AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Occurrence of Fusarium spp. and Fumonisin in Durum Wheat Grains

Sofia A. Palacios,[†] Maria L. Ramirez,^{*,†} Mariel Cabrera Zalazar,[†] Maria C. Farnochi,[†] Diego Zappacosta,[‡] Stella M. Chiacchiera,[§] Maria M. Reynoso,[†] Sofia N. Chulze,[†] and Adriana M. Torres[†]

[†]Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología and [§]Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Fco-Qcas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Rio Cuarto, Ruta 36 Km 601, (5800) Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina

[‡]Departamento de Agronomía—CERZOS-CONICET, Universidad Nacional del Sur, (8000) Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

ABSTRACT: A survey was carried out to determine *Fusarium* species and fumonisin contamination in 55 durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum*) samples collected during two harvest seasons (2007 and 2008) using HPLC and further LC—MS/MS confirmation. All samples showed *Fusarium* contamination with infection levels ranging from 8 to 66%, *F. proliferatum* being the species most frequently isolated during 2007 and the second most frequently isolated one during the 2008 harvest season, respectively. Natural contamination with fumonisins was found in both harvest seasons. In 2007, 97% of the samples showed total fumonisin (FB₁ + FB₂) levels ranging from 10.5 to 1245.7 ng/g, while very low levels of fumonisins were detected in samples collected during 2008. These results could be explained by differences in the amount of rainfall during both periods evaluated. A selected number (*n* = 48) of *F. proliferatum* isolates showed fumonisin production capability on autoclaved rice. This is the first report of the presence of natural fumonisins in durum wheat grains.

KEYWORDS: Fusarium, fumonisins, durum wheat, Fusarium proliferatum

INTRODUCTION

Fumonisins are mycotoxins produced by a variety of fungi of the Fusarium genus. These toxins are polyketides which are structurally similar to sphinganine and disrupt sphingolipid metabolism; this disruption might lead to the fumonisin-induced mycotoxicoses (Figure 1). Dietary exposure to these mycotoxins can cause various adverse health effects in animals and humans, including equine leukoencephalomalacia (ELEM) and porcine pulmonary edema. With respect to humans, studies on the prevalence of esophageal cancer in regions of South Africa, China, Italy, and Iran revealed an association between this disease and the consumption of corn contaminated by Fusarium spp.^{1,2} Finally, fumonisins can cause neural tube defects in experimental animals, and thus may also have a role in human cases. It has been hypothesized that a cluster of an encephaly and spina bifida cases in southern Texas may have been related to fumonisins in corn products.^{3,4} The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluated in 1992 the toxins derived from Fusarium verticillioides as possible carcinogens to humans. More recently, based on the research results obtained so far, FB1 has been evaluated as a possible carcinogen to humans (class 2B).⁵

A wide range of surveys indicate that maize is the most important source of fumonisin contamination in human foods and animal feeds. In Argentina, corn and corn products showed different levels of contamination according to the region from which the samples were collected.^{6–8} Several studies worldwide have reported fumonisins in different crops and foods including rice,⁹ sorghum,¹⁰ mung beans,¹¹ asparagus,¹² black tea,¹³ cereals and animal feedstuff.¹⁴ There is also evidence of fumonisin contamination in wheat grains and wheat-based products.^{15–17} Shephard et al.¹⁸ reviewed many of the reports on fumonisins in wheat and concluded that careful evaluation of the analytical methods and possible sources of contamination, as well as confirmation by appropriate and validated methods, is required




Figure 1. Basic structure of fumonisin and position of R side chains of fumonisin B_1 , B_2 and B_3 .

in order to prevent the reporting of false positive results. However, low fumonisin levels in wheat grain and wheat-based foods in Italy, Spain and Japan have been reported and documented by liquid chromatography—mass spectrometry with selected ion monitoring.^{15,16,19}

Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum*) is an important small grain cereal, used for human consumption. In Argentina durum wheat is mainly used for the elaboration of pasta. The national production of pasta reached 369,600 ton in 2008, and the consumption per capita was estimated at 8.5 kg/ year.²⁰ The total durum wheat production is devoted to the local market since the decrease in the planted area in the last decades does not allow satisfying the demand of international markets. Durum wheat cultivation is concentrated in the south of Buenos Aires province, which belongs to the Pampas region.

