EFFICACY OF CHESTNUT AND QUEBRACHO WOOD EXTRACTS TO CONTROL SALMONELLA IN POULTRY
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ABSTRACT

Aims
The study was aimed to evaluate the antibacterial activity and efficacy of chestnut and quebracho wood extracts against Salmonella by in vitro assays and in vivo trials.

Methods and results
The extracts showed inhibitory activity against Salmonella determined by the minimum inhibitory concentration method as well as on the adhesion and invasion of S. Gallinarum (SG) and S. Enteritidis (SE) in Caco-2 cells. Also, transmission electron microscopy revealed that extract-treated Salmonella showed disruption of cell walls and membranes, damage of the cytoplasm and tannin-protein aggregations. In addition, efficacy of the extracts to control SG and SE was evaluated in experimental infection trials in laying hens and broilers, respectively. SE excretion was significantly reduced on days five (P<0.01) and twelve (P<0.025) only in the quebracho group. In the fowl typhoid infection model, hens that received the chestnut extract showed a significantly reduced mortality (P<0.05).

Conclusions
Our results evidence that these alternative natural products may be a useful tool to control Salmonella in poultry.

Significance and impact of the study
Salmonella is a zoonotic pathogen usually associated with poultry production. This study provides information about the mechanism of antibacterial effects of chestnut and quebracho wood extracts to control Salmonella in poultry.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteria of the genus Salmonella are zoonotic pathogens usually involved in enteric infection and disease in both humans and animals. The prevalence of Salmonella serotypes varies in different countries and during different periods of time; in addition, serotypes can emerge within a country or region and then disappear with no obvious cause or intervention measure. In poultry, Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum biovar Gallinarum or biovar Pullorum can cause systemic disease and
mortality (fowl typhoid and pullorum disease respectively) but are considered non-pathogenic for humans. Although these two diseases have been eradicated in many developed countries, they still persist and cause economic losses in the poultry industry in most developing countries (Chacana and Terzolo 2006). On the other hand, non-typhoidal or paratyphoid *Salmonella* infections are important zoonoses which impact on both animal production and public health; particularly *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* are commonly isolated from human patients (Haselbeck *et al.* 2017; Rukambile *et al.* 2019). Intensive animal production has been identified as a reservoir for non-typhoidal *Salmonella*. This food-borne pathogen may persist in the gastrointestinal tract of chickens and spread in the human population by the consumption of eggs, meat, and poultry by-products (Revolledo and Ferreira 2012). For example, in the last years, *S. Heidelberg* has become a relevant serotype in the poultry industry, as reported in many countries (Shah *et al.* 2017; Etter *et al.* 2019).

In the last years, several authors have reported decreased susceptibility of chicken, not only to the conventional antibiotics used in poultry production, such as ampicillin, chloramphenicol or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, but also to fluoroquinolones and extended-spectrum cephalosporins (Parry and Threlfall 2008; Diarra *et al.* 2014). Although antibiotic treatment is not the main strategy to control *Salmonella* in poultry, the use of antimicrobials in low doses such as growth promoters may lead to the generation and spread of multi-resistant strains, and thus the pathogen not only serves as a gene reservoir in the gut environment but may also represent a serious threat for public health. Therefore, to reduce the risks of *Salmonella* contamination throughout the productive chain (feed mills, transportation, hatcheries, farms, processing plants, etc.), the industry constantly demands alternatives to antibiotics. In this context, the control of *Salmonella* has been addressed by several strategies, including feed additives, disinfectants, bacteriophages and vaccines (Vandeplas *et al.* 2010). The feed additives so far used to control *Salmonella* include prebiotics, probiotics, and symbiotics that modify the intestinal microbiota, and their success mainly depends on their components and the frequency of administration (Vandeplas *et al.* 2010). Regarding vaccines, although both inactivated (killed whole cell) and attenuated (live) vaccines are available worldwide there is not enough evidence that any of the commercial vaccines provides complete protection against all serogroups (Gast 2007). Moreover, most of these strategies are not economically feasible to be implemented in all countries (Desin *et al.* 2013). Thus, innovative active principles to control
Salmonella in poultry are crucial to fulfil the constant demand for new products. In this context, natural polyphenolic compounds, such as tannins from chestnut (Castanea sativa) and quebracho (Schinopsis lorentzii) wood, have been widely evaluated due to their deleterious effects as toxic or antinutritional agents (Butler 1992) but also as potential antitumorals (Huang et al. 2010) and antimicrobials (Marín et al. 2015). These tannins, which have molar masses ranging from 300 to 20000 daltons and can be grouped as hydrolyzable or condensed according to their chemical structure (Khanbabaei and van Ree 2001), are produced by higher plants to protect them against infection, insects, or animals (Scalbert 1991). Tannins are considered as Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) food additives by the FDA, and are generally applied to preserve food (Molino et al. 2020). The inhibitory effects of tannins against microorganisms highly depend on their concentration and type. Several in vitro and in vivo studies have shown the activity of these polyphenols against several poultry pathogens (Cejas et al. 2011; Anderson et al. 2012), as reviewed by Diaz-Carrasco et al. (2016) and Molino et al. (2020). In addition, these polyphenols are considered as an eco-friendly alternative to antibiotics due to their ability to promote growth and modulate the gut microbiota without promoting the emergence of resistant bacteria (Redondo et al. 2014).

