# COD/DOC balanced models for the oxidation process of organic compounds

## **Edgardo Martín Contreras**

Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering

ISSN 0104-6632 Volume 37 Number 1

Braz. J. Chem. Eng. (2020) 37:61-71 DOI 10.1007/s43153-020-00008-y



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Associação Brasileira de Engenharia Química. This eoffprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# COD/DOC balanced models for the oxidation process of organic compounds

Edgardo Martín Contreras<sup>1</sup>

Received: 5 August 2019 / Revised: 2 December 2019 / Accepted: 12 December 2019 / Published online: 5 February 2020 © Associação Brasileira de Engenharia Química 2020

#### Abstract

Nowadays, several advanced oxidation and enzymatic processes are available for the removal of organic compounds. Mathematical models are crucial to optimize the operating conditions and to reduce the costs associated with the studied oxidation process. The present work deals with a procedure to develop COD/DOC balanced models to represent the oxidation process of organic compounds. The procedure is of general nature since no hypothesis is made regarding the identity of the organic compounds or the oxidant employed. Using the developed procedure, proposed oxidation pathways always fulfill elemental and electron balances. Several examples of oxidation pathways were studied to demonstrate the usefulness of the procedure. From the analysis of a particular pathway, several restrictions regarding the range of possible values of the model coefficients can be found. These restrictions can be used to enhance the robustness of the fitting procedure of the model by using different types of data, such as COD, DOC and/or the actual concentration of some relevant species. This work will help researchers in areas related to the removal of organic compounds using any oxidation process.

**Keywords** Dissolved organic carbon · Chemical oxygen demand · Advanced oxidation process · Oxidation pathway · Enzymatic oxidation

### Introduction

Nowadays, several advanced oxidation processes (AOP), such as the Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, photo or electro-Fenton, ozonation, and others are available for the oxidation of organic compounds (Babuponnusami and Muthukumar 2014; Doumic et al. 2015; Inchaurrondo et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2016). If the complete mineralization of the target compound is not necessary, enzymatic processes can also be employed (Cabrera et al. 2017; Morales Urrea et al. 2018a, b). As a general rule, during a given oxidation process, several oxidants such as hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and/or ozone ( $O_3$ ) can be used. In addition to a desirable high degradation efficiency, a key factor concerning the selection of a given oxidation process for treating a particular wastewater is the cost associated with the reagents employed (Babuponnusami and Muthukumar 2014). In this sense, mathematical models are crucial to optimize the operating conditions and to reduce the costs associated with the studied oxidation process.

Environmental regulations in most countries consider global parameters such as dissolved organic carbon (DOC) or chemical oxygen demand (COD) to evaluate the effluent quality. For practical purposes, it is neither possible nor necessary to develop a detailed model of all the reactions between species that may occur during the oxidation of a given wastewater. In fact, several works in this field report lumped kinetic expressions which are very useful for process design and optimization purposes. In most studies in this topic, authors follow several variables of the process as a function of time, such as COD, DOC, oxidant concentration, pH, and some pertinent organic species (Zazo et al. 2009; Doumic et al. 2013; Babuponnusami and Muthukumar 2014; Inchaurrondo et al. 2014). However, the kinetic analysis usually considers just a few of them and frequently there is no attempt to conciliate all the available data applying the restrictions imposed by material and charge balances, even when they indeed exist. In this sense, COD/DOC balanced models overcome this deficiency, helping researchers to state correctly the mathematical



Edgardo Martín Contreras edgardo.contreras@fi.mdp.edu.ar

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencia y Tecnología de Materiales (INTEMA). CCT Mar del Plata. CONICET – Facultad de Ingeniería, UNMdP, J. B. Justo 4302, B7608FDQ Mar Del Plata, Argentina

treatment of the collected data and understand which data are strictly needed.

By definition, a COD/DOC balanced model is a lumped model that fulfills carbon (e.g., DOC) and electron (e.g., COD) balances. These balances can be used to impose restrictions on the model coefficients or to enhance the model robustness by using different types of data, such as COD, DOC and/or the actual concentration of some relevant species. In this sense, the objective of this work is to propose a method to develop COD/DOC balanced models to represent the oxidation process of organic compounds that allow a better analysis of the available data.

### Definitions

By definition, the theoretical oxygen demand (ThOD) is the calculated amount of oxygen necessary to oxidize a compound to a given set of oxidation products. If these products are equal to the products obtained during the COD assay, then the ThOD and COD values are equivalent. During the measurement of the COD of a given sample, the carbon from organic compounds is oxidized to  $CO_2$ , sulfur to sulfate, and phosphorous to phosphate (Orhon and Artan 1994). Thus, CO<sub>2</sub>, sulfate, and phosphate are usually assumed as the final products during the calculation of the ThOD. However, the final product obtained in the COD assay from nitrogen-containing compounds depends on the stability of the nitrogenous moiety. Under the typical conditions employed during the COD test, nitrogen from proteins and amino acids (e.g., nitrogen from bacteria) is released as NH<sub>3</sub>, while nitrogen from azo-dyes, for example, is usually oxidized to nitrate. Thus, ThOD depends on the nitrogenous oxidation product assumed in the calculations. For example, the oxidation of one C-mol of a given compound with a general elemental formula  $CH_{\alpha}O_{\beta}N_{\gamma}S_{\delta}P_{\epsilon}M_{m}$  considering ammonia as the oxidation product is shown in reaction R1:

$$CH_{\alpha}O_{\beta}N_{\gamma}S_{\delta}P_{\varepsilon}M_{m} + w_{min}H_{2}O \rightarrow CO_{2} + \gamma NH_{3} + \delta SO_{4}^{2-} + \varepsilon PO_{4}^{3-} + mM^{+} + h_{min}H^{+} + x_{min}e^{-}$$
(R1)

In reaction R1, the product  $mM^+$  represents the sum of charges over all the inert cations of the compound (Stumm and Morgan 1996). Solving the charge balance, and mass balances for hydrogen and oxygen, the following expressions can be obtained:

$$w_{\min} = 2 - \beta + 4\delta + 4\varepsilon \tag{1}$$

$$h_{\min} = 4 + \alpha - 2\beta - 3\gamma + 8\delta + 8\varepsilon \tag{2}$$

$$x_{min} = 4 + \alpha - 2\beta - 3\gamma + 6\delta + 5\varepsilon + m \tag{3}$$

In the case of some nitrogen-containing compounds (e.g., azo-dyes), nitrogen may be further oxidized to nitrate



where

$$w_{max} = 2 - \beta + 3\gamma + 4\delta + 4\varepsilon \tag{4}$$

$$h_{max} = 4 + \alpha - 2\beta + 6\gamma + 8\delta + 8\varepsilon \tag{5}$$

$$x_{max} = 4 + \alpha - 2\beta + 5\gamma + 6\delta + 5\varepsilon + m \tag{6}$$

The electrons released from R1 and R2 are accepted by the oxidant employed. In the case of molecular oxygen

$$O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O \tag{R3}$$

Combining reactions R1 to R3, the amount of oxygen corresponding to the complete oxidation of one C-mol (z,  $gO_2/C$ -mol) of a given organic compound with formula  $CH_{\alpha}O_{\beta}N_{\gamma}S_{\delta}P_{\epsilon}M_{m}$  ranges between the following values:

$$z_{min} = \frac{32}{4} x_{min} = \frac{32}{4} (4 + \alpha - 2\beta - 3\gamma + 6\delta + 5\varepsilon + m)$$
(7)

$$z_{max} = \frac{32}{4} x_{max} = \frac{32}{4} (4 + \alpha - 2\beta + 5\gamma + 6\delta + 5\varepsilon + m)$$
(8)

The difference between  $z_{max}$  and  $z_{min}$  arises from the oxidation of the nitrogen to nitrate. For this reason, compounds devoid of nitrogen ( $\gamma = 0$ ) have a unique value of z.

