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#### Abstract

Three new unsymmetrical diamino proligands with a central alcohol group and four different pendant arms were obtained, employing a five step synthesis. The synthesis of these compounds involves inexpensive and commercially available reagents. The versatility of the synthetic route allows accessing to compartmental diamines with two chemically different adjacent coordination chambers.
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Numerous examples of active site of binuclear metalloenzymes show that each metal atom may have chemically different environments, with coordination number asymmetry (dissimilar number of donor atoms) and/or donor asymmetry (different types of donor atoms), even in homodinuclear species. ${ }^{1-3}$ The coordination asymmetry around the two metal ions often leaves vacant coordination sites and facilitates the interaction of the metal center with specific substrates.

A large number of dinucleating ligands have been prepared and used to synthesize metal complexes that mimic binuclear metalloproteins. ${ }^{4}$ However, the limited number of model systems that employ non-symmetric ligands reveals the need of developing efficient routes toward this class of ligands. ${ }^{4-6}$

With the goal of obtaining dimanganese complexes as models of manganese catalases (MnCAT), we and others have found that polydentate ligands with a central bridging alkoxide can be used to emulate some key features of the active site of these enzymes. ${ }^{7-11}$ In particular, symmetrical ligands derived from 1,3-dia-minopropan-2-ol afford dimanganese complexes that reproduce the intermetallic distance of the enzyme (Fig. 1a). ${ }^{7,8}$ However, while in MnCAT the two metal ions differ in the number of exchangeable solvent ligands (only one of the manganese ions is bound to a labile water molecule), symmetrical alkoxo-bridging ligands afford synthetic models with identical environment around the two metal centers, leading to a less efficient catalytic reaction. Two types of unsymmetrical phenoxo-bridged dimanganese

[^0]complexes have also been studied as MnCAT mimics (Fig. 1b) ${ }^{12,13 \text {; }}$ but in these compounds, the phenoxide bridge leads to $\mathrm{Mn} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}$ separation longer than found in the enzyme and, thus, these complexes are less relevant as MnCAT models. Therefore, the chemical design of novel unsymmetrical ligands with a central alkoxide group turns out to be essential for improving the efficiency of analogues of these metalloenzymes.

As a part of our synthetic effort toward preparing proligands for obtaining mimics of dinuclear metalloproteins, we report here on the synthesis of three novel unsymmetrical ligands with $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ $\mathrm{O}_{3}$-donor set: 1-[ N -(2-pyridylmethyl), N -(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-[ $N^{\prime}$-(2-hydroxybenzyl), $N^{\prime}$-(benzyl)amino]propan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ), 1-[ $N$-(2-pyridylmethyl), $N$-(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-[ $N^{\prime}$-(2-hydroxybenzyl), $N^{\prime}$-(4-methyl-benzyl)amino]propan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ), and 1-[ $N$-(2-pyridylmethyl), $N$-(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-[ $N^{\prime}$-(2-hy-droxy-5-chloro-benzyl), $N^{\prime}$-(benzyl)amino]propan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ), depicted in Scheme 1.

The retrosynthetic analysis of the $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ family yielded two different approaches that share the same initial steps. As shown in Scheme 1, the proligands can be accessed through two key disconnections: the reaction of a chlorohydrin and a secondary amine involving a nucleophilic displacement (path A); and the reductive amination of salicylaldehyde (properly substituted) with the secondary amine derived from coupling between an epoxide and picolylamine (path B).

The synthesis of the polypodal ligands was first attempted employing path $\boldsymbol{A}$. The reductive amination of salicylaldehyde $\mathbf{1}$ with commercially available amines (benzylamine, $p$-toluidine, and 2-picolylamine), rendered the secondary amines $2 \mathbf{2 a - c}$ in

(a)
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Figure 1. Dimanganese complexes with symmetrical (a) and unsymmetrical (b) ligands.


Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of proligands $H_{3} L^{n}$.
excellent yield. The subsequent condensation of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ with epichlorohydrin (3) gave chlorohydrins $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ almost quantitatively. The reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ with $\mathbf{2 c}$ introduced two new potential donor sites ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ ), leading to the desired proligands $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ in moderate yield ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ : $41 \%$ from $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ : $44 \%$ from $\mathbf{4 b}$ ), by using conditions of nucleophilic displacement (Scheme 2).

Although the route outlined in Scheme 2 yielded the desired $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$, the final step generates a mixture of products difficult to separate through column chromatography and the yield of this step was quite low. Tilmans et al. devised a similar approach to synthesize a phos-tag ligand with two bis(pyridylmethyl)amino
units. ${ }^{14}$ However, when Tilmans' conditions were employed for the nucleophilic substitution (DIPEA, neat, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), instead of those of step $c$ in Scheme $2, \mathbf{H}_{3} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ were not achieved, probably because phenols strongly modify the reactivity of the system.

