

Mechanistic insight of the photodynamic inactivation of *Escherichia coli* by a tetracationic zinc(II) phthalocyanine derivative

Mariana B. Spesia^a, Daniel A. Caminos^a, Patricia Pons^b, Edgardo N. Durantini PhD^{a,*}

^a Departamento de Química, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Agencia Postal Nro. 3, X5804BYA Río Cuarto, Córdoba, Argentina ^b Centro de Microscopía Electrónica, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina Available online 7 February 2009

KEYWORDS

Cationic phthalocyanine; Photodynamic inactivation; Bacteria; DNA; Photosensitizer

Summary Photodynamic inactivation (PDI) of Escherichia coli has been studied in cultures treated with zinc(II) 2,9,16,23-tetrakis[4-(N-methylpyridyloxy)]phthalocyanine (ZnPPc⁺⁴) to obtain insight about the mechanism of damage. This phthalocyanine is rapidly bound to cells, reaching a value of $\sim 0.8 \text{ nmol}/10^6$ cells when the cultures were incubated with $2 \mu M$ sensitizer. After 30 min of irradiation, a 4 log decrease of E. coli survival was observed. The photocytotoxic action was investigated in plasmid and genomic DNA by electrophoretic analysis. Absorption spectroscopic studies showed that this cationic phthalocyanine interacts strongly with DNA ($K_{\text{DNA}} = 4.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$). Photocleavage of calf thymus DNA sensitized by ZnPPc⁺4 was not found even after long irradiation periods. Similar results were also observed in genomic DNA extracted from E. coli cells after PDI treatment. Modifications of plasmid DNA isolated from bacteria were only observed after long irradiation periods. However, under these conditions transmission electron microscopy of the PDI bacteria revealed an aggregation of cytoplasmic macromolecules and irregularities in cell barriers. Also, scanning electron microscopy showed a shrunken appearance in cells after PDI. Even so, release of intracellular biopolymers was not detected by absorption. On the other hand, outer and inner membranes permeabilization assays showed an increase in the permeability. Consequently, alterations in the cell membrane functionality induced by ZnPPc⁺⁴ appear to be the major cause of *E. coli* inactivation upon PDI. © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The antimicrobial chemotherapy field is in constant changes, because of the great variety of found pathogenic species and their rapid evolutionary changes [1]. The great suc-

cesses in the war against microorganism are probable coming to the end. The medical advance gained with the technology of β -lactamic agents probably will not be equal in the near future. For this reason, it is imperative the development of new drugs and therapies [2]. The new antibiotics methods include bacteriophages, bacterial phenotype modification, genomic library to find unknown target sites for new drugs and a non-oncologic applications of photodynamic therapy, named photodynamic inactivation (PDI) of microorganisms [3]. Essentially, PDI is based on the admin-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +54 358 4676157;

fax: +54 358 4676233.

E-mail address: edurantini@exa.unrc.edu.ar (E.N. Durantini).

^{1572-1000/\$ —} see front matter ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2009.01.003

istration of a photosensitizer, which is preferentially accumulated in the microbial cells. The subsequent irradiation with visible light, in the presence of oxygen, specifically generates a cascade of biochemical events that produce cell damages that inactivate the microorganisms [4].

Studies of PDI have shown that Gram-positive bacteria are efficiently photoinactivated by a variety of sensitizers, whereas Gram-negative bacteria are usually resistant to the action of negatively charged or neutral agents [2]. The resistance of Gram-negative bacteria to the action of photoactivated sensitizers has been ascribed to the presence of a highly organized outer membrane, which hinders the interaction of the photosensitizer with the cytoplasmic membrane and intercepts the photogenerated reactive species [5-7]. Previous investigation showed that phthalocyanine derivatives can photosensitize the inactivation of various microbial pathogens [8-10]. In particular, cationic phthalocyanines are effective sensitizers inducing direct inactivation of Gram-negative bacteria even in absence of an additional permeabilization agent [10,11]. Although, photoinactivation of microorganisms sensitized by phthalocyanines in liquid suspensions has been studied in detail, the complete mechanism of action of these photosensitizers in bacteria inactivation is still unknown [2,4]. In cells, several biostructures can be target of the photodynamic activity induced by sensitizers. For instance, the photoinduced reactions can oxidize biomolecules, such as proteins, nucleic acids and lipids. Actually exist two principal ways that have been proposed in the PDI treatment of bacteria. The photodynamic activity can produce changes in cytoplasmatic membrane and/or in DNA structure [12]. The cytoplasmatic membrane deterioration can involve the loss of cellular content, membrane transport functionality and enzymatic inactivation [13]. The outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria constitute a semi-permeable barrier, which are constituted externally by a high net negative charge of LPS molecules [14]. Its net provides a polyanionic external surface that is partly neutralized by divalent cations Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . Consequently, the outer membrane is relatively impermeable to neutral or anionic drugs, however, polycations sensitizers can disturb the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria [15,16]. In previous investigations, photodamage to the cytoplasmic membrane of Escherichia coli was observed concomitantly to bacterial death [7,17,18]. Alternatively, it is known that cationic phthalocyanines form complex with nucleic acids [19,20]. When DNA-bound macrocycles are photoexcited, cleavage of DNA can be initiated by photochemical reactions. In this way, the guanine residues have shown to be easier oxidized than other DNA bases [21-24]. Nevertheless, some damages can be corrected by several DNA repairing systems [25]. Previous investigations have concluded that though DNA damage occurs, it cannot be the primary cause of bacterial cell photoinactivation [2]. Actually, there are only a small number of studies on the affected sub-cellular sites and the nature of macromolecular damage caused by PDI treatment of bacteria with phthalocyanines. The main site of photodynamic action depends on the sensitizer structure, which influences the interaction with microbes and its cellular localization.