Received:	June 2, 2011	
Accepted:	October 15, 2011	
Revised:	October 13, 2011	

Fusarium graminearum Schw, perfect stage *Gibberella zeae* (Schw.) Petch. is the main pathogen associated with *Fusarium* head blight (FHB) in common and durum wheat in Argentina.^{21,22} Also natural occurrence of deoxynivalenol has been reported in both common and durum wheat.^{21,22} Ramirez et al. (personal communication) carried out a mycological survey during a non-FHB epidemic year in common wheat and found that the predominant *Fusarium* species were *Fusarium proliferatum*, *Fusarium subglutinans* and *Fusarium verticillioides*. However there is a lack of information about the *Fusarium* species found and their mycotoxins in durum wheat during a non-FHB epidemic year.

The aims of this study were as follows: to determine the *Fusarium* species present on durum wheat during two non-FHB epidemic years; to determine the natural occurrence of fumonisins in durum wheat; and to evaluate the fumonisin production of the isolated *Fusarium* strains. Our working hypotheses were that *Fusarium* isolates belonging to the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex are the predominant species found on durum wheat during a non-FHB epidemic year and therefore this crop might be contaminated with fumonisins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wheat Samples. A total of 55 freshly harvested durum wheat samples (500 g) were randomly collected during two consecutive harvest seasons (2007 and 2008) in different commercial fields located in the major durum wheat production area in Argentina, south of Buenos Aires province (La Dulce, latitude 38°24′25.48′′ S, longitude 58°40′07.27′′ W, and Bahia Blanca, latitude 38°18′31.50′′ S, longitude 59°23′28.66′′ W). Samples were collected from each field and pooled; from this pool a subsample of 500 g was taken. These subsamples were immediately analyzed for fungal contamination and then stored at 4 °C until mycotoxin analyses. All wheat samples were asymptomatic (no evident kernel damage).

The region where the sampling was done has a cool to temperate climate. Meteorological data such as rainfall were registered periodically.

Fusarium Isolation and Identification. From each sample 100 wheat grains were plated (10 grains per Petri dish) onto a modified pentachloronitrobenzene medium (PNBC). The PNBC plates were incubated at 24 °C for 7 days under 12/12 h photoperiod cold white and black fluorescent lamps. *Fusarium* species developing from the grains were then identified according to previous guidelines.²³ Representative cultures of the species isolated were grown from single conidia for 10-14 days on Petri dishes of carnation leaf agar (CLA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA) slants, at 24 °C with a 12/12 h photoperiod under cold white and black fluorescent lamps. Forty-eight putative F. proliferatum strains were further identified by determining their Gibberella fujikuroi mating population (MP). Crosses were made in triplicate on carrot agar using an established protocol²⁴ with standard testers as female parents and the uncharacterized field isolates as male parents. Crosses were examined weekly and were considered positive when mature dark blue perithecia were observed with oozing ascospores. The tester strains used were M-6992 (MATD-2) and M-6993 (MATD-1), supplied by Dr. J. F. Leslie, Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS.

Wheat Fumonisin Extraction. The fumonisin analysis performed was based mainly on the method of Shephard et al.²⁵ as has been described by Doko et al.²⁶ Subsamples of about 100 g were finely ground in a Buehler laboratory mill and thoroughly mixed. Aliquots of the ground subsamples (25 g) were shaken with 50 mL of methanol/ water (3:1) for 30 min and filtered through Whatman No. 4 filter paper. While the flow rate was maintained below 2 mL/min, 10 mL of the filtered extract was applied to a Bond-Elut strong anion-exchange (SAX) cartridge (Varian, Harbor City, CA) fitted to a Supelco solid-phase extraction (SPE) manifold (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA), previously conditioned by the successive passage of methanol (5 mL) and methanol/water (3:1, 5 mL). The cartridge was then washed with methanol/water (3:1, 8 mL) followed by methanol (3 mL), and fumonisins were eluted with 0.5% acetic acid in methanol (14 mL). The eluate was evaporated to dryness at 40 $^{\circ}$ C, under a moderate stream of nitrogen, and stored dry at 4 $^{\circ}$ C until HPLC analysis.

