

Volume 35 Number S1 November 2020 pp. 1–337

Journal of Bone and Mineral Research

2020 Annual Meeting of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research Virtual Event

September 11–15, 2020

Published monthly by The American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

2020 Annual Meeting of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

Virtual Event September 11-15, 2020

The *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* (ISSN: 0884-0431 [print]; 1523-4681 [online]) provides a forum for papers of the highest quality pertaining to bone, muscle, and mineral metabolism. Manuscripts are published on the biology and physiology of bone and muscle, relevant systems biology topics (e.g., osteoimmunology), and the pathophysiology and treatment of sarcopenia, and disorders of bone and mineral metabolism. All authored papers and editorial news and comments, opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations in the *Journal* are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Journal* and its publisher, nor does their publication imply any endorsement.

The JOURNAL OF BONE AND MINERAL RESEARCH (ISSN: 0884-0431), is published monthly on behalf of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research by Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., a Wiley Company, 111 River St., Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774. Periodical Postage Paid at Hoboken, NJ and additional offices.

Postmaster: Send all address changes to JOURNAL OF BONE AND MINERAL RESEARCH, John Wiley & Sons Inc., C/O The Sheridan Press, PO Box 465, Hanover, PA 17331. **Information for subscribers:** The *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* is published in 12 issues per year. Institutional subscription prices for 2020 are: Print & Online: US\$1601 (US), US\$1701 (Rest of World), €1222 (Europe), £1042 (UK).. Prices are exclusive of tax. Asia-Pacific GST, Canadian GST and European VAT will be applied at the appropriate rates. For more information on current tax rates, please go to www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/tax-vat. The price includes online access to the current and all online back files to January 1st 2012, where available. For other pricing options, including access information and terms and conditions, please visit www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/access. **Commercial Reprints:** Beth Ann Rocheleau, Reprints and Eprints Manager, Rockwater, Inc., PO Box 2211, Lexington, SC 29072, USA; Tel: +00 (1)803 359-4578; Fax: +00 (1)803-753-9430; E-mail: asbmr@rockwaterinc.com. For submission instructions, subscription and all other information, visit: www.jbmr.org

The Journal of Bone and Mineral Research is the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research, 2001 K Street, NW, 3rd Floor North, Washington, D.C. 20006, USA. Advertising: Address advertising inquiries to Joseph Tomaszewski, Advertising Sales Executive, Wiley, 111 River St., Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-8895 (Tel); jtomaszews@wiley.com (email). Advertisements are subject to editorial approval and must adhere to ASBMR's advertising policy as specified here: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/page/ journal/15234681/homepage/Advertise.html. Publication of the advertisements in JBMR® is not an endorsement of the advertiser's product or service or the claims made for the product in such advertising. Disclaimer: No responsibility is assumed, and responsibility is hereby disclaimed, by the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research, the Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, and the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of methods, products, instructions or ideas presented in the Journal. Independent verification of diagnosis and drug dosages should be made. Discussions, views and recommendations as to medical procedures, choice of drugs and drug dosages are the responsibility of the authors. Advertisers are responsible for compliance with requirements concerning statements of efficacy, approval, licensure, and availability. The Journal of Bone and Mineral Research is a Journal ClubTM selection. The Journal is indexed by Index Medicus, Current Contents/Life Science, CABS (Current Awareness in Biological Sciences), Excerpta Medica, Cambridge Scientific Abstracts, Chemical Abstracts, Reference Update, Science Citation Index, and Nuclear Medicine Literature Updating and Indexing Service. Copyright © 2019 by the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing from the copyright holder. Authorization to photocopy items for internal and personal use is granted by the copyright holder for libraries and other users registered with their local Reproduction Rights Organisation (RRO), e.g. Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA (www.copyright.com), provided the appropriate fee is paid directly to the RRO. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works or for resale. Special requests should be addressed to: permissions@wiley.com. The Journal of Bone and Mineral Research accepts articles for Open Access publication. Please visit https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/licensing-open-access/open-

access/onlineopen.html for further information about OnlineOpen.

