Valley to Valley: The Biological Connection between Prehispanic Residents of Cochabamba, Bolivia, and Azapa, Chile
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Introduction

The study of Tiwanaku expansion and influence in other regions of the south central Andes is of great interest. Its important cultural development and bicultural impact are seen most dramatically in places like southern Peru, northern Chile, parts of Bolivia and northwest Argentina. In general, different investigators agree that the imperial expansion into peripheral areas like the Cochabamba valleys of Bolivia, Moquegua in Peru or northern Chile was possible due to varied resource acquisition strategies, such as trade and direct exploitations (Berenguer & Dauelsberg, 1989; Kolata, 1993; Uribe & Agüero, 2001).

Some authors consider that the Azapa Valley in northern Chile was one of the first places colonized by a Tiwanaku culture named Cabuza (500 - 1225 A.D.) that subsisted alongside the local culture during the Formative or Alto Ramirez phase (Berenguer & Dauelsberg, 1989; Berenguer, 2000). While other researchers like Uribe (1999) and Uribe and Agüero (2001) propose that the Tiwanaku-Azapa connection was less direct and the relationship with the altiplano was maintained more directly through Moquegua in Peru.

For the Middle Period in Azapa (500 - 1290 A.D.), two major ceramic traditions have been identified one from the altiplano and another from the western valleys (Espoueys et al., ms.; Uribe, 1995, 1999, 2004). These represent non-sequential phases of cultural historical development. Based on these results, Agüero (2000) observes that in the Azapa Valley two types of textile styles exist that, coincidentally relate quite closely to the ceramic styles of the same areas. One textile type is related more to styles of the Late Formative Period in the Cochabamba valleys of Bolivia (e.g., Mojocoya and Omereque) and Middle Period of the southern altiplano lakeside region. The second textile type maintains stylistic affiliation with cultural groups from southern Peru.

Many works have examined settlement patterns, population structure, biological relationships, and evolution of human groups that have inhabited the south central Andes (Cocilovo, 1981; Rothhammer et al., 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984; Cocilovo & Di Rienzo, 1984-85; Rothhammer & Silva, 1989; Baffi & Cocilovo, 1989-90; Cocilovo & Rothhammer, 1990; Dittmar, 1996; Blom et al., 1998; Cocilovo et al., 1999a, 1999b, 2001, 2004; O’Brien, 2003; Varela et al., 1999, 2004a, 2004b; Varela & Cocilovo, 2011; among others). The relationship network is extensive and complicated. For example, it has been demonstrated that morphological differences exist between the Azapa coastal and valley groups of 3500 B.C. and 1470 A.D. In particular, during the Alto Ramirez cultural phase of the Late Formative Period (500 B.C. - 630 A.D.) a high rate of gene flow into the Azapa valley is noted (Varela & Cocilovo, 2002). This occurred most likely as a consequence of multiple migrations over time. This case will be examined in more detail later in this paper.
However, in other cases, like in Peru or Bolivia, it has been shown that groups from highland Tiwanaku have a morphological similarity with those in Moquegua, Peru (Blom et al., 1998). Lozada et al. (2004) found that coastal groups of Chiribaya in the Osmore Valley Basin (Southern Peru) are biologically similar from formative coastal population of Roca Verde, while they did observe differences among Chiribaya and Chen Chen Tiwanaku population in Moquegua (medium Osmore valley). These results suggest a coastal origin of the Chiribaya. While in the Cochabamba valleys of eastern Bolivia there was only a minimal, if any, biological influence from the highlands (O’Brien, 2003). In fact, the ancient inhabitants of the Cochabamba valleys are more biologically related to groups for northern Chile and northwest Argentina than the two latter groups are to each other (Varela et al., 2008). Cochabamba is actually more phenotypically similar to northwest Argentina groups. Of those from northern Chile, Cochabamba is more related to groups from sites like Arica, than those from places like Atacama or Pisagua.