Fumonisin Detection and Quantitation. The residue after cleanup was redissolved in 200 μ L of acetonitrile/water (1:1). An aliquot (50 μ L) of this solution was derivatized with 200 μ L of an *o*-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) solution. The fumonisin OPA derivates (50 μ L solution) were analyzed by using reversed-phase HPLC/fluorescence detection system. The HPLC system consisted of a Hewlett-Packard 1100 pump (Palo Alto, CA, USA) connected to a Hewlett-Packard 1046A programmable fluorescence detector and a data module Hewlett-Packard Kayak XA (HP ChemStation Rev. A.06.01). The column used was a 150 mm \times 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μ m, Luna-Phenomenex C₁₈(2) with a 4 mm \times 3 mm i.d., Security Guard cartridge of the same material (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). Methanol:0.1 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate (75:25, v/v) solution adjusted to pH 3.35 with orthophosphoric acid was used as the mobile phase, at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. Fluorescence of the fumonisin OPA derivatives was recorded at excitation and emission wavelengths of 335 and 440 nm, respectively. Fumonisins were measured as peak heights and compared with reference standard solutions of fumonisins B1 and B2 (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, USA). A mixed acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) stock solution of FB₁ and FB₂ containing 50 μ g/mL of each toxin was prepared. Four mixed working calibrant solutions (0.25, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 μ L/mL) were prepared by diluting an aliquot of the stock solution with the appropriate volume of acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v). FB₃ concentrations were estimated on the basis of the FB₂/FB₃ signal ratio derived from a reference solution provided as part of a AOAC/IUPAC interlaboratory study (PROMEC, Tygerberg, South Africa). Appropriate dilutions of standards and/or sample extracts were made with acetonitrile/water (1:1).

A recovery experiment was performed in triplicate by spiking 25 g of ground fumonisin-free wheat samples with FB₁ and FB₂ toxins at levels of 10, 100, and 500 ng/g. Spiked samples were left overnight at room temperature to allow solvent evaporation prior to proceed with the extraction step. Mean recoveries for FB₁ and FB₂ were 98.98% and 94.9%, respectively. The detection limit of the analytical method was 10 ng/g for FB₁ and 15 ng/g for FB₂. The repeatability expressed as RSD were in the range 2.2–12.4% for FB₁ and 4.5 to 14.4 for FB₂, respectively. Detection limits were 3 and 5 ng/g for FB₁ and FB₂, respectively, based on the signal-to-noise ratio (3:1), and the limit of quantitation was established as 3 times the limit of detection (10 and 15 ng/g).

Apparatus and Experimental Conditions for LC-MS/MS Analysis. All LC-MS/MS analyses were performed using a Waters 2695 Alliance HPLC (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a Waters Alliance 2685 pump, a Waters Alliance 2695 autosampler, a diode array detector Waters 2996 PDA interfaced to a Quattro Ultima Platinum tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization (ESI) source. The column used was a 2.1 mm imes150 mm i.d., 3.5 μ m, XBridge C18 with a 2.1 mm \times 10 mm i.d., guard column of the same material (Waters, Milford, MA). The interfaces were operated in a positive ion mode. Nebulizer and desolvation gases were nitrogen heated to 150 and 200 °C, respectively. The capillary voltage was 3.00 kV. The nitrogen flows were adjusted to 104 and 678 L/h for cone and desolvation gases, respectively. Multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) was used for toxin determination. The precursor peak $[M + H]^+$ of FB₁ (m/z 722) and two products peaks (m/z 334 and m/z 352) were monitored to accomplish both quantitation and qualification criteria. Data acquisition and processing were performed using Mass Lynx V.4.1,




Figure 2. Infection percentage and distribution of *Fusarium* species in durum wheat samples. A: 2007 harvest season. B: 2008 harvest season. Others: mainly *F. equiseti, F. oxysporum, F. semitectum, F. graminearum, F. solani, F. poae*.

