

1 Current Knowledge on Selenium Biofortification to Improve the 2 Nutraceutical Profile of Food: A Comprehensive Review

3 Roberto D'Amato, Luca Regni, Beatrice Falcinelli, Simona Mattioli, Paolo Benincasa,*
 4 Alessandro Dal Bosco, Pablo Pacheco, Primo Proietti, Elisabetta Troni, Claudio Santi,
 5 and Daniela Businelli



Cite This: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c00172>



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More



Article Recommendations

6 **ABSTRACT:** Selenium (Se) is an important micronutrient for living organisms, since it is involved in several physiological and
 7 metabolic processes. Se intake in humans is often low and very seldom excessive, and its bioavailability depends also on its chemical
 8 form, with organic Se as the most available after ingestion. The main dietary source of Se for humans is represented by plants, since
 9 many species are able to metabolize and accumulate organic Se in edible parts to be consumed directly (leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds,
 10 and sprouts) or after processing (oil, wine, etc.). Countless studies have recently investigated the Se biofortification of plants to
 11 produce Se-enriched foods and elicit the production of secondary metabolites, which may benefit human health when incorporated
 12 into the diet. Moreover, feeding animals Se-rich diets may provide Se-enriched meat. This work reviews the most recent literature on
 13 the nutraceutical profile of Se-enriched foods from plant and animal sources.

14 **KEYWORDS:** *speciation, micronutrient, metabolite, vegetable, fruit, meat*

15 ■ INTRODUCTION

16 Selenium (Se) is an essential micronutrient, and an adequate
 17 intake of this essential trace element is thought to be beneficial
 18 for maintaining human health.¹ It is present in several natural
 19 kingdoms, humans, animals, cyanobacteria,² and some plants;
 20 it contributes to the control of water status of plants,³ prevents
 21 oxidative stress, delays senescence, and promotes growth.^{4,5}

22 More than 25 selenium-containing proteins have been
 23 identified in mammals and are distributed in different tissues
 24 and cells,⁶ having in all cases a role in the regulation of redox
 25 processes. Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) is the most studied
 26 and well characterized selenoprotein, and its involvement in
 27 the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has been
 28 clearly demonstrated. Similar activity was reported for
 29 thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and selenoprotein P, whereas
 30 the analogues K, M, N, and H have a number of different roles
 31 in the maintenance of the redox homeostasis of living systems,
 32 and iodothyronine deiodinases (DIO) have a fundamental role
 33 in the activation of the thyroid hormones.⁷ All these proteins
 34 have as a common characteristic the presence of a
 35 selenocysteine 21st amino acid in which the catalytic core is
 36 a selenol/selenolate stabilized by a amino acidic triad.⁸
 37 Included in the biological processes that can be modulated
 38 by Se are not only the cellular response to oxidative stress but
 39 also the cellular differentiation, function (including enterocytes
 40 and adipocytes), immune response; the redox signaling and
 41 protein folding; and the regulation of insulin action and
 42 secretion.⁹

43 People living in the United States and Canada normally have
 44 no problems connected with Se deficiency;¹⁰ on the contrary,
 45 those who live in China, New Zealand, and parts of Europe

and Russia occasionally show an insufficient intake of this 46
 micronutrient due to low levels of Se in soil and, as a 47
 consequence, in food.¹¹ 48

Se concentration in mammals' serum ranges between 7 and 49
 14 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$,¹² and Se is taken in by food as both inorganic forms 50
 (such as selenite, SeO_3^{2-} , and selenate, SeO_4^{2-}) and/or 51
 organic derivatives (such as the amino acid selenomethionine 52
 (SeMet) and selenocysteine (SeCys)). As for many nutrients, 53
 several studies in humans have provided evidence of a U- 54
 shaped relationship between Se concentration in the blood and 55
 the risk of disease, with possible harm occurring both below 56
 and above the physiological range for optimal activity of some 57
 or all selenoproteins.¹³ High serum Se levels are associated 58
 with increased risk such as in the case of diabetes mellitus,¹⁴ 59
 while Se deficiency occurs when the intake is lower than 20 60
 $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$, and this condition has been correlated to a number of 61
 pathologies including cancers, Alzheimer's or Parkinson's 62
 disease, male infertility, and thyroidal dysfunctions.⁷ 63

Some plants, in the presence of high levels of inorganic Se, 64
 can metabolize and accumulate Se in the form of organic 65
 derivatives. This process is important for the plant because it 66
 reduces the toxicity of the chalcogen, and at the same time, 67
 when bioaccumulation occurs in edible tissues, this process 68

Received: January 13, 2020

Revised: March 12, 2020

Accepted: March 17, 2020

Published: March 17, 2020

69 allows the production of Se-enriched foods that have use as a
70 potential nutraceutical for humans and animals.¹⁵ Moreover,
71 Se biofortification may elicit the production of secondary
72 metabolites, which may benefit human health when assumed
73 with the diet.^{16–18}

74 Therefore, biofortification strategies applied to produce Se-
75 enriched foods could help overcome Se deficiency and its
76 implications in human health and improve the nutraceutical
77 value of food. Despite several scientific works that have dealt
78 with Se-biofortification strategies, the production of Se-
79 enriched foods suitable for animal and human consumption
80 is still challenging.

81 This review is focused on the Se biofortification of plants to
82 obtain both Se- and phytochemical-enriched foods and feeds,
83 which are potentially useful in increasing, directly or indirectly
84 (i.e., by transfer to livestock meat obtained with Se-enriched
85 feeds), human intake of Se and bioactive compounds. Studies
86 concerning Se content in mushrooms are not included here
87 since the wide literature devoted to this subject would deserve
88 a specific review, taking into account also Se-containing
89 proteins and polysaccharides that are of interest in cancer
90 chemoprevention.^{19,20}

91 Since different Se forms have different bioavailability as well
92 as different metabolic pathways, Se speciation analysis is
93 examined first as a powerful tool to evaluate the Se species in
94 the Se-enriched foods.

95 ■ ADVANCES IN SPECIATION ANALYSIS

96 Total Se concentration (TSeC) in biofortification is
97 determined to evaluate the biofortification efficiency. However,
98 this information is incomplete considering that different Se
99 species possess different bioavailability for humans. It is well-
100 known that organic Se forms (e.g., Se amino acids) are more
101 bioavailable than inorganic forms, such as selenite and
102 selenate; indeed, the human body absorbs more than 90% of
103 SeMet but only about 50% of Se from selenite.²¹

104 In humans, Se absorption from products of plant origin is
105 much easier than Se absorption from products of animal origin.
106 Therefore, scientists are mostly interested in analyzing Se
107 speciation in plant-derived fortified foods.²²

108 The analysis of Se species requires considerations from the
109 treatment of samples to the identification and quantification of
110 these species. The selenol group (–SeH) of SeCys and other
111 Se-amino acids have very low oxidation potential. During
112 extraction procedures, the addition of dithiothreitol (DTT) is
113 advised to avoid oxidation.²³ Direct analysis of Se species in
114 samples can also be performed by using X-ray absorption near
115 edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine
116 structure (EXAFS).²⁴ Similarly, laser ablation (LA) coupled to
117 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP MS) has
118 been used for bioimaging the Se distribution and localization in
119 tissues.²⁵

120 The principal analytical approach to Se speciation has been
121 based on the fractionation and separation of extracts by
122 chromatography (or electrophoresis) while specifically mon-
123 itoring Se by ICP MS. High performance liquid chromatog-
124 raphy (HPLC) has almost universal applicability, and it is the
125 most versatile separation technique, which benefits from a wide
126 array of stationary phases providing different separation
127 modes.²⁶

128 ICP MS can be used for the quantification of Se species,
129 owing to its high sensitivity and element-specific analytical
130 response, independent of the molecular structure, even in case

of unidentified Se species. At first sight, it seems there is a full
compatibility between HPLC and the traditional sample
introduction system of ICP MS, as HPLC provides a typical
flow rate in a range of 0.2–1.0 mL min⁻¹, which perfectly
matches the flow rate range of the traditional nebulizers used
(in combination with a spray chamber) for sample
introduction in ICP MS. Three different ICP MS sample
introduction systems (i.e., a micro concentric nebulizer
mounted onto a cyclonic spray chamber, a direct injection
nebulizer (DIN), and an ultrasonic nebulizer) were compared
in the context of HPLC ICP MS analysis of Se species. The
micro-concentric nebulizer combined with a cyclonic spray
chamber was found to be the optimal sample introduction
system, taking the chromatographic peak shape, sensitivity, and
limits of detection (LODs) into account. Ar-based spectral
interferences, while monitoring the ion signals of the ⁷⁸Se, ⁸⁰Se,
and ⁸²Se isotopes, can be solved with methane as a reaction gas
in the dynamic reaction cell (DRC) used in ICP MS to
eliminate the on-mass.²⁷ The quantification accuracy of Se
species can be increased by isotopic dilution mass spectrom-
etry (IDMS). The principle of IDMS is based on the alteration
of the isotopic ratio of the analyte's two or more isotopes, by
spiking the sample with an isotopically enriched standard. By
applying relevant mathematical equations for IDMS and
measuring the altered isotopic ratio, the concentration in the
sample can be obtained. IDMS can be performed as a species-
specific or a species-unspecific analysis.

The identification of Se metabolites can usually be achieved
by using traditional techniques, MS and Nuclear Magnetic
Resonance (NMR). Electrospray ionization (ESI) in MS is
often used either in tandem with ICP MS or as a
complementary detector. ESI is a soft ionization mode that
can preserve the molecular form of biomolecules, and since its
implementation into analytical methods, this instrument has
proven to be invaluable for the structural elucidation of
molecular species. On the other hand, ESI MS also enables
fragmentation of selected molecules, and produced fragments
are very often crucial in the identification of unknown
molecular species. The identification of novel Se species has
been exclusively done by ESI MS, with high molecular mass
precision, when high resolution instruments such as Orbitrap,
ESI, time of flight (TOF) MS, or ESI MS/MS are used.²⁵ In
addition, the growing sensitivity of ICP MS detection, owing to
collision cell and triple quadrupole mass spectrometers, has
resulted in an increasing number of unidentified peaks in
HPLC and ICP MS chromatograms.

On the level of selenoproteins, bioinformatics approaches
have allowed the putative description of selenoproteomes (sets
of Se-containing proteins with genetically introduced seleno-
cystein via a SeCys element). In parallel, the increasing
robustness of ESI sources and the advent of high-resolution
high-mass-accuracy mass analyzers (notably TOF and Orbi-
trap) coupled with HPLC continuously increased the number
of identified compounds.²⁶

■ SELENIUM BIOFORTIFICATION STRATEGIES IN PLANTS

Agronomic Se biofortification has many advantages over direct
Se supplementation, since inorganic Se absorbed by the plant
is transformed into organic forms, which have a higher
bioavailability. Many variables are involved in Se biofortifica-
tion strategies, such as the Se administration mode (soil
fertilization, foliar spray, or hydroponics), Se dose, species and

Table 1. Cereals: Crop Species, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L. (cv. Xiushui 134)	soil culture: sodium selenite	790 μg of Se pot^{-1} 100 μM Se	root treatment foliar application	in rice seeds: Se inorganic > Se organic (foliar application), ↑selenite (root application)	↑Se Amino acid, ↑Non-amino acid organic Se	↑antioxidant capacity; ↑amino acids; ↑Ca, Mg, Zn, Mn	36
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L. (cv. Premium N° 59, Teyou 59)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	20 g of Se Ha^{-1} (sodium selenite) 20 g of Se Ha^{-1} (sodium selenate)	foliar application	in grain samples (μg of Se g^{-1}): 0.471–0.640	NA ^a	↑Se concentration	121
<i>Zea mays</i> L.	sodium selenate	5.0–20.0 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment soil application foliar application	in grain (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW ^b): 0.042–0.068 (soil application), 0.157–0.306 (foliar application)	NA	↑Se concentration	122
<i>Zea mays</i> L. (Dekalb DKC4316, FAO 300)	sodium selenite	200 g of Se Ha^{-1} at low (LH) and high irrigation (HH)	field experiment (soil application; years, 2016 and 2017)	in grain (μg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 13.10 (LH) and 13.90 (HH), in 2016 80 (LH) and 200 (HH), in 2017	SeMet SeCys	↑inorganic and organic Se forms, ↑xanthophyll, ↑salicylic acid, ↓hydroxycinnamic acid content, ↑antioxidant activities	18
<i>Zea mays</i> L. (cv. Zhengdan 958)	sodium selenite	Se sprayed and then incorporated (SA): 150–600 g of Se Ha^{-1} , Foliar addition (FA): 11–285 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment soil addition: SA and FA	in grain (μg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 0.6–206.0 (SA), 7.0–2312.0 (FA)	NA	soil and foliar Se: ≈N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn contents; ↑Se content	123
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. (cv. Shannong 1 (purple), Shannong 031244 (blue), and Shannong 129 (white))	sodium selenite	37.50–112.50 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment (foliar addition)	in grain (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 0.23–0.54	NA	↑Se concentration, ↑gliadin, ↑glutinin, ↓albumin, ↓globulin, ↑iron, zinc, ↓copper, ↓manganese, ↑amino acids, ↑anthocyanins	124
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. (var. BRS 264)	sodium selenate	12–120 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment (foliar addition)	in grain (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 2.86 (average value at the highest dose)	NA	↑starch content, ↑total soluble sugars, ↓reducing sugars, ↑sucrose, ↑N and antioxidant metabolism	125
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. (cv. Jordão, bread wheat, TA)	sodium selenate (ate)	4, 20, and 100 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment (soil treatment, ST; foliar spray, FS)	in leaves (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 1.20–2.32 in grain (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): from 0.76 (TA, ate, ST) to 2.98 (TD, ate, FS)	↑SeMet		126
<i>Triticum durum</i> Desf. (cv. Marialva, TD)	sodium selenite (ite)	10–40 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment (foliar spray)	in grain (μg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 457.0–1543.0	↑SeMet	↑Se content	127
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L. (spp. distichum)	sodium selenate sodium selenite	10–40 g of Se Ha^{-1}	field experiment (foliar spray)	in grain: (μg of Se kg^{-1} DW) 55–33 and 10–6 for each g ha^{-1} of Se	NA	↑Se concentrations	128

^aNA: not analyzed. ^bDW: dry weight.

