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Abstract: We analyze the finite temperature behaviour of massless conformally coupled scalar fields in homogeneous lens spaces $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. High and low temperature expansions are explicitly computed and the behavior of thermodynamic quantities under thermal duality is scrutinized. The analysis of the entropy in the high-temperature limit of the different lens spaces points out the appearance of a temperature-independent contribution of topological origin to the entropy. The remaining terms are exponentially suppressed by the temperature. The topological contribution to the entropy appears as a subleading correction to the Stefan-Boltzmann term of the free energy, and can be obtained from the determinant of the lens space conformal Laplacian operator. In the low-temperature limit the leading term in the free energy is the Casimir energy and there is no trace of any power correction in any lens space. In fact, the remaining corrections are always exponentially suppressed by the inverse of the temperature. The duality between the results of both expansions is further analyzed in the paper.
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## 1 Introduction

The appearance of black holes, the Unruh effect and the discovery of Hawking radiation pointed out, time ago, the thermodynamical behavior induced by gravitational backgrounds. It is known that the existence of causal horizons generates the basic ingredients for a thermodynamic background: entropy and temperature. Space-time horizons in cosmological backgrounds also provide the basis for the presence of a similar thermodynamics at cosmological scales [1]. A dramatic twist on this connection was produced by the formulation of the holographic principle $[2,3]$ and the conjecture about the thermodynamical origin of the gravitational interaction [4, 5]. The thermodynamics induced by gravitational backgrounds is very special, with expected bounds on the entropy induced by quantum fields [6-9]. In the case of closed manifolds, a particularly interesting point is the conjectured validity of the Cardy-Verlinde formula [10, 11]. Such formula is a natural generalization of Cardy's formula, which holds for any $1+1$ conformal field theory. The hint for the extension of Cardy's formula to higher dimensions was the behavior of the holographic AdS/CFT correspondence in the strong coupling regime. Checks of the validity of the Cardy-Verlinde formula in the high-temperature limit of free field theories were the subject of several papers $[12-14]$. However, the results in some of these papers were not concluding, due to the presence of ambiguities associated with zero modes [15].

In $1+1$ dimensions free bosonic field theories have zero modes and one way of removing their ambiguities is by compactification of the field into a sigma model, as in string theory [16]. To avoid ambiguities, in this paper we shall explore the dependence of the entropy on the topological structure of spherical 3-dimensional manifolds. In these cases, no zero modes appear and, thus, no ambiguities remain when evaluating thermodynamic
quantities. In particular, we shall consider space-times with $\mathbb{R} \times L(p, 1)$ topology, where $L(p, 1)=S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a compact three-dimensional homogeneous lens space.

In general, thermodynamic quantities are highly dependent on the geometric and topological properties of the spatial manifold. We shall focus on the behaviour of the entropy. There are two types of interesting subleading corrections to the entropy which deserve study. In the case of compact manifolds with boundaries, bulk entropy is an extensive quantity, since it is proportional to the volume of the space. On the contrary, boundary entropy has not such an extensive character and might mimic the black-hole entropy behavior. In $1+1$ dimensions, this entropy is dimensionless and can have a logarithmic dependence on the space volume or be a space independent quantity [16-19]. In a manifold without boundary, a similar dimensionless subleading contribution can arise and, because of its dependence on the topological structure of the space, it can be called a topological subleading entropy. This contribution is reminiscent of the topological entanglement entropy which appears in field theories when tracing out degrees of freedom on a space domain [20].

The current cosmological model is consistent with a spatially flat Universe, although most of the relevant data are compatible with a very tiny curvature [21, 22]. In this case, a possible space-time topology is $\mathbb{R} \times S^{3}$. However, the physical observations do not allow to establish in a conclusive way whether the space is simply or multiply connected. Closed spaces leave their fingerprints in small contributions to low multipoles of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and current observations do show a strong suppression of low multipoles (quadrupole, octupole, etc.). They also show a strange alignment of the quadrupole and octupole multipoles associated to the appearance of Southern hemisphere cool fingers. All these data suggest a possible role of the finite size and topology of the space manifold in the low-mode behavior of the CMB. Non-trivial topologies of space-time have been suggested as a possible explanation of the presence of anomalies in the spectrum of CMB observed by WMAP [23, 24] (see also [25-30]). This is another motivation to explore possible space-time topologies of the form $\mathbb{R} \times L(p, 1)$. In fact, space-times with non-trivial topology $L(p, 1)$ were considered already by de Sitter [31].

To analyze the thermodynamics of fields on such manifolds we shall consider for simplicity a static Einstein metric, which can be obtained from the FLRW metric via a conformal mapping. The thermodynamics in static Einstein space-times was first studied by Dowker and Critchley [32] and, in de Sitter space-times, by Gibbons and Hawking [1].

In this paper, we perform a complete evaluation of the relevant functional determinants, through the zeta function regularization technique [33]. Using the duality transformation properties of the infinite sums appearing in the evaluation of the logarithm of the determinant, we obtain two equivalent expressions for the effective action on $S^{3}$ and on any homogeneous lens space, which provides the basis for the low- and high-temperature expansions of the free energy.

We focus on the analysis of subleading corrections to the thermodynamic quantities associated to conformal scalar quantum fields due to non-trivial background topologies. Einstein space-times over lens spaces $L(p, 1)$ have been already considered by Dowker and coworkers at zero temperature [34-37], and an approach to the finite temperature problem with a dynamical spatial metric in three Euclidean space-time dimensions, through squashed lens spaces, can be found in [38].

