Reprod Dom Anim doi: 10.1111/rda.12460 ISSN 0936-6768

Biochemical and Protein Profile of Alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*) Uterine Horn Fluid During Early Pregnancy

ME Argañaraz^{1,2}, SA Apichela^{1,3}, R Zampini¹, J Vencato⁴ and C Stelletta⁴

¹Laboratorio Investigaciones en Reproducción Animal (LIRA), Instituto Superior de Investigaciones Biológicas (INSIBIO), CONICET, San Miguel de Tucuman, Argentina; ²Cátedra de Biología Celular y Molecular, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, San Miguel de Tucuman, Argentina; ³Cátedra de Zootecnia General I, Facultad de Agronomía y Zootecnia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, San Miguel de Tucuman, Argentina; ⁴Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

Contents

South American camelids show high embryo loss rate, during the first 60 days of pregnancy. One of the factors which may be related to this situation is that over 98% of the embryos implant in the left uterine horn (LUH) even though both ovaries contribute similarly to ovulation. There is scarce information about the uterine environment of female camelids at any physiological state that could explain the capability of the LUH to attract the embryo and maintain pregnancy. We describe, for the first time, the biochemical and protein profile of uterine fluid (UF), addressing the right and LUH environment in non-pregnant and pregnant alpacas. Different substrates, electrolytes and metabolites were assayed in both uterine horn fluids. Small changes were observed in glucose and total protein levels, which were more noticeable during pregnancy. In addition, 10 specific proteins were found in the left horn fluid in 5-week-pregnant alpacas, and two protein bands were identified in non-pregnant alpaca right horn fluid. These results would provide basic information for identification of possible markers for pregnancy diagnosis, reproductive diseases and hormone-treated animals evaluation and hence contributing to improve the pregnancy rate.

Introduction

South American camelids (SAC) display complex reproductive characteristics: induced ovulation, short half-life of the corpus luteum, different luteolytic activity between the two uterine horns, maternal recognition of pregnancy (MRP) beginning before day 10 after mating, pregnancies only in left uterine horn (LUH), highly viscous semen with low sperm concentration, etc. (Vaughan and Tibary 2006). As the knowledge about the reproductive physiology of SACs advances, more clear becomes the concept that processes assumed in other domestic livestock cannot be extrapolated to camelids.

Certain basic reproductive physiology, such as MRP signalling, remains still unclear. The lack of this knowledge limits the efficiency of embryo transfer protocols, development of early markers for pregnancy diagnosis, reproductive diseases and evaluation of hormone-treated animals.

Early embryonic development and MRP require a specific well-timed uterine environment. This environment is created in synergy between the developing embryo and the uterus, which inhibits luteolysis, offers the embryo immunological protection and provides nutrition for the unattached developing embryo (Roberts 1989). According to Sumar et al. (1988), the

pregnancy rate in SACs approximately 30 days postmating is <50%, and this loss increases to 60-80% in the first 90 days; however, stressful conditions due to harsh climate and nutritional constraints have been also suggested responsible for the greater rates of embryo loss in alpacas (Sumar and Adams 2006). Because fertilization rates are similar in all ruminant species, it seems that embryo loss rates are much higher in SACs than in sheep or goats (Diskin and Morris 2008). One of the factors that may be responsible for the higher embryo loss rate is the fact that over 98% of the foetuses in camelids implant in the LUH although both ovaries contribute almost equally to ovulation (Fernandez-Baca et al. 1979; Vaughan et al. 2013). In addition, the right uterine horn (RUH) would be unable to sustain a pregnancy beyond 95 days meaning that the embryo has to migrate from the right to the LUH to implant and survive (Fernandez-Baca et al. 1970). Nevertheless, when the embryo was deposited directly into the LUH in the presence of a CL in the ipsilateral ovary (the ideal location to avoid embryo migration), pregnancy rate was not as great as could be expected (Trasorras et al. 2010). At present, it has been established that neither location (right or left ovary) nor stage of development of the dominant follicle has an influence on ovulation and embryo survival rate in alpacas (Ratto et al. 2011). There would seem to be some other factor/s responsible for embryo viability into the uterine environment.

There is scarce information about the uterine environment of SAC females at any physiological state that could explain the capability of the LUH to attract the embryo and maintain pregnancy. It has been proposed that differences in vascular anatomy between the left and right ovaries, oviducts and uterine horns in camelids are related to the site-specific implantation (LUH) of the embryo (Del Campo et al. 1996). However, the molecular mechanism of embryo-maternal crosstalk during attachment, implantation and pregnancy recognition between the embryo and the LUH in SACs has not been described yet.

This is the first study that attempts a broad characterization of the endometrial secretome in non-pregnant and pregnant alpacas in the left and right UH. Therefore, the biochemical composition and protein profile of UF were assayed. In addition, MALDI-MS technology was used to identify for the first time proteins with differential secretion patterns during early pregnancy in alpacas.