fertilizer form, crop species, and variety and growth stage, to name a few. Indeed, Se species distribution in soils shows that, after irrigation, selenate can be considered as an easily available short-term pool of Se for plants. The long-term pool of Se in the topsoil mainly consists of selenite and organic Se species. These species are readily retained but still sufficiently mobile to be taken up by plants. The formation of elemental Se can be considered as a nonavailable Se pool and is thus the major cause of Se immobilization and long-term enrichment of Se in soils.²⁸ In this sense, two years of selenite fertilization in maize (*Zea mays* L.) increased the content of inorganic and organic Se forms,¹⁸ while irrigation did not affect Se concentration. In rice, selenite uptake promoted organic Se accumulation, but this was mainly stored in roots, a nonedible part of the plant. On the contrary, selenate uptake resulted in the accumulation of selenate in the higher part of the shoots, which is an essential requirement for Se to be transported to the grain.²⁹ Foliar application is a valid alternative for Se enrichment of agricultural products.³⁰ Compared to Se fertilization to the soil, foliar application by-passes any interference due to soil chemistry and microbiology issues, ensuring a higher efficacy even with low volumes of Se solution. Foliar application of selenite or selenate has been successfully performed to increase the Se content in many crops.^{30,31} Furthermore, the technique paves the road toward the enrichment of plants by costly stable isotopes, which are useful tools in plant physiology research. In hydroponic systems, as it may be the case in the production of soil-less vegetables and microscale vegetables, Se can be supplied to the water or the nutrient solution.^{32,33} As far as the plant growth stage is concerned, Se may be applied all at once or repeatedly and from sowing to stem elongation, with different outcomes in terms of Se accumulation and partitioning among plant organs.^{34,35} At the vegetative stage, root application of selenomethylselenocysteine (SeMeSeCys) caused the highest water extractable Se content in leaves with major a contribution from organic Se species such as Se amino acid and non-amino acid organic Se. Further investigation at the reproductive stage revealed that foliar application of selenite resulted in the highest total Se content in rice seeds, which was largely attributed to inorganic Se. In contrast, the root application of selenite led to the maximum accumulation of organic Se compounds, which are the most beneficial to human health.³⁶ The application of Se during the booting stage resulted in the highest concentration of Se in brown rice due to the highest upward translocation of Se. More than 90% of Se in brown rice was accounted for by organic species, mainly SeMet. The proportion of SeMet in the brown rice decreased with the delay in application time.³⁷ In potatoes, foliar application of selenite during the tuber bulking stage was appropriate for the production of Se-rich potatoes.³⁴ In broccoli, Se fortification at developmental stages increased SeMeSeCys content.³⁸ Finally, the environmental factors (soil characteristics, rainfall, and temperature regimes, etc.) and the cultivation practices (sowing date, fertilization and irrigation schedules, use of growth stimulators, etc.) may greatly affect the Se uptake and partitioning among plant organs. Moreover, both environmental stresses and Se may interfere in affecting the content of secondary metabolites in plant tissues. For all the aforementioned reasons, reviewing the literature available on Se-biofortified foods is not easy, and any effort to regroup treatments and effects may give arbitrary interpretations that may be questionable. In light of this, the last 10 years

of literature is summarized in Tables 1–11, regrouping plant foods by crop types (arable crops, vegetables, microscale vegetables, and fruit trees) and pointing out, for any reference, the plant species and cultivar; the Se source, dose, and application mode; and the main effects of Se biofortification in terms of total and organic Se content and other nutritional traits (such as bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity). Only literature dealing with the content of Se species in edible portions of plants is considered here, neglecting references focused on the effect of Se on plant physiology, biochemistry, and molecular biology. Finally, Table 12 summarizes literature on Se-enriched meat from livestock fed with Se-enriched feed. Since cooking methods could imply losses of Se species, the results reported in the following Tables 1–12 are referred to as raw products. Indeed, it has been estimated that around 13.5, 24.0, 3.1, and 46.9% of SeMet were lost during the processes of steaming, boiling, frying, and milking, respectively, while SeCys and SeMeSeCys were completely lost from boiled cereals.³⁹

SE-BIOFORTIFIED PLANT FOODS

Arable Crops. Tables 1 and 2 report total and organic Se contents and effects on other nutritional traits of cereal and legume grains, as affected by biofortification strategies. From the results in Table 1, it can be drawn that the fortifying methods used in literature to enrich the crops (foliar spray and soil application) are able to supply the grain with doses of Se suitable for human nutrition; in particular, for rice, the higher Se concentration in grain was achieved by absorbing Se from roots in the form of selenite, while for all the other plant species, the most efficient method of fortification was foliar spray. The nutritional benefits that cereal grain may obtain with Se fortification were an increase in antioxidant activity; a nutrient content higher than in the control; and an increase in amino acids, phenols, anthocyanins, sugars, and Se organic forms. This seems to encourage further research on the possible use of Se-fortified cereals in the diet.

Table 2 summarizes recent literature on Se biofortification in legumes (bean, lentil, chickpea, and soybean). The results obtained for legumes do not yet make completely clear the nutritional benefits of Se fortification. Both selenite and selenate, as well as both foliar spray and soil addition, are effective in increasing Se content in seeds. Unfortunately, information about the increase in the nutritional quality of Se-enriched seeds is still lacking; however, the ascertained presence of SeMet in chickpea and soybean seeds encourages further research to deepen these studies.

Vegetable Crops. Much research was also conducted on the Se fortification of lettuce and other leafy vegetables, such as spinach, basil, endive, and chicory. The results are reported in Tables 3 and 4.

The total Se concentration in the leaves of Se-treated lettuce changed greatly, depending on the Se fertilizer (selenite or selenate) and the method of Se-fortification used (Table 3). The most important benefits due to Se fortification were a decreased nitrate content; an elevated lettuce quality and yield;^{40–43} an increased leaf area, dry weight, pigment content, and antioxidant enzyme activity;^{42–44} a slightly higher shelf life with respect to the control;⁴⁵ an enhanced N and/or S metabolism or total sugar content;^{46–48} and an increased stress tolerance.⁴⁹ As far as lettuce is concerned, the risk of reaching total Se concentrations in the leaves that is too high for the

Table 2. Legumes: Crop Species, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	reference
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.	sodium selenate	5.0–20.0 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	field experiment soil application	in grain (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW ^a): 0.05–0.235 (soil application), 0.23–1.24 (foliar application)	NA ^b	↑Se concentration	122
<i>Lens culinaris</i> Medikus (subs. Culinaris, cv. PBA Herald XT, PBA Bolt, PBA Ace)	potassium selenate	40.0 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	foliar application field experiment (foliar spray)	in seeds (μg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 201–3327	NA	↑Se concentration	129
<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L. (cv. Vulcano)	sodium selenate	10.0–40.0 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar spray)	in grain (μg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 714 (selenite), 2721 (selenate) on average	↑SeMet	↑Se content	130
<i>Glycine max</i> L.	sodium selenite	0.9 mg of Se kg ⁻¹ of soil	pot experiment (soil substrate)	in bean (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 75	↑SeMet ↑SeCys		131

^aDW: dry weight. ^bNA: not analyzed.

human diet seems to be excessive compared to the little evident nutritional benefits.

For spinach, the only total Se concentration values suitable for human nutrition were those reported by Ferrarese et al.,⁵⁰ who found concentrations in the leaves ranging from 9.3 to 15.5 μg of Se kg⁻¹ DW (Table 4). The only benefit of Se fortification shown in these works was an increase of the antioxidant capacity, and actually, an increase of growth parameters has been found to occur only with Se doses⁵¹ too high to be used for products suitable for human consumption. The studies on basil showed that the benefits due to Se fortification included an enhancement of carotenoids, soluble phenols, proline, and anthocyanin,^{52,53} whereas contrasting effects on biomass increase have been highlighted.^{53,54} The essential oil content was not influenced by Se fortification.⁵⁵ The nutritional benefits obtained from the biofortification of basil have been achieved with doses of Se too high to be compatible with human nutrition. However, this plant material, which is rich in carotenoids, soluble phenols, proline, and anthocyanin, could be used by mixing it with similar untreated plant material to obtain a Se content suitable for human diet.^{52,53} The studies on chicory evidenced an increase in plant yield and antioxidant compounds, such as ascorbic acid and total phenolics.

Particularly relevant are the studies on the Se biofortification of Brassicaceae (Table 5), as these leafy vegetables are Se-hyperaccumulating plants.

Interestingly, of the beneficial Se amino acids, SeMetSeCys was the only one identified in radish plants. This compound has recognized anticarcinogenic properties; thus its accumulation in radish roots is a valuable result. Plants sprayed with Se produced more SeMetSeCys compared to plants grown in hydroponics. The contents of Cys, polyphenols, and glutathione in Se-treated plants were higher than in the untreated plants. Concerning cabbage, both the total Se content and some nutritional traits of the edible parts increased after Se biofortification; in florets, Bañuelos et al.⁵⁶ found higher percentages of Se organic compounds (such as SeMet and MeSeCys) than those of Se inorganic compounds. Also, Šindelářová et al.⁵⁷ found the presence of Se organic compounds, such as SeMet and SeMetSeCys, in all the parts of the Se-biofortified plants and reported that Se accumulated mainly in the flower heads. Mechora et al.⁵⁸ reported that the main soluble species in the Se-biofortified plants was SeMet, even if the major amount of Se was in insoluble forms (31–53%). Ramos et al.⁵⁹ reported that half of the total Se accumulated in leaves was SeMetSeCys and SeMet, the total glucosinolate contents were not affected by the concentration of selenate application, and the total antioxidant capacity of plants was greatly stimulated by selenate. Mechora et al.⁶⁰ reported that selenate addition had no effect on the amounts of anthocyanins or chlorophyll. Leafy crops are the most suitable for fortification studies; they require little time to reach maturity, they can be grown in pots, and they easily allow for the evaluation of the dose of the element that will be present in the edible part. Among all the leafy crops mentioned above, the most suitable for Se biofortification seem to belong to the Brassicaceae family. Since these are Se-hyperaccumulating plants, the main concern could be the risk of excessive doses of Se in the edible parts. However, as demonstrated by the total Se concentration values found by Mechora et al.^{58,60} and Šindelářová et al.⁵⁷ on cabbage grown in fields and fertilized with Se by soil addition or foliar spray, it should not be difficult

Table 3. Lettuce: Plant Genotype, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Susana, Hungary)	sodium biselenite	50–100 ppm Se	field trials soil application foliar application soil:foliar application by ratio 1:1	in leaves (μg of Se kg^{-1}) 46–1708	NA ^a	\uparrow chlorophyll content \uparrow catalase (CAT) \uparrow ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activities	132
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Venezorosa)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	0–40 μM Se L^{-1}	in hydroponics	in leaves (μg of Se g^{-1} DW ^b): selenite, 23.2–50.8; selenate, 57.4–602.0	NA	\uparrow Se concentration	41
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (var. Romana)	sodium selenate	1–50 mg of Se kg^{-1} of peat.	in pots (some plants grown and Se-fortified in pots were transplanted in open field)	in edible organs, open field experiments: (μg of Se kg^{-1} DW): 21.4–61.3 (in 2012); 24.1–45.5 (in 2013)	NA	\uparrow Se in edible organs	45
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (var. Capitata, cv. Batavia, Rubia Munguia, cv. Maravilla de Verano)	sodium selenite, organic seleno compound, SeCH ₃ organic form some plants were also mycorrhized	40 μg of Se plant ⁻¹ Se added to the substrate	in pots (greenhouse experiment)	in plants (pg): 439–4501	NA	\uparrow mineral composition, \uparrow soluble proteins, \uparrow concentration of non-structural sugars in shoots	48
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (var. Capitata)	sodium selenite	0.0–30 μM sodium selenite	in hydroponics	in shoots (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): selenite, 3.7–30.6; selenate, 4.7–43.3	NA	\uparrow Se concentration	43
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Vera)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	0–64 μmol of Se L^{-1} with the nutrient solution both as selenite and selenate	in pots (greenhouse experiment)	in shoots (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): selenite, 0–12; selenate, 0–23	NA	\uparrow Se concentration	44
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Philipus)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	5–120 μmol of Se L^{-1} with the nutrient solution both as selenite and selenate	in pots (greenhouse experiment)	NA	NA		47
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Philipus)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	5–120 μmol of Se L^{-1} with the nutrient solution both as selenite and selenite	in pots (greenhouse experiment)	in leaves (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): selenite, 2–38; selenate, 1.5–42	NA	\uparrow Se content	49
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L. (cv. Philipus)	sodium selenite sodium selenate	5–120 μmol L^{-1} with the nutrient solution both as selenite and selenite	in pots (greenhouse experiment)	in leaves (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW): selenite, 2.5–40.0; selenate, 1.0–44.0	Cys (mg g^{-1} FW ^c): selenite, 0.48–0.98; selenate, 1.34–2.17. Amino acids (mg of Gly g^{-1} FW): selenite, 0.56–1.07; selenate, 0.50–0.77. Proteins (mg of Alb g^{-1} FW): selenite, 2.30–3.96; selenate, 2.78–2.84	\uparrow Se concentration \uparrow O-acetylserine (thiol) lyase and serine-acetyltransferase activity, \uparrow Cys concentration	46
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.	sodium selenite	selenite: 1.5 and 5.0 mg of Se kg^{-1} of soil. selenate: 1.5 mg of Se kg^{-1} of soil.	in pots (application to a soil substrate, greenhouse experiment)	selenite (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW) in shoots: 0.74–1.11	NA	\uparrow Se concentration	99
	sodium selenate			selenate (mg of Se kg^{-1} DW) in shoots: 6.21–6.68			
	carboxy methylcellulose (CMC)						

^aNA: not analyzed. ^bDW: dry weight. ^cFW: fresh weight.

Table 4. Other Leafy Vegetables: Crop Species, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	total Se concentrations	Se or- ganic	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Cichorium endivia</i> L. (var. crispum Hegl)	sodium selenate	0–8.0 μmol of Se L^{-1}	in hydroponics (fertigation or foliar spray)	in shoots (mg kg^{-1} DW ^a): 1.94–17.61; foliar spray, 0.95–12.67	NA ^b	↑ascorbic acid and total phenolics	133
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L. (cv. Anivip and Monivip)	sodium selenate	10 mg of Se L^{-1} (moistening the roots)	in aeroponic system (greenhouse)	in leaves (mg kg^{-1} DW): 139–370 in Anivip cv., 205–460 in Monivip cv.	NA	↑Se content	134
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (cv. Tigullio)	sodium selenate	0.5–4.0 mg of Se L^{-1}	in hydroponics (floating system)	in stems (mg kg^{-1} DW): 4–21 (1st experiment), 0.98–1.25 (2nd experiment). In leaves (mg kg^{-1} DW): 11–32 (1st experiment), 2–5 (2nd experiment)	NA	↑Se concentration, ↑rosmarinic acid content	135
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (var. Red Rubin and Dark Green)	sodium selenate	25 mg of Se m^{-2}	foliar applied	in leaves (mg kg^{-1} DW): 2.31–7.01 in Red Rubin var. (first harvest), 1.71–4.08 in Dark green var. (1st harvest)	NA	↑Se content	55
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (var. Dark green and Red Opal)	sodium selenate	25 mg of Se m^{-2} 50 mg of Se m^{-2}	foliar applied	NA	NA	↑antioxidant activity, ↑total polyphenol content	136
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	not reported	0–120 mg of Se L^{-1}	pot experiment (foliar application)	not reported	NA	↓Chlb, ↑Car, ↑antioxidant activity, ↑soluble phenol, ↑proline content	52
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	sodium selenate	1–50 mg of Se L^{-1}	pot experiment (foliar application)	in shoots (mg kg^{-1} DW): 0.95–150	NA	↑anthocyanin and phenolics, ↓MDA content	53
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i> L.	sodium selenate	0–5.2 μM	in floating system	in leaves ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ DW): 9.3–15.5	NA	↑Se content, ↓sugars, ≈sucrose, ≈nitrate content	50
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i> L. (cv. Missouri)	sodium selenite	1–10 mg of Se L^{-1}	in hydroponics	in shoots (mg g^{-1} DW): 1.71–3.89	NA	↑micronutrient, ↑antioxidant capacity	51

G

^aDW: dry weight. ^bNA: not analyzed.

