REGULARITY OF MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO A CRITICAL RADIUS FUNCTION
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Abstract. In this work we obtain boundedness on BMO and Lipschitz type spaces in the context of a critical radius function. We deal with a local maximal operator and a maximal operator of a one parameter family of operators with certain conditions on its kernels that can be applied to the maximal of the semi-group in the context of a Schrödinger operator.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this work we deal with the boundedness of some maximal operators acting on BMO and Lipschitz type spaces that come from the localized analysis considering a critical radius function $\rho$, i.e., a function that satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \left(1 + \frac{|x - y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-N_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq \frac{\rho(x)}{c} \left(1 + \frac{|x - y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{N_0/N_{0+1}}, \quad (1.1)
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (see [1] and [2]).

This analysis appears in the context of the Schrödinger operator $L = -\Delta + V$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$ (see for example [8], [13], and references therein).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a ball of the form $B(x, \rho(x))$ is called critical and a ball $B(x, r)$ with $r < \rho(x)$ will be called sub-critical. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ the family of all sub-critical balls.

One of the operators we are interested in is the localized maximal operator $M_\rho$ defined for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ as

$$
M_\rho f(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f|.
$$

In [5] the authors prove that $M_\rho$ is bounded on $L^p(w)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, where $w$ belongs to a suitable class larger than classical $A_p$ Muckenhoupt weights. Here we deal with the boundedness of $M_\rho$ in a weighted BMO type space that appears in [8] for $w = 1$, and in [3] with weighted versions.
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We also deal with some type of maximal operator of a family of operators presented in Section 5, that is a model to deal with semi-groups appearing in the theory related to the Schrödinger operator \( \mathcal{L} \). Some results concerning this operator in a more general context can be found in [13] and [16].

In the rest of this section we present some facts about the critical radius function. Section 2 is devoted to present the classes of weights involved in this work and some properties of them that will be useful. In Section 3 we present some properties of \( \text{BMO}_\rho \) spaces that will be used later. In Section 4 and Section 5 we state and prove the main results of this work finding the behavior of maximal operators we have already talked about, and finally we present some applications to the context of the Schrödinger operator in Section 6.

**Remark 1.1.** Inequality (1.1) implies that if \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( x, y \in \sigma Q \), where \( Q \) is a critical ball, then \( \rho(x) \simeq \rho(y) \), with a constant that depends on \( \sigma \). More precisely, from (1.1) and the fact that both belong to \( \sigma Q \), we have

\[
\rho(x) \leq c_\sigma \rho(y),
\]

where \( c_\sigma = c_\rho^2 (1 + \sigma) \frac{N_0^2 + 2N_0}{N_0 + 1} \), and \( c_\rho \) is the constant appearing in (1.1). If we change the role of \( x \) and \( y \) we obtain \( \rho(x) \simeq \rho(y) \).

As a consequence of (1.1), we have the following result, which can be found in [9], presenting a useful covering of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) by critical balls.

**Proposition 1.2.** There exists a sequence of points \( x_j, j \geq 1, \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), so that the family \( Q_j = B(x_j, \rho(x_j)) \), \( j \geq 1, \) satisfies

i) \( \cup_j Q_j = \mathbb{R}^d. \)

ii) For every \( \sigma \geq 1 \) there exist constants \( C \) and \( N_1 \) such that \( \sum_j \chi_{\sigma Q_j} \leq C \sigma^{N_1} \).

Given a ball \( B \) we shall also need a particular covering by critical balls with centers inside \( B \) as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let \( B = B(x_0, r) \) with \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r \geq \rho(x_0) \). There exists a set of points \( \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset B \) such that \( B \subset \cup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_{B(x_i, \rho(x_i))} \leq C \), where \( C \) depends only on the constants in (1.1).

**Proof.** Consider the family of sets

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ S \subset B : B(x, \gamma \rho(x)) \cap B(y, \gamma \rho(y)) = \emptyset, \ \forall x, y \in S, \ x \neq y \},
\]

with a constant \( \gamma < 1/(c_1^2 + 1) \), where \( c_1 \) is the constant in (1.2). It is clear that \( \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset \) since \( \{x_0\} \in \mathcal{F} \). Observe that if \( \mathcal{C} \) is a chain in \( \mathcal{F} \) endowed with the order of inclusion, then \( V = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{C}} S \) is an upper bound of \( \mathcal{C} \). Therefore, there exists a maximal element \( S_{\text{max}} \) in \( \mathcal{F} \). The set \( S_{\text{max}} \) must be finite. In fact, due to (1.1),

\[
\rho(x) \geq c_0^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-N_0} \rho(x_0) = \delta_0 > 0,
\]

for all \( x \in B \), and thus there are no more than \( N \) balls in \( S_{\text{max}} \) with \( N \geq \left( \frac{r + \gamma \delta_0}{\gamma \delta_0} \right)^d \).

Denote \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N \) the elements of \( S_{\text{max}} \). We shall see that \( B \subset \cup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \) and the overlapping of the balls \( B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is finite.
Suppose there exists \( y \in B \) such that \( y \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \), which means \( |y - x_i| > \rho(x_i) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Now let us see that \( B(y, \gamma \rho(y)) \cap B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i)) \) is empty. In fact, suppose \( z \in B(y, \gamma \rho(y)) \cap B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i)) \), then \( |y - x_i| \leq |y - z| + |z - x_i| \leq \gamma (\rho(y) + \rho(x_i)) \leq \gamma (c_2^2 + 1) \rho(x_i) \), which is a contradiction by the choice of \( \gamma \). So \( S_{\text{max}} \cup \{ y \} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{F} \) and this means the contradiction that \( S_{\text{max}} \) is not a maximal element of \( \mathcal{F} \).

Now we see that the overlapping \( \{ B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \}_{i=1}^{N} \) is finite and depends only on the constants in (1.1).

Suppose that \( m \) is such that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} B(x_i, \rho(x_i)) \neq \emptyset \) for some points \( x_i \in S \) with \( S \in \mathcal{F} \). Since \( \frac{1}{A} \rho(x_1) \leq \rho(x_i) \leq C \rho(x_1) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), with \( C = c_2^2 2^{\frac{N^2}{N^2 + 2N_0}} \) (see inequality (1.2)), we have

\[
 \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i)) \subset B(x_1, 3C \rho(x_1)).
\]

Now we use the fact that the balls \( \{ B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i)) \}_{i=1}^{m} \) are disjoint to conclude

\[
 m \left[ \frac{\gamma \rho(x_1)}{C} \right]^d \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i))| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} B(x_i, \gamma \rho(x_i))| \leq |B(x_1, 3C \rho(x_1))| = [3C \rho(x_1)]^d,
\]

thus \( m \leq \frac{3^d C_2^2 d}{N^d} \). \( \square \)

2. Weights

Following [5], for \( 1 < p < \infty \), we say that a weight \( w \) belongs to the class \( A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} \) if there exists a constant \( C \) such that

\[
 \left( \int_B w \right) \left( \int_B w^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq C |B|^p,
\]

for every ball \( B = B(x, r) \) with \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r \leq \rho(x) \).

In the case \( p = 1 \) we define the class \( A_{1, \text{loc}}^{\rho} \) as those weights \( w \) satisfying

\[
 w(B) \sup_B w^{-1} \leq C |B|,
\]

for every ball \( B = B(x, r) \) with \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r \leq \rho(x) \), for some constant \( C \) independent of \( B \). We denote \( A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} = \cup_{p \geq 1} A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} \).

In the rest of this section we will state and prove some facts about weights in the classes defined above which will be useful in what follows and are of interest by themselves.

**Proposition 2.1** (See [5] Corollary 1). If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( \rho > 1 \), then \( A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} = A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} \).

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( w \in A_{p, \text{loc}}^{\rho} \) and \( B = B(x, r) \), with \( p \geq 1 \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r \leq c \rho(x) \) for some constant \( c > 1 \), then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for every measurable
subset $E \subset B$, the following inequality holds:
\[
w(B) \leq C w(E) \left( \frac{|B|}{|E|} \right)^{p}.
\]

**Proof.** In the case $p = 1$, since $w \in A_{1}^{\rho, \text{loc}} = A_{1}^{c_{\rho}, \text{loc}}$ (see Proposition 2.1) and $E \subset B$, we have for some constant $C$,
\[
w(B) \leq C |B| \inf_{x \in B} w(x) \leq C |B| \inf_{x \in E} w(x) \leq C_{1} w(E) \frac{|B|}{|E|}.
\]

For the case $p > 1$, using the condition $w \in A_{c_{\rho}}^{p, \text{loc}}$ and Hölder’s inequality we get, for some constant $C$,
\[
w(B) \leq C |B|^{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^{-1/(p-1)}(B)} \right)^{p-1} \leq C w(E) \left( \frac{|B|}{|E|} \right)^{p}.
\]

**Remark 2.3.** The constant $C$ in (2.3) is the constant appearing in (2.1) (or (2.2) when $p = 1$) for the critical radius function $c_{\rho}$ instead of $\rho$.