Materials and Methods

Animals and sampling

Reproductive systems of adult female Vicugna pacos (var. Huacaya) were obtained from a slaughterhouse at Huancavelica (12°S, 74°W, 3676 MASL), Peru, during the first fortnight of May 2011. The samples were transported at 0°C to the laboratory of reproductive biotechnology. Universidad Nacional de Huancavelica where they were processed. A total of 11 animals were used in this experiment, three of which were nonpregnant (NP) with ovarian follicles smaller than 7 mm; four were pregnant females with 34–37 days of gestation or 5 weeks of pregnancy (5WP) and the remaining four animals with 60–64 days or 9 weeks of gestation (9WP). The gestational age of the animals was calculated by measuring the crump-rump length or total foetal length of the foetuses according to Olivera et al. (2003) and Catone et al. (2006).

To obtain the UF, embryos and membranes were carefully removed and then a blunted needle attached to a syringe was inserted into the uterine horn through the uterine tubal junction. The distal end of the horn was clamped, and 5 ml of phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS), pH 7.4, was flushed separately into each horn (right and left) and then aspirated. Each flushing was centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ (10 min, 4°C) to pellet any cellular debris.

All samples were preserved and transported in dry ice, then stored at -80° C until further analysis.

Biochemical analyses

An automated analyser (912 Automatic Analyzer; Hitachi Boehering Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) was used to measure concentrations of the following parameters in uterine flushings: triglycerides, cholesterol, total bilirubin, glucose, creatinine, urea, total protein, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), γ glutamyl transferase (γ -GT), creatinine kinase (CK), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca). The enzymatic parameter values were normalized using total protein concentration (Juyena et al. 2013).

1D electrophoresis assays

The total protein concentration in UF was determined, and then samples were pooled in six groups according to the UH (right or left) and the gestational stage (NP, 5WP and 9WP). The same amount of total proteins was used to pool the samples. The pools were concentrated and separated into two fractions: >50 kDa and <50 kDa, using first 50 000 molecular weight cut-offs (MWCO) Amicon[®] Ultra-2 and then 3000 MWCO Amicon[®] Ultra-0.5 filters (Millipore, Milan, Italy).

One-dimensional (1D) PAGE of UF was performed under denaturing conditions with methods previously described by Laemmli (1970), using a Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell slab gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy). A 4% stacking gel was used in all runs; the concentrated samples (35 µg) were loaded onto 10% (>50 kDa fractions) and 20% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (<50 kDa fractions). Molecular mass markers covering the range of 10–245 kDa (prestained protein marker VI; Appli-Chem, Darmstadt, Germany) were applied to each gel. Gels were run at 150 V using a Bio-Rad power supply unit (PowerPactm Universal Power Supply; Bio-Rad Laboratories).

After the runs, gels were stained with Colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250 (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and destained with a solution of 10% methanol and 10% glacial acetic acid. Gel images were acquired using a Pentax Optio M90 camera (Pentax, Milan, Italy). The apparent molecular mass of the bands on SDS-PAGE was estimated by comparison of the mobility of proteins in the gel with that of the molecular mass markers using GELANALYZER version 2010a freeware software (Copyright 2010 by Istvan Lazar and Dr. Istvan Lazar, Hungary). The same software was used to determine the intensity of the detected bands on the digitalized gel images.

Protein analysis

Three different images from three different electrophoresis assays were analysed and compared. Statistical analysis was carried out using ANOVA, followed by Fisher's test using the INFOSTAT programme (Infostat Group, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina) with a significance level of p < 0.001. Bands with p < 0.001 in protein abundance between the LUH and RUH at different stages were digested with trypsin and analysed using matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) on an Ultraflex II TOF/TOF Bruker Daltonics mass spectrometer (CEQUIBIEN service, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina). Proteins were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting with MASCOT v. 2.2.03 (MatrixScience, London, UK). Fragmentation was carried out with more intense MS peaks (MS/MS). Where possible, MS and MS/MS information was combined for one or more peptide searches. De novo sequencing was inferred from BLAST results when peak fragmentation was allowed. The percentage of protein coverage was determined for each spot using the MASCOT search. When the same peaks were observed in different samples, they were considered as contamination and not included in the final analysis. In some cases, when the score obtained was not significant, the higher score, the number of matched peptides and the molecular weight of the predicted proteins were taken into account to assign the putative identity of the band.

Results

Biochemical parameters of UF during early pregnancy

Different substrates, electrolytes and metabolites were assayed in the fluid of the LUH and RUH in NP, 5WP and 9WP females (Tables 1 and 2).

