Exploring lectin-like activity of the S-layer protein of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356
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Abstract

The surface layer (S-layer) protein of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* is a crystalline array of self-assembling, proteinaceous subunits non-covalently bound to the outmost bacterial cell wall envelope and is involved in the adherence of bacteria to host cells. We have previously described that the S-layer protein of *L. acidophilus* possesses anti-viral and anti-bacterial properties. In this work, we extracted and purified S-layer proteins from *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 cells to study their interaction with cell wall components from prokaryotic (i.e., peptidoglycan and lipoteichoic acids) and eukaryotic origin (i.e., mucin and chitin), as well as with viruses, bacteria, yeast, and blood cells. Using chimeric S-layer fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) from different parts of the protein, we analyzed their binding capacity. Our results show that the C-terminal part of the S-layer protein presents lectin-like activity, interacting with different glycoepitopes. We further demonstrate that lipoteichoic acid (LTA) serves as an anchor for the S-layer protein. Finally, a structure for the C-terminal part of S-layer and possible binding sites were predicted by a homology-based model.
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Introduction

The surface layer protein (S-layer protein) of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* is a crystalline array of self-assembling, proteinaceous subunits, non-covalently bound to the outmost cell wall envelope. It plays a crucial role in biological functions by aiding adherence of the bacteria to host cells (Malamud et al. 2019). Notably, several but not all species of the genus *Lactobacillus* present S-layer proteins on their cell envelope (Allievi et al. 2019; Malamud et al. 2019). We have previously postulated that the S-layer protein of *L. acidophilus* acts as anti-viral and anti-bacterial candidate (Prado Acosta et al. 2008, 2016; Prado-Acosta et al. 2010; Martinez et al. 2012), as pre-treatment of the bacterial cells with purified S-layers not only reduced viability but also prevented infection of host cells (Martínez et al. 2012; Prado Acosta et al. 2016). Moreover, previous work has also shown that different S-layer proteins play a role both in the phenomena of exclusion of enteric pathogens (Hynönen et al. 2014; Meng et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2016) and in the stimulation of the immune response via interactions with some intestinal epithelial cell components (Ashida et al. 2011; Carasi et al. 2014; Hymes and Klaenhammer 2016). Moreover, S-layer protein encoded by
slpA gene (SlpA) of *L. acidophilus* NCFM was found to regulate dendritic and T cell functions by specific binding on their surface lectin receptor DC-SIGN (Konstantinov et al. 2008).

The S-layer protein contains a conserved N-terminal signal peptide of 25–30 amino acids (Palomino et al. 2016), indicative of its secretion via the general Sec-pathway. The primary sequence of the predominant S-layer, SlpA, of *L. acidophilus*, predicts a structural fold in two well-defined modules: the N-terminal part (amino acids 32 to 238) forms an external layer of protein monomers and interacts with the cell environment, while the C-terminal part (amino acids 239 to 444) is responsible for the cell wall anchorage (Smit et al. 2001; Smit and Pouwels 2002; Prado Acosta et al. 2008). Interestingly, the sequence of the N-terminal domain is variable, but the C-terminal domain is highly conserved in species of the *L. acidophilus* group, such as *Lactobacillus helveticus* and *Lactobacillus crispatus*. Based on electron microscopy, S-layer subunits exhibit lattices with oblique symmetry, permeable and with pores between the identical lattice units (Smit et al. 2001).

Similar to microbial adhesins, capable of recognizing oligosaccharides from glycoproteins either in the mucus layer or on the surface of epithelial cells in the gastrointestinal tract (van Tassell and Miller 2011), our in silico analysis revealed that the S-layer protein presents two carbohydrate recognition domains (CRD) probably responsible for glycoconjugate recognition in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. (Martínez et al. 2012; Prado Acosta et al. 2016). Previous work (Johnson et al. 2013) has attributed adhesion capacity to the S-layer proteins, although this has not been thoroughly evaluated. In fact, it was shown that heterologous expression of a region of the S-layer from *L. brevis* conferred the ability to adhere to gut epithelial cells to a poorly adhesive lactic acid bacteria, i.e., *Lactococcus lactis* (Ávall-Jääskeläinen et al. 2003), highlighting a potential role of the S-layer protein in adhering to host cells.

Moreover, the C-terminal region of the *L. acidophilus* S-layer protein has been used to display heterologous proteins/epitopes (Smit and Pouwels 2002; Antikainen et al. 2002). However, there are still no details as to how the S-layer is anchored to the cell wall. In contrast to *Bacillus* and its relatives that contain S-layer homologous domains (SLH domains) involved in the anchoring of the S-layer to the cell wall components (Janesch et al. 2013; Sleytr et al. 2014; Allievi et al. 2014; Suhr et al. 2016; Blackler et al. 2018), such domains are not present in *Lactobacillus*. It has been described for the S-layer CbsA of *L. crispatus*, which shares 76% identity in primary sequence and in particular to the C-terminal region of the SlpA of *L. acidophilus*, that the protein binds to lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and functions to anchor the S-layer to the lactobacillar cell wall (Antikainen et al. 2002). Recent work in *Lactobacillus buchneri* shows that LTA mediates S-layer protein anchoring to the cell wall (Bönisch et al. 2018).

Considering that S-layer proteins contain two potential carbohydrate recognition domains (CRD) predicted in the C-terminal region of SlpA protein from in silico analysis (Smit et al. 2001; Martínez et al. 2012; Prado Acosta et al. 2016), we decided to study how the S-layer is anchored to the cell wall and how it exerts its anti-microbial effects, by analyzing the interaction with prokaryotic macromolecules such as peptido-glycan (PG) and lipoteichoic acids (LTA) and eukaryotic glycoconjugates, including glycoproteins, mucins, and polysaccharides such as chitin. To clarify the molecular mechanism by which the S-layer protein binds carbohydrates, we constructed chimerical GFP–S-layer fusion proteins with different parts of the slpA gene. A homology-based model to predict the structure of the C-terminal part is proposed and the most likely mechanism of interaction is discussed.

### Materials and methods

#### Microorganism strains and growth conditions

*L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356, *L. helveticus* ATCC 12046, *Lactobacillus kefiri* JCM 5818, *Lactobacillus brevis* ATCC 14869, *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC 14917, and *Lactobacillus casei* BL23 were grown at 37 °C under static condition in de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) medium. MRS medium (Biokar, Beauvais, France) pH = 6.5 contains 10 g/l tryptone, 4 g/l yeast extract, 8 g/l meat extract, 5 g/l Na acetate, 0.2 g/l MgSO_4·7H_2O, 0.05 g/l MnSO_4·4H_2O, 1 ml/l Tween 80, and 20 g/l glucose.