In section 3 we give a first expression for the finite temperature effective action, which leads to a high-temperature expansion and show that, apart from the usual StefanBoltzmann term, an extra, temperature independent term appears, which is the origin of a non-vanishing topological subleading entropy. We also derive explicit expressions for exponential corrections to both contributions.

In section 4 we give a second, equivalent, expression for the same object, which gives a low-temperature expansion and allows for the determination of the Casimir energies of all the spherical spaces considered. The remaining contributions are exponentially suppressed. In all cases, the internal energy does coincide with the Casimir energy and, thus, the entropy vanishes, in agreement with the first law of Thermodynamics.

Section 5 contains the evaluation of the free and internal energies, as well as the entropy, in both temperature limits, together with an analysis of the behavior of the internal energies under the thermal duality (T-duality) transformation.

Finally, we rederive in appendix A the effective action on the 3 -sphere $S^{3}$ using the Abel-Plana formula in order to clarify some confusing issues found in the literature.

## 2 Conformal scalar field in $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$

Let us consider for simplicity the case of a massless scalar field with conformal coupling. The action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4} x \sqrt{g}\left\{g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi+\frac{n-1}{4 n} R \phi^{2}\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=\frac{n(n-1)}{a^{2}}$ with $n=3$ is the curvature of $L(p, 1)$.
The partition function can be computed by functional integral methods. A finite temperature can be introduced by compactifying the Euclidean time and imposing periodic boundary conditions, according to the bosonic statistics of scalar fields. This amounts to considering Euclidean functional integrals over $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$. The functional integral associated to the Euclidean action (2.1) is a gaussian integral whose covariance operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\square+\frac{n-1}{4 n} R=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\mu} g^{\mu \nu} \sqrt{g} \partial_{\nu}+\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4 a^{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S^{1}$ modes are given by Matsubara frequencies $\omega_{l}=\frac{2 \pi l}{\beta}$ and the spatial modes are given by the eigenvalues of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ on $L(p, 1)$ shifted by $\frac{1}{a^{2}}$. This extra term comes from the conformal coupling to the curvature (last term in (2.1)) and is essential to avoid the existence of zero modes and the presence of ambiguities in the derivation of thermodynamic quantities. Such ambiguities do affect similar calculations in $1+1$ dimensions, where the conformal term vanishes. The eigenvalues of the operator $-\Delta+\frac{1}{a^{2}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}^{2}=\left(\frac{k}{a}\right)^{2}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their degeneracy depends on the parity of $p$. For $p=2 q+1$ odd the degeneracies are

$$
d_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
k\left[\frac{k}{2 q+1}\right], & \text { for } & k-(2 q+1)\left[\frac{k}{2 q+1}\right]
\end{array} \text { even } \quad \begin{array}{ll}
k\left(\left[\frac{k}{2 q+1}\right]+1\right), & \text { for }  \tag{2.4}\\
k-(2 q+1)\left[\frac{k}{2 q+1}\right] & \text { odd, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

whereas, for $q$ even, they are

$$
d_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
0, & \text { for } & k & \text { even }  \tag{2.5}\\
k\left(2\left[\frac{k}{2 q}\right]+1\right), & \text { for } & k & \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

In both cases $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$.
The finite temperature one-loop effective action can be evaluated, by using zetafunction regularization [33], as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}}=-\log Z_{\beta} \equiv-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s} \zeta_{M}(s)\right|_{s=0}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{M}(s)=\mu^{2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left(\left(\frac{2 \pi l}{\beta}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right)^{-s},
$$

$M=L(p, 1)$ being the spatial manifold. As usual, the parameter $\mu$ is introduced to render the zeta function dimensionless and to take into account renormalization group dependences. As we will see, in our case the results will be $\mu$-independent. This is so because we are dealing with a conformal classical theory and the conformal anomaly vanishes due to the special geometry of Einstein spaces and the special topology of $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$. This anomaly is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{1920 \pi^{2}} C^{2}-\frac{1}{5760 \pi^{2}} E+a^{\prime} \square R, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the scalar curvature,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}= & R_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\beta \mu} R_{\alpha \nu}-g_{\alpha \mu} R_{\beta \nu}+g_{\alpha \nu} R_{\beta \mu}-g_{\beta \nu} R_{\alpha \mu}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} R\left(g_{\alpha \mu} g_{\beta \nu}-g_{\alpha \nu} g_{\beta \mu}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, and

$$
E=R_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} R^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}-4 R_{\alpha \beta} R^{\alpha \beta}+R^{2}
$$

the Gauss-Bonet term. The coefficient $a^{\prime}$ is ambiguous [39], but since the curvature $R$ is constant the last term of the anomaly (2.7) vanishes. The Weyl tensor $C$ also vanishes because the FLRW metric is conformally flat. Finally, the Gauss-Bonet term also vanish identically due to the special geometry of $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$. In fact, it is immediate to verify that the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi=b_{0}-b_{1}+b_{2}-b_{3}+b_{4}$ of $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$ is zero $(\chi=1-1+0-1+1=0)$ for any space-time metric; and this characteristic $\chi$ is nothing but the integral of $E$ on $S^{1} \times L(p, 1)$. However, in general, this is not the case and there is a non-vanishing trace (conformal) anomaly in the four dimensional theory [40, 41]. In such cases, a logarithmic dependence on $\mu$ remains.

## 3 A first expression for $S_{\text {eff }}$ and the high-temperature limit

In this section, we will perform the analytic extension of the zeta function in a way which is adequate to isolate the infinite temperature $(\beta \rightarrow 0)$ terms from their corrections. We will show that these last terms are exponentially suppressed in all cases. The determination, through a different extension, of alternative expressions useful in the zero-temperature limit, will be obtained in the next section.