JBMR[®] Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief Roberto Civitelli St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Deputy Editors

Fernando Rivadeneira Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Associate Editors

Christa Maes Leuven, Belgium

Ann Schwartz San Francisco, California, USA

> Chan Soo Shin Seoul. Korea

Natalie Sims Melbourne, Australia

Regional Editors

X. Edward Guo New York, New York, USA

Editors Emeritus

Juliet E. Compston, Cambridge, United Kingdom Thomas L Clemens, Baltimore, Maryland, USA Marc K Drezner, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

John A Eisman, Sydney, Australia Lawrence G Raisz, Farmington, Connecticut, USA

Editorial Board

Cheryl Ackert-Bicknell, USA Tamara Alliston, USA Hani Awad, USA Xiaochun Bai, China Robert Blank, USA Edith Bonnelye, France Steve Boyd, Canada Elizabeth Bradley, USA Andrew Burghardt, USA Frederic Cailotto, France Geert Carmeliet, Belgium Peggy Cawthon, USA Lin Chen, China Blaine Christiansen, USA Arthur Conigrave, Australia Sarah Dallas, USA Paola Divieti, USA Klaus Engelke, Germany Roberta Faccio, USA

Charles Farber, USA Joshua Farr, USA Mathieu Ferron, Canada Antonella Forlino, Italy Lora Giangregorio, Canada Christopher Hernandez, USA Eric Hesse, Germany Edward Hsiao, USA Robert Jilka, USA Rachelle Johnson, USA Ivo Kalajzic, USA Courtney Karner, USA Galateia Kazakia, USA Jung-Min Koh, Korea Stavroula Kousteni, USA Brendan Lee, USA E Michael Lewiecki, USA Joshua Lewis, Australia Heather Macdonald, Canada

Outi Makitie, Finland Michael Mannstadt, USA Laura McCabe, USA Michael McClung, USA Deborah Mitchell, USA Craig Munns, Australia Nicola Napoli, Italy Tom Nickolas, USA Keizo Nishikawa, Japan Jeffry Nyman, USA Noriaki Ono, USA Allison Pettit, Australia Lilian Plotkin, USA Ling Qin, USA Martina Rauner, Germany Yumi Rhee, South Korea Brent Richards, Canada Ryan Riddle, USA Erica Scheller, USA

David Scott, Australia Joseph Stains, USA Matthew Summers, Australia Hannah Taipaleenmaki, Germany Tingting Tang, China Thach Tran, Australia Elena Tsourdi, Germany Andre Uitterlinden, Netherlands Andre Van Wijnen, USA Peter Vestergaard, Denmark Meiqing Wang, China Marc Wein, USA Michael Whyte, USA Elaine Yu, USA Joy Wu, USA Babette Zemel, USA Xiaolei Zhang, China

Lorenz C Hofbauer Dresden, Germany

Bjorn Busse

Hamburg, Germany

Laura M. Calvi

Rochester, New York, USA

Thomas Carpenter

New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Benjamin Leder Boston, Massachusetts, USA Marjolein van der Meulen Ithaca, New York, USA

Jennifer Westendorf

Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Deborah Veis St. Louis, Missouri, USA

> Kate Ward Southhampton, UK

Rosa Maria Pereira Sao Paulo, Brazil

PRIMER EDITORIAL BOARD - 9th Edition

John Bilezikian, M.D., Ph.D.(hon) Editor-in-Chief Senior Associate Editors Roger Bouillon, M.D., Ph.D. Thomas Clemens, Ph.D. Juliet E. Compston, M.D., FRCP Associate Editors Douglas Bauer, M.D. Peter Ebeling, AO, M.D., FRACP Klaus Engelke, Ph.D. David Goltzman, M.D. Theresa A. Guise, M.D. Suzanne M. Jan de Beur, M.D. Harald Jueppner, M.D. Karen M. Lyons, Ph.D. Laurie K. McCauley, D.D.S., Ph.D. Michael McClung, M.D. Paul D. Miller, M.D., FACP Socrates E. Papapoulos, M.D., Ph.D. G. David Roodman, M.D., Ph.D. Clifford J. Rosen, M.D. Ego Seeman, M.D., FRACP Rajesh V. Thakker, M.D., FRCP Michael Whyte, M.D. Mone Zaidi, M.D., Ph.D. Murray J. Favus, M.D., Founding Editor Katie Duffy, Staff Liaison