The concentration of glucose was significantly different between the UF of non-pregnant and pregnant animals, even differences were found between the horns

	Substrates and metabolites								
Parameter	Glucose mg/dl	Cholesterol mg/dl	Triglycerides mg/dl	Total protein µg/l	Creatinine µg/µg Prot	Urea µg/µg Prot	Total bilirubin mg/dl		
Sample									
NPr	$0.67\pm0.58^{\rm ABC}$	0.0	1.67 ± 1.15	616.67 ± 678.85	2.75 ± 2.09	371.4 ± 150	0.0		
NPl	$0.33\pm0.58^{\rm AB}$	0.0	0.50 ± 0.65	433.33 ± 152.75	2.67 ± 1.61	388.9 ± 100	0.0		
5WPr	$0.50\pm0.09^{ m A}$	0.0	0.33 ± 0.58	439.33 ± 115.41	1.27 ± 0.50	216.2 ± 100	0.0		
5WPl	1.00 ± 0.00^{BC}	0.0	2.50 ± 2.38	853.61 ± 175.37	0.99 ± 0.14	309.9 ± 160	0.0		
9WPr	$1.50 \pm 0.71^{\circ}$	0.0	0.50 ± 0.71	600.00 ± 141.42	1.17 ± 0.04	242.9 ± 60	0.0		
9WPl	$3.00\pm1.41^{\rm D}$	0.0	1.50 ± 0.71	1125.00 ± 530.33	0.93 ± 0.00	533.3 ± 370	0.0		

Table 1. Substrates and metabolites assayed in the uterine horn fluid of alpaca

NPr, non-pregnant right uterine horn; NPi, non-pregnant left uterine horn; 5WPr, 5 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn; 5WPl, 5 weeks of pregnancy left uterine horn; 9WPr, 9 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn; 9WPl, 9 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn. Glucose p < 0.001, dissimilar letters indicate significant differences.

Table 2. Enzymes and electrolytes assayed in the uterine horn fluid of alpaca

		Enzymes							
Parameter	¥-GT μ/μg Prot	AST $\mu/\mu g$ Prot (E ⁻⁰³)	ALT µ/µg Prot (E ⁻⁰³)	ALP μ/μg Prot	CK µ/µg Prot	LDH μ/μg Prot	Mg mg/dl	Ca mg/dl	
Sample									
NPr	0.0123 ± 0.01^{B}	0.33 ± 0.06	0.1 ± 0.1	0.074 ± 0.060	0.253 ± 0.16	0.020 ± 0.020	0.15 ± 0.12	0.17 ± 0.06	
NPl	0.0125 ± 0.01^{B}	1.0 ± 1.70	0.1 ± 0.1	0.095 ± 0.080	0.182 ± 0.09	0.014 ± 0.020	0.16 ± 0.08	0.13 ± 0.15	
5WPr	0.0048 ± 0.002^{AB}	20.0 ± 3.00	0.3 ± 0.2	0.098 ± 0.100	0.072 ± 0.03	0.001 ± 0.001	0.14 ± 0.14	0.18 ± 0.17	
5WPl	$0.0020 \pm 0.002^{\rm A}$	15.0 ± 10.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.095 ± 0.080	0.127 ± 0.09	0.012 ± 0.020	0.09 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.24	
9WPr	$0.0028 \pm 0.007^{\rm A}$	4.5 ± 10.0	0.1 ± 01	0.028 ± 0.010	0.034 ± 0.04	0.000 ± 0.000	0.08 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.10	
9WPl	0.0029 ± 0.001^{AB}	20.0 ± 30.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.074 ± 0.001	0.034 ± 0.04	0.011 ± 0.010	0.10 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.14	

NPr, non-pregnant right uterine horn; NPl, non-pregnant left uterine horn; 5WPr, 5 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn; 5WPl, 5 weeks of pregnancy left uterine horn; 9WPr, 9 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn; 9WPl, 9 weeks of pregnancy right uterine horn. γ -GT p < 0.048, dissimilar letters indicate significant differences.

in the 5WPr and 9WPr fluids. Among the enzymes analysed, γ -GT decreased significantly in pregnant animals. The other parameters did not show significant differences.

Tables 1 and 2 show average values, SD and statistical significance of the parameters assayed under the different experimental conditions (NPr, NPl, 5WPr, 5WPl, 9WPr and 9WPl).

1D electrophoresis

The protein composition of UF of alpaca LUH and RUH was determined by SDS-PAGE (Figs 1–3). Differences in band patterns were observed among the physiological states assayed as well as between the right and left UH. In NP animals, a total of 21 protein bands were identified in the RUH and 25 protein bands in the LUH. Two protein bands, of 45.33 and 28.75 kDa, showed significant higher intensity (p < 0.001) in the LUH and in the RUH, respectively (Table 3).

