# EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE P-GENERALIZED MODIFIED ERROR FUNCTION 

JULIETA BOLLATI, JOSÉ A. SEMITIEL, MARÍA F. NATALE, DOMINGO A. TARZIA<br>Communicated by Jesus Ildefonso Diaz


#### Abstract

In this article, we define a p-generalized modified error function as the solution to a non-linear ordinary differential equation of second order, with a Robin type boundary condition at $x=0$. We prove existence and uniqueness of a non-negative $C^{\infty}$ solution by using a fixed point argument. We show that the p-generalized modified error function converges to the pmodified error function defined as the solution to a similar problem with a Dirichlet boundary condition. In both problems, for $p=1$, the generalized modified error function and the modified error function are recovered. In addition, we analyze the existence and uniqueness of solution to a problem with a Neumann boundary condition.


## 1. Introduction

Ceratani et al. 5 studied a fusion Stefan problem with variable thermal conductivity and a Robin boundary condition at the fixed face $x=0$. They studied

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right), \quad 0<x<s(t), t>0,  \tag{1.1}\\
k(T(0, t)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(0, t)=\frac{h}{\sqrt{t}}\left[T(0, t)-T_{0}\right], \quad t>0,  \tag{1.2}\\
T(s(t), t)=T_{f}, \quad t>0,  \tag{1.3}\\
k(T(s(t), t)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(s(t), t)=-\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t>0,  \tag{1.4}\\
s(0)=0, \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the unknown functions are the temperature $T$ and the free boundary $s$ separating both phases. The parameters $\rho>0$ (density), $l>0$ (latent heat per unit mass), $T_{f}$ (phase-change temperature), $T_{0}>T_{f}$ (bulk temperature), $h>0$ (coefficient that characterizes the heat transfer at $x=0$ ), and $c$ (specific heat) are all known constants.

Problem (1.1)-(1.5) is a phase-change problem known in the literature as a Stefan problem. It corresponds to the melting of a semi-infinite material which is initially solid at the phase-change temperature $T_{f}$. As $T_{0}>T_{f}$, a phase-change interface

[^0]$x=s(t), t>0$ is beginning at $t=0$ with the initial position $s(0)=0$. Then, the temperature of the liquid phase is $T=T(x, t)$ defined in the domain $0<x<s(t)$, $t>0$, and the temperature of the solid phase is $T=0$ defined in the domain $x>s(t), t>0$.

In [6], the thermal conductivity $k$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(T)=k_{0}\left(1+\delta\left(\frac{T-T_{f}}{T_{0}-T_{f}}\right)\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a given positive constant and $k_{0}$ is the reference thermal conductivity. The existence of a solution to $\sqrt{1.1}-(1.5)$ when the thermal conductivity $k(T)$ is defined by (1.6) has been proved through the existence of what the authors in [5] called a generalized modified error function (GME), which is defined as the solution to the ordinary differential

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[(1+\delta y(x)) y^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+2 x y^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad 0<x<+\infty}  \tag{1.7a}\\
(1+\delta y(0)) y^{\prime}(0)-\gamma y(0)=0  \tag{1.7b}\\
y(+\infty)=1 \tag{1.7c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma=2 \mathrm{Bi}, \quad \mathrm{Bi} & =\frac{h \sqrt{\alpha_{0}}}{k_{0}} \quad \text { (generalized Biot number) }  \tag{1.8}\\
\alpha_{0} & =\frac{k_{0}}{\rho c} \quad(\text { thermal diffusivity }) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution to $(1.1)-(1.5)$ is given as a function of the solution of $\sqrt{1.7}$ through the similarity variable $x /\left(2 \sqrt{\alpha_{0} t}\right)$, see [5, 6, 12]. More explanations are given in [1, 9, 14].

Motivated by [10] we define a generalized thermal conductivity as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(T)=k_{0}\left(1+\delta\left(\frac{T-T_{f}}{T_{0}-T_{f}}\right)^{p}\right), \quad p \geq 1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the existence of a solution to (1.1) with $k$ given by 1.10 will be studied through the p-generalized modified error function (p-GME) which we define as the solution to the nonlinear differential problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left(1+\delta y^{p}(x)\right) y^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+2 x y^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad 0<x<+\infty}  \tag{1.11a}\\
\left(1+\delta y^{p}(0)\right) y^{\prime}(0)-\gamma y(0)=0  \tag{1.11b}\\
y(+\infty)=1 \tag{1.11c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that when $p=1$, we recover the problem studied in [4, 5] and originally defined in [6, 12]. Others studies for $p=1$ can be found in [2, 13]. In that sense, the p-GME function constitutes a mathematical generalization of the GME function.

