

The interplay of seven subthreshold conductances controls the resting membrane potential and the oscillatory behavior of thalamocortical neurons

Yimy Amarillo,^{1,2} Edward Zagha,² German Mato,^{1,3} Bernardo Rudy,² and Marcela S. Nadal¹

¹Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Física Estadística e Interdisciplinaria, Centro Atómico Bariloche, San Carlos de Bariloche, Rio Negro, Argentina; ²Smilow Neuroscience Program, New York University Medical Center, New York, New York; and ³Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro, San Carlos de Bariloche, Rio Negro, Argentina

Submitted 10 September 2013; accepted in final form 21 April 2014

Amarillo Y, Zagha E, Mato G, Rudy B, Nadal MS. The interplay of seven subthreshold conductances controls the resting membrane potential and the oscillatory behavior of thalamocortical neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 112: 393–410, 2014. First published April 23, 2014; doi:10.1152/jn.00647.2013.—The signaling properties of thalamocortical (TC) neurons depend on the diversity of ion conductance mechanisms that underlie their rich membrane behavior at subthreshold potentials. Using patch-clamp recordings of TC neurons in brain slices from mice and a realistic conductance-based computational model, we characterized seven subthreshold ion currents of TC neurons and quantified their individual contributions to the total steady-state conductance at levels below tonic firing threshold. We then used the TC neuron model to show that the resting membrane potential results from the interplay of several inward and outward currents over a background provided by the potassium and sodium leak currents. The steady-state conductances of depolarizing I_h (hyperpolarization-activated cationic current), I_T (low-threshold calcium current), and I_{NaP} (persistent sodium current) move the membrane potential away from the reversal potential of the leak conductances. This depolarization is counteracted in turn by the hyperpolarizing steady-state current of I_A (fast transient A-type potassium current) and I_{Kir} (inwardly rectifying potassium current). Using the computational model, we have shown that single parameter variations compatible with physiological or pathological modulation promote burst firing periodicity. The balance between three amplifying variables (activation of I_T , activation of I_{NaP} , and activation of I_{Kir}) and three recovering variables (inactivation of I_T , activation of I_A , and activation of I_h) determines the propensity, or lack thereof, of repetitive burst firing of TC neurons. We also have determined the specific roles that each of these variables have during the intrinsic oscillation.

thalamocortical neuron; subthreshold conductances; resting membrane potential; repetitive burst firing

THE RESTING MEMBRANE PERMEABILITY of neurons defines the resting membrane potential (RMP) and determines neuronal excitability. This resting membrane permeability is determined by ion channels that are active at levels below the threshold for action potential firing. The molecular identification and biophysical characterization of ion channels in vertebrates has revealed a large diversity of molecular mechanisms potentially involved in controlling the membrane behavior at subthreshold potentials (Hille 2001; Yu and Catterall 2004). Members of many different families of potassium channels display biophys-

ical properties consistent with activation at subthreshold potentials (Coetzee et al. 1999; Rudy et al. 2009). Similarly, hyperpolarization-activated cationic channels (HCN) (Biel et al. 2009), low-threshold calcium channels (Perez-Reyes 2003), persistent sodium currents (Waxman et al. 2002), and leak sodium channels (Ren 2011) also operate at subthreshold potentials. Most neurons express combinations of several of these ion channels, indicating that the RMP results from the complex interaction of several subthreshold operating conductances. Yet, the detailed ionic mechanisms that establish and control the resting membrane permeability of any neuron have not been described.

The subthreshold ionic mechanisms of thalamocortical (TC) neurons have been studied extensively due to the physiological relevance of these neurons and the richness of their membrane behavior at subthreshold potentials. These cells display two modes of firing (tonic and bursting) that originate at two different “resting” potentials and also subthreshold oscillations. Three different conductances have been shown to affect the subthreshold membrane behavior of these neurons: the potassium leak current (McCormick 1992), the hyperpolarization-activated cationic current (I_h ; McCormick and Pape 1990), and the low-threshold calcium current (I_T ; Jahnsen and Llinas 1984). The first two currents control RMP directly, whereas I_T underlies the transient depolarization (low-threshold calcium spike) over which rides a high-frequency burst of Na^+ action potentials elicited when the membrane potential is released from hyperpolarization (rebound burst; Jahnsen and Llinas 1984). In addition, the reciprocal activation of I_h and I_T at hyperpolarized potentials is believed to be the core mechanism of intrinsic repetitive burst firing (McCormick and Bal 1997). Other subthreshold operating conductances in TC neurons that might also contribute to controlling their excitability include an inwardly rectifying potassium current (I_{Kir} ; Williams et al. 1997), a persistent sodium current (I_{NaP} ; Jahnsen and Llinas 1984), and a fast transient A-type potassium current (I_A ; Huguenard et al. 1991). However, the contribution of these conductances to the regulation of the membrane behavior at subthreshold potentials has not been studied.

With the aim of reconstructing the steady-state conductance of TC neurons at subthreshold potentials, we combined electrophysiological recordings in brain slices from mice with computational modeling. We first characterized a strong inwardly rectifying potassium conductance. We then built a biophysically accurate conductance-based model of TC neurons that reproduces the experimental findings, using results

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: Y. Amarillo, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Física Estadística e Interdisciplinaria, Centro Atómico Bariloche, Avenida Bustillo 9500, San Carlos de Bariloche, Rio Negro R8402AGP, Argentina (e-mail: amarillo@cab.cnea.gov.ar).

from our recordings and the large amount of information available on the electrophysiological properties of TC neurons in rodents. Hodgkin and Huxley (HH)-like models of the different conductances active at subthreshold potentials for tonic firing were adjusted and incorporated into the model cell. By matching our steady-state recordings to simulations performed with the model cell, we determined the maximum conductance values for each component of the steady-state conductance and their individual contributions to the TC neuron RMP. To study the role of the subthreshold operating conductances in the intrinsic oscillatory behavior of TC neurons, we determined the minimal requirements for generation and maintenance of oscillations compatible with physiological (or pathological) intrinsic repetitive burst firing. Finally, we determined the relative contribution of each subthreshold conductance to simulated repetitive bursts by examining their time course on the subthreshold model. Some of these results have been presented in abstract form (Amarillo 2007; Amarillo and Nadal 2011; Amarillo et al. 2005).

METHODS

Slice Preparation and Animals

All experiments were carried out in accordance with the NIH *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* and were approved by the New York University School of Medicine Animal Care and Use Committee. Brain slices were prepared from 2- to 4-wk-old ICR mice. Following induction of deep anesthesia with pentobarbital sodium (50–75 mg/kg ip), mice were decapitated and the brains removed into an ice-cold oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) that contained (in mM) 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 Na₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, and 10 dextrose. The brain was blocked at a coronal plane, and 350- μ m-thick slices were cut using a manual vibroslicer (WPI, Sarasota, FL). Slices including the ventrobasal thalamic nuclei were maintained at room temperature in oxygenated ACSF (95% CO₂-5% O₂) until they were transferred to the recording chamber continuously perfused with oxygenated ACSF.

Kir2.2 knockout (KO) mice were obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Thomas Schwarz (Zaritsky et al. 2001). The original FVB background was re-derived and subsequently back-crossed to ICR background in the animal facility of New York University School of Medicine (Skirball Institute).

Electrophysiology

Neurons from ventrobasal thalamic nuclei (ventral posterolateral and ventral posteromedial nucleus) were visualized using a Dage-MTI camera mounted on a fixed-stage microscope (Olympus BX50WI) equipped with infrared-differential interference contrast optics. Localization and identity of some cells were confirmed by including biocytin in the recording pipette, followed by histological processing. Patch pipettes were made from borosilicate glass in a Sutter P-97 horizontal puller (Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA) with resistances between 2 and 5 M Ω and were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM) 119 CH₃KO₃S, 12 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 0.4 Na-GTP, and 2 Mg-ATP, pH 7.4. Neurons were recorded using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) after stabilization of holding current while in voltage-clamp mode (\sim 5 min after whole cell was achieved) and/or after 15 min of stable RMP while in fast current-clamp mode. Voltage-clamp recordings were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz, pipette capacitance was canceled, and series resistance (lower than 10 M Ω) was compensated to the extent possible (typically 60%). To determine RMP, the amplifier was switched between voltage-clamp and fast current-clamp

(with zero current injection) modes before and after drug treatments. A junction potential of 4 mV was directly measured and corrected off-line from all command potentials. All recordings were performed at room temperature unless otherwise stipulated. Drugs were applied by bath superfusion. The results obtained in the presence of synaptic activity blockers [in μ M: 10 CNQX (6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione), 20 APV, and 10 bicuculline] were not significantly different (data not shown). Recordings were sampled at 20 kHz, acquired on a personal computer using the pCLAMP8 software (Molecular Devices), and stored for further analysis.

In most of the voltage-clamp recordings performed in this study, we used a command protocol consisting of a slow voltage ramp at 7.5 mV/s between -114 and -54 mV (after junction potential correction). This protocol allowed us to achieve nearly steady-state conditions at every point during the ramp. However, time-dependent mechanisms were still present in recordings in which I_h was not eliminated pharmacologically (see RESULTS). Slower ramp protocols resulted in recording instability.

Data Analysis and Computer Simulations

Continuous current-voltage (I - V) plots were obtained from voltage-clamp recordings by replacing time with a linear incremental function of voltage between the initial and final voltage values of the ramp protocols used. When data from several cells were pooled together, data points every 3.75 mV were selected from these continuous I - V plots for illustration purposes.

I_{Kir} characterization and modeling. The barium-sensitive component was obtained by subtracting the ramp current traces before from those after application of 50 μ M Ba²⁺ to TC neurons from wild-type mice (Fig. 1B). Application of higher concentrations of Ba²⁺ did not produce any additional response in the voltage range analyzed in this study. Conductance values obtained by dividing the current by the driving force were plotted against voltage for each cell and fitted to a single Boltzmann function of the form

$$g_{Kir}(V) = g_{min} + (g_{max} - g_{min}) / \{1 + \exp[(V - V_{1/2})/k]\}, \quad (1)$$

where $g_{Kir}(V)$ is the conductance of the barium-sensitive component at a given voltage value; g_{max} and g_{min} are the maximum and minimum conductances, respectively; V is the voltage value at every point during the ramp protocol; $V_{1/2}$ is the half-activation voltage; and k is the slope factor. After normalization to the predicted g_{max} , the mean conductances were Boltzmann fitted, and the obtained parameter values were then used to model the Kir current with the following equation (Fig. 1C):

$$I_{Kir}(V) = \bar{g}_{Kir} \cdot g_{Kir}(V) \cdot (V - E_K), \quad (2)$$

where \bar{g}_{Kir} is the maximum Kir conductance and E_K is the equilibrium potential for potassium (-99 mV).

The cell was modeled as a single-compartment cylinder with a length and diameter of 69 μ m for an approximated total area of $2.0 \times 10^4 \mu\text{m}^2$, assuming a membrane capacitance of 0.88 $\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$ (Destexhe et al. 1998). The total membrane area was obtained by dividing the measured input capacitance (168 ± 8.2 pF; range 99–239 pF; $n = 23$) by this per-unit-area value. Input membrane capacitance was measured online in TC neurons from wild-type mice (before application of any pharmacological agent) using the membrane test tool of the pCLAMP software. Voltage-clamp simulations were performed using a single-point electrode with an access resistance of 10 M Ω to mimic the recording conditions. Model simulations were performed using the NEURON environment (Hines and Carnevale 1997) with a time resolution of 0.025 ms.

Normalized Kir currents were obtained by dividing the measured currents on each cell by the current calculated at -150 mV, using their corresponding maximum conductances obtained with Eq. 1 (Fig. 1D). An ohmic behavior at this potential was assumed due to the




Fig. 1. Characterization of a strong inward rectifier potassium current (I_{Kir}) in thalamocortical (TC) neurons. *A*: voltage-clamp recordings before (black traces) and after (light gray traces) application of $50 \mu\text{M Ba}^{2+}$ (top). Bottom traces (dark gray) show the barium-sensitive component obtained by subtraction. The recordings were obtained using a protocol of square pulses from -124 to -64 mV in increments of 10 mV (inset) from a holding potential of -74 mV. *B*: barium experiment similar to that in *A* using a slow ramp from -114 to -54 mV (inset). Conventions as in *A*; V_m , membrane potential. *C*: normalized barium-sensitive conductance (G/G_{max}) from 8 cells (average \pm SE) obtained using the ramp protocol in *B*, with superimposed average Boltzmann fit (solid line). *D*: barium-sensitive current obtained with the ramp protocol from 8 cells (average \pm SE) normalized to the extrapolated current at -150 mV (I/I_{-150} ; see METHODS). Superimposed (solid line) is the simulated current-voltage (I - V) curve obtained with a model using the parameters of the Boltzmann fit from *C* and \bar{g}_{Kir} (average maximum conductance) = 2.0×10^{-5} S/cm 2 . *E*: normalized current (I/I_{max} ; average \pm SE) from wild-type (\square ; $n = 24$) and Kir2.2 knockout (KO) mouse TC neurons (\circ ; $n = 19$) obtained with the ramp protocol in the absence of pharmacological agents. *F*: voltage-clamp recordings before and after application of $50 \mu\text{M Ba}^{2+}$ and barium-sensitive component obtained by subtraction from a Kir2.2 KO TC neuron. Conventions as in *A* and *B*. Superimposed (\square) is the average \pm SE (error bars are not visible) barium-sensitive component from 8 cells.

complete unblock of Kir channels (Panama and Lopatin 2006) and the complete saturation of the Boltzmann fits at these voltages. \bar{g}_{Kir} was then adjusted in the model to match the average value of the normalized currents (Fig. 1*D*).

I_h modeling. Pharmacological isolation of I_h was obtained by subtracting ramp current traces before and after application of $10 \mu\text{M}$ of the specific I_h blocker ZD-7288 (Tocris, Minneapolis, MN). Because of the slow kinetics of activation of I_h , current traces obtained with the ramp protocol show time dependence as well as voltage dependence. To model I_h , we adapted the mathematical formulation of I_h from guinea pig TC neurons as used by McCormick and Huguenard (1992) with activation parameters previously obtained in mice (Santoro et al. 2000). Subsequently, we used voltage-clamp simulations in NEURON of this modeled I_h , adjusting its maximum conductance to match our experimental recordings. The steady-state activation and time constant of activation equations used for modeling I_h were

$$m_{h\infty}(V) = 1 / \{1 + \exp[(V + 82)/5.49]\} \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_{mh}(V) = 1 / \{0.0008 + 0.0000035 \exp(-0.05787V) + \exp(-1.87 + 0.0701V)\}. \quad (4)$$

Equations 3 and 4 correspond to data obtained at a temperature of 34°C . A Q_{10} of 4 (Santoro et al. 2000) was used for simulations at different temperatures.

The HH-style equations used to model the voltage and time variation of I_h were

$$I_h = \bar{g}_h m_h (V - E_h) \quad (5)$$

$$m'_h = [m_{h\infty}(V) - m_h] / \tau_{mh}(V), \quad (6)$$

where \bar{g}_h is the maximum conductance and E_h is the reversal potential for I_h (-43 mV).

