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CONSPECTUS: In recent years, carbon nanodots (CNDs) have emerged as an environmentally friendly, biocompatible, and inexpensive class of material, whose features sparked interest for a wide range of applications. Most notable is their photoactivity, as exemplified by their strong luminescence. Consequently, CNDs are currently being investigated as active components in photocatalysis, sensing, and optoelectronics. Charge-transfer interactions are common to all these areas. It is therefore essential to be able to fine-tune both the electronic structure of CNDs and the electronic communication in CND-based functional materials. The complex, but not completely deciphered, structure of CNDs necessitates, however, a multifaceted strategy to investigate their fundamental electronic structure and to establish structure–property relationships. Such investigations require a combination of spectroscopic methods, such as ultrafast transient absorption and fluorescence up-conversion techniques, electrochemistry, and modeling of CNDs, both in the absence and presence of other photoactive materials. Only a sound understanding of the dynamics of charge transfer, charge shift, charge transport, etc., with and without light makes much-needed improvements in, for example, photocatalytic processes, in which CNDs are used as either photosensitizers or catalytic centers, possible.

This Account addresses the structural, photophysical, and electrochemical properties of CNDs, in general, and the charge-transfer chemistry of CNDs, in particular. Pressure-synthesized CNDs (pCNDs), for which citric acid and urea are used as inexpensive and biobased precursor materials, lie at the center of attention. A simple microwave-assisted thermolytic reaction, performed in sealed vessels, yields pCNDs with a fairly homogeneous size distribution of ~1–2 nm. The narrow and excitation-independent photoluminescence of pCNDs contrasts with that seen in CNDs synthesized by other techniques, making pCNDs optimal for in-depth physicochemical analyses. The atomistic and electronic structures of CNDs were also analyzed by quantum chemical modeling approaches that led to a range of possible structures, ranging from heavily functionalized, graphene-like structures to disordered amorphous particles containing small sp² domains. Both the electron-accepting and -donating performances of CNDs make the charge-transfer chemistry of CNDs rather versatile. Both covalent and noncovalent synthetic approaches have been explored, resulting in architectures of various sizes. CNDs, for example, have been combined with molecular materials ranging from electron-donating porphyrins and extended tetraphiafulvalenes to electron-accepting perylendiimides, or nanocarbon materials such as polymer-wrapped single-walled carbon nanotubes. In every case, charge-separated states formed as part of the reaction cascades initiated by photoexcitation. Charge-transfer assemblies including CNDs have also played a role in technological applications: for example, a proof-of-concept dye-sensitized solar cell was designed and tested, in which CNDs were adsorbed on the surface of mesoporous anatase TiO₂. The wide range of reported electron-donor–acceptor systems documents the versatility of CNDs as molecular building blocks, whose electronic properties are tunable for the needs of emerging technologies.

INTRODUCTION

Carbon-based functional materials have lain in the focal point of enormous research efforts in the past few decades. The systematic extension of the nanocarbon family resulted from
continuous research on functional nanocarbons and their integration into functional devices. One branch of this family tree, photoluminescent carbon-based nanoparticles, has grown considerably in the past 12 years. Strictly speaking, these particles are nanocarbon derivatives with (partially) amorphous structures and a high heteroatom content. Catalyst, sensing, imaging, lighting, drug delivery, and solar-energy conversion are just a few areas in which they show great promise.

As for many other nanocarbons, commercialization of carbon nanodots (CNDs)-based products has been hampered by poor control over their structure and electronic properties. Precise structural characterization constitutes a great challenge. Attempts have been made to classify carbon-based nanoparticles according to their structure and/or synthetic route. One of these attempts, introduced by Cayuela et al. in 2016, is based on the categorization of carbon-based nanoparticles according to not only their structure but also their electronic deactivation. A controversial debate as to whether the electronic deactivation in bottom-up synthesized carbon-based particles is subject to any sort of quantum confinement has ensued: Compelling evidence suggests that the photoluminescence (PL) has several dimensions and is very common. For example, strong PL evolved in multiwalled carbon nanotubes in the visible range upon cutting them into small fragments and oxidizing to yield a large number of carboxylic groups. Likewise, PL is observed in carbon nano-onions and hydrogenated graphene. In the latter, sp²-conjugated domains on the order of a few nanometers are electronically isolated by largely hydrogenated areas, so that PL was described in hydrogenated carbon films in numerous publications from the 1990s.