In order to circumvent the previous drawbacks, the alternative strategy of path B was explored. In this approach, epoxides were chosen as the activated form of the electrophiles. Nucleophilic opening of oxiranes is a powerful and effective tool for obtaining new carbon-heteroatom bonds with a carbinol in adjacent position. This highly flexible route to polypodal ligands starts from chlorohydrins 4a-c, which upon reaction with potassium


Scheme 2. Synthesis of unsymmetrical ligands-Path A. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) benzylamine/p-toluidine/picolylamine, EtOH, reflux for 2 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, EtOH



Scheme 3. Synthesis of unsymmetrical ligands-Path B. Reagents and conditions: (a) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$, dioxane, rt, 3 h (5a:98\%; 5b:98\%; 5c:99\%); (b) 2-picolylamine, rt, 24 h ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ : $88 \%$; $\mathbf{6 b}: 78 \%$; $\mathbf{6 c}: 70 \%$ ); (c) (i) salicylaldehyde, MeOH , reflux for 18 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}: 52 \%\right.$ from $\mathbf{6 a}$; $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}: 66 \%$ from $\mathbf{6 b}$; $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}: 52 \%$ from $\mathbf{6 c}$ ).

Table 1
Rates of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ disproportionation catalyzed by alkoxo-bridged dimanganese complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Catalyst | Rate $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{cat}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Solvent, $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}+\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ | 3.3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 20$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OMe})(\text { hppnO })\right]^{+}$ | 3.0 | $\mathrm{DMF}, 25$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OAc})(\mathrm{OH})(\text { benzimpnO })\right]^{+}$ | 2.6 | $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OMe})(\mathrm{OAc})(\text { hppentO })\right]^{+}$ | D | $\mathrm{DMF}, 10$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OMe})(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\text { salpentO })\right]^{+}$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}=140 \mathrm{mM}$. hppnOH = 1,3-bis[(2-hydroxybenzyl)(2-pyridylmethyl)amino]propan-2-ol; hppentOH = 1,5-bis[(2-hydroxybenzyl)(2-pyridylmethyl)amino]pentan3 -ol; benzimpnOH = $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-tetrakis(2-methylenebenzimidazolyl)-1,3-diaminopropan-2-ol; salpentOH = 1,5-bis(salicylidenamino)pentan-3-ol.
tert-butoxide in dioxane gave 5a-c in almost quantitative yield, without the necessity of further purification. Nucleophilic opening of 5a-c with neat 2 -picolylamine led to diamines $\mathbf{6 a} \mathbf{- c}$. After the reaction was completed, the extra amount of amine was removed under reduced pressure, recovering the excess of reagent for further use, and the residue was purified by column chromatography, to afford 6a-c as pure oils. Finally, the three $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$-donor ligands $\mathbf{H}_{3} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}$ were obtained by a one pot-two step reductive amination of salicylaldehyde with $\mathbf{6 a - c}$ (Scheme 3).

The structure of all compounds presented in this study was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and high-resolution mass spectra (see Supplementary data). ${ }^{15}$

Several improvements can be highlighted for path B over path $\boldsymbol{A}$ and Tilman's approach: the two first steps of this novel route ( $a$ and $b$ ) do not require chromatographic purification and the total yield is higher than the synthetic route described in path $\boldsymbol{A}$. These facts make this approach the method of choice to obtain unsymmetrical polypodal diamines. Because this route avoids the use of protective groups, it enables incorporation of broad chemofunctional diversity.

The coordinating ability of $\mathbf{H}_{3} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ was explored by reacting $1.4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ with 2 equiv of manganese(III) acetate in acetonitrile, and the catalase-like activity of the complex formed in situ was tested by measuring the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ evolved after addition of 100 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the complex solution (see Supplementary data). The catalyst was able to dismutate all $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ within 7 min with retention of activity after successive additions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and the measured rate of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ disproportionation is similar or higher than reported for Mn complexes of symmetrical alkoxide bridging ligands (Table 1). This result exemplifies the capacity of the present ligands to form efficient biomimetic catalysts.

In conclusion, we provided a versatile and flexible route, leading to new diamino proligands with a central alcohol group and unsymmetrical pendant binding sites. This is a general synthetic strategy that may allow the design of a number of dinucleating ligands with two chemically different coordination environments. These unsymmetrical polypodal proligands are of great interest, since they can be used to mimic a large number of binuclear
metallobiosites where the two metal ions possess chemically distinct surroundings. Reproduction of these features is essential for obtaining efficient biomimetic compounds.
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