Table 5. Brassicaceae: Crop Species, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	total Se concentrations	Se organic	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L. (cv. Saxa)	sodium selenate	5–20 mg of Se plant ⁻¹ (pot experiment) 0.4–1.6 mg of Se plant ⁻¹ (hydr. experiment)	pot experiment (soil substrate, foliar application) in hydroponics	pot experiment ($\mu\text{g plant}^{-1}$): in roots, 6.87–15.38 in hydroponics (μg); in roots, 0.007–6.56	pot experiment (mg 100 mg ⁻¹ tissue FW ^a): \uparrow SeMetSeCys in roots, 1.62–3.34. In hydroponics (mg 100 mg ⁻¹ tissue FW): in roots, 0.75–1.51	\uparrow phenolic, \uparrow cysteine, \uparrow glutathione, \uparrow glucoraphanin, \uparrow total N, \uparrow polyphenols in hydroponics; \uparrow biomass cysteine in root, \uparrow glutathione both in roots and leaves, \approx polyphenols	137
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (var. Marathou)	shoots of Se-accumulator plant <i>Stanleyvignata</i> L. (powdered plant material, 700 μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW)	17.5–140 mg of Se lismeter ⁻¹	field-installed lismeters filled with amended soil	in florets (μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 0.5–3.5	in florets (%), (real time SAX-HPLC-ICPMS): 58 SeMet, 15 CysSeSeCys, 7.4 MeSeCys, 6 selenate, 3.1 selenite. (XANES): 55 SeMet and MeSeCys, 23 CysSeSeCys, 18 SeOMet, 4 selenate	\uparrow Se content	56
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (Var. Heraklion, Marathou, Parthenon, and Naxos)	sodium selenate	25–50 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar application)	in heads (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 0.335–1.01	selenate, SeCys, Se-MetSeCys, SeMet, and 2 unknown species	\uparrow Se in the flower heads. \uparrow Se content in all parts of the plants.	57
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (var. Capitata, cv. Pandion) and <i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (var. Capitata, f. rubra, cv. Erfurtskorano)	sodium selenate	20 mg of Se L ⁻¹ (Pandion), 0.5 mg of Se L ⁻¹ (f. rubra)	field experiment (foliar application) Pandion, soil fertilized twice, f. rubra	Pandion: in stems (μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW), 5.45. F. rubra: in stems (μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW), 0.81	(ng of Se g ⁻¹ of sample): \uparrow SeMet Pandion in stems, 820; f. rubra in stems, 200	\uparrow Se content \uparrow SeMet	58
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (var. Italica, cv. Monaco)	sodium selenate	young plants: weekly selenate applications of 0.8 $\mu\text{mol plant}^{-1}$ via the root adult plants: single foliar selenate application of 25.3 or 253 $\mu\text{mol plant}^{-1}$	young plants, 2 weeks after transplant (soil application, pot experiment, sand substrate) adult plants, 3-month old (field experiment, foliar application)	in the adult plant heads (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): upper stems, 5.5–58.0; terminal florets, 10–57.0	NA ^c		138
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. (var. Capitata, f. rubra, cv. Erfurtskorano)	sodium selenate	1st group: with a solution of 2 μg of Se L ⁻¹ every second day for 2 months 2nd group: fertilized with 0.5 mg of Se L ⁻¹ twice in the same test period	field experiment (soil substrate)	in stems (ng of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 25–810. In leaves (ng of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 20–960	NA	\approx anthocyanins, \approx chlorophyll	60

^aFW: fresh weight. ^bDW: dry weight. ^cNA: not analyzed.

Table 6. Bulb and Root Crops: Crop Species, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	TSeC	Se organic forms	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. E potato-10)	sodium selenate sodium selenite	100 mg of Se L ⁻¹ and the final volume of the solution applied was 2 L plot ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar spraying)	in tubers (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW ^{wt}): 0.055–1.05 (selenite), 1.04–1.50 (selenate)	↑SeMet (the main specie), ↑SeMeCys, ↑SeCys2	↑Se concentration	34
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. Agata)	sodium selenate sodium selenite	0.75–5.0 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	pot experiment (soil fortification)	in shoots (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 6.20 (selenite), 5.63 (selenate) in tubers (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 5.0 (selenite), 10.0 (selenate)	NA ^b	↑Se content	63
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. Karin and Cv. Ditta)	sodium selenite	200–400 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar application)	in tubers (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 1.562–2.027 (Karin), 0.693–1.129 (Ditta)	NA	↑content of total essential and nonessential amino acids	139
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. Desiree)	sodium selenate	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar application)	in tubers (ng of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 347 (drought exposed), 1101 (well-watered)	↑SeMet (68% of total Se)	↑selenate	61
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. Satu)	sodium selenate	0.073–0.3 mg of Se kg ⁻¹ sand	in quartz sand (Se applied to the substrate)	in roots (μ g of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 5–30 in stolons (μ g of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 4–40	NA	≈starch concentration, ↑Se content	62
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (cv. Primura)	sodium selenate sodium selenite	50–150 g of Se Ha ⁻¹ in aqueous solution and in humic acid solution.	field experiment (soil substrate, foliar application)	in tubers (mg of Se kg ⁻¹ FW): 0.01–0.15 (selenate), 0.01–0.11 (selenite) in aqueous solution. in humic acid solution: 0.01–0.35 (selenate)	NA	↑Se concentration	30
<i>Allium sativum</i> L.	sodium selenate	20.0–50.0 g of Se Ha ⁻¹	field experiment (foliar spray, FS; soil flood application, SFA)	in bulbs (mg kg ⁻¹ DW): 3.23 (highest average concentration)	NA	↑Se content, ↑total phenolics, ↑total flavonoids, ↑total antioxidant capacity	64
<i>Allium cepa</i> L. (aggregatum group, cv. Alba)	sodium selenate selenocystine solution	63 mg of Se m ⁻² , 50 mg L ⁻¹ SeCys solution	field experiment (foliar spray) Some plots were previously treated with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)-based formulate	the inoculation of shallot plant roots with AMF increased the bulb Se content by 530%, and Se biofortification with (SeCys) ₂ and sodium selenate increased this value by 36% and 21%, respectively, compared to control	NA	↑ascorbic acid, ↑antioxidant activity	65
<i>Daucus carota</i> (cv. Mokum F1)	sodium selenate sodium selenite	10 and 100 μ g of Se mL ⁻¹	pot experiment (foliar spray)	in roots (μ g g ⁻¹ DW): 0.5–2.2 (selenate), 0.4–1.5 (selenite)	↑SeMet, ↑ γ -glutamyl-SeMet-SeCys	↑Se content in roots and leaf	66

^aDW: dry weight. ^bNA: not analyzed.

Table 7. Tomato: Plant Genotype, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

species	Se source	dose	type of treatment	TSeC	Se organic forms	other nutritional traits	references
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (cv. Red Bunch)	sodium selenate	1–1.5 mg of Se L ⁻¹	in hydroponics	in fruits (mg kg ⁻¹ DW ^{wt}): 0.94–2.76 (1 mg L ⁻¹ treatment), 2.08–3.54 (1.5 mg L ⁻¹ treatment)	NA ^b	↑delayed fruit ripening, ↑shelf life, ↑delayed lycopene and β-carotene synthesis, ↑chlorophyll degradation	54
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill. (var. Durpeel and var. Uno Rosso FI)	sodium selenate	150 g of Se Ha ⁻¹ (at the flowering stage)	field experiment (foliar application)	in fruits (mg kg ⁻¹ DW): 0.378 (Durpeel)–0.990 (Uno Rosso FI)	NA	↑Se content in fruits, ≈total carotenoids, ≈vitamin C, ↑total polyphenols	72
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (cv. Provence)	sodium selenate	1 mg of Se L ⁻¹ (at the onset of flowering)	Green house experiment (foliar application)	Not reported	NA	↑delayed fruit ripening	67
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill. (var. Toro)	sodium selenite	5 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹ (nutrient solution)	pot experiment (peat moss and perlite substrate, Se with the nutrient solution)	in fruits (μg g ⁻¹ DW): 24.0–33.0	NA	↑fruit firmness; ↑total solids; ≈N, P, K, Ca, and Mg; ↑antioxidant enzyme activities	70
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (cv. Karst)	sodium selenate	1–50 mg of Se kg ⁻¹ of peat.	in pots (peat substrate, greenhouse experiment) some plants grown and Se-fortified in pots were transplanted in open field	in edible organs, open field experiments (μg of Se kg ⁻¹ DW): 15.4–19.7 (in 2012), 14.9–20.2 (in 2013)	NA	↑Se content, ↑vitamin A	45
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.	sodium selenate	2.0–10.0 mg of Se L ⁻¹ solution.	in pots (greenhouse experiment): soil application + foliar spray (SF) seed soaking (SS)	Not reported	NA	↑antioxidant activities	71
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (cv. PKM. 1)	sodium selenate	2.0–10.0 mg of Se L ⁻¹ solution.	in pots (greenhouse experiment): soil application + foliar spray (SF) seed soaking (SS)	in fruits (μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 26.52–52.24	in fruits: ↑SeMet, ↑MeSeCys	↑total phenolic, ↑total protein, ↑nitrate, ↑total antioxidant activity, ↓chlorophyll, ↑Se concentrations	69
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (cv. Red Bunch)	sodium selenate	1.0 mg of Se L ⁻¹ (in the nutrient solution 2 weeks after transplanting)	in greenhouse (plants hydroponically grown and then transplanted into rock wool slabs)	in fruits (μg of Se g ⁻¹ DW): 10.28–11.46	NA	↑Se content, ↓β-carotene content, ↓ethylene, ↑delay in the onset of fruit ripening	68

^aDW: dry weight. ^bNA: not analyzed.

380 to develop an agronomic methodology to obtain leaves or
381 plant heads with the right dose of Se. These edible parts
382 contain, in addition to Se in inorganic forms, Se in organic
383 forms (SeMet and SeMetSeCys), which are more easily
384 available to the consumer.^{57,58,60}

385 Se-biofortification studies were also carried out on plants
386 whose edible parts were tuber, bulb, or root (potato, garlic,
387 shallot, and carrot), and the obtained results are reported in
388 Table 6.

389 As far as the nutritional benefits are concerned, selenate was
390 the most efficient source for Se biofortification of tubers;³⁴ the
391 accumulation of inorganic Se was higher in tubers treated with
392 selenate (31.9% of the total Se content) than in those treated
393 with selenite (1.5%).³⁴ However, selenate was markedly
394 inferior to selenite in terms of the organic transformation
395 rate of Se.³⁴ Selenate and SeMet were the main soluble Se
396 species in potato tubers.⁶¹ In tubers, plant application of Se
397 increased the relative content of total essential and
398 nonessential amino acids compared to the controls (phenyl-
399 alanine was enhanced particularly).⁶² When applied in small
400 doses, Se provided beneficial effects on the tuber production,
401 activated enzymes of the antioxidant system,⁶³ and delayed
402 aging of the stolons and roots, contributing to an increased
403 shelf life of potatoes.⁶¹ At harvest, the starch concentration in
404 tubers did not change.⁶¹ In garlic, foliar spray was more
405 effective than soil application. A significant increase in total
406 phenolics, total flavonoids, and total antioxidant capacity was
407 observed in bulbs.⁶⁴ Concerning shallots, it was reported that
408 Se biofortification combined with pretreatment of an
409 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)-based formulate increased
410 the bulb Se content by 530%, while Se biofortification with
411 selenocystine (SeCys₂) and selenate increased this value by
412 36% and 21%, respectively, compared to the control. The
413 values of bulb quality indicators, macro- and microelements,
414 ascorbic acid, and antioxidant activity increased upon AMF
415 inoculation;⁶⁵ both selenite and selenate positively affected
416 most of the quality attributes and macroelements as well as the
417 contents of Se and ascorbic acid. For carrots, inorganic Se,
418 SeMet, and γ -glutamyl-SeMet-SeCys were the predominant Se
419 forms in roots.⁶⁶

420 In Italy, potatoes, onions, and carrots containing low
421 concentrations of Se (suitable for human diet) are already in
422 trade and are produced by the Italian Potatoes of Quality
423 Consortium, with headquarters in Bologna.³⁰ Since tubers,
424 bulbs, and roots are poor but nutritious foods, improving their
425 nutritional characteristics even by increasing their content of
426 Se in organic forms appears relevant for the wellness of
427 populations of the poorest areas of the world. .

428 As far as fruit vegetables are concerned, the plant most
429 commonly used in Se biofortification studies was tomato,
430 whose results are reported in Table 7. Biofortification with Se
431 seemed to cause a delay in the onset of the fruit
432 ripening.^{54,67,68} This effect may be positive because it could
433 affect the postharvest shelf life of tomatoes; Zhu et al.⁶⁷
434 reported that this could be due to an inhibition of reactive
435 oxygen species (ROS) generation by stimulation of antioxidant
436 defense systems, together with a downregulation of ethylene
437 biosynthesis genes. Similarly, Puccinelli et al.⁵⁴ noticed a lower
438 respiration rate and ethylene production, associated with a
439 delayed lycopene and β -carotene synthesis and chlorophyll
440 degradation. The nutritional benefits that tomato fruits
441 acquired with Se biofortification were the presence of SeMet
442 and MetSeCys as the major forms of Se compounds in the

fruits,⁶⁹ an increase of the antioxidant activity,^{70,71} a slightly
higher level of vitamin A,⁴⁵ and an increase in fruit firmness
and fruit total solids.⁷⁰ Se biofortification of tomatoes may be
interesting for fortified food producers. Also, in this case, it is
essential to develop an agronomic method that allows fruits to
be obtained with a dose of Se suitable for the human diet.
Particularly interesting, from this point of view, is the
fortification technique developed by Businelli et al.,⁴⁵ which
is as follows: (i) enrich an appropriate amount of peat in Se,
(ii) sow the seeds of the selected crop species in Se-enriched
peat until seedlings have the appropriate size for transplanting,
(iii) transfer these Se-enriched transplants in the field.
Moreover, using this technique, the environmental spread of
Se is minimized, as this element is not in any way distributed in
the field, but it is only used during the pre-transplanting stage
and is immediately absorbed by the seedlings. Another on-field
fortification technique, suitable for obtaining a Se-fortified
tomato without excessive Se concentrations, is that proposed
by Andrejiová et al.⁷² The Se fortification of tomatoes has
potential for obtaining a table fruit with a longer shelf life and
with high levels of Se-organic forms and antioxidant
compounds. Another possible use could be the production of
sauce; in this case, Se-fortified tomatoes could be mixed with
untreated tomatoes in order to avoid excessive Se concen-
trations in the final product.

Microscale Vegetables. Recent studies on Se biofortifi-
cation were focused on “microscale vegetables”, i.e., plants in
early growth stages, since they are able to absorb relevant
amounts of Se⁷³ and are naturally rich in phytochemicals.^{74–76}
Microscale vegetables differ from each other according to their
corresponding growing cycle lengths, plant heights, edible
portions, and other secondary traits.^{74,76} This section will
review only literature on sprouts (i.e., 3–5 day-old seedlings),
grasses (7–12 day-old seedlings from *Graminaceae* species),
and microgreens (5–10 day-old seedlings from all plant
species except for *Graminaceae* species). These require a short
time interval to be produced (1–3 weeks) and few inputs (i.e.,
no soil, only water, and no or low light).^{74,76} Tables 8–10
report the studies of the last ten years that concern the most
exploited technique for Se biofortification in sprouts, grasses,
and microgreens: Se is supplied by (i) the germination
substrate (Table 8), (ii) the soaking procedure (Table 9), and
(iii) the chemical priming (Table 10). All the tables report the
effect of these methods on total and organic Se content and,
where studied, on phytochemicals.

All the procedures used for Se biofortification generally
cause an increase of Se content, but results varied with the
species; the growth stage; and the Se source, dose, timing of
application.

