Optimization of acid hydrolysis process for the preparation cellulose nanofibrils

Melina E. Bracone¹, Leandro N. Ludueña¹, Vera A. Alvarez¹

¹Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencia y Tecnología de Materiales (INTEMA), Grupo de Materiales Compuestos Termoplásticos (CoMP) Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Av. Colón 10850 (B7606BVZ) Mar del Plata, Argentina.

*Corresponding author: Tel: 54 223 626 0600; E-mail: luduenaunmdp@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5185/amlett.2019.2182 www.vbripress.com/aml

Abstract

Cellulose nanofibrils can be obtained from microcrystalline cellulose by acid hydrolysis processes. Under optimum hydrolysis conditions is possible to obtain cellulose nanofibers with high surface / volume ratio, high aspect ratio (length to diameter), high crystallinity and improved thermal stability. All these parameters then determine their effectiveness as reinforcement in a polymer matrix. In this work, cellulose nanofibrils were obtained from commercial microcellulose supplied by Aldrich. The acid hydrolysis synthesis was optimized studying the effect of reaction time and temperature and acid solution concentration. The optimized parameters were selected so as to obtain fibers with high crystallinity, high aspect ratio with diameter in nanoscale and high thermal stability. The morphology and size (length and diameter) of the fibers was analyzed by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), the chemical structure by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), thermal stability by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and crystallinity by X-ray Diffraction (XRD). Copyright © 2019 VBRI Press.

Keywords: Cellulose, nanofibrils, optimization, acid hydrolysis, characterization.

Introduction

Cellulose is the main component of several natural fibers and agricultural byproducts such as sisal, cotton, and flax fibers, corn stover and rice husk within others. The α -cellulose percent content of these materials is 60-67, 90, 62-72, 38-40 and 28-36, respectively [1-3]. The structure of the natural fiber consists on cellulose, which awards the mechanical properties of the complete natural fiber, ordered in microfibers enclosed by the other two main components: hemicellulose and lignin [4]. Cellulose microfibers (CMF) can be found as intertwined microfibers in the cell wall (2-20 µm diameter and 100-40,000 nm long depending on its source) [5]. It is a linear polymer of β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-Dglucopyranose units. The mechanical properties of CMF depend on the cellulose polymorph present named cellulose I, II, III and IV, being type I the one showing better mechanical properties. Hemicellulose is composed of different types of cycled saccharides such as xylose, mannose and glucose, among others. It forms a highly branched random structure and it is mainly amorphous [6].

Lignins are amorphous polymers formed by phenyl-propane units. They mainly consist of aromatic units such as guaiacyl, syringyl and phenylpropane [7]. There are a great number of potential uses of CMF within different industries. As a result, it has created an important focus for researcher's interest. On this field, CMF production from agro-resources has become really significant. It generally involves fibers treatment with alkalis or bisulphites to separate the lignin and to extract the hemicelluloses [**8**, **9**].

CMF are conformed by nanocrystalline domains cellulose regions and amorphous [5]. The nanocrystalline domains are often called cellulose nanofibrils (CNF) showing diameters of 5-50 nm and lengths of several millimeters. A controlled acid hydrolysis can separate both regions driving to crystalline domains with an elastic modulus of 150 GPa, which is higher than that of the S-glass (85 GPa) and Aramid fibers (65 GPa) [7]. In addition, it can be found in the literature [7-12] that cellulose nanofibrils have improved mechanical performances in comparison with CMF. Cellulose nanofibrils have been obtained by the acid hydrolysis of cotton [13], sisal [8] and flax fibers [14] and rice husk [15]. Corn stover has not been widely studied to obtain CNF due to its wide availability over other agricultural byproducts which make it useful for bioenergy applications such as bioethanol and biodiesel production [16-17]. Because of their good mechanical properties, the production of CNF has generated a great deal of interest as a source of nanometer-sized reinforcement for polymeric

products. In the last years these fibers also attracted much attention due to environmental concerns especially as the reinforcement of bio-degradable polymers to produce fully bio-degradable nanocomposites with enhanced mechanical properties [12].

