

Antibodies to Pathogenic Livestock Viruses in a Wild Vicuña (*Vicugna vicugna*) Population in the Argentinean Andean Altiplano

Gisela Marcoppido,^{1,2,5} Viviana Parreño,^{1,3} and Bibiana Vilá^{2,3,4} ¹ Instituto de Virología, CICVyA, INTA Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ² VICAM, P.O. Box 129 (6700), Luján, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ³ CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas), Argentina; ⁴ Universidad Nacional de Luján, Ruta 5 y ruta 7, Luján, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ⁵ Corresponding author (email: gmarcoppido@cnia.inta.gov.ar)

1
2

ABSTRACT: Serum samples from 128 wild vicuñas (*Vicugna vicugna*) were tested for antibodies (Ab) to rotavirus (RV), bovine parainfluenza virus 3 (BPIV-3), bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV-1), foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), bluetongue virus (BTV), equine herpesvirus-1 (EHV-1), and influenza A virus equine (EIV). Samples were collected in Cieneguillas Province of Jujuy, in northern Argentina. Feces from 44 vicuñas were also collected to investigate RV shedding. Llamas (*Lama glama*) and domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*) from the area studied also were tested for antibodies to these viruses. Antibodies against RV (100%) and BPIV-3 (37%) were detected in the vicuñas sampled. No RV antigen was detected in any of the fecal samples tested. One vicuña was positive for Ab to BHV-1 (0.78%) and another for BVDV-1 (0.78%). The Ab prevalences detected in llamas were: 100% (16/16) for RV, 47% (8/17) for BPIV-3, 17.6% (3/17) for BHV-1, and 5.88% (1/17) for BVDV-1. However, domestic cattle had high antibody prevalences for RV and BPIV-3, 100% (13/13) and 73.3% (11/15), respectively, but were negative for Ab to BHV-1 and BVDV. No antibodies against FMDV, BTV, EHV-1, or EIV were detected in wild vicuñas or domestic species. Because no data of viral circulation on wild vicuñas are available, this report represents the first evidence of viral infection in wild vicuñas from the Argentinean Andean Puna.

Key words: Antibodies, Argentinean Puna, prevalence, serology, viral disease, wild vicuñas.

There are four species of South American camelids; two are domestic, including the llama (*Lama glama*) and the alpaca (*Lama pacos*), and two are wild including the guanaco (*Lama guanicoe*) and the vicuña (*Vicugna vicugna*). South American camelids have a wide distribution along the Andes, but vicuñas are restricted to

the Andean Puna (between 3,200 to 4,700 m elevation) of Perú, Bolivia, Chile, and Argentina, and they have one of the finest fibers in the world (Wheeler and Hoces, 1997). The systematic killing of vicuñas to harvest their fleece caused a severe decline in their population, almost reaching extinction in the middle of the twentieth century. After 20 yr of effective protection laws, the number of vicuñas of some Argentinean populations has increased (Laker et al., 2006), and they are currently classified under Appendix II of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), which allows the harvesting of fiber from live shorn animals. Camelids are susceptible to infectious agents that cause disease in domestic animals. Previous studies have reported serologic evidence of exposure to rotavirus (RV), bovine parainfluenza-3 virus (BPIV-3), bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), bluetongue virus (BTV), bovine enterovirus (BEV), bovine adenovirus (Badv III), influenza A virus (IAV), and equine herpesvirus-1 (EHV-1) in domestic South American camelids, most from animals without clinical presentation of disease (Fowler, 1994; Mattson and Baker, 1994; Saraiva, 2004; Cabello et al., 2006; Celedón et al., 2006; Evermann, 2006; Parreño and Marcoppido, 2006). Viral antigens were detected in domestic camelids in the presence of clinical signs of disease for BVDV, adenovirus, EHV-1, West Nile virus (Mattson and Baker, 1994; Mattson et al., 2006; Parreño and Mar-