The growth stage should be chosen accurately since it is
related to the edible portion of the plant. In the case of sprouts,
the whole seedling (shoots and roots) is edible, while in the
case of microgreens and grass, only the shoot is used in human
nutrition (i.e., for salads, soups, or juices).^{75,76}

The organ to be consumed may also depend on the form of
Se used for biofortification. In fact, by using sodium selenite
(Na₂SeO₃), the Se might be highly accumulated in the roots
(i.e., mainly as selenite), while by using sodium selenate
(Na₂SeO₄), the Se will be accumulated mainly in the shoots as
selenate and organic Se.^{29,77}

The Se source used for biofortification is strongly related to
the chemical form of Se consumed by nutrition. On the other
hand, the chemical product containing Se is often chosen

Table 8. Microscale Vegetables: Plant Species, Growth Stage, and Se Treatment (i.e., Se Source, Se Doses, and Time of Exposition) with Se Applied to the Germination Substrate

species	growth stage (DAS) ^a	Se source	dose	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	reference
Gramineaceae							
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (rice)	10	sodium selenate	5, 10, 15, and 20 mg of Se L ⁻¹	300–500 mg kg ⁻¹ DM ^b	SeMet, SeCys ₂ , SeMetCys	↑PAs (free and conjugated), ↓carotenoids	77
	10	sodium selenite	5, 10, 15, 20 and mg of Se L ⁻¹	300–500 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeCys ₂ , SeMetCys	↑PAs (free and conjugated), ↓carotenoids	77
	8	sodium selenite	10, 20, 30, and 40 mg of Se L ⁻¹	10–25 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	NA ^c	≈polyphenols	140
	1–4	sodium selenite	10, 20, 30, and 60 μM	~2 and 8 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	NA	78
<i>Secale cereale</i> (rye)	7	Se oxide	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	53 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↓antioxidant activity, ≈GLS ^d	80
Leguminosae							
<i>Lupinus sanguifolius</i> (lupin)	5	sodium selenite	2, 4, 6, and 8 mg L ⁻¹	~1–5 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity	141
	5	sodium selenate	2, 4, 6, and 8 mg L ⁻¹	~2–14 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity	
<i>Medicago sativa</i> (alfalfa)	21	sodium selenite sodium selenate	1, 2.5, and 4 mg of Se L ⁻¹	132–284 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeCys ₂ , SeMet	NA	83
<i>Lens culinaris</i> (lentil)	21	sodium selenite sodium selenate	1, 2.5, and 4 mg of Se L ⁻¹	98–111 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeCys ₂ , SeMet	NA	83
<i>Glycine max</i> (soy)	21	sodium selenite sodium selenate	1, 2.5, and 4 mg of Se L ⁻¹	158–188 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeCys ₂ , SeMet	NA	83
Brassicaceae							
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> (var. italica) (broccoli)	15	sodium selenite	20 μM	801–1789 μg g ⁻¹	SeMetCys, SeMet	↑antioxidant activity, ↑GLS in some varieties	59
	7	sodium selenite	10, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μM	20–185 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMetCys	↓glucoraphanin	79
	7	sodium selenate	10, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μM	32–263 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMetCys	≈GLS	79
	8	sodium selenate	50 μM	132 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity and phenolics	142
	5	sodium selenite	100 μM	70 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↓≈polyphenols, ↑anthocyanins, ↑flavonoids, ≈GLS (↑sulphoraphane)	81
	5	sodium selenate	100 μM	85 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↓≈polyphenols, ↑anthocyanins, ↓≈flavonoids, ≈GLS (sulphoraphane variable among cultivars)	81
	7	sodium selenate	50 μM	160 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	≈GLS	79
	7	sodium selenate	30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 mg of Se L ⁻¹	467 mg kg ⁻¹	SeMetSeMeCys	NA	82
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. botrytis) (cauliflower)	7	Se oxide	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	400 μg g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↓antioxidant activity, ≈GLS content	80
	7	sodium selenate	50 μM	150–230 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	↑≈total and single GLS depending on varieties	79
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. acephala) (kale)	7	sodium selenate	50 μM	140–320 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	≈GLS	79
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. gemmitifera) (Brussels sprouts)	7	sodium selenate	50 μM	80 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	≈GLS	79
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. capitata) (cabbage)	7	sodium selenate	50 μM	180 μg g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	≈GLS	79

L

Table 8. continued

species	growth stage (DAS) ^a	Se source	dose	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	reference
Brassicaceae							
<i>B. rapa</i> (ssp. <i>pekinensis</i>) (Chinese cabbage)	7	sodium selenate	50 μ M	160–310 μ g g ⁻¹ DM	SeMeCys	≈GLS	79
<i>B. chinensis</i> (var. <i>pekinensis</i>) (pachchoi)	7	sodium selenate	30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 mg of Se L ⁻¹	312 mg kg ⁻¹	SeMetSeMeCys	NA	82
<i>B. albobabara</i> (kale)	7	sodium selenate	30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 mg of Se L ⁻¹	156 mg kg ⁻¹	SeMetSeMeCys	NA	82
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. <i>capitata</i> f. <i>alba</i>) (white cabbage)	7	Se oxide	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	382 μ g g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity ≈GLS content	80
<i>Sinapis alba</i> (mustard)	7	selenium oxide	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	138 μ g g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity, ≈GLS	80
<i>Lepidium sativum</i> (garden cress)	5	sodium selenite	4 and 8 mg of Se L ⁻¹	21–36 μ g g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity, ↑GLS	141
	5	sodium selenate	4 and 8 mg of Se L ⁻¹	27–39 μ g g ⁻¹ DM	NA	↑antioxidant activity, ↑GLS	141

^aDAS: days after sowing. ^bDM: dry matter. ^cNA: not analyzed. ^dGLS: glucosinolate content.

according to cost-effective parameters. Within the existing compounds suitable for Se biofortification, inorganic ones (e.g., sodium selenite and sodium selenate) are known to be cheap and efficient, whereas organic ones (i.e., selenoamino acids) are expensive but more relevant for human nutrition. Since plants are able to produce selenoproteins starting from inorganic Se compounds, inorganic forms are the most preferred for Se biofortification,⁷⁷ as demonstrated by scientific literature reported in Tables 8–10.

As far as the Se dose is concerned, studies are needed to individuate the optimal dose, i.e., the dose that increases Se accumulation and phytochemical concentration without compromising seedling growth in order to maximize the yield of total and organic Se and of phytochemicals. It should be noted that very high Se doses are not worthwhile since they depress plant growth and may cause very high Se concentrations, which may limit the consumption of micro-scale vegetables in order to not exceed the recommended daily Se intake.

Finally, the method and time of exposure for Se biofortification treatment significantly affect the final results in terms of Se and phytochemical contents. Concerning Se application via the germination solution, the common procedure consists of sowing seeds on the substrate containing different solutions of Se until the day of harvest (Table 8). Since the germination period may vary between 5 and 15 days, the solution in the substrate has to be restored often, especially when the trays for sprouting are open. Some authors added a specific volume of the corresponding Se solution to restore the solution content,^{78,79} and others sprinkled or sprayed the Se solution at specific times.^{80,81} When possible, due to the long duration of the germination period (i.e., 1521 days), some authors changed the nutrient solution containing Se.⁵⁹ The choice is also affected by the presence^{78,82} or absence^{77,83} of the substrate (i.e., paper, sand, etc.). Different procedures imply differences in the evolution of Se concentration in the germination substrate, and as a consequence, the results in the literature are often not comparable.

Considering the soaking (Table 9) and priming with Se (Table 10), the main variations are due to the time of exposition to the treatment. In the case of soaking, the time of treatment may vary from 4 to 24 h depending on the size of seeds, and Se content generally increases with increasing time of exposition. Studies on priming with Se did not report results concerning the content of total Se and Se proteins, probably because these studies were more focused on plant growth parameters and stress resistance than on nutritional traits.

In addition to the aforementioned techniques, the recent work of Puccinelli et al. is noteworthy,⁸⁴ in which they reported the possibility of producing Se-enriched sprouts from seeds harvested by a mother crop fertilized with Se. This might represent an innovative method to produce Se-enriched microgreens.

Fruit Tree Crops. Despite the considerable knowledge of Se effects and accumulation on herbaceous species, little is known about trees species. In particular, the present section will focus just on Se effects on fruits and their derivatives, as little evidence has been reported on Se accumulation especially in the edible fruits and their derivatives (juice, wine, and oil) (Table 11). The content of Se in tree plants can be increased in different ways, including soil and foliar fertilization. From the bibliography examined, it emerges that the most used modality for Se biofortification in tree plants is the foliar spray.

Table 9. Microscale Vegetables: Plant Species, Growth Stage, and Se Treatment (i.e., Se Source, Se Doses, and Time of Exposition) with Se Applied by Soaking

species	growth stage (DAS) ^a	Se source	dose	time	TSeC	organic Se	other nutritional traits	reference
Leguminosae								
<i>Cicer arietinum</i> (chickpea)	1–4	sodium selenite	1 and 2 mg in 85 mL of water	6 h	4–7 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	NA ^c	↑antioxidant activity, ↑total isoflavones, ↑some single isoflavone	143
<i>Medicago sativa</i> (alfalfa)	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10 h	13–109 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
<i>Vigna radiata</i> (mung bean)	3, 5	sodium selenate	127, 1270, and 12700 μM	10 h	up to 200 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	NA	NA	144
	3	sodium selenite	0–12 mg of Se L ⁻¹	24 h	571–7275 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$	SeMetSeCys	NA	145
Brassicaceae								
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. <i>italica</i>) (broccoli)	11	sodium selenate	10, 50, and 90 μM	4 h	NA	NA	≈polyphenols, ↓quercetin and sinapic acid, ↑morine and genisteine	146
	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10 h	~22–133 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
	3, 5	sodium selenate	127, 625, and 1270 μM	10 h	~250 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	NA	NA	144
<i>B. oleracea</i> (var. <i>capitata</i>) (red cabbage)	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10 h	13–82 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> (var. <i>sativus</i>) (radish)	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10	10–103 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
<i>R. sativus</i> (var. <i>longipinnatus</i>) (daikon sprouts)	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10 h	13–97 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
<i>Sinapis alba</i> (white mustard)	~5, 7	sodium selenite	1 and 10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	6–10 h	12–78 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	SeMet, SeMetSeCys	NA	80
other								
<i>Allium cepa</i> (onion)	5, 7	sodium selenate	127, 625, and 1270 μM	10 h	up to 600 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	NA	NA	144
<i>Amaranthus cruentus</i> , <i>A. caudatus</i> , <i>A. paniculatus</i> , and <i>A. tricolor</i> (amaranth)	6	sodium selenite	10, 15, and 30 mg L ⁻¹	3 h	35–80 mg kg ⁻¹ DM	NA	≈antioxidant activity (FRAP), ≈JDPPH	147
<i>Fago pyrum esculentum</i> (buckwheat)	11	sodium selenite	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	4 h	2 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	SeMet	NA	148
	11	sodium selenate	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	4 h	7 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	SeMet	NA	148
	11	SeMet	10 mg of Se L ⁻¹	4 h	3 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM	SeMet	NA	148

^aDAS: days after sowing. ^bDM: dry matter. ^cNA: not analyzed.

Table 10. Microscale Vegetables: Plant Species, Growth Stage, and Se Treatment (i.e., Se Source, Se Doses, and Time of Exposition) with Se Applied by Priming

species	growth stage (DAS) ^a	Se source	dose	time	TSeC	organic Se	phytochemicals	reference
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (rice)	5, 10	sodium selenite	0.8 and 1 mg of Se L ⁻¹	24 h	NA ^b	NA	↓polyphenols	149
	18	sodium selenite	15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 105 μmol of Se L ⁻¹	24 h	NA	NA	≈polyphenols (slight increase at the highest Se dose)	150
	7	not specified	60 μM Se	24 h	NA	NA	NA	151
	18	not specified	60 μM Se	24 h	NA	NA	↑antioxidant activity	152
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (wheat)	18	sodium selenate	0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μM Se	30 min	NA	NA	NA	153

^aDAS.: days after sowing. ^bNA: not analyzed.

569 In general, foliar spraying was preferable in comparison to soil
570 application, since it involves a more efficient uptake of Se, an
571 absence of residual effects, and a minimum consumption of Se
572 salts, resulting in the most environmentally safe and
573 economically acceptable method.^{31,85} A little-explored treat-
574 ment modality is that of fruit treatment. Pezzarossa et al.⁸⁶
575 investigated the effects of foliar and fruit spraying of sodium
576 selenate on Se accumulation, fruit growth, and senescence in
577 peach and pear fruit crops. Both treatments increased the fruit
578 Se concentration, but fruit treatment was more effective than
579 leaf treatment in increasing Se content in fruits. The daily
580 consumption of pears and peach treated with 1 mg of Se L⁻¹
581 does not induce toxicity but can even provide a rational Se
582 supplementation for human nutrition. Se accumulated in the
583 pear juice was almost all inorganic, so the application of
584 selenite is considered more suitable than selenate from the
585 viewpoint of food safety.⁸⁷ In apples and pomegranates, Se
586 supplementation via foliar spray enhanced fruit quality.^{88,89} In
587 particular, in apples, in addition to the increase of Se content,
588 an increase in the flesh firmness, titrable acidity, soluble solid
589 content, and activities of antioxidant enzymes were observed,⁹⁰
590 while in pomegranates, Se fertilization led to an important
591 increase of the content of phenolic compounds, antioxidants,
592 and anthocyanins.⁸⁹

593 Regarding the effects of Se supplementation (100 mg L⁻¹ via
594 foliar spray) in table olives, D'Amato et al.⁹¹ reported that, at
595 harvesting time, the concentration in the edible part of the
596 drupes delivered 6.1 μg g⁻¹, corresponding to 29 μg of Se per 5
597 olives (39 and 49% of the recommended dietary allowance
598 (RDA) for adult men and women, respectively), and such
599 enrichment also changed the nutritional quality of the drupes,
600 with significant increases in the concentrations of B, Na, Mg,
601 K, Cr, Mn, Fe, and Cu compared to the untreated control
602 group. Therefore, in addition to Se, the consumption of 10 g of
603 Se-enriched olives (five olives) per day per person would
604 provide a quantity of Cu, K, Fe, Mg, Mn, and Zn equal to 3, 9,
605 1, 1, 1, and 0.5% of the RDA, respectively.⁹²

606 Se fertilization via foliar spray (50, 100, and 150 mg L⁻¹) is
607 also effective for the enrichment of extra virgin olive oil
608 (EVOO) in Se content (up to 120 μg kg⁻¹).^{31,93} Moreover, Se
609 fertilization increased SeMet, carotenoid, chlorophyll, and
610 phenol content in EVOO.^{93,94} In particular, the phenolic
611 profiles showed that oleacein, ligustroside aglycone, and
612 oleocanthal were the most affected compounds and were
613 increased by 57, 50, and 32%, respectively. All these
614 compounds, especially oleacein, have been shown to exert a
615 relevant antioxidant activity, contributing to both the shelf life
616 of EVOOs and positive effects on human health.⁹³ It is
617 important to underline that foliar spray with Se may be

particularly useful with EVOOs characterized by a poor
phenolic profile, which cannot meet the European Food Safety
Authority (EFSA) statement about the admissibility of the
health claim for EVOOs. Indeed, a well-planned Se fertilization
before flowering may help these EVOOs reach the minimum
content of hydroxytyrosol and its derivatives (e.g., the
oleuropein complex and tyrosol).