Several works have dealt with the isolation of cellulose nanofibrils from different sources by acid hydrolysis but only few of the them have deeply studied the effect of reaction conditions in order to optimize the process. In this sense, [18] have studied the effect of reaction time and sulfuric acid concentration on the morphology, crystallinity and thermal stability of CNF from bacterial cellulose at constant temperature and fiber / acid solution mass ratio [19] have obtained CNF from commercial CMF supplied by Shanhe Pharmaceutical Excipients Co., Ltd. They studied all parameters involved in the synthesis of CNF by acid hydrolysis on the morphology and chemical properties of the final fibers. Temperature, time and acid concentration were varied between 20/60°C, 2/6h and 20/60wt.%, respectively. Temperature and time had not strong influence on the final properties and morphology of the fiber. Increasing acid contration from 20 to 60wt.% reduced the diameter and crystallinity of the CNF [20] have optimized the acid hydrolysis parameters to obtain CNF from commercial CMF derived from Norway spruce (Picea abies) (Borregaard ChemCell, Sarpsborg, Norway). They found optimal hydrolysis conditions for an acid concentration of 63.5wt.% and reaction time of 2h. They did not found strong influence of the reaction temperature in the processing window evaluated. They conclude that these optimal conditions are only applied for the cellulose source used in that work.

Strict quality control and wide availability of CMF together with optimized hydrolysis conditions are needed focusing on a final application of CNF as reinforcement of commercial products based on polymer matrices. To our knowledge this work has not been done using CMF from Sigma Aldrich as raw material.

The aim of this work was to establish a correlation between the acid hydrolysis conditions (acid concentration, time and temperature) and the final thermal stability, crystalline and chemical structure and morphology of CNF obtained from commercial CMF supplied by Sigma Aldrich.

Experimental

Materials

Commercial cellulose microfibers (CMF), from Sigma Aldrich (USA), were used as CNF source. Deionized water and Sulfuric Acid (from Cicarelli, Argentina) were used for CNF synthesis. All reagents used were analytical grade.

Cellulose Nanofibrils Production

CNF was prepared by the acid hydrolysis of CMF. The acid hydrolysis process employed consists of subjecting

the CMF to a solution resulting from the mixture of deionized water and concentrated sulfuric acid under constant stirring at controlled conditions, such as acid nature, acid concentration, cellulose / solution ratio, temperature and reaction time. The acid hydrolysis was carried out with three concentrations of sulfuric acid solution: 55, 60 and 65w/v%, three temperatures: 40, 45 and 50°C and three times: 25, 30 and 35min. In all cases 5g of CMF were dispersed in 50ml of each sulfuric acid solution. Seven groups of reaction parameters were used as shown in Table 1, which were designed for studying the effect of reaction time (t) and temperature (T) and concentration of acid solution (C) on the final characteristics of CNF.

After reactions all the nanofibril dispersions were subjected to dilution by duplication of the initial volume with deionized water. Then, acid extraction was performed by dialysis against deionized water until obtaining PH=7 in the aqueous nanofibril medium. Dialysis process involved 5 days. Finally, the water was removed by lyophilization from the suspension of cellulose nanoparticles, obtaining a powder of cellulose nanofibrils.

Table 1. Combination of parameters for acid hydrolysis optimization.

Name	t (min)	T (°C)	C (w/v%)
H1	25	45	60
H2	30	45	60
H3	35	45	60
H4	25	50	60
H5	25	45	65
H6	25	45	55
H7	25	40	60

Characterization methods

Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). Diffuse reflectance method (DRIFT) was followed in order to obtain FTIR spectra. 64 scans were carried out on wavenumber from 4000 to 600 cm-1. The equipment used was a FTIR Genesis II.

X-ray Diffraction (XRD). A PW1710 Diffractometer equipped with an X-ray generator (λ =0.154 nm) was used. Powder X-Ray diffractometry was carried out. Samples were scanned in 2 θ ranges varying from 5 to 40° (1°/min).

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA). Dynamic thermogravimetric measurements were performed by using a Shimadzu TGA-DTG 50 instrument. Derivative TGA (DTGA) was performed to calculate the temperatures for the maximum thermal degradation rates of the main components in CMF and CNF. Temperature programs for dynamic tests were run from 25 to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 10oC/min under air atmosphere (20ml/min). All specimens were preconditioned at 65 % RH (relative humidity) and 20 °C.

