

Radiative model for the microquasar SS433: Non-thermal emission from the eastern jet

P. Sotomayor Checa^{1,2} & G.E. Romero^{1,2}

¹ *Instituto Argentino de Radioastronomía, CONICET-CICPBA-UNLP, Argentina*

² *Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, UNLP, Argentina*

Contact / psotomayor@iar.unlp.edu.ar

Resumen / En este trabajo proponemos un modelo leptó-hadrónico para la emisión no térmica del jet oriental del microcuásar galáctico SS433. Obtenemos que el flujo en TeV reportado por la Colaboración HAWK puede explicarse por el decaimiento de piones neutros producidos en colisiones inelásticas protón-protón. La emisión en radio y rayos X es correctamente **modelada** por radiación sincrotrón de electrones relativistas primarios.

Abstract / In this work we propose a leptohadronic model for the non-thermal emission of the eastern jet of the galactic microquasar SS433. We obtain that the flux in TeV reported by the HAWK Collaboration can be explained by the decay of neutral pions produced in inelastic collisions proton-proton. Radio and X-ray emission is correctly fitted by synchrotron radiation of relativistic primary electrons.

Keywords / radiation mechanisms: non-thermal — stars: individual (SS433) — X-rays: binaries

1. Introduction

Microquasars are binary systems composed of a normal star and a compact object (a neutron star or a black hole), linked gravitationally (Mirabel & Rodríguez, 1994). In these sources, material from the star is accreted by the compact object, and an accretion disk is formed that radiates a fraction of the gravitational energy of the captured matter. Close to the accreting object, powerful relativistic jets of matter and radiation are launched, perpendicular to the orbital plane.

The binary SS433 is a well-known microquasar for its precessing, mildly relativistic, highly-collimated, and baryon-loaded jets (Abell & Margon, 1979; Margon, 1984; Eikenberry et al., 2001; Marshall et al., 2002; Fabrika et al., 2007). This source is the only super-accreting object known in the Galaxy (Shkrovskii, 1981). The donor star and the compact object are surrounded by a precessing accretion disk. The material in this envelope comes from the wind from the accretion disk (Fabrika, 1993). The existence of an equatorial wind in SS433 has been revealed by high-resolution radio interferometric observations (see e.g. Blundell et al., 2001; Paragi et al., 2002).

The non-thermal Galactic radio nebula W50 surrounds the central source of SS433 (Geldzahler et al., 1980; Downes et al., 1981; Elston & Baum, 1987). In the region of interaction between W50 and the jets of SS433, acceleration of relativistic particles is expected, probably by a first-order Fermi mechanism (Dubner et al., 1998). The radio emission regions are spatially coincident with lobes observed in X-rays (Safi-Harb & Ögelman, 1997).

Recently, the HAWK Collaboration has reported a gamma-ray flux with energies of at least 25 TeV from

the SS433/W50 system spatially resolved in the lobes (see Fig.1). This emission has been explained as inverse Compton scattering of relativistic electrons that also produce radio and X-ray emission (Abeysekara et al., 2018).

In this work we show that the emission reported by the HAWK Collaboration can also be explained by the decay of neutral pions produced in inelastic collisions pp , in agreement with the data observed at other wavelengths. We model the emission from the brightest X-ray knot (e2 in the Fig.1) adopting a leptohadronic model.

2. Model

We consider that a population of electrons and protons are injected following a power-law type function in the energy $Q_{e,p}(E) = K_{e,p}E^{-p_{inj}}$, where $K_{e,p}$ is the normalization constant. The radiative processes by which electrons are cooled are: synchrotron radiation, inverse Compton scattering, and relativistic bremsstrahlung radiation. In the case of protons, the radiative losses are because of synchrotron radiation, inelastic collisions pp , and photo-hadronic interactions. The target photon field for both electron and proton interactions is the cosmic microwave background (CMB). We also consider adiabatic losses produced by the expansion of the cooling region. Particle escape is introduced through Bohm diffusion, which constitutes a conservative hypothesis.

To test our model we proceed as explained below. We solve the transport equation for injected relativistic particles assuming a one-zone model. We thus obtain the distribution of relativistic particles in the emission region. We calculate the emissivities in the comoving




Figure 1: Very-high-energy gamma-ray image of the SS433/W50 region. Credits to: Abeysekara et al. (2018)

frame, and applying the appropriate relativistic transformations we obtain the spectrum emitted in each radiative process in the frame of the observer. Finally, we plot the observational data and calibrate the free parameters of the model.