The concept of photoluminescent CNDs was raised in 2006 by Sun et al., following their earlier observation of luminescent carbon-based particles during laser ablation of a carbon source in the presence of water. Their simple synthesis and ease of handling has led to a rapidly rising interest in studying the formation, the properties, and the applications of CNDs. Bottom-up synthesized CNDs are of particular interest, as they can be produced from natural resources without the comparatively expensive oxidation of mineral graphite or the use of crude oil-based starting materials. Furthermore, a careful selection of the synthesis parameters enables structure and size and, subsequently, optical and electrochemical properties to be tuned.

A widely employed and studied precursor system is citric acid/urea (CA/U). CA/U-based CNDs are produced in a simple microwave-assisted thermolytic reaction in H₂O or other polar solvents. The CA/U system was first described in 1893. The light brown product obtained in the thermal reaction of CA and U was named “citrazinamide” and was shown to fluoresce in solution. CA/U systems have numerous advantages that range from the use of inexpensive, biobased starting materials to the ability to tune the PL to some degree. Interpretations of their structure and reactivity, especially regarding structure−property relationships, should be treated with caution due to the heterogeneous and reactive nature of the CND products. However, conducting the reaction in a sealed vessel and under pressure resulted in small (∼1–2 nm) homogeneously distributed CNDs. Higher PL quantum yields were also realized in this manner. Structural characterization by, for example, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), reveals the presence of heavily functionalized π-conjugated particles. Note that very small particles with highly amorphous structures are unlikely to be detectable in TEM. In the case of pressure synthesized CNDs (pCNDs), atomic force microscopy and UV/vis analytical ultracentrifugation reveal a mean size of ∼1–2 nm and a maximum size of 4 nm.

By far the most intriguing property of CNDs is their PL, although its exact origin is subject to controversial debate. pCNDs display a narrow, excitation-independent PL (Figure 1), which indicates that pCNDs emission is originated in a single particle species and that pCNDs are a homogeneous material in terms of their molecular structure. This motivated us to investigate the underlying electronic deactivation mechanism. Time-resolved techniques, such as time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC), fluorescence up-conversion spec-
A complex deactivation mechanism based on a number of electronic processes governs the PL of CNDs. For example, a fast deactivation in the low picosecond regime that occurs within the sp²-conjugated domains (the core) is seen at higher energies (toward the blue end). A slower deactivation in the short nanosecond regime takes place at the red end of the features and is assigned to electronic processes mediated by surface groups, like in inorganic QDs with surface traps. In small and/or more amorphous CNDs, the slow deactivation, which dominates the PL, is due to the presence of a larger number of surface states. Experimental confirmation came from a combination of FLUPS and TCSPC.27

Excitation-dependent PL is taken to indicate trap states, from which different deactivation lifetimes originate. A clear relationship exists between surface states and optical features of CNDs. PL lifetime measurements of CNDs yield two major components that evolve in different energy regions. Steady-state excitation and emission wavelength-dependent measurements correlated with the PL lifetimes revealed that the absorption and PL spectra are best described as the superimpositions of several electronic transitions.28 Multiple peak fitting showed that some photoluminescent surface states are bimodal, while others are monomodal (Figure 2). A combined spectro-electrochemical analysis, in which specific optical features were quenched upon applying reducing and/or oxidizing potentials, confirmed this conclusion. These experiments were all intended to correlate the optical and electrochemical reduction and/or oxidation.

The exact structure from which the surface-state related PL originates is still not clear. The PL quantum yields of these surface states are higher than those from the core and are prone to photobleaching. For example, the PL of CNDs synthesized in a thermal reaction under pressure depends on the reaction temperature and/or time.29 PL quantum yields tend to be higher for CNDs synthesized at lower temperatures of ∼180 °C.26 At temperatures higher than 200 °C, reduction of surface traps/functionalities is observed, along with an increase of features related to the sp²-conjugated core. In general, at elevated temperatures and under exclusion of oxygen, the surface traps/functionalities are constantly removed, leading to a loss of mass of 50%–60% and greater than 70% carbon.27,30 PL is still detectable for such (defunctionalized) CNDs with, however, significantly lower quantum yields.