*Escherichia coli* Top10 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford IL, USA) was used for cloning, and *E. coli* HME 174 (DE3) (Novagen EMD Biosciences, Madison, WI, USA) was used for protein expression. *E. coli* strains were grown in LB (Luria Bertani) medium at 37 °C under aerated condition. When appropriate, antibiotics were added to the following concentrations: kanamycin, 30 μg/ml (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA) and ampicillin, 100 μg/ml (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA).

*Bacillus cereus* BGSC 6A1 (*Bacillus* Genetic Stock Center Columbus, OH, USA), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO 1, and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Newport ATCC 6962 (INEL-ANLIS Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán, Buenos Aires, Argentina) were grown in LB medium in aerated conditions at 37 °C for 16 h.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* NCYC 1115 was grown on rich medium containing 2% bactopeptone, 1% yeast extract, and 2% glucose (YPD) in aerated conditions at 30 °C for 16 h.

#### S-layer purification

Purified S-layer protein from *L. acidophilus* cultures grown in MRS medium at 37 °C was extracted by using a two-step LiCl extraction; first, with 1 M LiCl to release S-layer associated proteins (SLAP) (do Carmo et al. 2018), and then 5 M LiCl followed by extensive dialyzed against distilled water overnight at 4 °C and, after centrifugation (12,000g, 20 min),
resuspended in PBS (sterile phosphate-buffered saline) and stored at 4 °C (Palomino et al. 2016). Purity was checked by SDS-PAGE (Supplemental Fig. S1).

**Hemaggulutination and inhibition assays**

Hemagglutination assays were adapted from (Sano and Ogawa 2014) and performed using 96-well microtiter plates with U-shape wells (Biofil, Guangzhou, China). Briefly, 50 μl of a twofold serial dilution of S-layer proteins (initial concentration: 1 mg/ml) in PBS was mixed with equal volume 4% (v/v) of sheep erythrocytes (Laboratorio Alfredo C. Gutiérrez Luján Buenos Aires Argentina http://www.alfredocgutierrez.com.ar/) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Formation of a reticulated suspension network indicates positive agglutinating activity, while a dot indicates negative agglutination.

For the hemagglutination inhibition assay, 1 mg/ml of S-layer protein was incubated with twofold serial dilution of carbohydrates, glycoproteins, or polysaccharides at room temperature for 1 h and then assayed for hemagglutination activity as described above.

To establish affinity of S-layer protein for carbohydrate structures, inhibition of hemagglutinating activity was tested using carbohydrate derivatives in solution (0.5 M in PBS).

Monosaccharides such as D-mannose, L-fucose, L-rhamnose, glucosamine, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (NAG), D-glucose, D-galactose, disaccharide, D-lactose, all reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis MO, USA). PBS and S-layer protein with no inhibitors were used as negative and positive controls respectively.

**Bacterial agglutination**

Exponential culture of *S. enterica* serovar Newport ATCC 6962, *P. aeruginosa* PAO 1, and *B. cereus* BGSC 6A1 were harvested and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h with S-layer or bovine serum albumin (BSA) as negative control. Cells were re-harvested and resuspended in milliQ water after incubation. LIVE/DEAD BacLight (Invitrogen, Eugene OR, USA) kit was used to visualize agglutination and cell death at the same time by fluorescence microscopy (Axiostar Plus; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a x 100 objective with oil immersion. A suspension of only S-layer was visualized also as negative control.

**Viral binding**

We developed a strategy to assay viral binding, in analogy to pull-down procedures, taking advantage of the capacity of the S-layer suspension to aggregate and to precipitate when applying a low centrifugal force. Low centrifugal force does not precipitate virions due to their sedimentation coefficient that requires very high ultra-centrifugation forces (higher than 30,000×g) and time to form a pellet (Blanco Fernández et al. 2017). Human adenovirus type 5 (Adv-5 ATCC VR-1516), Herpes simplex type 1 (HSV-1 ATCC VR-1493), Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV ATCC VR-1238), or phage J1 were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h with 400 μg/ml of S-layer suspension and centrifuged at 3800×g for 20 min. Aliquots of supernatant before and after centrifugation were serially diluted and titrated by plaque assay. A virus control was performed by incubating the virus suspension with PBS. With these data, the reduction factor (RF) was calculated as the logarithm of the ratio of viral titer before and after centrifugation in the virus control and treated with S-layer tubes. Inhibition of binding between S-layer and virus particles was assay by preincubation of the S-layer with 400 mM D-mannose. Virucidal activity of the S-layer was determined as described previously (Martínez et al. 2012) for all the viruses.

**Dot-blot assay**

Dot-blot assays were performed as previously described (Naughton et al. 2013) with some modifications. Macromolecules from different sources were immobilized onto a PVDF membrane (Thermo Scientific, Rockford IL, USA), including prokaryotic cell wall components and eukaryotic macromolecules: prokaryotic cell wall components: lipoteichoic acids (LTA), 20 mg/ml; peptidoglycan (PG), 20 mg/ml; cell wall polysaccharides (CWPS), 20 mg/ml; (prepared as described below); lipopolysaccharide (LPS), 6 mg/ml (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA); eukaryotic glycosylated proteins: stomach porcine mucin type III (PSM, 10 μg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis, USA), de-sialilated PSM (10 μg/ml); fibronectin (1 mg/ml) (Advanced Biomatrix, San Diego CA, USA), collagen (100 μg/ml) (Helena Laboratory Beaumont TX, USA); glucose oxidase (GOX, 2 μg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA); fetuin (0.5 μg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA); asialofetuin (0.5 μg/ml); RNAs B (5 μg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA). Eukaryotic polysaccharides: chitin (30 mg/ml) (ICN Biomedicals Inc., Aurora OH, USA), chitosan (30 mg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA), hyaluronic acid (HA, 2.5 mg/ml) (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA), and heparin (250 mg/ml) (Northia, BSA As, Argentina). Bovine serum albumin (BSA, 10 mg/ml) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas TX, USA) was used as a negative control.

Desialylation of fetuin and PSM was done by incubating 2 h at 80 °C in acetic acid 2 N followed by neutralization with 5 M ammonium hydroxide. Finally, samples were dialyzed against water and concentrated.