Given $\theta \geq 0$ and $p > 1$ we introduce the class $A_{p}^{\rho, \theta}$ as those weights $w$ such that
\[
\left( \int_{B} w \right) \left( \int_{B} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq C |B| \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)} \right)^{p\theta},
\]
for every ball $B = B(x, r)$. For $p = 1$ we define $A_{1}^{\rho, \theta}$ as the set of weights $w$ such that
\[
\int_{B} w \leq C |B| \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\theta} \inf_{x \in B} w
\]
holds for all balls $B = B(x, r)$. We denote $A_{p}^{\rho} = \cup_{\theta \geq 0} A_{p}^{\rho, \theta}$.

**Remark 2.4.** It follows easily from their definitions that $w \in A_{p}^{\rho, \theta}$ implies $w \in A_{p}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$, for every $\theta \geq 0$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $w \in A_{p}^{\rho, \theta}$, with $p \geq 1$, $\theta > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $r \leq R$. Then there exists a constant $C$ such that
\[
w(B(x, R)) \leq C w(B(x, r)) \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{dp} \left( 1 + \frac{R}{\rho(x)} \right)^{p\theta}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof follows the same lines as that of Lemma 2.2, with the corresponding modifications. \[ \Box \]

### 3. Weighted BMO Type Spaces

Let $\beta \geq 0$, a weight $w$, $f \in L_{1}^{\text{loc}}$ and call $f_{B} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f$. Following [3] we say that $f$ belongs to the space $\text{BMO}_{p}^{\beta}(w)$ if
\[
\int_{B} |f - f_{B}| \leq C w(B) |B|^{\beta/d}, \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}, \tag{3.1}
\]
and
\[
\int_{B} |f| \leq C w(B) |B|^{\beta/d}, \quad \text{for all } B \notin \mathcal{B}_{\rho} \tag{3.2}
\]
where $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ is the family of sub-critical balls defined in the Introduction.

We can give a norm in $\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)$ as the smallest constant that satisfies (3.1) and (3.2), and we denote it by $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)}$. It is not difficult to see that $\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \subset \text{BMO}^\beta(w)$, where $\text{BMO}^\beta(w)$ is the Lipschitz space appearing in [11] in the classical context. On the other hand, if $Q$ is a fixed ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we call $\text{BMO}_\beta^\rho(w)$ the space of locally integrable functions on $Q$ that satisfy condition (3.1) for all balls $B \subset Q$.

From its definition, it is easy to see that $\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \subset \text{BMO}_\beta^\rho(w) \subset \text{BMO}^\rho_\gamma(w)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho_\gamma(w)} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)}.$$  \hfill (3.3)

**Proposition 3.1.** If $w \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}$ and $\beta \geq 0$ then $\text{BMO}^\rho_\gamma(w) = \text{BMO}^\rho_\gamma(w)$ for all $\gamma > 0$, with equivalent norms.

**Proof.** If $\gamma > 0$, let us observe that $\gamma \rho$ is also a critical radius function. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $\gamma > 1$ (otherwise, we can start with $\gamma \rho$ and then we multiply by $1/\gamma > 1$).

Let us start with the inclusion $\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w) \subset \text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)$. Given $f \in \text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)$, we know that $f \in \text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)$ and also from (3.3) we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f - f_B| \leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^\beta/d, \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}_\rho \subset \mathcal{B}_\gamma$, if $B \notin \mathcal{B}_\gamma$ then $B \notin \mathcal{B}_\rho$, and therefore

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f| \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^\beta/d.$$

Thus, $f \in \text{BMO}^\beta_\gamma(w)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)}.$$

Now, we will see the inclusion $\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w) \subset \text{BMO}^\beta_\gamma(w)$. Let $f \in \text{BMO}^\beta_\gamma(w)$. From the fact that $\mathcal{B}_\rho \subset \mathcal{B}_\gamma$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f - f_B| \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^\beta/d, \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho.$$

Therefore, it remains to see

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \, dx \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^\beta/d, \quad \text{for all } B \notin \mathcal{B}_\rho.$$

If $B = B(x,r) \notin \mathcal{B}_\gamma$, there is nothing to prove. On the other hand, if $B \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma$ and $B \notin \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we have $\rho(x) \leq r < \gamma \rho(x)$. Since $w \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}$, there must exist $p \geq 1$
such that \( w \in A^\rho_{p,\text{loc}} \). Therefore, from Lemma 2.2 we get for some constant \( C \),

\[
\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f(x)| \, dx \leq \frac{w(B(x, \gamma \rho(x)))}{w(B)} \frac{1}{w(B(x, \gamma \rho(x)))} \int_{B(x, \gamma \rho(x))} |f(x)| \, dx
\]

\[
\leq C \frac{|B(x, \gamma \rho(x))|^p}{|B|^p} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^a_{\rho}(w)} |B(x, \gamma \rho(x))|^{\beta/d}
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \frac{|B(x, \gamma \rho(x))|}{|B|} \right)^{p+\beta/d} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^a_{\rho}(w)} |B|^{\beta/p}
\]

\[
\leq C \gamma^{\beta+d/p} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^a_{\rho}(w)} |B|^{\beta/p},
\]

and the proof is finished. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( w \in A^\rho_{p,\text{loc}} \), for some \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \). If

\[
A = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{w(B(x, \rho(x)))} |B(x, \rho(x))|^{\beta/d} \int_{B(x, \rho(x))} |f| < \infty,
\]

then there exists a constant \( C \) such that

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \geq \rho(x)} \frac{1}{w(B(x, r))} |B(x, r)|^{\beta/d} \int_{B(x, r)} |f| < CA.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose (3.4) holds and consider a ball \( B = B(x, r), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( r \geq \rho(x) \).

In the case that there exists \( y \in B \) such that \( \rho(y) > 2r \) then \( B \subset B(y, \rho(y)) \).

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2

\[
\frac{1}{w(B)} |B|^{\beta/d} \int_B |f| \]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{|B(y, \rho(y))|}{|B|} \right)^{\beta+1} \frac{1}{w(B(y, \rho(y)))} |B(y, \rho(y))|^{\beta/d} \int_{B(y, \rho(y))} |f|
\]

\[
\leq A \left( \frac{\rho(y)}{r} \right)^{\beta+d}
\]

\[
\leq A \left( \frac{\rho(y)}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\beta+d}.
\]

Since \( x \in B(y, \rho(y)) \), \( \rho(y) \approx \rho(x) \) and thus the last quantity is constant.

Suppose now that for all \( y \in B, \rho(y) \leq 2r \). From Lemma 1.3 there exist \( N \) balls \( B_i = B(x_i, \rho(x_i)), i = 1, \ldots, N \), such that \( B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^N X_{B(x_i, \rho(x_i))} \leq C \),

where \( N \) and \( C \) depend only on the constants in (1.1) and the dimension \( d \). Now for each \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) consider the ball \( P_i = B(z_i, \rho(x_i)/4) \), with \( z_i = \frac{\rho(x_i)}{4|x-x_i|} (x-x_i) + x_i \), that satisfies \( P_i \subset B \cap B_i \) and \( |B_i|/|P_i| = 4^d \).
Therefore,
\[
\int_B |f| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{B_i} |f| \leq A \sum_{i=1}^N w(B_i)|B_i|^\beta/d = A \sum_{i=1}^N w(P_i)w(B_i)|B_i|^\beta/d
\]
\[
\leq AC4^{d+p} \sum_{i=1}^N w(P_i)|P_i|^\beta/d \leq C|B|^\beta/d w(\bigcup_{i=1}^N P_i) \leq C|B|^\beta/d w(B),
\]
where \(C\) is the constant of Lemma 2.2 and we also have used the bounded overlapping property of the balls \(B_i\) (see Lemma 1.3).

Following the previous proof, Corollary 1 in \cite{3} may be improved. Actually, instead of \(w \in A_p^{\rho,\text{loc}}\) we only need to ask for a doubling condition for the weight \(w\) on sub-critical balls.