In most cases, the elemental composition of the target compound (or the wastewater) is not known. However, if DOC (in C-mol/L) and COD (in  $gO_2/L$ ) data are available, z can be obtained from Eq. (9):

$$z = \frac{COD}{DOC} \tag{9}$$

During the treatment of a wastewater with a given oxidation process, several intermediates may appear, yielding in most cases a mixture of compounds with unknown composition. In these cases, the ratio COD/DOC changes as a function of time and it represents an indication of the average oxidation degree of the carbon atoms in the mixture (Doumic et al. 2013).

Instead of oxygen, other oxidants (Ox), such as hydrogen peroxide, or ozone can be used. Even Cr(VI), the true oxidant in the COD assay, can also accept the electrons released from R1 or R2:

$$H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \to 2H_2O$$
 (R4)

$$O_3 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \to 3H_2O \tag{R5}$$

$$Cr(VI) + 3e^- \rightarrow Cr(III)$$
 (R6)

Expressing reactions R3 to R6 per mol of electrons, the following expression can be obtained:

$$1e^{-} \equiv \frac{1}{4}O_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}H_2O_2 \equiv \frac{1}{6}O_3 \equiv \frac{1}{3}Cr(VI)$$
(10)

Equation (10) can be used to obtain the conversion factor ( $f_{Ox}$ ,  $gO_2$ /molOx) between oxygen units (e.g., from COD values) and other oxidants that are usually employed in different oxidation processes. For example, according to Eq. (10), one mol of electrons that are released from the oxidation of a given compound can reduce 1/4 mol of molecular oxygen to water, or 1/2 mol of hydrogen peroxide to water. Thus, the reduction of one mol of hydrogen peroxide is equivalent to one-half mol of molecular oxygen. Considering the molecular weight of molecular oxygen, the conversion factor for hydrogen peroxide units (mol) to oxygen units (g) is  $f_{H_2O_2} = 16 \text{ gO}_2/$ molH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Using a similar procedure, conversion factors corresponding to ozone and Cr(VI) can be obtained:  $f_{O_2} = 48 \text{ gO}_2/$  $molO_3$ ,  $f_{Cr(VI}) = 24 \text{ gO}_2/molCr(VI)$ . These conversion factors are useful for calculating the stoichiometric amount of oxidant required to completely oxidize one mol of C from a given organic compound ( $\nu_{s}$ , molOx/C-mol) according to Eq. (11):

$$v_S = \frac{z}{f_{Ox}} \tag{11}$$

where  $f_{Ox}$  represents the conversion factor corresponding to the oxidant used (Ox). According to this definition, the complete oxidation of one C-mol of an organic compound with formula  $CH_{\alpha}O_{\beta}N_{\gamma}S_{\delta}P_{\epsilon}M_{m}$  by a given oxidant (Ox) can be represented by reaction R7

$$CH_{\alpha}O_{\beta}N_{\gamma}S_{\delta}P_{\varepsilon}M_{m} + v_{S}Ox \to CO_{2} + oxidation \ products$$
(R7)

where the value of  $\nu_{\rm S}$  depends on the oxidation products (R1, R2) and on the oxidant used (R3 to R6).

It must be noted that the stoichiometric coefficient  $\nu_s$  in reaction R7 represents the lower limit for the consumption of oxidant due to the complete oxidation of one C-mol of the target compound. In most cases, the actual consumption of oxidant often increases due to the self-decomposition reactions. For example, overall self-decomposition reactions for hydrogen peroxide and ozone can be represented by reaction R8 as follows (Beltrán 2004; Babuponnusami and Muthukumar 2014):

$$H_2 O_2 \xrightarrow{R_{SD}} \frac{1}{2} O_2 + H_2 O \tag{R8}$$

$$O_3 \xrightarrow{R_{SD}} \frac{3}{2} O_2 \tag{R9}$$

where  $R_{SD}$  represents the self-decomposition rate of the oxidant. It must be noted that if the rate of these decomposition reactions is much lower than the oxidation rate (R7), the actual stoichiometric coefficient ( $\nu_A$ ) will be close to the stoichiometric one ( $\nu_S$ ).

As a general rule, when a given process is used to oxidize a single compound, a mixture of compounds, or a wastewater, molecular oxygen reactivity toward the organic compounds is usually negligible under the conditions employed. In fact, high oxygen partial pressures (0.5–1.25 MPa) and temperatures (373–413 K) are necessary to use molecular oxygen as the oxidant (Zapico et al. 2015). For this reason, in most cases the molecular oxygen generated by reactions R8 or R9 can be considered as an inert product. Even though the oxygen released from self-decomposition reactions of the oxidant could oxidize the organic matter, from the stoichiometric point the net effect is the consumption of the oxidant used to oxidize the organic compound. In these cases, oxygen plays the role of an intermediate oxidant during the oxidation process.

Reaction R7 represents the stoichiometric relationship between reagents and products during a given oxidation process. This overall reaction could proceed in different ways, such as consecutive or parallel reactions, in both cases with the formation of many intermediates, for example. Because of the great diversity of oxidation pathways, it is not possible to develop a generalization. For this reason, in the following sections, some particular cases are discussed.