3 -sphere $M=S^{3}$. In this case, the degeneracies are given by $d_{k}=k^{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)=(\mu a)^{2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{\beta}\right)^{2}+k^{2}\right)^{-s} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the previous expression can be written as $\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)=\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0}(s)+\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l \neq 0}(s)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0}(s)=(\mu a)^{2 s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(2 s-2)}=(\mu a)^{2 s} \zeta_{R}(2 s-2), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{R}(z)$ is Riemann's zeta function. We remark that the contribution of this term to the effective action

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s} \zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0}(s)\right|_{s=0}=\frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{det}\left(-\Delta+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

does coincide with the effective action of the same bosonic field on the Euclidean space $S^{3}$. This is a general feature which holds for all space times of the form $S^{1} \times M$. In particular it will also be true for lens spaces, where $M=L(p, 1)$.

The higher-mode contribution can be splitted into two terms,

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l \neq 0}(s) & =(\mu a)^{2 s}\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[k^{2}+\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-(s-1)}-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[k^{2}+\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\} \\
& =\zeta_{1}(s)+\zeta_{2}(s) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We can write $\zeta_{1}(s)$ in terms of the Mellin transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}(s)=\frac{(\mu a)^{2 s}}{\Gamma(s-1)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1-1} e^{-t\left[k^{2}+\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite sum over $k$ can be treated via the Poisson inversion formula for the Jacobi theta functions, or its equivalent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+c)^{2}}=\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{\pi^{2} k^{2}}{t}\right)} e^{2 \pi i k c}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives as a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}(s)=\frac{(\mu a)^{2 s} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(s-1)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-\frac{3}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2} k^{2}}{t}} e^{-t\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

After performing the integrals, and using $\Gamma(s-1)=\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{(s-1) s}$, one finally has

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{1}(s)= & \frac{(\mu a)^{2 s}(s-1) s \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(s+1)}\left\{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{3-2 s} \zeta_{R}(2 s-3)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 l a}{k \beta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-s} K_{s-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{4 l k a \pi^{2}}{\beta}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{\nu}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of order $\nu$ [42].
The analytic extension of $\zeta_{2}(s)$ is performed in the same way and gives as a result

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{2}(s)= & -\frac{(\mu a)^{2 s} s \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(s+1)}\left\{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{3-2 s} \zeta_{R}(2 s-3)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 l \pi a}{\beta}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2 l a}{k \beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{4 l k a \pi^{2}}{\beta}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Looking back at equations (3.2), (3.8) and (3.9) one can verify that, as commented before, $\zeta_{S^{3}}(0)=0$. For this reason it is easy to perform the $s$-derivative and, thus, to evaluate the effective action for the 3 -sphere according to (2.6). After using the explicit expressions for the modified Bessel functions, the final result is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \zeta_{R}(3)-\frac{\pi^{4}}{45}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} k a l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} k a l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} k a l}{\beta}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression is valid for all temperatures. In particular, in the high-temperature limit only the first two terms remain. The first term (which comes from $l=0$ ) is the one which gives rise to the topological subleading entropy, while the second one is the volume term, with the usual Stefan-Boltzmann dependence on the temperature.

As already said, the topological contribution to the effective action (3.10) can be directly computed from the determinant of the corresponding spatial operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{det}\left(-\Delta_{S^{3}}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \zeta_{R}(3) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, it is interesting to note that the infinite temperature limit for this same case was studied time ago in $[13,43]$. However, the constant term does not appear in any of these references. The origin of this absence is clear in the case of the first reference, where the authors evaluate the internal energy in this limit and disregard the arbitrary constant when integrating back to obtain the effective action. Why it is missing in the second case will be analyzed in appendix A, where we shall also explore the connection between the topological subleading entropy and the extensive entropy density of the same theory in a two dimensional space.

As we will see in what follows, the knowledge of the effective action for the 3 -sphere (the covering space) will greatly simplify the calculation in all the lens spaces $L(p, 1)$. Because of the different behavior of the degeneracy of the spectrum for even or odd values of $p$ we shall study both cases separately.

Odd lens spaces $M=L(2 q+1,1)$. As already mentioned, the knowledge of the complete effective action for $S^{3}$ proves extremely useful in simplifying the task of determining the effective action for these lens spaces. The degeneracies of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}^{2}$ are given by (2.4). Now, writing $k=n(2 q+1)+m$ with $n=0,1, \ldots, \infty$ and $m=0,1, \ldots, 2 q$, we obtain

$$
d_{k}= \begin{cases}k\left(\frac{k-m}{2 q+1}\right), & \text { for } m \text { even }  \tag{3.12}\\ k\left(\frac{k-m}{2 q+1}+1\right), & \text { for } m \text { odd. }\end{cases}
$$

The first term in both lines, when summed over all possible values of $m$, will reproduce the degeneracy of $S^{3}$, divided by the order of the cyclic group. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)=\frac{\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)}{2 q+1}+\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)=-\frac{(\mu a)^{2 s}}{2 q+1} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\
n=0}}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{q} 2 m(n(2 q+1)+2 m)\left[(n(2 q+1)+2 m)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 l a \pi}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} 2(m-q)(n(2 q+1)+2 m+1)\left[(n(2 q+1)+2 m+1)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 l a \pi}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

