A. (1960) Germination of twenty dominant plants in Patagonia in relation to regeneration of vegetation. In: Skidmore, C.L. (ed.) *Proceedings of the Eighth International Grassland Congress*, pp. 154–158. England, UK: Eighth International Grassland Congress, University of Reading, England, UK.
- SRUR M.A., GOLLUSCIO R.A., VILLALBA R. and HODARA K. (2013) Grazing-induced morphological and growth rate changes in *Anarthrophyllum rigidum*, a Patagonian leguminous shrub. *Dendrochronologia*, **31**, 223–227.
- STERNBERG M., GUTMAN M., PEREVOLOTSKY A. and KIGEL J. (2003) Effects of grazing on soil seed bank dynamics: an approach with functional groups. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **14**, 375–386.
- THOMPSON K. (1987) Seeds and seed banks. *New Phytologist*, **106**, 23–34.
- THOMPSON K., BAND S.R. and HODGSON J.G. (1993) Seed size and shape predict persistence in soil. *Functional Ecology*, **7**, 236–241.
- TÖRÖK P., MIGLÉCZ T., VALKÓ O., TÓTH K., KELEMEN A., ALBERT Á., MATUS G., MOLNÁR V.A., RUPRECHT E., PAPP L., DEÁ B., HORVÁT O., TAKÁCS A., HÜSE B. and TÓTHMÉRÉSZ B. (2013) New thousand-seed weight records of the Pannonian flora and their application in analysing social behaviour types. Acta Botanica Hungarica, 55, 429–472.
- VELASCO V. and SIFFREDI G. (2009) *Guía para el reconocimiento de especies de los pastizales de sierras y mesetas occidentales de Patagonia,* Ediciones INTA. Bariloche: ARG.