In previous works, we have investigated the photodynamic activity of cationic phthalocyanine derivatives in different biomimetic media and *in vitro* as sensitizers



Scheme 1 Molecular structure of ZnPPc⁴⁺ phthalocyanine.

to eradicate *E. coli* cells [26,27]. In the present paper, the photodynamic action of zinc(II) 2,9,16,23-tetrakis[4-(*N*-methylpyridyloxy)]phthalocyanine iodide (ZnPPc⁴⁺) phthalocyanine (Scheme 1) was examined *in vitro* to inactivate *E. coli* cells in cellular suspensions. The aim was to obtain more insight into the specific mechanism of cellular photodamage, which cause the bacterial cell death after PDI treatment with this photosensitizer.

Materials and methods

General

Absorption and fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC spectrometer and on a Spex Fluoro-Max fluorometer, respectively. Spectra were recorded using 1 cm path length guartz cuvettes at 25.0 ± 0.5 °C. Cultures absorption was determinate at 660 nm in a Barnstead Turner SP-830 (Dubuque, IA) spectrophotometer. The light source used was a Novamat 130 AF (Braun Photo Technik, Nürnberg, Germany) slide projector equipped with a 150 W lamp. The light was filtered through a 2.5 cm glass cuvette filled with water to absorb heat. A wavelength range between 350 and 800 nm was selected by optical filters. The fluence rate at the treatment site was 30 mW/cm² (Radiometer Laser Mate-Q, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Electrophoresis was performed on a IBI MP-1015 Horizontal Gel Electrophoresis Unit Shelton Scientific (Peosta, IA, USA) and the electric field was generated by a power supply PS251-2 (Sigma-Aldrich Techware).

All the chemicals from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA) were used without further purification. Calf thymus doublestranded DNA, *o*-nitrophenyl- β -D-galactoside (ONPG) and 1-*N*-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Solvents (GR grade) from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) were distilled. Ultrapure water was obtained from Labconco (Kansas, MO, USA) equipment model 90901-01.

Photosensitizer

Zinc(II) 2,9,16,23-tetrakis[4-(*N*-methylpyridyloxy)]phthalocyanine iodide (ZnPPc⁴⁺) was synthesized as previously described [27]. A sensitizer stock solution (~0.5 mM) was prepared by dissolution in 1 mL of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF). The concentration was confirmed by spectroscopy, taking into account the value of molar coefficient ($\varepsilon^{678} = 1.1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ ZnPPc}^{4+}$ in DMF) [27].

Phthalocyanine binding to DNA

The concentration of calf thymus double-stranded DNA stock solution (2.7 mM), calculated in base pairs, was determined spectrophotometrically using molar absorptivity $\varepsilon_{260} = 1.31 \times 10^4 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ [28]. Absorbance titrations were conduced by adding concentrated stock solution of DNA directly to a cuvette containing phthalocyanine solution (2 mL, ~3 μ M) in methanol. The apparent binding constants (K_{DNA}) for phthalocyanine-DNA complex were calculated from the absorbance changes at the *Q*-band maximum (ΔA), assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry and that the DNA concentration is always significantly larger than the porphyrin concentration, using Eq. (1), where (ΔA)_{∞} represents the extrapolated absorbance change at [DNA] $\rightarrow \infty$ [29]:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta A} = \frac{1}{(\Delta A)_{\infty}} + \frac{1}{(\Delta A)_{\infty} K[\text{DNA}]}$$
(1)

A plot of $1/\Delta A$ vs. 1/[DNA] was used to calculate the value of K_{DNA} from the ratio of the intercept to the slope.

Bacterial strain and preparation of cultures

The E. coli strain (EC7), recovered from clinical urogenital material, was used as previously described [27]. The bacteria were grown aerobically at $37 \,^{\circ}$ C in 30% (w/v) tryptic soy (TS) broth overnight. Aliquots (\sim 40 μ L) of this culture was aseptically transferred to 4 mL of fresh medium (30%, w/v. TS broth) and incubated at 37° C to middle of the logarithmic phase (absorbance \sim 0.6 at 660 nm). Cells in the logarithmic phase of growth were harvested by centrifugation of broth cultures (3000 rpm for 15 min) and re-suspended in equal amount of 10 mM phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.0), corresponding to $\sim 10^9$ colony forming units (CFU)/mL. Depending on the experiment, cellular suspensions of $\sim 10^9$ CFU/mL were used or the cells were diluted 1/1000 in PBS to obtain $\sim 10^6$ CFU/mL. In all the experiments, 2 mL of the cell suspensions in Pyrex brand culture tubes ($13 \text{ mm} \times 100 \text{ mm}$) were used and the sensitizer was added from a stock solution of phthalocyanine ($\sim 0.5 \text{ mM}$) in DMF. Viable bacteria were monitored and their number calculated by counting the number of CFU after appropriated dilution on TS agar plates and 18–24h incubation at 37 °C.

Sensitizer binding to bacterial cells

Suspensions of *E. coli* (2 mL, $\sim 10^{6}$ CFU/mL) in PBS were incubated in dark at 37 °C with 2 μ M of ZnPPc⁴⁺ for 5, 10 and 30 min. The cultures were centrifuged (3000 rpm for 15 min) and the cell pellets were re-suspended in 2% aque-

ous SDS (2mL), incubated overnight at 4°C and sonicated for 30 min. The concentration of sensitizer in the supernatant was measured by spectrofluorimetry (λ_{exc} = 670 nm and λ_{em} = 684 nm) in solution of 2% SDS in PBS. The fluorescence values obtained from each sample were referred to the total number of bacteria contained in the suspension [27].