3

coppido, 2006; Foster et al., 2007), and equine arteritis virus (EAV) (Weber et al., 2006). Studies carried out on wild South American camelids under captive conditions have reported 95% antibody (Ab) prevalence to RV in guanacos from the Argentinean Patagonia region and the first isolation and characterization of RV in guanaco crias with acute diarrhea (Parreño et al., 2001, 2004). Serologic surveys conducted in free-ranging guanacos and vicuñas did not detect Ab against BHV-1, BVDV, BPIV-3, BTM, FMDV, bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV), or EHV-1 (Karesh et al., 1998; Celedón et al., 2001). In domestic cattle herds from Jujuy, previous studies have reported high antibody prevalence to RV (100%) and BEV (>90%), moderate antibody prevalence to BVDV (between 30% and 70%), and a low antibody prevalence to BHV-1 (between 4% and 40%) and BadV III (9.5%; Puntel et al., 1999). Because no data for viral circulation on wild vicuñas were available, the purpose of this study was to investigate the presence of antibodies against several livestock-affecting viruses in wild vicuña populations of the Argentinean Andean Altiplano.

We surveyed free-living vicuñas, llamas, and domestic cattle from Cieneguillas (22°08'S, 65°08'W), a small town on the Province of Jujuy on the Argentinean-Bolivian border of the Andean Puna, for evidence of exposure to viral agents affecting livestock. Wild vicuñas were captured under the Animal Welfare protocols (Gimpel and Bonacic, 2006), during May and November 2003 and November 2004. In total, 128 vicuñas (76 males and 52 females) were sampled and aged as crias (between 3 mo and 1 yr; $n=20$), juveniles (1–2 yr; $n=55$), and adults (>2 yr; $n=53$). Additionally, 17 llamas and 15 mixed-breed domestic cattle that grazed in the area with the vicuñas were also sampled during November 2003 and November 2004. Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture (Fowler, 1998) with 10-ml silicone-coated tube Vacutain-

ers™ (Becton Dickinson and Company), and after centrifugation, sera were separated and kept at -20 C until assay. Fecal samples from 44 vicuñas (20 crias and 24 adults) were collected and screened for group A RV by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), using reagents and techniques previously described for RV antigen detection (Cornaglia et al., 1989). This technique was adapted for detection of Ab to RV in South American camelids serum samples (Parreño et al., 2001). Briefly, I-801 NCDV Cody P[1]G8 BRV reference strain was used as positive antigen, and Mock-infected MA-104 cells were used as negative control. A commercial peroxidase-labeled polyclonal goat anti-llama immunoglobulin G (IgG) (H+L) (Bethyl Laboratory Inc., Montgomery, California, USA) at 1:2,000 dilution was used as conjugate. Antibody titers were expressed as the inverse of the highest dilution with positive signal.

Serum neutralization tests (SN) for BHV-1 and BVDV-1 were carried out following standard methods (OIE, 2008), using reference strains BHV-1 Los Angeles and BVDV-1 Singer (cytopathic biotype 1a), respectively. Antibodies to BPIV-3 were detected by a hemagglutination-inhibition test (HI; Collins et al., 1996) especially adapted for South American camelid sera, using BPIV-3 reference strain ST20. Briefly, potassium periodate-glycerol pretreated sera were diluted from 1/5 to 1/320 in "U"-shape microtiter plates and mixed with an equal volume of BPIV-3 containing 8 hemagglutination units (HU). After 1 hr incubation at room temperature, two volumes of 0.25% guinea-pig erythrocytes suspension in PBS were added. Serum Ab titer was expressed as inhibitory hemagglutination units (IHU) at the highest serum dilution showing complete inhibition of the viral hemagglutination activity, multiplied by the 8 HU of the virus used in the test. Antibodies against BTM were detected using a commercial immunodiffusion (BTID) test (Veterinary Diagnostic Tech-

TABLE 1. Prevalence of antibodies to viral pathogens in wild vicuñas, llamas, and domestic cattle from Cieneguillas, Jujuy.

