Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Vaccine 26 (2008) 6497-6502

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Vaccine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

Short communication

## IgA response by oral infection with an attenuated *Yersinia enterocolitica* mutant: Implications for its use as oral carrier vaccine

Helga M. Blanco, María G. Lacoste, Ricardo J. Eliçabe, María S. Di Genaro\*

Immunology, Laboratory of Microbiology, Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmacy Faculty, National University of San Luis, IMIBIO-SL, CONICET, San Luis, Argentina

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 February 2008 Received in revised form 15 September 2008 Accepted 16 September 2008 Available online 7 October 2008

Keywords: Yersinia enterocolitica svcH-Oral carrier vaccines IgA response

#### 1. Introduction

Yersinia enterocolitica (Ye) is a Gram-negative pathogen that causes food-borne gastroenteritis. The pathogenicity of Ye depends on a virulence plasmid (pYV) which encodes the Yop (Yersinia outer protein) virulon, a set of pathogenicity factors that enable extracellular bacteria to disarm cells of the immune system by direct injection of effector proteins [1]. A key virulon protein is YopH, a 468 amino acid protein-tyrosine phosphatase with a C-terminal catalytic domain and a multifunctional N-terminal domain, which binds tyrosine-phosphorylated target proteins [2]. Thus, Yop H impairs the entry of the bacteria into HeLa cells or their phagocytosis by macrophages [3], and blocks T and B lymphocyte activation [2,4]. The Yops require specific Yop chaperone (Syc) for their secretion; thus, Yop H requires the chaperone SycH [1].

During the course of an infection with Yersinia, the bacteria reach the intestinal tract, enter through the M cells of the Peyer's patches (PP) [5], then disseminate to the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN), and subsequently, to the spleen, the liver, and the lung. Innate host defense mechanisms including those mediated by polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages are involved in Yersinia control

Corresponding author at: Inmunología, Area Microbiología, Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Chacabuco y Pedernera, 5700 San Luis, Argentina. Tel.: +54 2652 423789; fax: +54 2652 431301.

#### ABSTRACT

Yersinia enterocolitica (Ye) mutant strain (sycH-) is unable to secrete the virulence protein YopH. Mucosal vaccination is often required to induce protection, but stimulating strong IgA response is frequently difficult. Here, we addressed whether Ye sycH- might induce IgA response, and investigated its attenuation in TNFRp55-/-, IL-12p40-/- and IL-4-/- mice. We found that Ye sycH- colonizes Peyer's patches, and induces higher Yersinia-specific IgA levels in feces and in serum compared with Ye wild type. The Ye sycHmutant proved to be attenuated and induced IgA in both wild-type and immunodeficient mice. These lines of evidence show the attenuation of Ye sycH- and its ability to stimulate an IgA response. This mutant might be useful as an oral vaccine carrier.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

/accine

in PP [6]. In addition, clearance of infection involves NK cells, activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T and CD4<sup>+</sup> Th1 cells, and cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin-12 (IL-12) and gamma interferon (IFN-γ) [7,8].

In previous studies, we demonstrated that a Ye mutant strain which is deficient in the chaperone SycH (Ye sycH-) resulting in a functional deficiency in YopH, colonizes intestinal tissues of wildtype and immunodeficient mice, but is attenuated for systemic infection in these mice [9]. Thus, even in immunocompromised hosts, Ye sycH- may be suitable for using as live carrier vaccines. This issue is of considerable interest because recent studies have demonstrated that vaccination with attenuated live vaccine may give rise to severe and fatal infection courses in patients with previously unknown immunodeficiency [10]. In addition, immunization experiments with attenuated Ye or Y. pseudotuberculosis strains as carriers for heterologous antigens (e.g. cholera toxin or antigens derived from Listeria monocytogenes) induced a protective immune response against challenge in mice [11,12]. Likewise, attenuated Ye live carrier strains were reported to induce both CD8 and some CD4 T cell responses [13].