The following result was proved in \cite{4} for \(w\) in the Muckenhoupt class \(A_p\). Here we shall prove an extension of that result for \(w \in A_p^{\rho,\text{loc}}\).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(0 \leq \beta < 1\), \(w \in A_p^{\rho,\text{loc}}\), \(1 < s \leq p'\), and \(f \in \text{BMO}^\beta(w)\). Then,
\[
\left( \int_B |f|^s w^{1-s} \right)^{1/s} \lesssim w(B)^{1/s} |B|^\beta/d \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)}, \tag{3.5}
\]
for every ball \(B = B(x,r)\) with \(r \geq \rho(x)\), and
\[
\left( \int_B |f - f_B|^s w^{1-s} \right)^{1/s} \lesssim w(B)^{1/s} |B|^\beta/d \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)}, \tag{3.6}
\]
for every ball \(B = B(x,r)\) with \(r \leq \rho(x)\).

**Proof.** First, we will prove that (3.6) holds. Let us consider the covering \(\{Q_k\}\) of critical balls given by Proposition 1.2 and a ball \(B = B(x,r)\) with \(r \leq \rho(x)\). Then, there exists \(Q_k\) such that \(x \in Q_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))\), and by (1.2) we have \(B \subset Q_k = B(x_k, C \rho(x_k))\) for a constant \(C\) independent of \(x\) and \(r\). If we have a cube \(Q\) and we call \(\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)\) the space of functions \(f\) such that
\[
\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)} = \sup_{B \subset Q} \frac{1}{|B|^{\beta/d}} \left( \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f - f_B|^s w^{1-s} \right)^{1/s} < \infty, \tag{3.7}
\]
and \(\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)\) the space of functions when the supremum (3.7) is considered for all balls \(B \subset \mathbb{R}^d\), according to \cite{4}, it follows that \(\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w) \equiv \text{BMO}^\beta(w)\) with \(\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)} \cong \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)}\), and also \(\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w) \subset \text{BMO}^\beta_Q(w)\) with
\[
\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_Q,^s(w)} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)}.
\]
Therefore, since \(B \subset Q_k\), we get
\[
\frac{1}{|B|^{\beta/d}} \left( \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |f - f_B|^s w^{1-s} \right)^{1/s} \leq \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta,^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)},
\]
and thus (3.6) is a consequence of inequality (3.3).
From Proposition 3.2 it is enough to check (3.5) over a critical ball \( \tilde{B} = B(x, \rho(x)) \) with \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Observe that
\[
\left( \int_{\tilde{B}} |f|^s w^{-1-s} \right)^{1/s} \lesssim \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} |f - f_{\tilde{B}}|^s w^{-1-s} \right)^{1/s} + |f_{\tilde{B}}| (w^{-1-s}(\tilde{B}))^{1/s}.
\]
The first term of the right side is bounded following the same argument as before.
For the second term, observe that \( w^{-1-s} \in A^s_{\rho, \text{loc}} \), since \( w \in A^p_{\rho, \text{loc}} \) and \( p \leq s' \). Then
\[
(w^{-1-s}(\tilde{B}))^{1/s} |f_{\tilde{B}}| \lesssim \frac{|\tilde{B}|}{w^{1/s'}(\tilde{B})} |f_{\tilde{B}}| = \frac{1}{w^{1/s'}(\tilde{B})} \int_{\tilde{B}} |f| \lesssim \frac{w(\tilde{B})}{w^{1/s'}(\tilde{B})} |\tilde{B}|^{\beta/d} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)} = w^{1/s}(\tilde{B}) |\tilde{B}|^{\beta/d} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta(w)}. \quad \square
\]

4. The localized maximal operator associated to \( \rho \)

In [5] (see Theorem 1 therein) the behavior of \( M_\rho \) is studied, and it is proved that \( M_\rho \) is bounded on weighted Lebesgue spaces for localized weights, as is stated in the following theorem.

**Proposition 4.1.** The operator \( M_\rho \) is bounded on \( L^p(w) \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), for \( w \in A^p_\rho, \text{loc} \), and it is of weak type \((1,1)\) for \( w \in A^1_\rho, \text{loc} \).

Now we present one of the main results of this work, which tells us about the behavior of \( M_\rho \) in the extreme \( \text{BMO}_\rho(w) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( w \in A^1_\rho, \text{loc} \). There exists a constant \( C \) such that
\[
\|M_\rho f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)},
\]
for every \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho(w) \).

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho(w) \). We start by proving condition (3.1) for \( M_\rho f \). For \( B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho \), with \( B = B(x_0, r) \), as it is well known it shall be enough to see
\[
\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |M_\rho f(x) - c| \, dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)},
\]
for some constant \( c \) that depends only on \( f \) and \( B \). Before starting, observe that if \( z \in B \) is given and \( P \) is a ball such that \( z \in P \) and \( P \in \mathcal{B}_\rho \), it follows from (1.1) that \( P \subset \tilde{B} = B(x_0, c_0 \rho(x_0)) \), with \( c_0 = 1 + c^2_\rho 2^{N^0 + 1 + \frac{N^0}{N^0 + 1}} \). Therefore, for \( x \in B \), we have
\[
M_\rho f(x) = M_\rho (f \chi_{\tilde{B}})(x). \quad (4.1)
\]

On the other hand, there exist a constant \( \tilde{C} \) and a ball \( Q_0 = B(y_0, \rho(y_0)) \) of the covering given by Proposition 1.2 such that \( x_0 \in Q_0 \) and \( \tilde{B} \subset Q_0 = \tilde{C} Q_0 \), with \( \tilde{C} = 1 + c^2_\rho 2^{N^0 + 1} c_0 \).

Therefore, for \( x \in B \),
\[
M_\rho f(x) \leq M_{\tilde{C} Q_0} f(x),
\]

where the maximal operator $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}$ is defined as
\[
M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f(x) = \sup_{x \in B \subset \tilde{Q}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f|.
\]
Thus, for any constant $c$,
\[
\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |M_\rho f(x) - c| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |M_\rho f(x) - M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f(x)| \, dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f(x) - c| \, dx = I + II.
\]
Since for every $x \in B$ we have
\[
M_\rho f(x) \leq M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f(x) \leq M_\rho f(x) + \tilde{M}_\rho f(x),
\]
where
\[
\tilde{M}_\rho f(x) = \sup_{x \in P \subset \tilde{Q}_0} \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f(y)| \, dy,
\]
we get
\[
I \leq \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B \tilde{M}_\rho f(x) \, dx.
\]
It is not difficult to deduce from \[1.1\] that if $P = B(x_P, r_P)$, such that $P \subset \tilde{Q}_0$ and $P \notin B_\rho$, then $r_P \approx \rho(y_0)$. In fact, $r_P \leq \tilde{C}\rho(y_0)$ and also, $r_P \geq \rho(x_P)$ and $\rho(x_P) \approx \rho(y_0)$ (since $x_P \in \tilde{Q}_0 = B(y_0, \tilde{C}\rho(y_0))$). Therefore, for every $x \in B$ we obtain
\[
\tilde{M}_\rho f(x) \leq C \frac{1}{|Q_0|} \int_{Q_0} |f(y)| \, dy \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_\rho(w)} \frac{w(Q_0)}{|Q_0|}.
\]
Thus,
\[
I \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_\rho(w)} \frac{|B|}{w(B)} \frac{w(Q_0)}{|Q_0|}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)
As $w \in A^{\rho,loc}_1$, from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $B \subset \tilde{Q}_0$ we have
\[
w(Q_0) \leq C(w) \frac{|Q_0|}{|B|} w(B).
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)
With (4.2) and (4.3) we can conclude that $I \lesssim \|f\|_{BMO_\rho(w)}$.

In order to deal with $II$, we will use the local boundedness of $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f$ on $BMO(\tilde{Q}_0)$, a result that appears in \[6\] Theorem 2.3. Since $f \in BMO(w)$, we have $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f < \infty$ almost everywhere. On the other hand, since $w \in A^{\rho,loc}_1$ from Lemma 2.1 it follows that $w \in A^{\tilde{C}\rho,loc}_1$, and thus there exists a constant $C$ depending on $w$ such that
\[
w(B) \leq C|B| \inf_B w,
\]
whenever $B = B(x, r)$ with $r \leq \tilde{C}\rho(x)$. It is clear then that $w \in A_1(\tilde{Q}_0)$. Therefore, if we choose $c = (M_{\tilde{Q}_0}f)_B$, by using \[6\] Theorem 2.3] applied to the ball $\tilde{Q}_0$, we obtain
\[
II \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\tilde{Q}_0}(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_\rho(w)}.
\]
Now we are going to prove (3.2) for $M_{\rho}f$. From Proposition 3.2, it is enough to check the condition over a critical ball $B_0 = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \chi_{B_0^*}$, with $B_0^* = B(x_0, \alpha \rho(x_0))$ and $\alpha = 2^{2N_0} c_\rho^2 + 2$.