After changing $q-m$ by $m$ in the second term, and extracting some factors $2 q+1$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)=-2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q+1}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\
n=0}}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{q} m\left(n+\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)\left[\left(n+\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\sum_{m=1}^{q} m\left(n+1-\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)\left[\left(n+1-\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\} \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

We evaluate, in the first place, the contribution of the $l=0$ term. As we have already commented, this is the part of the effective action which, added to the corresponding term in the effective action of $S^{3}$ divided by $2 q+1$, will give rise to the topological subleading entropy. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}^{l=0}(s)=-2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q+1}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m\left\{\zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)-\zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1,1-\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{H}(z, q)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function.
That this piece of the relevant zeta function vanishes at $s=0$ can be easily shown by using the series representation [42], formula 9.521 .2 for the Hurwitz zeta, which, taking into account that $\cos \left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi=\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s)}$, gives as a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}^{l=0}(s)=-8\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q+1}\right)^{2 s} \frac{\Gamma(2-2 s)(2 \pi)^{2 s-2} \pi s}{\Gamma(1+s) \Gamma(1-s)} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2 s-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternative expression for (3.16) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0} \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1} \\
&(s)=-2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q+1}\right)^{2 s}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2 q} l \zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{l}{2 q+1}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{2 q+1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{2 m+1}{2 q+1}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such equivalence is easily shown by renaming indices and reordering terms.
The contribution from $l \neq 0$ terms in (3.15) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}^{l \neq 0}(s)= \\
& =-4\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q+1}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1}(m+1) \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\
n=-\infty}}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{2 m+2}{2 q+1}\right)\left\{\left(n+\frac{2 m+2}{2 q+1}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-s} \\
& =-\left.\frac{(\mu a)^{2 s}(2 q+1)^{1-2 s}}{(1-s)} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1}(m+1) \frac{d}{d \alpha} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\
n=-\infty}}^{\infty}\left\{\left(n+\frac{2 m+2 \alpha}{2 q+1}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right)^{2}\right\}^{-s+1}\right|_{\alpha=1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the double infinite sum can be extended analytically as done for similar expressions in the $S^{3}$ case, to obtain
$\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}^{l \neq 0}(s)=\frac{-16(\mu a)^{2 s} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} s}{\Gamma(s+1)(2 q+1)^{2 s}} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{l, n=1}^{\infty} n \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right)\left(\frac{(2 q+1) \beta n}{2 a l}\right)^{s-\frac{3}{2}} K_{s-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} a l n}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right)$.

Note that also this part of the zeta function vanishes at $s=0$.
Now, we can add the contributions to the effective action coming from equations (3.17) and (3.18). We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{4 \pi^{2} a l n}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right) e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} a l n}{(2 q+1) \beta}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the first term is the one remaining in the high-temperature limit, while the others are exponentially decreasing corrections. According to equation (3.13), we must add this to the effective action of the 3 -sphere (equation (3.10)) divided by $2 q+1$ to get the complete effective action for $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}(2 q+1)} \zeta_{R}(3)+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right)-\frac{8 \pi^{4}}{3(2 q+1)}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3} \zeta_{R}(-3) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}(2 q+1)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{4 \pi^{2} a l n}{(2 q+1) \beta}\right) e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} a l n}{(2 q+1) \beta}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, in the $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit one has
$S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}=\frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4 \pi^{2}(2 q+1)}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right)-\frac{8 \pi^{4} \zeta_{R}(-3)}{3(2 q+1)}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{a}{\beta}}\right)$.
The first and second terms are the ones contributing to the topological subleading entropy, while the last term leads to a modified extensive entropy of Stefan-Boltzmann type.

Again the first two terms of the effective action (3.20) come from the determinant of the corresponding spatial operator.

Even lens spaces $M=\boldsymbol{L}(2 q, 1)$. In this case, the degeneracy of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}^{2}$ vanishes for $k$ even. Thus, it is very easy to relate the effective action for these homogeneous lens spaces to the one of $S^{3}$.

Let us first analyze the simplest case, $L(2,1)=S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(s)=(\mu a)^{2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2 n+1)^{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{\beta}\right)^{2}+(2 n+1)^{2}\right)^{-s} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can add and subtract to the previous expression the missing contribution from the even modes to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(s)=(\mu a)^{2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{k^{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{\beta}\right)^{2}+k^{2}\right)^{-s}-(2 k)^{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 \pi a l}{\beta}\right)^{2}+(2 k)^{2}\right)^{-s}\right\} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then clear that the first term between curly brackets is nothing but $\zeta_{S^{3}}(s, \beta)$, while the second term, with opposite sign is $2^{2-2 s} \zeta_{S^{3}}(s, 2 \beta)$.

Taking into account that $\zeta_{S^{3}}(0)=0$ no matter the value of $\beta$, the effective action for this very simple lens space can be obtained from equation (3.10) as

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}=S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}(\beta)-4 S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}(2 \beta),
$$

which gives as a result

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}= & -\frac{3}{4 \pi^{2}} \zeta_{R}(3)-\frac{4 \pi^{4}}{3}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3} \zeta_{R}(-3) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}} \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, the high-temperature limit leaves a topological term in addition to the expected Stefan-Boltzmann one, and the corrections are exponentially decreasing.