With the purpose of proving existence and uniqueness of the p-GME function, i.e. a solution to 1.11 , we define a convenient contracting mapping, in Section 2. In Section 3, we study the asymptotic behavior of the p-GME function when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. We will show that this function converges to the solution of an ordinary differential equation that arises by changing the Robin condition at $x=0$ [3] by a Dirichlet condition. Finally, in Section 4 we change the Robin condition by a Neumann condition in a solidification process and analyze the existence and uniqueness of a new ordinary differential problem. In conclusion, the aim of this paper is to prove existence and uniqueness of a solution to three ordinary differential problems that
have been motivated by Stefan problems. This is done imposing different boundary conditions at the fixed face $x=0$ : Robin, Dirichlet and Neumann conditions.

## 2. Existence and uniqueness of the p-GME function

Let us define

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=\left\{h: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: h \text { is a bounded and continuous real-valued function }\right\}  \tag{2.1}\\
 \tag{2.2}\\
K=\left\{h \in X:\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1,0 \leq h, h(+\infty)=1\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

We remark that $K$ is a non-empty closed convex and bounded subset of the Banach space $X$ with the norm

$$
\|h\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}}|h(x)|<\infty ;
$$

see [7, page 2487], [8, page 152], [1], page 132].
In this section we prove existence and uniqueness of the p-GME function (problem (1.11) by using the Banach fixed point theorem. First, we show that the ordinary differential problem (1.11) becomes equivalent to an integral equation. We consider that $\gamma$ is a parameter for problem (1.11), and in Section 3 we will study the asymptotic behavior when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\delta \geq 0, \gamma>0, p \geq 1$. For each $\gamma>0$, the function $y_{\gamma} \in K$ is a solution to problem 1.11) if and only if $y_{\gamma}$ is a fixed point to the operator $T_{\gamma}: K \rightarrow K$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\gamma}(h)(x)=\frac{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{h}(x)=\frac{1}{\Psi_{h}(x)} \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\xi}{\Psi_{h}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right), \quad \Psi_{h}(x)=1+\delta h^{p}(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Notice first that for each $y=y_{\gamma} \in K$ we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\exp \left(-\eta^{2}\right)}{1+\delta} \leq f_{y}(\eta) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\eta^{2}}{1+\delta}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from where it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\pi}}{2(1+\delta)}<1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta \leq 1+\frac{\gamma \sqrt{1+\delta} \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account 2.6), $T_{\gamma}(y)$ is a continuous function, since $y \in X$. Also, according to $(2.1)-(2.3)$ and $(2.6), T_{\gamma}(y) \in K$.

Through the substitution $v=y^{\prime}$, the ordinary differential equation 1.7a is equivalent to

$$
-\frac{\Psi_{y}^{\prime}(x)+2 x}{\Psi_{y}(x)}=\frac{v^{\prime}(x)}{v(x)}
$$

from where we obtain

$$
y(x)=y(0)+c_{0} \int_{0}^{x} f_{y}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta .
$$

Then, condition 1.7 b is satisfied if and only if $c_{0}=\gamma y(0)$. In addition, from 1.7 c ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)^{-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $y$ is a solution to problem 1.11) if and only if $y$ is a fixed point of the operator $T_{\gamma}$, i.e. $y(x)=T_{\gamma}(y)(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Conversely, if $y$ is a fixed point of the operator $T_{\gamma}$ we obtain immediately that $(1.7 \mathrm{c})$ is verified, and $y(0)$ is given by 2.7). Then, by differentiation 1.7 a and 1.7 b hold, and then $y$ is a solution of (1.11).

Remark 2.2. The notation $y_{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}$ is adopted to emphasize the dependence of the solution to 1.11 on $\gamma$, although it also depends on $p$ and $\delta$. This fact is going to facilitate the subsequent analysis of the asymptotic behavior of $y_{\gamma}$ when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, to be presented in Section 3 .