The objective of this paper is to evaluate the degree of morphological similarity of Formative and Tiwanaku period groups from the Cochabamba valleys of Eastern Bolivia with those Formative and Middle period groups that resided in the coastal and valley regions of Arica, Chile. Results will demonstrate important information related to the origins of the Azapa valley groups in Chile as well as the degree of genetic exchange that existed between Arica and Cochabamba. Additionally, they will shine light on the archaeological question regarding the existence of area-specific ceramic and textile traditions, and an interpretation of non-sequential cultural historical development in Arica (Espoueys et al., ms.; Uribe, 1995, 1999; Agüero, 2000).

**Materials and Methods**

Three series of crania were utilized in this study: two are from coastal and valley sites in the Azapa region (Arica) in northern Chile and one from various sites in the Cochabamba valleys of eastern Bolivia (see Figure 1). The Chilean crania were examined at the Museo de Arqueología San Miguel de Azapa in Arica while the Bolivian crania were studied at the Museo Arqueológico in Cochabamba. As detailed in Table 1, the entire study simple consisted of a total of 299 adult crania (149 males and 150 females), non-deformed and artificially deformed (tabular and circular styles), corresponding to the Late Archaic (Vera, 1981; Allison et al., 1984; Focacci & Chacón, 1989; Standen, 1991), Formative (Focacci, 1974; Rivera, 1977, 1987, 1991; Espoueys et al., ms.), Tiwanaku (Espoueys et al., ms.), Late Intermediate and Late periods (Hidalgo & Focacci, 1986) for the Azapa region and for the Formative and Tiwanaku periods for the Cochabamba valleys (O’Brien, 2003).

Sex and age at death determination was based on methods developed by Acsádi and Nemeskéri (1970), Bass (1981), Buikstra and Ubelaker (1994), Molnar (1971), and Lovejoy (1985). Classification of artificial deformation and type was according to Dembo and Imbelloni (1938).

The craniometric dimensions recorded were: maximum cranial length (MAXCL), maximum cranial breadth (MAXCB), maximum cranial height (BABH), upper facial breadth (UPFB), upper facial height (UPFH), bizygomatic breadth (BIZYGB), orbital height (ORH), basion-prosthion length (BAPRL), cranial base length (CRNBSL) and nasal breadth (NZBR).

To analyze the relationships between the groups without the influence of another factor of variation, the variation due to sex, age and artificial deformation was extracted from the above variables by linear regression (Seber, 1984). The samples that correspond to the Late Archaic period for the Azapa region and the Formative period for Cochabamba represent random subsets of the total number of individuals available in the two groups, since both had a large size relative with the rest of the periods.

In order to evaluate the biological relationships between the Cochabamba and Arica groups, we compared the Formative and Tiwanaku period groups from Cochabamba to those of the Late Archaic, Early Intermediate, Middle and Late Intermediate, and Late periods of the coast and valley sites of the Azapa region. To achieve this we employed multivariate analysis of variance, canonical discriminant analysis and Mahalanobis’ D2 distance statistic (Rao, 1952; Seber, 1984). To display the results graphically a cluster analysis was performed (Kaufman & Rousseeuw, 1990) using the UPGMA method and the previously calculated distances.

**Figure 1.**

Map of South Central Andean region with study sample locations and relevant geographic places.
The distribution of the Cochabamba and Azapa samples from various temporal periods, based on the centroids of the first and second canonical variables, is displayed in Figure 2. On the right side of the plot the Cochabamba valley Formative (CO-FO) and Tiwanaku period (CO-TI) groups are more closely related with the Azapa valley groups, in particular the one from the Early Intermediate (AZ-FO-V). In addition, groups from Azapa (AZ-C) and the one from the valley of Azapa (AZ-V) differ from the rest of the samples, being located in the lower portion of the graph to the left. The discriminant functions account for 86% of the total between-groups variation, while the second accounts for the remaining 13%.