### Examples of COD/DOC balanced oxidation pathways

### Consecutive degradation pathway with complete oxidation

In this case, it is assumed that the oxidation pathway of an organic compound (or a mixture of compounds) with formula  $CH_{\alpha 0}O_{\beta 0}N_{\gamma 0}S_{\delta 0}P_{\epsilon 0}M_{m0}$  (S<sub>0</sub>) with a given oxidant (Ox) proceeds in three sequential steps. In the first steps, two intermediates  $CH_{\alpha 1}O_{\beta 1}N_{\gamma 1}S_{\delta 1}P_{\epsilon 1}M_{m1}$  (S<sub>1</sub>) and  $CH_{\alpha 2}O_{\beta 2}N_{\gamma 2}S_{\delta 2}P_{\epsilon 2}M_{m 2}$  (S<sub>2</sub>) are produced. Finally, during the third step, S<sub>2</sub> is mineralized to carbon dioxide, nitrate, sulfate and phosphate. It must be pointed out that model intermediates S1 or S2 could be actual compounds, or a mixture of compounds that, from a practical standpoint, can be represented as a single compound. This approach is widely used by several authors. For example, available kinetic models for the classic Fenton process vary in complexity. The simplest models use empirical kinetic expressions to represent the experimental data (Khan et al. 2009; Ramírez et al. 2009; Bach et al. 2010; Emami et al. 2010; Inchaurrondo et al. 2012). Several researchers suggested simplified



reaction mechanisms and proposed lumped models in which organic compounds are grouped according to their susceptibility to oxidation (Guo and Al-Dahhan 2003; Zazo et al. 2009). Finally, although detailed models that describe actual elementary reactions are also available (Kang et al. 2002; Villota et al. 2007; Pontes et al. 2010), these models are usually excessively complex to be useful in actual wastewater treatment facilities.

Each proposed oxidation step can be represented by reactions R10 to R12 as follows:

$$S_{0} + v_{0}Ox \xrightarrow{\sim_{0}} f_{1}S_{1} + (1 - f_{1})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{0} - f_{1}\gamma_{1})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{0} - f_{1}\delta_{1})SO_{4}^{2-} + (\epsilon_{0} - f_{1}\epsilon_{1})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{0} - f_{1}m_{1})M^{+} (R10)$$

$$S_{1} + v_{1}Ox \xrightarrow{\kappa_{1}} f_{2}S_{2} + (1 - f_{2})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{1} - f_{2}\gamma_{2})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{1} - f_{2}\delta_{2})SO_{4}^{2-} + (\varepsilon_{1} - f_{2}\varepsilon_{2})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{1} - f_{2}m_{2})M^{+}$$
(R11)

$$S_2 + v_2 Ox \xrightarrow{R_2} CO_2 + \gamma_2 NO_3^- + \delta_2 SO_4^{2-} + \varepsilon_2 PO_4^{3-} + m_2 M^+$$
(R12)

where  $R_i$  represents the oxidation rate of  $S_i$ .

R

For each oxidation step (R10, R11, R12), stoichiometric coefficients arise from the elementary mass balance. The stoichiometric coefficient  $f_i$  represents the fraction of the organic carbon of the compound  $S_{i-1}$  that appears in the oxidation product  $S_i$ ; according to this definition,  $f_i \leq 1$ . Moreover, because all reactions are based on one C-mol, the amount of released CO<sub>2</sub> during each step is  $(1 - f_i)$ . For this reason, if during a given oxidation step no CO<sub>2</sub> is released then  $f_i = 1$ . Another important constraint arises from the fact that each stoichiometric coefficient must be positive or zero. For example, in the case of nitrate:

$$\left(\gamma_i - f_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1}\right) \ge 0 \tag{12}$$

If during a given oxidation step no nitrate is released, then  $\gamma_i - f_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1} = 0$ . Taking into account that  $f_i \leq 1$ , it can be concluded that  $\gamma_i \leq \gamma_{i+1}$ . Conversely, if a given partial oxidation product  $S_i$  is devoid of nitrogen then  $\gamma_i = 0$ . Also, it is important to note that, if  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_{i+1}$  are known, then Eq. (12) imposes that  $f_{i+1} \leq \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i+1}}$ . Moreover, once nitrogen is released from the original compound, further oxidation products are also devoid of nitrogen ( $\gamma_{i+1} = \gamma_{i+2} = \dots 0$ ). Similar considerations are valid for the other stoichiometric coefficients ( $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , m).

The overall oxidation reaction (R13) can be obtained by combining R10 to R12:

$$S_{0} + (v_{0} + f_{1}v_{1} + f_{1}f_{2}v_{2})Ox 
\rightarrow [(1 - f_{1}) + f_{1}(1 - f_{2}) + f_{1}f_{2}]CO_{2} 
+ [(\gamma_{0} - f_{1}\gamma_{1}) + f_{1}(\gamma_{1} - f_{2}\gamma_{2}) + f_{1}f_{2}\gamma_{2}]NO_{3}^{-} 
+ [(\delta_{0} - f_{1}\delta_{1}) + f_{1}(\delta_{1} - f_{2}\delta_{2}) + f_{1}f_{2}\delta_{2}]SO_{3}^{2-} 
+ [(\epsilon_{0} - f_{1}\epsilon_{1}) + f_{1}(\epsilon_{1} - f_{2}\epsilon_{2}) + f_{1}f_{2}\epsilon_{2}]PO_{4}^{3-} 
+ [(m_{0} - f_{1}m_{1}) + f_{1}(m_{1} - f_{2}m_{2}) + f_{1}f_{2}m_{2}]M^{+}$$
(R13)

It must be noted that reaction R13 fulfill all the elemental mass balances. For example, considering that S<sub>0</sub> stands for  $CH_{\alpha 0}O_{\beta 0}N_{\gamma 0}S_{\delta 0}P_{\epsilon 0}M_{m0}$ , mass balance corresponding to P is:

$$\varepsilon_0 = (\varepsilon_0 - f_1 \varepsilon_1) + f_1 (\varepsilon_1 - f_2 \varepsilon_2) + f_1 f_2 \varepsilon_2$$
(13)

Equation (13) demonstrates that the proposed reactions R10 to R12 satisfy the mass balance for P for any value of  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $f_i$ . Similar considerations are also valid for C, N, S, and M. Moreover, from the comparison between R7 and R13, the following equation was obtained:

$$v_S = v_0 + f_1 v_1 + f_1 f_2 v_2 \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) is a very important result because it poses a restriction involving the coefficients that represent the degree of mineralization ( $f_i$ ) and the consumption of oxidant ( $\nu_i$ ) during each step (i) of the proposed oxidation pathway. This equation can be used to reduce one fitting variable during the fitting of the proposed model to the available experimental data, improving the results obtained in of the fitting procedure.