I_{NaP} modeling. The persistent sodium current was defined as the component obtained by subtraction of the ramp current traces before and after application of 300 nM TTX. Since kinetics of I_{NaP} have not been characterized in TC neurons, we used the model developed by Wu et al. (2005), which is based on experimental data from mesencephalic trigeminal sensory neurons from rat. This model considers instantaneous activation and slow inactivation kinetics, and thus

$$m_{NaP\infty}(V) = 1 / \{1 + \exp[-(V + 57.9)/6.4]\} \quad (7)$$

$$h_{NaP\infty}(V) = 1 / \{1 + \exp[(V + 58.7)/14.2]\} \quad (8)$$

$$\tau_{hNaP}(V) = 1,000 + 10,000 / \{1 + \exp[(V + 60)/10]\} \quad (9)$$

and

$$I_{NaP} = \bar{g}_{NaP} m_{NaP\infty}(V) h_{NaP}(V - E_{Na}) \quad (10)$$

$$h'_{NaP} = [h_{NaP\infty}(V) - h_{NaP}] / \tau_{hNaP}(V), \quad (11)$$

where m_{NaP} and h_{NaP} are the activation and inactivation gates, respectively; $\tau_{hNaP}(V)$ is the time constant of inactivation at a given voltage value; and E_{Na} is the equilibrium potential for sodium (45

mV). Since there are no biophysical data available on the dependency of temperature for I_{NaP} , a Q_{10} of 3 was assumed.

I_T modeling. We used the previously published mathematical model of I_T (Huguenard and McCormick 1992), as implemented by Destexhe et al. (1998; <https://senselab.med.yale.edu/modeldb/>, accession no. 279), with modifications introduced to match our ramp current recordings. An overall depolarizing shift of +6 mV was applied to match the threshold of activation of the window T current. An additional hyperpolarizing shift of -2 mV in the voltage dependence of activation and activation kinetics was necessary to reproduce the shape of the deflection induced by the window T current on the ramp traces. The final equations used were

$$m_{T\infty}(V) = 1/\{1 + \exp[-(V + 53)/6.2]\} \quad (12)$$

$$h_{T\infty}(V) = 1/\{1 + \exp[(V + 75)/4]\} \quad (13)$$

$$\tau_{mT}(V) = 6.12 + 1/\{\exp[-(V + 128)/16.7] + \exp[(V + 12.8)/18.2]\} \quad (14)$$

$$\tau_{hT}(V) = \exp[(V + 461)/66.6] \quad \text{for } V < -75 \text{ mV} \quad (15)$$

$$\tau_{hT}(V) = 28 + \exp[-(V + 16)/10.5] \quad \text{for } V > -75 \text{ mV} \quad (16)$$

and

$$I_T = p_T m_T^2 h_T G(V, Ca_o, Ca_i) \quad (17)$$

$$m'_T = [m_{T\infty}(V) - m_T]/\tau_{mT}(V) \quad (18)$$

$$h'_T = [h_{T\infty}(V) - h_T]/\tau_{hT}(V), \quad (19)$$

with

$$G(V, Ca_o, Ca_i) = Z^2 F^2 V / RT \{ [Ca_i - Ca_o \exp(-ZFV/RT)] / [1 - \exp(-ZFV/RT)] \}, \quad (20)$$

where Ca_o and Ca_i are the extracellular and the intracellular concentrations of Ca^{2+} ; Z is impedance; and R , F , and T have their usual meanings. A Q_{10} of 2.5 for both activation and inactivation of I_T was assumed as described previously (Destexhe et al. 1998).

I_A and leak currents modeling. The mathematical formulations of I_A and the leak currents from the study of McCormick and Huguenard were used without modifications (Huguenard and McCormick 1992; McCormick and Huguenard 1992). The experimentally determined Q_{10} of 2.8 (Huguenard et al. 1991) was used for the gating variables of I_A . The reversal potential for the sodium leak current ($I_{Na,leak}$) was set at 0 mV in agreement with the lack of cation selectivity of the channel subunits that carry this current (Lu et al. 2007; Swayne et al. 2009).

Modeling the conductances generating and controlling spiking. To model spiking and high-threshold phenomena such as afterhyperpolarizations, the following conductances with biophysical parameters taken from previous studies were included in the final model. The fast transient sodium current I_{Na} and the delayed rectifier potassium current I_K were modeled as in the study of Destexhe et al. (1998; <https://senselab.med.yale.edu/modeldb/>, accession no. 279). A global depolarizing shift of 10–15 mV was used for these currents to better match the spiking threshold of our current-clamp recordings. These kinds of corrections have been used previously to model spiking of TC neurons (Rhodes and Llinas 2005). Maximum conductances of 1.0×10^{-2} and 2.0×10^{-3} S/cm² were used for I_{Na} and I_K , respectively. The high-threshold calcium current I_L was implemented as in the model of McCormick and Huguenard (1992) with the corrections included in the erratum of that publication (<http://huguenard-lab.stanford.edu/pubs.php>) using a maximum permeability of 1.0×10^{-4} cm/s. Finally, the calcium-activated potassium conductances I_{KCa} and I_{KAHP} were incorporated into the model by using parameters from Traub et al. (2003) as implemented by Lazarewicz and Traub in ModelDB (<https://senselab.med.yale.edu/modeldb/>, accession no. 20756) with a correction factor of 10^{-6} to convert their arbitrary units

of calcium concentration to millimoles per liter. Maximum conductances of 1.0×10^{-4} and 1.5×10^{-5} S/cm² were used for I_{KCa} and I_{KAHP} , respectively. These calcium-sensitive currents were made dependent on calcium concentration changes resulting from activation of the high-threshold calcium current I_L , but not from I_T . Calcium dynamics were modeled as described previously (McCormick and Huguenard 1992).

Stacked area plots showing the relative contribution of ionic conductances (see Fig. 5) or currents (see Figs. 9 and 10) to the total conductance (current) were obtained by normalizing the absolute values for each particular conductance (current) at every voltage (time) point by the total conductance (current) at that point.

RESULTS

A Strong Inward Rectifying Potassium Current Contributes to the Steady-State Conductance of TC Neurons

The ionic currents of TC neurons recorded under voltage clamp display inward rectification at negative potentials. As previously shown, this rectification can be separated into at least two components with the use of pharmacology: a fast component sensitive to barium and a slow component sensitive to the drug ZD-7288 (Williams et al. 1997). The slow component is mediated by the hyperpolarization-activated cationic current I_h (see below), whereas an inwardly rectifying potassium current (I_{Kir}) has been assumed to underlie the fast component (Williams et al. 1997). Yet, I_{kir} has not been fully characterized in these cells, and its role is unknown. To isolate I_{Kir} , we initially used a protocol of hyperpolarizing pulses in whole cell voltage clamp and application of 50 μ M Ba^{2+} (see METHODS) (Fig. 1A). The barium-sensitive component quickly reached steady state ($\tau = 5.7 \pm 0.6$ ms at -114 mV; $n = 9$), whereas the remaining barium-insensitive component rose very slowly and did not reach steady state during the duration of the 1-s-long pulse protocol. The fast kinetics of the barium-sensitive component (I_{Kir}) allowed us to use a slow ramp protocol (see METHODS) to eliminate the fast inactivating currents and thus obtain continuous I - V plots of I_{Kir} at steady state. Figure 1B shows recordings from one TC neuron obtained using the ramp protocol before and after application of 50 μ M Ba^{2+} , and the barium-sensitive component obtained by off-line subtraction. The I - V relationship of this barium-sensitive component is characterized by strong inward rectification, a reversal potential at the predicted Nernst reversal potential for K^+ (-99 mV), and a region of negative slope conductance at potentials positive to about -85 mV. By fitting the normalized conductance-voltage (G - V) plot to a Boltzmann function, we obtained a $V_{1/2}$ of activation of -97.9 ± 1.17 mV and a slope factor (k) of 9.7 ± 0.6 mV⁻¹ (Fig. 1C). These parameters were then used to create a mathematical model of I_{Kir} that was incorporated into a blank model cell (without any other conductances) with dimensions and capacitance resembling those of TC neurons (see METHODS). Figure 1D shows a simulation of the modeled Kir current superimposed on the normalized currents recorded with the ramp protocol. The maximum conductance of the model was set to 2.0×10^{-5} S/cm² to match the normalized currents. This value is within the range of maximum conductance values obtained by extrapolating values from individual cells (g_{max} between 3.4 and 25.3 nS), assuming a membrane area of 2.0×10^4 μ m².

The I - V relationship, the high sensitivity to Ba^{2+} , and the values used to fit the barium-sensitive component are reminis-

cent of the properties of inward rectifier potassium channels of the Kir2 family (Anumonwo and Lopatin 2010). In addition, previous *in situ* hybridization studies in rodent brain have indicated that the only Kir2 channel mRNA expressed in the thalamus corresponds to Kir2.2 (Karschin et al. 1996). We tested the hypothesis that Kir2.2 potassium channels underlie the Kir current in these neurons by recording TC neurons from Kir2.2 KO mice. We found that recordings from Kir2.2 KO neurons under control conditions (without any pharmacological treatment) differ in their rectification properties from those recorded in wild-type mice (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, application of 50 μM Ba^{2+} to TC neurons from Kir2.2 KO mice had no effect on the currents recorded at these potentials, and the I - V curve obtained by subtraction is flat at zero current (Fig. 1F). The I - V plots that result after pharmacological elimination of other current components in TC neurons from wild-type and Kir2.2 KO mice (see below) further indicate that Kir2.2 channels are the predominant, if not the only, molecular constituents of I_{Kir} in TC neurons from mice.

Other Components of the Steady-State Conductance of TC Neurons

At least six additional currents active at subthreshold potentials can contribute to the subthreshold current recorded in TC neurons. These are the persistent sodium current I_{NaP} , the hyperpolarization-activated cationic current I_{h} , the low-threshold activated calcium current I_{T} , the low-threshold transient potassium current I_{A} , the potassium leak current I_{Kleak} , and the sodium leak current I_{NaLeak} . We used the selective drugs TTX and ZD-7288, in combination with computer modeling, to obtain quantitative measurements of the contribution of I_{NaP} and I_{h} , respectively. To unveil the contribution of I_{T} , I_{A} , and leak currents to the steady-state conductance of TC neurons, we used modeling to match simulations of combined currents to ramp recordings obtained after elimination of I_{Kir} , I_{h} , and I_{NaP} .

I_{NaP} . Application of 300 nM TTX increased the outward rectification of the I - V curve obtained with the ramp protocol at potentials positive to -78 mV (Fig. 2A). The TTX-sensitive component obtained by subtraction corresponds to I_{NaP} , the persistent sodium current (Fig. 2A), which is not inactivated during the slow ramp. Subsequently, an HH-like model of I_{NaP}

with parameters taken from Wu et al. (2005; see METHODS) was incorporated into a blank model cell and compared with the experimental TTX-sensitive component obtained by subtraction. Figure 2C shows the match between the recorded data from five cells (squares) and the model simulation using a ramp protocol similar to that used during the experiments (7.5 mV/s). A \bar{g}_{NaP} value of 5.5×10^{-6} S/cm² was used for the simulation to match the amplitude of the recorded currents.

I_{h} . Application of 10 μM of the I_{h} blocker ZD-7288 eliminated most of the inward current, especially at potentials positive to E_{K} (Fig. 2B). The remaining current after blocking of I_{h} shows strong inward rectification consistent with the unmasking of I_{Kir} (Fig. 2B). In agreement with the slow kinetics of I_{h} , the ZD-7288-sensitive component obtained by subtraction was unable to follow the slow ramp protocol and displays complex time dependence (Fig. 2B). However, by using the data from the study of Santoro et al. (2000) to recreate a model of I_{h} from mice (see METHODS), we were able to reproduce the response of TC neurons to the slow ramp protocol (Fig. 2C). The \bar{g}_{h} value used for the simulation (2.2×10^{-5} S/cm²) is consistent with previous reports (McCormick and Huguenard 1992; Santoro et al. 2000). This model realistically captures the biophysical behavior of the ZD-7288-sensitive current, reproducing both the time course and the voltage dependence of I_{h} during the ramp.

The resulting I - V relationship after elimination of I_{Kir} , I_{h} , and I_{NaP} is not linear. Elimination of I_{Kir} , I_{h} , and I_{NaP} results in an incomplete linearization of the I - V relationship obtained with the ramp protocol, indicating that other players besides linear leaks also contribute to the steady-state conductance of TC neurons, especially at potentials positive to about -80 mV. Concomitant application of TTX, ZD-7288, and Ba^{2+} to wild-type TC neurons (Fig. 3A), or application of TTX and ZD-7288 to Kir2.2 KO TC neurons (Fig. 3B), resulted in an I - V relationship that is linear at potentials negative to -82 mV. The slope of these plots decreases between -82 and -70 mV, where the reversal potential lies, and then increases again at more positive values.

Leak currents. To reconstruct the nonlinear I - V relationship that remains after elimination of I_{Kir} , I_{h} , and I_{NaP} , we first incorporated potassium and sodium leak currents (I_{Kleak} and I_{NaLeak}) into a blank model cell (see METHODS). The \bar{g} values of





Fig. 2. Pharmacological isolation of persistent sodium current (I_{NaP}) and hyperpolarization-activated cationic current (I_{h}) in TC neurons. **A:** voltage-clamp recordings obtained using the ramp protocol before (black trace) and after (light gray trace) application of 300 nM TTX. Dark gray trace is the TTX-sensitive component (I_{NaP}) obtained by subtraction. **B:** recordings before (black trace) and after (light gray trace) application of 10 μM ZD-7288. Dark gray trace is the ZD-7288-sensitive component (I_{h}) obtained by subtraction. **C:** TTX-sensitive component (\square ; average \pm SE) and ZD-7288-sensitive component (\circ ; average \pm SE) from 5 and 9 cells, respectively. Superimposed (solid lines) are voltage-clamp ramp simulations obtained with the corresponding models using $\bar{g}_{\text{NaP}} = 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$ S/cm² and $\bar{g}_{\text{h}} = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ S/cm².

Fig. 3. The simulated leak conductances and window current components of low-threshold calcium current (I_T) and fast transient A-type potassium current (I_A) recapitulate the remaining component after elimination of I_{Kir} , I_h , and I_{NaP} . **A**: voltage-clamp ramp recordings before (black trace) and after (gray trace) application of 50 μ M Ba^{2+} , 300 nM TTX, and 10 μ M ZD-7288 to a wild-type TC neuron. **B**: voltage-clamp ramp recordings before (black trace) and after (gray trace) application of 300 nM TTX and 10 μ M ZD-7288 to a Kir2.2 KO TC neuron. **C**: average values (symbols \pm SE) from 10 Kir2.2 KO cells after application of TTX and ZD-7288 (remaining component). Solid lines are simulated I - V plots of the leaks (sodium and potassium), the leaks plus I_T , the leaks plus I_A , and the leaks plus I_T and I_A as indicated. Simulations were obtained with the corresponding models (see METHODS) using $\bar{g}_{Kleak} = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ S/cm 2 , $\bar{g}_{NaLeak} = 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ S/cm 2 , $\bar{g}_A = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm 2 , and p_T (maximum permeability) = 5.0×10^{-5} cm/s. **D**: average voltage-clamp ramp data (\pm SE) from 24 wild-type TC neurons in the absence of pharmacological agents. Solid line is a voltage-clamp ramp simulation using the model cell with all 7 subthreshold conductances turned on, using the same maximum conductances as in Figs. 1D, 2C, and 3C (default maximum conductances).



I_{Kleak} (1.0×10^{-5} S/cm 2) and I_{NaLeak} (3.0×10^{-6} S/cm 2) were adjusted to match the slope and the extrapolated reversal potential of a linear fit to the average ramp recordings of 10 cells from Kir2.2 KO mice after application of TTX and ZD-7288. The fit was performed on the linear region negative to -84 mV (slope between -114 and -84 mV = 2.53 ± 0.05 pA/mV, corresponding to a membrane resistance of 395.3 M Ω , and with an extrapolated reversal potential of -76.6 mV) (Fig. 3C).

