(Formerly MENDELIANA)



December 2019 Volumen XXX No. 2 E-ISNN: 1852-6322



Journal of Basic & Applied Genetics

Journal of the Argentine Society of Genetics Revista de la Sociedad Argentina de Genética www.sag.org.ar/jbag Buenos Aires, Argentina

www.sag.org.ar/jbag







V. XXX - No.2

December 2019

Included in:





Cited by:









SCImago Journal & Country

Comité Editorial

Editor General:

Dra. Elsa L. Camadro Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Balcarce, Argentina camadro.elsa@inta.gob.ar

Editores Asociados:

Citogenética Animal

Dra. Liliana M. Mola

Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad Nacional de Buenos Aires Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Buenos Aires, Argentina limola@ege.fcen.uba.ar; lilimola@yahoo.com.ar

Citogenética Vegetal

Dr. Julio R. Daviña Instituto de Biologia Subtropical Universidad Nacional de Misiones Posadas, Argentina juliordavina@fceqyn.unam.edu.ar

Genética de Poblaciones y Evolución

Dr. Jorge Cladera

Instituto de Genética "Ewald A. Favret" Centro de Investigación en Ciencias Veterinarias y Agronómicas Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Castelar, Argentina cladera.jorge@inta.gob.ar

Dra. Noemí Gardenal

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales Universidad Nacional de Córdoba Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Córdoba, Argentina ngardenal@unc.edu.ar

Dr. Juan César Vilardi

Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad Nacional de Buenos Aires Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Buenos Aires, Argentina vilardi@bg.fcen.uba.ar

Genética Humana, Médica y Citogenética

Dra. Silvia Adela Ávila Hospital Castro Rendón Universidad Nacional del Comahue Nuequén, Argentina silvia347@gmail.com

Dra. María Inés Echeverría

Instituto de Genética Facultad de Ciencias Médicas Universidad Nacional de Cuyo Mendoza, Argentina miecheve@fcm.uncu.edu.ar

Dra. María Purificación Galindo Villardón

Facultad Medicina, Campus Miguel de Unamuno. Universidad de Salamanca. Salamanca, España pgalindo@usal.es

Dr. Santiago Lippold

Centro de Educación Médica e Investigaciones Clínicas Buenos Aires, Argentina sell@fibertel.com.ar

Dr. José Arturo Prada Oliveira

Facultad de Medicina. Departamento de Anatomía Humana y Embriología Universidad de Cádiz. Cádiz, España arturo.prada@uca.es

Genética Molecular (Animal)

Dr. Guillermo Giovambattista

Instituto de Genética Veterinaria Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias Universidad Nacional de La Plata Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas La Plata, Argentina ggiovam@fcv.unlp.edu.ar

Genética Molecular (Vegetal)

Dr. Alberto Acevedo

Centro de Investigación de Recursos Naturales Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Castelar, Argentina acevedo.alberto@inta.gob.ar

Dr. Andrés Zambelli

Fac. de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata. Balcarce, Argentina andres.d.zambelli@gmail.com

Genética y Mejoramiento Animal

Dra. Liliana A. Picardi

Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias Universidad Nacional de Rosario Zavalla, Argentina Ipicardi@fcagr.unr.edu.ar

Dra. María Inés Oyarzábal

Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias Universidad Nacional de Rosario Rosario, Argentina moyazabr@unr.edu.ar

Genética y Mejoramiento Genético Vegetal

Dra. Natalia Bonamico

Facultad de Agronomía y Veterinaria Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto Río Cuarto, Argentina nbonamico@ayv.unrc.edu.ar

Dr. José Crossa

Unidad de Biometría y Estadística Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo (CIMMYT) México, D.F., México j.crossa@cgiar.org

Dr. Ricardo W. Masuelli

Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias Universidad Nacional de Cuyo Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Mendoza, Argentina rmasuelli@fca.uncu.edu.ar

Dr. Rodomiro Ortiz

Department of Plant Breeding Swedish University of Agricultural Science Uppsala, Suecia rodomiro.ortiz@slu.se

Dra. Mónica Poverene

Departamento de Agronomía Universidad Nacional del Sur Bahía Blanca, Argentina poverene@criba.edu.ar

Mutagénesis

Dr. Alejandro D. Bolzán

Laboratorio de Citogenética y Mutagénesis Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Celular Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas La Plata, Argentina abolzan@imbice.gov.ar

Mutaciones Inducidas en Mejoramiento Vegetal

Ing. Agr. (M.Sc.) Alberto R.Prina

Instituto de Genética "Ewald A. Favret" Centro de Investigación en Ciencias Veterinarias y Agronómicas Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Castelar, Argentina prina.albertoraul@inta.gob.ar

Consultor Estadístico:

Dr. David Almorza Facultad de Ciencias del Trabajo, Departamento de Estadística e Investigación Operativa Universidad de Cádiz. Cádiz, España david.almorza@uca.es

Ing. Agr. Francisco J. Babinec

Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Anguil Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Facultad de Agronomía Universidad Nacional de La Pampa Santa Rosa, Argentina babinec.francisco@inta.gob.ar

Secretaria de Redacción:

Dra. María de las Mercedes Echeverría

Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata Balcarce, Argentina echeverria.maria@inta.gob.ar

Diseño y maquetación:

Mauro Salerno maurosalerno92@gmail.com

Corrección de estilo:

Dr. Mariano Santini marianosantini@yahoo.com.ar

Imágen de tapa:

Mis amigos del jardín Autora: A. Soledad Firpo

Contenidos

| ARTICLE 1 RESEARCH 7 - 20 | MOLECULAR MARKER ANALYSIS OF SPIKE FERTILITY INDEX AND RELATED TRAITS IN A BREAD WHEAT RECOMBINANT INBRED LINE POPULATION | 9 |
|---|--|---|
| _ | ANÁLISIS DE MARCADORES MOLECULARES PARA EL ÍNDICE DE FERTILIDAD DE ESPIGA Y CARACTERES ASOCIADOS EN UNA POBLACIÓN DE LÍNEAS ENDOCRIADAS RECOMBINANTES DE TRIGO PAN. | 1 |
| | Panelo J.S. , Alonso M.P., Mirabella N.E. , Pontaroli A.C. | |
| | | ~ |
| ARTICLE 2 RESEARCH 21 - 25 | KARYOLOGICAL STUDY IN THE CHILEAN RHATANY Krameria cistoidea HOOK. & ARN. (KRAMERIACEAE) ESTUDIO CARIOLÓGICO EN EL PACUL CHILENO Krameria cistoidea HOOK. & ARN. (KRAMERIACEAE) | 9 |
| | Palma Rojas C., Jara Seguel P., García M., von Brand E., Araya Jaime C. | |
| | | ~ |
| ARTICLE 3 REVIEW | VARIANTES PATOGÉNICAS DE TP53 RELACIONADAS CON CÁNCER | 9 |
| | Rosero C.Y., Mejia L.G., Corredor M. | |
| ARTICLE 4 | THE HUMAN VARIOME PROJECT COUNTRY NODE OF ARGENTINA IN | ล |
| 41 - 46 | THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF ACTIVITY: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE EL NODO ARGENTINO DEL PROYECTO VARIOMA HUMANO EN LOS PRIMEROS DOS AÑOS DE ACTIVIDAD: PASADO, PRESENTE Y FUTURO | o |
| | Solano A.R., Garrido M., Mele P.G., Podestá E.J., Reichardt J.K.V. | |
| | | 0 |
| ARTICLE 5 RESEARCH 47 - 54 | GENOME SIZE IN THREE SPECIES OF Glandularia AND THEIR HYBRIDS TAMAÑO DEL GENOMA EN TRES ESPECIES DE Glandularia Y SUS HÍBRIDOS | Q |
| | Ferrari M.R., Greizerstein E.J., Poggio L. | |
| | | |
| OBITUARY 55 - 56 | DR. ROBERTO COCO | 9 |
| _ | | |
| 57 - 62 | CONGRESO ALAG 2019. 6 AL 9 DE OCTUBRE, MENDOZA, ARGENTINA | ര |
| _ | RESÚMENES OMITIDOS | |
| | MODIFICACIONES SOLICITADAS POR LOS AUTORES EN RESÚMENES PUBLICADOS | |