Waters INC software. Multiple reaction monitoring trace m/z 722 > 352 was used for quantitation.

The mobile phase of the chromatographic procedure was a gradient of aqueous 1% acetic acid/5 mM ammonium acetate (solvent A) methanol-1% acetic acid/5 mM ammonium acetate (solvent B). At the initial time, the eluant was 10.5% of solvent B. This composition was kept over 2 min, after which a linear gradient to 97.5% of solvent B was performed for 12 min, and then the eluant was kept at this composition for 3 min in order to clean the column. The initial conditions were stabilized over 5 min before the next injection. The flow rate was 0.2 mL/min. The column temperature was maintained at 22 °C. Aliquots of 30 μ L of sample extracts were injected into the HPLC unit. Four points of identification were used to identify FB₁, i.e. retention time of 16.62 min, the precursor $[M + H]^+$ of m/z 722 and both product ions (m/z) 334 and 352). A calibration curve was obtained injecting 10 μ L of different FB₁ solution (0.25, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 μ g/mL) in acetonitrile:water (1:1). Good linearity with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.996 was obtained for the calibration range. The calculated instrumental LOD (S/N = 3) for FB₁ was 0.01 ng/g and LOQ (S/N = 5) was 0.05 ng/g, and the relative within-day and between-day standard deviations (% RSD) were 6.5.

Fumonisin Production. Forty-eight *F. proliferatum* strains (*Gibberella fujikuroi* mating population D) isolated from the 2007 harvest season were selected for fumonisin production. Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 g of rice and 40 mL of distilled water were autoclaved twice for 30 min at 121 °C. After cooling, rice was inoculated with an aqueous suspension of conidia (1 mL) of 10⁶ spores obtained

from CLA culture of each strain and incubated in the dark at 25 °C for 28 days. To avoid clump formation, the cultures were hand-shaken during the first days of incubation and thereafter as necessary. Rice cultures were then dried at 50 °C, finely ground with a laboratory mill, and stored at 4 °C until fumonisin analysis.

For each rice culture, a 15 g sample was extracted with 50 mL of acetonitrile:water (1:1) by shaking for 30 m and filtered through filter paper Whatman No. 4. An aliquot of the extracts (1000 μ L) was taken and diluted with acetonitrile:water (1:1) as necessary for high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. Fumonisins were determined as described above.

Statistical Analysis. To determine differences among fumonisin content in relation to the harvest seasons, the nonparametric test Kruscal–Wallis one way analysis of variance was used. Pearson correlation coefficient between fumonisin content and mean rainfall was calculated. All the studies were made using SigmaStat for Windows version 2.03 (SPSS Inc.). Statistical significance was determined at the level P < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mycological analyses of the samples collected during 2 consecutive non-FHB epidemic years showed contamination with *Fusarium* species from all the durum wheat grain samples (Figure 2). During the first year (2007) the infection levels ranged from 10 to 60% while in the second year (2008) the infection levels ranged from 8 to 66%. The main *Fusarium* species

isolated was F. proliferatum, being the most frequently isolated species during 2007 and the second most frequently isolated one during the 2008 harvest season, respectively. Species isolated during the 2007 harvest season were F. proliferatum, Fusarium equiseti, Fusarium oxysporum and Fusarium sublutinans. Only three samples did not show contamination with F. proliferatum. However, during the 2008 harvest season the main Fusarium species isolated were F. equiseti, F. proliferatum and F. oxysporum. This is in agreement with Ramirez et al. (personal communication), who found that during a non-FHB epidemic year in common wheat the main Fusarium species identified belong to the Gibberella fujikuroi species complex. During FHB epidemic years the main *Fusarium* species isolated from common and durum wheat is *F. graminearum*.^{21,22} The mains factors for FHB disease development are the environmental conditions, such as RH, rainfall and temperature, prevailing during the heading stage of the host plant. Severe FHB epidemics occurred in 1963, 1976, 1978, 1985^{27} and 2001^{28} in the main durum wheat growing area of Argentina. According to Kikot et al.,²⁹ using a weather-based predictive model, during the 2007 growing season the weather conditions were adverse for producing FHB infection events in the sampling area. This situation could explain the low percentage of F. graminearum isolates found from durum wheat grain samples during the present work.