Window current components of I_T and I_A . Consistent with a contribution of a window current component of I_T to the steady-state conductance of TC neurons (Crunelli et al. 2005; Dreyfus et al. 2010; Perez-Reyes 2003), introduction of this current into the model cell together with the leaks induces an N-like deflection of the I - V curve characterized by a region of decreased slope between -80 and -68 mV, followed by an increase in the slope at potentials positive to -68 mV (Fig. 3C). On the other hand, incorporation of the transient potassium current I_A together with the leaks into the model cell induces a prominent outward rectification of the I - V plot at potentials positive to -77 mV (Fig. 3C). Simulating the combined steady-state activation of these two currents with the leaks accurately reproduces the complex I - V relationship that was observed after elimination of I_{Kir} , I_h , and I_{NaP} (Fig. 3C). The maximum conductance for I_A ($\bar{g}_A = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm 2) and maximum permeability for I_T ($p_T = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s) are within the range of values found experimentally (Destexhe et al. 1998; Huguenard et al. 1991). The nonlinear behavior that persists after removal of I_h , I_{NaP} , and I_{Kir} is reproduced by the fraction of window currents of modeled I_A and I_T , indicating that these two window currents contribute to the steady-state conductance of TC neurons at potentials positive to -80 mV.

Finally, we performed a simulation including all the identified components, using the maximum conductance values established in the preceding sections, and compared it to the experimentally recorded I - V plots in the absence of pharmacological agents (Fig. 3D); this figure shows a rather precise correspondence between the simulation and the experimental data. This computational reconstruction indicates that the subthreshold conductance of TC neurons is composed by at least seven different ion currents: I_{Kleak} , I_{NaLeak} , I_h , I_{Kir} , I_T , I_{NaP} , and I_A , and that the modeled biophysical properties of these currents can account for the complex nonlinearity observed experimentally.

Computational Reconstruction of the Steady-State Conductance of TC Neurons

To determine the contribution of each individual component to the steady-state conductance, we performed simulations of the I - V relationship at steady-state (i.e., with all the gates set at infinite time values), with all the seven currents switched on and in the presence of each one of them at a time. Figure 4A shows a comparison of the steady-state I - V relationships of the seven subthreshold conductances at physiologically relevant subthreshold potentials, highlighting their differential voltage-dependent contributions to the total steady-state current (black). The main determinants of the RMP (the reversal potential of the total I - V curve) in these neurons, determined as fractions of the total current, are the leak conductances g_{Kleak} and g_{NaLeak} (36.7% and 24.5% of the total conductance, respectively). The other contributing conductances (in decreasing order) are g_T (11.2%), g_A (10.7%), g_{NaP} (7.5%), g_h (5.8%), and g_{Kir} (3.5%) (Fig. 4B). It is worth noting that these percent




Fig. 4. Subthreshold steady-state conductance of TC neurons. *A*: comparison of simulated steady-state I - V (Y and Z axes) plots of the subthreshold conductances obtained using the default maximum conductances at physiologically relevant potentials (-84 to -54 mV). The total steady-state I - V curve (black) corresponds to the algebraic sum of all 7 subthreshold conductances. The transecting vertical plane (glass) represents the resting membrane potential (RMP), at which the algebraic sum of inward (tones of red and yellow) and outward currents (tones of blue) is 0. *B*: contribution of the subthreshold conductances at RMP (see transecting plane in *A*) as a percentage of the total conductance (100%, of which 50% is the sum of inward current and the other 50% is the sum of outward current). Color conventions as in *A*.

contributions do not reflect the importance of each current. As we show below, each of these conductances plays a key role at specific times during the oscillatory behavior of TC neurons. For example, even $g_{K_{ir}}$, which makes the smallest contribution, becomes the most important conductance during interburst periods.

Contribution of the Steady-State Conductance Components to the RMP of TC Neurons

To verify the individual contribution of each conductance component to the RMP of TC cells, we switched each of these conductances on and off in the model TC neuron (Fig. 5) and contrasted these results with values of RMP obtained before

and after pharmacological elimination of those currents in TC cells (Table 1). Simulations with and without spiking mechanisms produced similar values of RMP (see DISCUSSION).

We tested the effect of blocking $I_{K_{leak}}$ on RMP of TC neurons by applying the muscarinic receptor agonist β -methylcholine (MCh). Application of 1 mM MCh in the absence of any other drug induced a strong depolarization that was sufficient to generate spontaneous firing in three cells tested (not shown). After elimination of sodium spiking with 300 nM TTX, MCh induced a significantly large change in RMP: from -68.6 ± 2.5 to -55 ± 2.5 mV ($n = 7$; paired t -test, $P = 0.00003$) (Table 1). In contrast, elimination of $I_{K_{leak}}$ in the model after I_{Na} and I_{NaP} were turned off produced a smaller depolarization to -62.3 mV. In the presence of active sodium conductances, elimination of $I_{K_{leak}}$ depolarized the model cell to -56.8 mV without reaching firing threshold. This discrepancy could be explained by the lack of selectivity of MCh at blocking $I_{K_{leak}}$. In addition to blocking potassium leak channels, MCh is a nonselective muscarinic agent that produces a broad spectrum of cellular effects. For example, Zhu and Uhrich (1998) observed that application of MCh to rat TC neurons not only blocked a potassium leak conductance but also increased an inward current that was suspected to be I_h . Recent investigations have demonstrated that channels that carry sodium leak are also activated by second messenger-dependent mechanisms, including signaling cascades activated by muscarinic receptors (Lu et al. 2009; Swayne et al. 2009). Thus MCh could be acting on both $I_{K_{leak}}$ (blocking) and I_{Naleak} (activating) to produce a synergistic depolarizing effect on RMP. We were able to simulate this effect in the model cell: an amount of depolarization sufficient to reach firing threshold was obtained after $I_{K_{leak}}$ was turned off and g_{Naleak} was increased by 30%, an effect consistent with previous observations (Lu et al. 2009; Swayne et al. 2009).

We then performed a similar analysis with the other conductances. There is a consistent agreement between the effect that changing the maximum conductance of the different components of the steady-state conductance has on the RMP of the TC neuron model and the effect that selective pharmacological agents have on the RMP of real TC neurons (Table 1). Table 1 also shows the agreement between RMP values of TC neurons from KO mice of Kir2.2 and HCN2 channel subunits, with the RMP values obtained after the simulated elimination of $I_{K_{ir}}$ and I_h , respectively. Figure 5 summarizes the simulated effect of eliminating each subthreshold conductance on RMP and the consequent reconfiguration of the remaining conductances.

The Model Reproduces the Firing Behavior of Rodent TC Neurons

One of the most prominent features of TC neurons is the ability to fire action potentials in two different modes depending on the level of the membrane potential at which the depolarizing stimulus occurs (Llinas and Jahnsen 1982). To assess whether the modeled steady-state conductance would uphold the complex firing behavior of TC neurons, we fed the model with mechanisms that enable fast sodium-mediated action potential firing (see METHODS). Both the model and the TC neurons recorded under current clamp displayed the typical tonic firing and rebound burst responses when stimulated with a square pulse of current from a depolarized holding potential




Fig. 5. Contribution of subthreshold conductances to the steady-state total conductance of TC neurons and establishment of RMP. Stacked area representations show the voltage-dependent contribution of the subthreshold conductances as nonoverlapping percentages of total conductance (sum of absolute current values at every voltage point is 100%). RMP (vertical lines; see Table 1) occurs at the membrane potential at which inward conductances (tones of red and yellow) equal outward conductances (tones of blue) at 50% (horizontal lines). *Top right panel (All on)* shows the contribution of all 7 conductances, whereas the other panels show the reconfiguration of the contributions after each of the subthreshold conductance is turned off one by one (as indicated above each panel).

and when released from a hyperpolarized holding potential, respectively (Fig. 6, A and B). In addition, decreasing (or increasing) the maximum conductance of I_{Kleak} in the model TC neuron was sufficient to disable (or enable) the mechanism of low-threshold spike (LTS) generation. This is in agreement with the proposed role of this current in mediating the neuro-

modulator-dependent switch between bursting and tonic firing modes in TC neurons (McCormick and Bal 1997; McCormick and Prince 1987). Thus a depolarizing current applied after \bar{g}_{Kleak} is increased (which causes hyperpolarization and deactivation of I_T) results in the generation of an LTS and burst firing, whereas a similar depolarizing step after \bar{g}_{Kleak} is de-

Table 1. Effect of manipulating, experimentally and computationally, subthreshold conductances on resting membrane potential of TC neurons

Current	Treatment	Experiment		Reference	Model		
		RMP			Treatment	RMP	
		Before	After ^a			Before	After
I_{Kleak}	1 mM MCh (300 nM TTX)	-68.6 ± 2.5	-55.0 ± 2.5 ^b	Present study Varela and Sherman 2007	Turn off I_{Kleak}	-69.7	-59.3
	250 μM MCh	12 ± 6 mV	depolarization		Turn off I_{Kleak} ^c	-71.5	-62.3
I_{Naleak}	10 μM ZD-7288	-71.1 ± 2.4	-78.3 ± 1.7 ^d	Present study Meuth et al. 2006	Turn off I_{Naleak}	-69.7	-77.6
		-71.0 ± 1.0	-79.0 ± 2.0		Turn off I_h	-69.7	-77.9
		-69.0 ± 1.0	-81.0 ± 1.0				
I_h	100 μM ZD-7288	-68.0 ± 1.0	-80.0 ± 1.0	Ludwig et al. 2003			
	HCN2 KO	-71.0 ± 1.5	-71.9 ± 1.6 ^e	Present study	Turn off I_{NaP} ^f	-69.7	-71.5
I_{NaP}	300 nM TTX	-69.0 ± 1.1	-66.5 ± 0.9 ^g	Present study			
	50 μM Ba ²⁺	-69.3 ± 0.7	-66.8 ± 0.8 ^h	Present study	Turn off I_{Kir}	-69.7	-68.6
I_{Kir}	Kir2.2 KO	3.1 ± 0.5 mV	hyperpolarization	Dreyfus et al. 2010	Turn off I_T	-69.7	-72.3
	1-3 μM TTA-P2				Turn off I_A	-69.7	-57.2
I_T							
I_A							

Values are resting membrane potential (RMP; ±SE) in experimental or model-simulated thalamocortical (TC) neurons. ^aComparison between wild type and knockout (KO) genotypes in the case of genetic elimination of HCN2 or Kir2.2. ^bComparison of RMP before and after application of 1 mM β-methylcholine (MCh) in the presence of 300 nM TTX (n = 7; paired t-test, P = 0.00003). ^cComparison of RMP before and after I_{Kleak} is turned off, with the sodium conductances previously switched off. ^dComparison of RMP before and after application of 10 μM ZD-7288 (n = 10; paired t-test, P = 0.0004). ^eComparison of RMP before and after application of 300 nM TTX (n = 18; paired t-test, P = 0.003). ^fConcomitantly turning off I_{NaP} and I_{Na} produces similar results. ^gComparison of RMP before and after application of 50 μM Ba²⁺ (n = 17; paired t-test, P = 0.001). ^hComparison between wild type (n = 66) and Kir2.2 KO (n = 19; 2-sample t-test, P = 0.02). TTA-P2, 3,5-dichloro-N-[1-(2,2-dimethyl-tetrahydropyran-4-ylmethyl)-4-fluoro-piperidin-4-ylmethyl]-benzamide. See text for current definitions.




Fig. 6. The model reproduces the physiological behavior of TC neurons. *A*: current-clamp response of a TC neuron to injection of a depolarizing square pulse from a depolarized holding potential (*top* trace) and rebound response after release from a hyperpolarized holding potential (*bottom* trace). *B*: current-clamp responses of the model cell to protocols similar to that in *A*. The current-clamp responses of the model cell were obtained after incorporation of suprathreshold conductances (see text). Holding potentials and scales are the same for both TC neuron and model cell. Experimental recordings and simulations were performed at 32°C. *C*: current-clamp responses of the model cell to application of depolarizing subthreshold (*top* trace) and suprathreshold (*bottom* trace) square pulses after \bar{g}_{Kleak} was increased to 150% of the default value ($1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S/cm}^2$). *D*: current-clamp responses of the model cell to application of current pulses of the same magnitude as in *C* after \bar{g}_{Kleak} was decreased to 30% of the default value ($3.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S/cm}^2$).

creased (which causes depolarization and inactivation of I_T) elicits a tonic train of action potentials (Fig. 6, *C* and *D*; compare with Fig. 9 of McCormick and Prince 1987).

Inducing Intrinsic Periodic Burst Firing in the Rodent TC Neuron Model

Repetitive burst firing of TC neurons has been linked to the expression of the rhythms that characterize slow-wave sleep (particularly oscillations in the delta frequency band) (Dossi et al. 1992; Steriade and Deschenes 1984) and the pathological spike and wave discharges of absence epilepsy (Paz et al. 2007; Steriade and Contreras 1995). Although the synchronized expression of repetitive burst firing of TC neurons in the behaving animal is the result of the interaction between their intrinsic properties with the synaptic activity of all the cellular elements of the thalamo-reticulo-cortical network (Destexhe and Sejnowski 2003; Lytton et al. 1996), experimental evidence indicates a prominent role of intrinsic ionic mechanisms in the generation and maintenance of the oscillations at the cellular level (McCormick and Pape 1990).

Most rodent TC neurons recorded in brain slices are unable to sustain repetitive burst firing in isolation (McCormick and Pape 1990; McCormick and Prince 1988). In agreement with these experimental observations, our TC neuron model is unable to sustain repetitive burst firing with the default set of parameters used in the reconstruction of the steady-state conductance (Fig. 7*A*). It is possible to induce the appearance of rhythmic burst firing in rodent brain slices by manipulating the concentration of extracellular divalent cations (Jacobsen et al. 2001; Leresche et al. 1991). The underlying cause of the

dependence of the oscillatory activity on the ionic environment is unknown. It was shown using dynamic clamp that the conductance of I_T must exceed a certain threshold in order for TC neurons to exhibit spontaneous rhythmic activity (Hughes et al. 2009). Previous modeling studies (McCormick and Huguenard 1992; Wang et al. 1991) showed that the ability of TC neuron models to fire bursts of action potentials periodically could be achieved by increasing the availability of the low-threshold calcium current I_T . We investigated which specific adjustments in the parameters of I_T and the other subthreshold conductances enable repetitive burst firing in TC neurons.

We found that all of the following parameter modifications introduced independently (one at a time) enabled the model to continuously discharge LTSs at low frequencies (below 3 Hz), which are crowned by high-frequency bursts of action potentials: 1) increasing the maximum permeability of I_T from $5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s}$ to values higher than $8.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s}$ (Fig. 7*B*), 2) applying a global negative shift to the activation variable of I_T larger than -2 mV (Fig. 7*C*), 3) applying a global positive shift to the inactivation variable of I_T larger than $+3 \text{ mV}$ (Fig. 7*D*), 4) decreasing the maximum conductance of I_A below $2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S/cm}^2$ (Fig. 7*E*), 5) increasing the maximum conductance of I_{NaP} above $1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S/cm}^2$ (Fig. 7*F*), and 6) increasing the maximum conductance of I_{Kir} above $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S/cm}^2$ (Fig. 7*G*). Modification of the maximum conductance of I_h alone did not support periodic burst firing. After each one of these modifications was introduced, the level of depolarization or hyperpolarization required to induce oscillations was obtained by injecting DC current or, alternatively, by modifying the leak conductances within certain values (see below).




Fig. 7. Single-parameter modifications enable repetitive burst firing in the murine TC neuron model. *A*: hyperpolarizing current injection (*top* trace) fails to elicit repetitive bursts (*bottom* voltage trace) when the model is set to the default parameters that reproduce the steady-state conductance of murine TC neurons. *B–F*: repetitive burst firing elicited by hyperpolarization after increase of p_T from 5×10^{-5} to 8×10^{-5} cm/s (*B*), after a global negative shift of the m_T gate of -2 mV (*C*), after a global positive shift of the h_T gate of $+3$ mV (*D*), after a decrease of \bar{g}_A from 5.5×10^{-3} to 2.0×10^{-3} S/cm 2 (*E*), and after an increase of \bar{g}_{NaP} from 5.5×10^{-6} to 1.5×10^{-5} S/cm 2 (*F*). *G*: repetitive burst firing elicited by depolarization after an increase of \bar{g}_{Kir} from 2.0×10^{-5} to 1.0×10^{-4} S/cm 2 . Voltage traces in *A–F* were obtained by simulating negative current injection of -15 pA. Voltage trace in *G* was obtained by simulating positive current injection of $+10$ pA.

