

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ ISSN 2307-8235 (online) IUCN 2020: T63102A124458655 Scope(s): Global Language: English

Bathyraja albomaculata, Whitedotted Skate

Assessment by: Pollom, R. et al.



View on www.iucnredlist.org

Short citation: Pollom, R. *et al.* 2020. *Bathyraja albomaculata*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2020: e.T63102A124458655. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-</u> <u>3.RLTS.T63102A124458655.en</u> [see full citation at end]

Copyright: © 2020 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale, reposting or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from the copyright holder. For further details see <u>Terms of Use</u>.

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN</u> <u>Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>. The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State</u> <u>University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.

If you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with <u>feedback</u> so that we can correct or extend the information provided.

Taxonomy

Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family
Animalia	Chordata	Chondrichthyes	Rajiformes	Arhynchobatidae

Scientific Name: Bathyraja albomaculata (Norman, 1937)

Synonym(s):

- Raja albomaculata Norman, 1937
- Rhinoraja albomaculata (Norman, 1937)

Common Name(s):

- English: Whitedotted Skate
- Spanish; Castilian: Raya de Manchas Blancas, Rayas de Lunares

Taxonomic Source(s):

Fricke, R., W.N. Eschmeyer and R. Van der Laan (eds.). 2020. Eschmeyer's catalog of fishes: Genera,
species,species,references.Availableat:
http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp. (Accessed: March
2020).

Taxonomic Notes:

Compagno (1999, 2005) reallocated this species from *Bathyraja* to the genus *Rhinoraja* but the validity of this move remains unconfirmed. Both are currently in use, but Rhinoraja is now accepted as *Bathyraja* (Last et al. 2016, Ebert 2016).

Assessment Information

Red List Category & Criteria:	Vulnerable A2bd <u>ver 3.1</u>		
Year Published:	2020		
Date Assessed:	February 7, 2019		

Justification:

The Whitedotted Skate (*Bathryraja albomaculata*) is a large (to 150 cm total length) skate that occurs in the Southeast Pacific and Southwest Atlantic Oceans from Coquimbo, Chile south around Cape Horn and north to Uruguay, including the Falkland Islands (Malvinas) and the Burdwood Bank, and is demersal on the inshore continental shelf and upper slope at depths of 55–945 m. It is captured in industrial longline and trawl fisheries, which operate throughout its range. Although discarded dead in some parts of its range, it is utilized for human consumption in others. In the Southeast Pacific, skates targeted in fisheries (*Dipturus* spp.) have undergone severe population reductions where this species is captured as unmanaged bycatch. In the Southwest Atlantic, there are some general management measures in place for skates but they are not species-specific. This skate is now absent from approximately one-third of its distribution in Argentina due to heavy fishing pressure on skates. Overall, due to the level of exposure to inadequately managed fisheries, noted population reductions in other skates, its lack of refuge at depth, a suspected decline in area of occupancy, and a combination of declines in some areas and stability in

others, it is suspected that the Whitedotted Skate has undergone a population reduction of 30–49% over the past three generations (40.5 years). Therefore, the Whitedotted Skate is assessed as Vulnerable A2bd.

Previously Published Red List Assessments

2018 – Vulnerable (VU) https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T63102A136602064.en

2007 – Vulnerable (VU) https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2007.RLTS.T63102A12604017.en

Geographic Range

Range Description:

The Whitedotted Skate occurs in the Southeast Pacific and Southwest Atlantic Oceans from Coquimbo, Chile south around Cape Horn (Bustamante *et al.* 2014) and North to Uruguay (Ruocco *et al.* 2006), the Falkland Islands (Malvinas) and Burdwood Bank (Menni and Stehmann 2000).

Country Occurrence:

Native, Extant (resident): Argentina; Chile; Falkland Islands (Malvinas); Uruguay

FAO Marine Fishing Areas: Native: Atlantic - southwest

Native: Pacific - southeast

Distribution Map



Legend EXTANT (RESIDENT)

Compiled by: IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group 2018





The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this may do not imply any official endorsement, acceptance or opinion by IUCN.

Population

There are no estimates of population size for this skate. There have been heavy target and bycatch fisheries for skates in Chilean and Argentinian waters, and steep declines are suspected. However, this species seems to be abundant and stable elsewhere in the Southwest Atlantic, including the Falkland Islands (Malvinas).

In the Southeast Pacific, the targeted Yellownose Skate (*Dipturus chilensis*) was estimated to have undergone a population reduction of 50–79% over three generation lengths (52.5 years for that species) due to overfishing, and this species is captured as bycatch with Yellownose Skate in that fishery.