Animals of 5WP showed 21 in the RUH and 23 proteins bands in LUH, respectively. Two protein bands (43.0 and 30.07 kDa) were found exclusively in LUH, and five of them displayed higher intensity levels (47.78, 25.57, 16.8, 13.00 and 12.61 kDa). In RUH 2, protein bands (89.56 and 73.56 kDa) were exclusive to this horn, and 1 band of 38.35 kDa was more intense (Table 4).

In 9WP animals, 23 protein bands were identified in both the RUH and the LUH. None of the protein bands identified showed statistical difference (p < 0.001) in intensity between both uterine horns (Table 5).

Protein identification

After 1D SDS-PAGE, protein bands were assayed with UV-MALDI-MS to characterize differentially expressed proteins between the LUH and RUH in pregnant and non-pregnant animals. A search of the 12 selected protein bands against the MASCOT database yielded eight positive identifications, five of them with statistically significant scores. As some of the matches were not significant, the identity was assigned based on the best scores and molecular weights (Table 6).

Discussion

In the current study, we described the UH environment during the pregnancy, noticing the particular characteristics of the RUH and LUH milieu. Among the biochemical parameters examined, glucose increased from non-pregnant to 9WP animals; furthermore, significant differences between the LUH and RUH of pregnant animals were observed, with highest values in the LUH, the gravid horn. Glucose is a major metabolic fuel source for conceptus (Peters et al. 1990) that



Fig. 1. 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from non-pregnant alpacas. (a) >50 kDa fraction, (b) <50 kDa fraction. The bands that showed statistically different (p < 0.001) were cut and analysed by MALDI-MS

Fig. 2. 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from 1-monthpregnant alpacas. (a) >50 kDa fraction, (b) <50 kDa fraction. The bands that showed statistically different (p < 0.001) were cut and analysed by MALDI-MS

increases in the uterine lumen during early pregnancy to support conceptus demands for energy (Lane and Gardner 2007). In ewes (Gao et al. 2009), glucose levels of uterine flushings were higher in pregnant than in cyclic females.

Other energy substrates assayed did not show any changes during the stages analysed (triglycerides), or were not detected in UF (cholesterol). The rabbit dam uses plasma lipids during the second half of pregnancy when energy requirements increase enormously. In contrast, tissue and plasma cholesterol exchange is generally reported to be very low (Ozegbe 2005), which could support our observations in the UF of alpaca.

The enzymes assayed (CK, LDH, AST, ALT and ALP) showed no variation between the two UH and between pregnant and non-pregnant animals, except for γ -GT, which decreased significantly in pregnant animals. As the uterus does not produce many of these

enzymes and because the UF composition is a result of active endometrial secretion and diffusion from the blood, these enzymes could have a plasmatic source as happened with the amniotic fluid (Ozegbe 2005).

In general, minerals serve as osmolytes, but they also participate in the transport of amino acids and glucose into cells, in enzyme-catalysed metabolic pathways, and cell signalling events during pregnancy. Therefore, they are crucial to blastocyst expansion and implantation (Gao et al. 2009). Calcium and magnesium were the only ions determined as PBS was used to flush the uterine horns. No statistically significant fluctuations were found between the horns or the stages studied. In ewes, the total amount of calcium was higher in uterine fluids of pregnant than cyclic animals (Gao et al. 2009). This difference with our findings may be due to differences between species and/or the technique used to obtain the uterine fluid.



Fig. 3. 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from 2-monthpregnant alpacas. (a) >50 kDa fraction, (b) <50 kDa fraction. The bands that showed statistically different (p < 0.001) were cut and analysed by MALDI-MS

Table 3. Identified protein bands of 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from non-pregnant alpacas

	Intensity	SD	Intensity	SD			
MW	Right uter	rine horn	Left uter	ine horn	significancy		
354.44	1578.00	635.60	912.00	701.70			
310.88	60.56	46.33	21.44	12.67			
254.44	2249.00	585.60	2236.00	716.00			
202.11	40.78	55.42	61.44	42.70			
122.44	202.2	84.81	254.40	172.10			
106.22	502.9	258.00	620.40	462.70			
80.11	99.33	40.84	78.00	46.45			
72.78	51.33	25.63	103.10	43.13			
69.57	-	-	25.00	20.54			
66.89	58.89	35.32	131.70	64.56			
64.67	173.00	102.50	596.90	418.30			
62.22	708.70	321.40	1050.00	663.80			
60.13	47.22	37.13	35.56	38.08			
58.89	11301	3392.00	12572.00	3858.00			
51.78	-	-	21.33	18.09			
49.56	51.11	35.46	207.80	173.10			
45.33	-	-	52.22	28.79	*		
42.56	65.78	41.51	33.22	23.99			
35.22	38.44	27.06	34.44	17.11			
28.75	1062.00	729.30	37.11	26.28	*		
24.11	-	-	70.22	66.31			
21.33	70.78	49.73	115.00	50.20			
16.67	90.44	54.35	126.00	44.00			
12.78	65.44	47.45	81.78	44.59			
12.33	87.00	38.43	133.90	122.10			

*Protein bands with statistically significant differences, p < 0.001.