Based on the above, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the activity of hydrolyzable and condensed polyphenols extracted from chestnut and quebracho wood against several serotypes of Salmonella and their efficacy to control S. Enteritidis and S. Gallinarum infection in poultry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chestnut and quebracho extracts

The extracts evaluated were commercially available extracts from chestnut (Castanea sativa, >84% hydrolysable tannins) and quebracho wood (Schinopsis lorentzii, >78% condensed tannins) supplied by Silvateam S.A., Argentina. The composition and antioxidant activity of these extracts have been characterized previously by Pizzi et al. (2009) and Molino et al. (2018) respectively. Both extracts were dissolved in sterile water, incubated at 60°C for 30 min and filtered through 0.45 μm membranes.

Bacterial strains
A total of 15 *Salmonella* strains isolated from poultry (four *S. Enteritidis*, four *S. Typhimurium*, four *S. Heidelberg*, and three *S. Gallinarum*) were plated in xylose-lysine-deoxycholate agar (XLD; Oxoid) or in Brilliant Green (Oxoid) agar and incubated overnight at 37°C. The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the strains are provided in the Supplementary Material.

**Antibacterial activity of the extracts**

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), synergy test and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC)**

The antimicrobial activity of the chestnut and quebracho extracts was determined by a micro-broth dilution assay in duplicate. Sterile 96-well plates (Cell Star, Greiner Bio-one) were filled with Müller-Hinton broth (MHB; Oxoid) and 100 μl of the extracts was added to the first well. Two-fold serial dilutions of the extracts starting from 16 mg ml⁻¹ were prepared across the plates by using a multi-channel pipette. Overnight cultures of all the strains were diluted to reach the 0.5 tube of the McFarland nephelometric scale and then inoculate the microplates. Growth and purity controls were also included in each plate. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C, and bacterial growth was determined by the presence of a bacterial pellet. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of extracts that inhibited bacterial growth.

To assess the interaction between the extracts against *S. Enteritidis* (SE) and *S. Gallinarum* (SG), checkerboard titration was carried out. Tests were performed in 96-well microplates with extract concentrations ranging from 16 mg ml⁻¹ to 0.125 mg ml⁻¹ (8 to 1/32 MIC). The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) was calculated for each extract in each combination. The following formulas were used to calculate the FIC index (FICI): FIC of quebracho = MIC of quebracho in combination/MIC of quebracho alone, FIC of hydrolyzable chestnut = MIC of chestnut in combination/MIC of chestnut alone, and FICI =FIC of quebracho + FIC of chestnut. Synergy was defined as a FICI of <0.5, indifference was defined as a FICI between 0.5 and 4, and antagonism was defined as a FICI >4. In the wells where no visible growth was detected, 10 μl of the both was streaked onto Müller-Hinton agar and incubated overnight at 37°C. The MBC was defined as the lowest concentration that completely inhibited bacterial growth.