Species	Antibody prevalence to viral pathogens ^a			
	RV	BPIV-3 ^b	BHV-1	BVDV-1
Wild vicuñas	100% (128/128)	37% (44/119) ^c	0.78% (1/128)	0.78% (1/128)
Llamas	100% (16/16) ^c	47% (8/17)	5.88% (1/17)	17.6% (3/17)
Domestic cattle	100% (13/13) ^c	73.3% (11/15)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/12) ^c

^a Rotavirus (RV), bovine parainfluenza virus 3 (BPIV-3), bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV-1).

^b Only the serum samples with antibody titers >80 IHU were considered positive.

^c Not all the volume of blood was available for testing the Ab against the viral pathogens in all the individuals.

nology, Inc., Wheat Ridge, Colorado, USA). A blocking ELISA was used for detection of Ab to FMDV, using the Argentinean isolates O1 Caseros (Mattion et al., 2004). Antibodies against EHV-1 were detected by SN (OIE, 2008), using the Kentucky reference strain. For EIV, an HI test with the Argentinean isolate AR93 (Lai et al., 2001) was carried out using chicken erythrocytes.

For RV and BPIV-3, sera from naturally infected guanacos served as positive controls (Parreño et al., 2001; Marcoppido, unpubl. data). The positive controls for BHV-1 and BVDV-1 were obtained from vaccinated llamas. For FMDV, BTV, EHV-1, and EIV, sera from naturally infected domestic cattle and equines were used as positive controls, respectively.

Fisher's exact test was used to compare Ab titers in relation to the capture season, sex, and age of vicuñas. Differences in Ab titers between groups were evaluated by general analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post-ANOVA test (Statistix 8, Analytical Software, Tallahassee, Florida, USA). Significance was established at $P < 0.05$.

All animals sampled had serum Ab against RV (Table 1). The mean Ab titers were 4,032 (range 16 to 16,384) in vicuñas, 362 in llamas (range 4 to 16,384), and 121 in domestic cattle (range 16 to 1,024). However, RV shedding could not be detected by ELISA in any of the wild vicuñas sampled, including the 20 crias.

Comparison of Ab titers in vicuñas according to age revealed statistically significant differences (Table 2). Analyzing the total wild vicuñas sampled, the highest Ab titer to RV was detected in the juvenile vicuñas, while the lowest was detected in the total crias ($P = 0.0156$). When comparing the mean Ab titers to RV between captures in 2003, the crias showed lower titers than juvenile and adult animals in November ($P = 0.0182$), while the crias captured in May had very high titers, similar to those of the older categories (Table 2). No statistically significant difference in Ab titers to RV was observed between sexes.

Average antibody prevalence to BPIV-3 was 37% for vicuñas, 47% for llamas, and 73.3% for domestic cattle (Table 1). The Ab titers for BPIV-3 ranged from 160 to 1,280 for vicuñas, 80 to 2,560 for llamas, and 80 to 320 for domestic cattle. The Ab prevalence to BPIV-3 recorded in vicuñas during November 2003 was significantly higher than that recorded in November 2004 (Table 2). No significant differences were observed between the age classes of vicuñas, while a significantly higher antibody prevalence to BPIV-3 was detected in females (50%; 23/46) than in males (28.76%; 21/73) ($P = 0.0313$; data not shown).