Photosensitized inactivation of bacteria cells

Cell suspensions of *E. coli* (2 mL) in PBS were incubated with 2 μ M phthalocyanine for 30 min in the dark at 37 °C. The cultures were exposed for different time intervals to visible light [27]. Control experiments were carried out without illumination in the absence and in the presence of sensitizer. Each experiment was repeated separately three times.

DNA purification and electrophoresis

Bacterial cultures of *E. coli* cells (10⁹ CFU/mL) were grown as described above. Plasmid DNA was extracted following the Wizard Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Genomic DNA was purified using a Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). In PDI experiments, the cellular suspensions were treated as described above and plasmid or genomic DNA was extracted from the cells immediately post-irradiation.

DNA samples were gently mixed with $3 \mu L$ of Loading Buffer $6 \times (0.25\%, w/v)$, bromophenol blue, 40%, w/v, sucrose, 1.15% acetic acid, 40 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA). DNA was analyzed by electrophoresis 1% agarose gel in TBE buffer (90 mM Tris—HCl, 90 mM boric acid and 2 mM EDTA, pH 8) at 2.9 V/cm for 2 h. Ethidium bromide (1 mg/mL) was incorporated into de agarose gel. The Lamda DNA/*Hind* III (Promega) was used as molecular weight marker (MK) (0.5 μ g/ μ L) with DNA fragments between 125 and 23,130 bp.

The photographs were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institute of Health, USA) software to quantify the amount of DNA remaining after different treatments.

Steady state photolysis of DNA

Solutions of DNA and 20 μ M sensitizer in 15 μ L of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.6) was irradiated in polypropylene 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes with visible light (30 mW/cm²) at room temperature. The tubes were placed vertically with the cap open in the path of the visible beam and irradiated for different times. After irradiation, the samples were analyzed by electrophoresis.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Cultures of *E. coli* (~10⁹ CFU/mL) were incubated with 2 μ M sensitizer by 30 min in dark. The cultures were irradiated as described above by different times. Samples were centrifuged and the cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde mixture in cacodylate buffer 0.1 M for 2 h at room temperature. After that, the bacteria were washed three times with cacodylate buffer and postfixed in 1% osmium tetraoxide in the same buffer solution for 1–2 h

at room temperature. The cells were dehydrated with gradients of acetone and embedded in Araldite epoxy resin at $60 \degree C$ for 24 h. Thin-sectioned samples ($80-100 \ nm$ width) were prepared using a Jeol Jum-7 ultratome. The samples were stained with uranyl acetate in alcoholic solution (2 min) and lead citrate (2 min). Finally, the samples were viewed and digitally photographed with a transmission electronic microscopy Leo 906E with a MegaView III camera.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Cultures of *E. coli* (~10⁶ CFU/mL) were incubated with 2 μ M sensitizer by 30 min in dark and irradiated as described above for 30 min. Samples of 500 μ L were mixed with 500 μ L of glutaraldehyde (2%) and formaldehyde (4%) in cacodylate buffer 0.1 M for 2 h at room temperature. Then, they were dehydrated gradually by successive passage through ethanol from 10 to 100%. Samples were placed on isoamylate and dried in a critical-point drying apparatus in liquid CO₂ (Baltec CP-30). Cells were gold coated (Jeol FineCoat Sputter JFC-1100) and examined using a Jeol model JSM 6360 LV (Tokyo, Japan) scanning electron microscope. SEM images were performed in Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, UNLP, Argentina.

Integrity of E. coli cell membrane

Bacterial cell membrane integrity was examined by determination of the release of material absorbing at 260 nm [30,31]. *E. coli* cellular suspension (10^9 CFU/mL) in PBS (2 mL) were obtained and treated as described above with 2 μ M sensitizer. After that, the tubes were irradiated with visible light for different times. Each irradiated tube was centrifuged at 5000 rpm by 10 min, the pellet was separated and the release of materials in the supernatant was monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy.

Outer membrane (OM) permeabilization assays

OM permeabilization activity of the phthalocyanine was determined by the NPN assay previously described [32,33]. *E. coli* cultures (10^{9} CFU/mL) re-suspended in PBS (2 mL) were treated with 2 μ M ZnPPc⁴⁺ and keep in dark by 30 min and then irradiated by 30 min. After that, the culture was transfer to a quartz cuvette and 40 μ L of 1 mM NPN was added. Fluorescence spectra were recorder at different time. Excitation and emission wavelengths were set at 350 and 429 nm, respectively. Increase in fluorescence due the partitioning of NPN into the OM was recorder as a function of time until no further increase in intensity. Control tests without irradiation were performed to verify that the enhance fluorescence was due to NPN uptake by bacteria [34].

Inner membrane (IM) permeabilization assay

IM permeabilization was determined by measuring the release of cytoplasmic β -galactosidase activity from *E. coli* into the culture medium using ONPG as the substrate [35]. Logarithmic-phase bacteria grown in tryptic soy broth containing 2% lactose were harvested by centrifugation and

re-suspended in PBS. Bacteria suspensions (2 mL) were incubated with 2 μ M of ZnPPc⁴⁺ in dark for 30 min and then exposes for 30 min to visible light. Then, the cultures were drop in a quartz cuvette and 100 μ L ONPG (30 mM) added. The production of *o*-nitrophenol over time was determined by monitoring the absorbance increase at 420 nm using a spectrophotometer.