After seeding, PVDF membranes were washed once and then blocked overnight at 4 °C in PBS containing 3% (w/v) BSA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas TX, USA). Blocked membranes were washed and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h with an overlay with native S-layer-LiCl purified or fusion proteins
shown in the figure.

with the minimum concentration assay was selected and of known concentrations were spotted in PVDF and the spot concentrations of the blotted macromolecules, serial dilutions of known concentrations were spotted in PVDF and the spot with the minimum concentration assay was selected and shown in the figure.

**Solid phase assay**

Plate preparation for solid phase assay was performed as previously described (Carasi et al. 2014) with some modifications. In this assay, 96-well sterile polystyrene plates (Maxisorp Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with mucin from porcine stomach type III (PSM, Sigma-Aldrich St Louis MO, USA) in PBS (50 μl, 10 mg/ml). Coating was achieved by incubation at 37 °C for 2 h, further overnight incubation at 4 °C, and a last incubation at 37 °C with increased volume (75 μl of 10 mg/ml PSM) to minimize empty binding sites. Finally, the plate was washed twice with PBS to eliminate excess of PSM. For the inhibition assay, S-layer (50 μg/ml) was pre-incubated at 37 °C for 2 h with inhibitors (D-galactose, D-glucose, D-mannose, and raffinose) and then incubated at 37 °C for 2 h in the PSM-coated plate. Each well was washed 3 times with PBS tween 0.05 % v/v, and then incubated with mouse polyclonal anti-S-layer antibody (1:5000) (Prado Acosta et al. 2008). Binding was detected using HRP-conjugated anti-IgG mouse antibody (1:10000) and chemiluminescence using luminol substrate ECL (Amersham, GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA).

**Solid phase assay**

Images were obtained with Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). To determine concentrations of the blotted macromolecules, serial dilutions of known concentrations were spotted in PVDF and the spot with the minimum concentration assay was selected and shown in the figure.

**Molecular cloning and plasmid construction**

A C-terminal green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion expression vector was constructed, amplifying gfp from pM14 (Piuri et al. 2009) with the following primers:

GFP-5’TGGTGTCGACATGGTGAGCAAGG-3’
GFP-2-5’TGGCTCGAGCTTGTACAGCTCGTCC-3’

(underlined letters correspond to restriction sites).

The PCR product was digested with SalI and XhoI and cloned into pET21b (Novagen, EMD Biosciences, Madison, WI, USA) to generate pET21-GFP vector. The pET28-GFP was used as N-terminal GFP fusion expression vector (Dieterle et al. 2014).

All DNA sequences were amplified by PCR using Go Taq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) by following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Different slpA regions were amplified using chromosomal DNA of *L. acidophilus* as template, amplified using the primers described in the Table 1, and cloned into pET21-GFP. Figure 4a shows different SlpA regions analyzed.

**Protein purification**

Plasmids described above were transformed into *E. coli* HMS 174(DE3) cells (Novagen, EMD Biosciences, Madison, WI, USA) by electroporation for protein expression and further purification. Transformed cells were grown with aeration at 37 °C to an OD600 of 0.5 in LB medium. Protein expression was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and the cultures were further incubated overnight at 19 °C before being harvested. Cell pellets were resuspended in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 300 mM NaCl, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]) and disrupted by sonication (6 cycles of 15 s). The clear lysates were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 20 min, and the supernatants were filtered (0.22 μm Millipore Burlington, MA, USA) to remove the cell debris.

Subsequently, the clear lysates were applied to HisTrap™ HP columns (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) equilibrated with the binding buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, pH 7.4), then the columns were
washed with washing buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, pH 7.4) and the His-tagged protein was eluted in elution buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). Eluted samples were dialyzed twice against protein buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) and once again in the same buffer with 30% glycerol and then stored at −20 °C.

Protein concentration was determined at 280 nm in a Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) or Metrolab 1700 UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Metrolab, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and the molar extinction coefficients were calculated using Expasy’s ProtParam tool (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/). SDS-PAGE was used to analyze protein expression.

### The binding of SlpA fusion proteins to L. acidophilus

*L. acidophilus* cultures were grown as mentioned above; cells were harvested in the stationary phase. After treating with 5 M LiCl to remove S-layer protein, cells were washed twice and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) adjusting to an OD600 of 1.0.

In a typical binding experiment, aliquots of 300 μl of culture were incubated for 60 min at 37 °C with 10 μg of SlpA NT-GFP, GFP-CT1 chimical fusion proteins. GFP purified protein and PBS were used as negative and autofluorescence controls respectively. After binding, cells were collected by centrifugation for 10,000×g for 5 min and washed twice. Cells were resuspended in 100 μl of PBS and whole cells bound with SlpA fusion proteins were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Axioskop Plus; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a ×100 objective with oil immersion, and phase contrast and flow cytometry techniques. For the latter method, after the binding assay, the mixture was washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 300 μl of PBS with approximately 1 × 10⁶ cells. Fluorescent and non-fluorescent cells within the gated population were distinguished based on fluorescent intensity (GFP-H). BD FACSAria software (BD FACSDiva, firmware version 6.1.3; BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) was used for data acquisition, and FlowJo 10.0.7 software (https://www.flowjo.com/) was used for subsequent analysis. Assays were carried out on triplicate and data were normalized to the means for three replicates.

Competitive inhibitors of SlpA binding to *L. acidophilus* were tested with increasing concentrations of monosaccharides such as D-mannose, D-fructose, glucosamine, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (NAG), N-acetylenaminuronic acid, D-glucose, D-galactose, L-fucose, and L-rhamnose; the disaccharide D-lactose and trisaccharide raffinose (O-α-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→6)-α-D-glcopyranosylβ-D-fructofuranoside) or N-acetylenaminylactose (sialyllactose) (Elicityl, Crolles, France) (concentration range micromolar to millimolar) and polymers, chitin, or LTA; all reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis MO, USA) unless stated otherwise. For polymers, concentrations in nanomoles was calculated based on their monomer content in an average chain length as reported in previous literature; for chitin, chain length was estimated in 900 monomers (203 g/mol monomer NAG) (Howling et al. 2001), and for LTA, an average chain length of 27.5 (172 g/mol monomer glycerol phosphate) was estimated (Cleveland et al. 1975), considering data from *L. helveticus* DSM 20075T and *L. buchneri* CD034, both strains harboring S-layer proteins (Shiraishi et al. 2016; Bönisch et al. 2018).

Purified LTA were pre-incubated with anti-LTA antibody before the inhibition assay.

Cells were subjected to different treatments to identify the cell wall components responsible for S-layer interaction. Treatment included chelating agents 10 mM (EDTA, Sigma, St Louis MO, USA) trichloroacetic acid 10% (TCA), and flowjo.com/) was used for subsequent analysis. Assays were carried out on triplicate and data were normalized to the means for three replicates.