From the environmental standpoint, DOC and COD values are usually more important than the actual concentration of single compounds. In this sense, COD/DOC balanced models can help to calculate these parameters as follows. According to the proposed reactions (R10 to R12), if  $[S_i]$  is the concentration (expressed in C-mol/L) of compound i, the DOC of the reaction mixture is

$$DOC = \sum_{i=0}^{i=2} \left[ S_i \right] \tag{15}$$

where the summation is performed over the 3 compounds of the proposed pathway. In a similar manner, the COD value corresponding to all the organic compounds in the mixture is:

$$COD = \sum_{i=0}^{i=2} z_i [S_i]$$
(16)

Although a priori  $z_i$  values are not known, in a COD/DOC balanced model the coefficients  $z_i$  (gO<sub>2</sub>/C-mol) of Eq. (16) can be related to the corresponding  $v_i$  (molOx/C-mol) as follows. According to reaction R12,  $v_2$  mol of oxidant are

consumed for the complete oxidation of one C-mol of  $S_2$ . Thus, considering Eq. (11):

$$z_2 = f_{Ox} v_2 \tag{17}$$

In contrast with R12, in R11 the partial oxidation of  $S_1$  produces  $f_2$  C-mol of  $S_2$ . The complete oxidation of  $S_1$  can be obtained from the combination of R11 and R12:

$$S_{1} + (v_{1} + f_{2}v_{2})Ox \to CO_{2} + \gamma_{1}NO_{3}^{-} + \delta_{1}SO_{4}^{2-} + \varepsilon_{1}PO_{4}^{3-} + m_{1}M^{+}$$
(R14)

According to reaction R14

$$z_1 = f_{Ox}(v_1 + f_2 v_2) = f_{Ox}v_1 + f_2 z_2$$
(18)

Equation (18) indicates that the complete oxidation of  $S_1$  is the result of its partial oxidation to  $S_2$  (represented by the term  $f_{Ox}v_1$ ), followed by the complete oxidation of  $f_2$  C-mol of  $S_2$  ( $f_2z_2$ ). Using a similar procedure,  $z_0$  can be obtained:

$$z_0 = f_{Ox} v_0 + f_1 z_1 \tag{19}$$

Besides, considering the global reaction R7 and Eq. (11),  $z_0$  can also be obtained using Eq. (20):

$$z_0 = f_{Ox} v_S \tag{20}$$

By the combination of Eqs. (17) to (20)

$$f_{Ox}v_S = f_{Ox}v_0 + f_1(f_{Ox}v_1 + f_2f_{Ox}v_2)$$
(21)

It must be noted that Eq. (14) can also be obtained by simplification of Eq. (21), demonstrating the reliability of the developed procedure. Finally, it must be remembered that  $v_S$  represents the stoichiometric amount of oxidant for the complete oxidation of S<sub>0</sub>. As a general rule, due to the presence of self-decomposition reactions, the actual oxidant consumption ( $v_A$ ) is higher than the stoichiometric one ( $v_S$ ). If this is the case, self-decomposition reactions (SD) must be taken into account to calculate  $v_A$ . Besides, from the difference between  $v_A$  and  $v_S$ , the contribution of the selfdecomposition reactions on the consumption of oxidant can be evaluated from the experimental data.

Table 1 shows the stoichiometric matrix corresponding to the analyzed degradation pathway. Because this is a COD/ DOC balanced model, DOC and COD values can be computed using Eqs. (15) and (16). Conversely, COD and DOC data contain information concerning the evolution of the proposed compounds  $S_i$ . Moreover, nitrate, sulfate, phosphate, and  $CO_2$  measurements can also be used to obtain a better estimation of all the stoichiometric and kinetic coefficients corresponding to the analyzed oxidation pathway. At this point, it is worth mentioning that from a stoichiometric perspective, the actual expressions corresponding to the reaction rates are not relevant. For this reason, this issue is out of the scope of the present work.

### Consecutive degradation pathway with partial oxidation

In some cases, the complete mineralization of the tested compound (or a mixture of compounds) requires huge amounts of oxidant and/or long reaction times which are not technically feasible. Keeping in mind that the main objective of a wastewater treatment process is the local law compliance, for most purposes, it is neither necessary nor practical to achieve complete oxidation. For example, in a recent work, Hinojosa Guerra et al. (2019) studied the oxidation of amoxicillin and paracetamol by a photo-Fenton solar process. These authors reported that DOC removals ranged from 6 to 26% due to the accumulation of hydroxylated intermediates under the tested conditions. To improve the DOC conversion, high amounts of  $H_2O_2$ , as well as longer reaction times, would be necessary.

According to the example depicted in the previous section, the partial oxidation of  $S_0$  with an oxidant (Ox) proceeded up to the formation of  $S_2$ . In the present example, it is assumed that  $S_2$  is a non-oxidizable compound under the experimental conditions. Table 2 shows the stoichiometric matrix corresponding to the example analyzed in the present section. Considering only the initial and final states, the oxidation of  $S_0$  to a given  $S_F = S_2$  can be represented by reaction R15

$$S_{0} + v_{P}Ox \rightarrow f_{F}S_{F} + (1 - f_{F})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{0} - f_{F}\gamma_{2})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{0} - f_{F}\delta_{2})SO_{4}^{2-} + (\epsilon_{0} - f_{F}\epsilon_{2})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{0} - f_{F}m_{2})M^{+}$$
(B15)

where  $\nu_{\rm P}$  represents the stoichiometric amount of oxidant necessary to partially oxidize S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>F</sub>, and  $f_F$  is the fraction

Table 1 Stoichiometric matrix corresponding to the complete oxidation of an organic compound (or a mixture of compounds) with formula  $CH_{\alpha 0}O_{\beta 0}N_{\gamma 0}S_{\delta 0}P_{\epsilon 0}M_{m0}$  (S<sub>0</sub>) using a given oxidant (Ox)

Step	S <sub>0</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	Ox	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$PO_{4}^{3-}$	$M^+$	Rate
1 2	-1	f <sub>1</sub> - 1	$f_2$	$-\nu_0$ $-\nu_1$	$1 - f_1$ 1 - f_2	$\frac{\gamma_0 - f_1 \gamma_1}{\gamma_1 - f_2 \gamma_2}$	$\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1$ $\delta_1 - f_2 \delta_2$		$m_0 - f_1 m_1$ $m_1 - f_2 m_2$	R <sub>0</sub> R <sub>1</sub>
3			-1	$-\nu_2$	1	$\gamma_2$	$\delta_2$	$\epsilon_2$	m <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>



Table 2	Stoichiometric matrix
correspo	onding to the partial
oxidatio	n of $S_0$ to $S_2$ using a
given og	(Ox)

Step	S <sub>0</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	Ox	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	<i>SO</i> <sub>4</sub> <sup>2–</sup>	$PO_{4}^{3-}$	<i>M</i> <sup>+</sup>	Rate
1	-1	f <sub>1</sub>		$-\nu_0$	$1 - f_1$	$\gamma_0 - f_1 \gamma_1$	$\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1$	$\epsilon_0 - f_1 \epsilon_1$	$m_0 - f_1 m_1$	R <sub>0</sub>
2		-1	$f_2$	$-\nu_1$	$1 - f_2$	$\gamma_1 - f_2 \gamma_2$	$\delta_1-f_2\delta_2$	$\epsilon_1 - f_2 \epsilon_2$	$m_1 - f_2 m_2$	$R_1$

of the initial organic carbon of  $S_0$  that remains in solution due to the presence of  $S_2$ . It must be noted that, according to the analyzed oxidation pathway, in this case,  $S_F$  corresponds to  $S_2$ .