These findings prompted us to investigate the role of each different subthreshold operating channels in the generation of TC oscillations, using the parameter values that reproduce the steady-state conductance of murine TC neurons (named default values) as a starting point. In the following sections, oscillations in the model were initiated by current injection unless otherwise indicated.

Minimal Requirements for Generating Periodic Burst Firing

From a theoretical perspective, the only requirement for generating neuronal oscillations (repetitive action potential firing, subthreshold oscillations, or resonance phenomena) is the appropriated combination of an amplifying variable and a resonant (or recovering) variable in the presence of an ohmic leak (Hutcheon and Yarom 2000; Izhikevich 2005). To determine the minimal requirements that enable sustained low-threshold oscillations in TC neurons compatible with physiological repetitive burst firing in the delta band (or pathological spike and wave discharges of absence epilepsy), we systematically performed simulations with the model cell containing only pairs of amplifying and resonant variables (or currents) together with the leaks. We started by investigating the ability of the low-threshold calcium current I_T to sustain periodic low-threshold oscillations by itself. In the absence of all currents except I_T and the leak currents, and with the use of the default parameters ($p_T = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s; $\bar{g}_{Kleak} = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ S/cm 2 ; $\bar{g}_{Naleak} = 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ S/cm 2 ; and leak reversal potential = -76.6 mV) and the temperature set to 36°C , the membrane potential of the model TC neuron stabilized at -71.4 mV and injection of sustained current failed to induce

oscillations (Fig. 8*A*, first 3 traces). Increasing p_T to 7.0×10^{-5} cm/s induced spontaneous oscillations of 32 mV of amplitude (negative and positive peaks at -68 and -36 mV, respectively) at 2.3 Hz (Fig. 8*A*, 4th trace). Similarly, introducing a hyperpolarizing shift in the activation gate of I_T larger than -2 mV or a depolarizing shift in the inactivation gate larger than $+2$ mV enabled oscillations (Fig. 8*A*, 5th and 6th traces, respectively). These simulations indicate that the amplifying variable m_T and the resonant variable h_T are sufficient to generate low-threshold oscillations in the delta band. Notably, the adjustments required to enable the oscillatory behavior are small and physiologically plausible (see DISCUSSION).




Fig. 8. Minimal models capable of sustaining oscillations compatible with repetitive burst firing of TC neurons. *A*: *top* 3 traces show the effect of increasing magnitudes of hyperpolarizing current injection on the model cell containing I_T and the sodium and potassium leak conductances with parameters set to default. *Bottom* 3 traces show spontaneous oscillations after an increase of p_T to 7.0×10^{-5} cm/s (4th trace), oscillations induced by hyperpolarizing current injection of -3 pA after a shift of m_T by -2 mV (5th trace), and spontaneous oscillations after a shift of h_T by $+2$ mV (6th trace). *B*: voltage traces before (*top* trace) and after (*bottom* trace) depolarizing current injection on the model cell containing only I_h ($\bar{g}_h = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$), I_{Kir} ($\bar{g}_{Kir} = 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ S/cm 2), and the leak conductances (default values of \bar{g}). *C*: voltage traces from the model cell containing only I_A , I_{NaP} , and the leak conductances with the maximum conductances set to default (*top* trace) and after \bar{g}_A is changed to 3.0×10^{-3} S/cm 2 and \bar{g}_{NaP} to 3.0×10^{-5} S/cm 2 (*bottom* trace).

Next, we explored whether there is a minimal TC model for generating sustained low-threshold oscillations compatible with repetitive bursting that does not require the low threshold calcium current I_T . Charles Wilson proposed a model to explain the spontaneous burst firing of cholinergic interneurons in the striatum based on the interaction between I_h and $I_{K_{ir}}$ (Wilson 2005). In agreement with that model, turning on I_h and $I_{K_{ir}}$ in the presence of the leak currents bestows the TC model cell with periodic oscillatory activity. For example, we obtained sustained oscillations (26.5 mV of amplitude at a frequency of 1.6 Hz, Fig. 8B) with \bar{g}_h increased to 4.4×10^{-5} and $\bar{g}_{K_{ir}}$ increased to 3.0×10^{-4} S/cm² (while keeping the maximum conductance for the leaks at the default values). In contrast to the oscillations based on I_T (Fig. 8A), the oscillations generated by the interaction of $I_{K_{ir}}$ and I_h are elicited by injecting depolarizing current and occur in a more negative voltage regime.

We also tested other combinations of subthreshold currents that could sustain periodic oscillations in the model without modifying parameters other than maximum conductance. Interestingly, turning on I_A and I_{NaP} , in the presence of only the leak currents enables the model cell to oscillate. Figure 8C shows oscillations at 0.7 Hz obtained (without injecting current) with \bar{g}_A set to 3.0×10^{-3} S/cm², \bar{g}_{NaP} set to 3.0×10^{-5} S/cm², and the leak currents set to default.

Role of Subthreshold Conductances in Periodic Burst Firing

To study the contribution to repetitive burst firing of each of the seven subthreshold conductances described for TC neurons, we analyzed systematically the time course of the currents and the gating variables during periodic LTSs. First, we analyzed the time course of the currents during oscillations enabled by increasing the availability of I_T (we used the minimum p_T value that sustains periodic LTSs: 8.0×10^{-5} cm/s) while maintaining the \bar{g} values of the other conductances at their default values (Fig. 9). Under these conditions, we then analyzed the effect of eliminating (turning off) each of the conductances on the oscillatory behavior of the model (Fig. 10, A–C). Finally, we analyzed the behavior of the currents during oscillations enabled by modifying the \bar{g} values of all the other conductances but I_T ($p_T = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s; Fig. 10, D–F). Since we did not find qualitative differences when including spiking mechanisms [albeit the values of maximum conductance required to induce periodic oscillations are slightly lower in their presence (Fig. 7) than in their absence (Fig. 10, D–F)], the following simulations were performed in the absence of suprathreshold conductances (see DISCUSSION).

After p_T is increased to 8.0×10^{-5} cm/s and in the absence of current injection, the membrane potential of the model cell is stable at -67.7 mV. All simulations in this section started at this RMP of -67.7 mV to provide similar initial conditions for all voltage-dependent variables of the model. Under these initial conditions, injection of hyperpolarizing current elicits repetitive LTSs (Fig. 9, 1st trace) at a frequency that changes little with the magnitude of the current injected (from 1.6 to 1.9 Hz). The traces in Fig. 9 (2nd to 9th) show the time course of the different currents and of the gating variables of I_T during two cycles elicited by injecting the minimum current required to induce the oscillation (-12 pA). Figure 9 shows the sequence of events underlying the oscillations: at the most




Fig. 9. Time course and relative contribution of subthreshold conductances during oscillations in the TC neuron model. A: time course of the currents and gating variables of I_T during 2 cycles of oscillation of the TC neuron containing all 7 subthreshold conductances, induced by injection of hyperpolarizing current. The \bar{g} values were set to default for all conductances except I_T ($p_T = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s). The I_{leak} trace is the sum of $I_{K_{leak}}$ and I_{Naleak} . For illustration purposes, the scale is the same for all currents and large deflections are truncated. The diagram at *bottom* represents the time course of the relative contribution of each current during oscillations. Horizontal dotted lines represent zero values for currents and gating variables and 50% of the total current in diagrams of relative contribution. Vertical dotted lines are positioned at the peak of the oscillation (a), the valley of the oscillation (b), and the time point of maximum contribution of I_T (c).

hyperpolarized point during the cycle (dotted vertical line *b* in Fig. 9), there is a net inward current contributed mostly by I_h and the leaks (note that at this point the total leak current is inward since I_{Naleak} is larger than $I_{K_{leak}}$) and opposed by $I_{K_{ir}}$ (9% of the total current). The contribution of I_h increases from 13% (line *b*) to a maximum of 16.5% of the total current as the




Fig. 10. Effects of simulated modulation of subthreshold conductances (other than I_T) on the oscillatory behavior of the TC neuron model. Under the same conditions as in Fig. 9, data in A–C show the time course of the gating variables of I_T and the relative contribution of the currents during spontaneous oscillations of the TC neuron model (colored stacked area plots) in the absence of I_h (A), during dampening oscillations of the TC model in the absence of I_{Kir} (B), and during oscillations induced by injection of hyperpolarizing current in the absence of I_A (C). Vertical dotted lines in A and C are positioned similarly to those in Fig. 9; vertical dotted lines in B indicate the time points of maximum contribution of I_T on each cycle. D: time course of the gating variables of I_T (m_T and h_T) and I_h (m_h) and the relative contribution of the currents during 2 cycles of oscillation induced by injection of depolarizing current after an increase of \bar{g}_{Kir} to 1.2×10^{-4} S/cm² while all other parameters are maintained at default (including I_T at 5.0×10^{-5} cm/s). The interval between lines a and c illustrates the transient hyperpolarization generated by the interaction I_{Kir} – I_h , and the interval between lines c and d illustrates the transient depolarization (low-threshold spike, LTS) generated by the activation and inactivation of I_T (see text). E: time course of the gating variables of I_T and the relative contribution of the currents during oscillations induced by hyperpolarization after a decrease of \bar{g}_A to 1.5×10^{-3} S/cm². All other parameters are set to default. F: time course of the gating variables of I_T and the relative contribution of the currents during oscillations induced by hyperpolarization after an increase of \bar{g}_{NaP} to 1.8×10^{-5} S/cm². Vertical lines in F and G are placed on the initial phase of depolarization to indicate the competing activation of I_A and I_{NaP} . Note that during this phase the absolute current amplitude and the relative contribution are larger for I_{NaP} than for I_A in both F and G.

cycle progresses. After this point, the contribution of I_h decreases rapidly at the same time that I_T becomes the dominant inward component. The regenerative activation of I_T then slowly depolarizes the membrane toward the LTS threshold (dotted vertical line c in Fig. 9), at which the sudden upstroke of the LTS occurs. During this time, the simultaneous activation of I_A and I_{NaP} has opposing effects on the depolarizing drive of I_T : I_A negative and I_{NaP} positive. At the peak of the LTS (vertical dotted line a in Fig. 9), the total inward current is completely counterbalanced by the large contribution of I_A

(28% of the total current) and the large driving force of the potassium leak current. During the repolarizing phase of the LTS, I_T decreases to a minimum due to its almost complete inactivation. At this time, the contribution of I_{NaP} is maximal but is nonetheless outweighed by the potassium leak and I_A . At the end of the repolarizing phase, the membrane potential hyperpolarizes toward the most negative point of the oscillation assisted by the unblock of I_{Kir} , which becomes the dominant outward current during most of the inter-LTS interval, despite its small magnitude (Fig. 9, 5th trace). This hyperpo-

larization, in turn, initiates the next cycle by activating I_h and removing the inactivation of I_T .

I_h . Surprisingly, after I_h is switched off (with p_T increased to 8.0×10^{-5} cm/s), the model is still capable of sustaining periodic LTSs (36-mV amplitude at 1.2 Hz) in the absence of current injection (Fig. 10A). Under these conditions, the net total current at the most hyperpolarized point of the oscillation is zero (vertical dotted line *b*) due to the complete balance of inward (mostly I_T) and outward (mostly I_{Kir}) currents. Beyond this point, the inward current becomes dominant by the regenerative increase of I_T , which produces the upstroke of the LTS on its own accord. During the peak and the repolarization of the LTS, the trajectory (albeit not the scale) of the other currents is similar to the condition where I_h is present, because this current is nonetheless deactivated at this point (Fig. 9).

I_{Kir} . With the model set to the conditions that enable oscillations (p_T increased to 8.0×10^{-5} cm/s and all other parameters set to their default values), switching off I_{Kir} prevents the maintenance of repetitive LTS. By using the optimum current injection (-20 pA), the model is capable of generating a maximum of seven cycles before declining to a stable potential (-71 mV). Slightly lower, or higher, current magnitudes generate a lower number of cycles. Inspection of the time course of the gates of I_T as the oscillation progresses reveals a gradual decline in the availability of I_T ($m_T^2 h_T$ product) due to a progressive drop in the deinactivation during the inter-LTS intervals (Fig. 10B). This suggests that the additional hyperpolarizing drive, timely provided by I_{Kir} during inter-LTS intervals, optimizes the fine balance of inactivation-activation of I_T that underlies sustained oscillations.

I_A . Switching off I_A under similar conditions of increased availability of I_T ($p_T = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s) induces a large depolarization (RMP stabilizes at -54.8 mV without current injection). Injection of hyperpolarizing current induces the appearance of sustained repetitive LTSs characterized by larger amplitudes (Fig. 10C, top trace). Comparison of current magnitudes before and after I_A is switched off shows about 50% increase of peak T current for any given current injection. Furthermore, the maximum contribution of I_T to the total current increases from 59% to 80% during LTSs after I_A is switched off (compare the stacked area plots of Figs. 9 and 10C, vertical lines *c*), indicating that I_A counteracts the surge of I_T and hence controls the amplitude of the LTS.

I_{NaP} . Similar to the effect of eliminating I_{Kir} , turning off I_{NaP} prevents repetitive LTS generation at all values of current injection (not shown). The negatively shifted activation of I_{NaP} (with respect to transient sodium current) boosts depolarization during the initial phase of the LTS, when the membrane potential rushes toward the threshold of rapid regenerative activation of I_T (see Fig. 8). The minimum \bar{g}_{NaP} value that allows repetitive LTSs while maintaining the other conductances at their default value ($p_T = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s) is 2.8×10^{-6} S/cm².

Leak currents. As expected, turning off I_{Kleak} produces a large depolarization. This can be overcome by injecting enough hyperpolarizing current to bring the membrane potential to the level of activation of I_T , inducing repetitive LTSs. Reciprocally, oscillations are induced by injecting depolarizing current to the level of activation of I_T after I_{Naleak} is turned off, which hyperpolarizes the model cell to -77.1 mV. The model is able to sustain repetitive LTSs by using \bar{g}_{Kleak} values

between 0 and 1.2×10^{-5} S/cm² while maintaining \bar{g}_{Naleak} at the default value (after adjusting the level of current injection). Similarly, \bar{g}_{Naleak} values between 0 and 5.0×10^{-6} S/cm² also support periodic LTSs while maintaining \bar{g}_{Kleak} at default. In fact, any \bar{g}_{leak} combination ($\bar{g}_{Kleak} + \bar{g}_{Naleak}$) below 1.5×10^{-5} S/cm² supports sustained oscillations. In contrast, above this value, it is not possible to induce oscillations even if the hyperpolarizing drive of a large \bar{g}_{Kleak} (or the depolarizing drive of a large \bar{g}_{Naleak}) is compensated by current injection. This highlights the importance of the electrotonic compactness of TC neurons. Indeed, we observed a direct relationship between \bar{g}_{leak} and the minimum p_T value required to induce oscillations. For example, elimination of both leak currents allows oscillations with p_T values as low as 4.0×10^{-5} cm/s; conversely, oscillations are rescued by increasing p_T when using large values of \bar{g}_{leak} .

Oscillations induced using the default maximum permeability of I_T . As mentioned earlier, when the maximum permeability of I_T is maintained at the default value (5.0×10^{-5} cm/s), increasing the maximum conductance of I_{Kir} above 1.0×10^{-4} S/cm², decreasing the maximum conductance of I_A below 2.1×10^{-3} S/cm², or increasing the maximum conductance of I_{NaP} above 1.5×10^{-5} S/cm² enables sustained repetitive burst firing. In this section we examine the mechanisms of repetitive LTS induced by these manipulations of \bar{g} on the reduced model (without spiking mechanisms) while keeping p_T at the default value.