By Theorem 2.1. we will focus on proving that $T_{\gamma}$ is a contracting mapping on $K$. For that purpose, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in K, \delta \geq 0, \gamma>0, p \geq 1$ and $x \geq 0$. Then, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2(1+\delta)} \leq\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right| \leq \sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
\left|\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}-\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\eta)}\right| \leq \delta p\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{2.9}\\
\left|\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)-\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)\right| \leq \frac{2 \delta p \eta^{2}}{\exp \left(\frac{\eta^{2}}{1+\delta}\right)}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{2.10}\\
\int_{0}^{x}\left|f_{y_{1}}(\eta)-f_{y_{2}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \delta p \sqrt{1+\delta}(2+\delta)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{2.11}\\
\left|\frac{1}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right|  \tag{2.12}\\
\leq \frac{2(1+\delta)^{5 / 2}}{\gamma \sqrt{\pi}} \delta p(2+\delta)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We follow the method was developed in 4. .
Inequality 2.8 follows from integrating 2.5 in $(0,+\infty)$. For inequality 2.9 we note that from the Mean Value Theorem applied to the function $r(x)=x^{p}$ and the fact that $1 \leq \Psi_{y}(x) \leq 1+\delta$ for all $y \in K$, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}-\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\eta)}\right| \leq \delta\left|y_{2}^{p}(\eta)-y_{1}^{p}(\eta)\right| \leq \delta p\left\|y_{2}-y_{1}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

For inequality 2.10, applying the Mean Value Theorem to $r(x)=\exp (-2 x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)-\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\eta^{2}}{1+\delta}\right) \int_{0}^{\eta}\left|\frac{\xi}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\xi)}-\frac{\xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)}\right| \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\eta^{2}}{1+\delta}\right) \eta \int_{0}^{\eta}\left|\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\xi)}-\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)}\right| \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account $\sqrt{2.9}$ we obtain the corresponding estimate. For inequality (2.11), from items 2.9) and 2.10 we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{x}\left|f_{y_{1}}(\eta)-f_{y_{2}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \int_{0}^{x}\left\{\left|f_{y_{1}}(\eta)-\frac{\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}\right|+\left|\frac{\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}-f_{y_{2}}(\eta)\right|\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{x}\left\{\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}\left|\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)-\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{-2 \xi}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right)\left|\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{1}}(\eta)}-\frac{1}{\Psi_{y_{2}}(\eta)}\right|\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \\
\leq & \left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \delta p \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left(\frac{-\eta^{2}}{1+\delta}\right)\left(2 \eta^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{d} \eta \\
= & \left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \delta p \sqrt{1+\delta}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(2+\delta) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\right)-x \sqrt{1+\delta} \exp \left(\frac{-x^{2}}{1+\delta}\right)\right] \\
\leq & \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \delta p \sqrt{1+\delta}(2+\delta)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality 2.12 follows immediately by using 2.6 and 2.11.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\gamma>0, p \geq 1$ and

$$
g_{\gamma}(x)=x p(1+x)^{3 / 2}\left[(2+x)\left(1+(1+x)^{3 / 2}\right)+\frac{2}{\gamma \sqrt{\pi}}(1+x)\right], \quad x \geq 0
$$

Then there exist a unique $\delta_{\gamma}>0$ such that $g_{\gamma}\left(\delta_{\gamma}\right)=1$.
The above lemma follows immediately from the fact that $g_{\gamma}$ is an increasing function, $g_{\gamma}(0)=0$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} g_{\gamma}(x)=+\infty$. Now, we are able to formulate the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\gamma>0$ and $p \geq 1$. The problem 1.11 has a unique solution $y_{\gamma} \in K$ if and only if $0 \leq \delta<\delta_{\gamma}$, where $\delta_{\gamma}$ is given by Lemma 2.4. Moreover, $y_{\gamma}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$with the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\gamma}^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad y_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(x)<0, \quad \forall x \geq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in K$ and $x \geq 0$. Taking into account Lemma 2.3. we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|T_{\gamma}\left(y_{1}\right)(x)-T_{\gamma}\left(y_{2}\right)(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \\
&+\left|\frac{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma \int_{0}^{x}\left|f_{y_{1}}(\eta)-f_{y_{2}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta}{\left|1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right|} \\
&+\left|1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right|\left|\frac{1}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1}{1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \\
& \leq g_{\gamma}(\delta)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from Lemma 2.4, if $0 \leq \delta<\delta_{\gamma}$ it follows that $T_{\gamma}$ is a contracting mapping what allows to apply the Banach fixed point theorem. Therefore, the problem 1.11) has a unique non-negative continuous solution. Moreover, by differentiation and easy computation the solution is a $C^{\infty}$ function in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$with the useful properties 2.13).