Pyridones are a likely surface-located trap/functionality and are responsible for the multitude of electronic transitions observed in CNDs.76 Differences in terms of reactivity under pressure and, in turn, different reaction mechanisms may lead to variations in CND size and traps/functionalities. Such a hypothesis is in sound agreement with the observation that the PL quantum yields scale with the effective nitrogen loading in CNDs.31

Currently, the unambiguous interpretation of the spectroscopic results has been hampered by insufficient structural characterization of CNDs. Computational modeling has evolved as a powerful tool; it allows the construction of models with clear structure–property relationships. In the absence of compelling evidence, it is, however, important to compare different possible structural architectures. Three different structures have mainly been considered in the literature (Figure 3). First, CNDs are at times represented as spherical, graphitic particles. Second, they are often modeled as 2D, graphene-like structures. Third, they are considered as disordered, amorphous structures, with small embedded sp²-conjugated domains.

Spherical, graphitic particles are unlikely to be a physically relevant model for highly photoluminescent, bottom-up synthesized CNDs. On the one hand, such graphitic structures would not feature a band gap/PL and, on the other hand, they would be insoluble, especially in polar media such as water. 2D, graphene-like nanosheets may display these properties if they are small (1–2 nm) and peripherally functionalized with, for
example, carboxylic acid groups. They are the model used most frequently to study the electronic properties of CNDs. Models based on disordered, amorphous structures have been considered far less often, mainly because it is difficult to define amorphous structures. We reported a library of such structures determined from a melt/quench procedure, in which random-ized periodic carbon structures were generated and then quenched to the next local minimum, iterating hundreds of times.31

IR and Raman spectroscopy suggests that CNDs are far from perfect 2D crystals and that they feature a high degree of peripheral functionalization and structural defects. Thus, 2D, graphene-like nanoflakes and disordered, amorphous structures represent the two extreme ends of the spectrum of possible CND structures. Both steady-state and time-resolved optical spectroscopies point to the coexistence of multiple electronic states within single particles. This resembles what is observed for inorganic QDs with surface defects. In graphene-like structures, surface states are typically associated with edge terminations. Interestingly, we found that amorphous structures display the same qualitative features (see Figure 4). Here, the surface states correspond to small organic chromophores (i.e., sp2-conjugated domains) embedded in an amorphous matrix.

Figure 4. Calculated density of states for disordered, amorphous CND models, featuring a broad continuum of delocalized, band-like states (black) and individual localized surface states (highlighted in red).

The notion of largely amorphous structures containing aromatic domains has been confirmed in experimental models, in which polyaromatic hydrocarbons such as anthracene were embedded in inert polymer matrices.32 Tuning of the latter led to a remarkable resemblance with the CND optical properties. Overall, theoretical and experimental evidence suggests that the optical CND properties stem mainly from localized features. Optically active constituents may consist of both larger sp2-conjugated carbon domains and smaller heteroatom-containing molecular chromophores: Several structural moieties have been discussed, including anthracene, pyridine, pyridone, etc.26,32,33

In the bigger picture, the coexistence of different active sites in a single CND contributes to the complex exciton relaxation dynamics and excitation-wavelength dependent PL. CND optical properties are governed by the type and amount of electroactive structural sites: A clear indication of this is the large variation of CNDs obtained by even slight changes in the synthetic parameters.