OFFICERS

Teresita Bellido, Ph.D. President Suzanne Jan de Beur, M.D. President-Elect Bart L. Clarke, M.D., Past-President Juliet Compston, M.D., FRCP, Secretary-Treasurer Johannes van Leeuwen, Ph.D., Secretary-Treasurer-Elect

COUNCILORS

Paola Divieti Pajevic, M.D., Ph.D. Emma Duncan, MBBS, FRCP, FRACP, Ph.D. Kristine Ensrud, M.D. Roberta Faccio, Ph.D. Kurt Hankenson, D.V.M., Ph.D. Marja Hurley, M.D. Christopher Kovacs, M.D. Anna Spagnoli, M.D. Kate Ward, Ph.D. Roberto Civitelli, M.D. *Ex-Officio*, Peter Ebeling, AO, M.D., FRACP, *Ex-Officio*

ASBMR STAFF

Douglas Fesler, Executive Director Angela Belusik, Senior Program Manager Katie Duffy, Director of Publications Deborah Kroll, Director of Development Lauren Taggart, Operations Manager Lauren Anderson, Senior Program Coordinator Lauren Strup, Operations Coordinator Hannah Miller, Operations Coordinator Kimberly Durante, Operations Senior Associate Matt Burruss, Senior Conference Manager Michelle Holzner, Annual Meeting and Planning Logistics Coordinator John Heiser, Exhibits Sales Coordinator Angel Law, Exhibits and Ancillary Meetings Manager Brigid Greaney, Annual Meeting Senior Associate Kate Purdy, Marketing Manager Adam Berkshire, Marketing Manager Allison Fleming, Marketing Associate Brian Teague, Director of Finance Sunny Patel, Accounting Manager

ASBMR BUSINESS OFFICE

20001 K Street, NW Third Floor North Washington, DC 20006 USA Tel: +1 (202) 367-1161 Fax: +1 (202) 367-2161 E-mail: asbmr@asbmr.org Website: http://www.asbmr.org Disclosures: Jui-Tai Chen, None

P-295

Progesterone (Pg) and Medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) regulate same events with opposite actions in bone and vascular cells *Pablo Hernan Cutini¹, Adrián Esteban Campelo², Virginia Massheimer¹. ¹Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas y Biomédicas del Sur (INBIOSUR), Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina., Argentina, ²Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas y Biomédicas del Sur (INBIOSUR), Dpto. de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina., Argentina

Bone and cardiovascular diseases are multifactorial clinical entities that often coexist in postmenopausal women. Clinical and epidemiological studies have shown an interesting relationship between high bone turnover and cardiovascular disease mortality. Disorders in bone metabolism reversely correlate with vascular calcification (VCa). Hormone replacement therapy including natural Pg or synthetic progestins such as MPA emerged as a therapeutic option, although the risk/benefit of its use is controversial. VCa developed within atherosclerotic plaque is partly due to the osteogenic transdifferentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC). The aim of this work was to investigate the effect of Pg and MPA on cellular/molecular events involved in VCa and in osteoblastogenesis. Primary cultures of calvarial osteoblasts (OB) and aortic VSMC, were in vitro exposed to 10 nM Pg or 10 nM MPA. Measurements of matrix extracellular calcium content and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity were employed as osteoblastic differentiation markers.In order to promote osteoblastic differentiation, VSMC were cultured for 21 days in osteogenic medium (10 mM β-glycerophosphate and 4 mM CaCl2). When VSMC were cultured in osteogenic medium (VSMC-OB), treatment with Pg for 21 days significantly reduced ALP activity (15% below control, p<0.02) and calcium content (32% below control, p<0.02). Similar treatment with the synthetic progestin also showed a significant reduction in ALP activity (239.9 +/- 21.0 vs. 159.6 +/- 19.1 x103 IU/mg protein, control vs MPA, p<0.02), as well as in the extracellular calcium deposition (365.1 +/- 38.2 vs 253.6 +/- 21.9 µg/mg protein, control vs MPA, p<0.02). Conversely, exposure of OB cells to Pg or MPA significantly increased ALP activity (59%; 290% above control, Pg; MPA, p<0.02) and matrix calcium levels (12%; 55% above control, Pg; MPA, p<0.02). The mechanism of action of Pg and MPA on both cells involves the participation of Pg receptor (PgR), since pre-treatment of cells with RU486, a PgR antagonist, completely reversed the hormonal action. However, we ruled out the involvement of the androgen receptor (AR), since in the presence of the AR antagonist flutamide, the effect of the progestogens was sustained. In conclusion, although Pg and MPA exert opposite effects on OB and VSMC-OB, both steroids would exhibit a potential beneficial effect by promoting osteoblastic differentiation and inhibiting VCa