In the Southwest Atlantic, the Whitedotted Skate is one of the most commonly captured skates caught in the target skate fishery north of the Falkland Islands (Malvinas); standardized catch-per-unit-effort there increased from 1993 to a peak in 2006–2007 and declined thereafter until 2017 (Winter 2018). In Argentina, this species was most abundant on the outer continental shelf and slope in two areas, in the northern and central area, from Rio del Plata to Comodora Rivadavia (between 36.3 and 45 degrees S) and west of the Falkland Islands (Malvinas) (Ruocco *et al.* 2006). In contrast, it was generally absent between in the central south area (48 to 52 degrees S), a region where it was reported as formerly abundant (Ruocco *et al.* 2006). Species-specific catch time-series for Argentinian skate fisheries are unavailable, but overall skate landings were <1,000 t prior to 1994, rising to >15,000 t in 2001, reaching a peak of 28,038 t in 2007 and dropping to 17,793 t in 2017 (G. Chiaramonte, unpubl. data 2019). In the Argentina-Uruguay Common Fishing Zone (AUCFZ), species-specific data re not available but landings of 'offshore skates' have only been recorded since 2014 and have fluctuated between 2,000 and 4,500 t (CTMFM 2018).

Overall, due to the level of inadequately managed fisheries that it is exposed to, noted population reductions in other skates, and a combination of declines in some areas and stability in others, it is suspected that the Whitedotted Skate has undergone a population reduction of 30–49% over the past three generations (40.5 years).

Current Population Trend: Decreasing

Habitat and Ecology (see Appendix for additional information)

The Whitedotted Skate is demersal on the continental shelf and upper slope at depths of 55–945 m (Last *et al.* 2016). It reaches a maximum size of 150 cm total length (TL) in Chile (C. Bustamante unpubl. data 2019) and 99 cm TL in the Falkland Islands (Malvinas) (Agnew *et al.* 2000). In Argentina, females mature at 65.3 cm TL and males at 62.8 cm TL and (Ruocco *et al.* 2006). In the Falkland Islands (Malvinas), females mature at 57.2 cm TL and males at 59.4 cm TL (Henderson *et al.* 2004). As in other skates, reproduction is oviparous (Last *et al.* 2016); ovarian fecundity ranges from 4 to 32 with a mean of 14. An annual reproductive cycle is suspected and size at hatch is 10.5 cm disc width (Henderson *et al.* 2004). Female age-at-maturity is 10 years and longevity is 17 years (Henderson *et al.* 2004), therefore generation length is estimated to be about 13.5 years.

Systems: Marine

Use and Trade

In Chile, this skate is discarded dead and is not utilized. Korean buyers prefer long-nosed dark-bellied skates (*Dipturus* spp.) rather than the white-bellied (*Bathyraja* spp.) skates. Skates larger than 30 cm disc width are generally retained in the Southwest Atlantic (Laptikhovsky 2004).

Threats (see Appendix for additional information)

This skate is captured as bycatch of longline and trawl fisheries that operate throughout its geographic and depth range. In the Southeast Pacific, it is captured by four main fisheries operating throughout its depth distribution: the bottom longline fishery targeting Patagonian Toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) fishing from 800–1,600m (Reyes and Torres-Florez 2009), the Yellownose Skate longline fishery, which operates from 150–300 m (Lamilla *et al.* 2009), the deepwater crustacean fishery operating from 250–500 m (Acuña *et al.* 2019), and the trawl and longline fishery for Chilean Hake (*Merluccius australis*) operating at depths of 50–300 m (Mateo *et al.* 2019). In Chile, it was captured between 379–461 m and is the third most abundant skate in the Yellownose Skate fishery discards (Lamilla *et al.* 2009).

In the Southwest Atlantic, in the shelf waters of Argentina, fishing pressure on all skate species is rising due to increasing demand on the international market. Skates are exploited over much of the Argentinean continental shelf (e.g. García de la Rosa *et al.* 2000). Skates, principally Warrah Skate (*Dipturus lamillai*, formerly *Z. chilensis*), were directly targeted by a licensed Korean longliner on the continental shelf off Mar del Plata, Argentina (Colonello *et al.* 2002) and were also taken in coastal fisheries (Massa *et al.* 2004). It is caught in trawl fisheries targeting Argentine Hake (*Merluccius hubbsi*) at around 100–200 m off Uruguay and Argentina. Furthermore, it was captured in 50% of trawl hauls for Patagonian Scallop (*Zygochlamys patagonica*) in one study (Schejter *et al.* 2012). That fishery operates to about 500 m depth.