### Table 1: Primers used for GFP chimeric constructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimeric constructions</th>
<th>Forward primer¹</th>
<th>Reverse primer¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT-GFP cloned into pET21-GFP</td>
<td>TGGCATACTGCTACTATTTAA (Neel restriction site)</td>
<td>CCGTCGACATGGAAGTATGAGG (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-CT1 cloned into pET28-GFP</td>
<td>AGTGGATCCATGCAACGATAAC</td>
<td>TAGGAGTTCAATCTAAAGTTTGC (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-CT2 cloned into pET28-GFP</td>
<td>AGTGGATCCATGCAACGATAAC (BamHI restriction site)</td>
<td>TAGGAGTTCAATCTAAAGTTTGC (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-CT3 cloned into pET28-GFP</td>
<td>AGTGGATCCATGCAACGATAAC (BamHI restriction site)</td>
<td>TAGGAGTTCAATCTAAAGTTTGC (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-CT4 cloned into pET28-GFP</td>
<td>AGTGAATCTTTTGCGACGCAAATA CGC (EcoRI restriction site)</td>
<td>TAGGAGCTTTAGTGGTCAG CGTTGATG (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-CT5 cloned into pET28-GFP</td>
<td>AGTGAATCTTTTGCGACGCAAATA CGC (EcoRI restriction site)</td>
<td>TAGGAGCTTTAGTGGTCAG TGATG (SacI restriction site)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Restriction sites in primers are underlined.
mutanolysin 100 U/ml (Sigma, St Louis MO, USA), and sodium dodecyl sulfate 10% (SDS, Sigma, St Louis MO, USA).

**Lectin blot**

Preparations of S-layer protein (30 μg) and RNase B (4 μg), were heated at 90 °C for 5 min in loading buffer (10% glycerol, 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 4 M urea, 2% β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.05% bromophenol blue) and subjected to electrophoresis in 12.5% SDS-PAGE. For lectin blot analysis, proteins were electrotransferred with a semi-dryblotter (Amersham Biosciences, Chicago, USA) to PVDF membranes (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Then, the membrane was stained with Ponceau S stain to corroborate that the transfer was efficient or blocked 1 h RT with TBS-Tween 20 0.1% v/v-BSA 3% w/v to continue with the lectin blot. Later, membranes were washed three times with TBS-Tween 20 0.1% v/v and incubated 30 min RT with biotin-conjugated concanavalin A (ConA) (1 μM/gl, Vector Labs, Burlingame, USA) with or without 200 mM methyl α-D-mannoside and methyl α-D-glucoside inhibitors. After washing three times, membranes were incubated 1 h at room temperature with HRP-conjugated streptavidin (0.5 μg/ml Sigma, St Louis MO, USA). Lastly, chemiluminescence was detected with luminol substrate ECL (Amersham, GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA). Images were obtained with an Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden).

The glycosylation of the protein was also evaluated using Thermo Scientific Pierce (Rockford IL, USA) Glycoprotein Staining Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Computational methods: comparative modeling**

Template search was done using the HHpred tool of the Max Planck Institute Bioinformatics Toolkit (Alva et al. 2016). We employed two different templates to build the S-layer model, one coming from a *Staphylococcus epidermidis* structure (PDB id: 4EPC, identity: 27%) and other coming from a *S. cerevisiae* structure (PDB 3 U28, identity: 31%).

More than one thousand models were generated using the Modeller v.9.19 software (Eswar et al. 2008). All models were manually inspected to select those that conserved typical native contacts in similar protein folding.

**Molecular dynamics simulations**

As the modeled protein has a low identity against the chosen template, we refined the structure using molecular dynamics simulations as described previously by Blanco Capurro et al. (2019).

**Pocket analysis**

Structural druggability of each potential pocket was assessed by determining (and characterizing) the ability to bind a drug-like molecule by using the fpocket program (Le Guilloux et al. 2009). Briefly, the method is based on Voronoi tessellation algorithm to identify pockets and computes suitable for physicochemical descriptors (polar and apolar surface area, hydrophobic density, hydrophobic, and polarity score) that are combined to yield the Druggability Score, which ranges from 0 (non-druggable) to 1 (highly druggable) (Sosa et al. 2018).

**Fluorescence spectroscopy**

The interaction of S-layer with carbohydrates was monitored by changes in fluorescence intensity and λmax of the protein resulting from binding of carbohydrates. The fluorescence emission spectra are recorded at room temperature, from 290 to 380 nm, with an excitation wavelength of 275 nm and emission at 305 nm in quartz cuvettes of 10 mm optical path. A Cary eclipse fluorescence spectrometer (AMINCO-Bowman, series 2, Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) with 8-nm bandpass filter was used. Titrations were carried out by adding 1-μl aliquots of carbohydrates stock (0.5 M) or chitin hydrolysate (Vector Labs, Burlingame, USA) in PBS to 0.2 ml of purified S-layer protein 0.5 mg/ml in PBS. The fluorescence of each sample was measured, the sugar concentration varying from 0 to 20 mM. Each spectrum was an average of three successive scans, and no correction for dilution effect due to the addition of carbohydrate solutions was needed, since added volume did not exceed 10% of the total volume. Fluorescence spectroscopy allows quenching to be described by means of the Stern-Volmer equation. 

\[
F_0/F = 1 + K_d[AF],
\]

where \(F_0\) and \(F\) are the fluorescence emission intensities in the absence and presence of sugar respectively, \([AF]\) is the sugar concentration, and \(K_d\) is a constant equal to the reciprocal of the sugar concentration when the fluorescence intensity decreases by half. The final value of \(K_d\) was calculated from three independent readings and represented as an average of final \(K_d\) values along with the standard error mean, calculated from standard deviation (Bose et al. 2016; Patel et al. 2016).