In both harvest seasons studied, natural fumonisin contamination was present. However, fumonisin levels were higher in the first than in the second year. In the first harvest season (2007), 29 out of 30 samples (97%) were positive for fumonisins $(B_1 \text{ and } B_2)$ by HPLC analysis (Table 1). Total fumonisin level ranged from 10.5 to 1245.7 ng/g. Only one sample was higher than 1000 ng/g. FB₁ level ranged from 10.5 to 987.2 ng/g, and FB_2 level ranged from 15 to 258.5 ng/g. The FB_2/FB_1 ratio ranged from 0.1 to 2.3, with four samples containing more FB₂ than FB₁. Three samples showed contamination only with FB₁, and five samples showed similar occurrences. The presence of FB₁ was confirmed by LC-MS/MS analysis in all positive samples. In the second year (2008) none of the 25 samples analyzed showed fumonisin contamination by HPLC analysis, however further LC-MS/MS analysis demonstrated that only 6 samples showed traces (between LOD and LOQ) of fumonisin contamination. Comparison between the two harvest seasons showed significant differences ($p \le 0.005$) in fumonisin content, and a positive correlation (R = 0.5, $p \le 0.0001$) was also found between the mean rainfall (mm) and fumonisin levels. Although it was found that all the samples from both harvest seasons showed Fusarium spp. contamination at similar levels, the fact that only fumonisin contamination was found during the 2007 harvest season can be explained by differences in the amount of rainfall during both cultivation periods evaluated. The mean annual rainfall values registered between June and October (cultivation period) were 332 and 124 mm for the 2007 and 2008 harvest seasons, respectively. This difference was due to the fact that, during 2008, Buenos Aires province suffered the worst drought in the last seven decades.³

There are several reports in the literature about natural fumonisin contamination in bread and other varieties of wheat and in wheat-based products. In Spain, Castellá et al.¹⁴ studied the natural occurrence of FB₁ and FB₂ in 17 wheat samples by HPLC analysis and confirmed these results by LC/MS. They found that 8 out of 17 wheat samples showed FB₁ contamination in a range of 200 to 8800 ng/g. In another survey on natural occurrence of FB₁ and FB₂ carried out in Italy by Cirillo et al.,¹⁶ in

Table 1. Fumonisin Occurrence in 30 Durum Wheat Samples
Collected in Buenos Aires Province (Argentina) from the
2007 Harvest Season

	concn (ng/g)				
sample	FB_1	FB_2	FB ₂ /FB ₁	total fumonisins (ng/g)	
1	300.0	82.0	0.273	382.0	
2	79.0	31.0	0.39	110.0	
3	58.0	27.0	0.46	85.0	
4	39.6	35.8	0.90	75.4	
6	56.3	33.1	0.58	89.4	
7	288.1	179.9	0.62	468.0	
8	10.5	nd ^a		10.5	
9	75.7	20.6	0.27	96.3	
10	399.6	84.8	0.21	484.4	
11	36.1	30.8	0.85	66.9	
12	16.2	19.1	1.17	35.3	
13	12.0	28.0	2.33	40.0	
14	18.3	nd		18.3	
15	12.7	17.1	1.34	29.8	
16	13.4	nd	nd	13.4	
17	13.2	16.7	1.26	29.9	
18	48.0	21.3	0.44	69.3	
19	987.2	258.5	0.26	1245.7	
20	nd	nd		nd	
21	19.7	nd		19.7	
22	241.9	82.9	0.34	324.8	
23	721.8	204.6	0.28	926.4	
24	70.4	25.1	0.35	95.5	
25	786.9	137.8	0.17	924.7	
26	307.3	47.9	0.15	355.2	
27	18.8	19.7	1.04	38.5	
28	599.8	141.8	0.23	741.6	
29	14.0	15.9	1.13	29.9	
30	372.0	92.4	0.24	464.4	
^{<i>a</i>} Not detected, <10 ng/g for FB ₁ and <15 ng/g for FB ₂ .					