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM). The morphology of the raw materials was analyzed by FESEM micrographs with a field emission scanning electron microscope Carl Zeiss NTS SUPRA 40. Prior to the observation, the surfaces were sputtercoated with a gold layer of about 100 Å to avoid charging under the electron beam. From these images the diameter and length of the fibers were measured. A minimum of 40 fibers for each sample were measured with ImagePro-Plus software for the statistical analysis.

Results and discussion

Cellulose microfibers (CMF) characterization

The chemical composition of the CMF was analyzed by FTIR. The FTIR allows characterizing the chemical structure by identifying the functional groups present in each sample. The infrared spectra of hemicellulose, lignin and cellulose have been extensively reported in the literature [**21-25**]. The three materials are mainly composed of alkanes, esters, aromatics, ketones and alcohols, with different oxygen-containing functional groups. Changes in the chemical structure of cellulose can also be recognized by this technique. **Fig. 1** shows the FTIR spectra for the CMF.



Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of cellulose microfibers.

The wavelengths for the vibration of the characteristic functional groups of cellulose are clearly identified with an arrow in the spectra.

The peak in between 3060-3640 cm-1 is representative of the C-H and OH groups. The band at 1635 cm-1 is attributed to the OH bending of absorbed water. The absence of bands at 2850 cm-1 (C-H stretching in lignin and waxes [26-27]), 1732 cm-1 (vibrations of acetyl and uronic ester groups of hemicelluloses or ester linkage of carboxylic group of the ferulic and p-coumaric acids of lignin [28]), 1594-1509 cm-1 (aromatic rings vibrations, lignin [29-30] 1460 cm-1 (C-H deformations, lignin [26, 29-30]), 1235 cm-1 (guaiacyl ring breathing with stretching C=O, lignin [29-30] and 1043 cm-1 (C-O-C stretching related with xylans associated with hemicelluloses [31] in CMF spectra demonstrates the high purity of these fibers, since they have not residual contents of lignin, hemicellulose nor waxes. The peak at 1102cm⁻¹ suggests that cellulose I polymorph is present in CMF.

The bands at 1430 cm⁻¹, 1372 cm⁻¹, 1335 cm⁻¹ and 1318 cm⁻¹ occur due to COH and HCC bending vibrations and are typical of crystalline cellulose [**32**]. The peaks at 900 and 2900cm⁻¹ are related with the crystallinity and crystalline structure of CMF. It was found that thinner peaks at 900 cm⁻¹ reflect less amorphous cellulose, while higher intensity at 900 cm⁻¹ suggests that the crystalline structure change from cellulose I to cellulose II polymorph [**33**]. On the other hand, [**34**] have found that the band at 2900 cm⁻¹ is sensitive to changes of the amorphous regions of cellulose.

The X-ray diffractometer was used to investigate the crystalline structure of CMF. The X-ray (XRD) curve of the CMF is shown in **Fig. 2**.



Fig. 2. XRD curve of cellulose microfibers.

The curve shows two main peaks, one close to $2\theta = 22^{\circ}$ representing the crystalline part of the materials and the other close to $2\theta = 16^{\circ}$ representing the amorphous one. The presence of only one peak close to $2\theta = 22^{\circ}$ suggests that crystalline cellulose is composed of cellulose I polymorph [**36-37**]. From the XRD pattern, it is possible to estimate the crystallinity index of CMF as follows [**38**]:

$$I_{c}(\%) = \frac{\left(I_{crystalline} - I_{amorphous}\right)}{I_{crystalline}}.100$$
(1)

where Icrystalline is the intensity at 22° and Iamorphous is the intensity of the peak at 2θ angle close to 16° (amorphous). The crystallinity in the CMF was 61%.

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) allows studying the thermal stability of the materials and the derivative thermogravimetric analysis (DTGA) allows identifying the temperatures at maximum weight loss rate of the components. **Fig. 3** shows the TGA and DTGA curves of CMF. Decomposition of CMF showed 2 stages. The first one corresponds to evaporation of water (small weight loss in the range 25 - 150 °C). It is well known that CMF is hydrophilic in nature. The moisture content (M) of CMF was calculated by the mass loss at 150 °C from the TGA curves resulting in 5.8%. The values are in accordance with those reported in the literature [**35**]. The second decomposition step corresponds to pyrolysis process of cellulose. The temperature for the maximum weight loss rate (Tp1), calculated by DTGA, was found at 358 °C for CMF.