There is currently great interest in developing mucosal vaccines against a variety of microbial pathogens. Thus, a mucosal route of vaccination seems to be crucial for protection against infections at mucosal surfaces such as Vibrio cholerae or enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli, in which vaccine-induced protection is mediated mainly, if not exclusively, by locally produced secretory IgA antibodies [14]. But despite the many attractive features of mucosal vaccination, it has often proven difficult in practice to stimulate a strong IgA immune response and protection by mucosal



E-mail address: sdigena@unsl.edu.ar (M.S. Di Genaro).

<sup>0264-410</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.09.046

administration of antigens [14]. In addition, the mucosal immune response induced by Ye mutant strains has not been previously explored. Our previous studies demonstrated that Ye sycH- may be the most appropriate mutant in terms of safety. Moreover, this mutant induced a cytokine response in spleen cell culture [9]. However, the immunogenicity of this mutant needs to be investigated because an optimal attenuated vaccine strain should be both safe and immunogenic [9].

In the present work, we extended these studies by investigating whether *Ye sycH*- may induce a mucosal IgA response after oral administration in normal and immunocompromised hosts. We tested IgA response and *Ye sycH*- attenuation in TNFRp55–/– and IL-12p40–/– to evaluate this mutant in conditions of deficiency of a cytokine receptor or a cytokine that play a crucial role in *Yersinia* protection [7–9]. In addition, we tested the infection in IL-4–/– mice since IL-4 is responsible for the generation of Th2 functions in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells that are selectively induced by oral immunization and they might control IgA responses [15]. Our data could contribute to the development of novel oral live carrier vaccines.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Bacteria

The strains WA-314 wild-type Ye serotype O:8; pYV<sup>+</sup> (WAP) [16], and a Ye WA-314 mutant sycH-; pYV<sup>+</sup>, Spect<sup>R</sup> (Ye sycH-) [17], were used for infection. Bacteria were cultured overnight in Luria broth (LB) at 27 °C, and prepared for the experiments as described previously [9]. Yop profile was analysed [18] in both strains, and it corroborated that Ye sycH- is unable to secrete Yop H.

#### 2.2. Mice and infection

TNFRp55-/- [19], IL-12p40-/- [20] and IL-4-/- [21] mice on a C57BL/6 background and C57BL/6 wild-type mice were used in all experiments. Mice were kept under specific-pathogen-free conditions in a positively pressurized cabinet (EHRET, Emmendingen, Germany) and provided with sterile food and water *ad libitum*. Mice 6-8 weeks old were used for the experiments. At least two independent experiments were carried out with five mice per group. Mice



**Fig. 1.** Susceptibility of mice to Ye WAP or sycH- infection. (A) Survival curve of wild-type C57 BL/6, TNFRp55–/–, IL-12p40–/–, and IL-4–/– mice after 1–5 × 10<sup>8</sup> Ye WAP or sycH- oral infection. Representative results from two independent experiments with five mice per group are shown. (B) Bacterial load in feces, Peyer's patches (PP) and spleen after Ye WAP or sycH- infection. The data are representative from three independent experiments and they are the results of five mice per group. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.02, and \*\*\*P<0.001 compared with Ye sycH infection. CFU: colony-forming units.

were starved for 16 h and orogastrically infected with  $1 \times 10^8$  to  $5 \times 10^8$  yersiniae in 200 µl. The actual number of bacteria administered was controlled for each experiment by plating serial dilutions of the inoculated suspension on Mueller-Hinton agar and counting the colony-forming units (CFU) after incubation at 27 °C for 48 h. The mice were kept on lattices. Each mouse was bled before and weekly after infection by retro-orbital plexus and individually

#### 2.3. Survival curve and bacteriologic examination

collected sera were frozen at -20 °C until use.

The survival of the mice was monitored for 2 months. The number of bacteria in feces, PP and the spleen was determined by homogenization of the feces or the organs in PBS, and plating of serial dilutions on Mueller-Hinton agar for spleen, or on cefsulodine-irgasan-novobiocine-agar (CIN; OXOID, Wesel, Germany) for feces or PP homogenates [9].

#### 2.4. IgA extraction from fecal pellets

Before and each 7 days after infection (days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42), fresh fecal pellets from normal and knockout mice were collected in microtubes and weighted. Cold extraction buffer (30 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml Soybean trypsin inhibitor, 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS) was added at a ratio of 1 ml/mg fecal pellets. The material was vortexed for 2 min at room temperature, centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were stored at -20 °C until the assays were performed.