Results

Interaction of ZnPPc⁴⁺ with calf thymus DNA

Affinity of ZnPPc⁴⁺ to calf thymus DNA was evaluated by absorption spectroscopic analysis in methanol. This solvent was chosen to solubilize the phthalocyanine as monomer. Titration of ZnPPc⁴⁺ with DNA produces the spectral changes in the *Q*-band showed in Fig. 1. The extensive hypochromicity (~80%) of *Q*-band observed indicates that this phthalocyanine binds to DNA. It is assumed that the electronic structure of the phthalocyanine is not greatly affected by interaction with DNA, because the positively charged groups are separated by an ether bridge from the chromophore. Apparent binding constant (*K*_{DNA}) of tetracationic phthalocyanine was calculated giving values of ~4.7 × 10⁶ M⁻¹.

Photocleavage of calf thymus DNA

Photoinduced damage of calf thymus DNA sensitized by this phthalocyanine was studied in TE buffer solution. Samples of DNA and photosensitizer were irradiated with



Figure 1 Variation of $1/(\Delta A)$ vs. 1/[DNA] for spectral titration of ZnPPc⁴⁺ phthalocyanine with calf thymus DNA in PBS, $\lambda_{max} = 669$ nm. Dashed line: linear fit by Eq. (1). Inset: absorption spectra of ZnPPc⁴⁺ in methanol at different DNA concentrations (0.01; 0.02; 0.03; 0.04; 0.05; 0.06; 0.07; 0.08; 0.09; 0.11; 0.16; 0.21; 0.26; 0.32 μ M). Values represent mean \pm standard deviation of three separate experiments.



Figure 2 Agarose gel electrophoresis of calf thymus DNA samples in TE buffer (pH 7.6) irradiated by 1, 2, 3 and 4 h (lines 3–6, respectively) with visible light (30 mW/cm^2) in the presence of ZnPPc⁴⁺ (20μ M). Line 1: Lamda-*Hind* III DNA weight maker and line 2: control with sensitizer in dark.

visible light under aerobic condition for different times. The DNA integrity was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2). DNA photodamage is observed by the appearance of a remarkable smearing after electrophoresis. As can be observed, a slightly modified pattern for DNA with respect to control (Fig. 2, line 2) was found for samples sensitized by ZnPPc⁴⁺. Therefore, the photocleavage effect on DNA was not very significant even after longer irradiation periods (Fig. 2).

Binding of ZnPPc⁴⁺ to *E. coli* and photosensitized inactivation

The *E. coli* cells were incubated with $2 \mu M \text{ZnPPc}^{4+}$ for different times (5, 10, 30 min) in dark at 37 °C. The amount of sensitizer bound to cells was not significantly changed in these periods, reaching a value of ~0.8 nmol/10⁶ cells. The photoinactivation effect of ZnPPc⁴⁺ was evaluated to inactivate *E. coli* cellular suspensions in PBS. The results for *E. coli* cultures treated with $2 \mu M$ sensitizer for 30 min in dark and irradiated are shown in Fig. 3. As can be seen, cationic phthalocyanine produces a ~4 log decrease in the cellular survival after a light fluence of 54 J/cm².

Photosensitized cleavage of E. coli plasmid DNA

One of the most important antibiotic resistant mechanisms involves the transfer of plasmids from one bacterium to another, for this reason is interesting to evaluate the photodynamic effect on plasmid DNA. A convenient way to assess the ability of a cationic phthalocyanine to induce photodegradation of plasmid DNA is to measure the conversion of supercoiled plasmid DNA (form I) to relaxed circular DNA (form II) [36]. In this way, the photodynamic activity of ZnPPc⁴⁺ was first studied in plasmid DNA purified from *E. coli* cells. Solutions of plasmid DNA and 2 μ M phthalocyanine in TE buffer were irradiated with visible light for different times and the samples were examined by agarose elec-



Figure 3 Survival curves of *Escherichia coli* cells incubated with $2 \mu M ZnPPc^{4+}$ (**A**) for 30 min at 37 °C in dark and exposed to visible light (30 mW/cm²) for different irradiation times. Control culture untreated with $ZnPPc^{4+}$ (**•**) and irradiated. Values represent mean \pm standard deviation of three separate experiments.

trophoretic analysis. As can be observed in Fig. 4, ZnPPc⁴⁺ produced the conversion of supercoiled DNA (form I) to nicked circular DNA (form II) in the presence of light. On the other hand, no cleavage occurred without irradiation (Fig. 4, line 1), indicating that photodamage of DNA was caused by sensitization activity raised from irradiation of the phthalocyanine. After a light fluence of 108 J/cm² (60 min), plasmid DNA is observed like circular form II (~40%) in the presence of ZnPPc⁴⁺.

Similar experiments with plasmid DNA were also performed in vitro on E. coli cells treated with $2 \mu M ZnPPc^{4+}$ and exposed to visible light for different periods. After PDI, cells were lysed and the plasmid DNA isolated. The purified DNA was analyzed by electrophoresis. As showed in Fig. 5, time dependent modifications of the electrophoretic patterns of the plasmid DNA were also observed in vitro. Photocleavage of intracellular plasmid DNA is identified at longer irradiations. After a light dose of 162 J/cm², the photodamage in supercoiled DNA is observed in the presence of tetracationic phthalocyanine, diminishing to \sim 55%. Under these conditions, intracellular plasmid DNA is converted to a less tensioned form II. At longer periods of irradiation, DNA fragmentation is also evidenced by a smearing of the bands. The amount of DNA form I progressively decreases until disappears at longer periods of irradiation.