Their multitude of electronic states makes CNDs an emerging platform for diverse applications in charge-transfer schemes. In early CND work on charge-transfer interactions, the PL was found to be quenched in the presence of both electron donors and acceptors.34 Our steady-state and time-resolved spectroscopic assays showed that such PL quenching is based on charge-transfer reactions in the excited state. Important charge-transfer parameters include the underlying binding motifs and the electron-donor and/or -acceptor strengths. For example, the PL quenching rate is almost 2 orders of magnitude higher for charged electron acceptors than for uncharged molecular electron donors (Figure 5a,b,d,e).27 Spectro-electrochemical and pulse-radiolytic experiments on CNDs showed that specific features respond at different potentials upon electrochemical reduction and/or oxidation (Figure 5c,f).28

Charge-Transfer Properties

One of the most striking features of CNDs is their charge-transfer chemistry; they serve as either electron donors or acceptors. Many supramolecular architectures of different sizes have been designed by combining CNDs with molecular and particulate building blocks or mesoporous surfaces. They operate via charge transfer to or from photoexcited surfaces. Overall, CNDs have evolved as versatile building blocks for supramolecular architectures with tailored electronic properties, suggesting the use of CNDs in technological devices that require cheap materials with charge-transfer activity.

CNDs as Electron Acceptors

The electron-accepting character of CNDs was explored in combination with, for example, electron-donating porphyrins and π-extended tetrathiafulvalene (exTTF). Porphyrins were selected because of their planar 18-π-electron aromatic structure, which ensures high molar extinction coefficients and efficient pathways for energy- and charge-transfer events. Moreover, a broad range of peripheral functionalization allows their redox potentials to be fine-tuned,35 while enabling them to participate in noncovalent, supramolecular architectures, and to be incorporated in covalently linked electron donor–acceptor conjugates. In contrast, the pro-aromatic exTTF26 gains planarity and aromaticity upon one- and two-electron oxidation. This results in the formation of stable oxidized forms at relatively moderate potentials and facilitates charge-transfer events.

In a well-defined covalent porphyrin-CND electron donor–acceptor conjugate, the amino groups of nitrogen-doped CNDs (nCNDs), reminiscent of the ethylenediamine precursor, were targeted in a coupling reaction with a carboxyphenyl-functiona-lized porphyrin (Figure 6a).37 Appreciable nCND-porphyrin ground-state interactions induce both red shifts and broadening of the Soret- and Q-band absorptions in comparison to reference porphyrin and blue-shifted nCND-absorptions. Excited-state interactions result in a substantial quenching of emissions for the electron donor–acceptor conjugate compared to its individual constituents. Selective nCND excitation at 300 nm initiates an energy-transfer process to the covalently attached porphyrin, leading to porphyrin fluorescence. Likewise, selective excitation of the porphyrin at 422 nm is followed by red shifts from 645 and 715 nm to 651 and 721 nm in the electron donor–acceptor conjugate, accompanied by a sizable fluorescence quenching (Figure 6b). Ultrafast TAS revealed a transient spectrum remarkably similar to the sum of the one-electron reduced nCND and the one-electron oxidized porphyrin, suggesting that charge separation and recombination are responsible for the excited-state deactivation of the nCND-porphyrin. Charge
Figure 5. (a) (left) Steady-state emission spectra of pCND with increasing amounts of methylviologen (MV2+) upon excitation at 350 nm. (right) Corresponding Stern–Volmer plot. (b) Energy diagram illustrating the excited-state deactivation. (c) UV–Vis absorption (left) and emission (right) spectra of pCNDs in H2O with 0.1 M TBABF4 as supporting electrolyte when screening potentials from 0 to +1.5 V (blue to green). (d) (Left) Steady-state emission spectra of pCND with increasing amounts of triethanolamine (TEOA) upon excitation at 350 nm. (Right) Corresponding Stern–Volmer plot. (e) Energy diagram illustrating the excited-state deactivation; (f) UV–vis absorption (left) and emission (right) spectra of pCNDs in H2O with 0.1 M TBABF4 as supporting electrolyte when screening potentials from 0 to −1.5 V (blue to red).