Fig. 4. FESEM micrograph of CMF.

Fig. 4. shows the FESEM micrograph of CMF. Length and Diameter of at least 100 fibers were measured in order to make a statistical distribution. The CMF showed length (l) of 71084 ± 21995 nm and diameter (d) of 16585 ± 3072 nm, resulting in an aspect ratio (l/d) of 4.3.

Optimization of hydrolysis parameters for the preparation of cellulose nanofibers (CNF)

Effect of hydrolysis temperature

CNF prepared by procedures H7, H1 and H4 were submitted to the same concentration of solution

(60w/v%) and reaction time (25min) but different temperature (40, 45 and 50°C, respectively). The effect of reaction temperature on the chemical composition, crystalline structure, thermal stability and morphology of the obtained CNF will be analyzed in this section.

Fig. 5 (a, b) show the FTIR and XRD spectra of the obtained CNF for the different studied reaction temperatures.



Fig. 5. Effect of reaction temperature on the FTIR (a) and XRD (b) spectra of CNF (time: 25min., acid concentration: 60w/v%).

The FTIR spectra of CMF and CNF were normalized at the peak of CH2 (2900cm-1) in order to make comparisons between them. The characteristic peaks corresponding to the vibration of the functional groups of the cellulose can be observed in Fig. 5a. All characteristic peaks of CMF are still present in the CNF spectra. This result suggests that the cellulose components were not degraded or removed with the acid hydrolysis. The peak at 1102cm⁻¹ was not significantly changed after acid hydrolysis, suggesting that cellulose I polymorph is present in both CMF and CNF. Thinner and less intense peak at 900cm⁻¹ are observed in Fig. 5a in comparison with that observed for CMF in Fig. 1. [39] shown that thinner peak at 900cm⁻¹ reflect less amorphous cellulose, which is expected since it is the role of the acid hydrolysis, while lower intensity at 900cm⁻¹ suggest the presence of cellulose I polymorph. The effect of acid hydrolysis

removing amorphous cellulose is also evident from the less intense band at 2900cm⁻¹ in the CNF spectra [39-40]. More intense peaks at 3350, 2900 and 1635cm⁻¹ as a function of reaction temperature were observed. It can be attributed to the digestion of crystalline domains in CNF as a consequence of the stronger hydrolysis conditions (higher temperature) [**41**].

Fig. 5b shows the XRD spectra of the obtained cellulose nanofibrils. The most important peaks are observed at $2\theta = 22^{\circ}$ and 16° representing the crystalline and amorphous parts respectively, so it can be concluded that the crystalline integrity is maintained after the hydrolysis. The crystallinity index of these materials was calculated by equation 1. The results are summarized in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Effect of reaction temperature on the crystallinity, thermal stability and morphology of CNF (time: 25min., acid concentration: 60w/v%).

Sample	Ic	T _{p1}	T _{p2}	1	d	l/d
	(%)	(°Ċ)	(°Ċ)	(nm)	(nm)	
CMF	61	358		$71084 \pm$	$1658 \pm$	42.9
				21995	3072	
H7	74	315	508	247 ± 42	42 ± 6	5.9
(40°C)						
H1	74	333	491	190 ± 25	28 ± 3	6.8
(45°C)						
H4	75	334	489	226 ± 34	37 ± 11	6.0
(50°C)						

The value Ic for CMF was 61% while for the CNF was between 74 and 75%, which is a consequence of the removal of amorphous regions after acid hydrolysis [42-43]. Crystallinity did not change as a function of reaction temperature.

Fig. 6 shows the TGA and DTGA curves of the CNF as a function of reaction temperature.



Fig. 6. Effect of reaction temperature on the TGA and DTGA curves of CNF (time: 25min., acid concentration: 60w/v%).