**Statistical analysis**

Assays were carried out on triplicate and data were normalized to the means for three replicates. Results are presented as means ± standard deviations (indicated by error bars) for replicate experiments. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post hoc test was used to estimate statistical significance; a \(P\) value of < 0.05 was considered significant.
Results

**L. acidophilus** S-layer protein has the capacity to agglutinate blood cells and bacteria

We tested hemagglutination, a simple and easy method to obtain semi-quantitative data on the binding to carbohydrates and a first insight on the lectin specificity, as an initial step to characterize the lectin-like activity of the S-layer protein (Sano and Ogawa 2014). We optimized the protocol and established an S-layer protein minimum concentration of 250 μg/ml for the agglutination of sheep red blood cells. To further characterize the glycoepitopes mediating hemagglutination, we tested different carbohydrate derivatives as potential inhibitors, including several disaccharides and monosaccharides (D-lactose, D-maltose, D-glucose, D-mannose, D-galactose, L-rhamnose, L-fucose, glucosamine, and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine), although a poor inhibitor, D-mannose, was the best monosaccharide tested with a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 15 mM, as other monosaccharides required from a fivefold to a tenfold increase in concentration (D-glucose MIC = 1 M, L-fucose MIC = 0.5 M, D-galactose MIC = 0.25 M and L-rhamnose MIC = 0.125 M). Competitive inhibition was also evident with disaccharide D-lactose (MIC = 15 mM) and PSM (MIC = 0.15 mg/ml), but when testing polymers, chitin and chitosan, they showed an intrinsic hemagglutinating capacity, so they could not be evaluated.

Bacterial clumping (agglutination) makes it possible to recognize interactions that occur when a protein with lectin activity is added to a bacterial suspension. Specific interactions of the S-layer protein with Gram-positive/Gram-negative bacteria and yeast cells were evaluated by agglutinating activity (Fig. 1). LiCl purified S-layer protein was able to agglutinate yeast cells, probably based on the presence of mannose-containing polysaccharides in the cell wall of S. cerevisiae and with Gram-negative *S. enterica* and *P. aeruginosa* due to interactions with LPS in the outer membrane. Agglutination was also evident with Gram-positive *B. cereus* probably based on the interaction with cell wall polymers. Notably, bacterial agglutination increased the number of dead cells (showed by red fluorescence using Live-Dead fluorophore), which correlates with the anti-microbial activity (shown by red fluorescence using Live-Dead fluorophore), which correlates with the anti-microbial activity.

**S-layer interacts with eukaryotic and prokaryotic macromolecules and viruses**

Dot-blot assays were used to determine which components are involved in the interaction with LiCl purified S-layer protein. In accordance with the results previously described for other S-layers (Panwar et al. 2017; Antikainen et al. 2002; Ávall-Jääskeläinen et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2016), both chitin and chitosan are ligands for S-layer protein SlpA. Moreover, different fractions of the Gram-positive envelope (PG and LTA from *L. acidophilus*) showed binding although with less affinity to CWPS from *L. casei* (Fig. 2).

Eukaryotic glycoproteins (PSM, fibronectin, collagen, glucose oxidase, fetuin, RNase B) and polysaccharides (hyaluronic acid) were also assayed. BSA was used as a negative control since it is not glycosylated (Fig. 2). From all glycoproteins evaluated, PSM and fetuin, both having a variable degree of sialylation (Windwarder and Altman 2014; Nishiyama et al. 2016), were ligands for S-layer protein, but, notably, RNase B and glucose oxidase, both containing high mannose glycans (Domurado et al. 1995), were not recognized by the S-layer protein. Given these results, we tested desialylated PSM and fetuin binding that decreased considerably. Binding to PSM and fetuin and decrease upon desialylation indicates sialic acid recognition, but it seems it is not the only one: a wide range of binding affinity to various polymers was observed also including chitin and chitosan, and hyaluronic acid, Gram-positive PG and LTA.

We optimized a solid phase assay based on the affinity of SlpA for PSM and examined different glycostructures as inhibitors of this binding in a competitive manner. D-mannose inhibited the interaction of SlpA with PSM with low affinity (D-mannose IC<sub>50</sub> = 59 mM, Supplemental Fig. S2), while D-glucose, D-galactose, and D-lactose showed very poor interactions and higher IC<sub>50</sub> values, ranging from 150 to 200 mM. The trisaccharide carbohydrate (raffinose) was used as a control with no inhibitory effect.

Additionally, binding to viral particles was evaluated by pull-down assays. S-layer proteins extracted with 5 M LiCl from intact cells interacted with each other to produce a paracrystalline array suspension upon removal of lithium salts by dialysis, forming a white precipitate that could be recovered by centrifugation. After centrifugation, intact purified S-layer (400 μg/ml) showed the decrease in viral titer in pull-down assay: a two-log decrease in viral title was obtained for Adv-5, HSV-1, and VSV viruses, while no interaction was observed with bacteriophage J1 particles (Fig. 3a). No virucidal activity was observed for the S-layer that could justify that decrease (Fig. 3b). These results suggested that S-layer protein could have a direct interaction with animal viruses probably through carbohydrate patterns. To test carbohydrate’s involvement in that interaction, we assayed the inhibition effect of D-mannose on the pull-down titer reduction with the complex virus particle HSV-1 that possesses an envelope with different glycoproteins. The S-layer dependent decrease in viral titer described in Fig. 3a was significantly inhibited (67%) by preincubation of the S-layer with 400 mM D-mannose before allowing the interaction with HSV-1. D-mannose did not have any effect on the replication of HSV-1. The inhibition of pull-down of virus particles by addition of D-mannose together with the absence of a pull-down effect on bacteriophage particles, known to lack glycoproteins.
in their capsids, suggested an involvement of carbohydrate recognition domains of the S-layer in the interaction with viral glycosylated components.

**Functional analysis of the N- and C-terminal parts of the S-layer in carbohydrate binding**

As we described above, carbohydrate recognition domains (CRD) are predicted in the C-terminal region of the SlpA protein (Smit et al. 2001; Martinez et al. 2012; Prado Acosta et al. 2016). Two internal repeats are found in the C-terminal part of the S-layer protein, 57 and 58 amino acids long, with 60% similarity and 26% identity, most of which are basic and aromatic amino acids (Fig. 4b). A consensus sequence described by von Eichel-Streiber for Clostridium difficile toxins (ToxA) (Ho et al. 2005) and Streptococcus mutans glucosyltransferases (GBP) (von Eichel-Streiber et al. 1992) is found within the repeats. At the beginning of each internal repeat, a five amino acid sequence similar to those found in mannose-binding lectins (MBL) was detected (An et al. 2006) (Fig. 4b).