When we studied the relationships between samples of different periods of the coast and the valley of Azapa and Cochabamba valleys (see Table 1), the results also showed morphological differences among the eight groups compared (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.67726, F (20,574) = 6.1743, \( p < 0.00001 \)) when comparing the three groups: the Cochabamba sample (CO) with the coastal group from Azapa (AZ-C) and the one from the valley of Azapa (AZ-V). In Table 2 one can see that the distance between CO and AZ-V is 0.537, or in other words 2.6 times smaller than the distance that exists between CO and AZ-C (1.812). Of the two canonical coordinates obtained through discriminant analysis, only the first of them exhibits statistical significance between the groups, explaining 87% of the total variation; while the second accounts for the remaining 13%.

The reclassification of the observations by discriminant functions, using an equal a priori classification probability for the three groups, shows that the percentage of correct assignment was 66.7% (AZ-C), 53.8% (AZ-V) and 41.1% (CO) (see Table 3). In other words, 34.3% of the observations of the first group (AZ-C) were reclassified as AZ-V (18.2%) or as CO (16.1%); 46.2% of the second group (AZ-V) were reclassified as AZ-C (23.6%) or as CO (22.6%), and finally 58.9% of the third group (CO) were reclassified as AZ-C (23.2%) or as AZ-V (35.7%).

When we studied the relationship between samples of different periods of the coast and the valley of Azapa and Cochabamba valleys (see Table 1), the results also showed morphological differences among the eight groups compared (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.67726, F (20,574) = 6.1743, \( p < 0.00001 \)) when comparing the three groups: the Cochabamba sample (CO) with the coastal group from Azapa (AZ-C) and the one from the valley of Azapa (AZ-V). In Table 2 one can see that the distance between CO and AZ-V is 0.537, or in other words 2.6 times smaller than the distance that exists between CO and AZ-C (1.812). Of the two canonical coordinates obtained through discriminant analysis, only the first of them exhibits statistical significance between the groups, explaining 87% of the total variation; while the second accounts for the remaining 13%.

The reclassification of the observations by discriminant functions, using an equal a priori classification probability for the three groups, shows that the percentage of correct assignment was 66.7% (AZ-C), 53.8% (AZ-V) and 41.1% (CO) (see Table 3). In other words, 34.3% of the observations of the first group (AZ-C) were reclassified as AZ-V (18.2%) or as CO (16.1%); 46.2% of the second group (AZ-V) were reclassified as AZ-C (23.6%) or as CO (22.6%), and finally 58.9% of the third group (CO) were reclassified as AZ-C (23.2%) or as AZ-V (35.7%).
clusters stand out: one constituted by all of the Azapa coastal samples, and the other constructed of into two smaller clusters, one being the Cochabamba groups from both temporal periods (CO-FO, CO-TI) with the Azapa valley Early Intermediate (AZ-FO-V) along with another being the Azapa valley groups from the Middle and Late periods (AZ-TI-V and AZ-LA-V).

**Discussion**

The cross-temporal interpretation of the strong phenotypic and genotypic relationships that exist between some of the groups studied, when applying a population genetics perspective, implies an elevated level of migration between regions, that is to say a high level of gene flow. The apparent observed relationship among the Azapa valley and Cochabamba groups (see Table 2) would indicate a close common origin and a high level of genetic interaction between them in contrast to one with the inhabitants of the Azapa coast. More specifically, the biodistances derived from the craniometric analysis of groups from different regions and temporal periods (see Table 4 and Figures 2 and 3) suggest that those ancient inhabitants who resided in the Cochabamba valleys (i.e., Tupuraya, Mojocoya and Omereque) during the Formative (0 - 500 A.D.) and Tiwanaku (500 - 1100 A.D.) periods are strongly related to those ancient inhabitants of the Azapa valley, particularly to those of the Formative period (500 B.C. - 630 A.D.) of the Alto Ramirez culture, and with the Cabuza and Maytas cultures of the Tiwanaku period (500 - 1270 A.D.). In other words, the early migrants who traveled west from the Cochabamba valleys and who settled in the Azapa valley, during the Early Intermediate or Formative periods, eventually interbred with the local population, hence increasing the genetic variability (Varela & Cocilovo, 2002; Varela et al., 2006).