## 3. Asymptotic behavior of p-GME function when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$

In this section if we consider the Stefan problem (1.1-1.5) and we change the Robin condition 1.2 by a Dirichlet condition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(0, t)=T_{0}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the ordinary differential problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left(1+\delta y^{p}(x)\right) y^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+2 x y^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad 0<x<+\infty}  \tag{3.2a}\\
y(0)=0  \tag{3.2b}\\
y(+\infty)=1 \tag{3.2c}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the special case $p=1$, the solution to this problem is called modified error function (ME) and was considered in [2, 4, 5, 6, 12]. In 4] the existence and uniqueness of the ME function was proved. Moreover, if it is considered $\delta=0$, the classical error function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left(-z^{2}\right) d z, \quad x>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

arises as a solution.
In a similar way to the above section we can analyze the existence and uniqueness of the p-modified error function (p-ME), which is defined as the solution to problem (3.2) and constitutes a generalization of the ME function.

Now, let us define

$$
K^{*}=\left\{h \in X:\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1,0 \leq h, h(0)=0, h(+\infty)=1\right\}
$$

where $X$ is given by (2.1). We remark that $K^{*}$ is a non-empty closed convex and bounded subset of the Banach space $X$. We will show that the ordinary differential problem (3.2) becomes equivalent to an integral equation.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\delta \geq 0, p \geq 1$. Then the function $y^{*} \in K^{*}$ is a solution to (3.2) if and only if $y^{*}$ is a fixed point of the operator $T^{*}: K^{*} \rightarrow K^{*}$ given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(h)(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{x} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{h}$ defined by (2.4).
Proof. In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the operator $T^{*}$ is well defined and it is easy to see that

$$
y^{*}(x)=y^{*}(0)+c_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{x} f_{y}^{*}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta
$$

with $y^{*}(0)=0$ and $c_{0}^{*}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)^{-1}$. Then, using 3.2b) and (3.2c), we obtain (3.4). Therefore, $y^{*}$ is a solution to (3.2) if and only if $y^{*}$ is a fixed point of the operator $T^{*}$.

To prove that the operator $T^{*}$ is a contracting mapping on $K^{*}$, we enunciate the following lemmas which proofs are analogous to Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4
Lemma 3.2. Let $y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*} \in K^{*}, \delta \geq 0, p \geq 1$ and $x \geq 0$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \leq \frac{2(1+\delta)^{5 / 2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta p(2+\delta)\left\|y_{1}^{*}-y_{2}^{*}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $p \geq 1$ and

$$
g^{*}(x)=x p(1+x)^{3 / 2}(2+x)\left(1+(1+x)^{3 / 2}\right), \quad x \geq 0
$$

Then there exists a unique $\delta^{*}>0$ such that $g^{*}\left(\delta^{*}\right)=1$.
Theorem 3.4. Problem (3.2) has a unique solution $y^{*} \in K$ if and only if $0 \leq \delta<$ $\delta^{*}$, where $\delta^{*}$ is given by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, $y^{*}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Proof. Let $y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*} \in K^{*}$ and $x \geq 0$. Taking into account Lemmas 2.3 and 3.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T^{*}\left(y_{1}^{*}\right)(x)-T^{*}\left(y_{2}^{*}\right)(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right|+\left|\frac{\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{x}\left|f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta)-f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta}{\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right|}+\left|\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right|\left|\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{1}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}-\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{2}^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta}\right| \\
& \leq g^{*}\left(\delta^{*}\right)\left\|y_{1}^{*}-y_{2}^{*}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from Lemma 3.3, if $0 \leq \delta<\delta^{*}$ it follows that $T^{*}$ is a contracting mapping what allows to apply the Banach fixed point theorem. Therefore, the problem (3.2) has a unique non-negative continuous solution which is also a $C^{\infty}$ function by simple differentiation in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

To problem (1.11), we impose a Robin boundary condition characterized by the coefficient $\gamma>0$ at $x=0$. This condition constitutes a generalization of the Dirichlet condition, in the sense that taking the limit when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in condition 1.7b, we obtain condition 3.2b). Now, we show that the solution to problem (1.11) converges to the solution to problem (3.2) when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. For this purpose, first, we need the following lemmas which proofs are immediate.
Lemma 3.5. For every $p \geq 1$, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the following convergence results hold
(a) $T_{\gamma}(h)(x) \rightarrow T^{*}(h)(x)$ for every $h \in K$ and $x \geq 0$.
(b) $g_{\gamma}(x) \rightarrow g^{*}(x)$ for every $x \geq 0$.
(c) $\delta_{\gamma} \rightarrow \delta^{*}$.