6

MOLECULAR MARKER ANALYSIS OF SPIKE FERTILITY INDEX AND RELATED TRAITS IN A BREAD WHEAT RECOMBINANT INBRED LINE POPULATION

ANÁLISIS DE MARCADORES MOLECULARES PARA EL ÍNDICE DE FERTILIDAD DE ESPIGA Y CARACTERES ASOCIADOS EN UNA POBLACIÓN DE LÍNEAS ENDOCRIADAS RECOMBINANTES DE TRIGO PAN.

Panelo J.S.^{1,2}, Alonso M.P.^{1,3}, Mirabella N.E.¹, Pontaroli A.C.^{1,3*}

¹ Unidad Integrada Balcarce (Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Balcarce, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria – Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata); CC 276 (7620) Balcarce, Argentina.

² Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires; CC 276 (7620) Balcarce, Argentina.

³ Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas; CC 276 (7620) Balcarce, Argentina.

Corresponding author: Ana Clara Pontaroli pontaroli.ana@inta.gob.ar

Cite this article as:

Panelo J.S., Alonso M.P., Mirabella N.E., Pontaroli A.C. 2019. MOLECULAR MARKER ANALYSIS OF SPIKE FERTILITY INDEX AND RELATED TRAITS IN A BREAD WHEAT RECOMBINANT INBRED LINE POPULATION. BAG. Journal of Basic and Applied Genetics XXX (2): 7-20.

Received: 05/28/2019 Revised version received: 07/25/2019 Accepted: 08/13/2019

General Editor: Elsa Camadro

DOI: 10.35407/bag.2019.xxx.02.01

ISSN online version: 1852-6233

_

Available online at www.sag.org.ar/jbag

ABSTRACT

Spike fertility index (SF) has been well established as an ecophysiological trait related to grain number per unit area and a promising selection target in wheat breeding programs. Scarce information on the molecular basis of SF is available thus far. In this study, a preliminary molecular marker analysis was carried out in a RIL population derived from the cross between two Argentinean cultivars with contrasting SF to identify candidate genomic regions associated with SF. Twenty-four microsatellites and two functional markers that had been found to co-segregate with SF in a bulked-segregant analysis of the F_3 generation of the population were analyzed. Phenotypic data were collected from three field experiments carried out during 2013, 2014 and 2015 growing seasons at Balcarce, Argentina. Two genomic regions associated with SF in chromosomes 5BS and 7AS were detected, which merit further investigation.

Key words: selection, genomic regions, grain number, yield, QTL, spike fertility index, fruiting efficiency

RESUMEN

El índice de fertilidad de espiga (FE) ha sido propuesto como un carácter ecofisiológico asociado con el número de granos por unidad de área y como criterio de selección prometedor para los programas de mejoramiento de trigo. Sin embargo, la información sobre las bases moleculares de la FE aún es escasa. En este estudio, se realizó un análisis preliminar de marcadores moleculares en una población RIL derivada del cruce entre dos cultivares argentinos con FE contrastante con el objetivo de identificar regiones genómicas candidatas asociadas con el carácter. Se analizaron 24 microsatélites y dos marcadores funcionales que se había encontrado que se co-segregaban con la FE en un análisis de segregantes en *"bulk"* en la generación F_3 de la población. Se recopilaron datos fenotípicos de tres experimentos de campo llevados a cabo durante las temporadas de cultivo 2013, 2014 y 2015 en Balcarce, Argentina. Se detectaron dos regiones genómicas asociadas con la FE en los cromosomas 5BS y 7AS, que mostraron ser estables a través de los años de evaluación. Este trabajo aporta información novedosa acerca de las bases moleculares de la FE, las cuales deberán ser estudiadas con mayor profundidad.

Palabras clave: selección, regiones genómicas, número de granos, rendimiento, QTL, indice de fertilidad de espiga, eficiencia de fructificación

* This work is part of a thesis by M.P. Alonso in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor's degree (Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Argentina). The authors contributed equally to this work (*ex-aequo*).

INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important field crops in the world. It provides ~20% of human food calories and protein (FAO 2018). Prospects indicate a steady growth in the global population, which will be encompassed by an increase in food demand. However, this demand will hardly be attained through the expansion of farming areas (Albajes *et al.* 2013). Breeding efforts should rather concentrate on achieving higher grain yield-increase rates (Reynolds *et al.* 2012).

Grain yield in wheat is more strongly associated with grain number per unit area (hereinafter referred to as GN m⁻²) than it is with grain weight (Sadras 2007; Fischer 2011). Hence, breeding efforts have focused on increasing grain yield through increasing GN m⁻² (Slafer et al. 2014, 2015; Lo Valvo et al. 2018). However, this is a difficult trait to select for in early breeding stages. Thus, the use of GN m⁻²-related traits as selection targets may be helpful to increase grain yield at the pace it is required (Slafer 2003; Fischer and Rebetzke 2018). A conceptual model proposed by Fischer (1984) suggests that, under non-limiting growing conditions, GN m⁻² in wheat can be considered as the product of (i) the duration of the spike growth period, (ii) the crop growth rate during the spike growth period, (iii) the dry weight partitioning to spikes during the spike growth period and (iv) the number of grains per unit of spike chaff dry weight, i.e. a spike fertility index (SF), also termed "fruiting efficiency" (Ferrante et al. 2012).

Many authors have described SF as an ecophysiological component which explains a substantial proportion of the differences in GN m⁻² between cultivars (Acreche et al. 2008; Gonzalez et al. 2014; Aisawi et al. 2015; Gonzalez-Navarro et al. 2016), with high stability across environments (Abbate et al. 2013; Elía et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2016) and moderate to high heritability (Martino et al. 2015; Mirabella et al. 2016; Alonso et al. 2018b). In turn, a fast and high-throughput method was developed for SF determination at maturity, using as few as 15 spikes per plot (Abbate et al. 2013). Adding up all these features, SF emerges as a promising trait to select for in the early generations of breeding programs, in which little seed is available for GN m⁻² determinations (Fischer and Rebetzke 2018). Furthermore, a recent study showed that the use of SF as a selection criterion, either solely or in combination with selection for high yield, effectively increased yield, resulting in superior and more stable grain yields than selecting just for high yield (Alonso et al. 2018b).