#### 2.5. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for specific IgA

A specific IgA response was analysed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Multiwell plates were coated with 100  $\mu$ l per well of 10  $\mu$ g/ml of the whole bacteria disrupted by sonication (SON) in PBS, and incubated at 4 °C overnight. After incubation with 1:50 diluted sera or undiluted fecal extracts, bound antibodies were demonstrated by reaction with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgA (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) followed by the addition of the enzyme substrate (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and the chromogen o-phenylenediamine (Sigma). Optical density (OD) was measured at 492 nm in an ELISA reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Sera and feces from uninfected mice were used as negative control.

# 2.6. Comparison of specific IgA response induced by Ye sycH with those induced by cholera toxin (CT) or bovine serum albumin (BSA) after oral immunization

To compare mucosal and systemic specific IgA responses induced by Ye sycH- with those induced by other mucosal immunizations, wild-type mice were inoculated with a single oral dose of  $5\times 10^8$  Ye sycH- or Ye WAP,  $10\,\mu g$  cholera toxin (CT) (Sigma), or 200 µg bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Gibco, LifeTechnology, NY, USA). Seven days after inoculation, a specific IgA response was measured in sera and feces by ELISA. For CT-specific IgA response evaluation, ELISA was performed as described previously [22]. Briefly, the plates were coated with GM1 ganglioside (0.5 nmol/ml) followed by CT (0.5 µg/ml). GM1 ganglioside and CT were kindly provided by Dr. José Luis Daniotti, National University of Cordoba, Argentina. For BSA ELISA, the plates were coated with BSA (200 µg/ml). Fecal extracts or sera at 1:2 or 1:50 dilutions, respectively, were added and serial twofold dilutions were performed. The endpoints titers were expressed as the reciprocal log<sub>2</sub> or log<sub>10</sub> for fecal extract or serum, respectively, of the last dilution that gave an OD at 490 nm exceeding the mean + 2 SD of the samples from uninoculated mice.

#### 2.7. Statistical analysis

Differences between Ye WAP and sycH- infections were tested for significance by Mann–Whitney test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were repeated at least twice and revealed comparable results.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effect of oral infection with Ye WAP and sycH- on wild-type and immunodeficient mice

The survival of Ye WAP or sycH- infected mice was monitored for 60 days in wild-type, TNFRp55-/-, IL-12p40-/- and IL-4-/mice. We confirmed, as previously demonstrated [9] that, using the same inoculum  $(1-5 \times 10^8$  yersiniae which is 10 LD50 of Ye WAP), Ye WAP was pathogenic in wild-type mice (survival 85%), whereas Ye sycH- resulted in avirulence (survival 100%) (Fig. 1A). Moreover, WAP was highly virulent for TNFRp55-/-, IL-12p40-/- and IL-4-/- mice (survival 0-20%) (Fig. 1A). In contrast, Yersinia sycHwas also attenuated in these immunocompromised mice (survival 80-100%) (Fig. 1A). The mucosal colonization and persistence of Ye WAP and sycH- strains were determined by counting the number of bacteria in feces and PP (Fig. 1B), on days 5 and 35 after oral infection. Ye sycH- efficiently colonized the intestine of wild-type mice since bacteria were detected in feces and PP on day 5 after infection. However, the Ye colony counts was significantly higher in feces (P<0.02) and PP (P<0.001) of mice infected with Ye WAP compared with the Ye sycH- infected mice (Fig. 1B). In contrast, Ye sycH-did not colonize the spleen (Fig. 1B). On day 35, lower bacterial number was detected in feces and PP, and again the bacterial counting was higher in feces (P < 0.02) and PP (P < 0.05) of mice infected



**Fig. 2.** Systemic and mucosal Yersinia-specific IgA responses in wild-type mice after Ye WAP or sycH- infection. (A) Kinetic of the IgA response in feces obtained weekly after the oral infection and assessed by ELISA. \*P < 0.01 and \*\*P < 0.002 compared with Ye WAP infection. (B) Kinetic of the IgA response in sera obtained weekly after the oral infection and assessed by ELISA. \*P < 0.01 compared with Ye WAP infection. (B) Kinetic of the IgA response in sera obtained weekly after the oral infection and assessed by ELISA. \*P < 0.01 compared with Ye WAP infection. Results are expressed as the mean and SD of five mice per group and day.