We first consider $M_{\rho}f_1$. By Hölder’s inequality

$$
\frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_{\rho}f_1(x)| \, dx = \frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_{\rho}f_1(x)| w^{-1/2}(x) w^{1/2}(x) \, dx \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_{\rho}f_1(x)|^2 w^{-1}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
$$

(4.4)

Since $w \in A_{2, \text{loc}}^0 \subset A_{2, \text{loc}}^*$ it follows that $w^{-1} \in A_{2, \text{loc}}^0$. From [5, Theorem 1], we know that $M_{\rho}$ is bounded on $L^2(v)$ with $v = w^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_{\rho}f_1(x)| \, dx \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{R^d} |f_1(x)|^2 w^{-1}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\
= \left( \frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0^*} |f(x)|^2 w^{-1}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Since $|B_0^*| = \alpha^d |B_0|$, by Lemma 2.2 we have $w(B_0^*) \leq C w(B_0)$ and then

$$
\frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_{\rho}f_1(x)| \, dx \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{w(B_0^*)} \int_{B_0^*} |f(x)|^2 w^{-1}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
$$

In this way, considering that $B_0^* \notin B_\rho$ and Lemma 3.3 it follows that the left-hand side of (4.4) is bounded by a constant times $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(v)}$.

Now, for $x \in B_0$ we will deal with $M_{\rho}f_2(x)$. It follows from the definition of $f_2$ that it is enough to take the supremum of the averages over those balls $B \supseteq B_\rho$ such that $x \in B$ and $B \cap (B_0^*)^c \neq \emptyset$. Let $B = (x_B, r_B)$ be one of those balls.

From (1.1), it follows easily that $\rho(x_0) \simeq \rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_B)$. More precisely, we have $\rho(x_B) \leq 2^{2N_0} c_\rho^2 \rho(x_0)$. Then,

$$
|x_0 - x_B| \leq |x_0 - x| + |x - x_B| < \rho(x_0) + r_B \leq \rho(x_0) + \rho(x_B) \leq (2^{2N_0} c_\rho^2 + 1) \rho(x_0).
$$

On the other hand, since $B \cap (B_0^*)^c \neq \emptyset$, there exists a point $z$ such that $z \in B$ and $z \notin B_0^*$; then

$$
r_B \geq |z - x_B| \geq |z - x_0| - |x_0 - x_B| \geq \alpha \rho(x_0) - (2^{2N_0} c_\rho^2 + 1) \rho(x_0) = \rho(x_0).
$$

If we denote $B_0^{**} = 2B_0^*$, it is clear that $B_0^* \subset B_0^{**}$. Moreover, $B \subset B_0^{**}$. In fact, given $y \in B$, it follows that

$$
|y - x_0| \leq |y - x_B| + |x_B - x_0| \\
\leq \rho(x_B) + (2^{2N_0} c_\rho^2 + 1) \rho(x_0) \\
\leq (2^{2N_0 + 1} c_\rho^2 + 1) \rho(x_0) \\
< 2\alpha \rho(x_0).
$$
Therefore, for all \( x \in B_0 \) we have

\[
M_\rho f_2(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in B_\rho \cap \mathcal{B}_0^\rho \neq \emptyset} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| \, dy 
\leq C \int_{B_0^\star} |f(y)| \, dy
\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)} \frac{|B_0^\star|}{|B_0^\star|} w(\rho(B_0^\star)) |B_0^\star|.
\]

(4.5)

From the bound of \( M_\rho f_2(x) \), for every \( x \in B_0 \) given by (4.5) and Lemma 2.2 we get

\[
\frac{1}{w(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |M_\rho f_2(x)| \, dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)} \frac{|B_0^\star|}{w(B_0)} \frac{w(B_0^\star)}{|B_0^\star|} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho(w)},
\]

and this completes the proof. \( \square \)

5. The maximal operator of a family of operators

Let \( \{T_t\}_{t>0} \) be a family of bounded integral operators on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with integrable kernels \( \{T_t(x,y)\}_{t>0} \). Suppose also that there exist constants \( C, \gamma, \gamma', \delta, \sigma, \sigma' \) and \( \epsilon \) such that for all \( t > 0 \) and \( x, x_0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( |x - x_0| \leq t/2 \) and \( \rho(x_0) \simeq \rho(x) \) the following inequalities hold:

\[
|T_t(x,y)| \leq C \frac{1}{t^d + |x - y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^\gamma \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^\sigma,
\]

(5.1)

\[
|T_t(x,y) - T_t(x_0,y)| \leq C \frac{1}{t^d + |x - y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^\gamma' \left( \frac{|x - x_0|}{t} \right)^\delta \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'},
\]

(5.2)

and

\[
|1 - T_t(1)(x)| \leq C \left( \frac{t}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^\epsilon.
\]

(5.3)

For that family of operators we define the maximal operator \( T^* = \sup_{t>0} |T_t| \).

We present the following technical lemmas that will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.3:

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( B = B(x_0, r) \) with \( r < \rho(x_0) \) and \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \) with \( w \in A_p^\theta \), where \( \beta > 0, p > 1, \) and \( \theta > 0 \). Then

\[
|f_B| \leq 2^n C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \frac{w(B)}{|B|} |B|^{\beta/d} \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{d(p-1) + \beta},
\]

where \( n = p(d + 2\theta) + \beta + 1 \) and \( C \) is the constant appearing in (2.4).
Proof. Let \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \) and \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( 2^{j_0-1}r < \rho(x) \leq 2^{j_0}r \). Then

\[
|f_B| \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f - f_B| + \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} |f_{2^j - 1} - f_{2^j}| + |f_{2^{j_0} - 1}|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f - f_B| + \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} \frac{2^d}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f - f_{2^j}| + \frac{2^d}{|2^{j_0} B|} \int_{2^{j_0} B} |f|
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} \frac{2^d}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f - f_{2^j}| + \frac{2^d}{|2^{j_0} B|} \int_{2^{j_0} B} |f|
\]

\[
\leq 2^d\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \frac{w(2^j B)}{|2^j B|} |2^j B|^\beta/d,
\]

where in the last inequality we have used (3.1) and (3.2) since \( 2^{j_0-1}r < \rho(x) \leq 2^{j_0}r \).

From Lemma 2.5, we get

\[
|f_B| \leq 2^{d + 2p\theta} C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^{\beta/d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{j(p d - d + \beta)}
\]

\[
\leq 2^{d + 2p\theta + 1} 2^{j_0(d(p-1)+\beta)} C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B|^{\beta/d-1}
\]

\[
\leq 2^{p(d+2\theta)+\beta+1} C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \frac{w(B)}{|B|} |B|^{\beta/d} \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{(d(p-1)+\beta)}. \quad \Box
\]

Lemma 5.2. Let \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( 0 < r < R \), and \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \) with \( w \in A_{p,\theta}^\rho \), where \( p > 1 \), \( \beta > 0 \), and \( \theta > 0 \). Then

\[
\int_{B(z, R)} |f - f_{B(z, r)}| \lesssim C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} (B(z, r)) |B(z, r)|^{\beta/d} \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{pd+\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{R}{\rho(z)} \right)^{\rho^\theta},
\]

where \( C \) is the constant appearing in (2.4).
Proof. Let \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( 2^{j_0-1}r < R \leq 2^{j_0}r \). For simplicity, let us denote \( B_t = B(z,t) \) for any \( t > 0 \).

\[
\int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}| \leq \int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}| + |B_r| \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} |f_{B_{R/2^j}} - f_{B_{R/2^{j+1}}}| + |B_r||f_{B_{R/2^{j_0}}} - f_{B_r}|
\]

\[
\leq \int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}| + \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} 2^{d(j+1)} \int_{B_{R/2^j}} |f - f_{B_{R/2^j}}| + 2^{j_0d} \int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}|
\]

\[
\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} 2^{d(j+1)} \int_{B_{R/2^j}} |f - f_{B_{R/2^j}}| + 2^{j_0d} \int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}|
\]

\[
\leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} 2^{d(j+1)} |B_{R/2^j}|^{\beta/d} w(B_{R/2^j})
\]

\[
+ 2^{j_0d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B_r|^{\beta/d} w(B_r).
\]