Disclosures: Pablo Hernan Cutini, None

P-296

Mass spectrometry profiling of estrogens in bones derived from a rat model to identify potential targets for the prevention of postmenopausal osteoporosis *Tine Albrecht¹, Daniela Pemp¹, Sebastian Müller², Lukas Häfner¹, Günter Vollmer², Leane Lehmann¹. ¹University of Würzburg, Germany, ²University of Dresden, Germany

The impact of exogenous factors, as xenobiotics, on bone density and the risk of postmenopausal osteoporosis, is often tested using an animal model based on ovariectomy with or without additional oral exposure to the estrogen 17beta-estradiol (E2) to simulate the postmenopausal situation. Although it is well established that estrogens significantly contribute to the maintainance of the bone homeostasis, the estrogen profile in bone has not been determined in this model before. Thus, female mature Wistar-Hannover rats were either sham operated (sham group, n=18) or subjected to ovariectomy and were either fed a diet containing E2 benzoate (0.825 ppm to mimick menopausal estradiol levels; ovx+E2B group, n=15) or unsupplemented diet (ovx-E2B group, n=15) for 8 weeks. Then, the estrogen profile was analyzed in femur specimens by GC (estrone (E1), E2, 2- and 4-methoxy (MeO)-E1 and -E2) and UHPLC (E1-sulfate, E1-glucouronide), coupled with mass spectrometry and using deuterated internal reference standards for identification and quantification. Only E2, E1 and 2-MeO-E1 were detected and also quantified (E2 <16-124 fmol/g; E1 <16-104 fmol/g; 2-MeO-E1 <19-118 fmol/g). As expected, frequencies of detection of both E2 and E1 were significantly higher in bones derived from the sham group than from the ovx-E2B group (p<0.05, Fischer's exact test). Frequency of detection of E2 did not differ between bones derived from ovariectomized animals with or without oral exposure to E2B. In contrast, frequencies of detection of E1 did not differ between bones derived from the sham and the ovx+E2B groups. Despite comparable levels of E1 were observed after in sham group and ovx+E2B group, 2-MeO-E1 was detected exclusively in specimens derived from the ovx+E2B group (27%). Since direct ingestion of 2-MeO-E1 was excluded (<0.05% of E2

benzoate), differences in biotransformation between endogenous and exogenously administered E2 seem to be reflected in the bone.In conclusion, the oxidative metabolite 2-MeO-E1 seems only be present above limit of detection in the bone under the conditions of the animal model. Ovariectomy decreases levels of E2 within the bone which cannot be recovered by oral exposure to 0.825 ppm E2 benzoate which instead seems to restore E1 levels. The characterization of the estrogen profile in the ovariectomized rat model, allows to understand and target the estrogen profile as a potential key factor related to changes in bone density.

Disclosures: Tine Albrecht, None

P-297

In vivo effects of 1a25(OH)2D3-glycosides from Solanum glaucophyllum leaves in mice and skeletal muscle cells *Paula Irazoqui¹, Veronica Gonzalez Pardo¹, Claudia Buitrago¹, Kathrin Bühler², Ana Russo de Boland¹. ¹Universidad Nacional del Sur-INBIOSUR, Argentina, ²Herbonis Animal Health GmbH, Switzerland