Molecular markers associated with agronomically valuable traits have been successfully used to select for in wheat breeding programs' early generations (Collard and Mackill 2008). For example, some authors reported microsatellites linked to traits as plant height (Wang *et al.* 2010; Zhang *et al.* 2011), grain number per spike

(Quarrie et al. 2005, 2006; Hai et al. 2008) and yield per se (Kobiljski et al. 2007). The availability of molecular markers associated with SF would be very helpful for increasing the genetic gain per selection cycle. Markerassisted selection could allow seed or plantlet selection of transgressive genotypes at early generations of segregating populations, and molecular characterization of the crossing block. Despite the prospective relevance of SF for wheat breeding, about the genetic and molecular control of this trait little is known, except for a couple of recent studies which respectively reported a QTL for SF in chromosome 2AL (candidate gene CO4; Guo et al. 2017) and a significant effect of photoperiod sensitivity genes Ppd-B1 and Ppd-D1 on SF (Ramirez et al. 2018). In the present study, a preliminary molecular marker analysis was carried out in a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population segregating for SF in order to identify candidate genomic regions associated with this trait. These results provide valuable information as a first step in mapping QTL/genes controlling SF and possibly other related traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Phenotypic data generation

In the present study, molecular marker analysis of SF and related traits was carried out with previously published phenotypic data (Alonso *et al.* 2018a, b). A brief description of the mapping population, experiments, environmental conditions, and measurements and calculations, is included below. For further details see Alonso *et al.* (2018a).

Plant material. A mapping population of 146 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from the cross between the Argentinean spring bread wheat cultivars 'Baguette 10' and 'Klein Chajá' was used in all field experiments. Both parental cultivars were also included. 'Baguette 10' and 'Klein Chajá' were commercially released in 2000 and 2002 respectively and are contrasting for SF and other yield-related traits (Martino *et al.* 2015; Alonso *et al.* 2018a, b).

Field experiments. During the 2013, 2014 and 2015 crop seasons, field experiments were carried out at the experimental station of the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) Balcarce (37° 45' S; 55° 18' W; 130 m a.s.l.), Balcarce, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Experiments are fully described in Alonso *et al.* (2018a, b).

Measurements and calculations. Plant height was measured from the ground to the ear tip at maturity; the average of two measurements per plot was registered. At the same time, a sample of 20 spikes was drawn at random from the three or five central rows of each plot and air-dried for further SF determination according to Abbate *et al.* (2013). Briefly, the sample was weighed (total weight) and threshed, and grains were weighed

(grain weight) and counted (grain number). Spike fertility index was calculated as the quotient between grain number and chaff weight (*i.e.*, the difference between total weight and grain weight).

Grain yield was determined by mechanical harvest. For grain weight determination, a clean and dry subsample of ~30 g was taken from the yield sample, weighted and counted in an automatic counter. Grain number m^{-2} was calculated as the quotient between grain yield and grain weight. Grain test weight was measured using a Schopper cylinder.

Molecular marker analysis

Genomic DNA extraction from fresh tissue of ten-daysold seedling leaves was carried out according to Haymes et al. (1996). Approximately 200 molecular markers were analyzed for polymorphism between the parents of the RIL population. Bulked segregant analysis (Michelmore et al. 1991) was carried out in the F₃ generation of the population (Deperi, 2012). Those markers which showed co-segregation with SF were used in the present study (24 microsatellites and two functional markers, Table 1, Fig. S1). In all cases, PCR reactions were performed using a final volume of 15 µl in a Veriti™ (Applied Biosystems) thermal cycler. The reaction buffer contained 1X Taq DNA Polymerase buffer (Promega), 0.8 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.2 μ M of each primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl, and 100 ng of genomic DNA (template). Cycling conditions were as follows: 3' initial denaturation at 95°C, 18 cycles of 30" denaturation at 95°C, 30" annealing at 65°C to 56°C ("touchdown") and 30" extension at 72°C, followed by 22 additional, similar cycles but with annealing at 56°C, and 5' final extension at 72°C. Primer names and sequences, linkage group, allele sizes and cycling conditions for each molecular marker are detailed in Table 1. Amplified fragments were separated and analyzed through horizontal electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels in 1X TBE buffer, stained with GelRed[®] (Biotium) during 15 min at 100V and exposed to UV light. Also, fragments were analyzed through electrophoresis in denaturing 6% polyacrylamide-urea gels (Sambrook et al. 2001) stained with silver nitrate following the protocol described by Benbouza et al. (2006). In this case, fragment visualization was performed by exposing gels to white light. Allelic variants were assigned to each RIL according to their parent of origin, as 'B' for 'Baguette 10' and 'K' for 'Klein Chajá'. A few heterozygous individuals were detected and discarded from further analyses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the package *nlme* (Pinheiro *et al.* 2017) of the Rsoftware (R-Core Team 2017). A linear fixed effects model including

year, genotype, block nested in year, and genotype-byyear interaction effects on phenotypic variables, was used. Variances from the model were used to calculate broad-sense heritability (H^2) for each trait according to Hallauer *et al.* (2010) as:

$$H^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_g^2}{\hat{\sigma}_e^2/re + \hat{\sigma}_{ge}^2/e + \hat{\sigma}_g^2}$$

with $\hat{\sigma}_g^2$ as genotypic variance, $\hat{\sigma}_e^2$ as environmental variance, $\hat{\sigma}_{ge}^2$ as the genotype-by-environment (year) variance, r as the number of replications or blocks nested in environment and e as the number of environments (years).

In order to detect genomic regions associated with the evaluated traits, a linear fixed effects model was run for each marker, including marker, year, block nested in year, and the marker-by-year interaction effects. Bonferroni correction was applied in multiple comparisons using a family-wise error rate of 0.05. When a significant marker-by-year interaction effect was detected, the marker effect was analyzed for each year individually. When a significant marker-trait association was detected, the percentage of phenotypic variation explained by the marker was calculated as the quotient between the sum of squares of the marker and the total sum of squares x 100. The marker effect was calculated as the difference between the mean in the group 'B' and the mean in the group 'K'.

Haplotypes were constructed with one marker per region associated with SF. In chromosome 7AS, the chosen marker was the one with the lowest p-value. Differences between these groups were tested with the Tukey test (α =0.05).

Table 1. Molecular markers used in this study.