On the other hand, combining reactions R10 and R11, the partial oxidation of  $S_0$  to  $S_2$  can be obtained as follows:

$$S_{0} + (\nu_{0} + f_{1}\nu_{1})Ox \rightarrow f_{1}f_{2}S_{2} + (1 - f_{1}f_{2})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{0} - f_{1}f_{2}\gamma_{2})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{0} - f_{1}f_{2}\delta_{2})SO_{3}^{2-} + (\varepsilon_{0} - f_{1}f_{2}\varepsilon_{2})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{0} - f_{1}f_{2}m_{2})M^{+}$$
(R16)

From the comparison between R15 and R16, the following relationships can be obtained:

$$v_P = v_0 + f_1 v_1 \tag{22}$$

$$f_F = f_1 f_2 \tag{23}$$

By definition, the complete oxidation of  $S_0$  is the result of its partial oxidation to produce  $f_F$  C-mol of  $S_2$  ( $f_{Ox}v_P$ ), followed by the complete oxidation of  $S_2$  ( $f_Fz_2$ ):

$$z_0 = f_{Ox} v_P + f_F z_2 \tag{24}$$

For example, knowing the initial and final values corresponding to COD and DOC, the following stoichiometric coefficients can be obtained:

$$z_0 = \frac{COD_0}{DOC_0} \tag{25}$$

$$z_2 = z_F = \frac{COD_F}{DOC_F} \tag{26}$$

$$f_F = \frac{DOC_F}{DOC_0} \tag{27}$$

Then, combining Eqs. (24) to (27)

$$COD_0 = TOC_0 f_{Ox} v_P + COD_F$$
<sup>(28)</sup>

In other words, the difference between initial and final COD values represents the stoichiometric amount of oxidant (expressed in oxygen units) necessary to oxidize the sample from the initial oxidation state to a given final one. Thus, even when initial and final species are not known, the oxidant employed exclusively to partially oxidize the sample ( $v_p$ ) can be obtained from experimental data (Eq. 28). Moreover,



if data corresponding to the actual oxidant consumption ( $\nu_A$ ) are available, from the difference between  $\nu_A$  and  $\nu_P$  the contribution of the self-decomposition reactions to the overall consumption of oxidant can be evaluated. Finally, it must be pointed out that Eq. (28) is of general nature, since it is based on experimental data, without making a hypothesis regarding the identity of the organic compounds that are present in the reaction mixture.

### Parallel pathways with partial oxidation

This case is the most frequent one when studying the oxidation of xenobiotics. Examples of this type of oxidation pathway can be found in Feng et al. (2017), Gan et al. (2018), and Lim et al. (2019). Those works report that the oxidation of the target compound ( $S_0$ ) by the tested oxidant (Ox) proceeds via two intermediates  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . However, the subsequent reactions depend on the case analyzed. In the present pathway, it was assumed that  $S_1$  is oxidized to  $CO_2$  but the oxidation of  $S_2$ releases a non-oxidizable (e.g., inert) product  $S_3$ . To abbreviate the analysis, we will focus attention on the carbon balance only. The complete stoichiometric matrix corresponding to this case can be found in "Appendix". The proposed reactions are

$$S_0 + v_0 Ox \xrightarrow{R_0} f_1 S_1 + f_2 S_2 + (1 - f_1 - f_2) CO_2$$
(R17)

$$S_1 + v_1 O_X \xrightarrow{R_1} CO_2 \tag{R18}$$

$$S_2 + v_2 Ox \xrightarrow{R_2} f_3 S_3 + (1 - f_3) CO_2$$
 (R19)

According to these reactions, six stoichiometric coefficients are necessary to completely define the oxidation pathway:  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , and  $f_3$ . Besides, four more coefficients are required to calculate the COD of the reaction mixture:  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ , and  $z_3$ . However, it must be noted that the final product of the analyzed oxidation pathway is S<sub>3</sub>; thus, in this case S<sub>F</sub>=S<sub>3</sub>. Hence, among the ten coefficients,  $z_0$  and  $z_F = z_3$  can be evaluated from the initial and final values of COD and DOC via Eqs. (25) and (26).

Combining reactions R17 to R19, the overall oxidation reaction is:

$$S_0 + (v_0 + f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2) Ox \to f_2 f_3 S_3 + (1 - f_2 f_3) CO_2$$
 (R20)

Besides, according to the analyzed pathway, the overall reaction is

)

Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering (2020) 37:61-71

$$S_0 + \nu_P Ox \to f_F S_3 + (1 - f_F) CO_2 \tag{R21}$$

Thus, from the comparison between reactions R20 and R21, and considering Eqs. (27) and (28):

$$v_P = \frac{COD_0 - COD_f}{DOC_0 f_{Ox}} = v_0 + f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2$$
(29)

$$f_F = f_2 f_3 = \frac{DOC_f}{DOC_0} \tag{30}$$

Equation (29) imposes a restriction regarding the combination of possible values of the coefficients. Moreover, taking into account that all stoichiometric coefficients are non-negative values, coefficients  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $f_1$ , and  $f_2$  can only adopt values that satisfy the following expression:

$$f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2 \le v_P \tag{31}$$

Because reaction R18 represents the complete oxidation of  $S_1, z_1$  is simply

$$z_1 = f_{Ox} v_1 \tag{32}$$

According to reaction R19, the complete oxidation of  $S_2$  is the result of its oxidation to  $S_3$ , followed by the complete oxidation of  $S_3$ , thus

$$z_2 = f_{Ox}v_2 + f_3 z_3 \tag{33}$$

In a similar manner, considering reaction R17

$$z_0 = f_{Ox}v_0 + f_1 z_1 + f_2 z_2 \tag{34}$$

Although the analyzed pathway contains ten stoichiometric coefficients ( $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ ,  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ ), seven relationships between them were derived from the above analysis (Eqs. 25, 26, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34). Therefore, if data concerning the evolution of COD and DOC of the reaction mixture as a function of time are available, only three coefficients must be fitted to completely define the stoichiometry of the pathway analized. According to this result, the convenience of developing a COD/DOC balanced model is evident.

### Application of a COD/DOC balanced model to a real case

This example is included to demonstrate the usefulness of a COD/DOC balanced model applied to a real case. Chahbane et al. (2007) studied the oxidation of Orange II by  $H_2O_2$  (Ox) catalyzed by iron(III) complexed to tetra amido macrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML) in aqueous solutions. According to these authors, the first step (R22) is the oxidation of Orange II (S<sub>0</sub>) to give 4-hydroxybenzenesulfonic acid (S<sub>1</sub>), phthalic acid (S<sub>2</sub>), and other unidentified organic compounds (S<sub>3</sub>). While under the excess of  $H_2O_2$  the unidentified products (S<sub>3</sub>) are stable, 4-hydroxybenzenesulfonic acid (S<sub>1</sub>) and phthalic acid (S<sub>2</sub>) are further oxidized to give a mixture (S<sub>4</sub>) of oxalate, glycolate, formate, and CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 3). The main findings reported by Chahbane et al. (2007) can be represented by the following scheme

$$S_{0} + v_{0}Ox \xrightarrow{R_{0}} f_{1}S_{1} + f_{2}S_{2} + f_{3}S_{3} + \frac{2}{16}HNO_{3} + \frac{1}{16}NaOH + (\delta_{0} - f_{1}\delta_{1})H_{2}SO_{4}$$
(R22)