According to O’Brien (2003), biological distances derived from an analysis of both metric and non-metric cranial traits reveals morphological continuity among ancient groups of the Cochabamba valleys with a notable discontinuity between them and residents of highland sites of Tiwanaku and the Katari basin. Additionally, Blom and colleagues (1998) have shown, through an analysis of non-metric traits, that Tiwanaku period inhabitants of the Moquegua valley are more closely affiliated, biologically, with highland residents of Tiwanaku and the Katari basin than earlier groups of the valley. Furthermore, utilizing a similar methodology, Lozada and colleagues (2004) examined Chiribaya groups (750 - 1100 A.D.) from the Osmore coast of southern Peru. Those groups were found to be more biologically related with coastal residents from earlier periods.

---

Table 4. Mahalanobis ($D^2$) distances between Cochabamba and Azapa groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Azapa-Coast</th>
<th>Azapa-Valley</th>
<th>Cochabamba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ-AR-C</td>
<td>AZ-FO-C</td>
<td>AZ-LA-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-AR-C</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-FO-C</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>1.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-LA-C</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-FO-V</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-TI-V</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ-LA-V</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-FO</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-TI</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Mahalanobis values for $D^2$ are presented in the upper half of the matrix and probabilities in the lower half. F = 10 and 282 d.f. Group codes are in Table 1.

---

Figure 2. Distribution of the Cochabamba and Azapa samples by cultural period based on the first (55%) and second (19%) canonical coordinates.

Figure 3. Dendrogram representing the Mahalanobis distances ($D^2$) of the Cochabamba and Azapa samples using the UPGMA method.
than they are with Tiwanaku residents of Chen Chen in Moquegua. Agreeing with this, in Azapa we demonstrated that coastal populations are more related to each other than with respect to interior valleys groups (Figure 3).

There is very little doubt over the amount of hegemonic power Tiwanaku had in the area. Some archaeologists consider that the Tiwanaku colonists, called Cabuza, settled in the Azapa valley region and lived alongside, for some time, the Formative period group known as Alto Ramirez (Berenguer & Dauelberg, 1989; Berenguer, 2000). While others argue that localities like: Azapa in northern Chile, Ilo on the south coast of Peru, or Omereque and Mizque in the eastern valleys of Bolivia exhibit a stronger relationship with those of Moquegua and Cocha bamba, respectively, than with groups from the Tiwanaku highlands (Uribe & Agüero, 2001). In fact, our results support Agüero’s (2000) contention that the textile tradition that existed during the Late Formative and Middle periods in Azapa, and the ones from the Cochabamba valley region (Omereque & Mojocoya) are closely associated. Thus, the biological variability that existed in Azapa supports the proposition of non-sequential cultural historical development for the region (Espoueys et al., ms.; Uribe, 1995, 1999, 2004).

The differences observed between the coastal and valley groups of the Azapa region during the Late Intermediate and Late periods corroborate the conclusions drawn by Varela and Cocilovo (2002). In other words, the results support the ethno-historical hypothesis that there was a marked social and economic difference between the inhabitants of the coast who specialized in obtaining maritime resources and those of the interior valleys who were more agricultural based (Rostworowsky, 1981, 1986; Schiappacasse & Niemeyer, 1989).

In conclusion, our study shows that the cultural change observed during the Early Intermediate Period of the Azapa Valley was accompanied by an increase in biological variability due to gene flow over time from other regions, in particular those from the eastern valleys of Cochabamba, Bolivia. The established results from this work and those of previous studies should be interpreted under a general model of economic, cultural and biological exchange between both regions and smaller areas across the south central Andes and across time. The direction and intensity of this network of interaction and exchange varies across time and space. In this respect, the movement of materials and ideologies did not necessarily have the same impact as did the amount of gene flow from the incoming populations to the region. That is to say, the influence of biological exchange could be equal, more or less to the influence of cultural or economic factors.
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