**Kinetics of bacterial killing**
The activity of the chestnut and quebracho extracts on the growth of SE and SG was next evaluated. To this end, overnight cultures of the strains were properly diluted with MHB to render a final concentration corresponding to 0.5 McFarland, and then the extracts were added at MIC levels and thereafter incubated at 37°C. The number of colony-forming units (CFU) in the cultures was determined after 0 h, ½ h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, and 24 h. Ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared for each time interval and 20 μl of each dilution was plated onto XLD according to Miles et al. (1938). After incubation overnight at 37°C, the colonies were counted in duplicate. The results are expressed as log_{10} CFU ml^{-1} of bacterial culture.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

Alterations in bacterial cell morphology were evaluated by TEM (Zeiss EM 109T) (Matijašević et al. 2016). Bacteria in the late-exponential growth phase (14 h at 37°C in MHB) were treated with the chestnut and quebracho extracts at the MIC level or left untreated as a control. Suspensions were incubated for 6 h at 37°C, harvested by centrifugation and prefixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Fluka) overnight at 4°C. Fixed and washed cell pellets were prepared by a standard methodology that included fixation, dehydration, embedding, sectioning, and staining of sections (Matijašević et al. 2016). Digital photomicrographs of sections of each culture were recorded.

**Adhesion and invasion assays**

For the adhesion and invasion assays, human-derived enterocyte-like Caco-2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with heat-inactivated 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco), 1% non-essential amino acids (Sigma), 1% glutamine (Sigma), and penicillin-streptomycin (100 IU ml^{-1} and 100 μg ml^{-1} respectively; Richet) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Prior to infection with *Salmonella* strains, the Caco-2 cells were cultured until confluence, and medium was replaced with an antibiotic-free medium for 24 h prior to performing the adhesion and invasion assays. *Salmonella* strains were cultured overnight in MHB at 37°C, and bacterial cells were recovered by centrifugation at 8000 g for 5 min, washed twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.3) and suspended to render a concentration of 2×10^6 CFU ml^{-1} in DMEM medium. *Salmonella* cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 h with the chestnut and quebracho extracts at the MIC level or left untreated as control. According to previous results from our group (Elizondo et al. 2010), neither the chestnut nor the quebracho extracts induce...
deleterious effects on cell monolayers at the concentrations used. After treatment with the quebracho or chestnut extracts, the bacterial suspensions were added to the cell monolayers and incubated in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C for 1 h. For adhesion assays, after incubation, each well was washed three times with sterile PBS to remove no-adhered bacteria. Sterile distilled water was added for 15 min to lyse the Caco-2 cells and to recover attached bacteria. Finally, the bacteria were counted in duplicate on XLD agar plates. The adhesion percentage was expressed as the relation between adhered and initial bacterial count (adhered plus non-adhered bacteria). For invasion assays, the infected cells were washed twice with PBS before the addition of DMEM containing 150 μg ml$^{-1}$ gentamicin (Sigma) and incubated at 37°C for 60 min to inactivate extracellular bacteria that had not invaded the cells. Caco-2 cells were lysed and bacteria were counted as described for the adhesion assays. Two independent adherence and invasion assays were carried out for each extract and strain.

**Microscopic agglutination test**

To evaluate the ability of the polyphenols to agglutinate *Salmonella*, overnight cultures of the strains were centrifuged and pellets were resuspended in PBS until they reached 0.5 Mc Farland turbidity. Suspensions were treated with quebracho and chestnut extracts (½ MIC, 1 MIC, 2 MIC) and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Then, 10 μl of each treatment was dropped on a slide and examined under a dark-field microscope (Olympus B×50). PBS was included as negative control and commercial antiserum against *Salmonella* was used as a positive control. The end-point titer was determined as the lowest dilution of the extracts needed for an evident microscopic agglutination.