Photosensitized cleavage of E. coli genomic DNA

The photodynamic activity of this sensitizer was analyzed in vitro to observe possible genomic DNA photodegradation purified from *E. coli* cells after PDI treatments. In these experiments, genomic DNA was extracted from cells treated with $2 \mu M$ sensitizer and exposed to visible light for dif-



Figure 4 Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA samples in TE buffer (pH 7.6) irradiated for 5, 10, 20, 60 and 90 min (lines 2–6, respectively) with visible light (30 mW/cm^2) in presence of 2 μ M ZnPPc⁴⁺. Line 1: control with sensitizer in dark. Column plots: form I (white bars) and form II (grey bars) plasmid DNA (%) sensitized by ZnPPc⁴⁺ after different periods of irradiation.

ferent times. The extracts were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis as showed in Fig. 6. However, an unmodified pattern of DNA was found for cells treated with ZnPPc⁴⁺ with respect to the control assay (Fig. 6, line 2). The photodynamic action of this tetracationic phthalocyanine did not cause significant cleavage of genomic DNA even after an extended light fluence of 432 J/cm². Therefore, it can be considered either the genomic DNA was not involved in the photodamage process or the overall DNA degradation of a few lesions was not sufficient to induce DNA strand breaks.

Photodynamic effect on cellular ultrastructure of *E. coli*

Ultrastructural changes in the *E. coli* cells were first analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The *E. coli* cells were treated with $2 \mu M$ ZnPPc⁴⁺ for 30 min in dark and the cultures irradiated with visible light for different times. Representative results after a light fluence of 54 J/cm^2 are shown in Fig. 7. As can be observed, alterations in the ultrastructure of the treated *E. coli* cells were formed during the photodynamic process, which were not detected in the untreated cells (Fig. 7A). Photodynamic treatment sensitized by ZnPPc⁴⁺ produced cells that present the appearance of low-density areas (Fig. 7B and C).



Figure 5 Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA samples extracted from *E. coli* cells after PDI treatment. The cultures were irradiated for 5, 10, 20, 60 and 90 min (lines 2–6, respectively) with visible light (30 mW/cm^2) in presence of 2 μ M ZnPPc⁴⁺. Line 1: control with sensitizer in dark. Line 1: control with sensitizer in dark. Column plots: form I (white bars) and form II (grey bars) plasmid DNA (%) sensitized by ZnPPc⁴⁺ after different periods of irradiation.



Figure 6 Agarose gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA samples extracted from *E. coli* cells after PDI treatment. The cultures were irradiated for 0.5, 1 and 4 h (lines 3–5, respectively) with visible light (30 mW/cm²) in the presence of 2 μ M ZnPPc⁴⁺. Line 1: Lamda-*Hind* III DNA weight maker, line 2: control with sensitizer in dark.



Figure 7 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of *E. coli* cells treated with $2 \mu M \text{ ZnPPc}^{4+}$ and irradiated for 30 min (B and C) with visible light (30 mW/cm^2). Control (A) of *E. coli* cells without sensitizer and irradiated for 30 min, magnification: (A) 16,700, (B) 21,560 and (C) 77,500.



Figure 8 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of *E. coli* cells treated with $2 \mu M$ ZnPPc⁴⁺ and irradiated for 30 min (B and C) with visible light (30 mW/cm²). Control (A) of *E. coli* cells without sensitizer and irradiated for 30 min.

Moreover, the cells were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As can be observed in Fig. 8, changes on cellular surface were found between the untreated cells control (Fig. 8A) and treated cells with $2 \mu M ZnPPc^{4+}$ (Fig. 8B) and irradiated with a light dose of $54 J/cm^2$. After PDI treatment, *E. coli* cells showed small vesiculations on the cellular surface (Fig. 8B). This effect can be more clearly evidenced in a magnified image showed in Fig. 8C.

Integrity and membrane permeabilization of *E. coli* cells

The cytoplasmic cell membrane is the target for many antimicrobial agents. The damage of bacterial membrane is first accompanied by leached out low molecular weight species and followed by nucleotides, such as DNA, RNA and other materials [30]. The release of these intracellular components with strong UV absorption at 260 nm is an indication of membrane damage [31]. Thus, E. coli cellular suspensions were treated with 2 μM ZnPPc^{4+} for 30 min in dark and irradiated with visible light. After different light doses, the cultures were centrifuged and the supernatants analyzed by UV spectroscopy. In all these cases, the absorbance at 260 nm was almost unchanged. Representative results for cultures sensitized by ZnPPc4+ are shown in Fig. 9. In contrast, an intense absorption band ($\lambda \sim 260$ nm) appears when the cultures were sonicated by 15 min. Furthermore, this PDI treatment of E. coli cells did not induce fast release of $\lambda \sim 260 \text{ nm}$ absorbing materials from bacteria even after 432 J/cm² of irradiation, suggesting that although the functionality of the membrane can be damaged, it was not a sufficient amount to produce release of higher molecular weight species.

On the other hand, outer membrane (OM) permeabilization of *E. coli* cells after PDI treatment was evaluated using the hydrophobic NPN fluorescent probe. In this assay, NPN



Figure 9 Release of absorbing material from *E. coli* cells treated with $2 \mu M \text{ ZnPPc}^{4+}$ for 30 min in dark and irradiated for 4 h (dashed line) with visible light. Cultures sonicated for 15 min, irradiated control without sensitizer (solid line) and dark control with sensitizer (dotted line).



