(–)-Isosantonic acid: alteration of the hydrogen-bonding mode by configurational inversion at a single centre in a $\gamma,\varepsilon$-diketocarboxylic acid
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The title diketo acid, \((1R,3aS,6aS,7R,9S)-(-)-\alpha,3a,7-trimethyl-5,8-dioxa-1,4-ethanoperhydropentalene-1-acetic acid\) \((\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_{4})\), is shown to aggregate in the crystal structure as acid-to-ketone hydrogen-bonding catemers, whose chains follow \(2_{1}\) screw axes from each carboxyl to a ketone in a neighbouring molecule \([\text{O}1\cdots\text{O} = 2.7472 (13) \text{Å} \text{and O}1\cdots\text{H} = 172.7 (17)\] \(^{\text{ii}}\). Two parallel counterdirectional screw-related single-strand hydrogen-bonding chains pass through the cell in the \(a\) direction. Six intermolecular \(\text{C}--\text{H}--\text{O}--\text{C}\) close contacts are found. Comparisons are drawn with a diastereomer having the opposite configuration at the methylated chiral centre adjacent to the carboxyl group.

**Comment**

Transformations of \((-)-\alpha\)-santonin, a sesquiterpenoid *Artemisia* isolate, offer a rich vein of chiral non-racemic keto acids (Brunskill *et al.*, 1999, 2001, 2002; Thompson & Lalancette, 2003). Several such compounds provide opportunities to examine hydrogen-bonding changes due to inversion at a single chiral centre.

The title compound, (I), is a tricyclic diketo acid having the absolute \(S\) configuration at \(\text{C}9\), whose chirality is independent of the tricyclic framework. Compound (I) is thus the \(\text{C}9\)-diastereomer of \((-)-\alpha\)-parasantonic acid, (II) (Zinczuk *et al.*, 2004).

Fig. 1 shows the asymmetric unit of (I) and its numbering. The rigidity of the system leaves significant rotations around only \(\text{C}1\cdots\text{C}9\) and \(\text{C}9\cdots\text{C}10\). The arrangement about \(\text{C}1\cdots\text{C}9\) is staggered, with the carboxyl and \(\gamma\)-ketone (O1) anti to one another [torsion angle \(\text{C}8\cdots\text{C}1\cdots\text{C}9\cdots\text{C}10 = 166.09 (10)\] \(^{\text{ii}}\). The carboxyl is rotated to give a \(\text{C}1\cdots\text{C}9\cdots\text{C}10\cdots\text{O}3\) torsion angle of \(79.95 (15)\] \(^{\text{ii}}\). This arrangement of the carboxyl relative to the tricyclic framework differs markedly from that in the \(\text{C}9\)-diastereomer, (II), where these angles are \(-50.7 (5)\) and \(146.0 (4)\] \(^{\text{ii}}\), respectively (Fig. 2). In (II), the carboxyl and \(\gamma\)-ketone carbonyls are roughly parallel [torsion angle \(\text{O}1\cdots\text{C}8\cdots\text{C}10\cdots\text{O}3 = 28.1 (5)\] \(^{\text{ii}}\)], but in (I) they point
away from one another [torsion angle $O1-C8-C10-O3 = -126.05(12)^\circ$], a difference of more than 150$^\circ$. The observed $C1-C9$ conformations for (I) and (II) both position atom $H9A$ where it is bracketed by atoms $C6a$ and $C8$, and presumably minimize torsional crowding. The resulting change in the angular arrangement of the carboxyl has dramatic consequences for the packing of (I) versus (II) (see below). As (I) is not dimeric, disorder-averaging of carboxyl bond lengths and angles is absent, and these values (Table 1) resemble those in highly ordered dimeric carboxyls.

Fig. 3 illustrates the packing of (I), involving acid-to-ketone catemers, whose hydrogen-bonding follows a $2_1$ screw from each carboxyl to the $\gamma$-ketone (O1) in a neighbour $[O\cdot\cdot\cdotO = 2.7472(13)$ Å and $O-H\cdot\cdot\cdotO = 172.7(17)^\circ]$. Two parallel counterdirectional chains pass through the cell along the $a$ axis. This mode predominates among chiral non-racemic keto acids, but differs from the rare acid-to-acid hydrogen bonding found in (II). This change results specifically from the inversion at C9 and the extension of O4—H4 at a markedly altered angle relative to the remainder of the molecule in (I) compared with (II).