**Challenge trials**

**Feed and water**

In all cases, commercial feed free of antibiotics and coccidiostats and drinking water were provided *ad libitum*. Throughout the trials, feed and water were confirmed to be *Salmonella*-free by bacteriological analysis.

**Infective strains and preparation of the inocula**

SE strain INTA86 and SG strain INTA91, previously isolated from chickens suffering from paratyphoid and fowl typhoid respectively, were used for the challenge trials. To prepare the infectious inocula, strains were incubated overnight at 37°C in Brain Heart Infusion. Thereafter, ten-
fold dilutions were plated onto XLD and incubated overnight to determine the number of CFU ml\(^{-1}\). Cultures of the challenge strains were kept at 4°C overnight, and the bacterial suspensions were diluted in 1 ml of PBS to reach a final concentration of 1×10\(^7\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) for SE and 1×10\(^5\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) for SG. Infectious doses were selected according to previous trials (Chacana and Terzolo 2006).

**Salmonella Enteritidis infection and sampling**

To assess the efficacy of the extracts against a paratyphoidal infection in broilers, 45 one-day-old *Salmonella*-free COBB chicks were challenged with the SE strain INTA86, a pathogenic strain of SE previously isolated from a broiler’s farm. Chicks were housed in individual cages under a conventional lighting scheme. Groups of 15 chickens were fed from the first day of life with regular feed added with 0.1% w/w of the chestnut or quebracho extracts, at a dose based on the results of previously reported nutritional trials (Diaz-Carrasco et al. 2018). In addition, other 15 birds that received only regular feed were included as controls. On day six, chickens were individually challenged by oral gavage with 1×10\(^7\) CFU of SE. To determine the shedding levels of the challenge strain, birds were kept in observation for 12 days and then euthanized. On days five and twelve post-infection, all birds were sampled by individual cloacal swabbing. Swabs were directly deposited into tubes containing 4 ml of tetrathionate broth and after 48 h incubation at 37°C, an aliquot was plated onto XLD agar plus tergitol four (4.6 ml l\(^{-1}\)) to reisolate the strain. *Salmonella*-like colonies were confirmed by agglutination with polyvalent antisera.

**Salmonella Gallinarum infection and sampling**

The fowl typhoid infection model was carried out using 16-week old Lohmann Brown Classic *Salmonella*-free laying hens (n=42), which were maintained in individual cages following the nutritional and lighting scheme recommended by Lohmann Tierzucht GmbH (Cuxhaven, Germany). Hens were assigned into three groups of 14 birds each. Hens from the control group received commercial feed and hens from treated groups received normal feed plus 0.1% of the chestnut extract or 0.1% of the quebracho extract. Treatment with the extracts started 24 h before challenge. All hens were orally challenged with 1×10\(^5\) CFU of SG. Mortality was recorded daily for 14 days and hens that remained alive were euthanized. The livers from all dead or euthanized hens were examined to re-isolate the strain onto brilliant green agar plates (Chacana and Terzolo 2006)

**Statistical analysis**
Results were statistically analyzed by means of the GraphPad software. A two-tailed Student’s test was used to evaluate the activity of each extract on the growth of *Salmonella* through analysis of the area under the curve. The results of the adhesion and invasion assays are expressed as the mean ± SD of two independent assays. Data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey’s post-hoc test. Fisher’s exact test was used to determine significant differences between the number of *Salmonella*-excreting birds or mortality rates from the treated and control group for each day post-infection analyzed. Differences with P-values below 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Animal welfare**

Trials were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals from the National Institute of Agricultural Technology of Argentina (INTA) and protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Centro de Investigaciones en Ciencia Veterinarias y Agronómicas (CICVyA) (approval # 1/2016 and 23/2016). Euthanasia was performed by cervical dislocation.