17 durum wheat pasta samples, FB₂ was only found in all samples analyzed in a range of 80 to 790 ng/g. The determination was done by LC-MS. Also in Italy, Castoria et al.¹⁹ confirmed, by HPLC-MS, the presence of natural FB₁ and FB₂ contamination, in 5 out of 8 Farro samples (Triticum monococcum L., Triticum dicoccon Schrank and Triticum spelta L.) in low concentrations, up to 70 ng/g for FB_1 , and FB_2 level was below the LOQ. Recently, Kushiro et al.¹⁵ found natural FB_1 contamination in 1 out of 47 wheat samples collected in various areas of Japan, by LC–MS/MS, at trace level (between the LOD and the LOQ). The fumonisin levels in the present survey are comparable to the levels detected in the studies described above. Because nonchromatographic methods frequently produce false positive results, and because the finding of fumonisins in wheat and wheat-based products is rather questionable, requiring a careful evaluation of the analytical methods used and a confirmation by appropriate methods,³¹ during the present survey we used a HPLC method with further LC-MS/MS confirmation.

Due to the fact that *F. proliferatum* was the most frequent species isolated from the 2007 harvest season, we decided to study the ability of some selected strains (n = 48) isolated during

ARTICLE




Figure 3. Total fumonisin $(FB_1 + FB_2 + FB_3)$ and FB_1 production by 48 Fusarium proliferatum strains.

this harvest season, to produce FBs. All the putative F. proliferatum strains selected (n = 48) belonged to Gibberella fujikuroi mating population D and both mating types (MATD-1 and MATD-2) were found among the toxigenic strains. The study revealed that 96% of the F. proliferatum strains isolated were able to produce FBs in variable levels on autoclaved rice. Total fumonisin production varied from 6.5 to 19326.7 μ g/g, FB₁ being the most abundant (Figure 3). Forty-four out of 48 strains produced FB₁ in levels ranging from 6.5 to 11773.3 μ g/g. Forty strains out of 48 produced FB₂ in a range from 4.4 to 5220.8 μ g/g, and 33 strains out of 48 produced FB₃ in levels ranging from 5 to 2590.8 μ g/g. The FB₂/FB₁ ratio ranged from 0.1 to 0.8, and the FB₃/FB₁ ratio ranged from 0.003 to 0.4. There were 5 strains out of 48 that produced only FB1 and one out of 48 that produced only FB₂. The FB₃/FB₂ ratio ranged from 0.1 to 2.8, with 6 strains out of 48, producing more FB₃ than FB₂.

Desjardins et al.³² showed that 9 strains of *F. proliferatum* (*Gibberella fujikuroi* mating population D) isolated from wheat kernels from Nepal produced fumonisins B_1 , B_2 and B_3 in maize grains, in levels ranging from 48 to 1,060 μ g/g. Also, Castellá et al.³³ studied the ability of 2 strains of *F. proliferatum* isolated from wheat to produce fumonisins in autoclaved corn kernels and found that both strains produced fumonisin in a range from 31.5 to 3.247 μ g/g.

Although the ability of *F. proliferatum* strains to produce fumonisins in durum wheat was not evaluated in the present study, the fact that the toxin was found in naturally contaminated samples demonstrates that fumonisins can be synthesized in this cereal, and *F. proliferatum* could be one of the main species responsible for fumonisin contamination.

It is clear that fumonisin contamination is found in durum wheat during non-FHB epidemic years and when the conditions were appropriate. From the ecological point of view, it would be adequate to search on the optimal conditions for growth and fumonisin production in this substrate by *F. proliferatum*.

This is the first report of natural fumonisin occurrence in durum wheat grains in Argentina; based on this result we consider that it is necessary to conduct further studies in different areas where this cereal is cultivated, overall in years where the conditions are not inductive for FHB. It would also be necessary to evaluate the population risk of fumonisin exposure, mainly in those countries where pasta consumption is high.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: ++ 54 358 4676429. Fax: ++ 54 358 4676231. E-mail: mramirez@exa.unrc.edu.ar.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by the Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (SECyT-UNRC). S.A.P. is a fellow of CONICET, and M.L.R., S.M.C., M.M.R., S.N.C., and A.M.T. are members of the Research Career of CONICET.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Ing. Francisco Ayala (Buck Semillas S.A) for assistance during wheat sampling.