Again, applying Lemma 2.5 we obtain

\[
\int_{B_r} |f - f_{B_r}| \leq 2C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} 2^{d(j+1)} |B_{R/2^j}|^{\beta/d} w(B_{R/2^j}) \left( \frac{R/2^j}{r} \right)^{dp} \left( 1 + \frac{R/2^j}{\rho(z)} \right)^p
\]

\[
+ 2^d \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^d \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} |B_r|^{\beta/d} w(B_r)
\]

\[
\leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(B_r) |B_r|^{\beta/d} \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{dp+\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{R}{\rho(z)} \right)^p
\]

\[
\times \left( 2^{d+1} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d(p-1)+\beta)} + 2^d \right)
\]

\[
\leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(B_r) |B_r|^{\beta/d} \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{dp+\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{R}{\rho(z)} \right)^p .
\]

Now we state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( w \in A_p^\rho, \theta \) with \( p > 1 \) and \( \theta \geq 0 \). If \( \beta \geq 0 \) and \( \{T_t\}_{t>0} \) is a family of operators satisfying [5.1], [5.2], and (5.3) with \( \sigma, \gamma, \gamma' \geq \beta + p\theta + d(p-1), \sigma' > p\theta, \) and \( \frac{\epsilon}{\beta + \epsilon} \geq d(p-1) + \beta, \) then there exists a constant \( C \) such that

\[
\|T^*_f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)},
\]

for every \( f \in \text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) \).
Proof. Let \( f \in \text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w) \). We start by proving that condition (3.4) is satisfied by \( T^* f \). To this end, we shall use the hypothesis on the exponents \( \sigma \geq \beta + p\theta + d(p - 1) \) and \( \gamma > \beta + p\theta + d(p + 1) \).

If \( B_0 = B(x_0, \rho(x_0)) \) then
\[
\int_{B_0} \sup_{t > 0} |T_t f(x)| \, dx \leq \int_{B_0} \sup_{t \geq \rho(x)} |T_t f(x)| \, dx + \int_{B_0} \sup_{t < \rho(x)} |T_t f(x) - T_t^0 f(x)| \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_{B_0} \sup_{t < \rho(x)} |T_t^0 f(x)| \, dx = I + II + III,
\]
where, for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
T_t^0 f(x) = \int_{|x - y| < \rho(x)} T_t(x, y) f(y) \, dy.
\]

Let us start with III. If \( x \in B_0 \) and \( 0 < t < \rho(x) \), then
\[
|T_t^0 f(x)| \leq \int_{|x - y| < t} |T_t(x, y)| |f(y)| \, dy + \int_{t < |x - y| < \rho(x)} |T_t(x, y)| |f(y)| \, dy. \tag{5.4}
\]

From (5.1) and the definition of \( M_\rho \) it follows easily that
\[
\int_{|x - y| < t} |T_t(x, y)| |f(y)| \, dy \lesssim \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{|x - y| < t} |f(y)| \, dy \lesssim M_\rho f(x).
\]

For the second term of (5.4), if \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) is such that \( 2^{k_0} t \leq \rho(x) < 2^{k_0 + 1} t \) and we call \( B_k = B(x, 2^k t) \), we get
\[
\int_{t < |x - y| < \rho(x)} |T_t(x, y)| |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim t^\gamma \int_{t < |x - y| < \rho(x)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x - y|^{d + \gamma}} \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim t^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} \int_{B_{k+1} \setminus B_k} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x - y|^{d + \gamma}} \, dy
\]
\[
+ t^\gamma \int_{2^{k_0} t < |x - y| < \rho(x)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x - y|^{d + \gamma}} \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \frac{2^{-k\gamma}}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{2^{k_0} t} \right)^d \frac{2^{-k_0\gamma}}{|B(x, \rho(x))|} \int_{B(x, \rho(x))} |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim M_\rho f(x) \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} 2^{-k\gamma}.
\]

In this way, we have \( \sup_{t < \rho(x)} |T_t^0 f(x)| \) uniformly bounded in \( B_0 \) by a constant times \( M_\rho f(x) \). Since \( w \in A_p^\beta_\rho \), by Remark 2.4 it also belongs to \( A_p^{\beta, \text{loc}} \). Now, if
1 < s < p', it follows that $w \in A^{p,\text{loc}}_\rho$ and then the operator $M_\rho$ is bounded on $L^p(w^{1-s})$ (see Proposition 4.1). Therefore, if $\tilde{B}_0 = c_0 B_0$ with $c_0$ as in (4.1), from Hölder’s inequality, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\text{III} \lesssim \int_{B_0} M_\rho f(x) \, dx = \int_{B_0} M_\rho (f \chi_{\tilde{B}_0})(x) \, dx$$
$$\leq \left( \int_{B_0} M_\rho (f \chi_{\tilde{B}_0})^s w^{1-s} \, dx \right)^{1/s} w(B_0)^{1/s'}$$
$$\lesssim w(\tilde{B}_0)|\tilde{B}_0|^{\beta/d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)}$$
$$\lesssim w(B_0)|B_0|^{\beta/d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)}.$$

Now, we deal with I. Consider $x \in B_0$ and $t \geq \rho(x)$. Then,

$$|T_t f(x)| \leq \int_{|x-y| < t} |T_t(x,y)||f(y)| \, dy + \int_{|x-y| \geq t} |T_t(x,y)||f(y)| \, dy.$$

Bearing in mind that $B(x,t) \notin B_\rho$ and $B(x,\rho(x)) \subset B(x_0,c_1\rho(x_0))$ (with $c_1 = 1 + c_\rho 2^{N_0+m}$), from (5.1), Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\int_{|x-y| < t} |T_t(x,y)||f(y)| \, dy$$
$$\lesssim \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t} \right)^\sigma \left( \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{|x-y| < t} |f(y)| \, dy \right)$$
$$\lesssim \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t} \right)^\sigma \frac{w(B(x,t))}{|B(x,t)|} |B(x,t)|^{\beta/d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)},$$
$$\lesssim \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t} \right)^{\alpha-\beta-p\theta-d(p-1)} w(B(x,\rho(x))) \rho(x)^{\beta-d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)}$$
$$\lesssim w(B(x_0,c_1\rho(x_0))) \rho(x)^{\beta-d}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)},$$

where in the last inequality we have used the hypothesis $\sigma \geq \beta + p\theta + d(p-1)$.

Now, from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_0)$ (see Remark 1.1), we obtain that the last expression is bounded by $w(B_0)|B_0|^{\beta/d-1}\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\rho(w)}$.  

On the other hand, if we denote $B_k = B(x, 2^k t)$, then $B_k \notin B_\rho$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, from (5.1) and the definition of BMO$^\beta_\rho(w)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{|x-y| \geq t} |T_t(x, y)||f(y)| \, dy \\
\lesssim (\frac{\rho(x)}{t})^\sigma \int_{|x-y| > t} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{|x-y|} \right)^\gamma |f(y)| \, dy \\
\lesssim (\frac{\rho(x)}{t})^\sigma \sum_{k \geq 1} t^\gamma \int_{|x-y| \geq 2^k t} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+\gamma}} \, dy \\
\lesssim (\frac{\rho(x)}{t})^\sigma \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} \int_{B_k} |f(y)| \, dy \\
\lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)}(\frac{\rho(x)}{t})^\sigma \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} w(B_k)|B_k|^{\beta/d-1}.
$$