**RESULTS**

**Antibacterial activity of chestnut and quebracho extracts**

The strains of *Salmonella* included in this work belonged to serotypes relevant in the poultry industry worldwide. The MICs of chestnut and quebracho extracts were determined for all the strains. The MICs for the chestnut extract ranged from 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) to 4 mg ml\(^{-1}\) whereas those for the quebracho extract ranged from 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) to 4 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). Considering the MIC values, two representative isolates of non-typhoidal and typhoidal *Salmonella* (SE strain INTA86 and SG INTA91) were selected for further experiments. The growth curves are shown in Figure 1. The bacteriostatic effect of the extracts was determined using the previously determined MICs. In comparison to untreated cells, the viability of *Salmonella* was reduced by both extracts (SE: P<0.05 chestnut vs control; P<0.01 quebracho vs control and SG: P<0.05 chestnut or quebracho vs control). The MBC for both extracts was lower for SG than for SE (8 mg ml\(^{-1}\) vs. 16 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) and synergistic effects were observed when the extracts were combined (FICI=0.5). In both cases, the activity of the mixture was four times higher than when the extracts were tested separately. The highest antibacterial effect against SE was...
observed when the extracts were mixed equally, whereas the highest antibacterial effect against SG was observed when the extracts were combined at a ratio of 88:12.

TEM observation
The TEM micrographs of untreated cells showed a regular rod-shaped structure with an undamaged and slightly waved outer membrane. The intracellular content was well-preserved with the cytoplasmic membrane lying close to the cell wall. The periplasmic space was thin and homogeneous while the intracellular region displayed heterogeneous electron density (Figure 2a-b). After treatment with 1 MIC of quebracho or chestnut extracts, multiple changes in the cell morphology were observed. The main observations include disruption of the cell wall, lysis of the cell membrane, damage of the cytoplasm and cell deformation. The components of the bacterial cell envelope were deformed and scattered from their original form and the intracellular structures were disorganized (Figure 2c-d). In many cells, the interaction between both extracts and the cell wall was evident, as determined by their aggregation on the surface of the outer membrane and in the cytoplasm (Figure 2e-f). Also, draining out of the intracellular contents due to rupture of the cell envelope (mostly the polar regions) was observed. Furthermore, some cells were found without membranes.

Adhesion and invasion of intestinal cells
To evaluate additional inhibitory effects of the quebracho and chestnut extracts on Salmonella pathogenesis, SG or SE treated with sub-inhibitory concentrations of the extracts were used to infect Caco-2 cells monolayers. The adhesion and invasion of SG were respectively reduced by 38.8±6% (P<0.05) and 100% by the chestnut extract and by 73.7±5% (P<0.001) and 95±5% (P<0.001) by the quebracho extract. Regarding SE, its adhesion was not affected by the chestnut extract, but was increased by the quebracho extract. In contrast, its invasion was reduced by 98.6±0.1% (P<0.001) by the chestnut extract and by 99.1±0.6% (P<0.001) by the quebracho extract.

Microscopic agglutination test
Salmonella isolates exhibited heterogeneous profiles of micro-agglutination. The agglutination titers of the chestnut and quebracho extracts for all non-typhoidal strains were of 1 mg ml⁻¹ or 2 mg ml⁻¹ (0.5 of the MIC value) whereas those for the SG strains were similar to 1 MIC (2 mg ml⁻¹) for all SG tested strains. In all cases, no macro-agglutination was observed.

Effects of the extracts on Salmonella infection in poultry
To evaluate the performance of the chestnut and quebracho extracts against *Salmonella in vivo*, trials were carried out using the typhoidal and non-typhoidal infection models in broilers or laying hens. Until the challenge trials, all the birds remained healthy and free of any external *Salmonella* infection and feed and drinking water were negative for *Salmonella* spp.

In the group that received feed supplemented with quebracho extract, SE excretion was significantly lower on days 5 (3/15, P<0.01) and 12 (5/15, P<0.025) post-infection compared to control group (11/15 and 12/15, respectively) whereas in the group supplemented with the chestnut extract, SE excretion was not reduced (P=1.00). In contrast, only the chestnut extract was able to significantly reduce the mortality of the hens due to fowl typhoid. The mortality rate in the control group was of 71% (10/14), whereas that in the chestnut and quebracho groups was of 36% (5/14; P= 0.0465) and 50% (7/14; P= 0.1886) respectively (Figure 3). SG was re-isolated from the liver of all birds that died from fowl typhoid but from none of the hens that remained alive at the end of the trial.