This skate has been shown to decline in relative abundance compared to other smaller skates in heavily fished areas, indicating that its life history is not productive enough to withstand current levels of fishing (Ruocco *et al.* 2012).

Overall, although not targeted, the Whitedotted Skate is exposed to intense, inadequately managed, and in some areas increasing fishing pressure throughout its geographic range, and there is no refuge at depth. Its large size and low productivity indicate that it is not likely to be able to withstand fishing pressure.

Conservation Actions (see Appendix for additional information)

There are no species-specific protections or management measures in place for this skate. In Chile, the target skate fishery is regulated through reference points and an annual total allowable catch for the target Yellownose Skate (70 t in 2018), with no further species-specific measures in place (Mateo *et al.* 2019). Regulations and management tools need to be species-specific due to differing life histories and abundance patterns between the target Yellownose Skate and other species caught as bycatch such as this.

In Argentina, the assessment of direct and indirect catches is a priority. In the AUCFZ, it is managed with

the group 'offshore skates' through a total allowable catch (CTMFM 2018). In the Falklands (Malvinas), the multi-species skate fishery is managed by limiting effort. There is a multispecies stock assessment, but this may not translate into sustainable effort for individual species (Winter *et al.* 2015). Species-specific management and monitoring is needed in all fisheries operating within its range. Further research is needed on life history, population size and trend, and threats.

Credits

Assessor(s):	Pollom, R., Dulvy, N.K., Acuña, E., Bustamante, C., Cevallos, A., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Navia, A.F., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Velez-Zuazo, X.
Reviewer(s):	Finucci, B. & Simpfendorfer, C.
Contributor(s):	McCormack, C., Lamilla, J. & Stehmann, M.F.W.
Facilitator(s) and Compiler(s):	Kyne, P.M., Pollom, R. & Dulvy, N.K.
Authority/Authorities:	IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group (sharks and rays)

Bibliography

Acuña, E., Alarcón, R., Cortés, A., Arancibia, H., Haye, P., Segovia, N. and Petitgas, P. 2019. Evaluación directa de camarón nailon entre la II y VIII Regiones, año 2018. Informe Final, 320 pp.

Agnew, D.J., Nolan, C.P., Beddington, J.R. and Baranowski, R. 2000. Approaches to the assessment and management of multispecies skate and ray fisheries using the Falkland Islands fishery as an example. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 57: 429-440.

Bustamante, C., Vargas-Caro, C. and Bennett, M.B. 2014. Not all fish are equal: functional biodiversity of cartilaginous fishes (Elasmobranchii and Holocephali) in Chile. *Journal of Fish Biology* 85(5): 1617–1633.

Colonello, J.H., Massa, A.M. and Lucifora, L.O. 2002. Composición de la captura de rayas del buque palangrero "Sureste 501" en el norte del Mar Argentino. Inf. Téc. DNI N° 107.

Comisión Técnica Mixta del Frente Maritimo (CTMFM). 2018. Plan de acción regional para la conservación y pesca sustentable de los condrictios en el área del Tratado del Río de la Plata y su Frente Marítimo. CTMFM Publicaciones Ocasionales. Montevideo, Uruguay. 144 pp.

García de la Rosa, S.B., Sánchez, F. and Prenski, B.L. 1999. Rayas, pesca de altura. In: J. Cajal and L.B. Prensky (eds). *Diagnóstico de los recursos pesqueros*. INIDEP.

Henderson, A.C., Arkhipkin, A.I. and Chtcherbich, J.N. 2005. Distribution, growth and reproduction of the white-spotted skate *Bathyraja albomaculata* (Norman, 1937) around the Falkland Islands. *Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science* 35: 79–87.

IUCN. 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-3. Available at: <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>. (Accessed: 10 December 2020).

Lamilla, J., Bustamante, C., Flores, H. and Roa, R. 2009. Estimación del descarte en la pesquería artesanal de Raya volantín *Dipturus (Zearaja) chilensis* (Guichenot, 1848) en el litoral de la Región de Los Ríos. Informe Técnico (P. Inv.).

Laptikhovsky, V.V. 2004. Survival rates for rays discarded by the bottom squid trawl fishery of the Falkland Islands. *Fishery Bulletin* 102: 757-759.

Last, P., White, W., de Carvalho, M., Séret, B., Stehmann, M. and Naylor, G. 2016. *Rays of the World*. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton.

Massa, A.M., Hozbor, N.M. and Colonello, J.H. 2004. Situación actual y avances en el estudio de los peces cartilaginosus. Informes Técnicos Internos DNI-INIDEP N°57/04.