In contrast with CMF, CNF samples show two main thermal degradation events. The temperatures for the maximum thermal degradation rate of these events (Tp1 and Tp2) are shown in Table 2. Roman et al. found the same behavior for sulfuric acid hydrolyzed bacterial cellulose [31]. They suggest that the process at lower temperature (Tp1) may be a consequence of the degradation of sulfated amorphous regions, whereas the higher temperature event (Tp2) was attributed to the breakdown of unsulfated crystals. Tp1 for all CNF samples was lower than for CMF. The presence of acid sulfate groups decreased the thermal stability of cellulose as a result of the dehydration reaction [44-45]. The CNF prepared at the lowest reaction temperature (H7/40°C) showed the lowest Tp1 value. The improved effectiveness of H7 conditions removing the amorphous cellulose regions demonstrated by FTIR is also revealed by TGA since the weight loss corresponding to amorphous thermal degradation (Tp1) is lower at these conditions. In addition, more effective hydrolysis conditions become amorphous regions more susceptible to thermal degradation in the presence of sulfate groups [46]. Analyzing Tp2, higher thermal stability was observed for H7. Acid hydrolysis performed at 45 and 50°C showed reduced thermal stability of cellulose crystals (lower Tp2). This result may be a consequence of the weakened crystal regions due to more intense hydrolysis conditions which may be promoting the digestion of the cellulose crystal structure [18].

Figs. 7(a-c) show the effect of reaction temperature on the morphology of CNF observed by FESEM. Similar images were obtained for all hydrolysis conditions. **Table 2** shows the statistical analysis of fiber length and diameter as a function of reaction temperature.

The diameter of CMF decreased after acid hydrolysis obtaining nanofibers with diameter between 27 and 42nm. On the other hand, the length of the fibers decreased more abruptly than its diameter in such a way that the final aspect ratio of CNF is 85% lower than for CMF. The effectiveness of CNF as reinforcement of a certain polymer matrix should be evaluated not only in terms of the increased surface area of the fiber but also on its aspect ratio. The statistical analysis of fiber length and diameter and the aspect ratio values shows that the optimal reaction temperature id 45 °C, which showed the lowest diameter and highest aspect ratio. Fiber agglomeration may be taking place at stronger hydrolysis conditions (50 °C) due to the digestion of cellulose crystals, which results in the increased CNF length and diameter.

From this section, it can be concluded that the optimal hydrolysis temperature is that of condition H1 (45 °C) since chemical and crystalline structure are not significantly modified, thermal stability is strong enough for processing temperatures of typical polymer matrices and diameter and aspect ratio are improved (lowest diameter and highest aspect ratio) which are critical parameters focusing on reinforcement of polymer matrices.







Fig. 7. FESEM micrographs of CNF as a function of reaction temperature (time: 25min., acid concentration: 60 w/v%): (a) 40 °C; (b) 45° C; (c) 50 °C.

Effect of hydrolysis time

Samples prepared by three hydrolysis conditions (H1, H2, H3) were analyzed. Hydrolysis times were 25 min, 30 min and 35 min, respectively, while acid concentration was 60 w/v% and reaction temperature was the optimal selected from the previous section (45 °C) for the three conditions.

Fig. 8(a, b) show the FTIR and XRD spectra of the CNF as a function of hydrolysis time.



Fig. 8. Effect of hydrolysis time on the FTIR (a) and XRD (b) spectra of CNF (temperature: 45°C, acid concentration: 60w/v%).

All characteristic FTIR peaks of CMF are still present in the CNF spectra (Figure 8a). The same result was obtained in the previous section. In this case, the position and intensity of the peaks were not changed as a function of hydrolysis time. This result suggests that crystalline CNF regions were not damaged. It can be concluded that increasing hydrolysis time is less aggressive than increasing temperature reaction in the processing window studied.

Fig. 8b shows the XRD spectra of the obtained CNF. The most important peaks are observed at $2\theta = 22^{\circ}$ and 16° representing the crystalline and amorphous parts respectively, so that the crystalline integrity can be said to be maintained after the hydrolysis. As it was previously explained, from these curves it is possible to estimate the crystallinity index of the materials according to equation (1). The results are shown in **Table 3**. It can be observed that the reaction time did not modified the crystallinity index of the CNF, which was expected from FTIR analysis.