Only one juvenile male vicuña and a male llama were seropositive to BHV-1 (SN Ab titer of 1.8 in both), while an adult male vicuña and three llamas (two males

TABLE 2. Geometric mean antibody (Ab) titers and antibody prevalence for rotavirus (RV) and bovine parainfluenza virus 3 (BPIV-3) in relation to the date of capture and age of the vicuñas sampled. 6

Capture	Age	n	Viral pathogens			
			RV		BPIV-3 ^a	
			GM Ab titer ^b	Ab prevalence ^c	GM Ab titer ^b	Ab prevalence ^c
May 2003 (n=28)	Adult	13	1,745 ^B	100%	89	23% (3/13)
	Juvenile	11	6,781 ^A		141	55% (6/11)
	Crias	4	5,793 ^{AB}		80	25% (1/4)
			<i>P</i> =0.0250	<i>P</i> =0.2359		
Nov 2003 (n=50)	Adult	21	897 ^{AB}	100%	120	53% (10/19)
	Juvenile	17	1,670 ^A		147	63% (10/16)
	Crias	12	323 ^B		103	36% (4/11)
			<i>P</i> =0.0182	<i>P</i> =0.5769		
Nov 2004 (n=50)	Adult	19	5,098	100%	94	35% (6/17)
	Juvenile	27	1,996		69	17% (4/24)
	Crias	4	1,024		57	0% (0/4)
			<i>P</i> =0.0556	<i>P</i> =0.2095		
Total wild vicuñas	Adult	53	1,969 ^{AB}	100%	102	39% (19/49)
	Juvenile	55	2,413 ^A		102	39% (20/51)
	Crias	20	724 ^B		86	26% (5/19)
			<i>P</i> =0.0156	<i>P</i> =0.6923		

^a Only the serum samples with Ab titers >80 IHU were considered positive.

^b Different letters (A, B, and AB) in the same column indicate significant differences in mean titers between animals of different categories within a capture (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni *P*<0.05).

^c Total of seropositive animals/total of animals tested (% positive).

7 and one female) had detectable antibodies against BVDV-1 (Table 1). Mean Ab titers of positive animals of each species are depicted in Figure 1. None of the sero- positive animals sampled had clinical signs of diseases, and all were in good physical condition. No Ab to BHV-1 or BVDV-1 was detected in the domestic cattle




FIGURE 1. Average antibody titers of seropositive vicuñas, llamas, and domestic cattle for each virus studied. Error bars represent standard deviation.

sampled. No animals seropositive for FMDV, BTV, EHV-1, and EIV were detected in any species.

Using indirect evidence, we have demonstrated the asymptomatic circulation of RV and BPIV-3 in wild vicuñas, llamas, and domestic cattle from the Argentinean Puna. Similar prevalences of RV Ab have been found in a survey carried out in llamas from different provinces of Argentina, including Jujuy (Puntel et al., 1999). The prevalence for BPIV-3 detected in this study is consistent with the rates previously reported (Mattson and Baker, 1994; Cabello et al., 2006; Parreño and Marcoppido, 2006). These results highlight the importance of avoiding captive breeding programs in vicuñas due to the high possibility of a massive outbreak of these indigenous diseases. The stressful stimuli imposed by captivity have detrimental effects, including a decrease in the immune competence of animals, which affects the survival of the individuals when faced with pathogens (Texeira et al., 2007).

Antibody prevalences for BHV-1 and BVDV-1 in the vicuñas and llamas studied were very low. This finding agrees with the low prevalence reported in other studies in llamas and wild South American camelids from Argentina, Perú, and the USA (Parreño and Marcoppido, 2006). In this report, antibodies against FMDV, BTV, EHV-1, and EIV were not detected in wild vicuñas or domestic animals. Similar results have been reported in free-ranging guanacos (Karesh et al., 1998). Our results agree with other studies that did not detect seropositive llamas to FMDV in Argentina (Puntel et al., 1999) and support the hypothesis that camelids play a minor role in the transmission of the virus (Fondevilá et al., 1995; Saraiva, 2004; Wernery and Kaaden, 2004). The lack of detection of Ab to BTVs in the animals sampled agrees with previous reports in domestic and wild South American camelids and domestic cattle from the northern end of Argentina (Puntel et al., 1999).