We characterize the geometry of hydrogen bonding to carbonyls using a combination of $H\cdot\cdot\cdotO=C$ angle and $H\cdot\cdot\cdotO-C$ torsion angle. In (I), these two angles are 137.7 (5) and $-20.1(8)^\circ$, respectively.

Compound (I) has six intermolecular $C=O\cdot\cdot\cdotH-C$ close contacts (Table 2).

**Experimental**

Based on a procedure of Francesconi (1895), (−)-santononic acid, derived from (−)-α-santonin of known absolute stereochemistry, was refluxed in acetic acid for 18 h. The solution was then concentrated and heated for 4 h in vacuo at 448 K, yielding about 10% of the enol lactone of (I) after work-up. Brief acidic hydrolysis then gave (I) (m.p. 424 K), which was recrystallized from diisopropyl ether. Optical-rotation data for (I) were reported by Francesconi (1895).

The solid-state (KBr) IR spectrum of (I) has a $C=O$ absorption at 1747 cm$^{-1}$ (strained $\gamma$-ketone), as well as bands at 1730 and 1685 cm$^{-1}$ for, respectively, the carboxyl $C=O$ lacking hydrogen bonding and the hydrogen-bonded $\gamma$-ketone. In CHCl$_3$ solution, where dimers predominate, the $\gamma$-ketone appears at 1741 cm$^{-1}$, with a single peak at 1709 cm$^{-1}$ for the ‘normal’ absorptions of both the acid and $\gamma$-ketone.

**Crystal data**

$C_{15}H_{20}O_4$  
$M_r = 264.31$  
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$  
$a = 8.3801 (2)$ Å  
$b = 12.5398 (2)$ Å  
$c = 12.6852 (2)$ Å  
$V = 1333.02 (4)$ Å$^3$  
$Z = 4$  
$D_1 = 1.317$ Mg m$^{-3}$  
Cu $K\alpha$ radiation  
$\mu = 0.77$ mm$^{-1}$  
$T = 100 (2)$ K  
Parallelepiped, colourless  
0.50 × 0.34 × 0.26 mm

**Figure 1**
The molecular structure of (I), showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

**Figure 2**
The molecular structure of (−)-parasantonic acid, (II), which differs from (I) only in the configuration around C9.

**Figure 3**
A partial packing diagram for (I), illustrating the two parallel counter-directional screw-related single-strand hydrogen-bonded (dashed lines) chains passing through the cell in the $a$-axis direction. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.
Data collection
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\gamma$ and $\alpha$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.750, T_{\text{max}} = 0.820$

Refinement
Refinement on $F^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$
$S = 0.98$
2321 reflections
253 parameters
253 parameters
$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = 0.21 \text{ e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = -0.16 \text{ e} \AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997)
Extinction coefficient: 0.0065 (5)

Table 1
Selected bond lengths (Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O3—C10</td>
<td>1.2098 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C10</td>
<td>1.2098 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—C10—C9</td>
<td>1.2098 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—H6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—H3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11—H11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D—H—A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>D—A</th>
<th>D—A</th>
<th>D—H—A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O4—H4·O1’</td>
<td>0.847 (19)</td>
<td>1.90 (2)</td>
<td>2.7472 (13)</td>
<td>172.7 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11—H11B·O2’</td>
<td>0.991 (17)</td>
<td>2.650 (16)</td>
<td>3.1916 (17)</td>
<td>114.5 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3—H3A··O3”</td>
<td>1.001 (15)</td>
<td>2.666 (15)</td>
<td>3.6268 (16)</td>
<td>161.1 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6—H6A··O1”</td>
<td>0.945 (18)</td>
<td>2.652 (18)</td>
<td>3.5891 (17)</td>
<td>171.4 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7—H7A··O3”</td>
<td>0.979 (18)</td>
<td>2.519 (18)</td>
<td>3.4278 (16)</td>
<td>154.3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H9A··O4”</td>
<td>0.960 (15)</td>
<td>2.542 (16)</td>
<td>3.4964 (15)</td>
<td>172.6 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9—H9A··O4”</td>
<td>0.960 (15)</td>
<td>2.669 (15)</td>
<td>3.3816 (16)</td>
<td>131.3 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + 1$; (ii) $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + 1$; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.

All H atoms were found in electron-density difference maps, placed in calculated positions and allowed to refine freely, both positionally and isotropically.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SADABS (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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