Table 3. Effect of reaction time on the crystallinity, thermal stability and morphology of CNF (temperature: 45° C, acid concentration: 60w/v%).

Sample	Ic	Tp1	Tp2	1	d	l/d
	(%)	(°C)	(°C)	(nm)	(nm)	
CMF	61	358		$71084 \pm$	$1658 \pm$	42.9
				21995	3072	
H1	74	333	491	190 ± 25	28 ± 3	6.8
(25min)						
H2	73	336	495	217 ± 32	43 ± 8	5.0
(30min)						
H3	75	334	492	275 ± 52	54 ± 8	5.1
(35min)						

Fig. 9 shows the TGA and DTGA curves of the CNF as a function of reaction time. Both TGA and DTGA curves are almost overlapped in all the temperature range. Increasing hydrolysis time did not change any parameter regarding the thermal stability of the CNF.



Fig. 9. Effect of reaction time on the TGA and DTGA curves of CNF (temperature: 45°C, acid concentration: 60w/v%).

From FESEM images the statistical analysis of CNF diameter and length as a function of reaction temperature was done. **Table 3** shows the results. Both diameter and length of the fibers increased while its aspect ratio decreased as a function of hydrolysis time which may be attributed to a partial re-agglomeration.

From this section it can be concluded that the optimal hydrolysis time is that of condition H1 (25min) since higher times did not alter the chemical and crystalline structure nor thermal stability of CNF but increased length and diameter and decreased aspect ratio of the fibers which is not desirable focusing on reinforcement of polymer matrices. In addition, lower hydrolysis times are beneficial from the economical point of view focusing on industrial applications.

Effect of the concentration of the acid solution

Samples prepared by three hydrolysis conditions (H6, H1, H5) were analyzed. Acid concentrations were 55w/v%, 60w/v% and 65w/v%, respectively, while reaction temperature and time were those optimized in the previous sections ($45^{\circ}C$ and 25min) for the three hydrolysis conditions.

Figs. 10(a, b) show the FTIR and XRD spectra of CNF as a function of acid concentration.



Fig. 10. Effect of acid concentration on the FTIR (a) and XRD (b) spectra of CNF (temperature: 45°C, time: 25min.).

Regarding FTIR, same tendencies as those observed for the effect of hydrolysis temperature in **Fig. 5a** were observed. More intense peaks at 3350, 2900 and 1635cm⁻¹ as a function of acid concentration were obtained. As was previously explained, it can be attributed to the digestion of crystalline domains in CNF as a consequence of the stronger hydrolysis conditions (in this case higher acid concentration) [**41**]. Figure 10b shows the XRD spectra of the obtained CNF as a function of acid concentration. The peaks at $2\theta=22^{\circ}$ and 16° are present representing the crystalline and amorphous parts of CNF. This result suggests that the crystalline integrity of cellulose is maintained after

the hydrolysis. The crystallinity index was calculated by equation 1. The results are summarized in Table 4. The results show an increased crystallinity as a function of acid concentration which can be attributed to the stronger hydrolysis conditions which increases the effectiveness removing amorphous regions.

Table 4.	Effect	of acid	concentration	on the	crystallinity,	thermal
stability a	nd mor	phology	of CNF (temp	erature:	45°C, time: 2	5 min.).

Sample	Ic (%)	T _{pl} (°C)	T _{p2} (°C)	1 (nm)	d (nm)	l/d
CMF	61	358		71084 ± 21995	1658 ± 3072	42.9
H6 (55w/v%)	68	342	503	229 ± 41	33 ± 4	6.8
H1 (60w/v%)	74	333	491	190 ± 25	29 ± 3	6.8
H5 (65w/v%)	78	334	507	250 ± 72	48 ± 6	5.2

Fig. 11 shows the TGA and DTGA curves of the CNF as a function of acid concentration.



Fig. 11. Effect of acid concentration on the TGA and DTGA curves of CNF (temperature: 45°C, time: 25min.).

Lower Tp1 as a function of acid concentration was observed [**31**] demonstrated that the thermal degradation of cellulose crystals containing sulphate groups occurs at lower temperatures. They stated that the thermal degradation reactions of bacterial cellulose are catalyzed by sulfuric acid. Catalysis could either be direct through the acid molecules or indirect by promoting dehydration reactions and increasing the amount of water released. The replacement of OH groups by sulphate groups decreases the activation energy of cellulose chain degradation. These effects are stronger as a function of acid concentration.

