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In the above paper, Table 1 inadvertently referenced the incorrect literature. The references should appear as shown in the table below (according to the numbering in the published paper). The literature value for $\alpha$ in ethanol is corrected to 0.86 from 0.83 and the literature value for $\beta$ in ethanol is corrected to 0.75 from 0.77 .

| Solvent | $\mathrm{Et}(30) / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\pi^{*}$ | SO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | MC $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ | $k \times 10^{-2} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | SD | $\tau / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Methanol | 55.4 (55.4) ${ }^{8}$ | $1.06(1.05)^{41}$ | $0.62(0.61)^{41}$ | $0.71(0.73)^{41}$ | 640 | 3.2 | $\pm 0.008$ | 31.25 |
| Ethanol | $52.1(51.9)^{8}$ | $0.90(0.86)^{42}$ | $0.72(0.75)^{42}$ | 0.63 (0.54) ${ }^{42}$ | 642 | 3.8 (2.0) ${ }^{20}$ | $\pm 0.009$ | 26.32 |
| Acetonitrile | $46.4(45.6)^{8}$ | 0.42 (0.35) ${ }^{41}$ | 0.37 (0.37) ${ }^{41}$ | 0.79 (0.79) ${ }^{41}$ | 642 | 5.0 (5.2) ${ }^{20}$ | $\pm 0.006$ | 20 |
| Acetone | 42.5 (42.2) ${ }^{8}$ | 0.25 (0.20) ${ }^{41}$ | 0.57 (0.54) ${ }^{41}$ | 0.67 (0.70) ${ }^{41}$ | 642 | 5.1 (5.4) ${ }^{20}$ | $\pm 0.011$ | 19.61 |
| [bmIm][ $\mathrm{NTf}_{2}$ ] | $52.4(51.5)^{43}$ | $0.72(0.69)^{41}$ | $0.24(0.25)^{41}$ | $0.90(0.97)^{41}$ | 642 | 2 | $\pm 0.005$ | 50 |
| [ $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{Im}$ ][ $\mathrm{NTf}_{2}$ ] | 50 | 0.42 | 0.1 | 1.02 | 640 | 2.3 | $\pm 0.011$ | 43.48 |
| [bmPy][ $\mathrm{NTf}_{2}$ ] | 49.6 (50.2) ${ }^{14}$ | $0.57(0.43)^{13}$ | 0.23 (0.25) ${ }^{13}$ | $0.87(0.95)^{13}$ | 642 | 2.2 | $\pm 0.009$ | 45.45 |
| [ $\mathrm{P}_{6,6,6,14}$ [ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ NTf ${ }_{2}$ ] | 46.1 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.83 | 648 | 1.1 | $\pm 0.005$ | 90.91 |
| $\underline{\left[\mathrm{N}_{1,8,8,8}\right]\left[\mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right]}$ | 45.9 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.87 | 646 | 1.5 | $\pm 0.008$ | 66.67 |
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The numerical values given for the reduced coupling constants, $K_{\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}}$ (in $10^{18} \mathrm{~J}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ ), and for the changes in the reduced coupling constants, $\Delta K_{\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}}$ (in $10^{18} \mathrm{~J}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ ), in Tables 2 to 7 and Figures 1 to 4 have to be multiplied by the constant factor $\pi$. These changes have no influence on the discussion or conclusions of the paper as all values are equally affected.