Mateo, I., Polonio, V. and Saa, E. 2019. Marine Stewardship Council Full Assessment Public Comments Draft Report For The Chile Austral hake (*Merluccius australis*) industrial trawl and longline, Facilitated by Federación de Industrias Pesqueras Del Sur Austral. SAI Global, Dundalk, Ireland.

Menni, R.C. and Stehmann, M.F.W. 2000. Distribution, environment and biology of batoid fishes off Argentina, Uruguay and Brazil, a review. *Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales (Nueva Serie)* 2(1): 69-109.

Reyes, P. R., Torres-Florez, J. P. 2009. Diversidad, distribución, riqueza y abundancia de condrictios de aguas profundas a través del archipiélago patagónico austral, Cabo de Hornos, Islas Diego Ramírez y el sector norte del paso Drake. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía* 44: 243-251.

Ruocco, N.L., Lucifora, L.O., de Astarloa, J.M.D., Menni, R.C., Mabragaña, E. and Giberto, D.A. 2012. From coexistence to competitive exclusion: can overfishing change the outcome of competition in skates

(Chondrichthyes, Rajidae)? Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research 40(1): 102–112.

Ruocco, N.L., Lucifora, L.O.I., Diaz de Astarloa, J.M. and Wohler, O. 2006. Reproductive biology and abundance of the white-dotted skate, *Bathyraja albomaculata*, in the Southwest Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 63(1): 105-116.

Schejter, L., Escolar, M., Remaggi, C., Álvarez-Colombo, G., Ibañez, P. and Bremec, C.S. 2012. By-catch composition of the Patagonian scallop fishery: the fishes. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 40(4): 1094–1099.

Winter, A. 2018. Stock assessment Skates (Rajidae). Natural Resources Fisheries, Falkland Islands Government.

Winter, A., Pompert, J., Arkhipkin, A. and Brewin, P.E. 2015. Interannual variability in the skate assemblage on the South Patagonian shelf and slope. *Journal of Fish Biology* 87(6): 1449–1468.

Citation

Pollom, R., Dulvy, N.K., Acuña, E., Bustamante, C., Cevallos, A., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Navia, A.F., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Velez-Zuazo, X. 2020. *Bathyraja albomaculata. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2020: e.T63102A124458655. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-3.RLTS.T63102A124458655.en</u>

Disclaimer

To make use of this information, please check the <u>Terms of Use</u>.

External Resources

For <u>Supplementary Material</u>, and for <u>Images and External Links to Additional Information</u>, please see the Red List website.

Appendix

Habitats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Habitat	Season	Suitability	Major Importance?
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.3. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.4. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.5. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.6. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Muddy	Resident	Suitable	Yes
11. Marine Deep Benthic -> 11.1. Marine Deep Benthic - Continental Slope/Bathyl Zone (200-4,000m)	-	-	-

Use and Trade

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

End Use	Local	National	International
Food - human	No	Yes	Yes

Threats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Threat	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact Score
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.2. Intentional use: (large scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stresses -> 2.1. Species mortality		rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.3. Unintentional effects: (subsistence/small scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stresses -> 2.1. Species mortality		rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.4. Unintentional effects: (large scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	ses -> 2.1. Species mo	rtality

Conservation Actions in Place

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Conservation Action in Place	
In-place research and monitoring	
Action Recovery Plan: No	
Systematic monitoring scheme: No	
In-place land/water protection	
Conservation sites identified: No	
Area based regional management plan: No	
Occurs in at least one protected area: Unknown	
Invasive species control or prevention: Not Applicable	
In-place species management	
Harvest management plan: Yes	
Successfully reintroduced or introduced benignly: No	
Subject to ex-situ conservation: No	
In-place education	
Subject to recent education and awareness programmes: No	
Included in international legislation: No	
Subject to any international management / trade controls: No	

Conservation Actions Needed

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Conservation Action Needed

3. Species management -> 3.1. Species management -> 3.1.1. Harvest management

Research Needed

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Research Needed
1. Research -> 1.2. Population size, distribution & trends
1. Research -> 1.3. Life history & ecology
1. Research -> 1.4. Harvest, use & livelihoods
1. Research -> 1.5. Threats
2. Conservation Planning -> 2.3. Harvest & Trade Management Plan
3. Monitoring -> 3.1. Population trends

```
Research Needed
```

3. Monitoring -> 3.2. Harvest level trends

3. Monitoring -> 3.3. Trade trends

Additional Data Fields

Distribution

Lower depth limit (m): 945

Upper depth limit (m): 55

Habitats and Ecology

Generation Length (years): 13.5

The IUCN Red List Partnership



The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species</u> <u>Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>.

The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens</u> <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.