Because BTVs are transmitted by *Culicoides* spp., the absence of detectable Ab in the animals tested could be due to the absence of competent vectors in the Argentinean Puna around the time of sampling (Lager, 2004). No Ab against EHV-1 or EIV was found in the animals sampled. Despite the lack of published data on diseases of equids in the Argentinean Puna, the cooperating farmers in this study did not allow the sampling of donkeys.

Although virus isolation was not performed in this study, serologic results allow the conclusion that all species sampled had been exposed to RV and BPIV-3. In addition, of the animals sampled, only wild and domestic South American camelids had been in contact with a viral agent antigenically related to BHV-1 and BVDV-1. The serologic tests used in this study were those of standards application for domestic cattle (OIE, 2008), but they have not been validated for camelids, and thus conclusions should be interpreted with caution. Because of the small sample sizes of llamas and domestic cattle and the low antibody prevalence recorded in this study, no conclusions concerning the risk of interspecies transmission could be drawn. A larger survey over longer periods of time is needed to better elucidate potential trends or relationship.

This study suggests that populations of free-ranging vicuñas from the Argentine Altiplano can be relatively disease-free but susceptible to common livestock disease. The present study represents the first survey for viral agents in wild vicuñas in Argentina and demonstrates the need for additional work in order to better understand the dynamics of viral infections in wild vicuñas and the potential role of these species in the epidemiology of livestock diseases. Knowledge about infectious agents affecting South American camelids is crucial at the moment of initiating appropriate systems for sustainable management of domestic llamas and

conservation of populations of wild vicuñas.

The authors acknowledge the MACS Team: Y. Arzamendia, A. Wawrzyk, M. Borgnia H. Yacobaccio, J. Baldo, H. Lamas, M. Morales, and C. Bonacic; S. Romero from INTA Abra Pampa; research groups from the Virology Institute of INTA Castelar: D. Rodríguez, S. Duro, N. D'Amico, D. Franco; M. Barrandeguy, A. Visani, L. Becerra, K. Trono, M. Lomónaco, N. Pacienza, L. Snaiderman, M. Trotta, and F. Fernandez; and Los Pioneros Association and the community of Cieneguillas, Jujuy. The Argentinean National Research Council (CONICET) and MACS project (INCO II Program of the European Commission, DG Research, ICA4-2000-10229) supported this project.