The endometrium changes from a proliferative architecture to a secretory one, with maximal secretion during the period of embryonic receptivity. These structural and functional changes are produced by progesterone, which regulates expression of numerous proteins. Examination of the human endometrial secretome has demonstrated differences in the protein content of mid-proliferative UF compared with mid-secretory UF (Hannan et al. 2010). Moreover, Roy et al. (2006) found that buffalo UF contained uterus-specific and luteal phase-specific proteins, which varied during the stages of the oestrous cycle.

Preparation of the uterine luminal epithelium for attachment of trophectoderm and their implantation in all mammals that have been studied, including ruminants, involves carefully orchestrated spatio-temporal alterations in the gene expression within the endometrium. Actually, changes in mRNA endometrium expression profiles in cows during the oestrous cycle as well as in pregnant and non-pregnant sows at the time of initial placentation have been determined by several authors (Bauersachs et al. 2005; Østrup et al. 2010; Argañaraz et al. 2013). In our study, total proteins in female camelids between 5- and 9-week pregnant showed to be slightly higher in the LUH compared with the RUH. Coincidently, Iritani et al. (1974) observed that the protein content of uterine flushing of many mammalian species increases significantly under progesterone dominance. These data would indicate that there are proteins that are differentially produced according to the needs of the foetuses, moreover the endometrium transcriptome response specifically to the sex of the embryos (Gómez et al. 2013). Even more, studies on bovine endometrium indicate that there could be differences in gene expression between the contra- and ipsilateral UH (Bauersachs et al. 2005). In camelids, embryo transfer experiments have shown that the embryos deposited in the RUH migrated to the LUH. According to these data, Tibary and Anouassi (1997) suggested the presence of luteolytic factors and/or synthesis and secretion of specific proteins that allow receptivity in the RUH and/or migration factors in the LUH of camelids.

We have detected differences between RUH and LUH of non-pregnant and pregnant alpacas. From the four proteins that displayed higher expression in the RUH, we identified a 38.35 kDa protein band differentially expressed in 5WP RUH coincides with the **Aldolase reductase isoform X2.** The bovine embryo growth and development is accompanied by changes in energy utilization and protein synthesis, and a number of

Table 4. Identified protein bands of 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from 1-month-pregnant alpacas

	Intensity	SD	Intensity	SD	Positive	
MW	Right uter	rine horn	Left uter	ine horn	statistic significancy	
364.06	279.00	218.30	93.33	77.73		
323.09	20.22	27.77	32.56	14.59		
261.67	1969.00	339.00	2964.00	747.00		
218.67	87.78	65.35	307.10	164.20		
176.67	23.56	10.41	97.22	81.34		
124.94	109.70	78.64	171.80	100.60		
109.50	208.00	110.90	252.30	93.19		
89.56	126.80	59.06	-	-	*	
80.58	54.50	27.65	129.10	153.50		
73.56	86.33	57.80	-	-	*	
64.83	210.70	119.40	272.70	125.70		
62.33	706.60	279.10	1467.00	538.30		
60.44	94.44	82.92	38.22	54.32		
58.78	12770.00	4818.00	14502.00	4723.00		
50.61	44.44	21.70	48.89	67.20		
47.78	49.11	25.8	326.60	131.80	*	
43.00	-	-	2447.00	902.30	*	
38.35	83.67	34.75	8.88	6.79	*	
34.83	52.00	19.02	33.00	20.86		
30.00	-	-	226.80	122.20	*	
25.57	113.60	51.07	392.40	215.40	*	
22.13	-	-	80.13	55.24		
16.78	-	-	126.00	52.44	*	
13.00	87.33	84.03	1024.00	318.40	*	
12.61	185.90	112.70	602.7	374.6	*	

*Protein bands with statistically significant differences, p < 0.001.