The complete effective action for generic even lens spaces $L(2 q, 1)$ can be simplified by using the one of $L(2,1)$. In fact, the degeneracies are given by equation (2.5). Using a new index $k=0,1, \ldots, \infty$ to label the odd eigenvalues, we have

$$
d_{k}=\left(2\left[\frac{2 k+1}{2 q}\right]+1\right)(2 k+1)
$$

Now, we can write $k=n q+m$ with $n=0,1, \ldots, \infty$ and $m=0,1, \ldots, q-1$. Then,

$$
d_{k}=\left(2 \frac{k-m}{q}+1\right)(2 k+1)=\frac{(2 k+1)^{2}}{q}+\left(\frac{q-m-1}{q}-\frac{m}{q}\right)(2 k+1) .
$$

The first term is nothing but the degeneracy in the case $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, divided by $q$. Thus, the total zeta function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s)=\frac{\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(s)}{q}+\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s), \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s)=2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\ n=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1}((q-m-1)-m)\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left[\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\pi a l}{q \beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}$
or, changing $m \rightarrow q-m-1$ in the first term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s)= & 2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\
n=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m\left\{\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left[\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\pi a l}{q \beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left[\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\pi a l}{q \beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As done in the previous cases, we evaluate first the contribution of the $l=0$ term which, added to the corresponding term in the effective action of $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ divided by $q$, will give rise to the topological subleading entropy. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}^{l=0}(s)=-2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m\left\{\zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)-\zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1,1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\right\} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, this piece of the relevant zeta function vanishes at $s=0$ and can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0} \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}(s)=-8\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s} \frac{\Gamma(2-2 s) \pi s}{(2 \pi)^{2-2 s} \Gamma(1+s) \Gamma(1-s)} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2 s-2} \sin \frac{2 \pi n(2 m+1)}{2 q} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

An alternative expression for (3.27) can be obtained by renaming indices, reordering terms and, finally, using a multiplication theorem for Hurwitz zeta function (see [44, 45] and references therein),

$$
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}^{l=0}(s)=-2\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s}\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{q-1}(2 m+1) \zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)-q^{2 s} \zeta_{H}\left(2 s-1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\},
$$

which added to the corresponding contribution of $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ reproduces the result obtained for the zeta function of the spatial operator in [36].

The contribution from $l \neq 0$, evaluated with the same techniques used in the odd case, gives as a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}^{l \neq 0}(s)=\frac{-16 \pi^{3 / 2} s}{q \Gamma(s+1)}\left(\frac{\mu a}{2 q}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{l, n=1}^{\infty} n \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left(\frac{q \beta n}{a l}\right)^{s-\frac{3}{2}} K_{s-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l n}{q \beta}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can determine the contribution to the effective action coming from equations (3.28) and (3.29), which is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}= & \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
& +\frac{2}{\pi q} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n, l=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left(1+\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l n}{\beta q}\right) e^{-\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l n}{\beta q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Also in this case, the first term is the one remaining in the high-temperature limit, and the others are exponentially decreasing corrections. According to equation (3.25), we must add this to the effective action on $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (equation (3.24)) divided by $q$ to get the complete effective action on $S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}$. Thus, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}= & -\frac{3}{4 q \pi^{2}} \zeta_{R}(3)-\frac{4 \pi^{4}}{3 q}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3} \zeta_{R}(-3)+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} q} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}} \\
& -\frac{1}{q \pi^{2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left[2+2 \frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}+\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] e^{-\frac{2 \pi^{2} a k l}{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{2}{\pi q} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n, l=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left(1+\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l n}{\beta q}\right) e^{-\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l n}{\beta q}} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, in the $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}=-\frac{3 \zeta_{R}(3)}{4 \pi^{2} q}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)-\frac{4 \pi^{4} \zeta_{R}(-3)}{3 q}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{a}{\beta}}\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first and second terms are the ones contributing to the topological subleading entropy, while the last term leads to a modified extensive entropy.

Again the first two terms are obtained from the determinant of the spatial operator, and are equivalent to the result in [36].

## 4 An alternative expression for $S_{\text {eff }}$ and the low-temperature limit

As already said, in this section we obtain alternative expressions for the effective actions, which are adequate for taking the low-temperature limit. This time we use the JacobiPoisson formula to invert the infinite sums over $l$ instead of those over $k$. Let us begin by analyzing the field theory on the 3 -sphere.

3 -sphere $M=S^{3}$. We rewrite the relevant zeta function as

$$
\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left[\left(\frac{k \beta}{2 \pi a}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}
$$

or, using the Mellin transform,

$$
\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1} e^{-\left[\left(\frac{k \beta}{2 \pi a}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right] t} .
$$

Here, a direct application of (3.6) leads to

$$
\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)=\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma(s)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{k \beta}{2 \pi a}\right)^{2} t}+2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{k \beta}{2 \pi a}\right)^{2} t} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2} l^{2}}{t}}\right\},
$$

where we made the term corresponding to $l=0$ explicit. This is the one which will remain in the zero-temperature limit. Once the integral is performed, it reads

$$
\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l=0}(s)=s \frac{\beta \mu^{2 s}}{2 \pi^{1 / 2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(s+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{3-2 s}}{a^{1-2 s}} .
$$

For the remaining terms the integral can also be performed, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3}}^{l \neq 0}(s)=s \frac{2 \pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma(s+1)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l}{k \beta}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{k \beta l}{a}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, performing the $s$-derivative, the total effective action can be expressed as

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}=\frac{\beta}{2 a} \zeta_{R}(-3)-2 \pi^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} a l}{k \beta}\right)^{-1 / 2} K_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{k \beta l}{a}\right)
$$

or, using the explicit expression of the modified Bessel function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}=\frac{\beta}{240 a}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{-1} e^{-\frac{\beta k l}{a}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the sum over $l$ can be performed, giving as a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}=\frac{\beta}{240 a}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \log \left(1-e^{-k \beta / a}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the appendix A it is shown how, from this expression, it is possible to recover the high-temperature expansion by means of Abel-Plana formula.