We have previously shown that Solanum glaucophyllum leaves extract (SGE) enriched with 1a,25(OH)2D3-glucosides exhibits at least equal or greater effects on early myoblast differentiation as synthetic 1a.25(OH)2D3 thus being an effective substitute to promote muscle growth. Recently, we reported the effects of SGE compared to synthetic 1a,25(OH)2D3 in the regulation of mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPKs), genes involved on the differentiation of murine skeletal muscle cells C2C12, and their role on myotube formation. Since MAP kinase phosphatases (MKPs) deficiency impairs skeletal muscle regeneration and exacerbates muscular dystrophy we further studied the effects of SGE on MKP1 and MKP5 which function to specifically dephosphorylate and inactivate MAPKs. SGE did not statistically modified MKP-1 and MKP-5 protein levels although basal changes were observed. MKP-1 protein levels remained unchanged at 1, 24 and 48 hours and decreased at 6 and 72 hours. MKP-5 protein levels increased at differentiation onset (24-72 h). We found that SGE significantly induced biphasic changes in phosphatase activity, decreased at 1 and 24 hours (which correlates with the pro-differentiation cell arrest previously reported), and increased at 6 hours. We also investigated the effects of SGE on Akt-mTOR signaling during the differentiation phase. SGE, decreased Akt phosphorylation after 5-day and increased its phosphorylation after 7-day of treatment. However, SGE did not induced changes in mTOR phosphorylation during time (3-7-day). Following with this approach, we investigated in vivo effects of SGE on skeletal muscle function in mice. Results from the first in vivo pilot test, have evidenced that 2 mg SGE/kg BW for 30 days did not show statically significant differences in phosphorus, calcium and glucose parameters from SGE groups compared to control. However, SGE leads to an increase in soleus muscle VDR protein expression in line with a significant decrease in 25(OH)D3 in blood serum while lower SGE doses did not change biochemical parameters measured in serum or protein content in mice muscle. These results contribute to elucidate the mechanism of action of the herbal active form of vitamin D when used as substitute to promote muscle growth

Disclosures: Paula Irazoqui, None

P-298

Osteocyte Ca2+ Responses to in vivo Mechanical Loading in Mice Expressing Genetically Encoded Calcium Indicators with Different Sensitivities *James Boorman-Padgett¹, David Spray², Mia Thi², Jelena Basta-Pljakic¹, Karl Lewis³, Robert Majeska¹, Mitchell Schaffler¹, ¹City College of New York, United States, ²Albert Einstein College of Medicine, United States, ³Cornell University, United States

PURPOSE: Lewis+ in our laboratory recently reported the first ever studies using a genetically encoded calcium indicator (GECI) targeted to osteocytes (Ot) to measure Ca2+ responses to mechanical loading in vivo1. We discovered that increasing strain levels recruited more Ca2+ responding Ot following a well-defined response curve. These studies used an early GECI, GCaMP31. New GECIs, like GCaMP6f, have increased dynamic range and sensitivity compared to GCaMP32-4 but we do not know if Ot Ca2+responses in vivo are modified by the GECI used. Here, we examined how Ot Ca2+ responses to loading in vivo differ with GCaMP3 vs GCaMP6f. METHODS: Ot-targeted GCaMP3 or GCaMP6f mice were obtained from crossing DMP1-Cre with Ai38 or Ai95 mice, yielding OtGP3 and OtGP6 mice respectively [16-18 week old females, IACUC approved]. Under anesthesia, 3rd metatarsals (MT3) were cyclically loaded to strains between 250 and 3000 ustrain1. Multiphoton microscopy was used to visualize Ot fluorescence in the mid-diaphyseal cortex during loading. For each Ot, fluorescence intensity was measured (ImageJ) at baseline and during loading; cells >25% intensity increases were counted. Linear regression was used to model strain vs Ot responses.RESULTS: Within the physiological strain range (250-2000 µstrain), Ca2+ signaling Ot in both OtGP6 and OtGP3 mice rose monotonically (r2> 0.9 for both) (Fig). Signal intensity in OtGP6 was higher than OtGP3 cells, with ~twice as many responding Ot/strain level. Critically, loading-response curve slopes were equivalent (ANCOVA, p>0.4).DISCUSSION: OtGP6 mice show more Ot responding to a given mechanical strain level than OtGP3 mice consistent with higher fluorescence intensity reported in GCaMP6f. However, the Ot-loading-Ca2+ response curve slopes were equivalent, revealing that the recruitment relationship between Ca2+ responding Ot number vs strain magnitude is the same in both reporter systems, and thus represents a fundamental characteristic of the system. Finally, we note that linear extrapolation of the OtGP6 response curve