Detailed formulas for calculating the spectrum of photons emitted from the particle injection function can be found in Reynoso et al. (2008, 2011); Romero & Vila (2008); Romero et al. (2010); Sotomayor Checa & Romero (2019) and references therein. The parameters used in our model are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Parameters of the model

Parameter [Unit]	Value
L_{jet} : Jet kinetic power [erg s^{-1}]	2×10^{39}
L_p : Power in relativistic protons [erg s^{-1}]	2×10^{38}
L_e : Power in relativistic electrons [erg s^{-1}]	4×10^{35}
B : Magnetic field in the knot [R_\odot]	3×10^{-5}
n : Density in the optical filaments [cm^{-3}]	4
p_{inj} : Spectral index for the injection [R_\odot]	1.9
R_{knot} : Knot size [pc]	8
η_{acc} : Acceleration efficiency	10^{-2}

3. Results

We show the cooling and acceleration timescales for electrons and protons in Figures 2 and 3. For electrons, adiabatic and synchrotron cooling are the dominant loss processes. Equating the synchrotron cooling and acceleration rates $t_{\text{synchr,e}}^{-1} = t_{\text{acc,e}}^{-1}$, we obtain the maximum

energy of the electrons $E_{\text{max,e}} = 1.1 \times 10^{15}$ eV.




Figure 2: Timescales for relativistic electrons

In the scenario for efficient acceleration of protons, the maximum energy is limited by the diffusive escape. On the other hand, if the acceleration is inefficient the maximum energy is established by the adiabatic losses. In this work we assume the case of efficient acceleration for electrons and protons. In this case, setting $t_{\text{diff}}^{-1} = t_{\text{acc}}^{-1}$, we obtain $E_{\text{max,p}} = 2.9 \times 10^{16}$ eV.




Figure 3: Timescales for relativistic protons

The spectrum of electrons and protons in the region of emission is shown in Figures 4 and 5. In both cases, a change in the slope of the distribution function is observed in the energy value corresponding to the change in the dominant cooling/escape process.

The broadband spectrum of non-thermal radiation is presented in Figure 6. Radiative processes that are not shown in the final spectrum do not contribute significantly to the flux observed at any wavelength. We obtain that our lepto-hadronic model can reproduce the observed data according to current observational




Figure 4: Distribution of relativistic electrons




Figure 5: Distribution of relativistic protons

constraints. We have considered the data provided in Geldzahler et al. (1980); Safi-Harb & Petre (1999); Brinkmann et al. (2007); MAGIC Collaboration et al. (2018) and Abeysekara et al. (2018).

4. Conclusions

In this work we propose a lepto-hadronic model for the eastern jet of SS433 in order to explain the observed data. We consider an injection of relativistic particles with an spectral index consistent with the prediction by the diffusive shock acceleration theory. An efficient acceleration mechanism is adopted and Bohm diffusion is the escape process assumed. We obtain that the flux of gamma rays reported by HAWC Collaboration can be explained by photo-hadronic interactions.

The next step in this work will be to model the broadband radiative emission from internal jets. Effects of the supercritical regime must be taken into account: the radiation field can dominate the energy density in the jet at the scales of the binary system. Also, to have a complete radiative model of SS433 we have to calculate the emission from the other regions of the terminal




Figure 6: Spectral energy distribution of the radiation emitted. Observational data at different wavelengths are plotted.

jet. The application of this model to other supercritical X-ray binaries (e.g. ULXs) will be presented in a forthcoming communication.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank LOC and SOC for having selected this work for the 62a Reunión Anual de la Asociación Argentina de Astronomía.