Moreover, taking into account that $\rho(x_0) \leq c_\rho 2^{N_0} \rho(x)$ and $2^k t \geq \rho(x)$, it follows that $B_k \subset B(x_0, c_2 2^k t)$ with $c_2 = 1 + 2^{N_0} c_\rho$. Then, applying Lemma 2.5 we get

$$
\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t}\right)^\sigma \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} w(B_k)|B_k|^{\beta/d-1} \\
\lesssim \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t}\right)^\sigma \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} w(B(x_0, c_2 2^k t))|B_k|^{\beta/d-1} \\
\lesssim \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t}\right)^\sigma w(B_0) \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} \left(\frac{2^k t c_2}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{dp} \left(1 + \frac{c_2 2^k t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} (2^k t)^{\beta-d} \\
\lesssim \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t}\right)^{-\beta-p\theta-d(p-1)} w(B_0) \rho(x_0)^{\beta-d} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k[\gamma-\beta-p\theta-d(p-1)]} \\
\lesssim \frac{w(B_0)}{|B_0|} |B_0|^{\beta/d},
$$

where in the last inequality we have used the hypotheses $\sigma \geq \beta + p\theta + d(p-1)$ and $\gamma > \beta + p\theta + d(p-1)$. Therefore, from (5.5) and (5.6) we get

$$
\int_{|x-y| \geq t} |T_t(x, y)||f(y)| \, dy \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)} \frac{w(B_0)}{|B_0|} |B_0|^{\beta/d},
$$

and thus we have

$$
I \lesssim \int_{B_0} \sup_{t \geq \rho(x)} |T_t f(x)| \, dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)} w(B_0)|B_0|^{\beta/d}.
$$

In order to finish this part, let us see $II$. Observe that

$$
\sup_{t < \rho(x)} |T_t f(x) - T_t^0 f(x)| \leq \sup_{t < \rho(x)} \int_{|x-y| > \rho(x)} |T_t(x, y)||f(y)| \, dy.
$$
If \( t < \rho(x) \) and \( \tilde{B}_k = B(x, 2^k \rho(x)) \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then from (5.1)
\[
\int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)} |T_t(x, y)||f(y)| \, dy \lesssim \int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{|x-y|} \right)^\gamma |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{|x-y|\geq 2\rho(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{|x-y|} \right)^\gamma |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-k\gamma}}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} |f(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\beta^\rho(w)} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k\gamma} w(B_k)|\tilde{B}_k|^{\beta/d-1}.
\]
From here, we can proceed as in (5.5) and (5.6), replacing \( B_k \) and \( t \) by \( \tilde{B}_k \) and \( \rho(x) \), respectively. Therefore,
\[
\int_{|x-y|>\rho(x)} |T_t(x, y)||f(y)| \, dy \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\beta^\rho(w)} \frac{w(B_0)}{|B_0|} |B_0|^{\beta/d},
\]
whenever \( \gamma > \beta + p\theta + d(p-1) \).

Thus
\[
\Pi = \int_{B_0} \sup_{t<\rho(x)} |T_t f(x) - T_0^0 f(x)| \, dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\beta^\rho(w)} w(B_0) |B_0|^{\beta/d},
\]
and this finishes the proof that \( T^* f \) satisfies (3.4) and then condition (3.2) (see Proposition 3.2).

To estimate the oscillation of \( T^* f \) we consider a ball \( B = B(x_0, r) \) with \( 0 < r < \rho(x_0) \). We decompose \( f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \), where \( f_1 = (f-f_B)\chi_{2B} \), \( f_2 = (f-f_B)\chi_{(2B)^c} \), and \( f_3 = f_B \), to deal with each one separately.

We start with \( f_1 \). In this case it is enough to estimate the average \( \sup_{t>0} |T_t f_1| \).

For \( x \in B \), we have
\[
\sup_{t>0} |T_t f_1(x)| \leq \sup_{0<t<r} |T_t f_1(x)| + \sup_{t>r} |T_t f_1(x)|.
\]
If \( t < r \), since \( f_1 \) is supported on \( 2B \) and considering (5.1), it follows that
\[
|T_t f_1(x)| \leq \int_{|x_0-y|<2r} |T_t(x, y)||f_1(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\leq \int_{|x-y|<3r} |T_t(x, y)||f_1(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{|x-y|<t} |f_1(y)| \, dy + t^\gamma \int_{t \leq |x-y|<3r} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+\gamma}} |f_1(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim M_{\rho'} f_1(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} 2^{-j\gamma} \frac{1}{(2t)^d} \int_{|x-y|<2^jt} |f_1(y)| \, dy,
\]
where \( \rho'(x) = 2^{N_0} c_{\rho} \rho(x) \) (see inequality (1.1)) and \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) is such that \( 2^{j_0-1} t < 3r \leq 2^{j_0} t \). In this way, since \( x \in B \), we have \( 2^j t \leq 6\rho(x_0) \leq 6^2 N_0 c_{\rho} \rho(x) \), for all
0 < j ≤ j_0. Now, if we denote \( \tilde{\rho}(x) = 62^{N_0}c_\rho \rho(x) \), the second term is bounded by
a constant times \( M_\rho f_1(x) \). From the fact that \( M_{\rho'} \leq M_\tilde{\rho} \) and applying Hölder’s
inequality with exponent \( s > 1 \), we obtain

\[
\int_B \sup_{t < r} |T_t f_1(x)| \, dx \lesssim \int_B M_\tilde{\rho} f_1(x) \, dx \leq \left( \int_B M_\tilde{\rho} f_1(x)^s w^{1-s} \, dx \right)^{1/s} w(B)^{1/s'}.
\]

As \( A^\rho_p \subset A^{\rho, \text{loc}}_p \) implies \( w^{1-p'} \in A^{\rho, \text{loc}}_p = A^{\rho, \text{loc}}_{p'} \), setting \( s = p' \) in the last
equation and using Proposition 4.1 we have

\[
\int_B \sup_{t < r} |T_t f_1(x)| \, dx \lesssim \left( \int_{2B} |f_1(x)|^{p'} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p}
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_B|^{p'} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p}
\]

\[
\lesssim \left( \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_{2B}|^{p'} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p}
\]

\[
\lesssim \left( \int_{2B} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p} + |f_{2B} - f_B| \left( \int_{2B} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p}.
\]

(5.7)

For the first term we use Lemma 3.3 (having in mind that \( 2B \in B_\tilde{\rho} \) and \( \text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w) = \text{BMO}_{\tilde{\rho}}^\beta(w) \)) and we obtain

\[
\left( \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_{2B}|^{p'} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p} \lesssim \| f \|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(B) |B|^\beta/d.
\]

(5.8)

On the other hand, from the fact that \( |f_{2B} - f_B| \) is bounded by a constant times
\( \| f \|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(2B) |2B|^\beta/d - 1 \) and the condition \( A^{\rho, \text{loc}}_p \), we have

\[
|f_{2B} - f_B| \left( \int_{2B} w^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} w(B)^{1/p} \lesssim \| f \|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(2B) |2B|^\beta/d - 1 |2B|
\]

\[
\lesssim \| f \|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(2B) |2B|^\beta/d
\]

\[
\lesssim \| f \|_{\text{BMO}_\rho^\beta(w)} w(B) |B|^\beta/d,
\]

(5.9)

where in the last inequality we have used (3.3) and Lemma 2.2.

Suppose now \( t > r \). From the definition of the space \( \text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w) \), using (5.1), and Lemma 2.2 it follows that

\[
|T_tf_1(x)| \leq \int_{|x_0 - y| < 2r} |T_t(x, y)||f_1(y)| \, dy \\
\leq \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{2B} |f_1(y)| \, dy \\
\leq \frac{1}{r^d} \int_{2B} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy \\
\leq \frac{1}{r^d} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)} |2B|^{\beta/d} \\
\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)} |B|^{\beta/d}.
\]

From (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) we conclude that

\[
\int_B \sup_{t > 0} |T_tf_1(x)| \, dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_\rho(w)} |B|^{\beta/d}.
\]

To deal with the term with \( f_2 \), if \( c_B = T^*f_2(x_0) \) then

\[
\int_B |T^*f_2(x) - T^*f_2(x_0)| \, dx \leq \int_B \sup_{t > 0} |T_tf_2(x) - T_tf_2(x_0)| \, dx.
\]

Now, for \( x \in B \) and \( t > 0 \) we have

\[
|T_tf_2(x) - T_tf_2(x_0)| \leq \int_{|x_0 - y| > 2r} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy.
\]

Suppose first that \( r \leq t/2 \). In this case, we have \( |x - x_0| < t/2 \). We now divide the integral (5.11) in two parts \( |x - y| < t \) and \( |x - y| > t \). When \( |x - y| < t \), we denote by \( k_1 \) the first integer such that \( 2^{k_1-1}r \leq 2t < 2^{k_1}r \). Having in mind condition (5.2) and the fact that \( \rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_0) \) we obtain

\[
\int_{|x_0 - y| > 2r, |x - y| < t} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy
\]

\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t} \right)^\delta \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{2r < |x_0 - y| < 2t} |f_2(y)| \, dy
\]

\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t} \right)^\delta \frac{1}{t^d} \sum_{k=2}^{k_1} \int_{2kB} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy.
\]
Applying Lemma 5.2 since $2^{k_1} r \simeq t$ we have
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{k_1} \int_{2^k B} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy \lesssim \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} r^\beta \sum_{k=2}^{k_1} 2^{k(pd+\beta)} \left(1 + \frac{2^{k_1} r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta}
\]
\[
\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} r^\beta \left(1 + \frac{2^{k_1} r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} \sum_{k=2}^{k_1} 2^{k(pd+\beta)}
\]
\[
\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} r^\beta \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{pd+\beta}.
\]

Therefore,
\[
\int_{|x_0-y|>2r, |x-y|<t} |T_t(x,y) - T_t(x_0,y)| |f_2(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{d-pd-\beta} \left(\frac{r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{\delta-d(p-1)-\beta} \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} |B|^{-p\theta} \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)}
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{w(B)}{|B|} \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)},
\]
whenever $\sigma' > p\theta$ and $\delta \geq d(p-1) + \beta$.