#### H.M. Blanco et al. / Vaccine 26 (2008) 6497-6502



**Fig. 3.** *Yersinia*-specific IgA responses in feces and sera of immunodeficient mice after *Ye sycH*- infection. Kinetic of the IgA response in feces (A, C and E) and sera (B, D and F) obtained weekly after oral infection of TNFRp55-/- (A and B), IL-12p40-/- (C and D) or IL-4-/- (E and F) mice with *Ye sycH*-. The results are expressed as the mean and SD of five mice per group and day.

with Ye WAP compared with counterparts infected with Ye sycH (Fig. 1B).

3.2. Systemic and mucosal IgA response in wild-type mice after infection with Ye sycH-

To study the ability of Ye WAP and sycH- strains to induce mucosal and systemic immune response, we determined the course of the IgA response in serum and feces after the oral infection. We found that Ye sycH- at early time after infection (days 7 and 14) induced significantly higher fecal IgA response compared with Ye WAP (P < 0.01 and P < 0.002, respectively) (Fig. 2A). In addition, by day 7, the Yersinia-specific IgA response in serum was significantly higher in the wild-type mice infected with Ye sycH- compared with Ye WAP infected mice (P < 0.01) (Fig. 2B).

# 3.3. IgA response in TNFRp55–/–, IL-12p40–/– and IL-4–/– mice after infection with Ye sycH-

Since TNFRp55–/–, IL-12p40–/– and IL-4–/– mice turned out to be resistant to oral *Ye sycH*- infection, we evaluated the kinetics of intestinal and serum IgA responses. We detected that,

although with different kinetics *Ye sycH*- induced *Yersina*-specific IgA responses in sera and feces of the three immunodeficient mice (Fig. 3A–F).

# 3.4. Specific IgA response induced by Ye sycH- compared with those induced by CT or BSA

In order to determine the potential of *Ye sycH*- to induce mucosal and systemic specific IgA responses, we compared these responses with those induced no only by *Ye* WAP but also by known high and poor mucosal immunogens such as CT and BSA, respectively [23,24]. As shown in Fig. 4, at day 7 after a single oral inoculation, *Ye sycH*- induced significantly higher mucosal and systemic specific IgA responses compared with *Ye* WAP (P<0.001 and P<0.02, respectively) or BSA (P<0.001 and P<0.0001, respectively), and at comparable level to CT.

#### 4. Discussion

Live vaccines induce cell-mediated and antibody mediated immunity and eventually mucosal immune responses. This latter depends on the ability of the vaccine strain to colonize and to multiH.M. Blanco et al. / Vaccine 26 (2008) 6497-6502



**Fig. 4.** Comparative study of specific IgA responses induced by *Ye sycH*- with those induced by *Ye* WAP, cholera toxin (CT) and bovine serum albumin (BSA). Specific IgA response measured in feces (A) and sera (B) by ELISA at day 7 after oral inoculation of wild-type mice with a single oral dose of  $5 \times 10^8$  *Ye sycH*- or *Ye* WAP, 10  $\mu$ g CT, or 200  $\mu$ g BSA. Specific IgA responses are showed as the reciprocal log<sub>2</sub> (A) or log<sub>10</sub> (B) titers expressed as the last dilution that gave an OD at 490 nm exceeding the mean +2 SD of the samples from uninoculated mice. The results are expressed as the mean and SD of four mice per group.

ply in the host [25]. In the present work, we evaluated the mucosal immune response of *Ye sycH*- and confirmed that this mutant strain is highly attenuated in normal and immunodeficient mice. On the other hand, a vaccine strain must be sufficiently invasive to induce immune response but not persistent as carrier [26]. In our study, the mutant strain *Ye sycH*- was able to colonize PP early during the infection (day 5) but it was clarified from PP since lower bacterial number was detected on day 35. These results are consistent with studies by Trülzsch et al. [27]. In addition, *Ye sycH*- did not colonize the spleen indicating that this mutant did not induce systemic infection in the mice.