| Linkage group | Marker | Туре | Reference | Primer sequences |
|---------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 2AL | Xgwm372 | SSR | Röder <i>et al.</i> (1998) | F AATAGAGCCCTGGGACTGGG R GAAGGACGACATTCCACCTG |
| 2AS | Xwmc63 | SSR | Somers and Isaac (2004) | F GTGCTCTGGAAACCTTCTACGA R CAGTAGTTTAGCCTTGGTGTGA |
| 2BL | Xwmc317 | SSR | Somers and Isaac (2004) | F TGCTAGCAATGCTCCGGGTAAC R TCACGAAACCTTTTCCTCCTCC |
| 3BS | Xgwm493 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F TTCCCATAACTAAAACCGCG R GGAACATCATTTCTGGACTTTG |
| 3DS | Xgwm314 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F AGGAGCTCCTCTGTGCCAC R TTCGGGACTCTCTTCCCTG |
| 4BL | Xgwm495 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F GAGAGCCTCGCGAAATATAGG R TGCTTCTGGTGTTCCTTCG |
| 4DL | Xgwm194 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F GATCTGCTCTACTCTCCTCC R CGACGCAGAACTTAAACAAG |
| 4DS | RhtD1 | Functional marker | Ellis <i>et al.</i> (2002) | DF CGCGCAATTATTGGCCAGAGATAG DF2 GGCAAGCAAAAGCTTCGCG MR2 CCCATGGCCATCTCGAGCTGCTA WR2 GGCCATCTCGAGCTGCAC |
| 5AL | VrnA1 | Functional marker | Xue et al. (2008) | F GCGCAACAAGATCAGACTCA R ACGCTTATATGGGCTGGAAG |
| 5AL | Xbarc151 | SSR | http://www.scabusa.org | F TGAGGAAAATGTCTCTATAGCATCC R TGAGGAAAATGTCTCTATAGCATCC |
| 5AL | Xgwm291 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F CATCCCTACGCCACTCTGC R AATGGTATCTATTCCGACCCG |
| 5AL | Xgwm293 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F TACTGGTTCACATTGGTGCG R TCGCCATCACTCGTTCAAG |
| 5AL | Xgwm304 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F AGGAAACAGAAATATCGCGG R AGGACTGTGGGGGAATGAATG |
| 5BL | Xgwm335 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F CGTACTCCACTCCACACGG R CGGTCCAAGTGCTACCTTTC |
| 5BL | Xgwm213 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F TGCCTGGCTCGTTCTATCTC R CTAGCTTAGCACTGTCGCCC |
| 5BS | Xgwm540 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F TCTCGCTGTGAAATCCTATTTC R AGGCATGGATAGAGGGGC |
| 5DS | Xgwm190 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F GTGCTTGCTGAGCTATGAGTC R GTGCCACGTGGTACCTTTG |
| 6AS | Xgwm427 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F AAACTTAGAACTGTAATTTCAGA R AGTGTGTTCATTTGACAGTT |
| 6BL | Xgwm626 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F GATCTAAAATGTTATTTTCTCTC R TGACTATCAGCTAAACGTGT |
| 7AS | Xgwm282 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F TTGGCCGTGTAAGGCAG R TCTCATTCACACACAACACTAGC |
| 7AS | Xgwm332 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F AGCCAGCAAGTCACCAAAAC R AGTGCTGGAAAGAGTAGTGAAGC |
| 7AS | Xpsp3050 | SSR | Bryan et al. (1997) | F CCGATAAAAGTTTAGCGACCC R TAACTCACCTGCGAACTGTG |
| 7AS | Xpsp3094.1 | SSR | Bryan et al. (1997) | F ACCAGGAGAGATAGTCGTTAGGC R TTTGTACACCATGATAGGCTTCC |
| 7AS | Xwmc790 | SSR | Somers and Isaac (2004) | F CGACAACGTACGCGCC R CGACAACGTACGCGCC |
| 7BL | Xgwm344 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F CAAGGAAATAGGCGGTAACT R ATTTGAGTCTGAAGTTTGCA |
| 7BS | Xgwm46 | SSR | Röder et al. (1998) | F GCACGTGAATGGATTGGAC R TGACCCAATAGTGGTGGTCA |



Figure S1. Approximate chromosome location of polymorphic markers used in this study and traits with which markers were associated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Environmental conditions

The environmental conditions under which the experiments were performed are fully described in Alonso *et al.* (2018a). Conspicuous inter-annual environmental variation was observed, even though all experiments were carried out with no water or nutrient limitations and with chemical control of pests and fungal diseases.

Phenotypic variation of RIL population

Phenotypic data description is fully detailed in Alonso et al. (2018a, b). Evaluated traits showed a bell-shaped and symmetrical distribution across all years (Fig. S2). Mean standard deviation and coefficient of variation for the analyzed traits in the RIL population are presented in Table 2. 'Baguette 10' had higher values of SF, grain yield and GN m⁻² than those of 'Klein Chajá'. Significant effects of genotype, year and genotype-by-year interaction were detected for all the traits in the RIL population (Table 3). However, the genetic variance was always greater than genotype-by-year interaction variance. All traits showed moderate to high broad-sense heritability (Table 3), which is essential for meaningful QTL detection. Although field evaluations comprising a larger number of environments are needed, these results suggest stability in SF, in line with previous findings (Abbate et al. 2013; Elía et al. 2016; Gonzalez-Navarro et al. 2016; Mirabella et al. 2016). This further supports the possibility of using molecular markers linked to the trait.

Molecular marker analysis

A total of 24 out of 200 SSR markers, plus two functional markers, were analyzed in the RIL population for their polymorphism between the parents and cosegregation with SF in the F, generation. Even though a very low number of polymorphic markers was found, 55 significant single marker-trait associations were detected (Tables 4, 6; Fig. S1). A significant year effect was found in all cases, whereas significant markerby-year interaction effects (p<0.05) were detected in only eleven out of 55 cases (Tables 4, 6). No crossover interactions were found. Genome coverage reached by these polymorphic markers was low and markers were not evenly distributed. Also, many linkage groups were not covered. This may lead to biased results, with phenotypic variation only being explained by covered regions. Nevertheless, significant regions explaining an interesting amount of variation (R²=0.6 to 24%; Tables 4, 6 and 7) were detected for the reported traits.

Markers associated with spike fertility index

Five markers were associated with SF (Table 4), one on

chromosome 5BS (*Xgwm54o*) and four on chromosome 7AS. The highest proportion of explained variance for a marker in SF was that of *Xwmc79o* (R²=3.7%) with a positive effect of allele 'B'. On chromosome 5BS, genotypes carrying the 'K' allele in marker *Xgwm54o* showed the highest SF values (Table 4). Presence of high SF alleles in the low SF parent is expected, as transgressive segregation was observed in this population (Fig. S2) (Martino *et al.* 2015; Alonso *et al.* 2018b). Such alleles are interesting because further variability for the trait can be exploited even in "bad" genotypes, for stacking favorable minor alleles. Thus, the selection of extreme superior phenotypes could further increase SF and, in extension, raise grain yield (Slafer *et al.* 2015; Fischer and Rebetzke 2018).

Haplotypes constructed with these two markers yielded four genotypic groups. In all cases (Table 5), haplotype *Xwmc790-B/Xgwm540-K* showed the highest SF, whereas the haplotype *Xwmc790-K/Xgwm540-B* showed the lowest SF (p<0.05). Haplotypes with the remaining allele combinations had intermediate SF values. Also, haplotype ranking was the same across years. On average, SF difference between extreme haplotypes was ~7%; according to results reported by Alonso *et al.* (2018b), this could represent a difference in GN m⁻² potentially associated with a significant grain yield increase.

Markers associated with other traits

Spike chaff dry weight. Eight marker-trait associations were detected in four chromosomic regions, with effects ranging between 3.6 and 4.4% (Table 6). Two of these markers, on chromosome 7AS, co-localized with SF as well. All markers on 7AS showed a positive effect of allele 'B', except for *Xpsp3o5o*. When analyzed by year, a significant negative effect of *Vrn-A1-B* on 5AL was observed at all three years, but the magnitude of such effect varied across years (Table 7).