I_{Kir} . Under these default conditions, increasing \bar{g}_{Kir} to values equal to, or above, 1.2×10^{-4} S/cm² enables the generation of sustained repetitive LTSs. This increase in the availability of I_{Kir} hyperpolarizes the membrane potential to -78 mV. Oscillations are then induced by application of compensatory depolarizing current (Fig. 10D). In this case, the hyperpolarizing drive of a large I_{Kir} pulls down the membrane potential to a level at which I_h becomes strongly activated at the same time that the inactivation of I_T is largely removed (vertical dotted line *b* in Fig. 10D). Activation of I_h , in turn, depolarizes the membrane toward the voltage range at which I_T becomes activated. Thus, under these conditions of low availability of I_T , oscillations do not result from the interaction of the amplifying and resonant gates of I_T alone. Instead, the interaction of the amplifying activation of I_{Kir} with the recovering activation of I_h results in a negative transient deflection of the membrane potential between LTSs, thereby removing the inactivation of I_T . Hence, the complete cycle is composed of a transient hyperpolarization (mediated by I_{Kir} - I_h , between vertical lines *a* and *c* in Fig. 10D), followed by a depolarizing LTS (mediated by I_T , between vertical lines *c* and *d*).

I_A and I_{NaP} . Despite their different kinetics, these two opposing currents increase with similar time courses during the initial phase of depolarization, before the upstroke of the LTS. In the model with all subthreshold conductances set to default, it is not possible to elicit sustained repetitive LTSs, which are otherwise enabled by increasing p_T to values higher than 8.0×10^{-5} s/cm. Under these conditions, the magnitude of the A current in the initial phase is larger than that of I_{NaP} (see Fig. 8), and the net combined influence of this pair of currents timely opposes depolarization. When p_T is decreased back to default ($p_T = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s/cm), the counteracting influence of I_A effectively thwarts the oscillatory behavior. In this case, repetitive LTSs can be generated by either decreasing \bar{g}_A below

$1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S/cm}^2$ (Fig. 10E) or increasing \bar{g}_{NaP} above $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S/cm}^2$ (Fig. 10F). Hence, a small change in the balance of these two currents favoring the amplifying activation of I_{NaP} promotes periodicity by boosting the activation of I_{T} , whereas an excess of I_{A} current suppresses the oscillation.

DISCUSSION

In this study we present a comprehensive analysis of the interaction among seven different conductances operating at membrane potentials below the threshold for tonic action potential firing in somatosensory TC neurons (ventroposteromedial and ventroposterolateral nuclei) of mice. Our computational analysis shows how this interaction dynamically controls the RMP of these cells, and hence their excitability. We also explore the mechanisms of generation and maintenance of low-threshold oscillations compatible with the intrinsic oscillatory activity in the delta band that has been linked to physiological and pathological EEG rhythms.

We modeled the subthreshold behavior of TC neurons using a single-compartment model cell that does not include information about the complex geometry of these neurons or the subcellular distribution of ion channels. Although the subcellular compartmentalization of the conductances analyzed in this study could have an unforeseen impact on the conclusions reached in our study (for example, see Wei et al. 2011; Zomorodi et al. 2008), the fact that the model cell reproduces the electrophysiological behavior of TC neurons indicates that either the high electrotonic compactness of TC cells allows for an effective space-clamp control of a large membrane area or, alternatively, the modeled parameters capture most of the inaccuracies of recording in the soma. In any case, the simplification is validated from a phenomenological perspective, whereas further investigation is required to clarify the functional effect of subcellular localization, which is largely unknown. In that regard, ongoing research in our group is being carried out to computationally explore the consequences of compartmentalization of the conductances analyzed in this article.

The agreement between simulations and experimental traces indicates that most of the key players of the steady-state conductance of TC neurons are included in our analysis. This conclusion is also supported by data on ion channel mRNA expression in TC neurons. Based on these data and on functional studies, other ion channels expressed by TC neurons that could contribute, albeit minimally, to the steady-state conductance in the voltage range considered in this study include the negatively activated slow potassium channels of the KCNQ and *ether-à-go-go* (EAG) families and the calcium-activated potassium channels. All KCNQ and EAG channels are weakly expressed in thalamic ventrobasal nuclei with the exception of *Kcnq3* channels (Saganich et al. 2001). However, in agreement with previous studies (Kasten et al. 2007; McCormick 1992), our results suggest that their contribution in the subthreshold voltage range is negligible.

On the basis of pharmacological experiments, calcium-activated potassium channels are known to account for AHP potentials and to be involved in controlling tonic firing frequency in TC neurons (Jahnsen and Llinas 1984; Kasten et al. 2007). Consequently, most computational models of TC neurons include one or two calcium-activated potassium currents (McCormick and Huguenard 1992; Rhodes and Llinas 2005).

In contrast to the established role of channels of the small-conductance calcium-activated potassium (SK) channel family in controlling the oscillatory behavior of thalamic reticular neurons (Cueni et al. 2008), indirect experimental evidence indicates that these channels do not contribute to either RMP or rhythmic bursting of TC neurons. For instance, application of neither SK channel blockers nor large-conductance calcium-activated potassium (BK) channel blockers modified the resting membrane conductance of TC neurons (Kasten et al. 2007). In addition, these same blockers only modify slightly the number of action potentials within a burst, without modifying the bursting propensity of TC neurons from tree shrew (Wei et al. 2011). We performed simulations in the presence or absence of spiking mechanisms that included two calcium-activated potassium currents, and we did not observe any qualitative difference in the conductance control of the RMP or propensity to fire bursts rhythmically.

The Complex Interplay of Subthreshold Conductances Controls Both the RMP and the Intrinsic Oscillatory Behavior of TC Neurons

On the basis of the time course and voltage range of operation of the seven subthreshold conductances, and how modifications of single parameters of these currents shift the propensity of the TC neuron model to either oscillate or get stabilized at RMP, we propose a model to explain the electrophysiological behavior of TC neurons at subthreshold potentials for tonic firing (Fig. 11). The model includes three resonant (recovering) variables: h_{T} and the activation of I_{A} recover the membrane potential from depolarization, whereas activation of I_{h} recovers the membrane potential from hyperpolarization; and three amplifying variables: m_{T} and the activation of I_{NaP} amplify depolarization, whereas unblocking of I_{Kir} amplifies hyperpolarization. All these currents interact over the background provided by the leak conductances. In TC neurons, the densities of these leak conductances are small, which is important for their control of the RMP. A small leak (large input resistance) enhances the physiological effects of the voltage-dependent conductances, which are also small. Likewise, small variations in leak produced by the modulation of the leak channels also have a great functional impact, like the one observed during the neuromodulator-induced transition from burst to tonic firing in these cells. Under these electrotonically compact conditions, the RMP of TC cells is about 10 mV depolarized from the reversal potential of the leak (E_{leak}). This deviation from E_{leak} is due to the steady activation of the depolarizing variables (I_{h} , I_{NaP} , and m_{T} ; left quadrants in Fig. 11). In turn, the steady activation of the repolarizing variables (I_{A} , I_{Kir} , and h_{T} ; right quadrants in Fig. 11) prevents this depolarization from becoming regenerative. It is the balance between these counteracting influences that establishes the RMP. This balance is dynamic, and small modifications can set in motion the oscillatory behavior of TC neurons.

Indeed, the dynamic balance between amplifying and resonant variables is responsible for the TC neurons' ability, or lack thereof, to oscillate intrinsically. Intrinsic oscillations (spontaneous or induced by injection of constant current) are enabled if the amplifying variables are allowed to become regenerative by opposing resonant variables of the right magnitude (i.e., strong enough to fulfill their recovering function of




Fig. 11. Schematic representation of the interaction between the 7 subthreshold conductances and their roles in controlling the RMP and the oscillatory behavior of TC neurons. The leak conductances establish a background on which the other 5 voltage-dependent conductances interact: steadily active depolarizing variables (left quadrants) displace the membrane potential from the reversal potential (E) of the leak at the same time that steadily active hyperpolarizing variables (right quadrants) counteract depolarization. The RMP is a stable equilibrium (horizontal bar) reached by the balance achieved among all these forces. Periodicity is promoted by changes in certain parameter values that allow the destabilization of this equilibrium and the cyclic behavior of the membrane potential (curved arrows). Changes that favor the regenerative activation of the amplifying variables (black) increase the propensity to oscillate. These changes include either increasing the magnitude of amplifying variables themselves or decreasing the magnitude of resonant variables (gray). Conversely, an increase in the magnitude of resonant variables (and/or a decrease in the magnitude of amplifying variables) renders the model unable to oscillate periodically.

pulling the membrane potential back toward RMP). Conversely, oscillations are impeded if the resonant variables are so large that the regenerative activation of amplifying variables is thwarted (Fig. 11). Consistent with this model, our simulations show that 1) increasing the amplifying variable m_T (either by increasing p_T or by displacing its voltage dependence opposite to that of h_T), increases the propensity to oscillate, whereas decreasing m_T hampers oscillations; 2) increasing amplifying I_{NaP} augments the propensity to oscillate, whereas decreasing I_{NaP} opposes oscillations; 3) a large amplifying I_{Kir} increases the propensity to oscillate, whereas a small one decreases it; 4) decreasing resonant I_A increases the propensity to oscillate, whereas increasing I_A prevents oscillations; and 5) under conditions of increased I_T and in the absence of current injection, decreasing resonant I_h induces oscillations, whereas increasing I_h promotes stabilization. Except for the first one, the other model predictions are novel and reveal a far more complex modulation of the subthreshold behavior of the TC neuron membrane potential. The specific roles of these currents in establishing RMP and enabling oscillations in TC neurons are discussed in detail below.

I_T : The Amplifying Variable m_T and the Resonant Variable h_T

Repetitive burst firing is enabled in the TC neuron model (tuned to reproduce the murine steady-state conductance) by increasing the availability of I_T , which is consistent with

previous observations (Hughes et al. 2009; Noebels 2012; Wei et al. 2011). We show that a minimal model containing only I_T and the leaks is capable of sustaining periodic oscillations (Fig. 7A). This model predicts that I_T alone, with physiologically plausible parameter values, could originate the oscillatory behavior of TC neurons. This tendency to oscillate is inherent to the dynamic interplay between the amplifying variable m_T and the resonant variable h_T . Preliminary results in our group indicate that the bifurcation structure of the minimal I_T -leaks model is similar to the structure of the HH model and that the two gating variables of I_T are perfectly fitted for the pacemaker function of TC cells (Amarillo Y, Mato G, and Nadal MS, personal communication; see also Rush and Rinzel 1994). Nonetheless, as discussed below, in real TC neurons this intrinsic oscillatory property of I_T is modulated by the other subthreshold operating ionic conductances.

In addition to the contribution of low-threshold calcium currents to the generation of rhythmic oscillations and LTSs, their biophysical properties predict the expression of window currents at low membrane potentials (Crunelli et al. 2005; Perez-Reyes 2003). Consequently, these window currents could contribute to the establishment and control of RMP. Indeed, the expression of a window T current and its contribution to the steady-state conductance of TC neurons have been demonstrated using a novel selective blocker of calcium channels of the Ca_v3 subfamily (Dreyfus et al. 2010). In agreement with that study, we report in this article a moderate contribution of the T window current to the RMP of TC neurons (Fig. 4B and Fig. 5, “ I_T off”).

Amplifying I_{NaP}

Pharmacological blockade of sodium channels produces a small but significant hyperpolarization of TC neurons (Table 1), indicating a contribution of a persistent sodium current (or sodium current that is active at steady state) to the RMP of these cells. Consistent with this finding, persistent sodium currents from different neuronal types, including TC neurons (Parri and Crunelli 1998), start to activate at potentials as negative as -80 mV and have a $V_{1/2}$ of activation about 20 mV more negative than that of transient sodium currents (Wu et al. 2005 and references therein).

The study by Parri and Crunelli (1998) also shows a contribution of I_{NaP} to the amplification of rebound LTSs in TC neurons from rat. In our simulations, the time course and the relative contribution of I_{NaP} during oscillations (Figs. 9 and 10) indicate that I_{NaP} activates during the ascending phase of repetitive LTSs and adds to the depolarizing drive of the regenerative activation of I_T . In line with this amplifying effect, increasing I_{NaP} is sufficient to enable periodic bursting (while the other subthreshold conductances are kept at their default values, Figs. 7E and 10F). Moreover, switching off I_{NaP} abolishes the oscillatory behavior when the default parameter values are used for all conductances except I_T (availability increased). To recover the oscillation under the latter conditions, the maximum permeability of I_T needs to be increased further (above 9×10^{-5} cm/s) or the maximum conductance of I_A should be decreased about 20% (below 4×10^{-3} S/cm²).

This indicates that I_{NaP} is not essential for the oscillation; however, it could have a strong effect depending on the availability of other subthreshold conductances. In particular,

the balance between I_{NaP} and I_{A} seems to play a role on the expression (or suppression) of rhythmic burst firing (Fig. 10, *E* and *F*) and could explain the propensity or failure to sustain repetitive burst firing of individual TC neurons (see also *Resonant I_{A}* below).

Amplifying I_{Kir}

Strong inward rectifier potassium channels of the Kir2 family (which underlie I_{Kir} in TC neurons) show a distinctive negative slope conductance region in the I - V relationship (Dhamoon et al. 2004; Lopatin and Nichols 2001; see also Fig. 1, *A* and *D*). It has been suggested that this biophysical feature could generate bistability and oscillations (Tourneur 1986). In fact, it has been proposed that the interaction between the amplifying unblock of I_{Kir} and the resonant activation of I_{h} is responsible for the rhythmic bursting behavior of cholinergic interneurons in the striatum (Wilson 2005). Early investigations on the mechanisms of repetitive burst firing in TC neurons showed that deactivation of I_{h} during the burst produces an afterhyperpolarization that follows each burst and that is crucial for the maintenance of the oscillation (McCormick and Pape 1990). We show in the present work that this afterhyperpolarization results not only from the deactivation of I_{h} but also from the activation (unblock) of I_{Kir} during the repolarizing phase of the LTS. This mechanism differs from the repolarizing effect of the leaks in that the conductance of I_{Kir} increases as the membrane repolarizes due to the negative slope conductance. The repolarizing effect of the leaks is nonetheless minimal at the valleys because at these points the membrane potential is very close to the reversal potential of the total leak. Taking all this together, the “undershoot” between LTSs, below the reversal potential for the leaks, is produced by the timely activation of I_{Kir} . This extra hyperpolarization in turn contributes to the maintenance of the oscillation by allowing a larger removal of inactivation of I_{T} and also by activating I_{h} .

Resonant I_{A}

The expression of large A-type currents that activate at negative potentials in TC neurons predicts a contribution of this current to RMP and burst firing (Huguenard et al. 1991). In this report we show that I_{A} is active at rest (window current) and during repetitive burst firing. I_{A} exerts an opposing effect to LTS generation by counteracting the development of the T current. In addition, when I_{T} is large enough to overcome this opposition, I_{A} curtails the amplitude of the LTSs. These findings agree with those of previous investigations (Gutierrez et al. 2001; Rush and Rinzel 1994). Interestingly, I_{A} develops during the initial phase of depolarization of each cycle of the oscillation with similar time course and reaches magnitudes roughly similar to those of I_{NaP} such that these two currents counterbalance each other. Although the relative contribution of these currents during this phase is small (see the relative contribution plot in Fig. 9), changes in their balance favoring amplifying I_{NaP} over resonant I_{A} enable oscillations, whereas changes in the opposite direction prevent periodicity (Fig. 10, *E* and *F*). This balance between I_{NaP} and I_{A} could provide yet another control mechanism for the oscillatory behavior of TC neurons, which could also have an impact on the expression of physiological or pathological thalamocortical rhythms.