In the part $|x - y| > t$, we use again estimate (5.2) to get
\[
\int_{|x_0-y|>2r, |x-y|>t} |T_t(x,y) - T_t(x_0,y)| |f_2(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta} \left(\frac{r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{d+\gamma'} \int_{|x-y|>t} |f_2(y)| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta} \frac{1}{p^d} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k(d+\gamma')} \int_{B(x,2^k t)} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy
\]
\[
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta} \frac{1}{p^d} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k(d+\gamma')} \int_{2^k B} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy.
\]

Applying Lemma 5.2 with $R = 2^k t$, the sum in the last expression can be bounded by a constant times
\[
\|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} w(B) r^\beta \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k(d+\gamma')} \left(\frac{2^k t}{r}\right)^{pd+\beta} \left(1 + \frac{2^k t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta}
\]
\[
\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} w(B) r^\beta \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{pd+\beta} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k(d+\gamma' - pd - \beta - p\theta)}\right)
\]
\[
\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO^\beta_{p}(w)} w(B) r^\beta \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{pd+\beta}.
\]
whenever $\gamma' > \beta + d(p-1) + p\theta$.

Getting back to (5.13) it follows as before that

$$
\int_{|x_0-y|>2r} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy \\
\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma' + p\theta} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta - d(p-1) - \beta} \frac{w(t)}{|B|} r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_{\rho}} 
$$

whenever $\sigma' > p\theta$ and $\delta \geq d(p-1) + \beta$.

Let us see the case $r \geq t/2$. In this case, we estimate the difference by the sum as follows

$$
\int_{|x_0-y|>2r} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy \\
\leq \int_{|x_0-y|>2r} |T_t(x, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy + \int_{|x_0-y|>2r} |T_t(x_0, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy \\
= A + B.
$$

We only deal with $A$; the term $B$ can be estimated analogously.

Since in the domain of integration we have $|x_0 - y| \geq 2r \geq t$ and also $|x - y| \geq |x_0 - y| - |x - x_0| \geq r \geq t/2$, using condition (5.1) and Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$
\int_{|x_0-y|>2r} |T_t(x, y)||f_2(y)| \, dy \\
\lesssim t^{\gamma} \int_{|x-y|>r} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+\gamma}} \, dy \\
\lesssim \frac{t^{\gamma}}{r^{d+\gamma}} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k(d+\gamma)} \int_{B(x,2^k r)} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy \\
\lesssim \frac{1}{r^d} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k(d+\gamma)} \int_{2^k B} |f(y) - f_B| \, dy \\
\lesssim \frac{w(t)}{r^d} r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_{\rho}} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k(d+\gamma - p\theta - \beta)} \left(1 + \frac{2^{k r}}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{p\theta} \\
\lesssim \frac{w(t)}{r^d} r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_{\rho}} \\
\lesssim \frac{w(t)}{r^d} r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_{\rho}},
$$

whenever $\gamma > \beta + d(p-1) + p\theta$.

Therefore, from (5.12), (5.14) and (5.15), we obtain for $x \in B$

$$
\sup_{t>0} |T_t f_2(x) - T_t f_2(x_0)| \lesssim \frac{w(t)}{r^d} r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\beta_{\rho}}.
$$
Thus, it follows that
\[
\int_B |T^* f_2(x) - T^* f_2(x_0)| \, dx \lesssim w(B) r^\beta \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\beta},
\]
and this finishes the term with $f_2$.

To deal with the term with $f_3$, we shall find a bound for $T^* f_3 = T^* f_B = |f_B| T^* 1$. We will estimate the oscillation of $T^* f_3$ over $B$ subtracting the constant $c_B = |f_B| T^* 1(x_0)$.

Observe that
\[
\int_B |T^* f_3(x) - T^* f_3(x_0)| \leq |f_B| \int_{B t > 0} |T_t 1(x) - T_t 1(x_0)| \, dx
\]
and
\[
|T_t 1(x) - T_t 1(x_0)| \leq \int_{R^d} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)| \, dy.
\]

As before, we consider separately the cases $t \geq 2r$ and $t < 2r$. We start by assuming $t \geq 2r$ and then $|x - x_0| \leq t/2$, which allows us to use condition (5.2). We also divide the domain as before as
\[
\int_{R^d} |T_t(x, y) - T_t(x_0, y)| \, dy \leq \int_{|x - y| < t} + \int_{|x - y| > t} = C + D.
\]
Thus condition (5.2) implies
\[
C \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta} \frac{1}{t^d} \int_{|x - y| < t} \, dy \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{\beta},
\]
and also
\[
D \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\sigma'} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{\delta} \int_{|x - y| > t} \frac{t^{\gamma'}}{|x - y|^{d+\gamma'}} \, dy \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{T}\right)^{\beta},
\]
whenever $\sigma' > 0$.

On the other hand, considering the inequality
\[
|T_t 1(x) - T_t 1(x_0)| \leq |T_t 1(x) - 1| + |T_t 1(x_0) - 1|,
\]
from condition (5.3) it is clear that
\[
|T_t 1(x) - 1| \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{t + \rho(x)}\right)^{\epsilon} \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{t + \rho(x_0)}\right)^{\epsilon} \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{\epsilon},
\]
where we have used the fact that $\rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_0)$. The same estimate is valid for the second term.

Therefore, we may bound a convex combination of the previous estimates to get
\[
|T_t 1(x) - T_t 1(x_0)| \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{T}\right)^{\delta(1-a)} \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{\epsilon a}.
\]
In this way, denoting $a = \delta/(\delta + \epsilon)$, we have $\epsilon a = \delta(1 - a)$. Then, for all $t \geq 2r$ and $x \in B$ we obtain
\[
|T_t 1(x) - T_t 1(x_0)| \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{\epsilon a}.
\]
In the case $t < 2r$, proceeding in the same way as in (5.16) and (5.17) it follows that
\[ |T_1(x) - T_1(x_0)| \lesssim \left( \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\epsilon} \tag{5.19} \]
Having in mind that $a < 1$ and $r/\rho(x_0) \leq 1$ we obtain from (5.18) and (5.19) that
\[ \sup_{t>0} |T_1(x) - T_1(x_0)| \lesssim \left( \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\epsilon a}. \]
Finally, from Lemma 5.1 it follows that
\[ \sup_{t>0} |T_1(x) - T_1(x_0)| \lesssim \left( \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\epsilon a}. \]
whenever $\epsilon \frac{\delta}{\delta + \epsilon} \geq d(p - 1) + \beta$. And this finishes the proof of the theorem. \[ \square \]
Now will will consider the Poisson maximal operator $P^* = \sup_{t>0} |P_t|$, where
\[ P_t = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{t}} T_t/(2\sqrt{\pi}) \, ds \]
and \{\{T_t\}_{t>0}\} is a family of integral operators bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose \{\{T_t\}_{t>0}\} satisfies (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) with constants $\gamma, \gamma', \delta, \sigma, \sigma'$, and $\epsilon$. Then, \{\{P_t\}_{t>0}\} also satisfies (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) with constants $\gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \delta, \sigma, \sigma'_1$, and $\epsilon_1$, where $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1 + \delta) \cap (0, \gamma], \gamma'_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \min\{\gamma, \gamma'\}], \sigma'_1 = \min\{\sigma, \sigma'\}$, and $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \epsilon]$.