Grain number per spike. Ten marker associations with GN/spike were detected in four chromosomic regions (Table 6). These results are partly coincident with the ones reported by Quarrie et al. (2005, 2006) and Hai et al. (2008). These authors detected QTL associated with grain number per spike in chromosome 7AS of a doubled haploid population. Marker effect ranged between 3.4 and 7.6% (Table 6). RhtD1 had a significant association with GN/spike at all three years, with a negative effect of allele 'B'. However, the marker effect in 2014 was almost three times greater than that of 2013 and 2015 (Table 7). Marker Xqwm335 (5BL) showed significant marker by year interaction due to its association with GN/spike only in 2014 and 2015, with a similar magnitude (Table 7). No additional association was detected for Xqwm540, even though it had been described as a yield-related marker in a set of Serbian cultivars (Kobiljski et al. 2007).

Grain number per square meter. Seven markers on four regions showed a significant effect on GN m⁻² (Table 6), notably those on chromosome 7AS which in turn were associated with SF. This is expected, given that these two traits are positively correlated (Acreche *et al.* 2008, Terrile *et al.* 2017; Lo Valvo *et al.* 2018; Alonso *et al.* 2018b). Three markers had a significant marker-by-year interaction effect. The *Rht-D1* gene (4DS) showed the strongest effect. In 2013 and 2014 the variation explained by the marker was notably higher than in 2015 (Table 7).

Grain yield. Grain yield was only associated with allelic variation at Rht-D1 (4DS). A significant marker-by-year interaction was detected, similar to the one observed for GN m⁻². Similarly, grain yield variation due to this gene was far greater in 2013 and 2014 than it was in 2015 (Table 7).

Grain weight. Eight marker-trait associations were detected for grain weight on five regions, with no markerby-year interaction. Markers with effect on SF on 7AS also showed effect for this trait, with the opposite effect. However, this is unsurprising, as a negative genetic correlation has been reported between SF and grain weight (Ferrante et al. 2012, 2015; Gonzalez-Navarro et al. 2016; Terrile et al. 2017; Alonso et al. 2018b). The variability explained by each marker ranged between 2.6 and 5.2% (Table 6). In this population, Alonso et al. (2018a, b) reported a negative correlation of SF with grain weight, which can lead to a tradeoff between SF and grain weight (Ferrante et al. 2015; Slafer et al. 2015; Gonzalez-Navarro et al. 2016; Terrile et al. 2017), but also to unbalances in the sink/source ratio (Alonso et al. 2018a). Besides, markers associated with grain weight were detected in several genomic regions, not linked to those associated with SF (Table 6).

Test weight. Three independent markers were associated with test weight, without marker-by-year interaction effect. The highest association was found with *Rht-D1*

(4DS), which explained \sim 3% of the total variation (Table 6).

Plant height. Thirteen markers showed a significant effect on plant height; eleven of them, located on seven regions, showed no marker-by-year interaction. The remaining two markers, on chromosomes 4DS and 5AL, did show such interaction (Table 6). Marker effect ranged mainly between 3.2 and 6.7%, except for Rht-D1. It showed a significant marker-by-year interaction effect. Variation at this gene was associated with plant height at all three years with a positive effect of allele 'B', but it explained a different portion of total variation depending on the year (~18-24%; Table 7). Marker *Xqwm*293 on chromosome 5AL showed a similar pattern, respectively, explaining 3.8 and 5.4% of plant height variation in 2014 and 2015, with a negative effect of allele 'B'. Regarding chromosome 5BL, marker Xqwm213 was reported as associated with this trait by Wang et al. (2010) in a RIL population, and by Zhang et al. (2011) in a doubled haploid population. Although not associated with SF, these results give support for the marker analysis approach used in the present study.

In this study, a low genome coverage was reached, partly due to the lack of polymorphic microsatellites between the parents of the RIL population. This is also reflected in the phenotypic variability that was not explained by the available genotypic information. However, a few genomic regions associated with SF and related traits were detected, which were stable trough different years with different environmental conditions. Using the two markers that were most associated with SF in this study (located in chromosomes 5BS and 7AS, respectively), it was possible to classify lines into high, intermediate and low SF groups. Further studies with higher genome coverage and additional phenotypic evaluations are needed to validate the present results and to delimit genomic regions containing genes that control SF.

Table 2. Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of spike fertility index, spike chaff dry weight, grain number per spike, grain number per m², grain yield, grain weight, test weight and plant height of a RL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina. Partially published data (Alonso et al. 2018a,b).

| | Mean | | | Standard deviation | | | Coefficient of variatio | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|-------|-------------------------|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Spike fertility index (grains g ⁻¹) | 98.3 | 91.9 | 89.4 | 9.2 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 12.8 | 10.6 |
| Spike chaff dry weight (g spike ⁻¹) | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 14.8 |
| Grain number per spike (grains spike ⁻¹) | 45.5 | 42.3 | 50.6 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 12.8 | 16.4 | 13.1 |
| Grain number per m ² (GN m ⁻²) | 21916 | 9964 | 17824 | 6382 | 2687 | 3457 | 29.1 | 26.9 | 19.4 |
| Grain yield (g m ⁻²) | 717.7 | 367.1 | 749.1 | 185.9 | 89.4 | 123.5 | 25.9 | 24.4 | 16.5 |
| Grain weight (g 1000 grains ⁻¹) | 33.0 | 37.4 | 42.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 8.9 |
| Test weight (kg hl ⁻¹) | 79.4 | 73.8 | 80.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| Plant height (cm) | 101.6 | 97.5 | 97.2 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 15.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Analysis of variance of spike fertility index, spike chaff dry weight, grain number per spike, grain number per m2, grain yield, grain weight, test weight and plant height of a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina. Broad-sense heritability (H²) values. Partially published data (Alonso et al. 2018a,b).

| Trait | Factor | Degrees of freedom | Mean Square | P (>F) | H ² |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| Spike fertility index | Genotype (G) | 145 | 344.7 | < 0.0001 | 0.86 |
| | Year (Y) | 2 | 6219.7 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 203.7 | 0.0047 | |
| | G x Y | 288 | 63.3 | 0.0021 | |
| | Residuals | 416 | 46.5 | | |
| Spike chaff dry | | | | | |
| weight | Genotype (G) | 145 | 0.0169 | < 0.0001 | 0.70 |
| | Year (Y) | 2 | 1.05354 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 0.06167 | < 0.0001 | |
| | G x Y | 288 | 0.00481 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 416 | 0.00316 | | |
| Grain number per | | | | | |
| spike | Genotype (G) | 145 | 135 | < 0.0001 | 0.78 |
| | Year (Y) | 2 | 4999 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 262.6 | < 0.0001 | |
| | G x Y | 288 | 27.4 | 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 417 | 18.5 | | |
| Grain number per m ² | Genotype (G) | 145 | 44584000 | < 0.0001 | 0.52 |
| | Year (Y) | 2 | 1.0376E+10 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 297900000 | < 0.0001 | |
| | GxY | 288 | 20764000 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 411 | 8.77E+06 | | |
| Grain yield | Genotype (G) | 145 | 34708 | < 0.0001 | 0.42 |
| - | Year (Y) | 2 | 12908156 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 455230 | < 0.0001 | |
| | GxY | 288 | 21296 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 422 | 9498 | | |
| Grain weight | Genotype (G) | 145 | 56.2 | < 0.0001 | 0.67 |
| - | Year (Y) | 2 | 6419.2 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 1.3 | 0.9153 | |
| | GxY | 288 | 13.2 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 420 | 7.7 | | |
| Test weight | Genotype (G) | 145 | 18.3 | < 0.0001 | 0.63 |
| - | Year (Y) | 2 | 3652.7 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 4.1 | 0.0063 | |
| | GxY | 288 | 2.6 | < 0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 421 | 1 | | |
| Plant height | Genotype (G) | 145 | 831 32 | <0 0001 | 0.93 |
| | Year (Y) | 2 | 1810 98 | <0.0001 | |
| | Block in Y | 3 | 45 47 | 0 1732 | |
| | GxY | 288 | 61 17 | <0.0001 | |
| | Residuals | 429 | 27.26 | | |