On the other hand, our reconstruction of the steady-state conductance of TC neurons indicates that I_{h} and I_{A} have roughly similar contributions to the steady-state conductance at equilibrium (rest) and that these contributions increase symmetrically around RMP (for example, at -10 mV from rest, I_{h} contributes 34.8% of the total conductance, whereas at $+10$ mV from rest, I_{A} contributes 33.9% of the total conductance; Fig. 5, “all on”). Thus any hyperpolarizing perturbation of the membrane potential is opposed by activation of I_{h} , whereas any depolarizing perturbation is counteracted by I_{A} . This arrangement suggests that these two resonant conductances act as a functional stabilization unit that maintains RMP within certain bounded values in TC neurons. Interestingly, there is experimental and computational evidence of the concerted expression of I_{h} and I_{A} in other neurons, indicating that they indeed balance each other at the molecular level to control excitability (Burdakov 2005; Hoffman et al. 1997; MacLean et al. 2005; O’Leary et al. 2013).

Resonant I_{h}

According to the model in Fig. 11, it is expected that increasing I_{h} would have a hampering effect on the oscillations, whereas decreasing I_{h} would promote oscillations. Indeed, these effects are reproduced by the TC neuron model under conditions of increased I_{T} and without injection of constant current. Under these specific conditions, increasing I_{h} induces depolarization and further stabilization of the membrane potential, and decreasing I_{h} induces hyperpolarization with the consequent deinactivation and regenerative activation of I_{T} leading to spontaneous oscillations (Fig. 10A). However, oscillations can be elicited under conditions of increased I_{h} by injection of constant hyperpolarizing current under conditions of increased I_{T} . This is due to the overlap between the voltage range of the initial phase of activation of I_{T} and the final stages of deactivation of I_{h} (not depicted in Fig. 11). The induced hyperpolarization activates I_{h} and deinactivates I_{T} , whose availability becomes transiently increased. Activation of I_{h} , in turn, recovers the membrane potential toward RMP, as mentioned before. Yet, as the membrane depolarizes, and before I_{h} is completely deactivated, I_{T} starts to activate and eventually becomes regenerative, initiating the oscillations. Thus, although I_{h} is a recovering conductance that tends to stabilize the membrane potential, it may promote oscillations under conditions of imposed hyperpolarization, such as those produced by synaptic inhibition.

Repetitive Burst Firing and EEG Rhythms

The occurrence of rhythmic burst firing of TC neurons is more frequent during the phases of sleep characterized electroencephalographically by slow waves at a frequency of 1–4 Hz (delta oscillations; see review, McCormick and Bal 1997). In addition, rhythmic burst firing is also timely correlated with electroencephalographic spike and wave discharges (2–4 Hz) during episodes of absence seizures (Steriade and Contreras 1995). A third type of thalamocortical EEG rhythm associated with repetitive burst firing is characterized by spindle waves occurring during the early stages of non-rapid eye movement sleep. During these transient oscillations (every 1–3 s at 7–14 Hz), TC neurons also discharge repetitive bursts at 2–4 Hz (see review, McCormick and Bal 1997). Whether the periodicity of TC neuron burst firing associated with these EEG rhythms is intrinsically generated or is dependent on the synaptic connectivity in the thalamo-reticular-cortical network is still an unre-

solved matter. Our computational analysis shows that repetitive burst firing of TC cells can be enabled/disabled with small modifications of the intrinsic subthreshold conductances. This implies that physiological (or pathological) modulation of ion channel availability could easily modify the propensity of TC neurons to fire bursts repetitively. This hypothesis is supported by studies of KO mice of the ion channel subunits underlying I_T and I_h in TC neurons (Lee et al. 2004; Ludwig et al. 2003) and the study of animal models of absence epilepsy (see review, Noebels 2012). It is also known that most of the subthreshold channels are susceptible to modulation by neurotransmitters and neuropeptides acting via multiple signaling pathways. Except for I_{NaP} , the molecular identity of these subthreshold ion channels expressed in TC neurons is known today, including I_{Kir} (this study). This paves the way to assess how the modulation of these channels affects the propensity of TC neurons to fire repetitively, and what consequences this might have in the generation of the associated EEG rhythms.

The functional role of slow wave sleep is still conjectural. The proposed functions include halting the flow of sensory information to the cerebral cortex (McCormick and Feuser 1990) and some aspects of memory consolidation (Stickgold 2005). The occurrence of burst firing of TC neurons during wakeful states is also controversial, albeit it has been proposed that bursting increases feature detectability (see review and discussion, Llinas and Steriade 2006). In a more general context, an increasing amount of data now indicates that the thalamus not only functions as a relay station in the flow of information but that it also plays a central role in modulating and integrating signals across the brain (Sherman 2007). The qualitative analysis presented in this article shows that the dynamic interaction among subthreshold conductances determines both the excitability and the oscillatory properties of TC neurons. It remains to be established how changes in this dynamic interaction affect the neurocomputational properties of these cells. Studies are under way in our group, using both theoretical and experimental approaches, to determine how changes in these conductances impact the input-output transformation of TC neurons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Thomas L. Schwarz (Children's Hospital, Boston, MA) for gently providing the Kir2.2 KO mouse line.

Present address of E. Zagha: Department of Neurobiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT.

GRANTS

This work was subsidized in part by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas-Argentina Grant PIP 112 200901 00738 and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Grant NS30989 (to B. Rudy).

DISCLOSURES

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.A., B.R., and M.S.N. conception and design of research; Y.A. performed experiments; Y.A., E.Z., G.M., and M.S.N. analyzed data; Y.A., E.Z., G.M., B.R., and M.S.N. interpreted results of experiments; Y.A. prepared figures; Y.A. and M.S.N. drafted manuscript; Y.A., B.R., and M.S.N. edited and revised manuscript; Y.A., E.Z., G.M., B.R., and M.S.N. approved final version of manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Amarillo Y.** *Control of Neuronal Excitability by Subthreshold Operating Potassium Channels* (PhD thesis). New York: New York University, 2007.
- Amarillo Y, Nadal MS.** On the repetitive burst firing of thalamic relay neurons. Program No. 46.08. *2011 Neuroscience Meeting Planner*. Washington, DC: Society for Neuroscience, 2011.
- Amarillo Y, Nadal MS, Rudy B.** The inward rectifying potassium channel Kir2.2 regulates the excitability of thalamic relay neurons. Program No. 174.3. *2005 Neuroscience Meeting Planner*. Washington, DC: Society for Neuroscience, 2005.
- Anumonwo JM, Lopatin AN.** Cardiac strong inward rectifier potassium channels. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 48: 45–54, 2010.
- Biel M, Wahl-Schott C, Michalakis S, Zong X.** Hyperpolarization-activated cation channels: from genes to function. *Physiol Rev* 89: 847–885, 2009.
- Burdakov D.** Gain control by concerted changes in I_A and I_H conductances. *Neural Comput* 17: 991–995, 2005.
- Coetzee WA, Amarillo Y, Chiu J, Chow A, Lau D, McCormack T, Moreno H, Nadal MS, Ozaita A, Pountney D, Saganich M, Vega-Saenz de Miera E, Rudy B.** Molecular diversity of K^+ channels. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 868: 233–285, 1999.
- Crunelli V, Toth TI, Cope DW, Blethyn K, Hughes SW.** The 'window' T-type calcium current in brain dynamics of different behavioural states. *J Physiol* 562: 121–129, 2005.
- Cueni L, Canepari M, Lujan R, Emmenegger Y, Watanabe M, Bond CT, Franken P, Adelman JP, Luthi A.** T-type Ca^{2+} channels, SK2 channels and SERCAs gate sleep-related oscillations in thalamic dendrites. *Nat Neurosci* 11: 683–692, 2008.
- Destexhe A, Neubig M, Ulrich D, Huguenard J.** Dendritic low-threshold calcium currents in thalamic relay cells. *J Neurosci* 18: 3574–3588, 1998.
- Destexhe A, Sejnowski TJ.** Interactions between membrane conductances underlying thalamocortical slow-wave oscillations. *Physiol Rev* 83: 1401–1453, 2003.
- Dhamoon AS, Pandit SV, Sarmast F, Parisian KR, Guha P, Li Y, Bagwe S, Taffet SM, Anumonwo JM.** Unique Kir2.x properties determine regional and species differences in the cardiac inward rectifier K^+ current. *Circ Res* 94: 1332–1339, 2004.
- Dossi RC, Nunez A, Steriade M.** Electrophysiology of a slow (0.5–4 Hz) intrinsic oscillation of cat thalamocortical neurones in vivo. *J Physiol* 447: 215–234, 1992.
- Dreyfus FM, Tschertner A, Errington AC, Renger JJ, Shin HS, Uebele VN, Crunelli V, Lambert RC, Leresche N.** Selective T-type calcium channel block in thalamic neurons reveals channel redundancy and physiological impact of I_T window. *J Neurosci* 30: 99–109, 2010.
- Gutierrez C, Cox CL, Rinzel J, Sherman SM.** Dynamics of low-threshold spike activation in relay neurons of the cat lateral geniculate nucleus. *J Neurosci* 21: 1022–1032, 2001.
- Hille B.** *Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, 2001.
- Hines ML, Carnevale NT.** The NEURON simulation environment. *Neural Comput* 9: 1179–1209, 1997.
- Hoffman DA, Magee JC, Colbert CM, Johnston D.** K^+ channel regulation of signal propagation in dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Nature* 387: 869–875, 1997.
- Hughes SW, Lorincz M, Cope DW, Crunelli V.** Using the dynamic clamp to dissect the properties and mechanisms of intrinsic thalamic oscillations. In: *Dynamic-Clamp: From Principles to Applications*, edited by Destexhe A and Bal T. New York: Springer, 2009, p. 321–346.
- Huguenard JR, Coulter DA, Prince DA.** A fast transient potassium current in thalamic relay neurons: kinetics of activation and inactivation. *J Neurophysiol* 66: 1304–1315, 1991.
- Huguenard JR, McCormick DA.** Simulation of the currents involved in rhythmic oscillations in thalamic relay neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 68: 1373–1383, 1992.
- Hutcheon B, Yarom Y.** Resonance, oscillation and the intrinsic frequency preferences of neurons. *Trends Neurosci* 23: 216–222, 2000.
- Izhikevich E.** *Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience: The Geometry of Excitability and Bursting*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005.
- Jacobsen RB, Ulrich D, Huguenard JR.** GABA_B and NMDA receptors contribute to spindle-like oscillations in rat thalamus in vitro. *J Neurophysiol* 86: 1365–1375, 2001.
- Jahnsen H, Llinas R.** Ionic basis for the electro-responsiveness and oscillatory properties of guinea-pig thalamic neurones in vitro. *J Physiol* 349: 227–247, 1984.

- Karschin C, Dissmann E, Stuhmer W, Karschin A.** IRK(1–3) and GIRK(1–4) inwardly rectifying K⁺ channel mRNAs are differentially expressed in the adult rat brain. *J Neurosci* 16: 3559–3570, 1996.
- Kasten MR, Rudy B, Anderson MP.** Differential regulation of action potential firing in adult murine thalamocortical neurons by Kv3.2, Kv1, and SK potassium and N-type calcium channels. *J Physiol* 584: 565–582, 2007.
- Lee J, Kim D, Shin HS.** Lack of delta waves and sleep disturbances during non-rapid eye movement sleep in mice lacking alpha1G-subunit of T-type calcium channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 18195–18199, 2004.
- Leresche N, Lightowler S, Soltesz I, Jassik-Gerschenfeld D, Crunelli V.** Low-frequency oscillatory activities intrinsic to rat and cat thalamocortical cells. *J Physiol* 441: 155–174, 1991.
- Llinas R, Jahnsen H.** Electrophysiology of mammalian thalamic neurones in vitro. *Nature* 297: 406–408, 1982.
- Llinas RR, Steriade M.** Bursting of thalamic neurons and states of vigilance. *J Neurophysiol* 95: 3297–3308, 2006.
- Lopatin AN, Nichols CG.** Inward rectifiers in the heart: an update on I_{K1}. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 33: 625–638, 2001.
- Lu B, Su Y, Das S, Liu J, Xia J, Ren D.** The neuronal channel NALCN contributes resting sodium permeability and is required for normal respiratory rhythm. *Cell* 129: 371–383, 2007.
- Lu B, Su Y, Das S, Wang H, Wang Y, Liu J, Ren D.** Peptide neurotransmitters activate a cation channel complex of NALCN and UNC-80. *Nature* 457: 741–744, 2009.
- Ludwig A, Budde T, Stieber J, Moosmang S, Wahl C, Holthoff K, Langebartels A, Wotjak C, Munsch T, Zong X, Feil S, Feil R, Lancel M, Chien KR, Konnerth A, Pape HC, Biel M, Hofmann F.** Absence epilepsy and sinus dysrhythmia in mice lacking the pacemaker channel HCN2. *EMBO J* 22: 216–224, 2003.
- Lytton WW, Destexhe A, Sejnowski TJ.** Control of slow oscillations in the thalamocortical neuron: a computer model. *Neuroscience* 70: 673–684, 1996.
- MacLean JN, Zhang Y, Goeritz ML, Casey R, Oliva R, Guckenheimer J, Harris-Warrick RM.** Activity-independent coregulation of IA and Ih in rhythmically active neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 94: 3601–3617, 2005.
- McCormick DA.** Cellular mechanisms underlying cholinergic and noradrenergic modulation of neuronal firing mode in the cat and guinea pig dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus. *J Neurosci* 12: 278–289, 1992.
- McCormick DA, Bal T.** Sleep and arousal: thalamocortical mechanisms. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 20: 185–215, 1997.
- McCormick DA, Feeser HR.** Functional implications of burst firing and single spike activity in lateral geniculate relay neurons. *Neuroscience* 39: 103–113, 1990.
- McCormick DA, Huguenard JR.** A model of the electrophysiological properties of thalamocortical relay neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 68: 1384–1400, 1992.
- McCormick DA, Pape HC.** Properties of a hyperpolarization-activated cation current and its role in rhythmic oscillation in thalamic relay neurones. *J Physiol* 431: 291–318, 1990.
- McCormick DA, Prince DA.** Actions of acetylcholine in the guinea-pig and cat medial and lateral geniculate nuclei, in vitro. *J Physiol* 392: 147–165, 1987.
- McCormick DA, Prince DA.** Noradrenergic modulation of firing pattern in guinea pig and cat thalamic neurons, in vitro. *J Neurophysiol* 59: 978–996, 1988.
- Meuth SG, Kanyshkova T, Meuth P, Landgraf P, Munsch T, Ludwig A, Hofmann F, Pape HC, Budde T.** Membrane resting potential of thalamocortical relay neurons is shaped by the interaction among TASK3 and HCN2 channels. *J Neurophysiol* 96: 1517–1529, 2006.
- Noebels JL.** The voltage-gated calcium channel and absence epilepsy. In: *Jasper's Basic Mechanisms of the Epilepsies*, edited by Noebels JL, Avoli M, Rogawski MA, Olsen RW, and Delgado-Escueta AV. New York: Oxford University Press, 2012.
- O'Leary T, Williams AH, Caplan JS, Marder E.** Correlations in ion channel expression emerge from homeostatic tuning rules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: E2645–E2654, 2013.
- Panama BK, Lopatin AN.** Differential polyamine sensitivity in inwardly rectifying Kir2 potassium channels. *J Physiol* 571: 287–302, 2006.
- Parri HR, Crunelli V.** Sodium current in rat and cat thalamocortical neurons: role of a non-inactivating component in tonic and burst firing. *J Neurosci* 18: 854–867, 1998.
- Paz JT, Chavez M, Sallet S, Deniau JM, Charpier S.** Activity of ventral medial thalamic neurons during absence seizures and modulation of cortical paroxysms by the nigrothalamic pathway. *J Neurosci* 27: 929–941, 2007.
- Perez-Reyes E.** Molecular physiology of low-voltage-activated t-type calcium channels. *Physiol Rev* 83: 117–161, 2003.
- Ren D.** Sodium leak channels in neuronal excitability and rhythmic behaviors. *Neuron* 72: 899–911, 2011.
- Rhodes PA, Llinas R.** A model of thalamocortical relay cells. *J Physiol* 565: 765–781, 2005.
- Rudy B, Maffie J, Amarillo Y, Clark B, Goldberg EM, Jeong HY, Kruglikov I, Kwon E, Nadal MS, Zagha E.** Voltage-gated potassium channels: structure and function of Kv1 to Kv9 subfamilies. In: *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, edited by Squire LR. New York: Academic, 2009, p. 397–425.
- Rush ME, Rinzal J.** Analysis of bursting in a thalamic neuron model. *Biol Cybern* 71: 281–291, 1994.
- Saganich MJ, Machado E, Rudy B.** Differential expression of genes encoding subthreshold-operating voltage-gated K⁺ channels in brain. *J Neurosci* 21: 4609–4624, 2001.
- Santoro B, Chen S, Luthi A, Pavlidis P, Shumyatsky GP, Tibbs GR, Siegelbaum SA.** Molecular and functional heterogeneity of hyperpolarization-activated pacemaker channels in the mouse CNS. *J Neurosci* 20: 5264–5275, 2000.
- Sherman SM.** The thalamus is more than just a relay. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 17: 417–422, 2007.
- Steriade M, Contreras D.** Relations between cortical and thalamic cellular events during transition from sleep patterns to paroxysmal activity. *J Neurosci* 15: 623–642, 1995.
- Steriade M, Deschenes M.** The thalamus as a neuronal oscillator. *Brain Res* 320: 1–63, 1984.
- Stickgold R.** Sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Nature* 437: 1272–1278, 2005.
- Swayne LA, Mezghrani A, Varrault A, Chemin J, Bertrand G, Dalle S, Bourinet E, Lory P, Miller RJ, Nargeot J, Monteil A.** The NALCN ion channel is activated by M3 muscarinic receptors in a pancreatic beta-cell line. *EMBO Rep* 10: 873–880, 2009.
- Tourneur Y.** Action potential-like responses due to the inward rectifying potassium channel. *J Membr Biol* 90: 115–122, 1986.
- Traub RD, Buhl EH, Gloveli T, Whittington MA.** Fast rhythmic bursting can be induced in layer 2/3 cortical neurons by enhancing persistent Na⁺ conductance or by blocking BK channels. *J Neurophysiol* 89: 909–921, 2003.
- Varela C, Sherman SM.** Differences in response to muscarinic activation between first and higher order thalamic relays. *J Neurophysiol* 98: 3538–3547, 2007.
- Wang XJ, Rinzal J, Rogawski MA.** A model of the T-type calcium current and the low-threshold spike in thalamic neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 66: 839–850, 1991.
- Waxman SG, Cummins TR, Black JA, Dib-Hajj S.** Diverse functions and dynamic expression of neuronal sodium channels. *Novartis Found Symp* 241: 34–51; discussion 51–60, 2002.
- Wei H, Bonjean M, Petry HM, Sejnowski TJ, Bickford ME.** Thalamic burst firing propensity: a comparison of the dorsal lateral geniculate and pulvinar nuclei in the tree shrew. *J Neurosci* 31: 17287–17299, 2011.
- Wilson CJ.** The mechanism of intrinsic amplification of hyperpolarizations and spontaneous bursting in striatal cholinergic interneurons. *Neuron* 45: 575–585, 2005.
- Williams SR, Turner JP, Hughes SW, Crunelli V.** On the nature of anomalous rectification in thalamocortical neurones of the cat ventrobasal thalamus in vitro. *J Physiol* 505: 727–747, 1997.
- Wu N, Enomoto A, Tanaka S, Hsiao CF, Nykamp DQ, Izhikevich E, Chandler SH.** Persistent sodium currents in mesencephalic v neurons participate in burst generation and control of membrane excitability. *J Neurophysiol* 93: 2710–2722, 2005.
- Yu FH, Catterall WA.** The VGL-kanome: a protein superfamily specialized for electrical signaling and ionic homeostasis. *Sci STKE* 2004: re15, 2004.
- Zaritsky JJ, Redell JB, Tempel BL, Schwarz TL.** The consequences of disrupting cardiac inwardly rectifying K⁺ current (I_{K1}) as revealed by the targeted deletion of the murine Kir2.1 and Kir2.2 genes. *J Physiol* 533: 697–710, 2001.
- Zhu JJ, Uhlrich DJ.** Cellular mechanisms underlying two muscarinic receptor-mediated depolarizing responses in relay cells of the rat lateral geniculate nucleus. *Neuroscience* 87: 767–781, 1998.
- Zomorrodi R, Kroger H, Timofeev I.** Modeling thalamocortical cell: impact of a channel distribution and cell geometry on firing pattern. *Front Comput Neurosci* 2: 5, 2008.