In vitis grapes, the acid invertase activity, total soluble sugar,
and Se content produced by plants treated with Se amino-acid-
chelated fertilizer were higher than in the untreated control. In
addition, Se fertilizer improved the nutritional characteristics,
including soluble sugar, soluble protein, soluble solid, and
reduced organic acid contents, while it had no effect on the
polyphenol antioxidants of Eurasian species. Moreover, Se
fertilization can be used not only to increase the Se content
and nutrition quality of grapes but also to reduce the
accumulation of heavy metals Pb, Cr, Cd, As, and Ni.^{95,96}

Immediately after the malolactic fermentation of Se-enriched
(100 mg L⁻¹ via foliar spray) grape berries, the wine obtained
from treated trees had a Se content of 0.620 ± 0.09 mg of Se
L⁻¹.⁹⁷ In particular, the percentage of inorganic Se was 26% of
the total Se in the untreated wine, while in Se-enriched wine,
this percentage increased to 47.5% of the total Se. Selenite was
the inorganic chemical form most present in enriched wine,
probably due to the foliar application with selenate. Given a
daily wine consumption of 50 mL, the contribution to the daily
Se RDA is remarkable, since it is 91 and >100% for adult men
and women, respectively, as considered by FAO/IAEA/WHO
consultation, and 44 and 62% for adults, as considered by
USDA. In addition, the amount of alcohol contained in a
recommended volume of enriched Sangiovese wine is less than
the quantity referred to the moderate wine consumption
(15.5–31 g of alcohol day⁻¹).

In general, foliar treatment with Se resulted in the effective
enhancement of Se content in fruits (olives, grapes, pears,
peaches, pomegranates, and apples) and their derivatives (oil,
wine, and juice) and their nutritional quality. However, the
accurate planning of Se fertilization (time and dose) is
necessary in order to avoid damage to the photosynthetic
apparatus, inhibiting photosynthesis and the primary metab-
olism, and to maximize the protection from environmental
stresses and the products quality.

SELENIUM SUPPLEMENTATION IN LIVESTOCK: EFFECTS ON MEAT QUALITY

Se is an essential trace element in animal nutrition and exerts
multiple actions related to performance, fertility, health, and
product quality.⁹⁸ Different forms of Se supplements are
available for animal feed, and in particular, two major Se

Table 11. Fruit Tree: Crop Species and Genotype, Se Treatment (Se Source, Dose, and Application Mode), and Effects on Total (TSeC) and Organic Se Content and Other Nutritional Traits

sample	Se source	dose	type of treatment	Se content	TSeC	Se organic forms	other nutritional traits	reference
<i>Olea europaea</i> L. (cv. Leccino)	sodium selenate	100 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑oil	up to 120 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	NA ^a	↑phenols content in the oil, ↑PAL activity	93
<i>Olea europaea</i> L. (cv. Leccino)	sodium selenate	100 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑fruits	6.1 μg of Se g ⁻¹	NA	↑B, Mg, K, Cr, Mn, Fe, and Cu in edible parts	91
<i>Olea europaea</i> L. (cv. Maurino)	sodium selenate	50 and 150 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑oil	430.8–956.6 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	NA	↑pigment, ↑phenol content, ≈fruit characteristics, ≈sensory quality of the oil	31
<i>Olea europaea</i> L. (cv. Leccino)	sodium selenate	100 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑Se content in extra virgin olive oil	171–529 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	SeMet	↑phenol, carotenoid, and chlorophyll	154
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (cv. Hutai no. 08)	Amino acid-chelated	Se ≥ 0.12 g L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑fruits	22.90 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	NA	↑acid invertase activity, ↑total soluble sugar and Se content in berries	96
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (cv. Sangovese)	sodium selenate	100 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑fruits and wine	0.800 ± 0.08 mg of Se kg ⁻¹ (DM ^b) in the grapes; 0.620 ± 0.09 mg of Se L ⁻¹ in wine	52.5% of the total Se	↑Se content	97
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (cvs. Crimson Seedless, RedBarbara, Summer Black, and Hutai no.8)	amino acid-chelated Se	organic Se content ≥60 g L ⁻¹ (diluted 500 times)	leaves spray	↑fruits	19.46–34.96 μg of Se kg ⁻¹ (FW ^c)	NA	↑soluble sugar; ↑VC; ↑soluble protein; ↑soluble solid; ≈polyphenol; ↑K and Ca; ↑Pb, Cr, Cd, As, Ni	95
<i>Malus domestica</i> Borkh. (cv. Starking Delicious)	sodium selenate	0, 0.5, 1, and 1.5 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑fruits	0.1 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	NA	↑flesh firmness, titrable acidity, and soluble solid content; ↑activities of antioxidant enzymes	88
<i>Prunus Persica</i> L. Batsch (cv. Flavorcrest and cv. Suncrest) and <i>Pyrus communis</i> L. (cv. Conference)	sodium selenate	0.1 and 1.0 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves (LT) and fruits spray (FT)	↑fruits	33–199 μg of Se kg ⁻¹	NA	↑fruits flesh firmness, ↑soluble solid content	86
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L. (cv. Liuyexueli)	sodium selenite and sodium selenate	20, 40, 50, 100, 200 mg of Se L ⁻¹	leaves spray	↑fruits	selenate treated > selenite treated	70–80% Se transformed in organic form	<40 mg L ⁻¹ optimal Se concentration and Se(IV) more suitable (food safety)	87
<i>Punica granatum</i> L. (cv. Malase Savah)	sodium selenate and Se nano-particles	1 and 2 μM	leaves spray	↑leaves	1.5–2.5 μg Se g ⁻¹	NA	↑peel thickness, ↑phenolic compounds, ↑antioxidants, ↑anthocyanins	89

^aNA: not analyzed. ^bDM: dry matter. ^cFW: fresh weight.

Table 12. Livestock (Species, Breed, and Muscle), Se Treatment (Se Dose and Source), and Main Effects of Se Supplementation in Animal Feeding

species	breed	muscle	dose	Se source	main effects	reference
Beef						
	Limousin × Holstein–Friesian	longissimus dorsi and psoas major	0.30 or 0.50 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	Se-enriched yeast, sodium selenite	↑Se and GPx activity in meat, little or no effect in meat oxidative stability	155
	Charolais	longissimus thoracis	0.3 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	Se-enriched yeast, sodium selenite	↑Se concentrations for the Se yeast, ↑color lightness, ↓shear force	113
Pig						
	[Landrace × Yorkshire] × Duroc	loin	0.3 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	Se-enriched yeast, Se-proteiniate	↓Se concentrations in loin for the Se yeast	156
	Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire	longissimus dorsi	0.3 mg kg ⁻¹	Se-enriched yeast	↓drip loss; ↓lightness; =redness, TBARS, and thiols	157
Poultry						
	broiler	breast and leg	0.3 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	sodium selenite	↑color degree, ↓drip losses, ↑serum GPx	158
	ArborAcres	pectoralis major	0.3, 0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	nano-Se	↓TBARS, ↑muscle glutathione peroxidase	159
	Ross 308	breast	0.15 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	SeMet	↑total antioxidant capacity, ↓malondialdehyde concentration	160
	high line turkeys	pectoralis major and peroneus longus	0.08 or 0.23 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	seleno yeast, sodium selenite	↑muscle tissue GPx activities	161
Rabbit						
	Californian	hindleg	0.3 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	SeMet	↑vitamin E and Se; ↓index of lipid oxidation, TBARS	162
	New Zealand white	longissimus dorsi	10% of Se-fortified olive leaves (2.10 mg kg ⁻¹)	sodium selenate solution	↑oleic acid, ↓desaturase index, ↓TBARS	117
	New Zealand white	longissimus dorsi	10% of Se-fortified olive leaves (2.10 mg kg ⁻¹)	sodium selenate solution	↓TBARS, ↑GPx and α-tocopherol, ↑SeMet and SeCys ₂ in meat	114
	hyplus	loin and hindleg	0.12 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	Se yeast (Sel-Plex, Alltech)	↑Se content of meat	100
Lamb						
	Italian apennine lambs	longissimus dorsi	0.30 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	sodium selenite	↑Se content of meat	163
	lambs	longissimus dorsi	0.30 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	sodium selenite + Vit E	↓TBARS	164
	north country mule × Suffolk	longissimus dorsi	0, 0.11, 0.21, or 0.31 mg of Se kg ⁻¹	selenized enriched yeast, sodium selenite	no significant effects of treatment on meat quality assessments	165

666 sources are used: inorganic (mainly selenite or selenate) and
 667 organic, mainly in the form of SeMet (mainly as Se yeast or
 668 SeMet preparations). Many factors can affect the activity and
 669 efficacy of Se supplementation, such as the chemical form,
 670 animal's health, and environmental conditions. Both organic
 671 and inorganic forms are metabolized by animals, mainly as
 672 SeCys, which is the form in which Se is also consumed by
 673 humans (through animal-origin products).⁹⁹ The body of
 674 literature has reported that dietary Se supplementation
 675 increases Se concentration in the meat of rabbits,¹⁰⁰
 676 lambs,¹⁰¹ calves,¹⁰² and chickens.^{103,104}

677 Se is classified as an antioxidant microelement because it is a
 678 part of the active center of the enzyme glutathione peroxidase
 679 (GPx) as well as a cofactor for thioredoxin reductase¹⁰⁵ in
 680 blood, liver, and edible tissues,¹⁰⁶ which might be connected
 681 with enhancing the immune response in mammals. There were
 682 several documented reports that the addition of organic Se in
 683 animal feed resulted in enhanced GPx activity and oxidative
 684 stability of meat.¹⁰⁷ Lipid oxidation is the main cause of
 685 deteriorating meat quality in terms of color, flavor, texture, and
 686 nutritional value.¹⁰⁸ Joksimovic-Todorovic et al.¹⁰⁹ reported
 687 that Se has an effect of preserving the texture and sensory
 688 characteristics of meat among domestic animals. Also, this type
 689 of supplementation induced a decrease in the fat and
 690 cholesterol contents in the meat (i.e., beef).^{110,111}

691 Furthermore, Se may play a role in the alteration of lipid
 692 metabolism; a decrease of the content of cholesterol in meat

when adding Se would be a beneficial effect of its
 supplementation. Nevertheless, the results concerning lipid
 decrease¹¹¹ were not consistent with those reported in other
 studies in cattle,^{112,113} rabbit,^{100,114} or pigs,^{115,116} for which no
 difference was observed in lipid amount when adding Se. The
 Se source was reported to have no direct effect on the meat
 fatty acid profile; however, improving the oxidative stability of
 meat indirectly affected the lipid composition, thereby
 preserving the meat quality (Table 12).^{101,114,117} Such a
 discrepancy is mainly due to the form in which Se was
 administered; the organic Se is known to be linked to a higher
 Se content in the meat compared to the inorganic Se.¹¹⁸
 However, SeMet, being incorporated into general proteins
 (methionine codon), results in greater availability than SeCys,
 demonstrating that it is easier to enrich meat with Se by
 providing animals with additional SeMet in their feed.¹¹⁹

■ PERSPECTIVES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

To date, scientific research has aimed to identify the Se effects
 on the agronomic and physiological parameters of biofortified
 plants, so most of the literature reviewed here considered very
 high Se doses, which normally depress plant growth. This
 approach, however, is often incompatible with the aim of
 obtaining a Se-enriched food suitable for human and animal
 diet. Therefore, when the production of Se-enriched foods that
 provide nutritional benefits is the main goal of the research, it

718 is necessary to carefully evaluate the applied Se-biofortification
719 strategies and cost-effective parameters. In this regard, the
720 challenge for future research on plant-food biofortification will
721 be to fine-tune the fortification techniques in terms of the Se
722 source and dose as well as the timing and modality of
723 application, tailored for each plant species, growth stage, and
724 cultivation condition. An abundance of the literature reviewed
725 here considered Se hyperaccumulator plants and very high Se
726 doses, which normally depress plant growth. Future research
727 should focus on biofortification at lower Se doses, since this is
728 expected to increase Se yield (i.e., the product between plant
729 biomass and its Se concentration), and with organic rather
730 than inorganic Se forms, while avoiding overabundant
731 accumulation in plant foods, thus limiting the risk of exceeding
732 the recommended dietary intake in humans. Finally, future
733 research on the Se biofortification of plants will have to
734 consider species that are scarcely exploited for food items but
735 may be of interest in food supplementation and nutraceuticals.
736 An example is given by the Se enrichment of *Pueraria lobata*,
737 whose roots were found to be high in Se-containing proteins
738 and polysaccharides potentially useful as anticarcinogenic
739 molecules.¹²⁰

740 ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

741 Corresponding Author

742 **Paolo Benincasa** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
743 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
744 Italy; orcid.org/0000-0001-8502-8026;
745 Email: paolo.benincasa@unipg.it

746 Authors

747 **Roberto D'Amato** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
748 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
749 Italy; orcid.org/0000-0003-3062-1417
750 **Luca Regni** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
751 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
752 Italy
753 **Beatrice Falcinelli** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
754 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
755 Italy; orcid.org/0000-0002-9905-8178
756 **Simona Mattioli** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
757 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
758 Italy; orcid.org/0000-0001-5063-6785
759 **Alessandro Dal Bosco** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
760 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
761 Italy
762 **Pablo Pacheco** – Instituto de Química de San Luis, INQUISAL,
763 Centro Científico-Tecnológico de San Luis (CCT-San Luis),
764 Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas – Universidad
765 Nacional de San Luis, Ciudad de San Luis 5700, Argentina
766 **Primo Proietti** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
767 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
768 Italy
769 **Elisabetta Troni** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
770 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
771 Italy
772 **Claudio Santi** – Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences,
773 University of Perugia, Perugia 06123, Italy
774 **Daniela Businelli** – Department of Agricultural, Food and
775 Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia 06123,
776 Italy

777 Complete contact information is available at:
778 <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c00172>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This manuscript is part of the scientific collaboration
undertaken under the umbrella of the international scientific
network SeSRedCat (Selenium Sulfur and Redox Catalysis).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Schwarz, K.; Foltz, C. M. Selenium as an Integral Part of Factor 3 Against Dietary Necrotic Liver Degeneration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, *79* (12), 3292–3293.
- (2) Germ, M.; Stibilj, V. Selenium and plants. *Acta Agric. Slov.* **2007**, *89* (1), 1.
- (3) Kuznetsov, V.; Kuznetsov, V. Selenium regulates the water status of plants exposed to drought. *Dokl. Biol. Sci.* **2003**, *390* (1/6), 266–268.
- (4) Xue, T.; Hartikainen, H.; Piironen, V. Antioxidative and growth-promoting effect of selenium on senescing lettuce. *Plant and Soil* **2001**, *237*, 55–61.
- (5) Pennanen, A.; Xue, T.; Hartikainen, H. Protective role of selenium in plant subjected to severe UV irradiation stress. *J. Appl. Bot.* **2002**, *76* (1–2), 66–76.
- (6) Schweizer, U.; Fradejas-Villar, N. Why 21? The significance of selenoproteins for human health revealed by inborn errors of metabolism. *FASEB J.* **2016**, *30* (11), 3669–3681.
- (7) Labunskyy, V. M.; Hatfield, D. L.; Gladyshev, V. N. Selenoproteins: Molecular Pathways and Physiological Roles. *Physiol. Rev.* **2014**, *94* (3), 739–777.
- (8) Lenardão, E. J.; Sancineto, L.; Santi, C. *New frontiers in organoselenium compounds*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018.
- (9) Steinbrenner, H.; Speckmann, B.; Klotz, L.-O. Selenoproteins: Antioxidant selenoenzymes and beyond. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2016**, *595*, 113–119.
- (10) Chun, O. K.; Floegel, A.; Chung, S.-J.; Chung, C. E.; Song, W. O.; Koo, S. I. Estimation of Antioxidant Intakes from Diet and Supplements in U.S. Adults. *J. Nutr.* **2010**, *140* (2), 317–324.
- (11) Kipp, A. P.; Strohm, D.; Brigelius-Flohé, R.; Schomburg, L.; Bechthold, A.; Leschik-Bonnet, E.; Heseke, H. German Nutrition Society (DGE). Revised reference values for selenium intake. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* **2015**, *32*, 195–199.
- (12) Neumeister, B.; Böhm, B. O. *Klinikleitfaden Labordiagnostik*; Neumeister, B., Ravensburg, Böhm, B. O., Singapur, L. und, Eds.; Munich, Germany, 2018.
- (13) Rayman, M. P. Selenium intake, status, and health: a complex relationship. *Hormones* **2019**, *19* (1), 9–14.
- (14) Lu, C. W.; Chang, H. H.; Yang, K. C.; Kuo, C. S.; Lee, L. T.; Huang, K. C. High serum selenium levels are associated with increased risk for diabetes mellitus independent of central obesity and insulin resistance. *BMJ. Open Diabetes Res. Care* **2016**, *4* (1), e000253.
- (15) Achibat, H.; AlOmari, N. A.; Messina, F.; Sancineto, L.; Khouili, M.; Santi, C. Organoselenium Compounds as Phytochemicals from the Natural Kingdom. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2015**, *10* (11), 1934578X1501001.
- (16) Barickman, T. C.; Kopsell, D. A.; Sams, C. E. Selenium influences glucosinolate and isothiocyanates and increases sulfur uptake in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rapid-cycling *Brassica oleracea*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61* (1), 202–209.
- (17) Schiavon, M.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Mietto, A.; Pilon-Smits, E. A. H.; Sambo, P.; Masi, A.; Malagoli, M. Selenium fertilization alters the chemical composition and antioxidant constituents of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61* (44), 10542–10554.
- (18) D'Amato, R.; De Feudis, M.; Guiducci, M.; Businelli, D. Zea mays L. Grain: Increase in Nutraceutical and Antioxidant Properties Due to Se Fortification in Low and High Water Regimes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2019**, *67* (25), 7050–7059.