In addition $g_{\gamma}(x) \geq g^{*}(x)$ and $\delta_{\gamma}<\delta^{*}$ for all $x \geq 0, \gamma>0$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $p \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)=2 x p(1+x)^{3}(2+x), \quad x \geq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a unique $\hat{\delta}>0$ such that $C(\hat{\delta})=1$.
Theorem 3.7. Let $p \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta<\min \left\{\hat{\delta}, \delta_{\gamma}\right\}$. Then $\left\|y_{\gamma}-y^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, the order of convergence is $1 / \gamma$ when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. First let us note that if $0 \leq \delta<\min \left\{\hat{\delta}, \delta_{\gamma}\right\}$, then as $\delta_{\gamma}<\delta^{*}$, we obtain that $y_{\gamma}$ and $y^{*}$ are well defined because of Theorems 2.5 and 3.4. Then for $x \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y_{\gamma}(x)-y^{*}(x)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)-\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)}{\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \mid\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta+\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)-\int_{0}^{x} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] /\left[\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] \mid \\
& =\mid\left[\int_{x}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta+\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] /\left[\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] \mid \\
& \leq \mid\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta+\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{x} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta-\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta-\int_{0}^{x} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] \\
& \div\left[\left(1+\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{y^{*}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] \mid \\
& \leq\left[\sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}+\gamma \sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{y^{*}}(\eta)-f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma \sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left|f_{y^{*}}(\eta)-f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta\right)\right] /\left[\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\pi}}{2(1+\delta)} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2(1+\delta)}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}+2 \gamma \sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{y^{*}}(\eta)-f_{y_{\gamma}}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \eta}{\frac{\gamma \pi}{4(1+\delta)^{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{4(1+\delta)^{2}}{\gamma \pi}\left(\sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}+\gamma \frac{\pi}{4} \delta p(1+\delta)(2+\delta)\left\|y_{\gamma}-y^{*}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2(1+\delta)^{5 / 2}}{\gamma \pi}+2(1+\delta)^{3} \delta p(2+\delta)\left\|y_{\gamma}-y^{*}\right\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequalities are obtained by applying Lemma 2.3, and they lead to

$$
(1-C(\delta))\left\|y_{\gamma}-y^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{2(1+\delta)^{5 / 2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)
$$

with $C$ defined by (3.5). Finally, the desired convergence and order of convergence in Theorem 3.7 are obtained by noting that if $0 \leq \delta<\hat{\delta}$, then $0 \leq C(\delta)<1$ because of Lemma 3.6 .