Marcela Nadal <marcelanadal@gmail.com>

Decision made for JN-00647-2013R1

1 message

otist@ucla.edu <otist@ucla.edu>

Mon, Apr 21, 2014 at 2:10 PM

Reply-To: otist@ucla.edu

To: marcela.nadal@cab.cnea.gov.ar

Dear Dr. Nadal:

A decision for JN-00647-2013R1, "The interplay of seven sub-threshold conductances controls the resting membrane potential and the oscillatory behavior of thalamocortical neurons," for which you are listed as co-author, has been sent to the corresponding author. That letter is below. Contributing authors are: Yimy Amarillo, Edward Zagha, German Mato, Bernardo Rudy, and Marcela Nadal

Subject: JN-00647-2013R1 Accept for publication

Dear Dr. Amarillo:

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript "The interplay of seven sub-threshold conductances controls the resting membrane potential and the oscillatory behavior of thalamocortical neurons," (JN-00647-2013R1), has been accepted and will be published online as an Article in Press within approximately two weeks, assuming all files are in order.

PLEASE NOTE THAT YOUR MANUSCRIPT WILL BE PUBLISHED IN *AiPS* EXACTLY AS SUBMITTED, INCLUDING SPELLING OF AUTHOR NAMES AS PROVIDED IN THE METADATA OF THE MANUSCRIPT RECORD.

COVER ART: The Journal cover changes every month. We invite you to bring to our attention any illustrations that you feel would make a particularly attractive cover. These may be figures from your paper or designs that reflect its subject matter. Please submit any designs and the accompanying legends that you would like considered for possible cover use to jncover@the-aps.org. The legend is imperative.

Thank you for submitting your work to the Journal of Neurophysiology.

Sincerely,
Tom Otis, Ph.D.
Associate Editor
Journal of Neurophysiology

Reviewers' comments, if any, on this version:
Reviewer #1 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

The authors have satisfactorily addressed all my comments - congratulations to a beautifully elaborated neurophysiology study on TC neurons.



Marcela Nadal <marcelanadal@gmail.com>

FW: Decision made for JN-00650-2013

1 message

Rudy, Bernardo <Bernardo.Rudy@nyumc.org>

Thu, Jan 16, 2014 at 1:20 PM

To: "yamarillo@gmail.com" <yamarillo@gmail.com>, marcela <marcelanadal@gmail.com>

Here is Otis' response. I think we should go for a single paper right now and figure out what to do with the rest of the material later. What he says is not entirely true but fighting it will make us lose time and sympathy. Now, I will read the combined paper soon and let you know my comments.

Bernardo

From: Thomas Otis [otist@mednet.ucla.edu]**Sent:** Wednesday, January 15, 2014 9:27 PM**To:** Rudy, Bernardo**Subject:** RE: Decision made for JN-00650-2013

Hi Bernardo,

Apologies for the delay in responding.

I can see how you might conclude each of the reviewers lacked critical information. However, although there was not overlap in this group, each reviewer was made aware of and had access to both papers. And there was a consensus among the reviewers that the work was potentially publishable, but that it would need to be condensed to be effective.

Finally, they felt that that the modeling and measurements should be presented as a package.

Although the reviewers will be sensitive to the paper length (judging mainly whether the findings and interpretations require that much space), it is worth noting that J Neurophysiology regularly publishes 15-18 figure papers. So this is not out of the question if it is justified.

However, if you feel that one paper will be too unwieldy, one way to go would be to concentrate on phenomena like the oscillations and provide both experimental measurements and modeling in the same shortened paper. You could then construct a second paper with the same type of organization focusing on bifurcation analysis or some other physiological aspect of the dynamics.

This has the advantage that experimental + modeling papers almost always fare better than modeling papers alone. Of course it is not the case that J Neurophysiol prohibits papers focuses solely on modeling, but for obvious reasons they need to be more compelling to avoid the concern that the modeling is not adequately constrained or is not a substantive contribution.

I will be busy through the end of the week but could be available next week for a conversation if you would still like to talk. Just let me know.

And good luck with the resubmission!

Best,

Tom

Tom Otis, Ph.D.

Edith Agnes Plumb Endowed Chair in Neurobiology

Professor and Chair, Neurobiology Department

Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA

Los Angeles, CA 90095

office: 310-206-0746

lab:310-206-5274

otist@ucla.edu

www.otislab.org

From: Rudy, Bernardo [mailto:Bernardo.Rudy@nyumc.org]

Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 10:08 AM

To: otist@ucla.edu

Cc: marcelanadal@gmail.com; yamarillo@gmail.com

Subject: RE: Decision made for JN-00650-2013

Dear Tom,

We have been trying to work on revised versions of these papers; but at this stage I thought it was important to consult with you. We found the reviewers' comments very helpful and insightful. They clearly did a comprehensive job and we appreciate that. We can do as you suggest: "I have recommended that you combine the two manuscripts and so have formally rejected this piece of work while allowing the other manuscript to move forward in the editorial process."

However, I want you to consider the following facts. By far, the most serious concerns of the reviewers with the second paper (JN-00650-2013) arose because they had not seen the first paper (JN-00647-2013). We wrote the papers with the intention of them being published in the same issue, one following the other, and under the assumption that they will be reviewed by the same reviewers. Some Journals publish sequential papers like this, but maybe Journal of Neurophysiology does not, and it is certainly true that we were not sufficiently clear about this when we submitted the papers.

It is not that we are trying to get two papers out of this work. We are willing to adapt the material to one paper on the two related issues, but I think this second paper makes very important points about oscillations and in order not to make the single paper exceedingly long we would have to get rid of some of the material, such as the bifurcation analysis, that we think is original and the reviewers found useful.

Either way I want to tell you that we can address all the other major concerns of the reviewers with this and with the other manuscript in a timely manner.

Maybe we can chat on the phone?

Thanks and best wishes,

Bernardo

From: otist@ucla.edu [otist@ucla.edu]
Sent: Sunday, October 20, 2013 2:35 PM
To: Rudy, Bernardo
Subject: Decision made for JN-00650-2013

Dear Dr. Rudy:

A decision for JN-00650-2013, "Mechanisms of generation and maintenance of intrinsic rhythmic burst firing in thalamocortical neurons: A computational study" for which you are listed as co-author, has been sent to the corresponding author. That letter is below. Contributing authors are: Marcela Nadal, German Mato, Bernardo Rudy, and Yimy Amarillo

Subject: JN-00650-2013 Mechanisms of generation and maintenance of intrinsic rhythmic burst firing in thalamocortical neurons: A computational study

Dear Dr. Amarillo:

You will find with this letter the comments of the referees of your manuscript, Mechanisms of generation and maintenance of intrinsic rhythmic burst firing in thalamocortical neurons: A computational study. Unfortunately, the reviewers have serious reservations about publishing this manuscript as a separate piece of work (see the prior email concerning the accompanying manuscript). On the basis of their concerns, I have recommended that you combine the two manuscripts and so have formally rejected this piece of work while allowing the other manuscript to move forward in the editorial process. I hope that the reviewers' comments will be of assistance to you in preparing the combined revision.

Yours sincerely,

Tom Otis, Ph.D.
Associate Editor
Journal of Neurophysiology

Reviewer #1 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

In this study Nadal et al. used computer simulations of several intrinsic currents expressed in thalamocortical neurons to test their role in the generation of oscillations in delta frequency range. The authors conclude that low-threshold Ca^{2+} current alone is sufficient to generate oscillations and all other currents play just a modulatory role.

This conclusion is new, but I am not convinced that it is valid in application to any real physiological process.

Major critique.

1. What was the hypothesis tested in this study. It appears that the authors just downloaded Neuron environment, played with different parameters and obtained some curious curves.
2. If this is a model, what would be predictions of this modeling study? What one can test experimentally?
3. It is impossible to evaluate the model itself. The method section does not describe any parameter in the initial conditions. The authors address readers 14 times to an accompanying paper and possibly some useful information can be found there, but I did not see that paper.
4. The variables are not defined.
5. The result section address reader to the method section, but mentioned information is absent in the method section (i.e. lines 606-607).
6. The initial parameters came from some recordings from mice thalamus. First, I am not sure what was the source of these data; and second, which part of the thalamus was used? It is extremely clear that morphological and physiological properties of first and higher order nuclei are very different. Were differences in current density in soma vs. dendrites considered?
7. There are some puzzling observations. The persistent Na current boosts the LTS during initial phase, when the membrane potential is at about -80 mV (Fig. 8). It is well known that

persistent sodium current is activated at more depolarized values (a few mV below firing threshold).

Reviewer #2 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

This is a thorough and rigorous study of the contributions of ion channels to the generation of rhythmic bursting in thalamocortical neurons. It is a much-studied problem and this paper lays out the issues in a scholarly and even-handed way. The approach, which is basically a sensitivity analysis of bursting varying the parameters of each ion channel, is a correct, if somewhat laborious.

I have only one substantial criticism of the scientific results. The rest are suggestions, and only about the presentation.

My only substantial concern is about the spatial location of ion channels. The premise of the paper is that the oscillations are sustained in cats but not in mice because of a difference in ion channel expression or properties. But the model places all the channels in a single isopotential compartment. Destexhe et al (J. Neuroscience 18:3574-3588, 1998) argued that much of the t current was distal in thalamic relay neurons, and that the dendritic location was necessary to reproduce the LTS properly. The issue of dendritic location of ion channels is completely ignored in this paper. Why couldn't the difference between cats and mice be in the geometry of the cells or the distribution of ion channels? I think this issue should be acknowledged and discussed frankly.

My comments on the presentation are only suggestions. The paper is detailed, which is good, but it was hard work to read. The goal is to clarify, even when describing complicated things. It is impossible to make this kind of thing light reading, but I think this paper could be written in a much clearer way.

One complicating factor is that there are a number of different ways to enhance the oscillation, and there is no reason to think that one of them is more physiologically-likely than the others. This causes the paper to seem like a catalog of things that could happen, but actually may, or may not. This happens a lot in modelling. Of course, for single parameters, the bifurcation diagrams are very helpful. But often oscillations require some combination of things. One thing that would help would be a table showing the parameters that were varied and the range over which they were changed, and the combinations that support periodic bursting. It would also help if somehow the various combinations that support oscillation need to be organized in a systematic way. Perhaps they all have some dynamical feature in common. If not, there may be a small number of different pathways to sustained oscillations. Traditionally, we have presented this kind of result in a graph, with parameters values on the axes and outcomes coded by shading.

It might also be helpful to try to remove redundancy from the paper. I have the feeling when it read it that some things are said over and over. I am not sure exactly how many times the authors say that the t -channel is ideal for generating the low threshold spike and can maintain oscillations without any other channel other than a leak. This is said at least 3

times. This is one thing I already knew before reading this paper (mainly from the Wang, Rinzel and Rogawski paper, which shows it explicitly). It is not a new finding and it probably could be said just once.

Reviewer #3 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

Review of Nadal et al. Mechanisms of generation and maintenance of intrinsic rhythmic burst firing in thalamocortical neurons: A computational study.