Table 4. Molecular markers associated with spike fertility index (grains /g chaff) in a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina.

| | | | | Allelic difference ^a | | | |
|------------|------------|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|
| Marker | Chromosome | p-value | R ² | grains /g chaff | % | | |
| Xgwm540 | 5BS | < 0.001 | 1.4 | -2.44 | -2.6 | | |
| Xgwm282 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 2.8 | 3.49 | 3.7 | | |
| Xgwm332 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.4 | 2.46 | 2.6 | | |
| Xpsp3094.1 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 2.3 | 3.21 | 3.4 | | |
| Xwmc790 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 3.7 | 4.09 | 4.4 | | |

 $\ensuremath{^{\alpha}}$ Average spike fertility index difference between lines with the 'B' vs. the 'K' allele.

Table 5. Spike fertility index (grains /g chaff) of haplotypes at the two markers most significantly associated with the trait in a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina. The'B' and 'K' denote lines with the 'B' and the 'K' allele, respectively. Same letters within a column indicate non-significant differences (p>0.05).

| Haplotype | Spike fertility index | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|----|------|----|-------|----|------|----|------|----|
| Xwmc790 | Xgwm540 | Ν | Mean | | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2015 | |
| B | K | 30 | 95.8 | a | 101.3 | a | 95.2 | a | 91.1 | a |
| В | В | 34 | 93.4 | ab | 99.0 | ab | 91.8 | ab | 89.4 | ab |
| K | K | 31 | 92.4 | bc | 96.8 | b | 91.6 | ab | 88.7 | ab |
| K | В | 22 | 89.7 | c | 95.5 | b | 87.0 | b | 86.5 | b |

Table 6. Molecular markers associated with spike chaff dry weight, grain number per spike, grain number per m², grain yield, grain weight, test weight and plant height of a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina.

| | | | | | Allelic difference ^a | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|-----|---------------------------------|---------|--|
| Trait | Marker | Chromosome | p-value | R²% | Trait units | % | |
| Spike chaff dry weight | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 1.7 | -0.026 | -5.1 * | |
| (g spike ⁻¹) | Xgwm194 | 4DL | < 0.001 | 1.4 | -0.022 | -4.4 | |
| | Vrn-A1 | 5AL | < 0.001 | 4 | -0.039 | -7.8 * | |
| | Xbarc151 | 5AL | < 0.001 | 1.3 | -0.022 | -4.3 | |
| | Xgwm291 | 5AL | 0.0014 | 0.9 | 0.018 | 3.6 | |
| | Xgwm282 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1 | 0.018 | 3.7 | |
| | Xgwm332 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.1 | 0.020 | 4.0 | |
| | Xpsp3050 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1 | -0.019 | -3.8 | |
| Grain number per spike | Xowm314 | 3DS | 0.0018 | 11 | 1 56 | 3.4 | |
| (orains snike ⁻¹) | Rht-D1 | 4DS | <0.0010 | 5.4 | -3 49 | -76 * | |
| (Brains spine) | Xowm194 | 4DL | <0.001 | 19 | -2.07 | -4 5 | |
| | Vrn-41 | 5AL | <0.001 | 4.4 | -3 20 | -6.9 | |
| | Xharc 151 | 5AL | <0.001 | 27 | -2.42 | -5.2 | |
| | Xowm335 | 5BL | <0.001 | 1.9 | -2.06 | -45 * | |
| | Xgwm333 Xgwm282 | 7AS | <0.001 | 5.6 | 3 40 | 7.4 | |
| | Xawm332 | 745 | <0.001 | 44 | 3.08 | 67 | |
| | Xnsn3094 1 | 745 | <0.001 | 4.4 | 3.13 | 6.8 | |
| | Xwmc790 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 5.6 | 3.42 | 7.4 | |
| Grain number m ⁻² | Xwmc317 | 2BL | 0.0012 | 0.6 | -979.8 | -5.9 | |
| | Xgwm495 | 4BL | 0.0019 | 0.6 | -1054.4 | -6.4 * | |
| | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 3.7 | -2610.6 | -15.8 * | |
| | Xgwm282 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 0.8 | 1103.4 | 6.7 | |
| | Xgwm332 | 7AS | 0.0016 | 0.6 | 930.5 | 5.6 | |
| | Xpsp3094.1 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.1 | 1355.4 | 8.2 | |
| | Xwmc790 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.2 | 1409.5 | 8.5 * | |
| Grain yield (g m ⁻²) | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 1.8 | -59.93 | -9.8 * | |
| Grain weight | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 2.3 | 1.66 | 4.4 | |
| (g 1000 grains-1) | Xgwm194 | 4DL | 0.0012 | 0.7 | 0.96 | 2.6 | |
| | Xgwm626 | 6BL | < 0.001 | 2.1 | -1.62 | -4.3 | |
| | Xgwm282 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 2.6 | -1.84 | -4.9 | |
| | Xgwm332 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.1 | -1.15 | -3.1 | |
| | Xpsp3094.1 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 3.1 | -1.96 | -5.2 | |
| | Xwmc790 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 2.4 | -1.76 | -4.7 | |
| | Xgwm344 | 7BL | < 0.001 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 3.1 | |
| Test weight | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 3.0 | 1.27 | 1.6 | |
| (kg hl ⁻¹) | Xgwm194 | 4DL | < 0.001 | 0.6 | 0.54 | 0.7 | |
| | Xgwm626 | 6BL | < 0.001 | 1.8 | -0.98 | -1.3 | |
| Plant height | Xgwm372 | 2AL | < 0.001 | 2.4 | -4.1 | -4.2 | |
| (cm) | Xwmc63 | 2AS | < 0.001 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.1 | |
| | Xwmc317 | 2BL | < 0.001 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | |
| | Xgwm495 | 4BL | < 0.001 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | |
| | Rht-D1 | 4DS | < 0.001 | 20 | 12.1 | 12.2 * | |
| | Xgwm293 | 5AL | < 0.001 | 2.7 | -4.4 | -4.4 * | |
| | Xgwm304 | 5AL | < 0.001 | 1.8 | -3.6 | -3.6 | |
| | Xgwm213 | 5BL | < 0.001 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.7 | |
| | Xgwm335 | 5BL | < 0.001 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| | Xgwm282 | 7AS | < 0.001 | 1.8 | -3.6 | -3.7 | |

 $^{\rm a}$ Average trait difference between lines with the 'B' vs. the 'K' allele.

* significant GxE interaction.