To characterize the minimum amino acid sequence of SlpA required for binding, we constructed chimerical fusions to the green fluorescent protein (GFP) containing different peptides of the S-layer protein SlpA (Fig. 4a) and assessed them for GFP-tagged binding. GFP tagging was located upstream of
the C-terminal part of SlpA or downstream of the N-terminal to maintain the native configuration in the S-layer protein. Binding capacity was verified by dot-blot analysis and visualized by fluorescence binding assays. We found that the C-terminal part of the SlpA protein (GFP-CT1, 2 and 4) interacts with polymers including Gram-negative LPS and Gram-positive cell wall components (PG, LTA, and CWPS) and eukaryotic macromolecules (mucin, hyaluronic acid) and polymeric carbohydrates (chitin and chitosan), but no interaction was observed when one internal repeat was absent (GFP-CT3 and 5). Therefore, both internal repeats are necessary for the interaction with the cell wall (Fig. 4a). This is in accordance with the need of multiple binding sites like those found on ToxA or GBP protein along the length of their carboxy termini that may strengthen binding to carbohydrates (Greco et al. 2006). The only interaction observed for the N-terminal part was with fibronectin and collagen as well as with the S-layer itself (Fig. 4a).

GFP-CT fluorescence binding assays

In order to study the interaction of S-layer proteins and to quantify and compare the binding strength with whole lactobacillar cells, they were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry (Fig. 5a-c) using the chimeric protein GFP-CT1 described in Fig. 4a. Binding of GFP-CT1 was inhibited by the addition of lipoteichoic acid (LTA), and monosaccharides. As shown in Fig. 5, the binding of carboxy termini to whole cells was also inhibited by the presence of different glycostructures. Absence of binding to whole cells was obtained for NT-GFP.

Relative fluorescence in the presence of increasing concentration was plotted (Fig. 5d), and an IC\textsubscript{50} (concentration causing 50% inhibition of binding) was calculated from the plots being micromolar for polymeric compounds (0.09 \(\mu\)M for LTA and 0.15 \(\mu\)M for chitin) and millimolar for other monomeric or dimeric carbohydrates (0.3 mM N-acetylmuramic acid, 0.5 mM \(\alpha\),2,3-sialyllactose, 2.5 mM for \(\alpha\)-mannose, D-lactose 5.5 mM, D-fructose 129 mM, 134 mM for D-glucose, and 237 mM for D-galactose). Considering the higher affinity for negatively charged polymers such as LTA, we also evaluated if phosphorylated monosaccharides showed an increased affinity. However, no difference was observed (data not shown) suggesting that increasing negative charges in the carbohydrate molecules do not increase binding.

Interestingly, GFP-CT1 showed low binding to other Lactobacillus cells including L. casei and L. plantarum, which do not possess S-layer (Fig. 6a). Moreover, pre-growth in high salt medium decreased binding of the GFP-CT1 fusion protein, indicating that, in a similar manner to what was observed for L. casei BL23, cell wall modifications arise in high-salt conditions (Piuri et al. 2005; Palomino et al. 2013).

To identify the cell wall components responsible for S-layer attachment, cells were differentially treated in order to verify which component was involved in the interaction. Treatment included trichloroacetic acid (TCA) to remove cell wall linked teichoic acids (WTA), while mutanolysin treatment was intended to remove PG and WTA, leaving detergent (SDS) treatment to remove LTA. As shown in Fig. 6b, no difference was observed for EDTA, TCA, or mutanolysin treatments, while SDS dramatically decreased the GFP-CT1 interaction and served to differentiate interaction between WTA from membrane anchored LTA. Furthermore, when purified LTA was pre-incubated with anti-LTA antibody before the inhibition assay, it increased binding, indicating a specific interaction between LTA polymer and GFP-CT1 protein in the cell surface (Fig. 6b).
Glycosylation of S-layer protein

Lactobacillus S-layer proteins were generally considered to be non-glycosylated. However, studies of the S-layer from L. kefiri (Cavallero et al. 2017) and L. buchneri (Anzengruber et al. 2014) have shown them to be glycosylated. Controversy arises for L. acidophilus strains since SlpA in L. acidophilus NCFM was suggested to be glycosylated, through experiments with specific lectins assayed by ELISA (Konstantinov et al. 2008), although staining of SlpA protein of L. acidophilus ATCC 4356 was not completely conclusive (Martínez et al. 2012; Prado Acosta et al. 2016). To solve this difference, a lectin blot with ConA, a lectin that recognizes high mannose residues, was performed. ConA was able to specifically recognize native S-layer protein indicating that it is glycosylated (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Nine characteristic sequons (Asn-X-Ser/Thr) for N-linked oligosaccharides are present in the S-layer amino acid sequence, 8 in the N-terminal and one in the C-terminal part of mature SlpA (Supplemental Fig. S3B).

C-terminal structure model

Although definite 3D structure of a lactobacilli S-layer protein has not yet been solved, bioinformatic modeling is an alternative method to predict the structure of the C-terminal part and try to identify possible binding sites. A homology-based model was built using as a template the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase, Atl (PDB id 4EPC), the major murein hydrolase involved in cell separation, which repeats are able to bind to LTA as an anchor for the Atl (Zoll et al. 2012). The structure model is presented in Fig. 7a including the residues that are components of the most probable binding site (FPocket Druggability Score of 0.768) (Sosa et al. 2018). The volume of the pocket is approximately 564 Å³, enough to harbor two hexoses with individual volumes of 216 Å³.

Fluorescence quenching of tyrosines

The fluorescence emission spectra of S-layer protein showed a λmax of 304 nm when excited at 275 nm due to the predominant presence of tyrosine, low phenylalanine, and no tryptophan. In fact, 23 tyrosine residues are present in the S-layer protein and 14 of them are in the 123 residues of the C-terminal part. Tyrosines (TYR361, TYR391, TYR393, TYR426, TYR437) were predicted in the model structure to interact with carbohydrates, highlighted in blue in Fig. 7a. They correlate with the alignment of the proposed CRD described in GBP, ToxA, PCL, and MBL. To experimentally test the predicted model, tyrosine fluorescence quenching was assayed. We found that when increasing concentration of carbohydrates, a decrease in fluorescence is observed without changing the maximum emission and peak shape (Fig. 7b). Dissociation constants (KD) were estimated for each reading. Comparative analysis for various carbohydrates enables to order them from highest to lowest affinity according to their estimated KD (millimolar): D-lactose (44 ± 5 mM), N-acetylneuraminicacid (51 ± 5 mM), D-mannose (58 ± 6 mM), L-fucose (61 ± 6 mM), N-acetylgalactosamine (71 ± 7 mM), glucosamine (98 ± 10 mM), D-glucose (106 ± 10 mM), and D-galactose (138 ± 11 mM). Chitin hydrolysate also showed a drastic decrease of fluorescence with increasing volume.