Table 4 also shows the statistical analysis of fiber length and diameter and aspect ratio of the CNF. Lowest diameter and highest aspect ratio were observed for the fibers prepared by the condition H1 (60w/v%). CNF with higher diameter and lower aspect ratio were obtained with acid concentration out of 60w/v%. Lower acid concentration can be hydrolysis not strong enough for the optimal removal of amorphous domains, while higher acid concentration may be promoting the digestion of CNF crystalline domains [**18**] causing partial re/agglomeration of CNF.

Chemical and crystalline structures were not modified as a function of acid concentration. Crystallinity, diameter and aspect ratio were improved with condition H1. The latter parameters are considered relevant focusing on CNF as polymer reinforcement. For this reason, 60w/v% (H1 condition) is considered the optimal hydrolysis condition of this section.

Conclusion

Cellulose nanofibrils were prepared by acid hydrolysis. The effect of reaction time and temperature and acid concentration on the chemical and crystalline structure, thermal stability and fiber morphology was analyzed. High cellulose purity, crystallinity, thermal stability and aspect ratio and low diameter were the parameters to be optimized for selecting the hydrolysis conditions focusing on polymer reinforcement as the final application of the obtained CNF. Strong acid hydrolysis conditions performed by increasing reaction time, temperature and acid concentration conducted to fibers with higher diameter and lower aspect ratio, which was attributed to partial re/agglomeration of the fibers due to the digestion of crystalline domains. In some cases, the reaction conditions were not strong enough for the optimal removal of amorphous regions also leading to fiber with higher diameter and aspect ratio and lower crystallinity. Optimal hydrolysis conditions were those performed with an acid concentration of 60w/v% and temperature of 45°C for 25 min.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge the financial support of "Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)" (PIP 00617) and "Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica Tecnológica (ANPCyT)" (FS Nano 004).

References

- K. G. Satyanarayana, G. G. C. Arizaga, and F. Wypych, Prog. Polym. Sci., 34, 982, 2009.
- M. Kurakake, W. Kisaka, K. Ouchi, and T. Komaki, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 90, 251, 2001.
- 3. N. Reddy and Y. Yang, Trends in Biotechnology, 23, 22 (2005).
- 4. V. Placet, Compos. Part-A: Appl. S., 40, 1111, 2009.
- 5. T. Itoh and R. M. Brown, Planta, 160, 372, 1984.
- B. Xiao, X. F. Sun, and R. Sun, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 74, 307, 2001.
- C. Baillie, "Green Composites: Polymer Composites and the Environment" (D. T. Nishino Ed.), p.49, in Abington Hall,

Abington Cambridge CB1 6AH, England, Woodhead Publishing Limited: Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kobe University, **2004**.