Table 7. Molecular markers which showed significant GxE interaction, associated with spike chaff dry weight, grain number per spike, grain number per m², grain yield and plant height of a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013, 2014 and 2015 at Balcarce, Argentina.

| | | | | | | Allelic difference ^a | | |
|------------------------------|---------|------|---------|---|------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|
| Trait | Marker | Year | p-value | | R ² % | Trait units | % | |
| Spike chaff dry weight | Rht-D1 | 2013 | 0.108 | | | | | |
| (g spike ⁻¹) | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 7.3 | -0.042 | -9.1 | |
| | | 2015 | 0.111 | | | | | |
| | Vrn-A1 | 2013 | 0.042 | * | 1.8 | -0.020 | -4.3 | |
| | | 2014 | 0.002 | * | 3.8 | -0.032 | -6.8 | |
| | | 2015 | < 0.001 | * | 13 | -0.063 | -11.0 | |
| Grain number per spike | Rht-D1 | 2013 | <0.001 | * | 4.6 | -2.4 | -5.3 | |
| (grains spike-1) | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 13 | -5.1 | -12.0 | |
| | | 2015 | < 0.001 | * | 4.2 | -2.7 | -5.4 | |
| | Xgwm335 | 2013 | 0.824 | | | | | |
| | | 2014 | 0.001 | * | 4.3 | -2.9 | -6.9 | |
| | | 2015 | < 0.001 | * | 5.1 | -3.0 | -6.0 | |
| Grain number m ⁻² | Rht-D1 | 2013 | <0.001 | * | 13 | -4507.1 | -20.6 | |
| | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 19 | -2281.8 | -22.9 | |
| | | 2015 | 0.023 | * | 1.7 | -916.5 | -5.1 | |
| | Xgwm495 | 2013 | 0.002 | * | 4.2 | -2541.9 | -11.6 | |
| | | 2014 | 0.260 | | | | | |
| | | 2015 | 0.851 | | | | | |
| | Xwmc790 | 2013 | 0.001 | * | 3.9 | 2547.8 | 11.6 | |
| | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 6.5 | 1362.9 | 13.7 | |
| | | 2015 | 0.165 | | | | | |
| Grain yield | Rht-D1 | 2013 | <0.001 | * | 8.1 | -107.5 | -15.0 | |
| (g m ⁻²) | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 1.2 | -62.8 | -0.3 | |
| | | 2015 | 0.435 | | | | | |
| Plant Height | Rht-D1 | 2013 | < 0.001 | * | 18 | 9.8 | 9.7 | |
| (cm) | | 2014 | < 0.001 | * | 22 | 11.5 | 34.8 | |
| · / | | 2015 | < 0.001 | * | 24 | 14.8 | 2.1 | |
| | Xgwm293 | 2013 | 0.408 | | | | | |
| | C | 2014 | 0.001 | * | 3.8 | -4.8 | -10.6 | |
| | | 2015 | < 0.001 | * | 5.4 | -7.1 | -8.9 | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 $^{\rm a}$ Average trait difference between lines with the 'B' vs. the 'K' allele.

* significant association (p<0.05).



Figure S2. Histograms of (A) spike fertility index, (B) spike chaff dry weight, (C) grain number per spike, (D) grain number per m², (E) grain yield, (F) grain weight, (G) test weight and (H) plant height, in a RIL population ('Baguette 10' x 'Klein Chajá') evaluated in 2013 (white), 2014 (grey) and 2015 (black) at Balcarce, Argentina. Values for the parents are indicated with stars ('Baguette 10') and triangles ('Klein Chajá').

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank members of the Grupo Trigo (Unidad Integrada EEA Balcarce INTA – FCA, UNMdP) for their help with the experiments and technical assistance. Scholarships granted to M.P. Alonso by the CONICET, to N.E. Mirabella by the INTA and to J.S. Panelo by the CIC, and partial funding by INTA (PNBIO 1131042), are acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Abbate PE, Pontaroli AC, Lázaro L and Gutheim
 F (2013) A method of screening for spike fertility in wheat. The Journal of Agricultural Science 151(3): 322-330. doi:10.1017/ S0021859612000068
- Acreche MM, Briceño-Félix G, Sánchez JAM and Slafer GA (2008) Physiological bases of genetic gains in Mediterranean bread wheat yield in Spain. European Journal of Agronomy 28(3): 162–170. doi:10.1016/j. eja.2007.07.001
- Aisawi KAB, Reynolds MP, Singh RP and Foulkes MJ (2015) The physiological basis of the genetic progress in yield potential of CIMMYT spring wheat cultivars from 1966 to 2009. Crop Science 55(4): 1749-1764. doi:10.2135/cropsci2014.09.0601
- Albajes R, Cantero-Martínez C, Capell T, Christou P, Farre A, Galceran J, López-Gatius F, Marin S, Martín-Belloso O, Motilva M, Nogareda C, Peman J, Puy J, Recasens J, Romagosa I, Romero M, Sanchis V, Savin R, Slafer GA, Soliva-Fortuny R, Viñas I, and Voltas J (2013) Building bridges: an integrated strategy for sustainable food production throughout the value chain. Molecular Breeding 32(4): 743-770. doi:10.1007/s11032-013-9915-z
- Alonso MP, Abbate PE, Mirabella NE, Merlos FA, Panelo JS and Pontaroli AC (2018) a Analysis of sink/source relations in bread wheat recombinant inbred lines and commercial cultivars under a high yield potential environment. European Journal of Agronomy 93: 82–87. doi:10.1016/j. eja.2017.11.007
- Alonso MP, Mirabella NE, Panelo JS, Cendoya MG and Pontaroli AC (2018)b Selection for high spike fertility index increases genetic progress in grain yield and stability in bread wheat. Euphytica 214(7): 112. doi:10.1007/ s10681-018-2193-4
- Benbouza H, Jacquemin JM, Baudoin JP and Mergeai G (2006) Optimization of a reliable, fast, cheap and sensitive silver staining method to detect SSR markers in polyacrylamide gels. Biotechnologie, agronomie, société et environnement 10(2): 77–81.
- Bryan GJ, Collins AJ, Stephenson P, Orry A, Smith JB and Gale MD (1997) Isolation and characterisation of microsatellites

from hexaploid bread wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 94(5): 557-563. doi:10.1007/s001220050451

- Collard BC and Mackill DJ (2008) Markerassisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twentyfirst century. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 363(1491): 557–572. doi:10.1098/ rstb.2007.2170
- Deperi SI (2012) Detección de marcadores moleculares asociados a la fertilidad de la espiga de trigo. Trabajo de Graduación. Ing. Agr. Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Balcarce. 27 p. dat.num.il.
- Elía M, Savin R and Slafer GA (2016) Fruiting efficiency in wheat: physiological aspects and genetic variation among modern cultivars. Field Crops Research 191: 83–90. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.02.019
- Ellis M, Spielmeyer W, Gale K, Rebetzke G and Richards R (2002) "Perfect" markers for the *Rht-Bib* and *Rht-Dib* dwarfing genes in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 105(6-7): 1038-1042. doi:10.1007/s00122-002-1048-4
- FAO (2018, December 10) FAOSTAT database. Agricultural crops: wheat area harvested/ yield. Http://faostat.fao.org/
- Ferrante A, Savin R and Slafer GA (2012) Differences in yield physiology between modern, well adapted durum wheat cultivars grown under contrasting conditions. Field Crops Research 136: 52-64. doi:10.1016/j. fcr.2012.07.015
- Ferrante A, Savin R and Slafer GA (2015) Relationship between fruiting efficiency and grain weight in durum wheat. Field Crops Research 177: 109–116. doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2015.03.009
- Fischer RA (1984) Wheat. In: Smith, WH, Banta, SJ (eds.) Potential productivity of field crops under different environments; International Rice Research Institute. Los Baños, Philippines, p 129–154.
- Fischer RA (2011) Wheat physiology: a review of recent developments. Crop and Pasture Science 62(2): 95-114. doi:10.1071/CP10344
- Fischer RA and Rebetzke GJ (2018) Indirect selection for potential yield in earlygeneration, spaced plantings of wheat and other small-grain cereals: a review. Crop and Pasture Science 69(5): 439-459. doi:10.1071/ CP17409
- González FG, Aldabe ML, Terrile II and Rondanini DP (2014) Grain weight response to different post flowering source: sink ratios in modern high-yielding Argentinean Wheats differing in spike fruiting efficiency. Crop Science 54(1): 297-309. doi:10.2135/cropsci2013.03.0157