REFERENCES

(1) Desjardins, A. Fusarium Mycotoxins: Chemistry, Genetics and Biology; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, 2006; pp 79–108.

(2) Marasas, W. F. O. Fumonisins: history, world-wide occurrence and impact. In *Fumonisins in Food*; Jackson, L., DeVries, J. W., Bullerman, L. B., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1996; pp 1–16.

(3) Marasas, W. F. O.; Riley, R.; Hendricks, K.; Stevens, W. L.; Sadler, T. W.; Gelineau van Waes, J.; Missmer, S. A.; Cabrera, J.; Torres, O.; Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Allegood, J.; Martinez, C.; Maddox, J.; Miller, J. D.; Starr, L.; Sullards, M. C.; Roman, A. V.; Voss, K. A.; Wang, E.; Merrill, A. H., jr. Fumonisins disrupt sphingolipid metabolism, folate transport, and neural tube development in embryo culture and in vivo: a potential risk factor for human neural tube defects among populations consuming fumonisin-contaminated maize. J. Nutr. 2004, 134, 711–716.

(4) Missner, S.; Suarez, L.; Felkner, M.; Wang, E.; Merrill, A.; Rothman, K.; Hendricks, K. Exposure to fumonisins and the occurrence of neural tube defects along the Texas-Mexico border. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2006**, *114*, 237–241.

(5) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Fumonisin B₁. In IARC Monograph on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans, Some Traditional Herbal Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene; IARC: Lyon, France, 2002; Vol. 82, pp 301–366.

(7) Ramirez, M. L.; Pascale, M.; Chulze, S.; Reynoso, M. M.; March, G.; Visconti, A. Natural occurrence of fumonisins and their correlation to *Fusarium* contamination in commercial corn hybrids growth in Argentina. *Mycopathologia* **1996**, *135*, 29–34.

(8) Torres, A.; Ramirez, M. L.; Chulze, S. *Fusarium* and fumonisins in maize in South America. In *Mycotoxins in Food, Feed and Bioweapons*; Rai, M., Varma, A., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2010; pp 179–200.

(9) Abbas, H. K.; Cartwright, R. D.; Shier, W. T.; Abouzied, M. M.; Bird, C. B.; Rice, L. G.; Ross, P. F.; Sciumbato, G. L.; Meredith, F. I. Natural occurrence of fumonisins in rice with *Fusarium* sheath rot disease. *Plant. Dis.* **1998**, *82*, 22–25.

(10) Da Silva, J. B.; Pozzi, C. R.; Mallozzi, A. B.; Ortega, E. M.; Correa,
B. Mycoflora and occurrence of aflatoxin B₁ and fumonisin B₁ during storage of Brazilian sorghum. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2000, 48, 4352–4356.

(11) Tseng, T. C.; Tu, J. C. Mycoflora and mycotoxins in adzuki and mung beans produced in Ontario, Canada. *Microbios* **1997**, *90*, 87–95.

(12) Liu, C.; Liu, F.; Xu, W.; Kofoet, A.; Humpf, H. U.; Jiang, S. Occurrence of fumonisins B_1 and B_2 in asparagus from Shandong province, P. R. China. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2005**, *22*, 673–676.

(13) Martins, M. L.; Martins, H. M.; Bernardo, F. Fumonisins B₁ and B₂ in black tea and medicinal plants. *J. Food Prot.* **2001**, *64*, 1268–1270.

(14) Castellá, G.; Bragulat, M. R.; Cabanes, F. J. Surveillance of fumonisins in maize-based feeds and cereals from Spain. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 4707–4710.

(15) Kushiro, M.; Zheng, Y.; Nagata, R.; Nakagawa, H.; Nagashima, H. Limited surveillance of fumonisins in brown rice and wheat harvested in Japan. *J. Food Prot.* **2009**, *72*, 1327–1331.