(Submitted to the Journal of Neurophysiology, Sept. 2013)

In this work, Nadal et al describe a computational model of the intrinsic membrane properties that contribute to the rhythmic bursting behavior characteristic of thalamocortical (TC) neurons. The model is focused on conductances active in the subthreshold voltage range and is based on what the authors describe as an experimental characterization of TC neurons in mouse brain slices, described in an accompanying manuscript. In the development of a minimal model of rhythmic burst firing in TC neurons, the authors devote their attention to the role of T-type Ca current (IT) in generating rhythmic bursting behavior (LTSs) and attempt to challenge the notion that the functional interaction between IT and H-current (IH) is critical for LTS generation. In the course of interrogating the model, they propose that the properties of IT alone are capable of driving LTSs and that the other subthreshold conductances act in a modulatory capacity.

Taken together, the computational experiments described in this work are interesting and potentially contribute to a more complete, quantitative understanding of the ionic mechanisms underlying the unique and complex firing properties of TC neurons. However, I have a number of major issues with the manuscript, both conceptual and technical (outlined below), that detract from my enthusiasm for this work. The major issues can be summarized as follows: 1) concerns about independence from the companion paper; 2) concerns about the minimal TC model; and 3) concerns about novelty.

Major concerns:

-One major impediment in reviewing this manuscript is that it becomes quickly apparent that it reads as the second half or an extension of a larger experimental AND computational study. In my mind, the current manuscript fails to be self-contained and independent of its companion manuscript. Throughout the text - in the abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion - there is frequent reference to "the complementary paper" or "see accompanying paper". This is fine for information that's not critical to having a full understanding of the methods, experimental manipulations and interpretation of data in the current manuscript. But frequently, information that's essential to understanding the present manuscript is just missing. For example, virtually the entire Methods section for this manuscript is missing and the reviewer is referred to the other manuscript (which of course the reviewer does not have access to, nor should they if this is considered to be a self-contained, independent piece of work and reviewed as such). Another example is in the first paragraph of the Results in which the authors refer to "...the seven sub-threshold conductances expressed in rodent TC neurons to the resting membrane potential of these cells.....". This appears to be a glib reference to the other study and is written as though the

reader has already read the other study and knows WHICH seven sub-threshold conductances the author is referring to. It then fails to explain what those conductances are and the rationale behind studying them. The computational methods displayed in this work are only as powerful as their ability to recapitulate, illuminate and extend experimental data. I understand that the accompanying manuscript, partly or largely, comprises experimental data but the reader is only left to guess what is in the first manuscript. I think that the experimental and computational portions of the larger work need to be better integrated. In my mind, this can be accomplished in one of 2 ways: 1) One large paper in which the experimental and computational approaches are integrated and the scope is more focused; 2) Two entirely independent papers: one focused on VC recording of the various conductances in mouse brain slices and their recapitulation by modeling; and the other focused on CC recordings, rhythmic behavior, etc. and its recapitulation by the model. Thus a major reorganization of the manuscript(s) may be required.

-Another major concern I have is the development of a minimal TC model that only includes subthreshold currents. Having a self-contained minimal model, which only consists of the suite of subthreshold currents, albeit capable of generating rhythmic LTSs, discounts the role that other active conductances (fast inactivating INa, high-threshold ICa, high-threshold IK and Ca-activated IK, IKCa, and others that are active during the action potentials and bursts) have on the subthreshold currents in a real-world neuron. For example, removing just fast-inactivating INa would, in principle, control Ca-entry during the burst (from both low and high-threshold ICa), which would influence IKCa, thus affecting the depth of the afterhyperpolarization and its ability to both activate Ih and allow IT to recover from steady-state inactivation. The model should first be able to recapitulate full-scale bursting (compared side-by-side with experimental data), and then be able to remove INa, high-threshold ICa, high-threshold IK, IKCa etc. and see that the rhythmic LTS behavior is fully intact. Only after the authors have established that can they legitimately uncover the subthreshold mechanisms driving rhythmic LTSs. True that TTX can leave the rhythmic LTSs intact in guinea pig slices in McCormick and Huguenard (1992) and the minimal model is capable of generating LTSs but is it the primary engine underlying full-scale bursting in a real-world TC neuron or an epiphenomenon?

-The last major issue I have with this work concerns novelty. Sophisticated, single-compartment, conductance-based computational models of the firing patterns of TC neurons have existed for over 20 years, as cited by the authors (ex. McCormick and Huguenard, 1992; Destexhe et al, 1993 and others. Furthermore, these models focus on the role of IT and IH as well as considering a number of subthreshold currents that modulate and sculpt the rhythmic burst firing, largely the same suite of conductances that are considered in the current manuscript. The computational work presented in this work is indeed sophisticated and well-executed. Yet other than reconsidering the central role of IH in the rhythmic behavior, and being specifically based on data derived from mouse TC neurons, I'm struggling to find a fundamentally novel mechanism that falls out of the current model. The authors may consider being more clear about their motivation in generating a new model of TC neuron firing. For example, in the Introduction, after discussing other computational models of TC neurons, the authors state: "Here we revisit these concepts in light of a more comprehensive understanding of the interaction between sub-threshold conductances under steady-state conditions (see accompanying paper)". This is an opportunity to state clearly what new information about sub-threshold conductances may have led previous investigators astray

and was the impetus for constructing the present model that may reveal a novel mechanism. Yet the reader (and this reviewer) is left with a glib statement with no clear explanation.

Minor Concerns:

-There are a number of relatively minor grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript which should be addressed.

-Figures 6,7 and 8 are described in the text in an unwieldy fashion where a reader has to go back and forth between three figures. Figure 8 is not critical to the arguments made in the text and can go to supplementary data or removed.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, copy, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, copy, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is proprietary, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender by return email and delete the original message. Please note, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The organization accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.
=====



This email is free from viruses and malware because [avast! Antivirus](#) protection is active.

IMPORTANT WARNING: This email (and any attachments) is only intended for the use of the person or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. You, the recipient, are obligated to maintain it in a safe, secure and confidential manner. Unauthorized redisclosure or failure to maintain confidentiality may subject you to federal and state penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify us by return email, and delete this message from your computer.

This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is proprietary, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender by return email and delete the original message. Please note, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The organization accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

=====



Marcela Nadal <marcelanadal@gmail.com>

Decision made for JN-00647-2013

1 message

otist@ucla.edu <otist@ucla.edu>

Sun, Oct 20, 2013 at 3:28 PM

To: marcela.nadal@cab.cnea.gov.ar

Dear Dr. Nadal:

A decision for JN-00647-2013, "Comprehensive reconstruction of the sub-threshold steady-state conductance of thalamocortical neurons" for which you are listed as co-author, has been sent to the corresponding author. That letter is below. Contributing authors are: Yimy Amarillo, Edward Zagher, Bernardo Rudy, and Marcela Nadal

Subject: JN-00647-2013 Comprehensive reconstruction of the sub-threshold steady-state conductance of thalamocortical neurons

Dear Dr. Amarillo:

Please find attached the comments of expert reviewers for your manuscript entitled "Comprehensive reconstruction of the sub-threshold steady-state conductance of thalamocortical neurons." In following email I will also forward the comments for the accompanying paper describing the experimental measurements in support of the computational model described here.

As you can see from that attached reviews, the two reviewers of this manuscript were generally positive. However, the five reviewers of the two papers did indicate significant concerns that require your attention before the manuscript can be considered further. The principal critique was the need to include key experimental and modeling results in the same manuscript and to make this manuscript as concise as possible (you will see from the reviews of the other manuscript that there are similar comments). With this in mind, and considering that space in the journal is limited, I am inviting you to revise one of these two manuscripts (i.e., this one) while formally rejecting the other. In your revision I recommend that you combine the two papers, including key aspects of the model supported by experimental measurements in the revision. A final decision on the work will be made after the original reviewers have examined the revisions and provided me their assessment of the revised manuscript and your responses to the reviewers' critiques. Please be aware that this invitation does not guarantee eventual acceptance of your manuscript.

The revision is due by 28th Apr 2014, six (6) months from today. If you do not request an extension to this deadline or submit a revised manuscript within 6 months, we will consider the manuscript withdrawn from submission to Journal of Neurophysiology. However, if you wish to submit the manuscript to another journal within the 6-month time frame, you must officially withdraw your manuscript from the journal Journal of Neurophysiology. Please contact at mcapers@the-aps.org if you wish to withdraw your manuscript.

:

To successfully submit a revision, follow the instructions below.

THE FILES THAT YOU UPLOAD NOW WILL BE THE FILES USED FOR PUBLICATION IF THE MANUSCRIPT IS ACCEPTED.

To submit a revision, first, create your responses to reviewers in a DOC, RTF or PDF format; create your article file - clean version (all text in black) in DOC, RTF or LaTeX format (PDF will not be accepted) as part of the merged file and your article file as the redlined version in a DOC, RTF or PDF format for the reviewers only.

When you have all your new files ready, use the web address below to start the submission for your revision:
<http://jn.msubmit.net/cgi-bin/main.plex>

Validate the metadata, especially authors' names, the title, and the abstract.

FILES:

- Provide your article file without figures in a DOC or RTF format.
- Upload either a single file or separate files for your figures in PDF, EPS, or PPT format (PDF preferred).

Use the REMOVE Files tab to remove files that were uploaded in error or that are no longer needed.

Under File Types, use the following categories:

- Article file - Article file. Turn off Track Changes for clean copy file
- Figures - Figure (Please number each figure).
- Redlined Article File - This will not be part of the merged PDF file, but it will be seen by the reviewers.
- Author Response to Reviewer Comments - Also not part of the merged PDF file, but it will be seen by the reviewers.

For help preparing figures, go to:

<http://www.the-aps.org/publications/i4a/figures/index.htm>

Use the FILE ORDER tab to verify the order of your files.

Notes about files:

The References must be listed in alphabetical order. Neglecting to put references in alphabetical order will delay the publication of your paper. An easy way to ensure the proper format of the References is to use a citation management software of your choice, available at: <http://www.the-aps.org/publications/journals/styles.htm>

Reviewers like to see a text file with changes tracked. You can upload that file as "Red Lined Version." It will not appear in the merged PDF to be reviewed but it will be available to reviewers as a supplemental file.

The title and author information will be prepopulated. If you wish to make changes to this information, click the heading labeled MANUSCRIPT INFORMATION.

Click the heading labeled VALIDATE to validate all your files. If you get an error, click the link that reads "Fix."

Hit the SUBMIT button to make the record go immediately to the associate editor assigned to the manuscript.

In case there are changes in authorship (addition, deletion, or change of order), a Change of Authorship Form must be obtained from:

<http://www.the-aps.org/mm/Publications/Journals/Physiology/pub-author-form.pdf>

and all the authors must sign it. The signed form should then be faxed to (301) 634-7243.

Regards,

Tom Otis, Ph.D.
Associate Editor
Journal of Neurophysiology

PLEASE NOTE: AS OF 1 JANUARY 2007, ALL ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPTS (EXCLUDING LETTERS TO THE EDITOR) WILL AUTOMATICALLY BE PUBLISHED ONLINE IN ARTICLES IN PRESS SHORTLY AFTER ACCEPTANCE.

Reviewer #1 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

This is a carefully elaborated work on the ionic mechanisms determining the resting membrane potential of thalamocortical (TC) neurons.

It has to be clearly said that many previous studies dealt with the analyses of steady-state conductances around resting membrane potential in TC neurons, and, in many instances, the paper picks up previous models of ion channels and adapts these in terms of total conductance to their model. In this sense, the paper is an extension of previous work, but it excels in its completeness and in the precision of data provided.

For example, quite impressive is the close correspondence between experimental values and computational predictions in terms of absolute membrane potential values and of relative potential changes upon suppression of one conductance, such as it happens during neurotransmitter-induced modulation of the membrane potential of TC neurons. It also clearly demonstrates a major role for leak channels in setting the membrane potential of natural TC neurons, while Ih plays a minor role, an idea that has been brought forward long ago, but that has never been quantitatively pursued.

I have a few remarks that should help to broaden the functional appeal of the paper:

The paper focuses mainly on steady-state conditions, although it integrates data on conductance dynamics in the model. It would be very nice if the TC cell's low-threshold rhythmic discharge could be used as a voltage command to study the dynamics of conductance activation during the clock-like delta rhythm that, so far, has been thought to be primarily driven by Ih and IT. Now, apparently, it would be important to consider the role of the persistent sodium current in this process (I do not know the accompanying manuscript where such approaches may have been taken).

Same for rhythmic inhibition experienced by these cells during sleep-related oscillations: how do the dynamics of the steady-state conductances shape the waveform of repetitive IPSPs?

The paper completes several previous studies on the complex set of steady-state conductances in TC neurons by adding experimental evidence for two additional ones: an inward rectifier and a persistent sodium current. Concerning the first one, a knock-out animal is studied to demonstrate that it is mediated by the Kir2.2 channels. These data are interesting, but not really part of the main story. However, the KO mice could be used to present some additional data, for example with respect to compensatory changes in RMP or cellular discharge once Kir2.2 channels are removed.

What do the authors think about the molecular nature of the persistent sodium channel? Ideally, some knockout data could also be provided for this, apparently surprisingly considerable, steady-state conductance.

The authors should also discuss the implications of a single-, as opposed to a multicompartmental model of a TC cell in which their steady-state conductances would presumably not be equally distributed.

The manuscript should be shortened considerably, avoiding excessive literature review in the Results and focusing on the major novel points of the work.

Reviewer #2 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

Amarillo et al have generated a computational model of seven ionic currents active in subthreshold potentials in thalamocortical neurons. As these currents are essential for setting resting potential and the pattern of voltage oscillations that leads to phasic firing, this study will be of general interest to neuroscientists. As indicated by the title, the work is 'comprehensive' and represents an extensive effort. I particularly like Figs 4 and 7. My comments are largely minor but should be addressed.

1. There are a few mistakes of grammar and spelling. Please check throughout. E.g.: Line 252, were, not where; Don't use "cols" for "colleagues" (lines 355, 426); line 433 'This kind of corrections'; line 501 slop factor; line 502 capitalize Mathematica; 1046, Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz; 1077 thought; 1202 'yet antagonic', just delete these words

2. The authors assert that Kir2.2 may be the sole Kir in TC neurons since 2.2 KOs eliminate the current. Since there could be other subunits that obligatorily combine with 2.2 to form functional Kir, this conclusion seems premature. Better to state that 2.2 is an essential component.

3. Voltage ramps are used to determine the properties of slow currents like Ih and NaP, and the authors state several times that they are not slow enough to be at steady state for the currents at any one voltage. Yet the authors also claim that their analysis of the currents is accurate. Seems like you cannot have it both ways. Why not use long voltage steps, especially over the physiological voltage range?

4. Please number the equations. Equation 1 seems to be wrong I think (Gmin is added not multiplied and k is part of exponent)
$$G_{min} + ((G_{max} - G_{min}) / (1 + \exp((V - V_{half}) / k)))$$
5. line 284 refers to 1C (a GV curve) to see results of equation two (line 285) but the text refers to a current not a GV curve.
6. line 268: is 50 uM Ba²⁺ enough?
7. line 308: extrapolated current: extrapolated from a fitted line or the last data point and reversal or what?
8. Line 535 says lines in Fig 1E are markedly different, but they do not look markedly different to me.
9. Can you use a standard Gbar notation instead of g-- ?
10. lines 668-669 how were gbar Na and p-T determined?
11. I did not see a reference to Fig 6,CD in the text.
12. the large role of steady-state I-A is surprising. It would be reassuring to see whether 4AP or perhaps a selective toxin hyperpolarizes the cells as predicted by the model.
13. line 1029, similarly it would be straightforward to exclude KCNQ and EAG channels using selective blockers.

:

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, copy, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, copy, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.