- J. Morán, V. Alvarez, V. Cyras, and A. Vázquez, Cellulose, 15, 149, 2008.
- S. Elanthikkal, U. Gopalakrishnapanicker, S. Varghese, and J. T. Guthrie, Carbohyd. Polym., 80, 852, 2010.
- L. Petersson and K. Oksman, Compos. Sci. Technol., 66, 2187, 2006.
- 11. Y. Chen, C. Liu, P. R. Chang, X. Cao, and D. P. Anderson, Carbohyd. Polym., 76, 607, **2009**.
- 12. M. A. S. Azizi Samir, F. Alloin, and A. Dufresne, Biomacromolecules, 6, 612, **2005**.
- E. de Morais Teixeira, A. Corrêa, A. Manzoli, F. de Lima Leite, C. de Oliveira, and L. Mattoso, Cellulose, 17, 595, 2010.
- 14. E. H. Qua and P. R. Hornsby, Plastics, Rubber and Composites, 40, 300, **2011**.
- S. M. L. Rosa, N. Rehman, M. I. G. de Miranda, S. M. B. Nachtigall, and C. I. D. Bica, Carbohyd. Polym., 87, 1131, 2012.
- K. L. Kadam and J. D. McMillan, Bioresource Technology, 88, 17, 2003.
- S. Sokhansanj, A. Turhollow, J. Cushman, and J. Cundiff, Biomass and Bioenergy, 23, 347, 2002.
- 18. Martínez-Sanz et al. Carbohydrate Polymers 85, 2011, 228–236.
- Pan et al. Cellulose Nanowhiskers Isolation and Properties from Acid Hydrolysis Combined with High Pressure Homogenization Bioresources, 2013, 8(1)933-943.
- 20. Bondeson et al. Cellulose, **2006**, 13:171–180, 2006 **DOI**: 10.1007/s10570-006-9061-4.
- P. Gañán, J. Cruz, S. Garbizu, A. Arbelaiz, I. Mondragon, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 94, 2004, 1489–1495.
- P. Gañán, R. Zuluaga, J.M. Velez, I. Mondragon, Macromol. Biosci. 4, 2004, 978–983.
- R. Zuluaga, J.L. Putaux, J. Cruz, J. Vélez, I. Mondragon, P. Gañán, Carbohydr. Polym. 76 (2009) 51–59.
- J.X. Sun, X.F. Sun, H. Zhao, R.C. Sun, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 84 (2004) 331–339
- F. Xu, J.X. Sun, Z.C. Geng, C.F. Liu, J.L. Ren, R.C. Sun, P. Fowler, M.S. Baird, Carbohydr. Polym. 67, 2007, 56–65.
- 26. N. Wang, E. Ding, R. Cheng, Polymer 48, 2007, 3486–3493.
- A.K.Mohanty, M. Misra, G. Hinrichsen, Macromol. Mater. Eng. 276–277, 2000, 1–24.
- J.X. Sun, X.F. Sun, H. Zhao, R.C. Sun, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 84, 2004, 331–339.
- A. K. Mohanty, M. Misra, G. Hinrichsen, Macromol. Mater. Eng. 276–277, 2000, 1–24.
- L. Ludueña, A. Vázquez, V. Alvarez, Carbohydr. Polym. 87, 2012, 411–421.
- 31. M. Roman, W.T. Winter, Biomacromolecules 5, **2004**, 1671–1677.
- F. Xu, J.X. Sun, Z.C. Geng, C.F. Liu, J.L. Ren, R.C. Sun, P. Fowler, M.S. Baird, Carbohydr. Polym. 67, 2007. 56–65.
- K. Kavkler, N. Gunde-Cimerman, P. Zalar, and A. Demšar, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 96, 574, 2011.
- Oh et al. S. Y. Oh, D. I. Yoo, Y. Shin, and G. Seo, Carbohyd. Res.,340, 417, 2005.
- 35. N. Wang, E. Ding, R. Cheng, Polymer, 48, 2007. 3486-3493.
- K. Kavkler, N. Gunde-Cimerman, P. Zalar, A. Demšar, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 96, 2011, 574–580.
- L. Yang, H.Y. Zhang, Q. Yang, D.N. Lu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 126, 2012, E244–E250.
- M. Ali, A. M. Emsley, H. Herman, and R. J. Heywood, Polymer, 42, 2893, 2001.
- Kavkler et al. K. Kavkler, N. Gunde-Cimerman, P. Zalar, A. Demšar, Polymer Degradation and Stability, 96, 2011, 574-580.
 40. S.Y. Oh, D.I. Yoo, Y. Shin, G. Seo, Carbohydrate Research, 340, 2005, 417-428.
- 41. M. Martínez-Sanz et al., Carbohydrate Polymers 85, **2011**, 228–236.
- 42. Hammad and Hu, The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering Volume 88, Issue 3, **2010**, 392-402
- 43. Li, Wang, Liu; Bioresources Vol. 6, No 4, 2011, 4271-4281

- Fahma F, Iwamoto S, Hori N, Iwata T, Takemura A, 2010, Isolation, preparation, and characterization of nanofibers from oil palm empty-fruit-bunch (OPEFB). Cellulose 17:977–985.
- Roman M, Winter WT, 2004, Effect of sulphated groups from sulphuric acid hydrolysis on the thermal degradation behavior of bacterial cellulose. Biomacromolecules 5: 1048–1054
- 46. Cellulose, 2012, 19:855–866.