Figure 10 (A) Variation in the fluorescence emission intensity of NPN at different times post-PDI treatment of *E. coli* suspensions. Inset: fluorescence emission spectra of NPN, $\lambda_{exc} = 350 \text{ nm}$. (B) Changes in the absorbance ($\lambda = 420 \text{ nm}$) of ONPG. Insert: absorption spectra of *E. coli* cells in the presence of ONPG after PDI. Cultures were incubated with 2 μ M ZnPPc⁴⁺ for 30 min in dark at 37 °C and irradiated with a light fluence of 54 J/cm².

is normally excluded from OM but when this membrane is damaged the probe is partitioned into perturbed OM. This effect produces an increase in the fluorescence of NPN [32,33]. The addition of NPN to *E. coli* suspensions caused the increase in fluorescence exhibited in Fig. 10A. In addition, the ability of ZnPPc⁴⁺ to permeate the *E. coli* IM was investigated as a function of cytoplasmic β -galactosidase release with bacteria grown in medium containing lactose. After PDI treatment, release of cytoplasmic β -galactosidase was detected by a progressive increase in the absorbance at 420 nm (Fig. 10B).

Discussion

In the structure of ZnPPc⁴⁺, the four cationic centers are attached to the phthalocyanine macrocycle ring by an ether bond (Scheme 1). This spacer provides an appropriated mobility to the charges, which facilitates the interaction with the outer membrane of the *E. coli* cells. Also, this charges distribution has minimal influence on the electronic properties of the phthalocyanine macrocycle. Thus, this phthalocyanine exhibits a high absorption coefficient in the visible region of the spectrum, characterized by the typical *Soret* (~374 nm) and *Q*-bands (~678 nm). Also, ZnPPc⁴⁺ emits two bands (~687 and 756 nm) with a fluorescence quantum yield of 0.22 in DMF, which allows its detection and quantification in biological media even at very low concentrations [27].

The photodynamic activity of ZnPPc4+ indicates a high efficiency in the quantum yield of $O_2(^1\Delta_{\alpha})$ production $(\Phi_{\wedge} = 0.59)$ in solution of DMF. Also, it is an efficient photosensitizer to produce photooxidation of biological substrates, such as the amino acid L-tryptophan [27]. The studies in presence of calf thymus DNA showed that ZnPPc⁴⁺ strongly interacts with the double-stranded DNA in solution (Fig. 1). The value of K_{DNA} for ZnPPc⁴⁺ is higher than those previously reported for other tetracationic sensitizers, such as porphyrin derivatives [28,37]. Similar tight binding was also found for a cationic copper (II) phthalocyanine, cuprolinic blue, to calf thymus DNA [19]. In contrast, an anionic phthalocyanine does not bind to DNA, reflecting electrostatic contribution to the interaction between cationic sensitizers and the nucleotide chains. Also, thermodynamic and spectroscopic studies showed a higher affinity of the cationic zinc(II) tetrapyridinoporphyrazines towards DNA with respect to the cobalt(II) complex. This effect was attributed to the coordination interaction as the major contributing factor that enables superior interaction of the zinc complex with the DNA duplex [20].

The electrophoretic analysis of calf thymus DNA indicated that photocleavage takes place when the samples were exposed to photoexcited ZnPPc⁴⁺ in aerobic condition. The photocleavage ability of this tetracationic phthalocyanine was considerable smaller than that found with a tetracationic porphyrin, 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-*N*,*N*,*N*trimethylammoniumphenyl)porphyrin *p*-tosylate (TMAP⁴⁺) [28]. Even though ZnPPc⁴⁺ has a larger K_{DNA} than TMAP⁴⁺, it is not as effective as this porphyrin to photocleavage DNA. However, an increasing smearing appears after long irradiation periods due to the modification and photocleavage of DNA (Fig. 2).

In vitro experiments showed that ZnPPc⁴⁺ was rapidly bound to *E. coli* cells when the cultures were treated with 2 μ M of sensitizer in dark. Under these conditions, the photodynamic action of ZnPPc⁴⁺ produced a ~4log decrease of *E. coli* cell survival after 30 min of visible light irradiation (54 J/cm²). This result represents a value greater than 99.99% of cellular inactivation. Also, a high PDI activity was previously reported for a tetracationic pyridinium zinc phthalocyanine (PPC) on *E. coli*, when the cultures are treated with 10 μ g/mL sensitizer [8]. The mechanism of uptake of PPC across the outer membrane of *E. coli* appears to occur via the self-promoted uptake pathway [6]. Another proposed photosensitizers to eradicate bacteria involves an octacationic zinc phthalocyanine, which is peripherally substituted with four bis(*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethyl)amino-2-propoxy groups [10]. This compound exhibited an appreciable PDI of *E. coli*, after irradiation with 675 nm light in the presence of 1 μ M of photosensitizer. Also, a tetracationic Zn(II) tetramethyltetrapyridinoporphyrazinium salt was investigated to eradicate microbes. This phthalocyanine produced a ~2.4 log (~99.5%) decrease of *E. coli* cell survival, when the cultures were treated with 10 μ M of photosensitizer and irradiated for 30 min [26].