Despite the many attractive features of mucosal vaccination, it has often proven difficult to stimulate strong IgA immune response and protection by mucosal administration of an antigen [28]. In the present study, we found that Ye sycH- induced early higher IgA levels in serum and feces compared with Ye WAP suggesting that YopH could display mucosal immunomodulator effects during intestinal Yersinia infection. In addition, we found that Ye sycHinduced also higher specific IgA responses than those induced by a poor mucosal immunogen such as BSA, but these responses were comparable to those induced by a single oral immunization with CT. Since for CT, one of the most potent mucosal adjuvants, immunogenicity and adjuvanticity are closely related phenomena [24,29], the Yersinia-specific IgA response induced by Ye sycH- could indicate oral adjuvant properties of this mutant to induce IgA secretion. Thus, both M-cell targeting and adjuvant activity could be achieved by Ye sycH- mutant suggesting that further studies should be carried out to demonstrate whether this mutant might be a candidate as oral carrier vaccine.

*Y. enterocolitica sycH*- proved to be attenuated in TNFRp55–/– mice since when compared with *Ye* WAP strain the survival rate of these mice increased from 20 to 80%. Thus, the survival rate was similar to that obtained in immunocompetent wild-type mice infected with the virulent strain Ye WAP. Moreover, Ye sycH- mutant strain was also attenuated in IL-12p40–/– and IL-4–/– mice since the survival rate in these mice increased from 0 to almost 100%. These results stress the attenuation of this mutant even in immunodeficient mice, and are interesting since several novel human immunodeficiencies have been identified that might introduce limitations to vaccination strategies with live carrier vaccines [10]. In addition, no arthritic symptoms were observed in normal and immunodeficient mice during the 60 days of observation after Ye sycH- infection (data not shown). This is also a valuable property in attenuated strains since their use could be limited in certain populations owing the risk of induction of reactive arthritis [30].

This study is the first that provides evidence of the capacity of Ye sycH- to induce mucosal IgA response even in TNFRp55-/-, IL-12p40-/- and IL-4-/- mice. Although PP are present in TNFRp55-/- mice, these are reduced in number and size. This PP number reduction may lead to different kinetics for mucosal IgA response observed in our work. This finding agrees with the results obtained in PP null mice [31]. On the other hand, the importance of IL-12 in Yersinia infection was confirmed by the marked increased susceptibility detected of IL-12p40-/- mice to Ye WAP infection. However, Ye sycH was attenuated in these mice, and induced a Yersinia-specific IgA response suggesting that IL-12p40 deficiency did not influence this response. Similarly, IL-12p40-/- mice mount anti-Citrobacter serum and gut-associated IgA responses [32]. In addition, we evaluated also the Ye sycH- induced IgA responses in IL-4–/– mice since IL-4 is responsible for the induction of gut mucosal immune responses [15,22]. However, we found that Ye sycH- induced also IgA response in IL-4-/- mice. Probably, other cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-10 support the IgA response [33].

Live attenuated bacterial vaccines are promising candidates for the induction of a broad-based immune response directed at recombinant heterologous antigens and the corresponding pathogen [34]. In summary, our study is the first to show that orally delivered *Ye sycH*- induces systemic and mucosal specific IgA responses. The data from immunodeficient mice confirm that this mutant is attenuated and that the IgA response stimulated by *Ye sycH*- is largely independent of TNFRp55, IL-12p40, and IL-4, and it could be induced in immunocompromised hosts. Future studies should include heterologous antigens in order to further explore the capability of *Ye scyH*- to induce IgA response to these antigens and consequently demonstrate their properties as oral carrier vaccines or adjuvant.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) (Proj. PIP 6224), Fondo para la Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (FONCYT) (Proj. PICT 2006 N° 838), and Universidad Nacional de San Luis (Proj. PROICO 0401). M.S. Di Genaro is member career, and M.G. Lacoste and R.J. Eliçabe are fellows of CONICET.