The last form of the effective action was to be expected since, for any compact manifold, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}}=\beta E_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \log \left(1-e^{-\beta \lambda_{k}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{k}^{2}$ are the eigenvalues of minus the conformal Laplacian, $d_{k}$ are their degeneracies and $E_{0}$ is the regularized vacuum energy at zero temperature. Let us show that the same expansion can be derived for any spherical space $S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}$ in the effective action approach, with $\Gamma^{*}$ any discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. This includes the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ but also the binary cubic $\mathbb{D}_{q}^{*}$, tetrahedral $\mathbb{T}^{*}$, octahedral $\mathbb{O}^{*}$, and icosahedral groups $\mathbb{Y}^{*}$ [30]. In those cases $\lambda_{k}^{2}$ are the corresponding eigenvalues of the conformal laplacian $-\Delta+\frac{1}{a^{2}}$ acting on the space of square integrable functions on $S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}$ and $d_{k}$ their degeneracies.

We rewrite the relevant zeta function as

$$
\zeta_{S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}(s)=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{k} \beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}
$$

or, using the Mellin transform,

$$
\zeta_{S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}(s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1} e^{-\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{k} \beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right] t}
$$

A direct application of (3.6) leads to
$\zeta_{S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}(s)=\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma(s)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{\lambda_{k} \beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} t}+2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{\lambda_{k} \beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} t} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2} l^{2}}{t}}\right\}$.
Here, we made the $l=0$ term explicit. This is the one which will remain in the zero temperature limit. Once the integral is performed, it reads

$$
\zeta_{S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}^{l=0}(s)=s \frac{\beta \mu^{2 s}}{2 \pi^{1 / 2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(s+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \lambda_{k}^{1-2 s}
$$

For the remaining terms, the integral can also be performed, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}^{l \neq 0}(s)=s \frac{2 \pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma(s+1)}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mu \beta}\right)^{-2 s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} l}{\lambda_{k} \beta}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{k} \beta l\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, performing the $s$-derivative, the total effective action can be expressed as

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}=\beta E_{c}-2 \pi^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} l}{\lambda_{k} \beta}\right)^{-1 / 2} K_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{k} \beta l\right)
$$

where $E_{c}$ is the Casimir energy, or, using the explicit expression of the modified Bessel function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}=\beta E_{c}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{-1} e^{-\lambda_{k} \beta l} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the sum over $l$ can be performed, giving as a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \Gamma^{*}}=\beta E_{c}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \log \left(1-e^{-\lambda_{k} \beta}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will see later, in the case of lens spaces $L(p, 1)$ the value of the Casimir energy can be easily evaluated [34-36]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c}=-\frac{p^{4}+10 p^{2}-14}{720 p} \frac{1}{a} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining terms are exponentially suppressed, as can be seen from (4.7).

Odd lens spaces $M=L(2 q+1,1)$. As shown in the previous section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)=\frac{\zeta_{S^{3}}(s)}{2 q+1}+\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, starting from (3.14), replacing $m$ with $q-m$ in the second term and extracting a convenient overall factor, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)= & \frac{-2(\mu \beta)^{2 s}}{(2 q+1)(2 \pi)^{2 s}} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\
n=0}}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{q} m(n(2 q+1)+2 m)\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2 a \pi}\right)^{2}(n(2 q+1)+2 m)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{m=1}^{q} m((n+1)(2 q+1)-2 m)\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2 a \pi}\right)^{2}((n+1)(2 q+1)-2 m)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The infinite sum over $l$ can be inverted using, again, equation (3.6), and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}(s)= & -\frac{2(2 \pi)^{-2 s}}{(2 q+1)(\mu \beta)^{-2 s}} \frac{s \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \left\{[n(2 q+1)+2 m] \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{l^{2} \pi^{2}}{t}} e^{-t\left(\frac{\beta}{2 a \pi}\right)^{2}[n(2 q+1)+2 m]^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-[(n+1)(2 q+1)-2 m] \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{l^{2} \pi^{2}}{t}} e^{-t\left(\frac{\beta}{2 a \pi}\right)^{2}[(n+1)(2 q+1)-2 m]^{2}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The evaluation of the effective action is, from this point on, entirely analogous to the ones already performed. Thus, we merely give the final result for $\delta S_{\text {eff, } S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}$, which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta S_{\text {eff }, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}= & \frac{(2 q+1) \beta}{a \pi^{3}} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right) \\
& +2 \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\
n=0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l}\left\{\left(n+\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right) e^{-\frac{\beta l(2 q+1)}{a}\left(n+\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(n+1-\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right) e^{-\frac{\beta l(2 q+1)}{a}\left(n+1-\frac{2 m}{2 q+1}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual, the first term, which is the contribution from $l=0$, is the one which will remain (together with the corresponding one in the effective action of $S^{3}$ divided by $2 q+1$ ) in the zero temperature limit. The remaining terms are exponential corrections.