Discussion

This study was conceived to understand how the S-layer protein is anchored to the cell wall of L. acidophilus and how that
The interaction targets of S-layers were analyzed by means of the binding capacity to both prokaryotic and eukaryotic macromolecules. The S-layer has been proposed to bind to cell wall teichoic acid (Smit and Pouwels 2002; Antikainen et al. 2002; Åvall-Jääskeläinen et al. 2008) and more recently to lipoteichoic acid polymer (LTA) (Bönisch et al. 2018) when it is anchored to the cell. We found that it can also bind to other carbohydrate polymers as well, like chitin, when extracted and purified from the cells. We constructed chimerical fusion proteins to GFP with different parts of SlpA to determine its molecular interaction. We identified that the C-terminus binds to the cell wall of \textit{L. acidophilus}, from which S-layer had been removed (Figs. 4 and 5). Furthermore, the binding of the C-terminus was abolished after treatment with LTA specific antibodies or with procedures that extract LTA (SDS) rather than those for PG-associated components, such as wall teichoic acids. These results suggest that lactobacillar surface proteins, which have a similar C-terminal sequence, interact by related mechanisms with the negatively charged teichoic acid on the bacterial surface, in accordance with the highly basic nature (pI = 10.00) of the C-terminal part of SlpA of \textit{L. acidophilus}. Moreover, cat-ionic peptides were shown to bind to LTA and to antagonize LTA-induced inflammatory effects (Scott et al. 1999), and the anchoring domains of several well-known teichoic acid or LTA-binding proteins are highly basic (Rigden et al. 2003).

As previously described (Smit et al. 2001), the GFP-CT1 was found to bind to the surface of \textit{L. acidophilus}, but not to non-stripped cells or to \textit{L. casei} (Fig. 6a). What are the
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differences between these two species other than having or not an S-layer protein? While *L. acidophilus* codes for tag genes which are responsible for the synthesis of WTA, *L. casei* does not (Altermann et al. 2005; Mazé et al. 2010; Palomino et al. 2015). In most Gram-positive bacteria, both LTAs and WTAs coexist, although certain bacterial species, including *L. casei* and *L. rhamnosus*, appear to contain only LTAs (Allievi et al. 2019). Analysis of LTA structures of lactic acid bacteria showed that the length of the Gro-P chains and the degree and composition of substitution as well as the nature of the glycolipid anchor is highly diverse and varies among species (Shiraishi et al. 2016). Another important difference involves the structure of the polyglycerol-phosphate in LTA with glycosylation and D-alanine; while *L. casei* is only substituted with D-alanine (Palomino et al. 2013; Allievi et al. 2019), glycosylation of the LTA molecules has been shown in several species but not yet in *L. acidophilus* (Shiraishi et al. 2016). In fact, structurally characterized LTA of *L. buchneri* CD034 shows the typical Gro-P backbone with glucose as the sole modification residue but not with D-alanine. Moreover, lactobacilli dlt mutants switched-off genes for D-alanylation and showed increased levels of glycosylation (Vélez et al. 2007). Whether glycosylation of LTA is involved in the S-layer recognition and attachment to the cell needs to be further investigated. Two observations support this as an attractive hypothesis:

1) Growth in high salt condition increases the release of S-layer protein from *L. acidophilus* cells (Palomino et al. 2016).

2) Binding of the GFP-CT1 decreased when pre-grown in high salt medium (Fig. 6b)

While increased glycosylation has been described for LTA of *Staphylococcus aureus* grown in high salt condition mediated by LTA specific glycosyltransferase, YfH, that adds α-GlcNAc moieties to LTA (Kho and Meredith 2018), in *L. casei* a decreased polymer length was reported in high salt medium without glycosylation taking place (Palomino et al. 2013). Taking all these observations into account, low binding of GFP-CT1 to cells grown in high salt might indicate that glycosylation is reduced, in contrast to what is observed in *Staphylococcus*, or that the decreased polymer length is the reason for the increased release of the S-layer, in accordance with our previous findings in *L. casei*. Furthermore, it was found that in *Bacillus subtilis*, *csbB* and *yfhO* genes are essential for LTA glycosylation (Rismondo et al. 2018). Using bioinformatics analysis, we found that a *L. acidophilus* glycosyltransferase (WP-003547856, LBA1283 or NH13_RS06425, glycosyl transferase) (Altermann et al. 2005; Palomino et al. 2015) shows a clear homology to the *B. subtilis* CsbB protein. A detailed structure of the LTA of *L. acidophilus* is needed in order to answer these questions and will be the aim of our future work.

---

**Fig. 5** Binding inhibition of the C-terminal part of the SlpA protein to *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 by carbohydrates was evaluated by flow cytometry (a) bright-light microscopy (b) and fluorescence microscopy (c). Magnification × 1000. GFP protein was used as negative control. (d) Inhibition curves are plotted. Calculated IC50 values are described in the text.

**Fig. 6** Binding of the GFP-CT1 evaluated by flow cytometry: a to different *Lactobacillus* species and b to *L. acidophilus* cells treated with EDTA as chelating agent, TCA to remove WTA, mutanolysin to remove PG and WTA, and SDS to remove LTA. Purified LTA alone or pre-incubated with anti-LTA antibody were used as inhibitors of the binding of GFP-CT1. The asterisk (*) denotes significant difference with respect to the control a *L. acidophilus* cells or b with no treatment using the ANOVA method, Bonferroni test (*p* < 0.05). Double asterisk (**) denotes significant difference with respect to LTA inhibition for anti-LTA antibody preincubation using the ANOVA method, Bonferroni test (*p* < 0.05).
a C-terminal structure prediction. The protein structure is shown as surface (transparent) and backbone (solid) representation, colored by green and gray respectively. The orange spheres represent the pocket cavity. Tyrosines predicted to interact with the ligand are colored by atom type (red, blue, cyan, and white for oxygen, nitrogen, carbon, and hydrogen, respectively). Residues that are components of the pocket: ASN351, TYR361, GLN362, VAL364, ALA390, TYR391, TYR393, GLN424, TYR426, TYR437, VAL438, LYS439 (tyrosines are shown in bold in the figure).

b Fluorescence emission spectra of the S-layer was analyzed with increasing concentrations of carbohydrates. Three representative spectra are shown from three independent readings. The spectrum of the S-layer (0.5 mg/ml) is plotted without added carbohydrates. Man is for mannose and Glu for glucose in mM concentration. Chitin hydrolysate is indicated in the volume (μl). No protein represents the spectrum of the maximum carbohydrate concentration tested.
We found that the N-terminal part of SlpA shows affinity for collagen and fibronectin. This has been reported for the CbsA protein of *L. crispatus* and SlpA of *L. brevis*, which possess their adhesive domains in their N-terminal regions (Antikainen et al. 2002; Avall-Jääskeläinen et al. 2008). Sequence variability is found in lactobacillar S-layer proteins even within the same species (Cavallero et al. 2017).