LITERATURE CITED

- CABELLO, K., R. QUISPE CH, AND H. RIVERA G. 2006. Frecuencia de los virus parainfluenza-3, respiratorio sincitial y diarrea viral bovina en un rebaño mixto de una comunidad de Cusco. *Revista de Investigaciones Veterinarias de Perú* 17.
- CELEDÓN, M. O., J. OSORIO, AND J. PIZARRO. 2006. Aislamiento e identificación de pestivirus obtenidos de alpacas (*Lama pacos*) y llamas (*Lama glama*) de la Región Metropolitana, Chile. *Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria* 38: 247–252.
- , A. SANDOVAL, J. DROGUETT, R. CALFIO, L. ASCENCIO, J. PIZARRO, AND B. NAVARRO. 2001. Pestisa de anticuerpos seroneutralizantes para pestivirus y herpesvirus en ovinos, caprinos y camélidos sudamericanos de Chile. *Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria* 33: 165–172.
- COLLINS, P. B., R. M. HANOCK, AND K. MCINTOSH. 1996. Parainfluenza viruses. *In* *Fields Virology*, 3rd Edition, B. N. Fields, D. M. Knipe, and P. M. Howley (eds.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 3144 pp.
- CORNAGLIA, E. M., M. BARRANDEGUY, N. FIJTMAN, AND A. SCHUDEL. 1989. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, immunofluorescence test and electrophoretic analysis of rotaviral RNA in the diagnosis and characterization of bovine rotavirus. *Revista Latino Americana de Microbiología* 31: 59–62.
- EVERMANN, J. F. 2006. Pestiviral infection of llamas and alpacas. *Small Ruminant Research* 61: 201–206.
- FONDEVILÁ, N., F. J. MARCOVECCHIO, J. B. BLANCO VIERA, V. K. O'DONNELL, B. J. CARRILLO, A. A. SCHUDEL, M. DAVID, A. TORRES, AND C. A. MEBUS. 1995. Susceptibility of llamas (*Lama glama*) to infection with foot-and-mouth-disease virus. *Zentralbl Veterinarmed B*. Dec. 42: 595.
- FOSTER, A. P., M. G. HOULIHAN, J. P. HOLMES, E. J. WATT, R. J. HIGGINS, J. ERRINGTON, G. IBATA, AND P. R. WAKELEY. 2007. Bovine viral diarrhea virus infection of alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*) in the UK. *The Veterinary Record* 161: 94–99.
- FOWLER, M. 1998. *Medicine and surgery of South American camelids*. 2nd Edition. Iowa State University Press, Iowa, USA, 391 pp.
- GIMPEL, R. J., AND C. BONACIC. 2006. Manejo sostenible de la vicuña bajo estándares de bienestar animal. *In* *Investigación, conservación y manejo de las vicuñas*, B. Vilá (ed.). Proyecto MACS-Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 208 pp.
- KARESH, W., M. M. UHART, E. S. DIERENFELD, W. E. BRASELTON, A. TORRES, C. HOUSE, H. PUCHE, AND R. A. COOK. 1998. Health evaluation of free-ranging guanaco (*Lama guanicoe*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 29: 134–141.
- LACER, I. 2004. Bluetongue virus in South America: Overview of viruses, vectors, surveillance and unique features. *Veterinaria Italiana* 40: 89–93.
- LAI, A. C. K., T. M. CHAMBERS, R. E. HOLLAND, P. S. MORLEY, D. M. HAINES, H. G. G. TOWNSEND, AND M. BARRANDEGUY. 2001. Diverged evolution of recent equine-2 influenza (H3N8) viruses in the Western Hemisphere. *Archives of Virology* 146: 1063–1074.
- LAKER, J., J. BALDO, Y. ARZAMENDIA, AND H. D. YACOBACCIO. 2006. La vicuñas de los Andes. *In* *Investigación, conservación y manejo de las vicuñas*, B. Vilá (ed.). Proyecto MACS-Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 208 pp.
- MATTION, N., G. KONIG, C. SEKI, E. SMITSAART, E. MARADEI, B. ROBILOLO, S. DUFFY, E. LEON, M. PICCONE, A. SADIR, R. BOTTINI, B. COSENTINO, A. FALCZUK, R. MARESCA, O. PERIOLO, R. BELLINZONI, A. ESPINOZA, J. L. TORRE, AND E. L. PALMA. 2004. Reintroduction of foot-and-mouth disease in Argentina: Characterization of the isolates and development of tools for the control and eradication of the disease. *Vaccine* 22: 4149–4162.
- MATTSON, D. E., AND R. J. BAKER. 1994. Viral diseases. *Veterinary Clinics of North America* 10: 345–351.
- , J. E. CATANIA, S. R. IMBUR, K. M. WELLEJUS, AND R. B. BELL. 2006. Persistent infection with bovine viral diarrhea virus in an alpaca. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 228: 1762–1765.
- OIE. 2008. *Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals*, Vol. II. 6th Edition. World Organization for Animal Health, Paris, France.