$$S_1 + v_1 Ox \xrightarrow{R_1} f_4 S_4 + (1 - f_4) CO_2 + \frac{1}{6} H_2 SO_4$$
 (R23)

$$S_2 + \nu_2 O_X \xrightarrow{R_2} f_5 S_4 + (1 - f_5) CO_2 \tag{R24}$$

In reaction R22, it was assumed that the unidentified products (S<sub>3</sub>) are devoid of nitrogen and sulfur and that the nitrogen from Orange II is oxidized to nitrate. Also, it is important to note that the term  $(\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1)$  must be introduced in reaction R22 to close the sulfur balance. The stoichiometric coefficients  $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{16}$ , and  $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6}$  represent the sulfur content per C-mol of Orange II and 4-hydroxy-benzenesulfonic acid, respectively (Table 3). Because the term  $(\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1)$  must be a positive value, it can be concluded that  $f_1 \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma_1} = 0.375$ .

According to reaction R22, one C-mol of Orange II is converted to  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$ . Thus, from the carbon balance of reaction R2, Eq. (35) can be obtained

Table 3 Species employed in the COD/DOC balanced model to represent the oxidation of Orange II ( $S_0$ ) by  $H_2O_2$ (Ox) catalyzed by iron(III) complexed with tetra amido macrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML) in aqueous solutions (Chahbane et al. 2007)

Species	Compound	C-mol based formula	z <sub>i</sub> (gO <sub>2</sub> /C-mol)
S <sub>0</sub>	Orange II	$CH_{\left(\frac{11}{16}\right)}O_{\left(\frac{4}{16}\right)}N_{\left(\frac{2}{16}\right)}S_{\left(\frac{1}{16}\right)}Na_{\left(\frac{1}{16}\right)}$	$z_0 = 42$
S <sub>1</sub>	4-hydroxybenzenesulfonic acid	$CHO_{\left(\frac{4}{2}\right)}S_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$	z <sub>1</sub> =37.3
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	Phthalic acid	$CH_{\left(\frac{6}{5}\right)}O_{\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)}$	$z_2 = 30$
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Unknown products		_
$S_4$	A mixture composed by oxalate, glycolate and formate	-	$z_4 = 8 - 24$

$$1 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \tag{35}$$

With regard to reaction R23, because  $S_4$  represents a mixture composed of oxalate, glycolate and formate, the oxidation of 4-hydroxybenzenesulfonic acid must release all the sulfur of  $S_1$  ( $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ ).

The overall oxidation of Orange II to the final products  $(S_3, S_4)$  proposed by Chahbane et al. (2007) is as follows:

$$S_{0} + (v_{0} + f_{1}v_{1} + f_{2}v_{2})Ox \rightarrow f_{3}S_{3} + (f_{1}f_{4} + f_{2}f_{5})S_{4} + [f_{1}(1 - f_{4}) + f_{2}(1 - f_{5})]CO_{2} + (\frac{2}{16})NO_{3}H + (\frac{1}{16})NaOH + (\frac{1}{16})H_{2}SO_{4}$$
(R25)

The stoichiometric amount of hydrogen peroxide  $(v_p)$  necessary to oxidize one C-mol of Orange II to the proposed final products is given by Eq. (36)

$$\nu_P = \frac{COD_0 - COD_f}{DOC_0 f_{Ox}} = \nu_0 + f_1 \nu_1 + f_2 \nu_2 \tag{36}$$

where  $f_{Ox} = 16 \text{ gO}_2/\text{molH}_2\text{O}_2$ .

According to this example, DOC and COD values corresponding to the reaction mixture can be obtained from the concentrations of the model species:

$$DOC = [S_0] + [S_1] + [S_2] + [S_3] + [S_4]$$
(37)

$$COD = z_0[S_0] + z_1[S_1] + z_2[S_2] + z_3[S_3] + z_4[S_4]$$
(38)

Assuming that at the beginning of the experiment the reaction mixture only contains Orange II, initial DOC  $(DOC_i)$  and COD  $(COD_i)$  values are:

$$DOC_i = \left[S_0\right]_i \tag{39}$$

$$COD_i = z_0 [S_0]_i \tag{40}$$

where  $[S_0]_i$  represents the initial concentration of Orange II.

Although DOC and COD change as the oxidation proceeds, their final values can be calculated as follows. Reaction R25 indicates that under the excess of oxidant, for each C-mol of S<sub>0</sub> oxidized,  $f_3$  C-mol of S<sub>3</sub> and  $(f_1f_4 + f_2f_5)$ C-mol of S<sub>4</sub> are obtained. Accordingly, the reaction mixture DOC and COD final values are:

$$DOC_{f} = \left(f_{3} + f_{1}f_{4} + f_{2}f_{5}\right)\left[S_{0}\right]_{i}$$
(41)

$$COD_{f} = \left[z_{3}f_{3} + z_{4}(f_{1}f_{4} + f_{2}f_{5})\right] \left[S_{0}\right]_{i}$$
(42)

Then, from the combination of Eqs. (39) to (42) the following is obtained:



$$\frac{COD_f}{DOC_i} = z_3 f_3 + z_4 \left( f_1 f_4 + f_2 f_5 \right) \tag{44}$$

Considering reactions R22 to R24, the following oxidation degree balances can be derived:

$$z_0 = v_0 f_{Ox} + f_1 z_1 + f_2 z_2 + f_3 z_3 \tag{45}$$

$$z_1 = v_1 f_{Ox} + f_4 z_4 \tag{46}$$

$$z_2 = v_2 f_{Ox} + f_5 z_4 \tag{47}$$

It must be noted that Eq. (36) can also be obtained from the combination of Eqs. (39), (40), (42), and (45) to (47), demonstrating the reliability of the procedure.

In summary, the proposed oxidation pathway of Orange II by hydrogen peroxide catalyzed by iron(III) complexed by tetra amido macrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML) is composed of three coefficients related to the consumption of the oxidant during each oxidation step  $(v_0, v_1, v_2)$ , five coefficients that represent the carbon flow from Orange II to intermediates and final species  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$ , and five coefficients that are necessary to calculate the COD of the reaction mixture  $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ . Among these 13 coefficients,  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$ , and  $z_2$  can be obtained from the ThOD corresponding to S<sub>0</sub> (Orange II), S<sub>1</sub> (4-hydroxybenzenesulfonic acid), and S<sub>2</sub> (phthalic acid), respectively. Moreover, taking into account that  $S_4$  represents a mixture composed of oxalate, glycolate and formate, z<sub>4</sub> must range from 8  $gO_2/C$ -mol in the case of oxalate, to 24  $gO_2/C$ -mol if the product is glycolate only (Table 3). From the analysis of the oxidation pathway, four equations (Eqs. 35, 45, 46, 47) that relate several coefficients were obtained. Additionally, if initial and final DOC and COD values under the excess of oxidant are known, Eqs. (36), (43), and (44) can be used to further reduce the degrees of freedom of the model.