We confirmed that the S-layer protein of *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 is glycosylated as was shown for *L. acidophilus* NCFM strain and *L. helveticus* (Mozes et al. 1995; Konstantinov et al. 2008). A positive result was obtained in the lectin blot assay using ConA, a mannose-binding plant lectin that recognizes α-linked mannose residues of N-linked glycopeptides (asparagine linked), that are present as part of a “core oligosaccharide.” Nine characteristic sequons (Asn-X-Ser/Thr) for N-linked oligosaccharides are predicted in the S-layer amino acid sequence, eight in the N-terminal and one in the C-terminal part of SlpA (Supplemental Fig. S3B). The presence of sequons for N-linked oligosaccharides in the N-terminal and only one in the C-terminal part of SlpA (Supplemental Fig. S3B) suggests that N-glycosylation of the S-layer mature protein is not involved in the interactions during carbohydrate recognition of the C-terminal part. No O-glycosylated peptide sequon (SSASSASSA), identified as a signature glycosylation motif in *L. buchneri* and *L. kefiri* (Anzengruber et al. 2014; Cavallero et al. 2017), is found in SlpA of *L. acidophilus*.

We established the minimum amino acid sequence required for binding to glycoconjugates from amino acid residues 321 to 444. We proposed that the S-layer protein lectin-like activity could in part explain its anti-microbial capacity and would provide biological benefits in the gastrointestinal tract functioning as a barrier to pathogens (Arena et al. 2017). Although Smit and Pouwels (2002) found that only one repeat of these two repeats is sufficient for anchoring the *L. acidophilus* surface layer protein to the cell wall in vitro, our results of binding in vivo showed that both repeats are necessary supporting a cooperative need of multiple binding sites like those found on ToxA or GBP proteins along the length of their carboxy termini, a feature that may strengthen binding to carbohydrates (Greco et al. 2006).

A structural model involving tyrosine residues in the interaction was predicted and experimentally verified. The volume of the pocket (564 Å) supports the idea of interaction with sugar decorating macromolecules, like glycosylation of LTA or in glycoproteins. However, these predictions lack confirmation because of the impossibility of obtaining the crystallization of the protein for X-ray diffraction analysis. No three-dimensional structure of *Lactobacillus* S-layer proteins has been solved yet. Crystallization or NMR are needed in order to be able to elucidate the molecular mechanism of interaction with carbohydrates or other macromolecules and will be the aim of our future work.

A wide range of binding preferences is observed for the *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 S-layer protein. SlpA shows lectin activity preferences for polymeric rather than oligomeric carbohydrates. The chitin-binding activity is similar to hevein-type lectins such as wheat germ *Triticum vulgare* (WGA) that can bind oligosaccharides that contain terminal N-acetylglucosamine, as is the case of PG and chitin, and interact with some glycoproteins via terminal sialic acid (Itakura et al. 2017; Leyva et al. 2019). The capacity of SlpA to bind chitin and chitosan could correlate with other activities described for *L. acidophilus*, including the capacity to hydrolyze chitin (Palomino et al. 2015). Correlation was found for the ability to metabolize these monosaccharides by metabolic profiling in fermentation medium, although no correlation was observed when using intact polymers (chitin) or proteins (mucin) as substrates, probably due to the low concentrations that we can test in vitro (data not shown). In fact, functions related to chitin, N-acetylglucosamine utilization and sialic acid catabolism are found in *L. acidophilus* genomes which include a PTS transport system and catabolic activities (Altermann et al. 2005; Palomino et al. 2015). Since neither N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac), the most common sialic acid, nor any of its numerous structural derivatives are synthesized by most nonpathogenic Bacteria, the presence of catabolic genes shows a direct correlation with it probably being a nutrient source.

Binding also resembles that of mannose/sialic acid-binding protein lectins, i.e., *Polygonatum cyrtonema* Hua lectin (PCL, GenBank Accession No. AAM28644) described to have anti-HIV activity (An et al. 2006), although with less affinity. In fact, PCL mature polypeptide contained three tandemly arranged sequons (Q-D-N-V-Y), with sequence homology to mannose-binding lectins (MBL) as well as three sialic acid-binding regions. A putative similarity was found in the internal repeats of the C-terminal part of SlpA (Fig. 4b).

*L. acidophilus* slpA knockouts show diminished adhesion to mucus in vitro (Buck et al. 2005). Interaction with mucin-bound O-glycans during bacterial infection mediates pathogen adhesion to epithelial cells via carbohydrate-lectin interactions and acts as cytoprotective by capturing the pathogen in the mucin gel. Moreover, mucin-bound O-glycans are considered to be nutrients for commensal bacteria (van Tassell and Miller 2011). The agglutinating capacity of the S-layer to bacterial cells and virus particles would act in a similar way to capture pathogens and provide lactobacilli to interact with mucin glycans.

Mannose binding was corroborated by the finding that it inhibited hemagglutination and that S-layer protein has the capacity to agglutinate *S. cerevisiae*. Low affinity interactions with α-mannose was observed, but notably, no interaction with RNAse B or glucose oxidase, both glycoproteins containing high mannose *N*-glycans. These results may reflect a specific affinity for mannose residues with linkages that are not present or exposed in these *N*-glycans. Mannose-binding lectins are proteins of the innate immune system, able to
recognize and bind various pathogens (including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites), providing protection against the microbial invasion of the host (Auriti et al. 2017; Coelho et al. 2018). Competitive exclusion strategies for pathogens displaying mannose residues on their cell surfaces such as Candida albicans, at mannose-containing receptors on the epithelial surface, are interesting as anti-microbial perspectives (Hammad et al. 2018). Therefore, the presence of a mannose-binding capacity of the S-layer protein could be used as a possible way to confirm a GRAS (generally regarded as save) status barrier using the purified S-layer as an additive to probiotic formulations. In fact, immunomodulatory effects of the purified S-layer protein, able to induce cytokine production in culture cells, have also been found (Konstantinov et al. 2008; Coelho et al. 2018). Considering both the immunomodulatory effect and the anchor capacity of the C-terminal part, surface display strategies without genetic modification for anchoring antigens to NaCl treated cells is a good way for developing non-genetically modified organism (non-GMO) formulations for oral vaccines (Mao et al. 2016). Also, the interaction with viruses to reduce viral titer might be a good strategy to reduce viral infections, as those that are being studied by others with the aim to reduce the viral load in patients or animals performed by any of the authors.
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