Thus, the complete effective action at low temperatures is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}} & =\frac{\beta}{2 a(2 q+1)} \zeta_{R}(-3)+\frac{(2 q+1) \beta}{a \pi^{3}} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi n m}{q+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\beta}{2 a(2 q+1)} \zeta_{R}(-3)-\frac{3 q+4 q^{2}+2 q^{3}+q^{4}}{45(2 q+1)} \frac{\beta}{a}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second line is easily obtained performing first the sum over $n$ in the first identity. The second term is what we will call the 'genuine topological contribution' in the sense that it comes from non-trivial holonomies. This equation can also be written as

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q+1}}=\frac{14-10(1+2 q)^{2}-(1+2 q)^{4}}{720(1+2 q)} \frac{\beta}{a}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right)
$$

which is a particular case of the result (4.8). Here, it is clear that the first term, which depends on $1 /(2 q+1)$, is the only one that can be interpreted as the integral of a local density [30, 46].

Even lens spaces $\boldsymbol{M}=\boldsymbol{L}(2 \boldsymbol{q}, \mathbf{1})$. Let us first evaluate the $L(2,1)$ case. As done for high temperatures, we make use of

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}=S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}(\beta)-4 S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}(2 \beta)
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}=-\frac{7 \beta}{2 a} \zeta_{R}(-3)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \log \left(1-e^{-k \beta / a}\right)-4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \log \left(1-e^{-2 k \beta / a}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L(2 q, 1)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s)=\frac{\zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(s)}{q}+\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from (3.26), changing $m \rightarrow q-m-1$ in the first term and extracting convenient overall factors, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \zeta_{S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}(s)= & 2\left(\frac{\mu \beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2 s} \sum_{\substack{l=-\infty \\
n=0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} m\left\{\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left[\left(\frac{q \beta}{\pi a}\right)^{2}\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)\left[\left(\frac{q \beta}{\pi a}\right)^{2}\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)^{2}+l^{2}\right]^{-s}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The analytic extension of this piece of the effective action is almost identical to the one presented in the odd case, thus we will only give the final result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}= & \frac{2 q \beta}{a \pi^{3}} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\
n=0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l}\left\{\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right) e^{-\frac{2 \beta q l}{a}\left(n+\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right) e^{-\frac{2 \beta q l}{a}\left(n+1-\frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also here, the first term is the one remaining at zero temperature, while the others are exponential corrections. When the first contribution is added to the corresponding one coming from the effective action of $L(2,1)$ divided by $q$ one has, at low temperatures,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}} & =-\frac{7 \beta}{2 q a} \zeta_{R}(-3)+\frac{2 q \beta}{a \pi^{3}} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\beta}{4 q a} \zeta_{R}(-3)+\frac{11-40 q^{2}-16 q^{4}}{1440 q} \frac{\beta}{a}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have explicitly separated the contribution coming from the sphere divided by $2 q$, the second term is again the genuine topological contribution. Note that this last equation can also be written as

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 q}}=\frac{14-40 q^{2}-16 q^{4}}{1440 q} \frac{\beta}{a}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{a}}\right)
$$

which once more is a particular case of (4.8). As in the odd case, only the first term can be interpreted as the integral of a local density $[30,46]$.

## 5 Thermodynamic properties and thermal duality

From the general expressions obtained in the preceding sections, the thermodynamic properties of homogeneous lens spaces can be studied at any temperature. In particular, we will evaluate the free energy $F=\frac{1}{\beta} S_{\text {eff }}$, the internal energy $E=\partial_{\beta} S_{\text {eff }}$ and the entropy $S=\beta(E-F)$ in all cases, both in the low- and in the high-temperature limit.

We start with $S^{3}$. At low temperature we find

$$
F=E=\frac{1}{240 a} \quad S=0, \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty
$$

where we have used that $\zeta(-3)=1 / 120$.
In the infinite temperature limit, we have

$$
F=\frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4 \pi^{2} \beta}-\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{45 \beta^{4}} \quad E=\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{15 \beta^{4}} \quad S=-\frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4 \pi^{2}}+\frac{4 \pi^{4} a^{3}}{45 \beta^{3}}, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The results at low temperatures are very well-known. In particular, the value of the internal (vacuum or Casimir) energy coincides with the ones obtained through other types of regularization. The entropy vanishes in this limit, in agreement with the first law of Thermodynamics. As already commented, in the infinite temperature limit, apart from the usual extensive or Stefan-Boltzmann entropy (which is positive), a volume independent, negative, entropy appears, which is missing, for instance, in references [13, 43]. We call this term topological subleading entropy.

A very interesting fact appears when defining a new variable $\xi=2 \pi a / \beta$ and looking at $\tilde{E}(\xi)=(a E)(\xi)$. Then, one can verify that the values of the internal energy in lowand high-temperature limits satisfy the thermal duality law $\xi^{-2} \tilde{E}(\xi)=\xi^{2} \tilde{E}(1 / \xi)$. This indeed holds for the 3 -sphere also at arbitrary finite values of $\xi$, as can be easily verified by performing the necessary derivative in equations (3.10) and (4.3). That this "conformal" invariance applies in the case of the 3 -sphere was proved and discussed time ago in ref. [15].

Now we analyze $L(2 q+1,1)$. At low temperatures,

$$
F=E=\frac{14-10(1+2 q)^{2}-(1+2 q)^{4}}{720 a(1+2 q)} \quad S=0, \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty
$$

In the high-temperature limit, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =\frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4 \beta(2 q+1) \pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{\pi \beta} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right)-\frac{\pi^{4}}{45(2 q+1)} \frac{a^{3}}{\beta^{4}} \\
E & =\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{15(2 q+1) \beta^{4}},  \tag{5.1}\\
S & =-\frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4(2 q+1) \pi^{2}}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi n m}{2 q+1}\right)+\frac{4 \pi^{4}}{45(2 q+1)}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3}, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This case also satisfies the first law of Thermodynamics. As in the case of the 3 -sphere, a topological subleading entropy appears in the infinite temperature limit, together with a positive Stefan-Boltzmann contribution. But, unlike in the covering space $S^{3}$, the thermal duality law for the internal energy fails in Lens spaces $L(p, 1)$. This can be checked by comparing the high and the low temperature limits, since the extra term in the Casimir energy does not appear with an adequate $\xi$-dependence in the infinite temperature limit.