The photodynamic activity produced by ZnPPc⁴⁺ was analyzed exploring the photodegradation of E. coli bacterial nucleic acids and the membrane damage incurred during PDI. In aqueous solution, the disappearance of the supercoiled plasmid band took place during irradiation to produce the closed circular form plasmid DNA band, but the linear form was not detected (Fig. 4). When the photosensitization of plasmid DNA within whole bacterial cells was assayed (Fig. 5), the pattern of supercoiled plasmid DNA degradation was more slowly incremental. Therefore, the photodamage of plasmid DNA appeared at long PDI treatment (>108 J/ cm^2), mainly when the *E. coli* cells were inactivated. On the other hand, genomic DNA isolated from E. coli after PDI was not cleaved even after a light fluence of 432 J/cm² (Fig. 6). In previous studies, it was found that 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-N-methylpyridyl)porphine (TMPyP) produced the death of all the E. coli cells in the culture, while the chromosomal DNA remained intact [7]. However, it was also reported that TMPyP induces a marked reduction in the chromosomal high-molecular DNA band after PDI at low light dose and 7.3 µM TMPyP [12]. The results of the present study about DNA integrity extracted from E. coli indicated that plasmid DNA damage appeared only after a long period of irradiation, whereas genomic DNA remained practically intact.

TEM analysis showed alterations in the ultrastruture of the E. coli cells (Fig. 7) treated with ZnPPc4+ after PDI treatment. These modifications have been assigned to aggregation of cytoplasmic macromolecules [7]. Also, alterations and irregularities in the cell barriers were observed along the bacterium. Multilamellar membrane structures that are connected directly to the cytoplasmatic membrane appear in the cells after PDI treatment (Fig. 7C). The ultrastructural changes demonstrated by TEM supported the view that photoactivated phthalocyanine may potentially interfere with membrane functions. Oxidative reactions involving $O_2(^1\Delta_g)$ may be responsible for the effects on membrane biosynthesis sites stimulating the accumulation of macromolecules and conducing to alterations of the cell membrane structure. This process can lead to the inability to multiply and to produce viable bacteria [18]. Differences on the cellular surface were also observed via SEM for *E. coli* following PDI with ZnPPc⁴⁺ (Fig. 8). The presence of bubbles were detected on the cellular surface in Fig. 8B and C. Similar structures were previous observed in Gram-negative bacteria and they were assigned to release of outer membrane vesicles due to stress-induced response [38]. In previous investigation using a tetracationic porphyrin, SEM images showed that E. coli sensitized by $11 \mu M$ TMPyP produced cell-wall ruptures in a fraction of cells [12]. However, in the present study the results were consistent with the absence of a direct observation of cell-wall rupture and cell lysis after PDI treatment. The release of intracellular biopolymers upon photodynamic action was not detected by absorption at 260 nm (Fig. 9). However, destabilization of the OM of *E. coli* cells was found after PDI treatment. Alteration in OM permeability barrier of *E. coli* was also observed for cells treated with a pyridinium zinc phthalocyanine (PPC) [6]. In previous investigations, it was pointed out that some protein molecules in the outer wall of phototreated *E. coli* cells with an octacationic Zn(II)-phthalocyanine were the target of the initial stages of the photoprocess [10]. Such modifications can induce an increase in the permeability of the wall, which is considered as the structural element responsible for the strong resistance of Gram-negative bacteria to photosensitization.

Therefore, the present results point out that structural changes and alterations in the membrane induced by the photodynamic action of $ZnPPc^{4+}$ play a major role in *E. coli* eradication, while that plasmid DNA damage is mainly involved after long periods of irradiation.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (FONCYT) of Argentina for financial support. Special thanks to Dr. M.G. Alvarez for her collaboration in the capture of gel electrophoresis images. E.N.D. is a Scientific Members of CONICET. M.B.S and D.A.C. thank CONICET for their research fellowships.

References

- [1] DiazGranados CA, Cardo DM, McGowan Jr JE. Antimicrobial resistance: international control strategies, with a focus on limited-resource settings. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2008;32:1–9.
- [2] Hamblin MR, Hassan T. Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial approach to infectious disease? Photochem Photobiol Sci 2004;3:436–50.
- [3] Jori G, Brown SB. Photosensitized inactivation of microorganisms. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2004;5:403-5.
- [4] Durantini EN. Photodynamic inactivation of bacteria. Curr Bioact Comp 2006;2:127–42.
- [5] Merchat M, Spikes G, Bertoloni G, Jori G. Studies on the mechanism of bacteria photosensitization by *meso*substituted cationic porphyrins. J Photochem Photobiol B: Biol 1996;35:149–57.
- [6] Minnock A, Vernon DI, Schofield J, Griffiths J, Parish JH, Brown SB. Mechanism of uptake of a cationic water-soluble pyridinium zinc phthalocyanine across the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44:522–7.
- [7] Nitzan Y, Ashkenazi H. Photoinactivation of Acinetobacter baumannii and Escherichia coli B by cationic hydrophilic porphyrin at various light wavelengths. Curr Microbiol 2001;42:408–14.
- [8] Minnock A, Vernon DI, Schofield J, Griffiths J, Parish JH, Brown SB. Photoinactivation of bacteria. Use of a cationic water-soluble zinc phthalocyanines to photoinactivate both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. J Photochem Photobiol B: Biol 1996;32:159–64.
- [9] Wood S, Nattress B, Kirkham J, et al. An *in vitro* study of the use of photodynamic therapy for the treatment of natural oral

61

plaque biofilms formed *in vivo*. J Photochem Photobiol B: Biol 1999;50:1–7.