- PARREÑO, V., AND G. MARCOPPIDO. 2006. Estudio de la sanidad en camélidos: Avances a partir de la obtención de muestras de camélidos silvestres. *In* Investigación, conservación y manejo de las vicuñas, B. Vilá (ed.). Proyecto MACS-Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 208 pp.
- , ———, K. BOK, F. FERNANDEZ, AND J. GOMEZ. 2004. Molecular characterization of the first isolation of rotavirus in guanacos (*Lama guanicoe*). *Archives of Virology* 149: 2465–2467.
- , ———, V. CONSTANTINI, S. CHEETHAM, J. BLANCO VIERA, L. J. SAIF, F. FERNANDEZ, L. LEONI, AND A. SCHUDEL. 2001. First isolation of rotavirus associated with neonatal diarrhea in guanacos (*Lama guanicoe*) in the Argentinean Patagonia region. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine B* 48: 713–720.
- PUNTEL, M., N. A. FONDEVILÁ, J. BLANCO VIERA, V. K. O'DONNELL, J. F. MARCOVECCHIO, B. J. CARRILLO, AND A. A. SCHUDEL. 1999. Serological survey of viral antibodies in llamas (*Lama glama*) in Argentina. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine B* 46: 157–161.
- SARAIVA, V. 2004. Foot-and-mouth disease in the Americas: Epidemiology and ecologic changes affecting distribution. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 1026: 73–78.
- TEXEIRA, C. P., C. S. DE AZEVEDO, M. MENDEL, C. F. CIPRESTE, AND R. J. YOUNG. 2007. Revisiting translocation and reintroduction programmes: The importance of considering stress. *Animal Behaviour* 73: 1–13.
- WEBER, H., K. BECKMANN, AND L. HAAS. 2006. Case report: Equine arteritis virus (EAV) as the cause of abortion in alpacas? *Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift* 113: 162–163.
- WERNERY, U., AND O. R. KAADEN. 2004. Foot-and-mouth disease in camelids: A review. *Veterinary Journal* 168: 134–142.
- WHEELER, J., AND D. HOCES. 1997. Community participation, sustainable use, and vicuña conservation in Perú. *Mountain Research and Development* 17: 283–287.

Submitted for publication 3 April 2008.

Authors QueriesJournal: **Journal of Wildlife Diseases**Paper: **jwdi-46-02-33**Title: **Antibodies to Pathogenic Livestock Viruses in a Wild Vicuña
?(*Vicugna vicugna*) Population in the Argentinean Andean Altiplano**

Dear Author

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen. Please attend to these matters and return this form with your proof. Many thanks for your assistance

Query Reference	Query	Remarks
1	Author: This article has been lightly edited for grammar, style, and usage. Please compare it with your original document and make corrections on these pages. Please limit your corrections to substantive changes that affect meaning. If no change is required in response to a question, please write "OK as set" in the margin. Copy editor	
2	Author: Please spell out CICVyA, INTA in first affiliation and VICAM in second affiliation and provide full addresses (including city and postal codes) for all affiliations. Provide department for fourth affiliation? Copy editor	

3	Author: In the first paragraph of the text, you cite Fowler (1994). This is listed as 1998 in the literature cited list. Which date is correct? Also, you cite Mattson (1994). This has been edited to Mattson and Baker (1994) to match the literature cited list. Copy editor	
4	Author: Please provide city, state/province, and country for Becton Dickinson and Co. in methods paragraph. Copy editor	
5	Author: Please spell out PBS at first use in third paragraph. Copy editor	
6	Author: Please define GM in title or footnote for Table 2. Copy editor	
7	Author: Please verify titer 1.8 in first sentence of eighth paragraph. It seems like an odd titer: should it be 8? Copy editor	
8	Author: Please spell out MACS at first use in acknowledgments paragraph. Copy editor	
9	Author: Please provide page range and clarify first vs. surname initials for Cabello et al. (2006) reference. Copy editor	

10	Author: Please spell out journal title in Fondevilá et al. (1995) reference. Copy editor	
11	Author: Please provide city of publication for Fowler (1998) reference. Copy editor	
12	Author: Please verify correct initials for Gimpel in Gimpel and Bonacic (2006) reference. Copy editor	
13	Author: Please verify series/journal title for Mattson and Baker (1994) reference. Copy editor	
14	Author: Please spell out OIE in parentheses in OIE (2008) reference. Copy editor	