**Proof.** From the inequality
\[ \frac{t}{s} \leq (1 + s^{-1}) \frac{t}{t + a}, \]
valid for all $a > 0$, $s > 0$, and $t > 0$, and proceeding as in [15, Lemma 3.1] we obtain (5.1) and (5.3) with the mentioned constants.
Hence, we only have to prove condition (5.2), i.e., that for all $t > 0$ and $x, x_0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - x_0| \leq t/2$ and $\rho(x_0) \simeq \rho(x)$, the following inequality is valid:
\[ |P_t(x, y) - P_t(x_0, y)| \leq \frac{C}{t^d + |x - y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{\gamma'_1} \left( \frac{|x - x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'_1}. \]
We start by observing that $|x - x_0| \leq t/(2s)$ if and only if $s \leq t/(2|x - x_0|)$. Then we see that
\[ |P_t(x, y) - P_t(x_0, y)| \lesssim \int_0^\infty e^{-s/2} |T_t(s)(x, y) - T_{t/s}(x_0, y)| \, ds = I + II, \]
with
\[ I = \int_0^{t/(2|x-x_0|)} e^{-s^2/4} |T_{t/s}(x, y) - T_{t/s}(x_0, y)| \, ds. \]

From (5.2) it follows that
\[ I \lesssim \int_0^{t/(2|x-x_0|)} e^{-s^2/4} \left( \frac{t/s}{t/s + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma'} \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'} \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^{t/(2|x-x_0|)} \frac{1}{t^d + |x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma'} \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'} \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4} (1 + s)^{d+\sigma'} (1 + s^{-\gamma'}) s^\delta \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^d + |x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma'} \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'} \, ds \]

To deal with \( II \), we write
\[ II \leq \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4} (|T_{t/s}(x, y)| + |T_{t/s}(x_0, y)|) \, ds = II_1 + II_2. \]

Now we use (5.1) to get
\[ II_1 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4} |T_{t/s}(x, y)| s^{-\delta} s^\delta \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4} \left( \frac{t/s}{t/s + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma} \, ds \]
\[ \times \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma} \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^{t/(2|x-x_0|)} \frac{1}{t^d + |x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma'} \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'} \, ds \]
\[ \times \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4} (1 + s)^{d+\sigma'} (1 + s^{-\gamma'}) s^\delta \, ds \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^{t/(2|x-x_0|)} \frac{1}{t^d + |x-y|^d} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x-y|} \right)^{\gamma'} \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{t} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)} \right)^{\sigma'} \, ds \]

The term \( II_2 \) can be bounded in the same way as \( II_1 \) using the fact that \( \rho(x_0) \approx \rho(x) \) and that \( t^k + |x_0 - y|^k \approx t^k + |x - y|^k \) for all \( k \geq 1 \) (provided that \( |x-x_0| \leq t/2 \)).

As a consequence of Lemma 5.4, we have the following result for the maximal of the Poisson semigroup.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( w \in A^p_{\alpha, \beta} \), \( \beta \geq 0 \), and \( \{T_t\}_{t>0} \) be a family of operators satisfying (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3) with the constants \( \gamma, \gamma', \delta, \sigma, \sigma', \) and \( \epsilon \), and let \( \gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \delta, \sigma, \sigma'_1, \) and \( \epsilon' \) be positive constants such that \( \gamma_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \gamma), \gamma'_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \gamma'), \delta \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \gamma), \sigma \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \gamma), \sigma'_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \gamma'), \) and \( \epsilon_1 \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \epsilon) \). Then if \( \gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \sigma \geq
\[ \beta + p\theta + d(p-1), \sigma'_1 > p\theta, \text{ and } \frac{\sigma_1 \delta}{\sigma + \epsilon} \geq d(p-1) + \beta, \text{ there exists a constant } C \text{ such that} \]
\[ \|P^* f\|_{BMO^p_\mu(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO^p_\mu(w)}, \]
for every \( f \in BMO^p_\mu(w) \).

6. APPLICATION TO THE CONTEXT OF THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

In this section we consider a Schrödinger operator in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( d \geq 3 \),
\[ \mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V, \]
where \( V \geq 0 \), not identically zero, is a function that satisfies for \( q > d/2 \) the reverse Hörmander inequality
\[ \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y) \, dy \right), \]
for every ball \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^d \). The set of functions with the last property is usually denoted by \( RH_q \).

For a given potential \( V \in RH_q \), with \( q > d/2 \), as in [13], we consider the auxiliary function \( \rho \) defined for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) as
\[ \rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V \leq 1 \right\}. \]
Under the above conditions on \( V \) we have \( 0 < \rho(x) < \infty \). Furthermore, according to [13, Lemma 1.4], if \( V \in RH_{q/2} \) the associated function \( \rho \) verifies (1.1).

Let \( k_t \) be the kernel of \( e^{-t\mathcal{L}} \), \( t > 0 \), where \( \{e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\}_{t>0} \) is called the heat semigroup associated to \( \mathcal{L} \). The following estimates for \( k_t \) are known (see [12] and [10]).

**Proposition 6.1.** Let \( V \in RH_q \), \( q > d/2 \), \( N > 0 \), and \( 0 < \lambda < \min \{1, 2 - \frac{d}{q}\} \). Then there exist positive constants \( C, \tilde{C} \) and \( C_N \) such that for all \( t > 0 \) and \( x, y, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( |x - x_0| < \sqrt{t} \) we have
\[ |k_t(x,y)| \leq C_N t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c t}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)} \right)^{-N}, \]
\[ |k_t(x,y) - k_t(x_0,y)| \leq C_N \left( \frac{|x-x_0|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^\lambda t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c t}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)} \right)^{-N}, \]
and
\[ |k_t(x,y) - \tilde{k}_t(x,y)| \leq \tilde{C} t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c t}} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho(x)}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{4}{q} - 2}, \]
where \( \tilde{k}_t \) denotes the kernel of \( e^{-t\Delta} \), \( t > 0 \).

We end this section with the following result where we apply Theorem 2 to the maximal operator
\[ T^* = \sup_{t>0} |e^{-t\mathcal{L}}|. \]
Theorem 6.2. Let $V \in RH_q$ for some $q > d/2$, $\epsilon = 2 - \frac{d}{q}$, $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \epsilon\}$, and $w \in A^\rho_\epsilon$. If $1 < p < 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{d}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \kappa - d(p - 1)$, with $\kappa = \frac{\epsilon \delta}{\epsilon + \delta}$, then there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|T^* f\|_{BMO^\beta(\rho)} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO^\beta(\rho)},
$$

for every $f \in BMO^\beta(\rho)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that the family $\{e^{-\frac{t^2}{C t^2} L}\}_{t > 0}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3. Let us start by proving that from (6.1) we can get (5.1). In fact, given $C > 0$ and $M > 0$, there exists $C_M > 0$ such that

$$
e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{C t^2}} \leq C_M \left(\frac{t^2}{t^2 + |x-y|^2}\right)^M \leq 4^M C_M \left(\frac{t}{t + |x-y|}\right)^{2M}.
$$

Therefore, if we choose $M > d/2$, from (6.1) with $t^2$ instead of $t$, we have

$$
k_t(x, y) \lesssim t^{-d} \left(\frac{t}{t + |x-y|}\right)^{2M} \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)}\right)^N
\lesssim t^d + |x-y|^d \left(\frac{t}{t + |x-y|}\right)^{2M-d} \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{t + \rho(x)}\right)^N,
$$

which is (5.1) with $\gamma = 2M - d$ and $\sigma = N$.

In the same way we can obtain (5.2) from (6.2) with $\gamma' = 2M - d$, $\sigma' = N$, and $\delta = \lambda$.

Now we will see that (6.3) implies (5.3) with $\epsilon = 2 - d/q$. It is known (see [1] or [10] for example), that $\bar{k}_{t^2}(1) = 1$ for every $t > 0$, and thus

$$
|1 - k_{t^2}(1)(x)| \leq |1 - \bar{k}_{t^2}(1)(x)| + |\bar{k}_{t^2}(1)(x) - k_{t^2}(1)(x)| = |\bar{k}_{t^2}(1)(x) - k_{t^2}(1)(x)|.
$$

Therefore from (6.3) we obtain

$$
|\bar{k}_{t^2}(1)(x) - k_{t^2}(1)(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k_{t^2}(x, y) - \bar{k}_{t^2}(x, y)|
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{-d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{C t^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(x)}{t}\right)^{\frac{d}{q} - 2}
\leq t^{-d} \left(\frac{t}{t + \rho(x)}\right)^{2-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{C t^2}}
y \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{t + \rho(x)}\right)^{\epsilon}.
$$
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