- [10] Segalla A, Borsarelli CD, Braslavsky SE, et al. Photophysical, photochemical and antibacterial photosensitizing properties of a novel octacationic Zn(II)-phthalocyanine. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2002;1:641–8.
- [11] Mantareva V, Kussovski V, Angelov I, et al. Photodynamic activity of water-soluble phthalocyanine zinc(II) complexes against pathogenic microorganisms. Bioorg Med Chem 2007;5:4829-35.
- [12] Salmon-Divon M, Nitzan Y, Malik Z. Mechanistic aspects of *Escherichia coli* photodynamic inactivation by cationic tetra-*meso*(*N*-methylpyridyl)porphine. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2004;3:423–9.
- [13] Bertoloni G, Rossi F, Valduga G, Jori G, van Lier J. Photosensitizing activity of water- and lipid-soluble phthalocyanines on *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1990;71:149–56.
- [14] Hancock REW. The bacterial outer membrane as a drug barrier. Trends Microbiol 1997;5:37–42.
- [15] Soukos N, Ximenez-Fyvie LA, Hamblin M, Socransky SS, Hassan T. Targeted antimicrobial photochemotherapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998;42:2595–601.
- [16] Hamblin M, O'Donnell D, Murthy N, et al. Polycationic photosensitizer conjugates: effects of chain length and Gram classification on the photodynamic inactivation of bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;49:941–51.
- [17] Valduga G, Breda B, Giacometti GM, Jori G, Reddi E. Photosensitization of wild and mutant strains of *Escherichia coli* by *meso*-tetra(*N*-methyl-4-pyridil)porphine. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1999;256:84–8.
- [18] Ashkenazi H, Nitzan Y, Gál D. Antimicrobial action of novel chitin derivative. Photochem Photobiol 2003;77:186–91.
- [19] John DCA, Rosamund J, Douglas KT. Tight binding of copper(II) phthalocyanine (Cuprolinic Blue) to DNA. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1989;159:1256–62.
- [20] Asadi M, Safaei E, Ranjbar B, Hasani L. Thermodynamic and spectroscopic study on the binding of cationic Zn(II) and Co(II) tetrapyridinoporphyrazines to calf thymus DNA: the role of the central metal in binding parameters. New J Chem 2004;28:1227–34.
- [21] Ravanat J-L, Berger M, Benard F, et al. Phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine photosensitized oxidation of 2'-deoxyguanosine: distint type I and type II products. Photochem Photobiol 1992;55:809–14.
- [22] Sheu C, Foote CS. Endoperoxide formation in a guanosine derivative. J Am Chem Soc 1993;115:10446–7.
- [23] Cadet J, Douki T, Gasparutto D, Ravanat J-L. Oxidative damage to DNA: formation, measurement and biochemical features. Mutat Res 2003;531:5-23.

- [24] Cadet J, Ravanat J-L, Martinez GR, Medeiros MHG, Di Mascio P. Singlet oxygen oxidation of isolated and cellular DNA: product formation and mechanistic insights. Photochem Photobiol 2006;82:1219–25.
- [25] Imray FP, MacPhee DG. The role of DNA polymerase I and the rec system in survival of bacteria and bacteriophages damaged by the photodynamic action of acridine orange. Mol Gen Genet 1973;123:289–98.
- [26] Dupouy EA, Lazzeri D, Durantini EN. Photodynamic activity of cationic and non-charged Zn(II)tetrapyridinoporphyrazine derivatives: biological consequences in human erythrocytes and *Escherichia coli*. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2004;3:992–8.
- [27] Scalise I, Durantini EN. Synthesis, properties and photodynamic inactivation of *Escherichia coli* using a cationic and a noncharged Zn(II) pyridyloxyphthalocyanine derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13:2037–3045.
- [28] Caminos DA, Durantini EN. DNA interaction and photocleavage properties of porphyrins containing cationic substituents at the peripheral position. J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem 2008;198:274–81.
- [29] Jasuja R, Jameson DM, Nishijo CK, Larsen RW. Interactions between dendrimer biocides and bacterial membranes. J Phys Chem B: Biol 1997;101:1444–50.
- [30] Chen CZ, Cooper SL. Interactions between dendrimer biocides and bacterial membranes. Biomaterials 2002;23:3359–68.
- [31] Jae-Young J, Se-Kwon K. Antimicrobial action of novel chitin derivative. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006;1760:104–9.
- [32] Loh BA, Bates NC, Hancock REW. Improved activity of a synthetic indolicidin analog. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1984;26:546-51.
- [33] Falla TJ, Hancock REW. Interaction and photodynamic activity of cationic porphyrin derivatives bearing different patterns of charge distribution with GMP and DNA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41:771–5.
- [34] Je JY, Kim SK. Dicationic pyridium porphyrins appending different peripheral substituents: synthesis and studies for their interaction with DNA. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006;1170:104–9.
- [35] Ibrahim HR, Sugimoto Y, Aoki T. Ovotransferrin antimicrobial peptide (OTAP-92) kills bacteria through a membrane damage mechanism. Biochim Biophys Acta 2000;1523:196–205.
- [36] Mettath S, Munson BR, Pandey RK. Release of outer membrane vesicles by Gram-negative bacteria is a novel envelope stress response. Bioconjug Chem 1999;10:94–102.
- [37] Wu S, Li Z, Ren L, et al. Singlet excited state dynamics of tetrakis(4-N-methylpyridyl)porphine associated with DNA nucleotides. Bioorg Med Chem 2006;14:2956–65.
- [38] McBroom AJ, Kuehn MJ. Ovotransferrin antimicrobial peptide (OTAT-92) kills bacteria through a membrane damage mechanism. Mol Microbiol 2007;63:545–58.