Table 5. Identified protein bands of 1D SDS-PAGE of the uterine fluid from 2-month-pregnant alpacas

Intensity SD Right uterine horn		Intensity	SD	
		Left uter	ine horn	Positive statistic significancy
466.56	186.43	236.22	186.51	
6.77	3.56	6.66	4.89	
2624.60	768.67	2338.70	678.50	
156.78	62.70	171.00	95.56	
38.71	13.37	19.11	15.30	
38.88	17.56	43.00	46.24	
94.11	76.46	92.44	90.72	
317.78	138.28	279.22	140.89	
-	-	9.33	8.97	
69.00	39.10	46.22	45.13	
111.22	43.31	92.56	50.68	
408.67	138.52	415.22	73.79	
242.56	90.38	266.78	42.16	
35.66	42.38	-	-	
16408.00	4352.00	14581.00	3439.70	
29.77	24.40	-	-	
188.56	140.44	216.78	171.05	
-	-	14.25	8.98	
42.77	27.95	57.22	44.08	
126.89	56.75	197.00	82.31	
97.22	63.24	43.00	18.89	
57.77	34.734	102.00	59.18	
55.33	20.45	132.25	65.09	
496.89	372.91	677.00	568.41	
530.89	390.75	790.00	625.14	

enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism were identified as predominant in UF (Khurana and Niemann 2000). Aldose reductase converts glucose to sorbitol and markedly increases in pregnancy (Ledgard et al. 2012). This enzyme has a role in progesterone metabolism, PGF2 α synthesis and apoptotic pathways all of which may influence embryo development and survival (Madore et al. 2003). However, the presence of glycolytic enzymes in UF should be treated with caution as metabolic proteins may be a reflection of proliferative changes in the uterine endometrium.

In the LUH of 5WP animals, eight proteins that seem to be positively induced were found. Four of them have positively matched with the following proteins.

Immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable region

Of the three immunoglobulin G (IgG) isotypes described in camelids, IgG2 and IgG3, unlike their conventional counterpart IgG1, do not associate with light chains and are called heavy-chain antibodies, because are only constituted by the heavy chains. Two IgG2 subisotypes of 43 and 40.9 kDa have been detected in alpaca (Daley et al. 2005). Jones et al. (1988) found increased levels of IgG in the uterine flushing of 6-day-pregnant rabbits. These data support the findings of two bands of different, but quite similar, molecular weight during pregnancy in SACs.

Retinol-binding protein (RBP) 4 precursor

Retinol is required for normal foetal development and successful gestation, circulates in blood bound to a specific protein, the RBP, which together with its nuclear receptors is expressed in the reproductive tissues of different species, and their expression is hormonally regulated. In the uterus, the expression of the mature RBP (20 kDa) is influenced by progesterone in a timeand concentration-dependent manner (Mullen et al. 2012). At the second week of pregnancy and coincident with elongation of conceptuses, retinol and retinolbinding protein (RBP) increased in the porcine UF, and RBP mRNA increases in the endometrium (Groothuis et al. 2002).

Haemoglobin subunit beta

It was found higher in UF compared to plasma from beef heifers on diestrous (day 7 of the oestrous cycle) (Faulkner et al. 2012). It was also described in the capsule and yolk sac of the equine conceptus and in equine UF (Quinn et al. 2004). The ubiquity of haemoglobin suggests that it is a non-specific contaminant.

Although the MASCOT score was not significant for some of the protein bands isolated, the coincidences between the molecular weights and their possible role in the reproductive processes may become the results compelling and interesting for further research. The lack of higher scores could be due to the species-specific protein sequence, or the fact that only few proteins and/or genes of camelids have been identified and sequenced to date. Nevertheless, it should be acknowledged that, although the origin of these proteins has not yet been elucidated, some of them might be produced by the endometrium, whereas others might originate in serum.

Table 6. Identity of differentially expressed	proteins bands in the right uterine horn and left uterine horn of alpa	aca fluid
---	--	-----------

		No. of		MW (kDa)		MALDI-TOF		Band Ban		
Samples	Protein identity	Protein ID	peptides	Score	D	Е	coverage (%)	Species	RUH	LUH
5WP	Immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable region (partial)	gi 169238707	3	98	10.51	47.77	50	Vicugna pacos	49.11	326.56
	Immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable region (partial)	gi 169238707	3	108	10.51	43.00	50	V. pacos	0.00	2447.22
	Aldose reductase isoform X2	gi 560989366	5	104	35.30	38.35	18	V. pacos	83.67	8.88
	Retinol-binding protein 4 precursor	gi 528762361	5	105	26.27	16.78	34	Camelus ferus	0.00	126.00
	Haemoglobin subunit beta	gi 560962104	6	102	16.24	13.00	40	V. pacos	87.00	1024.00

5WP, 5 weeks of pregnancy; RUH, right uterine horn; LUH, left uterine horn; D, deduced molecular weight; E, experimental molecular weight. Protein scores >73 are significant (p < 0.05). The band intensity shown is significantly different, p < 0.001.