## 4. Existence and uniqueness considering a Neumann condition

In this section we consider a solidification Stefan problem with a Neumann condition at the fixed face $x=0$, given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right), \quad 0<x<s(t), t>0  \tag{4.1}\\
k(T(0, t)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(0, t)=\frac{q_{0}}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t>0  \tag{4.2}\\
T(s(t), t)=T_{f}, \quad t>0  \tag{4.3}\\
k(T(s(t), t)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(s(t), t)=\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t>0  \tag{4.4}\\
s(0)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the unknown functions are the temperature $T$ and the free boundary $s$ separating both phases. The parameters $\rho>0$ (density), $l>0$ (latent heat per unit mass), $T_{f}$ (phase-change temperature), $q_{0}>0$ (characterizes the heat flux on the fixed face $x=0$ of the face-change material which can be determined experimentally) and $c>0$ (specific heat) are all known constants. In this case, the thermal conductivity $k$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(T)=k_{0}\left(1+\delta\left(\frac{T}{T_{f}}\right)^{p}\right), \quad p \geq 1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a given positive constant and $k_{0}$ is the reference thermal conductivity.
In a similar way as in previous sections, this Stefan problem leads us to the study the ordinary differential problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left(1+\delta y^{p}(x)\right) y^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}+2 x y^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad 0<x<+\infty}  \tag{4.7a}\\
\left(1+\delta y^{p}(0)\right) y^{\prime}(0)=\gamma^{*}  \tag{4.7b}\\
y(+\infty)=1 \tag{4.7c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{*}=2 \mathrm{Bi}^{*} \quad \text { with } \quad B i^{*}=\frac{q_{0} \sqrt{\alpha_{0}}}{k_{0} T_{f}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way to the above sections we can state the following results:
Theorem 4.1. Let $\delta \geq 0, p \geq 1$ and $0<\gamma^{*} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi(1+\delta)}}$. Then $y_{\gamma^{*}} \in K$ is a solution to 4.7 if and only if $y_{\gamma^{*}}$ is a fixed point of the operator $T_{\gamma^{*}}: K \rightarrow K$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\gamma^{*}}(h)(x)=1-\gamma^{*} \int_{x}^{+\infty} f_{h}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{h}$ defined by 2.4) and $K$ given by 2.2 .
Proof. Given $y_{\gamma^{*}} \in K$ and taking into account 2.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\gamma^{*} \operatorname{erfc}(x)}{1+\delta} \leq \gamma^{*} \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{y}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta<\frac{\gamma^{*} \sqrt{1+\delta} \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \leq 1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from 4.9$)$ we have that $T_{\gamma^{*}}\left(y_{\gamma^{*}}\right)$ is an analytic function, since $y_{\gamma^{*}} \in X$. Also, according to (4.9) and 4.10), $T_{\gamma^{*}}\left(y_{\gamma^{*}}\right) \in K$.

In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. $y_{\gamma^{*}}$ is a solution to (4.7) if and only if $y_{\gamma^{*}}$ is a fixed point of the operator $T_{\gamma^{*}}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $p \geq 1, \delta>0$ and $0<\gamma^{*} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi(1+\delta)}}$. Then 4.7) has a unique $C^{\infty}$ solution $y_{\gamma^{*}} \in K$ if and only if $\delta<\delta_{\gamma^{*}}$ where $\delta_{\gamma^{*}}$ is the unique solution to the equation $g(x)=1$, with

$$
g(x)=x \frac{p}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[(1+x)\left(\sqrt{1+x} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\sqrt{\pi}\right)+\sqrt{\pi}\right] .
$$

Proof. Let $y_{1_{\gamma^{*}}}, y_{2_{\gamma^{*}}} \in K$ and $x \geq 0$. Taking into account 2.9) and 2.10 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{\gamma^{*}}\left(y_{1_{\gamma^{*}}}\right)(x)-T_{\gamma^{*}}\left(y_{2_{\gamma^{*}}}\right)(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \delta p \gamma^{*}\left[(1+\delta)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{1+\delta}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)+\sqrt{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \delta \frac{p}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[(1+\delta)\left(\sqrt{1+\delta} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\sqrt{\pi}\right)+\sqrt{\pi}\right]\left\|y_{1_{\gamma^{*}}}-y_{2_{\gamma^{*}}}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq g(\delta)\left\|y_{1_{\gamma^{*}}}-y_{2_{\gamma^{*}}}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g$ is an increasing function such that $g(0)=0$ and $g(+\infty)=+\infty$, there exists a unique $\delta_{\gamma^{*}}>0$ with $g\left(\delta_{\gamma^{*}}\right)=1$.

Then, if $0 \leq \delta<\delta_{\gamma^{*}}$ it follows that $T_{\gamma^{*}}$ is a contracting mapping what allows to apply the Banach fixed point theorem. Therefore, the problem 4.7 has a unique non-negative continuous solution which is also a $C^{\infty}$ function.

Conclusion. In this article, the ordinary differential problems studied in (4, 5] have been generalized by defining what we call the p-GME function and the p-ME function corresponding to the case when a Robin or Dirichlet boundary condition are imposed at $x=0$, respectively. In both problems, existence and uniqueness of $C^{\infty}$ solution has been proved by defining convenient contracting mappings. In addition it has been studied the behavior of the p-GME function when the coefficient $\gamma$ that characterizes the Robin condition goes to infinity, obtaining its convergence to the p-ME function with an order of convergence of the type $1 / \gamma$ when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, existence and uniqueness of a solution to a solidification problem with a Neumann condition has been studied.
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