Figure 6. (a) Structure of the covalent electron donor–acceptor conjugate between nCNDs and tetraarylporphyrin. (b) Fluorescence spectra of the reference porphyrin (blue), nCNDs (green), and the nCND-P (red). (c) Energy diagram illustrating the excited-state deactivation. (d) Structure of the porphyrins studied in noncovalent electron-donor–acceptor systems with pCNDs. (e) Stern–Volmer plots for the emission quenching of ZnP3+ and H2P3+ (upper left), ZnP6+ and H2P6+ (upper right), ZnP and H2P (bottom left), and ZnP3+ and H2P3+ (bottom right) upon the addition of pCNDs. (f) Energy diagram illustrating the excited-state deactivation. (g) Structure of the H-bonded electron donor–acceptor between pCND and tetraarylporphyrin. (h) Differential absorption 3D map obtained from TAS (λex = 420 nm), time-absorption profiles (open circles), and global analysis fittings (solid lines), and normalized decay-associated spectra showing a charge-transfer (CT) and a charge-separated (CS) state. (i) Energy diagram illustrating the excited-state deactivation.
For bulky porphyrins, where coordination is sterically impeded, show stronger quenching than nonmetalated ones. Interestingly, contributes only to a minor extent, as metalated porphyrins constants up to 0.48 mg. Quenching of the porphyrin absorption red-shifts are smaller, and bulky, positively charged porphyrins, Soret- and Q-band sizable cooperation from π-stacking was also noted: For the ords, on the one hand, a charge-transfer state is populated through excitation. It decays in a parallel branching and ays, on the one hand, a charge-separated state and, on the other hand, a pCND-centered state (Figure 6f). From the former, charge recombination occurs in the range from 18 to 37 ps and depends on the nature of the porphyrin. Reference measurements with neutral or negatively charged orbs endowed up to 90% quenching of the porphyrin fluorescence and Stern–Volmer constants up to 0.48 mg L. TAS supports the notion that a charge-transfer state is populated through excitation. It decays in a parallel branching and ays, on the one hand, a charge-separated state and, on the other hand, a pCND-centered state (Figure 6f). From the former, charge recombination occurs in the range from 18 to 37 ps and depends on the nature of the porphyrin. Reference measurements with neutral or negatively charged porphyrins proved to be very important. They support the hypothesis that electrostatic forces are essential for the formation of the supramolecular architectures (Figure 6e). A sizable cooperation from π-stacking was also noted: For the bulky, positively charged porphyrins, Soret- and Q-band absorption red-shifts are smaller, and fluorescence quenching is reduced from 90% to 60%. Stern–Volmer constants also diminish from 0.48 to 0.083 mg L. Coordination, however, contributes only to a minor extent, as metalated porphyrins show stronger quenching than nonmetalated ones. Interestingly, for bulky porphyrins, where coordination is sterically impeded, metalated and nonmetalated porphyrins behave more or less the same (Figure 6e).

The focus was different when amidine-functionalized porphyrins were used. They were designed to support H-bonding interactions and the formation of salt bridges with the carboxylates of pCNDs (Figure 6g). H-Bonded architectures with charge-transfer activity were verified in anhydrous dimethylformamide (DMF), although the red shift of the Soret-band absorption was only 1 nm. Fluorescence quenching reached ~15% of the initial intensity. Stern–Volmer constants for emission quenching (0.088 mg L) resemble those obtained for the positively charged porphyrins. Additionally, charge separation and recombination take place upon photoexcitation with 2 and 27 ps, respectively (Figure 6h,i). Interestingly, no H-bonding interactions could be verified in the presence of water, probably due to solvent molecules blocking the salt-bridge formation.

An exTTF donor was also incorporated into covalent electron donor–acceptor conjugates with pCNDs. pCNDs were reacted with thionyl chloride and subsequently with hydroxymethyl-exTTF to afford pCND-exTTF (Figure 7a). In pCND-exTTF, ground-state electronic interactions are not evident, since, for example, the absorption features and the one-electron oxidation of exTTF remained unchanged relative to the reference. Nevertheless, an emission quenching of over 90% was found. This quenching goes along with a TAS transient upon 387 nm photoexcitation, which showed the fingerprints of the one-electron oxidized form of exTTF (Figure 7b); a charge separation that takes ~1.3 ps is responsible before the ground state is reinstated via charge recombination in 13.3 ps (Figure 7c).