A simulation exercise corresponding to the reaction studied by Chahbane et al. (2007) was performed to demonstrate the convenience of developing a COD/DOC balanced model. Table 4 shows the stoichiometric matrix and the assumed kinetic expressions; the corresponding coefficients are shown in Table 5. It must be remarked that those kinetic expressions were adopted for simulation purposes only. Figure 1 shows the simulated concentration profiles of the model species as a function of time. While the concentration of Orange II (S<sub>0</sub>, Fig. 1a) is easy to follow by absorbance measurements at 485 nm, intermediates and final species absorb in the UVregion (Morales Urrea et al. 2018a, b). Chahbane et al. (2007) showed that absorbance at 350 nm first increases and then



decreases due to the formation and degradation of an intermediate. This behavior can be represented by the model according to the concentration profiles corresponding to the intermediates S1 and S2 (Fig. 1b). In addition to the individual species (Fig. 1a, b), nitrate, sulfate (Fig. 1c), hydrogen peroxide, COD, and DOC are also depicted (Fig. 1d). COD, DOC, and hydrogen peroxide are routine parameters used to follow oxidation reactions. Thus, these data can be employed to obtain the coefficients of the developed COD/DOC balanced oxidation pathway. Moreover, nowadays nitrate and sulfate sensors are available to monitor this reaction on a real-time basis. The use of these data during the fitting procedure could enhance the reliability of the obtained coefficients.

$$R_0 = \frac{K_I K_{II} [Fe - TAML] [Ox] [S_0]}{K_I [Ox] + K_{II} [S_0]}$$
$$R_1 = k_1 [S_1] [Ox]$$

 $R_2 = k_2 [S_2] [Ox]$ 

### Conclusions

The present work deals with a procedure to develop COD/ DOC balanced models to represent the oxidation process of organic compounds. Because no hypothesis is made regarding the identity of the organic compounds or the employed oxidant, using the procedure proposed in this work, the developed oxidation pathways always fulfill elemental and electron balances. From the analysis of a particular pathway, several restrictions regarding the possible values that the model coefficients can adopt can be found. Moreover, these restrictions can be used to enhance the robustness of the fitting procedure of the model by using different types of data, such as COD, DOC and/or the actual concentration of some relevant species, for example. This work will help researchers in areas related to the oxidation of organic compounds.

Acknowledgements The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support given by Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP), Consejo

**Table 5** Stoichiometric and kinetic coefficients corresponding to theoxidation of Orange II (S0) by H2O2 (Ox) catalyzed by iron(III) complexed by tetra amido macrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML) in aqueoussolutions

Stoichiometric coeffi	cients	Kinetic coefficients			
f <sub>1</sub> (C-mol/C-mol)	0.3*	$K_I (\mathrm{m}\mathrm{M}^{-1}\mathrm{min}^{-1})$	84**		
f <sub>2</sub> (C-mol/C-mol)	0.5*	$K_{II}$ (C-mM <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	112**		
f <sub>3</sub> (C-mol/C-mol)	0.2 (Eq. 35)	$k_1 (\mathrm{mM}^{-1}\mathrm{min}^{-1})$	0.05*		
f <sub>4</sub> (C-mol/C-mol)	0.1*	$k_2 (\mathrm{mM}^{-1}\mathrm{min}^{-1})$	0.20*		
f <sub>5</sub> (C-mol/C-mol)	0.1*				
$v_0$ (molOx/C-mol)	0.88 (Eq. 45)				
v <sub>1</sub> (molOx/C-mol)	2.28 (Eq. 46)				
$v_2$ (molOx/C-mol)	1.45 (Eq. 47)				
$z_3 (gO_2/C-mol)$	24*				
$z_4 (gO_2/C-mol)$	8*				

\*Assumed values for simulation purposes only

\*\*Adapted from Chahbane et al. (2007)

Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONI-CET), and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCYT).

### Appendix: COD/DOC balanced models to represent the oxidation of organic compounds

### Parallel pathways with partial oxidation

In this example, the oxidation of  $S_0$  by an oxidant (Ox) produces two intermediates  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . While  $S_1$  is further oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub>, the oxidation of  $S_2$  release a non-oxidizable product  $S_3$ . Considering that the elemental composition of a given compound  $S_i$  is  $CH_{\alpha i}O_{\beta i}N_{\gamma i}S_{\delta i}P_{\epsilon i}M_{mi}$ , the reaction scheme to represent this case is the following:

$$S_{0} + v_{0}Ox \xrightarrow{R_{0}} f_{1}S_{1} + f_{2}S_{2} + (1 - f_{1} - f_{2})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{0} - f_{1}\gamma_{1} - f_{2}\gamma_{2})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{0} - f_{1}\delta_{1} - f_{2}\delta_{2})SO_{4}^{2-} + (\varepsilon_{0} - f_{1}\varepsilon_{1} - f_{2}\varepsilon_{2})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{0} - f_{1}m_{1} - f_{2}m_{2})M^{+}$$

$$(48)$$

Table 4Stoichiometric matrixcorresponding to the oxidationof Orange II ( $S_0$ ) by  $H_2O_2$ (Ox) catalyzed by iron(III)complexed by tetra amidomacrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML)in aqueous solutions

Process ↓	Speci	Rate (mM/min)							
	S <sub>0</sub>	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	$S_4$	Ox	NO	SO	
R22	- 1	$f_1$	f <sub>2</sub>	$f_3$		$-v_0$	2/16	$\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1$	R <sub>0</sub> *
R23		- 1			$f_4$	$-v_1$		1/6	R <sub>1</sub> **
R24			-1		$f_5$	$-v_{2}$			R <sub>2</sub> **

\*Adapted from Chahbane et al. (2007)

\*\*Assumed expressions for simulation purposes only





**Fig.1** Simulated concentration profiles of **a**  $S_0$ ; **b**  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ; **c** nitrate, sulfate, and **d** DOC, COD, and  $H_2O_2$ , corresponding to the oxidation of Orange II ( $S_0$ ) by  $H_2O_2$  catalyzed by iron(III) complexed to tetra amido macrocyclic ligands (Fe-TAML) in

aqueous solutions. Stoichiometric and kinetic coefficients are depicted in Tables 4 and 5. Initial concentrations are the following:  $[H_2O_2] = 1 \text{ mmol/L}, [Fe - TAML] = 0.003 \text{ mmol/L}, [S_0] = 0.42\text{ mmML}$ 