- Gonzalez-Navarro OE, Griffiths S, Molero G, Reynolds MP and Slafer GA (2016) Variation in developmental patterns among elite wheat lines and relationships with yield, yield components and spike fertility. Field Crops Research 196: 294–304. doi:10.1016/j. fcr.2016.07.019
- Guo Z, Slafer GA and Schnurbusch T (2016) Genotypic variation in spike fertility traits and ovary size as determinants of floret and grain survival rate in wheat. Journal of experimental botany 67(14): 4221-4230. doi:10.1093/jxb/erw200
- Guo Z, Chen D, Alqudah AM, Röder MS, Ganal MW, and Schnurbusch, T (2017). Genome wide association analyses of 54 traits identified multiple loci for the determination of floret fertility in wheat. New Phytologist 214(1), 257–270. doi: 10.1111/nph.14342
- Hai L, Guo H, Wagner C, Xiao S and Friedt W (2008) Genomic regions for yield and yield parameters in Chinese winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes tested under varying environments correspond to QTL in widely different wheat materials. Plant science 175(3): 226–232. doi:10.1016/j. plantsci.2008.03.006
- Hallauer AR, Carena MJ and Miranda Filho JB (2010) Quantitative genetics in maize breeding. Springer, New York, 664p.
- Haymes KM (1996) Mini-prep method suitable for a plant breeding program. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 14(3): 280–284. doi:10.1007/BF02671664
- Kobiljski B, Denčić S, Hristov N, Mladenov N, Quarrie S, Stephenson P and Kirby J (2007) Potential uses of microsatellites in markerassisted selection for improved grain yield in wheat. In Wheat Production in Stressed Environments. Springer, Dordrecht, p. 729-736. doi:10.1007/1-4020-5497-1_89
- Lo Valvo PJ, Miralles D J and Serrago RA (2018) Genetic progress in Argentine bread wheat varieties released between 1918 and 2011: Changes in physiological and numerical yield components. Field Crops Research 221: 314–321. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2017.08.014
- Martino DL, Abbate PE, Cendoya MG, Gutheim F, Mirabella NE and Pontaroli AC (2015) Wheat spike fertility: inheritance and relationship with spike yield components in early generations. Plant Breeding 134(3): 264–270. doi:10.1111/pbr.12262
- Michelmore RW, Paran I and Kesseli RV (1991) Identification of markers linked to disease resistance genes by bulk segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 88: 9828–9832.
- Mirabella NE, Abbate PE, Ramirez IA and Pontaroli AC (2016) Genetic variation

for wheat spike fertility in cultivars and early breeding materials. The Journal of Agricultural Science 154(1): 13-22. doi:10.1017/S0021859614001245

- Pinheiro J, Bates D, Debroy S, Sarkar D and R Core Team (2017) Nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-128. 2016. R software.
- Quarrie SA, Steed A, Calestani C, Semikhodskii A, Lebreton C, Chinoy C, Steele N, Pljevljakusić D, Waterman E, Weyen J, Schondelmaier J, Habash DZ, Farmer P, Saker, L, Clarkson DT, Abugalieva A, Yessimbekova M, Turuspekov Y, Abugalieva, R, Tuberosa R, Sanguineti MC, Hollington PA, Aragués R, Royo A and Dodig D (2005) A high-density genetic map of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) From the cross Chinese Spring × SQ1 and its use to compare QTLs for grain yield across a range of environments. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 110(5): 865-880. doi:10.1007/ s00122-004-1902-7
- Quarrie SA, Pekic Quarrie S, Radosevic R, Rancic D, Kaminska A, Barnes JD, Leverington M, Ceolini C and Dodig D (2006) Dissecting a wheat QTL for yield present in a range of environments: from the QTL to candidate genes. Journal of Experimental Botany 57(11): 2627-2637. doi10.1093/jxb/erl026
- Ramirez IA, Abbate PE, Redi IW and Pontaroli AC (2018) Effects of photoperiod sensitivity genes *Ppd-B1* and *Ppd-D1* on spike fertility and related traits in bread wheat. Plant Breeding 137(3): 320-325. doi:10.1111/ pbr.12585
- R-Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Reynolds M, Foulkes J, Furbank R, Griffiths S, King J, Murchie E, Parry M and Slafer GA (2012) Achieving yield gains in wheat. Plant, Cell and Environment 35(10): 1799-1823. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02588.x
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P and Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149(4): 2007-2023.
- Sadras VO (2007) Evolutionary aspects of the trade-off between seed size and number in crops. Field Crops Research 100(2-3): 125-138. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2006.07.004
- Sambrook J, Maccallum P and Russell D (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (2nd ed.). Cold spring harbor laboratory press. 910 p.
- SCABUSA (2018, December 10). US Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative. https://scabusa.org/
- Slafer GA (2003) Genetic basis of yield as viewed from a crop physiologist's perspective. Annals of Applied Biology 142(2): 117-128. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2003.tb00237.x

- Slafer GA, Savin R and Sadras VO (2014) Coarse and fine regulation of wheat yield components in response to genotype and environment. Field Crops Research 157: 71-83. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2013.12.004
- Slafer GA, Elia M, Savin R, García GA, Terrile II, Ferrante A, Miralles DJ and Gonzalez FG (2015) Fruiting efficiency: an alternative trait to further rise wheat yield. Food and Energy Security 4(2): 92–109. doi: 10.1002/ fes3.59
- Somers DJ, Isaac P and Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 109(6): 1105-1114. doi:10.1007/s00122-004-1740-7
- Terrile II, Miralles DJ and González FG (2017) Fruiting efficiency in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L): Trait response to different growing conditions and its relation to spike dry weight at anthesis and grain weight at harvest. Field Crops Research 201: 86–96. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2016.09.026
- Wang Z, Wu X, Ren Q, Chang X, Li R and Jing R (2010) QTL mapping for developmental behavior of plant height in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Euphytica 174(3): 447–458. doi:10.1007/s10681-010-0166-3
- Xue S, Zhang Z, Lin F, Kong Z, Cao Y, Li C, Yi H, Mei M, Zhu H, Wu J, Xu H, Zhao D, Tian D, Zhang C and Ma Z (2008) A highdensity intervarietal map of the wheat genome enriched with markers derived from expressed sequence tags. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 117(2): 181-189. doi:10.1007/s00122-008-0764-9
- Zhang J, Hao C, Ren Q, Chang X, Liu G and Jing R (2011) Association mapping of dynamic developmental plant height in common wheat. Planta 234(5): 891-902.doi:10.1007/s00425-011-143