**DISCUSSION**

The control of *Salmonella* in poultry is complex because this bacterium can enter the production chain through different ways. In productive conditions, it is crucial to reduce the excretion of *Salmonella* from infected animals at early stages to control contamination in the environment. Moreover, control measures that have successfully eradicated SG (Anderson *et al.* 2006) are usually useless and not applicable to the eradication of other serovars with impact in public health. The risk of contamination in the farm can be reduced not only by biosecurity management, but also by using several products such as organic acids, probiotics, disinfectants, vaccines, and phytochemicals. In this work, commercial chestnut and quebracho wood extracts were evaluated against four serovars of *Salmonella* of importance in poultry worldwide.

The MIC values and inhibitory activity (bacteriostatic or bactericidal, concentration-dependent effect) for the chestnut and quebracho extracts determined in this work were similar to those found in other studies and suggest that tannins may interfere with basic cellular functions, like energy metabolism or cause physical damage to cells (Taguri *et al.* 2004; Van Parys *et al.* 2008; Costabile *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, we detected a synergistic interaction between quebracho and chestnut extracts to inhibit...
the growth of *Salmonella* as suggested by the decrease in the MIC values when both phytochemicals were combined. The mechanisms underlying the synergistic actions observed are not yet known, but may be related to the presence of multiple bioactive molecules, as described in previous works (Redondo *et al.* 2014; Molino *et al.* 2018). Besides their inhibitory effects against the growth of *Salmonella*, chestnut and quebracho extracts also interfered with the adhesion and invasion of the microorganism towards epithelial cells, which are critical for the interaction between the bacteria and the host. A distinction must be done between SE as a non-typhoidal serotype for chickens and SG. While adhesion to enterocytes is critical for the survival and multiplication of SE in the gut, SG interacts with the enterocyte to invade the cell and then reach the lamina propria (Gal-Mor *et al.* 2014). To survive in hostile environments or in the presence of inhibitory substances, *Salmonella* can activate virulence factors as stress-responses, which results in increased adhesion without affecting its invasion into the organs (Chakroun *et al.* 2018; Estrada-Acosta *et al.* 2018). This potential up-regulation of adhesion effectors seems to depend on each single serotype or strain and may explain that, in this work, quebracho extracts unexpectedly increased the adhesion of SE to Caco-2 cells.

TEM micrographs revealed electron-dense aggregations on the cell surface, disruption of the wall and lysis of the membrane of treated bacteria (mainly in SE), which indicates the interaction between both extracts and the outer membranes. The enhanced permeability of the membrane may explain the deposits of the phytochemicals observed inside the cytoplasm together with morphological cell changes that may lead to the microorganism death. Similar interactions between cell structures of Gram-negative bacteria and tannins have been described by several authors (Funatogawa *et al.* 2004; Wang *et al.* 2012; Liu *et al.* 2013). In this way, TEM findings support the results obtained by the micro-agglutination assays, where a lower amount of tannins was needed to agglutinate SG. Tannins are biological molecules known by their ability to precipitate or form complexes with proteins and these arrangements depend not only on the structure of tannins and proteins but also on their composition, concentration and source (Khanbabae and van Ree 2001). Thus, a likely explanation of these findings may rely on the fact that SG is the only *Salmonella* serotype lacking flagella (Chacana and Terzolo 2006) and thus fewer amounts of proteins are available on the cell surface to interact with the extracts.
Although both the chestnut and quebracho extracts showed similar activity against SE and SG *in vitro*, they had different performance in the challenge trials *in vivo*. While the quebracho extracts was effective to reduce SE excretion, the chestnut extract was effective to reduce the mortality due to fowl typhoid. These results should be interpreted considering the differences between SE and SG pathogenesis in chickens (Kaiser *et al.* 2000) and the multiple biological effects of phytochemicals which involve anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antiviral, and antibacterial properties among others (Frankel *et al.* 1993; Teissedre *et al.* 1996; Santos-Buelga and Scalbert 2000). In this way, it is also relevant to consider that *in vivo* effects depend on multiple interactions between the active molecules of the extracts, the digestive processes, and the phytochemical-derived metabolites produced by the gut microbiota (Molino *et al.* 2018). The failure of the chestnut extract to reduce SE shedding observed in our work could be due to a lack of local effects of hydrolyzable tannins in the cecum, the gut segment that is mostly colonized by SE (Ricke 2003; Khan 2014). Similarly, Van Parys *et al.* (2008) found that although sweet chestnut wood extract had strong antibacterial activity against *S. Typhimurium* *in vitro*, it had no effect on the fecal excretion or colonization of the pathogen in pigs.