References

- Abell G.O., Margon B., 1979, *Nature*, 279, 701
 Abeysekara A.U., et al., 2018, *Nature*, 562, 82
 Blundell K.M., et al., 2001, *ApJL*, 562, L79
 Brinkmann W., et al., 2007, *A&A*, 463, 611
 Downes A.J.B., Pauls T., Salter C.J., 1981, *A&A*, 103, 277
 Dubner G.M., et al., 1998, *AJ*, 116, 1842
 Eikenberry S.S., et al., 2001, *ApJ*, 561, 1027
 Elston R., Baum S., 1987, *AJ*, 94, 1633
 Fabrika S.N., 1993, *MNRAS*, 261, 241
 Fabrika S.N., Abolmasov P.K., Karpov S., 2007, V. Karas, G. Matt (Eds.), *Black Holes from Stars to Galaxies – Across the Range of Masses, IAU Symposium*, vol. 238, 225–228
 Geldzahler B.J., Pauls T., Salter C.J., 1980, *A&A*, 84, 237
 MAGIC Collaboration, et al., 2018, *A&A*, 612, A14
 Margon B., 1984, *ARA&A*, 22, 507
 Marshall H.L., Canizares C.R., Schulz N.S., 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 941
 Mirabel I.F., Rodríguez L.F., 1994, *Nature*, 371, 46
 Paragi Z., et al., 2002, *Proceedings of the 6th EVN Symposium*, 263
 Reynoso M.M., Medina M.C., Romero G.E., 2011, *A&A*, 531, A30
 Reynoso M.M., Romero G.E., Christiansen H.R., 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 1745
 Romero G.E., Vieyro F.L., Vila G.S., 2010, *A&A*, 519, A109
 Romero G.E., Vila G.S., 2008, *A&A*, 485, 623
 Safi-Harb S., Ögelman H., 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 868
 Safi-Harb S., Petre R., 1999, *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #193, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 193, 125.02
 Shklovskii I.S., 1981, *Soviet Ast.*, 25, 315
 Sotomayor Checa P., Romero G.E., 2019, *A&A*, 629, A76

Estimado Editor,

Hemos revisado nuestro manuscrito titulado "Radiative model for the microquasar SS433: Non-thermal emission from the eastern jet" (ID 652_v1) siguiendo los comentarios y sugerencias del árbitro y los editores. A continuación detallo nuestras respuestas.

Cordialmente,

P. Sotomayor Checa, en representación de los autores.

Respuestas al árbitro

Árbitro:

1) Modificaciones en el resumen

a) *...ser explicado* → *explicarse*

b) *...rayos X es correctamente ajustada* → *rayos X es correctamente modelada*

Autores:

Ambas sugerencias han sido incorporadas.

Árbitro

2) En el último párrafo de la Introducción se repite "by"

Autores:

El árbitro tiene razón, hemos corregido el error.

Árbitro:

3) En la sección 2: los autores dicen: "We solve the transport equation for injected relativistic particles assuming a one-zone model.". Es el caso mas simple? Que dificultad tendría no asumir un "one-zone model"?

Autores:

En un modelo one-zone los campos ambientales (campos de materia, magnéticos, de radiación) se pueden suponer homogéneos, lo cual simplifica la ecuación cinética que se debe resolver numéricamente para determinar la función de distribución de cada población de partículas relativistas. Efectivamente, constituye el caso más simple. Una mejora inmediata es suponer un modelo inhomogéneo, en el cual la ecuación de transporte retiene los términos de gradientes espaciales (Ver Vila, Romero & Casco, 2012).

Árbitro:

4) Figura 6: Los colores representan lo mismo que en la Fig. 2?

Autores:

No, en la nueva versión hemos agregado las descripciones para que quede claro a que proceso radiativo corresponde cada curva.

Respuestas al editor

Editor:

1) Primer afiliación, usar separador "--" para la triple dependencia institucional, además entiendo que la segunda debe ser "CICPBA", en definitiva: "CONICET--CICPBA-UNLP"

Autores:

El editor tiene razón, hemos realizado el cambio indicado.

Editor:

2) Segunda keyword debe ser: "stars: individual (SS433)" (notar el typo en individual y el objeto individual debe ir entre paréntesis y sin dos puntos antes).

Autores:

Hecho.

Editor:

3) Figuras 2 y 3: sin ser experto en la temática, tengo la impresión que los "labels" de las diferentes curvas deberían definirse, o quizá los textos insertos en las figuras expandirse (p. ej: synchr -> synchrotron, etc.).

Autores:

Hemos agregado los *labels* de cada curva para explicitar a que proceso de enfriamiento se refieren.

Editor:

4) Figura 6: El significado de los colores no parece especificado. Nuevamente, si los distintos colores representan cosas diferentes, pueden además diferenciarse las curvas por estilo de línea?

Autores:

Hemos diferenciado cada curva según el proceso radiativo correspondiente agregando los *labels*.