**CNDs as Electron Donors**

To shed light onto the electron-donating characteristics of CNDs rather than their electron-accepting characteristics, the focus was shifted to several classes of electron acceptors, namely,
Excited-state interactions parallel with the marked ground-state interactions result in a decrease of the PDI fluorescence lifetimes from 4.7 to 0.2 ns in the absence and presence of pCNDs, respectively. Notably, none of the aforementioned effects were observed when negatively charged carboxylate substituents, rather than positively charged trimethylammonium groups, were present on the PDI. In TAS experiments performed with PDI/pCND, the fingerprints of the one-electron reduced PDI were detected immediately after the 500 nm pump pulse. This points to charge separation as the main deactivation pathway. Charge recombination is biphasic, with lifetimes of 4 and 210 ps (Figure 9c).

**Charge-Transfer of CNDs in Applications**

The charge-transfer chemistry of CNDs, especially as electron donors, has also been exploited in promising materials for biomedical and/or energy applications. Supramolecular systems have been studied, in particular. For example, assemblies of gold nanoparticles and pCNDs (Au@pCND) were used as sensitizers in cancer radiotherapy. Additionally, CNDs made from urea, citric acid, and formic acid have been adsorbed onto mesoporous titania films and studied as sensitizers in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC).

pCNDs act as both reducing and stabilizing agents in Au@pCND. In the resulting bulbous metallic nanoparticles, an amorphous carbon shell capped the gold core, as revealed by STEM. The absorption and emission spectra of Au@pCND show features assignable to oxidized pCNDs, while Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy confirms the presence of oxidized functional groups in the 1500 to 1700 cm⁻¹ and the 1100 to 1450 cm⁻¹ regions. Au@pCND were stabilized by charge-transfer interactions; confirmation also came from TAS experiments, where a significant contribution from charge-transfer interactions was observed. The Au@pCND clusters showed improved efficiency and biocompatibility in comparison to gold nanoparticles stabilized with citric acid.

Photoanodes for DSSCs were prepared by dipping mesoporous titania electrodes into HNO₃-acidified aqueous solutions of pCNDs for 6–8 h. The cell setup was completed with a standard Pt counterelectrode and an electrolyte based on the I⁻/I₃⁻ couple. A moderate efficiency of 0.24% was obtained. Lowering the pH of the aqueous pCND solutions results in an intensification of the IPCE spectra due to better surface coverage compared to photoanodes prepared at higher pH. The DSSC efficiency of pCND solar cells is, however, more than an order of magnitude smaller than that of dye-sensitized or inorganic QD solar cells. TAS experiments were used to investigate reasons for the poor performance with pCND-covered titania photoanodes; three lifetimes, on the time scales of 1, 10, and 100 ps, depending on the measurement conditions,
were determined. The first and second lifetimes were associated with nonradiative recombination processes and population of the trap states/charge injection into the titania conduction band, respectively, while the third lifetime was ascribed to charge recombination between the injected carriers and the oxidized pCNDs. Additional evidence for this interpretation comes from open-circuit voltage decays, obtained under pulsed LED illumination. These measurements confirmed that trap states, inherent to pCNDs, are an integral part of the decay cascades upon photoexcitation. Indeed, decreasing the density of trap states proved more beneficial for solar-cell performance than increasing the spectral range of light harvesting. This is because these excited states fail to contribute to the charge injection due to their ultrashort lifetimes. Overall, a rather poor balance between charge recombination and regeneration causes the moderate DSSC performance of pCND solar cells.

**OUTLOOK**

Charge-transfer processes play an important role in a wide range of applications for which CNDs have proved an interesting class of nanocarbon materials with high potential. In a number of studies, we have provided compelling evidence for charge transfer in functional assemblies with CNDs, on the one hand, and molecular electron donors and acceptors, single-walled carbon nanotubes, gold nanoparticles, and anatase TiO₂, on the other hand. Fine-tuning the surface chemistry of CNDs allows them to be exploited as either electron donors or acceptors. A comprehensive correlation between the physicochemical properties and structural features is, however, complex. Our combined spectroscopic and electrochemical approach, which aims to correlate these features, can be regarded as a good starting point toward a wide-ranging understanding of the excited-state dynamics and a more efficient exploitation of charge carriers. Systematic spectro-electrochemical investigations, which will be based on a range of different CNDs, will be of utmost importance to gather an unambiguous interpretation of the structure–property relationships.

In general, charge transfer is key to many applications, such as photocatalysis, photosensing, or photosensitization. A photophysical, and electrochemical assays is pivotal.
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