In contrast, the condensed tannins from quebracho wood are less susceptible to gastrointestinal hydrolysis and can pass through the small intestine mostly intact to be further metabolized by the gut microbiota (Santos-Buelga and Scalbert 2000; Rios *et al.* 2002). These products are known to have both anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant properties (Serrano *et al.* 2009; Sieniawska and Baj 2016; Smeriglio *et al.* 2017; Molino *et al.* 2018) which could help to reduce the colonization or excretion of the microorganism. In this regard, Varmuzova *et al.* (2015) reported that feed supplemented with polyphenols, particularly flavonoids, from *Curcuma* and *Scutellaria* allows decreasing SE colonization and gut inflammation. On the other hand, only the chestnut extract was able to reduce the mortality due to fowl typhoid. Unlike SE, SG is a more invasive serovar and normally does not induce high inflammatory response or intestinal damage. In fact, SG infection may not be limited by the mucosal immune system and can cause severe systemic disease (Kaiser *et al.* 2000). Since hydrolyzable tannins from chestnut or their metabolites have strong reducing and antioxidant capacity (Molino *et al.* 2018) and could be absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract more easily than polyphenols of higher molecular weight (Santos-Buelga and Scalbert 2000), they may have interfered with the invasion of SG into the target organs.
In conclusion, our study evidences that the use of chestnut and quebracho extracts may be helpful to control *Salmonella* in poultry including multi-resistant strains that represent a serious threat for public health (Mouttotou *et al.* 2017). As mentioned, although the control of food-borne *Salmonella* does not rely on the use of antibiotics, the inappropriate use of antibiotics favors the emergence of resistant strains. In this context, several global organizations such as WHO, FAO and the OIE are urging countries to promote the responsible and prudent use of antimicrobials as well as approaches to decrease the use of antibiotics in animal production (OIE 2016). Also, the consumers’ growing demand for eco-friendly products will have a critical impact on the poultry industry in the forthcoming years. Together with monitoring and appropriate biosecurity management programs, the use of natural feed additives can help to maintain and improve productivity as well as to guarantee food safety for the population.
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Table 1. Minimal inhibitory concentration of chestnut and quebracho extracts against *Salmonella* strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Salmonella</em> strains</th>
<th>MIC (mg ml⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chestnut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritidis 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritidis 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritidis 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritidis 4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg 4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium 1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium 3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium 4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallinarum 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallinarum 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallinarum 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Bacteriostatic effect of quebracho and chestnut extracts on liquid cultures of Salmonella Enteritidis (a) and (b) Gallinarum. Log phase cultures were treated at MIC level. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 2).

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration
(a) Control group: (○); chestnut group: (●); quebracho group: (■); Chestnut extract versus control: P<0.05; Quebracho extract versus control: P<0.01
(b) Control group: (○); chestnut group: (●); quebracho group: (■); Chestnut extract versus control: P<0.05; Quebracho extract versus control: P<0.05

Figure 2. Representative transmission electron microscopy micrographs of Salmonella cells either untreated (a-b) or treated with quebracho and chestnut extracts at MIC level (c-f)

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration

Figure 3. Cumulative mortality of chicken challenged with Salmonella Gallinarum.

Control group: (▲); chestnut group: (●); quebracho group: (■).