- 845 (19) Mao, G.; Feng, W.; Xiao, H.; Zhao, T.; Li, F.; Zou, Y.; Ren, Y.;
846 Zhu, Y.; Yang, L.; Wu, X. Purification, characterization, and
847 antioxidant activities of selenium-containing proteins and polysac-
848 charides in royal sun mushroom, *Agaricus brasiliensis* (Higher
849 Basidiomycetes). *Int. J. Med. Mushrooms* **2014**, *16* (5), 463–475.
- 850 (20) Shang, D.; Li, Y.; Wang, C.; Wang, X.; Yu, Z.; Fu, X. A novel
851 polysaccharide from Se-enriched *Ganoderma lucidum* induces
852 apoptosis of human breast cancer cells. *Oncol. Rep.* **2011**, *25* (1),
853 267–272.
- 854 (21) Institute of Medicine (US) Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and
855 Related Compounds. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin*
856 *E, Selenium, and Carotenoids*; National Academies Press: Washington,
857 D.C., 2000.
- 858 (22) Torres, S.; Gil, R.; Silva, M. F.; Pacheco, P. Determination of
859 seleno-amino acids bound to proteins in extra virgin olive oils. *Food*
860 *Chem.* **2016**, *197* (PartA), 400–405.
- 861 (23) Lopez, R.; Escudero, L.; D'Amato, R.; Businelli, D.; Tralbalza-
862 Marinucci, M.; Cerutti, S.; Pacheco, P. Optimisation of microwave-
863 assisted acid hydrolysis for the determination of seleno-amino acids
864 bound to proteins in powdered milk, lyophilized milk and infant
865 formula. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2019**, *79*, 128–133.
- 866 (24) El Mehdawi, A. F.; Lindblom, S. D.; Cappa, J. J.; Fakra, S. C.;
867 Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. Do selenium hyperaccumulators affect selenium
868 speciation in neighboring plants and soil? An X-Ray Microprobe
869 Analysis. *Int. J. Phytorem.* **2015**, *17* (8), 753–765.
- 870 (25) Gajdosechova, Z.; Mester, Z.; Feldmann, J.; Krupp, E. M. The
871 role of selenium in mercury toxicity – Current analytical techniques
872 and future trends in analysis of selenium and mercury interactions in
873 biological matrices. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *104*, 95–109.
- 874 (26) Bierla, K.; Godin, S.; Lobinski, R.; Szpunar, J. Advances in
875 electrospray mass spectrometry for the selenium speciation: Focus on
876 Se-rich yeast. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *104*, 87–94.
- 877 (27) Klencsár, B.; Li, S.; Balcaen, L.; Vanhaecke, F. High-
878 performance liquid chromatography coupled to inductively coupled
879 plasma – Mass spectrometry (HPLC-ICP-MS) for quantitative
880 metabolite profiling of non-metal drugs. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*
881 **2018**, *104*, 118–134.
- 882 (28) Eiche, E.; Nothstein, A. K.; Göttlicher, J.; Steining, R.;
883 Dhillon, K. S.; Neumann, T. The behaviour of irrigation induced Se in
884 the groundwater-soil-plant system in Punjab, India. *Environ. Sci.*
885 *Process. Impacts* **2019**, *21* (6), 957–969.
- 886 (29) Nothstein, A. K.; Eiche, E.; Riemann, M.; Nick, P.; Winkel, L.
887 H. E.; Göttlicher, J.; Steining, R.; Brendel, R.; von Brasch, M.;
888 Konrad, G.; et al. Tracking Se Assimilation and Speciation through
889 the Rice Plant – Nutrient Competition, Toxicity and Distribution.
890 *PLoS One* **2016**, *11* (4), e0152081.
- 891 (30) Poggi, V.; Arcioni, A.; Filippini, P.; Pifferi, P. G. Foliar
892 application of selenite and selenate to potato (*Solanum tuberosum*):
893 Effect of a ligand agent on selenium content of tubers. *J. Agric. Food*
894 *Chem.* **2000**, *48* (10), 4749–4751.
- 895 (31) D'Amato, R.; Proietti, P.; Nasini, L.; Del Buono, D.;
896 Tedeschini, E.; Businelli, D. Increase in the selenium content of
897 extra virgin olive oil: quantitative and qualitative implications. *Grasas*
898 *Aceites* **2014**, *65* (2), e025.
- 899 (32) Longchamp, M.; Angeli, N.; Castrec-Rouelle, M. Selenium
900 uptake in *Zea mays* supplied with selenate or selenite under
901 hydroponic conditions. *Plant Soil* **2013**, *362* (1–2), 107–117.
- 902 (33) Hajiboland, R.; Amjad, L. The effects of selenate and sulphate
903 supply on the accumulation and volatilization of Se by cabbage,
904 kohlrabi and alfalfa plants grown hydroponically. *Agric. Food Sci.*
905 **2008**, *17* (2), 177–189.
- 906 (34) Zhang, H.; Zhao, Z.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, W.; Huang, L.; Zhang,
907 Z.; Yuan, L.; Liu, X. Effects of foliar application of selenate and
908 selenite at different growth stages on Selenium accumulation and
909 speciation in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Food Chem.* **2019**, *286*,
910 550–556.
- 911 (35) Govasmark, E.; Singh, B. R.; MacLeod, J. A.; Grimmett, M. G.
912 Selenium concentration in spring wheat and leaching water as
influenced by application times of selenium and nitrogen. *J. Plant*
Nutr. **2008**, *31* (2), 193–203.
- (36) Yin, H.; Qi, Z.; Li, M.; Ahammed, G. J.; Chu, X.; Zhou, J. 914
Selenium forms and methods of application differentially modulate 915
plant growth, photosynthesis, stress tolerance, selenium content and 916
speciation in *Oryza sativa* L. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2019**, *169*, 911– 918
917.
- (37) Huang, G.; Ding, C.; Yu, X.; Yang, Z.; Zhang, T.; Wang, X. 920
Characteristics of Time-Dependent Selenium Biofortification of Rice 921
(*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2018**, *66* (47), 12490–12497. 922
- (38) Mahn, A. Modelling of the effect of selenium fertilization on 923
the content of bioactive compounds in broccoli heads. *Food Chem.* 924
2017, *233*, 492–499. 925
- (39) Lu, X.; He, Z.; Lin, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Yuan, L.; Liu, Y.; Yin, X. Effects 926
of Chinese cooking methods on the content and speciation of 927
selenium in selenium bio-fortified cereals and soybeans. *Nutrients* 928
2018, *10* (3), 317. 929
- (40) Lei, B.; Bian, Z.-h.; Yang, Q.-c.; Wang, J.; Cheng, R.-f.; Li, K.; 930
Liu, W.-k.; Zhang, Y.; Fang, H.; Tong, Y.-x. The positive function of 931
selenium supplementation on reducing nitrate accumulation in 932
hydroponic lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *J. Integr. Agric.* **2018**, *17* (4), 933
837–846. 934
- (41) da Silva, E.; Cidade, M.; Heerdt, G.; Ribessi, R.; Morgon, N.; 935
Cadore, S. Effect of selenite and selenate application on mineral 936
composition of lettuce plants cultivated under hydroponic conditions: 937
Nutritional balance overview using a multifaceted study. *J. Braz.* 938
Chem. Soc. **2018**, *29* (2), 371–379. 939
- (42) Shalaby, T.; Bayoumi, Y.; Alshaal, T.; Elhawati, N.; Sztrik, A.; 940
El-Ramady, H. Selenium fortification induces growth, antioxidant 941
activity, yield and nutritional quality of lettuce in salt-affected soil 942
using foliar and soil applications. *Plant Soil* **2017**, *421* (1–2), 245– 943
258. 944
- (43) Hawrylak-Nowak, B. Comparative effects of selenite and 945
selenate on growth and selenium accumulation in lettuce plants under 946
hydroponic conditions. *Plant Growth Regul.* **2013**, *70* (2), 149–157. 947
- (44) Ramos, S. J.; Faquin, V.; Guilherme, L. R. G.; Castro, E. M.; 948
Avila, F. W.; Carvalho, G. S.; Bastos, C. E. A.; Oliveira, C. Selenium 949
biofortification and antioxidant activity in lettuce plants fed with 950
selenate and selenite. *Plant, Soil Environ.* **2010**, *56* (12), 584–588. 951
- (45) Businelli, D.; D'Amato, R.; Onofri, A.; Tedeschini, E.; Tei, F. 952
Se-enrichment of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), lettuce (*Lactuca* 953
sativa L.) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. Karst) through 954
fortification in pre-transplanting. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2015**, 955
197, 697–704. 956
- (46) Ríos, J. J.; Blasco, B.; Cervilla, L. M.; Rubio-Wilhelmi, M. M.; 957
Ruiz, J. M.; Romero, L. Regulation of sulphur assimilation in lettuce 958
plants in the presence of selenium. *Plant Growth Regul.* **2008**, *56* (1), 959
43–51. 960
- (47) Ríos, J. J.; Blasco, B.; Rosales, M. A.; Sanchez-Rodriguez, E.; 961
Leyva, R.; Cervilla, L. M.; Romero, L.; Ruiz, J. M. Response of 962
nitrogen metabolism in lettuce plants subjected to different doses and 963
forms of selenium. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2010**, *90* (11), 1914–1919. 964
- (48) Sanmartín, C.; Garmendia, I.; Romano, B.; Díaz, M.; Palop, J. 965
A.; Goicoechea, N. Mycorrhizal inoculation affected growth, mineral 966
composition, proteins and sugars in lettuces biofortified with organic 967
or inorganic selenocompounds. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2014**, 968
180, 40–51. 969
- (49) Ríos, J. J.; Blasco, B.; Cervilla, L. M.; Rosales, M. A.; Sanchez- 970
Rodriguez, E.; Romero, L.; Ruiz, J. M. Production and detoxification 971
of H₂O₂ in lettuce plants exposed to selenium. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2009**, 972
154 (1), 107–116. 973
- (50) Ferrarese, M.; Sourestani, M.; Quattrini, E.; Schiavi, M.; 974
Ferrante, A. Biofortification of spinach plants applying selenium in the 975
nutrient solution of floating system. *Veg. Crops Res. Bull.* **2012**, *76* (1), 976
127–136. 977
- (51) Saffaryzadi, A.; Lahouti, M.; Ganjeali, A.; Bayat, H. Impact of 978
Selenium Supplementation on Growth and Selenium Accumulation 979
on Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) Plants. *Not. Sci. Biol.* **2012**, *4* (4), 980
95–100. 981