#### References

- Cornelis GR, Boland A, Boyd AP, Geuijen C, Iriarte M, Neyt C, et al. The virulence plasmid of *Yersinia*, an antihost genome. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998;62(4):1315–52.
- [2] Alonso A, Bottini N, Bruckner S, Rahmouni S, Willimas S, Shoenberger SP, et al. Lck dephosphorylation at Tyr-394 and inhibition of T cell antigen receptor signaling by Yersinia phosphatase YopH. J Biol Chem 2004;279(6):4922–8.
- [3] Persson C, Carballeira N, Wolf-Watz H, Fallman M. The PTPase YopH inhibits uptake of Yersinia, tyrosine phosphorylation of p130Cas and FAK, and the associated accumulation of these proteins in peripheral focal adhesions. EMBO J 1997;16(9):2307–18.
- [4] Yao T, Mecsas J, Healy JI, Falkow S, Chien Y. Supression of T and B lymphocyte activation by a Yersinia pseudotuberculosis virulence factor, yopH. J Exp Med 1999;190(9):1343–50.

### Author's personal copy

#### H.M. Blanco et al. / Vaccine 26 (2008) 6497-6502

- [5] Autenrieth IB, Firsching R. Penetration of M cells and destruction of Peyer's patches by Yersinia enterocolitica: an ultrastructural and histological study. J Med Microbiol 1996;44(4):285–94.
- [6] Autenrieth IB, Kempf V, Sprinz T, Preger S, Schnell A. Defense mechanisms in Peyer's patches and mesenteric lymph nodes against *Yersinia enterocolitica* involve integrin and cytokines. Infect Immun 1996;64(4):1357–68.
- [7] Autenrieth IB, Vogel U, Preger S, Heymer B, Heesemann J. Experimental Yersinia enterocolitica infection in euthymic and T-cell-deficient athymic nude C57BL/6 mice: comparison of time course, histomorphology, and immune response. Infect Immun 1993;61(6):2585–95.
- [8] Bohn E, Autenrieth IB. IL-12 is essential for resistance against Yersinia enterocolitica by triggering IFN-gamma production in NK cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. J Immunol 1996;156(4):1458–68.
- [9] Di Genaro MS, Waidmann M, Kramer U, Hitziger N, Bohn E, Autenrieth IB. Attenuated Yersinia enterocolitica mutant strains exhibit differential virulence in cytokine-deficient mice: implications for the development of novel live carrier vaccines. Infect Immun 2003;71(4):1804–12.
- [10] de Jong R, Altare F, Haagen IA, Elferink DG, Boer T, van Breda Vriesman PJ, et al. Severe mycobacterial and *Salmonella* infections in interleukin-12 receptordeficient patients. Science 1998;280(5368):1435–8.
- [11] Russmann H, Gerdemann U, Igwe EI, Panthel K, Heesemann J, Garbom S, et al. Attenuated *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* carrier vaccine for simul-taneous antigen-specific CD4 and CD8 T-cell induction. Infect Immun 2003;71(6):3463–72.
- [12] Sory MP, Cornelis GR. Delivery of the cholera toxin B subunit by using a recombinant Yersinia enterocolitica strain as a live oral carrier. Res Microbiol 1990;141(7-8):921-9.
- [13] Autenrieth SE, Autenrieth IB. Yersinia enterocolitica: subversion of adaptive immunity and implications for vaccine development. Int J Med Microbiol 2008;298(1-2):69-77.
- [14] Holmgren J, Czerkinsky C. Mucosal immunity and vaccine. Nat Med 2005;11(4). S45-53.
- [15] Xu-Amano J, Kiyono H, Jackson R, Staats H, Fujihashi K, Burrows P, et al. Helper T cell subsets for immunoglobulin A responses: oral immunization with tetanus toxoid and cholera toxin as adjuvant selectively induces Th2 cells in mucosa associated tissues. J Exp Med 1993;178(4):1309–20.
- [16] Heesemann J, Keller C, Morawa R, Schmidt N, Siemens HJ, Laufs R. Plasmids of human strains of *Yersinia enterocolitica*: molecular relatedness and possible importance for pathogenesis. J Infect Dis 1983;147(1):107–15.
- [17] Ruckdeschel K, Roggenkamp A, Schubert S, Heesemann J. Differential contribution of *Yersinia enterocolitica* virulence factors to evasion of microbicidal action of neutrophils. Infect Immun 1996;64(3):724–33.
  [18] Trček J, Wilharm G, Jacobi CA, Heesemann J. *Yersinia enterocolitica* Yop Q:
- [18] Trček J, Wilharm G, Jacobi CA, Heesemann J. Yersinia enterocolitica Yop Q: strain-dependent cytosolic accumulation and post-translational secretion. Microbiology 2002;148(Pt 5):1457–65.
- [19] Pfeffer K, Matsuyama T, Kunding TM, Wakeham A, Kishihara K, Shahinian A, et al. Mice deficient for the 55 kd tumor necrosis factor receptor are