Table 6 Stoichiometric matrix corresponding to the analyzed pathway

Step	S <sub>0</sub>	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Ox	CO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$PO_{4}^{3-}$	$M^+$	Rate
1 2 3	-1	$f_1 - 1$	$f_2 - 1$	$f_3$	$-v_0$ $-v_1$ $-v_2$	$1 - f_1 - f_2$ 1 $1 - f_3$	$\gamma_0 - f_1 \gamma_1 - f_2 \gamma_2$ $\gamma_1$ $\gamma_2 - f_3 \gamma_3$	$ \begin{aligned} &\delta_0 - f_1 \delta_1 - f_2 \delta_2 \\ &\delta_1 \\ &\delta_2 - f_3 \delta_3 \end{aligned} $	$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 - f_1 \varepsilon_1 - f_2 \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 - f_3 \varepsilon_3 \end{aligned}$	$m_0 - f_1 m_1 - f_2 m_2$ $m_1$ $m_2 - f_3 m_3$	R <sub>0</sub> R <sub>1</sub> R <sub>2</sub>

$$S_1 + \nu_1 Ox \xrightarrow{R_1} CO_2 + \gamma_1 NO_3^- + \delta_1 SO_4^{2-} + \varepsilon_1 PO_4^{3-} + m_1 M^+$$
(49)

$$S_{2} + v_{2}Ox \xrightarrow{\kappa_{2}} f_{3}S_{3} + (1 - f_{3})CO_{2} + (\gamma_{2} - f_{3}\gamma_{3})NO_{3}^{-} + (\delta_{2} - f_{3}\delta_{3})SO_{4}^{2-} + (\varepsilon_{2} - f_{3}\varepsilon_{3})PO_{4}^{3-} + (m_{2} - f_{3}m_{3})M^{+}$$
(50)

See Table 6.

### References

Babuponnusami A, Muthukumar K (2014) A review on Fenton and improvements to the Fenton process for wastewater treatment. J Environ Chem Eng 2:557–572

Bach A, Shemer H, Semiat R (2010) Kinetics of phenol mineralization by Fenton-like oxidation. Desalination 264:188–192

- Beltrán FJ (2003) Ozone reaction kinetics for water and wastewater systems. CRC Press
- Cabrera C, Cornaglia A, Córdoba A, Magario I, Ferreira ML (2017) Kinetic modelling of the hematin catalysed decolourization of Orange II solutions. Chem Eng Sci 161:127–137
- Chahbane N, Popescu DL, Mitchell DA, Chanda A, Lenoir D, Ryabov AD, Schramma KW, Collins TJ (2007) FeIII–TAML-catalyzed green oxidative degradation of the azo dye Orange II by  $H_2O_2$  and organic peroxides: products, toxicity, kinetics, and mechanisms. Green Chem 9:49–57
- Doumic LI, Haure PA, Cassanello MC, Ayude MA (2013) Mineralization and efficiency in the homogeneous Fenton Orange G oxidation. Appl Catal B 142–143:214–221
- Doumic LI, Salierno G, Cassanello MC, Haure PA, Ayude MA (2015) Efficient removal of Orange G using Prussian Blue nanoparticles supported over alumina. Catal Today 240:67–72



#### Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering (2020) 37:61-71

- Emami F, Tehrani Bagha AR, Gharanjig K, Menger FM (2010) Kinetic study of the factors controlling Fenton-promoted destruction of a non-biodegradable dye. Desalination 257:124–128
- Feng Y, Song Q, Lu W, Liu G (2017) Degradation of ketoprofen by sulfate radical-based advanced oxidation processes: kinetics, mechanisms, and effects of natural water matrices. Chemosphere 189:643–651
- Gan L, Li B, Guo M, Weng X, Wang T, Chen Z (2018) Mechanism for removing 2,4-dichlorophenol via adsorption and Fenton-like oxidation using iron-based nanoparticles. Chemosphere 206:168–174
- Guo J, Al-Dahhan M (2003) Catalytic wet oxidation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide over pillared clay catalyst. Ind Eng Chem Res 42:2450–2460
- Hinojosa Guerra MM, Oller Alberola I, Malato Rodriguez S, Agüera López A, Acevedo Merino A, Egea-Corbacho Lopera A, Quiroga Alonso JM (2019) Oxidation mechanisms of amoxicillin and paracetamol in the photo-Fenton solar process. Water Res 156:232–240
- Inchaurrondo NS, Massa P, Fenoglio R, Font J, Haure P (2012) Efficient catalytic wet peroxide oxidation of phenol at moderate temperature using a high-load supported copper catalyst. Chem Eng J 198–199:426–434
- Inchaurrondo N, Contreras EM, Haure P (2014) Catalyst reutilization in phenol homogeneous cupro-Fenton oxidation. Chem Eng J 251:146–157
- Inchaurrondo N, Font J, Ramos CP, Haure P (2016) Natural diatomites: efficient green catalyst for Fenton-like oxidation of Orange II. Appl Catal B Environ 181:481–494
- Kang N, Lee DS, Yoon J (2002) Kinetic modeling of Fenton oxidation of phenol and monochlorophenols. Chemosphere 47:915–924
- Khan E, Wirojanagud W, Sermsai N (2009) Effects of iron in Fenton reaction on mineralization and biodegradability enhancement of hazardous organic compounds. J Hazard Mater 161:1024–1034
- Lim S, McArdell CS, von Gunten U (2019) Reactions of aliphatic amines with ozone: kinetics and mechanisms. Water Res 157:514–528
- Morales Urrea DA, Haure PM, García Einschlag FS, Contreras EM (2018a) Horseradish peroxidase-mediated decolourization of

Orange II: modelling hydrogen peroxide utilization efficiency at different pH values. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:21272–21285

- Morales Urrea DA, Haure PM, Contreras EM (2018b) Monitoring the enzymatic oxidation of xenobiotics by hydrogen peroxide through oxidation-reduction potential measurements. Ind Eng Chem Res 57:16518–16525
- Orhon D, Artan N (1994) Modelling of activated-sludge systems. Technomic Publishing Co. Inc.
- Pontes RFF, Moraes JEF, Machulek A Jr, Pinto JM (2010) A mechanistic kinetic model for phenol degradation by the Fenton process. J Hazard Mater 176:402–413
- Ramírez JH, Duarte FM, Martins FG, Costa CA, Madeira LM (2009) Modelling of the synthetic dye Orange II degradation using Fenton's reagent: from batch to continuous reactor operation. Chem Eng J 148:394–404
- Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1996) Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York
- Villota N, Mijangos F, Varona F, Andrés J (2007) Kinetic modelling of toxic compounds generated during phenol elimination in wastewaters. Int J Chem Reactor Eng 5:A63
- Zapico RR, Marín P, Diez FV, Ordóñez S (2015) Influence of operation conditions on the copper-catalysed homogeneous wet oxidation of phenol: development of a kinetic model. Chem Eng J 270:122–132
- Zazo JA, Casas JA, Mohedano AF, Rodríguez JJ (2009) Semicontinuous Fenton oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution. A kinetic study. Water Res 43:4063–4069
- Zhou G, Guo J, Zhou G, Wan X, Shi H (2016) Photodegradation of Orange II using waste paper sludge-derived heterogeneous catalyst in the presence of oxalate under ultraviolet light emitting diode irradiation. J Environ Sci 47:63–70

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.