- 982 (52) Oraghi Ardebili, Z.; Oraghi Ardebili, N.; Jalili, S.; Safiallah, S.
983 The modified qualities of basil plants by selenium and/or ascorbic
984 acid. *Turk. J. Bot.* **2015**, *39* (3), 401–407.
- 985 (53) Hawrylak-Nowak, B. Enhanced Selenium Content in Sweet
986 Basil (*Ocimum Basilicum* L.) by Foliar Fertilization. *Veg. Crops Res.*
987 *Bull.* **2008**, *69* (1), 63–72.
- 988 (54) Puccinelli, M.; Malorgio, F.; Terry, L. A.; Tosetti, R.; Rosellini,
989 I.; Pezzarossa, B. Effect of selenium enrichment on metabolism of
990 tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit during postharvest ripening. *J.*
991 *Sci. Food Agric.* **2019**, *99* (5), 2463–2472.
- 992 (55) Mezeyová, I.; Hegedúsová, A.; Andrejiová, A.; Hegedús, O.;
993 Golian, M. Phytomass and content of essential oils in *Ocimum*
994 *basilicum* after foliar treatment with selenium. *J. Int. Sci. Publ.* **2016**, *4*
995 (1), 19–27.
- 996 (56) Bañuelos, G. S.; Arroyo, L.; Pickering, I. J.; Yang, S. I.; Freeman,
997 J. L. Selenium biofortification of broccoli and carrots grown in soil
998 amended with Se-enriched hyperaccumulator *Stanleya pinnata*. *Food*
999 *Chem.* **2015**, *166*, 603–608.
- 1000 (57) Šindelářová, K.; Száková, J.; Tremlová, J.; Mestek, O.; Praus, L.;
1001 Kaňka, A.; Najmanová, J.; Tlustoš, P. The response of broccoli
1002 (*Brassica oleracea* convar. *italica*) varieties on foliar application of
1003 selenium: uptake, translocation, and speciation. *Food Addit. Contam.,*
1004 *Part A* **2015**, *32* (12), 2027–2038.
- 1005 (58) Mechora, Š.; Germ, M.; Stibilj, V. Selenium compounds in
1006 selenium-enriched cabbage. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2012**, *84* (2), 259–268.
- 1007 (59) Ramos, S. J.; Yuan, Y.; Faquin, V.; Guilherme, L. R. G.; Li, L.
1008 Evaluation of genotypic variation of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var.
1009 *italica*) in response to selenium treatment. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**,
1010 *59* (8), 3657–3665.
- 1011 (60) Mechora, Š.; Stibilj, V.; Radešček, T.; Gaberščik, A.; Germ, M.
1012 Impact of se (VI) fertilization on se concentration in different parts of
1013 red cabbage plants. *J. Food, Agric. Environ.* **2011**, *9* (2), 357–361.
- 1014 (61) Cuderman, P.; Kreft, L.; Germ, M.; Kovačević, M.; Stibilj, V.
1015 Selenium species in selenium-enriched and drought-exposed potatoes.
1016 *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56* (19), 9114–9120.
- 1017 (62) Turakainen, M.; Hartikainen, H.; Seppänen, M. M. Effects of
1018 selenium treatments on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) growth and
1019 concentrations of soluble sugars and starch. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**,
1020 *52* (17), 5378–5382.
- 1021 (63) de Oliveira, V. C.; Faquin, V.; Andrade, F. R.; Carneiro, J. P.; da
1022 Silva Júnior, E. C.; de Souza, K. R. D.; Pereira, J.; Guilherme, L. R. G.
1023 Physiological and Physicochemical Responses of Potato to Selenium
1024 Biofortification in Tropical Soil. *Potato Res.* **2019**, *62* (3), 315–331.
- 1025 (64) Shafiq, M.; Qadir, A.; Ahmad, S. R. Biofortification: A
1026 sustainable agronomic strategy to increase selenium content and
1027 antioxidant activity in Garlic. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **2019**, *17* (2),
1028 1685–1704.
- 1029 (65) Golubkina, N.; Zamana, S.; Seredin, T.; Poluboyarinov, P.;
1030 Sokolov, S.; Baranova, H.; Krivenkov, L.; Pietrantonio, L.; Caruso, G.
1031 Effect of selenium biofortification and beneficial microorganism
1032 inoculation on yield, quality and antioxidant properties of shallot
1033 bulbs. *Plants* **2019**, *8* (4), 102.
- 1034 (66) Kápolna, E.; Hillestrøm, P. R.; Laursen, K. H.; Husted, S.;
1035 Larsen, E. H. Effect of foliar application of selenium on its uptake and
1036 speciation in carrot. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *115* (4), 1357–1363.
- 1037 (67) Zhu, Z.; Chen, Y.; Shi, G.; Zhang, X. Selenium delays tomato
1038 fruit ripening by inhibiting ethylene biosynthesis and enhancing the
1039 antioxidant defense system. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *219*, 179–184.
- 1040 (68) Pezzarossa, B.; Rosellini, I.; Borghesi, E.; Tonutti, P.; Malorgio,
1041 F. Effects of Se-enrichment on yield, fruit composition and ripening of
1042 tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants grown in hydroponics. *Sci.*
1043 *Hortic. (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2014**, *165*, 106–110.
- 1044 (69) Arulseelvi, N. D. P. I. Effect of selenium fortification on
1045 biochemical activities of tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum*) plants. *Indo*
1046 *Am. J. Pharm. Res.* **2014**, *4* (10), 3997–4005.
- 1047 (70) Castillo-Godina, R. G.; Foroughbakhch-Pournavab, R.;
1048 Benavides-Mendoza, A. Effect of Selenium on Elemental Concen-
1049 tration and Antioxidant Enzymatic Activity of Tomato Plants. *J. Agric.*
1050 *Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *18* (1), 233–244.
- (71) Daniel, N.; Subramaniyan, G.; Chinnannan, K.; Indra, A. P. 1051
Antioxidant profiling of selenium fortified tomato (*solanum* 1052
lycopersicum). *Int. Res. J. Pharm.* **2015**, *6* (5), 299–304. 1053
- (72) Andrejiová, A.; Hegedúsová, A.; Adamec, S.; Hegedús, O.; 1054
Mezeyová, I. Increasing of selenium content and qualitative 1055
parameters in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) after its foliar 1056
application. *Potravin. Slovak J. Food Sci.* **2019**, *13* (1), 351–358. 1057
- (73) Lintschinger, J.; Fuchs, N.; Moser, J.; Kuehnelt, D.; Goessler, 1058
W. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48*, 5362–5368. 1059
- (74) Kyriacou, M. C.; Roupael, Y.; Di Gioia, F.; Kyrtziz, A.; Serio, 1060
F.; Renna, M.; De Pascale, S.; Santamaria, P. Micro-scale vegetable 1061
production and the rise of microgreens. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 1062
2016, *57*, 103–115. 1063
- (75) Di Gioia, F.; Renna, M.; Santamaria, P. Sprouts, Microgreens 1064
and “Baby Leaf” Vegetables. In *Minimally Processed Refrigerated Fruits* 1065
and Vegetables; Fatih, Y., Wiley, R. C., Eds.; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1066
2017; pp 403–432. 1067
- (76) Benincasa, P.; Falcinelli, B.; Lutts, S.; Stagnari, F.; Galieni, A. 1068
Sprouted grains: A comprehensive review. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11* (2), 1069
421. 1070
- (77) D’Amato, R.; Fontanella, M. C.; Falcinelli, B.; Beone, G. M.; 1071
Bravi, E.; Marconi, O.; Benincasa, P.; Businelli, D. Selenium 1072
Biofortification in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Sprouting: Effects on Se 1073
Yield and Nutritional Traits with Focus on Phenolic Acid Profile. *J.* 1074
Agric. Food Chem. **2018**, *66* (16), 4082–4090. 1075
- (78) Liu, K.; Chen, F.; Zhao, Y.; Gu, Z.; Yang, H. Selenium 1076
accumulation in protein fractions during germination of Se-enriched 1077
brown rice and molecular weights distribution of Se-containing 1078
proteins. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *127* (4), 1526–1531. 1079
- (79) Ávila, F. W.; Yang, Y.; Faquin, V.; Ramos, S. J.; Guilherme, L. 1080
R. G.; Thannhauser, T. W.; Li, L. Impact of selenium supply on Se- 1081
methylselenocysteine and glucosinolate accumulation in selenium- 1082
biofortified Brassica sprouts. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *165*, 578–586. 1083
- (80) Piekarska, A.; Kołodziejski, D.; Pilipczuk, T.; Bodnar, M.; 1084
Konieczka, P.; Kusznierevicz, B.; Hanschen, F. S.; Schreiner, M.; 1085
Cyprys, J.; Groszewska, M.; et al. The influence of selenium addition 1086
during germination of Brassica seeds on health-promoting potential of 1087
sprouts. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2014**, *65* (6), 692–702. 1088
- (81) Tian, M.; Xu, X.; Liu, Y.; Xie, L.; Pan, S. Effect of Se treatment 1089
on glucosinolate metabolism and health-promoting compounds in the 1090
broccoli sprouts of three cultivars. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *190*, 374–380. 1091
- (82) Thosaikham, W.; Jitmanee, K.; Sittipout, R.; Maneetong, S.; 1092
Chantiratikul, A.; Chantiratikul, P. Evaluation of selenium species in 1093
selenium-enriched pakchoi (*Brassica chinensis* Justl var *parachinensis* 1094
(Bailey) Tsen & Lee) using mixed ion-pair reversed phase HPLC- 1095
ICP-MS. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *145*, 736–742. 1096
- (83) Funes-Collado, V.; Morell-García, A.; Rubio, R.; López- 1097
Sánchez, J. F. Study of selenocompounds from selenium-enriched 1098
culture of edible sprouts. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *141* (4), 3738–3743. 1099
- (84) Puccinelli, M.; Malorgio, F.; Rosellini, I.; Pezzarossa, B. 1100
Production of selenium-biofortified microgreens from selenium- 1101
enriched seeds of basil. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2019**, *99* (12), 5601–5605. 1102
- (85) Tedeschini, E.; Proietti, P.; Timorato, V.; D’Amato, R.; Nasini, 1103
L.; Dei Buono, D.; Businelli, D.; Frenguelli, G. Selenium as stressor 1104
and antioxidant affects pollen performance in *Olea europaea*. *Flora* 1105
2015, *215*, 16. 1106
- (86) Pezzarossa, B.; Remorini, D.; Gentile, M. L.; Massai, R. Effects 1107
of foliar and fruit addition of sodium selenate on selenium 1108
accumulation and fruit quality. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2012**, *92* (4), 1109
781–786. 1110
- (87) Deng, X. F.; Zhao, Z. Q.; Han, Z. Y.; Huang, L. Q.; Lv, C. H.; 1111
Zhang, Z. H.; Zhang, H. Q.; Liu, X. W. Selenium uptake and fruit 1112
quality of pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) treated with foliar Se application. 1113
J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. **2019**, *182*, 637–646. 1114
- (88) Babalar, M.; Mohebbi, S.; Zamani, Z.; Askari, M. A. Effect of 1115
foliar application with sodium selenate on selenium biofortification 1116
and fruit quality maintenance of ‘Starking Delicious’ apple during 1117
storage. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2019**, *99* (11), 5149–5156. 1118

- (89) Zahedi, S. M.; Hosseini, M. S.; Daneshvar Hakimi Meybodi, N.; Teixeira da Silva, J. A. Foliar application of selenium and nano-selenium affects pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Malase Saveh) fruit yield and quality. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **2019**, *124*, 350–358.
- (90) Anjum, S. A.; Ashraf, U.; Tanveer, M.; Khan, I.; Hussain, S.; Shahzad, B.; Zohaib, A.; Abbas, F.; Saleem, M. F.; Ali, I.; Wang, L. C.; et al. Drought Induced Changes in Growth, Osmolyte Accumulation and Antioxidant Metabolism of Three Maize Hybrids. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *08*, 69.
- (91) D'Amato, R.; Petrelli, M.; Proietti, P.; Onofri, A.; Regni, L.; Perugini, D.; Businelli, D. Determination of changes in the concentration and distribution of elements within olive drupes (cv. Leccino) from Se biofortified plants, using laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2018**, *98* (13), 4971–4977.
- (92) European Commission. *Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food: Nutrient and Energy Intakes for the European Community*, 31st series; Commission of the European Communities: Luxembourg, 1993; pp 1–255.
- (93) D'Amato, R.; Proietti, P.; Onofri, A.; Regni, L.; Esposto, S.; Servili, M.; Businelli, D.; Selvaggini, R. Biofortification (Se): Does it increase the content of phenolic compounds in virgin olive oil (VOO)? *PLoS One* **2017**, *12* (4), e0176580.
- (94) D'Amato, R.; De Feudis, M.; Hasuoka, P. E.; Regni, L.; Pacheco, P. H.; Onofri, A.; Businelli, D.; Proietti, P. The selenium supplementation influences olive tree production and oil stability against oxidation and can alleviate the water deficiency effects. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1–8.
- (95) Zhu, S.; Liang, Y.; Gao, D.; An, X.; Kong, F. Spraying foliar selenium fertilizer on quality of table grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) from different source varieties. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **2017**, *218*, 87–94.
- (96) Zhu, S.; Liang, Y.; An, X.; Kong, F.; Gao, D.; Yin, H. Changes in sugar content and related enzyme activities in table grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) in response to foliar selenium fertilizer. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2017**, *97* (12), 4094–4102.
- (97) Fontanella, M. C.; D'Amato, R.; Regni, L.; Proietti, P.; Beone, G. M.; Businelli, D. Selenium speciation profiles in biofortified sangiovese wine. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* **2017**, *43*, 87–92.
- (98) Mehdi, Y.; Dufresne, I. Selenium in cattle: A review. *Molecules* **2016**, *21* (4), 545.
- (99) Pezzarossa, B.; Petruzzelli, G.; Petacco, F.; Malorgio, F.; Ferri, T. Absorption of selenium by *Lactuca sativa* as affected by carboxymethylcellulose. *Chemosphere* **2007**, *67* (2), 322–329.
- (100) Dokoupilová, A.; Marounek, M.; Skřivanová, V.; Březina, P. Selenium content in tissues and meat quality in rabbits fed selenium yeast. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2008**, *52* (6), 165–169.
- (101) Liu, S. M.; Sun, H. X.; Jose, C.; Murray, A.; Sun, Z. H.; Briegel, J. R.; Jacob, R.; Tan, Z. L. Phenotypic blood glutathione concentration and selenium supplementation interactions on meat colour stability and fatty acid concentrations in Merino lambs. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *87* (2), 130–139.
- (102) Skřivanová, E.; Marounek, M.; De Smet, S.; Raes, K. Influence of dietary selenium and vitamin E on quality of veal. *Meat Sci.* **2007**, *76* (3), 495–500.
- (103) Wang, Y. B.; Xu, B. H. Effect of different selenium source (sodium selenite and selenium yeast) on broiler chickens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *144* (3–4), 306–314.
- (104) Perić, L.; Milošević, N.; Žikić, D.; Kanački, Z.; Džinić, N.; Nollet, L.; Spring, P. Effect of selenium sources on performance and meat characteristics of broiler chickens. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* **2009**, *18* (80) (3), 403–409.
- (105) Navarro-Alarcón, M.; López-Martínez, M. C. Essentiality of selenium in the human body: Relationship with different diseases. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2000**, *249* (1–3), 347–371.
- (106) Surai, P. F. Selenium in poultry nutrition 2. Reproduction, egg and meat quality and practical applications. *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **2002**, *58* (4), 431–450.
- (107) Suchý, P.; Straková, E.; Herzig, I. Selenium in poultry nutrition: A review. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *59* (11), 495–503.
- (108) Falowo, A. B.; Fayemi, P. O.; Muchenje, V. Natural antioxidants against lipid-protein oxidative deterioration in meat and meat products: A review. *Food Res. Int.* **2014**, *64*, 171–181.
- (109) Joksimovic-Todorovic, M.; Davidovic, V.; Sretenovic, L. The effect of diet selenium supplement on meat quality. *Biotechnol. Anim. Husb. Biotechnol. u Stoc.* **2012**, *28* (3), 553–561.
- (110) Netto, A. S.; Zanetti, M. A.; Claro, G. R. D.; de Melo, M. P.; Vilela, F. G.; Correa, L. B. Effects of copper and selenium supplementation on performance and lipid metabolism in confined brangus bulls. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *27* (4), 488–494.
- (111) Mehdi, Y.; Clinquart, A.; Hornick, J. L.; Cabaraux, J. F.; Istasse, L.; Dufresne, I. Meat composition and quality of young growing belgian blue bulls offered a fattening diet with selenium enriched cereals. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *95* (3), 465–473.
- (112) Taylor, J. B.; Marchello, M. J.; Finley, J. W.; Neville, T. L.; Combs, G. F.; Caton, J. S. Nutritive value and display-life attributes of selenium-enriched beef-muscle foods. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2008**, *21* (2), 183–186.
- (113) Cozzi, G.; Prevedello, P.; Stefani, A. L.; Piron, A.; Contiero, B.; Lante, A.; Gottardo, F.; Chevaux, E. Effect of dietary supplementation with different sources of selenium on growth response, selenium blood levels and meat quality of intensively finished Charolais young bulls. *Animal* **2011**, *5* (10), 1531–1538.
- (114) Mattioli, S.; Dal Bosco, A.; Duarte, J. M. M.; D'Amato, R.; Castellini, C.; Beone, G. M.; Fontanella, M. C.; Beghelli, D.; Regni, L.; Businelli, D.; et al. Use of Selenium-enriched olive leaves in the feed of growing rabbits: Effect on oxidative status, mineral profile and Selenium speciation of Longissimus dorsi meat. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* **2019**, *51*, 98–105.
- (115) Zhan, X. A.; Wang, M.; Zhao, R. Q.; Li, W. F.; Xu, Z. R. Effects of different selenium source on selenium distribution, loin quality and antioxidant status in finishing pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *132* (3–4), 202–211.
- (116) Svoboda, M.; Saláková, A.; Fajt, Z.; Ficek, R.; Buchtová, H.; Drábek, J. Selenium from Se-enriched lactic acid bacteria as a new Se source for growing-finishing pigs. *Polym. J. Vet. Sci.* **2009**, *12* (3), 355–361.
- (117) Mattioli, S.; Machado Duarte, J. M.; Castellini, C.; D'Amato, R.; Regni, L.; Proietti, P.; Businelli, D.; Cotozzolo, E.; Rodrigues, M.; Dal Bosco, A. Use of olive leaves (whether or not fortified with sodium selenate) in rabbit feeding: Effect on performance, carcass and meat characteristics, and estimated indexes of fatty acid metabolism. *Meat Sci.* **2018**, *143*, 230–236.
- (118) Pereira, A. S. C.; Santos, M. V. d.; Aferri, G.; Corte, R. R. P. d. S.; Silva, S. d. L. e.; Freitas Junior, J. E. d.; Leme, P. R.; Renno, F. P. Lipid and selenium sources on fatty acid composition of intramuscular fat and muscle selenium concentration of Nellore steers. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* **2012**, *41* (11), 2357–2363.
- (119) Kieliszek, M.; Błażej, S. Selenium: Significance, and outlook for supplementation. *Nutrition* **2013**, *29* (5), 713–718.
- (120) Zou, Y.; Zhao, T.; Mao, G.; Zhang, M.; Zheng, D.; Feng, W.; Wang, W.; Wu, X.; Yang, L. Isolation, purification and characterisation of selenium-containing polysaccharides and proteins in selenium-enriched *Radix puerariae*. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94* (2), 349–358.
- (121) Chen, L.; Yang, F.; Xu, J.; Hu, Y.; Hu, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Pan, G. Determination of selenium concentration of rice in China and effect of fertilization of selenite and selenate on selenium content of rice. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50* (18), 5128–5130.
- (122) Ngigi, P. B.; Lachat, C.; Masinde, P. W.; Du Laing, G. Agronomic biofortification of maize and beans in Kenya through selenium fertilization. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2019**, *3* (1), 2577–2591.
- (123) Wang, J.; Wang, Z.; Mao, H.; Zhao, H.; Huang, D. Increasing Se concentration in maize grain with soil- or foliar-applied selenite on the Loess Plateau in China. *F. Crop. Res.* **2013**, *150*, 83–90.