Conclusions

The endometrium undergoes secretory changes in preparation for embryo implantation and development, but the identity of most of the endometrial secretory products remains unknown in most mammals, particularly SACs. This is the first report on differences in the biochemical composition and the protein profile of alpaca UF during the different gestational stages and between the left and right uterine horn. We observed specific variations in the protein pattern between the right and the left UH, differences that would be due to the different capacity of the UH to maintain pregnancy. The proteins identified have been reported to play a role in signal translation, immunology, glycolysis, angiogenesis and protection against oxidative stress. These results will form the basis for future, more thorough studies to unravel the embryo-maternal crosstalk in the SACs during early pregnancy.

References

- Argañaraz ME, Apichela SA, Kenngott R, Vermeheren M, Rodler D, Palma GA, Miceli DC, Sinowatz F, 2013: Expression and localization of nodal in bovine oviduct and uterus during different functional stages of oestrus cycle and pregnancy. Histochem Cell Biol 139, 89– 97.
- Bauersachs S, Ulbrich SE, Gross K, Schmidt SE, Meyer HH, Einspanier R, Wenigerkind H, Vermehren M, Blum H, Sinowatz F, Wolf E, 2005: Gene expression profiling of bovine endometrium during the oestrous cycle: detection of molecular pathways involved in functional changes. J Mol Endocrinol 34, 889–908.
- Catone G, Basile M, Barbato O, Ayala C, 2006: Transvaginal embryo biometry in alpaca (*Lama pacos*): preliminary report. S Am Camelid Res 1, 99–105.
- Daley LP, Gagliardo LF, Duffy MS, Smith MC, Appleton JA, 2005: Application of monoclonal antibodies in functional and comparative investigations of heavy-chain immunoglobulins in new world camelids. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 12, 380–386.
- Del Campo MR, Del Campo CH, Ginther OJ, 1996: Vascular provisions for a local utero-ovarian cross-over pathway in new world camelids. Theriogenology **15**, 983–991.

revising paper. Diskin MG, Morris DG, 2008: Embryonic

- and early foetal losses in cattle and other ruminants. Reprod Domest Anim 43, 260–267.
- Faulkner S, Elia G, Mullen MP, O'Boyle P, Michael J, Dunn MJ, Morris D, 2012: A comparison of the bovine uterine and plasma proteome using iTRAQ proteomics. Proteomics 12, 2014–2023.
- Fernandez-Baca S, Madden DH, Novoa C, 1970: Effect of different mating stimuli on induction of ovulation in the alpaca. J Reprod Fertil 22, 261–267.
- Fernandez-Baca S, Hansel W, Saatman R, Sumar J, Novoa C, 1979: Differential luteolytic effects of right and left uterine horns in the alpaca. Biol Reprod **20**, 586–595.
- Gao H, Wu G, Spencer TE, Johnson GA, Li X, Bazer FW, 2009: Select nutrients in the ovine uterine lumen. I. Amino acids, glucose, and ions in uterine lumenal flushings of cyclic and pregnant ewes. Biol Reprod 80, 86–93.
- Gómez E, Caamaño JN, Corrales FJ, Díez C, Correia-Álvarez E, Martín D, Trigal B, Carrocera S, Mora MI, Pello-Palma J, Moreno JF, Muñoz M, 2013: Embryonic sex induces differential expression of proteins in bovine uterine fluid. J Proteome Res 12, 1199–1210.
- Groothuis PG, McGuire WJ, Vallett JL, Grieger DM, Davis DL, 2002: Retinol and estradiol regulation of retinol binding

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by EADIC, BID-PICT 2010-0332 and BID-PICT 2012-2701 (ANPCyT). The authors would like to thank C. Pacheco at DESCO and Dr J. Ruiz at Huancavelica University for their collaboration in the biological sampling.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

M Argañaraz contributed with experimental design, experimental assays, data analysis and drafting the article. S Apichela contributed with experimental design, analysis and interpretation of data and revising paper. R Zampini contributed with statistical analysis, interpretation of data and revising paper. J Vencatto contributed with experimental design and interpretation of results. C Stelletta contributed with experimental design, analysis and interpretation of data and revising paper.

protein and prostaglandin production by porcine uterine epithelial cells in vitro. J Anim Sci **80**, 2688–2694.

- Hannan NJ, Stephens AN, Rainczuk A, Hincks C, Rombauts LJ, Salamonsen LA, 2010: 2D-DiGE analysis of the human endometrial secretome reveals differences between receptive and nonreceptive states in fertile and infertile women. J Proteome Res **9**, 6256–6264.
- Iritani A, Sato E, Nishikawa Y, 1974: Secretion rates and chemical composition of oviduct and uterine fluids in sows. J Anim Sci 39, 582–588.
- Jones MA, Kolb WP, Harper MJ, 1988: The presence of the fifth component of complement (C5) in rabbit uterine flushings in relation to reproductive state. Immunol Invest **17**, 63–75.
- Juyena NS, Vencato J, Pasini G, Vazzana I, Stelletta C, 2013: Alpaca semen quality in relation to different diets. Reprod Fertil Dev 25, 683–690.
- Khurana NK, Niemann H, 2000: Energy metabolism in preimplantation bovine embryos derived in vitro or in vivo. Biol Reprod **62**, 847–856.
- Laemmli UK, 1970: Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 15, 680–685.
- Lane M, Gardner DK, 2007: Embryo culture medium: which is the best? Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 21, 83–100.