(16) Cirillo, T.; Ritieni, A.; Galvano, F.; Cocchieri Amodio, R. Natural co-occurrence of deoxynivalenol and fumonisins B_1 and B_2 in Italian marketed foodstuffs. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2003**, *20*, 566–571.

(17) Cirillo, T.; Ritieni, A.; Visone, M.; Amodio Cocchieri, R. Evaluation of conventional and organic Italian foodstuffs for deoxynivalenol and fumonisins B₁ and B₂. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 8128–8131.

(18) Shephard, G. S.; Liana Van Der Westhuizen; Gatyeni, P. M.; Katerere, D. R.; Marasas, W. F. O. Do fumonisin mycotoxins occur in wheat? *J Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 9293–9296.

(19) Castoria, R.; Lima, G.; Ferracane, R.; Ritieni, A. Occurrence of mycotoxin in farro samples from southern Italy. *J. Food Prot.* **2005**, 68, 416–420.

(20) Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería y Pesca de la Republica Argentina, 2008. http://:www.minagri.gob.ar. Accessed 27 May 2011.

(21) Lori, G.; Sisterna, M.; Haidukowsky, M.; Rizzo, I. *Fusarium graminearum* and deoxynivalenol contamination in the durum wheat area of Argentina. *Microbiol. Res.* **2003**, *158*, 1–7.

(22) Ramirez, M. L.; Reynoso, M. M.; Farnochi, M. C.; Chulze, S. Vegetative compatibility and mycotoxin chemotypes among *Fusarium* graminearum (*Gibberella zeae*) isolates from wheat in Argentina. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2006**, *115*, 139–148.

(23) Leslie, J. F.; Summerell, B. A. *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*; Blackwell Professional: Ames, IA, 2006.

(24) Klittich, C. J. R.; Leslie, J. F. Nitrate reduction mutants of *Fusarium moniliforme* (*Gibberella fujikuroi*). *Genetics* **1988**, *118*, 417–423.

(25) Shephard, G. S.; Sydenham, E. W.; Thiel, P. G.; Gelderblom, W. C. A. Quantitative determination of fumonisins B_1 and B_2 by highperformance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J. Liq. Chromatogr.* **1990**, *13*, 2077–2080.

(26) Doko, M. B.; Rapior, S.; Visconti, A.; Schjoth, J. E. Incidence and levels of fumonisin contamination in maize genotypes grown in Europe and Africa. J. Agric. Food Chem. **1995**, 43, 429–434.

(27) Moschini, R. C.; Carranza, M. R.; Carmona, M. A. Metereological-based predictions of wheat head blight epidemic in the southern Argentinean pampas region. *Cereal Res. Commun.* **2004**, *32*, 45–52.

(28) Carranza, M.; Lori, G.; Sisterna, M. Wheat fusarium head blight 2001 epidemic in the southern Argentinean pampas. *Summa Phytopathol.* **2008**, *34*, 93–94.

(29) Kikot, G. E.; Moschini, R.; Consolo, V. F.; Rojo, R.; Salerno, G.; Hours, R. A.; Gasoni, L.; Arambarri, A. M.; Alconada, T. M. Occurrence of different species of *Fusarium* from wheat in relation to disease levels predicted by weather-based model in Argentina Pampas region. *Mycopathologia* **2011**, *171*, 139–149.

(30) Borda, M. Las sequías históricas, Carpeta Cosecha fina 2008/09, CEI Barrow. http://www.inta.gov.ar/barrow/info/documentos/ agrometeo/otrostrabajos/sequias 2008.pdf. Accessed 28 May 2010.

(31) Visconti, A.; Pascale, M. An overview on *Fusarium* mycotoxins in the durum wheat pasta production chain. *Cereal Chem.* **2010**, *87*, 21–27.

(32) Desjardins, A. E. Busman, M.; Proctor, R. H.; Stessman, R. Wheat kernel black point and fumonisin contamination by *Fusarium* proliferatum. Food Addit. Contam. **2007**, *24*, 1131–1137.

(33) Castellá, G.; Bragulat, M. R.; Cabañes, F. J. Fumonisin production by *Fusarium* species isolated from cereals and feeds in Spain. *J. Food Prot.* **1999**, *62*, 811–813.