- 1255 (124) Xia, Q.; Yang, Z. P.; Xue, N. W.; Dai, X. J.; Zhang, X.; Gao, Z.
1256 Q. Effect of foliar application of selenium on nutrient concentration
1257 and yield of colored grain wheat in China. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*
1258 **2019**, *17* (2), 2187–2202.
- 1259 (125) Lara, T. S.; Lessa, J. H. de L.; de Souza, K. R. D.; Corguinha,
1260 A. P. B.; Martins, F. A. D.; Lopes, G.; Guilherme, L. R. G. Selenium
1261 biofortification of wheat grain via foliar application and its effect on
1262 plant metabolism. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2019**, *81*, 10–18.
- 1263 (126) Galinha, C.; Sanchez-Martinez, M.; Pacheco, A. M. G.; Freitas,
1264 M. d. C.; Coutinho, J.; Macas, B.; Almeida, A. S.; Perez-Corona, M.
1265 T.; Madrid, Y.; Wolterbeek, H. T. Characterization of Selenium-
1266 Enriched Wheat by Agronomic Biofortification. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*
1267 **2015**, *52*, 4236–4245.
- 1268 (127) Poblaciones, M. J.; Rodrigo, S.; Santamaría, O.; Chen, Y.;
1269 McGrath, S. P. Agronomic selenium biofortification in *Triticum*
1270 *durum* under Mediterranean conditions: From grain to cooked pasta.
1271 *Food Chem.* **2014**, *146*, 378–384.
- 1272 (128) Rodrigo, S.; Santamaría, O.; López-Bellido, F. J.; Poblaciones,
1273 M. J. Agronomic selenium biofortification of two-rowed barley under
1274 Mediterranean conditions. *Plant, Soil Environ.* **2013**, *59* (3), 115–120.
- 1275 (129) Rahman, M. M.; Erskine, W.; Zaman, M. S.; Thavarajah, P.;
1276 Thavarajah, D.; Siddique, K. H. M. Selenium biofortification in lentil
1277 (*Lens culinaris Medikus* subsp. *culinaris*): Farmers' field survey and
1278 genotype × environment effect. *Food Res. Int.* **2013**, *54* (2), 1596–
1279 1604.
- 1280 (130) Poblaciones, M. J.; Rodrigo, S.; Santamaría, O.; Chen, Y.;
1281 McGrath, S. P. Selenium accumulation and speciation in biofortified
1282 chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Mediterranean conditions. *J. Sci.*
1283 *Food Agric.* **2014**, *94* (6), 1101–1106.
- 1284 (131) Chan, Q.; Afton, S. E.; Caruso, J. A. Selenium speciation
1285 profiles in selenite-enriched soybean (*Glycine Max*) by HPLC-
1286 ICPMS and ESI-ITMS. *Metallomics* **2010**, *2*, 147–153.
- 1287 (132) Shalaby, T.; Bayoumi, Y.; Alshaal, T.; Elhawat, N.; Sztrik, A.;
1288 El-Ramady, H. Selenium fortification induces growth, antioxidant
1289 activity, yield and nutritional quality of lettuce in salt-affected soil
1290 using foliar and soil applications. *Plant Soil* **2017**, *421* (1–2), 245–
1291 258.
- 1292 (133) Sabatino, L.; Ntatsi, G.; Iapichino, G.; D'Anna, F.; De
1293 Pasquale, C. Effect of selenium enrichment and type of application on
1294 yield, functional quality and mineral composition of curly endive
1295 grown in a hydroponic system. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9* (4), 207.
- 1296 (134) Stibilj, V.; Smrkolj, P.; Jačimović, R.; Oswald, J. Selenium
1297 uptake and distribution in chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) grown in
1298 an aeroponic system. *Acta Agric. Slov.* **2011**, *97* (3), 189–196.
- 1299 (135) Puccinelli, M.; Malorgio, F.; Maggini, R.; Rosellini, I.;
1300 Pezzarossa, B. Biofortification of *Ocimum basilicum* L. plants with
1301 selenium. *Acta Hort.* **2019**, No. 1242, 663–670.
- 1302 (136) Barátová, S.; Mezeyová, I.; Hegedusová, A.; Andrejiová, A.
1303 Impact of biofortification, variety and cutting on chosen qualitative
1304 characteristic of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Acta Fytotech. Zootech.*
1305 **2015**, *18* (03), 71–75.
- 1306 (137) Schiavon, M.; Berto, C.; Malagoli, M.; Trentin, A.; Sambo, P.;
1307 Dall'Acqua, S.; Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. Selenium Biofortification in
1308 Radish Enhances Nutritional Quality via Accumulation of Methyl-
1309 Selenocysteine and Promotion of Transcripts and Metabolites Related
1310 to Glucosinolates, Phenolics, and Amino Acids. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**,
1311 *7*, 1371.
- 1312 (138) Hsu, F. C.; Wirtz, M.; Heppel, S. C.; Bogs, J.; Krämer, U.;
1313 Khan, M. S.; Bub, A.; Hell, R.; Rausch, T. Generation of Se-fortified
1314 broccoli as functional food: Impact of Se fertilization on S
1315 metabolism. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **2011**, *34* (2), 192–207.
- 1316 (139) Ježek, P.; Hlušek, J.; Lošák, T.; Jůžl, M.; Elzner, P.; Kráčmar,
1317 S.; Buňka, F.; Martensson, A. Effect of foliar application of selenium
1318 on the content of se-lected amino acids in potato tubers (*Solanum*
1319 *tuberosum* L.). *Plant, Soil Environ.* **2011**, *57* (7), 315–320.
- 1320 (140) Chomchan, R.; Siripongvutikorn, S.; Puttarak, P.; Rattanapon,
1321 R. Influence of selenium bio-fortification on nutritional compositions,
1322 bioactive compounds content and anti-oxidative properties of young
ricegrass (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Funct. Foods Health Dis.* **2017**, *7* (3), 195–
1323 209.
- (141) Frias, J.; Gulewicz, P.; Martínez-Villaluenga, C.; Pilarski, R.;
1325 Blazquez, E.; Jiménez, B.; Gulewicz, K.; Vidal-Valverde, C. Influence
1326 of germination with different selenium solutions on nutritional value
1327 and cytotoxicity of lupin seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57* (4),
1328 1319–1325.
- (142) Bachiega, P.; Salgado, J. M.; de Carvalho, J. E.; Ruiz, A. L. T.;
1330 Schwarz, K.; Tezotto, T.; Morzelle, M. C. Antioxidant and
1331 antiproliferative activities in different maturation stages of broccoli
1332 (*Brassica oleracea Italica*) biofortified with selenium. *Food Chem.*
1333 **2016**, *190*, 771–776.
- (143) Guardado-Félix, D.; Serna-Saldivar, S. O.; Cuevas-Rodríguez,
1335 E. O.; Jacobo-Velázquez, D. A.; Gutiérrez-Urbe, J. A. Effect of sodium
1336 selenite on isoflavonoid contents and antioxidant capacity of chickpea
1337 (*Cicer arietinum* L.) sprouts. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *226*, 69–74.
- (144) Arscott, S.; Goldman, I. Biomass effects and selenium
1339 accumulation in sprouts of three vegetable species grown in
1340 selenium-enriched conditions. *HortScience* **2012**, *47* (4), 497–502.
- (145) Tie, M.; Gao, Y.; Xue, Y.; Zhang, A.; Yao, Y.; Sun, J.; Xue, S.
1342 Determination of selenium species and analysis of methyl-seleno-l-
1343 cysteine in Se-enriched mung bean sprouts by HPLC-MS. *Anal.*
1344 *Methods* **2016**, *8* (15), 3102–3108.
- (146) Barrientos Carvacho, H.; Pérez, C.; Zúñiga, G.; Mahn, A.
1346 Effect of methyl jasmonate, sodium selenate and chitosan as
1347 exogenous elicitors on the phenolic compounds profile of broccoli
1348 sprouts. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94* (12), 2555–2561.
- (147) Pasko, P.; Gdula-Argasinska, J.; Podporska-Carroll, J.; Quilty,
1350 B.; Wietecha-Posluszny, R.; Tysza-Czochara, M.; Zagrodzki, P.
1351 Influence of selenium supplementation on fatty acids profile and
1352 biological activity of four edible amaranth sprouts as new kind of
1353 functional food. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *52* (8), 4724–4736.
- (148) Cuderman, P.; Ožbolt, L.; Kreft, I.; Stibilj, V. Extraction of Se
1355 species in buckwheat sprouts grown from seeds soaked in various Se
1356 solutions. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *123* (3), 941–948.
- (149) Moullick, D.; Ghosh, D.; Chandra Santra, S. Evaluation of
1358 effectiveness of seed priming with selenium in rice during germination
1359 under arsenic stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2016**, *109*, 571–578.
- (150) Khaliq, A.; Aslam, F.; Matloob, A.; Hussain, S.; Geng, M.;
1361 Wahid, A.; Ur Rehman, H. Seed priming with selenium:
1362 Consequences for emergence, seedling growth, and biochemical
1363 attributes of rice. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2015**, *166* (2), 236–244.
- (151) Hussain, S.; Yin, H.; Peng, S.; Khan, F. A.; Khan, F.;
1365 Sameeullah, M.; Hussain, H. A.; Huang, J.; Cui, K.; Nie, L.
1366 Comparative transcriptional profiling of primed and non-primed
1367 rice seedlings under submergence stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 1–
1368 16.
- (152) Hussain, S.; Khan, F.; Cao, W.; Wu, L.; Geng, M. Seed
1370 Priming Alters the Production and Detoxification of Reactive Oxygen
1371 Intermediates in Rice Seedlings Grown under Sub-optimal Temper-
1372 ature and Nutrient Supply. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 439.
- (153) Nawaz, F.; Ashraf, M. Y.; Ahmad, R.; Waraich, E. A. Selenium
1374 (Se) Seed Priming Induced Growth and Biochemical Changes in
1375 Wheat Under Water Deficit Conditions. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2013**,
1376 *151* (2), 284–293.
- (154) D'Amato, R.; De Feudis, M.; Hasuoka, P. E.; Regni, L.;
1378 Pacheco, P. H.; Onofri, A.; Businelli, D.; Proietti, P. The Selenium
1379 Supplementation Influences Olive Tree Production and Oil Stability
1380 Against Oxidation and Can Alleviate the Water Deficiency Effects.
1381 *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1–8.
- (155) Juniper, D. T.; Phipps, R. H.; Ramos-Morales, E.; Bertin, G.
1383 Effect of dietary supplementation with selenium-enriched yeast or
1384 sodium selenite on selenium tissue distribution and meat quality in
1385 beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2008**, *86* (11), 3100–3109.
- (156) Qiu, Y.; Liu, Q.; Beta, T. Antioxidant properties of commercial
1387 wild rice and analysis of soluble and insoluble phenolic acids. *Food*
1388 *Chem.* **2010**, *121* (1), 140–147.
- (157) Kim, M. Y.; Lee, S. H.; Jang, G. Y.; Li, M.; Lee, Y. R.; Lee, J.;
1390 Jeong, H. S. Changes of phenolic-acids and vitamin E profiles on
1391

- 1392 germinated rough rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) treated by high hydrostatic
1393 pressure. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *217*, 106–111.
- 1394 (158) Yang, Y. R. Effect of organic and inorganic selenium
1395 supplementation on growth performance, meat quality and anti-
1396 oxidant property of broilers. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *11* (12), 3031–
1397 3036.
- 1398 (159) Cai, S. J.; Wu, C. X.; Gong, L. M.; Song, T.; Wu, H.; Zhang, L.
1399 Y. Effects of nano-selenium on performance, meat quality, immune
1400 function, oxidation resistance, and tissue selenium content in broilers.
1401 *Poult. Sci.* **2012**, *91* (10), 2532–2539.
- 1402 (160) Wang, Y.X.; Zhan, X.A.; Yong, D.; Zhang, X.W.; Wu, R.J.
1403 Effects of selenomethionine and sodium selenite supplementation on
1404 meat quality, selenium distribution and antioxidant status in broilers.
1405 *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2011**, *56* (7), 305–313.
- 1406 (161) Juniper, D. T.; Phipps, R. H.; Bertin, G. Effect of dietary
1407 supplementation with selenium-enriched yeast or sodium selenite on
1408 selenium tissue distribution and meat quality in commercial-line
1409 turkeys. *Animal* **2011**, *5* (11), 1751–1760.
- 1410 (162) Ebeid, T. A.; Zeweil, H. S.; Basyony, M. M.; Dosoky, W. M.;
1411 Badry, H. Fortification of rabbit diets with vitamin E or selenium
1412 affects growth performance, lipid peroxidation, oxidative status and
1413 immune response in growing rabbits. *Livest. Sci.* **2013**, *155* (2–3),
1414 323–331.
- 1415 (163) Vignola, G.; Lambertini, L.; Mazzone, G.; Giammarco, M.;
1416 Tassinari, M.; Martelli, G.; Bertin, G. Effects of selenium source and
1417 level of supplementation on the performance and meat quality of
1418 lambs. *Meat Sci.* **2009**, *81* (4), 678–685.
- 1419 (164) Ripoll, G.; Joy, M.; Muñoz, F. Use of dietary vitamin E and
1420 selenium (Se) to increase the shelf life of modified atmosphere
1421 packaged light lamb meat. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *87* (1), 88–93.
- 1422 (165) Juniper, D. T.; Phipps, R. H.; Ramos-Morales, E.; Bertin, G.
1423 Effects of dietary supplementation with selenium enriched yeast or
1424 sodium selenite on selenium tissue distribution and meat quality in
1425 lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *149* (3–4), 228–239.