- Ledgard AM, Berg MC, McMillan WH, Smolenski G, Peterson AJ, 2012: Effect of asynchronous transfer on bovine embryonic development and relationship with early cycle uterine proteome profiles. Reprod Fertil Dev 24, 962–972.
- Madore E, Harvey N, Parent J, Chapdelaine P, 2003: An aldose reductase with 20 alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity is most likely the enzyme responsible for the production of prostaglandin f2 alpha in the bovine endometrium. J Biol Chem **278**, 11205–11212.
- Mullen MP, Forde N, Parr MH, Diskin MG, Morris DG, Nally JE, Evans AC, Crowe MA, 2012: Alterations in systemic concentrations of progesterone during the early luteal phase affect RBP4 expression in the bovine uterus. Reprod Fertil Dev 24, 715–722.
- Olivera LV, Zago DA, Jones CJ, Bevilacqua E, 2003: Developmental changes at the materno-embryonic interface in early pregnancy of the alpaca, *Lama pacos*. Anat Embryol **207**, 317–331.
- Østrup E, Bauersachs S, Blum H, Wolf E, Hyttel P, 2010: Differential endometrial gene expression in pregnant and nonpregnant sows. Biol Reprod **83**, 277–285.
- Ozegbe PC, 2005: Comparative biochemical assessment of the amniotic fluid and maternal plasma of pregnant rabbits. Vet Arh **75**, 431–437.
- Peters RM, Johnson BH, Reed ML, Archibong AE, 1990: Glucose, glutamine and

inorganic phosphate in early development of the pig embryo in vitro. J Reprod Fertil **89**, 269–275.

- Quinn BA, Hayes MA, Waelchli RO, Betteridge KJ, 2004: Major proteins in the embryonic capsule, and in yolk-sac and uterine fluids, during the second to fourth weeks of pregnancy in the mare. Proceedings of a workshop on maternal recognition of pregnancy in the mare iii. Havemeyer Found Monogr Ser 16, 46–47.
- Ratto M, Cervantes M, Norambuena C, Silva M, Miragaya M, Huanca W, 2011: Effect of location and stage of development of dominant follicle on ovulation and embryo survival rate in alpacas. Anim Reprod Sci 127, 100–105.
- Roberts RM, 1989: Conceptus interferons and maternal recognition of pregnancy. Biol Reprod **40**, 449–452.
- Roy SC, Suganthi RU, Ghosh J, 2006: Changes in uterine protein secretion during luteal and follicular phases and detection of phosphatases during luteal phase of estrous cycle in buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). Theriogenology 65, 1292–1301.
- Sumar J, Adams GP, 2006: Reproductive anatomy and life cycle of the male and female llama and alpaca. In: Youngquist RS, Threlfall WR (eds), Current Therapy in Large Animal Theriogenology. W B Saunders, Philadelphia, PA, USA, pp. 855–865.
- Sumar J, Fredriksson G, Alarcón V, Kindahl H, Edqvist LE, 1988: Levels of

15-keto-13, 14-dihydro-PFG2 alpha, progesterone and oestradiol-17 beta after induced ovulations in llamas and alpacas. Acta Vet Scand **29**, 339–346.

- Tibary A, Anouassi A, 1997: Theriogenology in Camelidae. Anatomy, Physiology, Pathology and Artificial Breeding. Abu Dhabi Publishing Company, Abu Dhabi.
- Trasorras V, Chaves MG, Neild D, Gambarotta M, Aba M, Agüero A, 2010: Embryo transfer technique: factors affecting the viability of the corpus luteum in llamas. Anim Reprod Sci 121, 279–285.
- Vaughan J, Tibary A, 2006: Reproductive physiology and infertility in male South American camelids: a review and clinical observations. Small Rumin Res 61, 283– 298.
- Vaughan J, Mihm M, Wittek T, 2013: Factors influencing embryo transfer success in alpacas: a retrospective study. Anim Reprod Sci 136, 194–204.

Submitted: 20 Jan 2014; Accepted: 2 Nov 2014

Author's address (for correspondence): ME Argañaraz, Laboratorio Investigaciones en Reproducción Animal (LIRA), Instituto Superior de Investigaciones Biológicas (IN-SIBIO), CONICET, Chacabuco 461, San Miguel de Tucuman T4000ILI, Argentina. E-mail: mearganaraz@fbqf.unt.edu.ar