resistant to endotoxic shock, yet succumb to *L. monocytogenes* infection. Cell 1993;73(3):457–67.

- [20] Magram J, Connaughton SE, Warrier RR, Carvajal DM, Wu CY, Ferrante J, et al. IL-12-deficient mice are defective in IFN gamma production and type I cytokine responses. Immunity 1996;4(5):471–81.
- [21] Kuhn R, Rajewsky K, Muller W. Generation and analysis of interleukin-4 deficient mice. Science 1991;254(5032):707–10.
- [22] Vajdy M, Kosco-Vilbois MH, Kopf M, Köhler G, Lycke N. Impaired mucosal immune responses in interleukin 4-targeted mice. J Exp Med 1995;181(1): 41–53.
- [23] Elson CO, Ealding W. Generalized systemic and mucosal immunity in mice after mucosal stimulation with cholera toxin. J Immunol 1984;132(6): 2736-41.
- [24] Lycke N, Holmgren J. Strong adjuvant properties of cholera toxin on gut mucosal immune responses to orally presented antigens. Immunology 1986;59(2):301–8.
- [25] Frey J. Biological safety concepts of genetically modified live bacterial vaccine. Vaccine 2007;25(30):5598–605.
- [26] Curtiss III R. Bacterial infectious disease control by vaccine development. J Clin Invest 2002;110(8):1061-6.
- [27] Trülzsch K, Sporleder T, Igwe EI, Rüssmann H, Heesemann J. Contribution of the major secreted yops of *Yersinia enterocolitica* 0:8 to pathogenicity in the mouse infection model. Infect Immun 2004;72(9):5227–34.
- [28] Moyle PM, McGeary RP, Blanchfield JT, Toth I. Mucosal immunisation: adjuvants and delivery systems. Curr Drug Deliv 2004;1(4):385–96.
- [29] Dertazbaugh MT, Elson CO. Cholera toxin as a mucosal adjuvant. In: Spriggs DR, Koff WC, editors. Topic in vaccine adjuvant research. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc.; 1991. p. 119–31.
- [30] Autenrieth IB, Schmidt MA. Bacterial interplay at intestinal mucosal surfaces: implications for vaccine development. Trends Microbiol 2000;8(10):457– 64.
- [31] Yamamoto M, Kweon MN, Rennert PD, Hiroi T, Fujihashi K, McGhee JR, et al. Role of gut-associated lymphoreticular tissues in antigen-specific intestinal IgA immunity. J Immunol 2004;173(2):762–9.
- [32] Simmons CP, Goncalves NS, Ghaem-Maghami M, Bajaj-Elliott M, Clare S, Neves B, et al. Impaired resistance and enhanced pathology during infection with a noninvasive, attaching-effacing enteric bacterial pathogen, *Citrobacter rodentium*, in mice lacking IL-12 or IFN-γ. J Immunol 2002;168(4):1804–12.
- [33] Okahashi N, Yamamoto M, Vancott JL, Chatfield SN, Roberts M, Bluethmann H, et al. Oral immunization of interleukin-4 (IL-4) knockout mice with a recombinant Salmonella strain or cholera toxin reveals that CD4<sup>+</sup> Th2 cells producing IL-6 and IL-10 are associated with mucosal immunoglobulin A responses. Infect Immun 1996;64(5):1516–25.
- [34] Khan S, Chatfield S, Stratford R, Bedwell J, Bentley M, Sulsh S, et al. Ability of SPI2 mutant of *S. thyphi* to effectively induce antibody responses to the mucosal antigen enterotoxigenic *E. coli* heat labile toxin B subunit after oral delivery to humans. Vaccine 2007;25(21):4175–82.

6502