Finally, we go to $L(2 q, 1)$. At low temperatures,

$$
F=E=\frac{14-40 q^{2}-16 q^{4}}{1440 a q} \quad S=0, \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty
$$

while in the high-temperature limit we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=-3 \frac{\zeta_{R}(3)}{4 q \beta \pi^{2}}-\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{90 q \beta^{4}}+\frac{1}{\pi \beta} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right), \\
& E=\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{30 q \beta^{4}}, \\
& S=\frac{3 \zeta_{R}(3)}{4 q \pi^{2}}+\frac{2 \pi^{4}}{45 q}\left(\frac{a}{\beta}\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sin \left(2 \pi n \frac{2 m+1}{2 q}\right), \quad \beta \rightarrow 0 . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The thermodynamical quantities for $L(2,1)$ can be obtained from the last three expressions by taking into account that the sum over $m$ does not appear when $q=1$.

Once more, the entropy vanishes at zero temperature. In the infinite temperature limit, the Stefan-Boltzmann entropy is modified, as compared to the case of the 3 -sphere, by the expected volume factor. A topological subleading entropy appears, as in the previous cases, and there is no invariance under temperature inversion.

Notice that although the topological subleading entropy term is temperature independent, the total entropy is always monotonically increasing with the temperature. The whole entropy is also monotonically increasing with the internal energy in the high-temperature limit and its derivative w.r.t. the internal energy at constant volume is nothing but $\beta$. The agreement with thermodynamic fundamental laws is complete.

Another interesting property of the subleading topological entropy is its subbaditivity. It does not saturate in this case due to the term with the double-sum. Indeed, the sum of the subleading entropies of $p$ copies of the lens space $L(p, 1)$ is always greater than the subleading entropy of $S^{3}$.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper we have given two different analytic expressions for the effective action of a conformally coupled massless scalar field on homogeneous lens spaces, for the whole temperature range.

The high- (low-) temperature expansions of the thermodynamical quantities show that the leading terms have a power-like dependence on $1 / \beta(\beta)$ and the remaining corrections are exponentially suppressed.

In the high-temperature case the leading term is given by Stefan-Boltzmann law and its coefficient is an extensive quantity which is proportional to the space volume. For the entropy, the next order correction is temperature- and volume-independent and only depends on the space topology. This contribution does not appear in the internal energy and defines the topological subleading entropy, which characterizes the topology of the space. We have shown that it is directly given by the determinant of the spatial operator $-\Delta+\frac{1}{a^{2}}$ on the lens space. In the case of the sphere $S^{3}$ the topological subleading entropy is also directly related with the extensive entropy of the same field theory defined in one less dimension space, as can be seen in appendix A.

In the low-temperature limit, the leading contribution is the Casimir energy. Only part of it can be interpreted as the integral of a local density. Moreover, we have identified in all cases a genuine topological contribution, which comes from the holonomies and thus uniquely characterizes the lens space, in agreement with the results of ref. [30].

The presence of this topological contributions to the free energy and the entropy introduce a breaking of thermal duality unlike in conformal theories in $1+1$ dimensions. Only in a pure spherical background $S^{3}$ this thermal duality is preserved. As in the $S^{3}$ case [13], the Cardy-Verlinde formula fails in lens spaces.

The same behavior is expected for the same theory on other topologically non-trivial spherical backgrounds obtained by quotient of $S^{3}$ by binary cubic $\mathbb{D}_{q}^{*}$, tetrahedral $\mathbb{T}^{*}$, octahedral $\mathbb{O}^{*}$, and icosahedral $\mathbb{Y}^{*}$ discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ [30] which deserve further study [47].
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## A Effective action on $S^{3}$ from Abel-Plana formula

Let us see that the derivation of the effective action on $S^{3}$ using the Abel-Plana formula (APF) gives the same results. This is important because the absence of the topological subleading entropy in previous calculations [43] introduced some confusion. The problem arises because of the presence of branch-point singularities, which make the application of the APF more subtle than usual.

The calculation is based on the application of the APF [48] to the function $f(z)=$ $z^{2} \log \left(1-e^{-\beta z}\right)$, which appeared in the computation of the effective action (4.3) for the scalar field on the 3 -sphere. We shall see that even when we are dealing with a function with branch-point singularities on the imaginary axis, the APF can be applied provided they are integrable.

Let us first analyze the derivation of the APF. From the argument principle we have that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)=\oint_{\mathcal{C}} d z \frac{f(z)}{e^{2 \pi i z}-1}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a contour enclosing the values of $n$ in the sum -that is to say, surrounding the positive real axis, including the origin (see figure 1).


Figure 1. Initial contour of the Abel-Plana method.

Next, we exploit the fact that the functions are analytic in the complex right-half plane and behave properly at infinity to deform the contour $\mathcal{C}$ to the full imaginary axis (again, avoiding the origin) and rewrite the integrals there to obtain the APF in its usual form.

Now, as we already said, the function $f(z)$ we are interested in has branch-point singularities, located at the points $z_{n}=\frac{2 \pi n}{\beta} i$ on the imaginary axis, where $n$ is an integer, so in deforming the original contour to the imaginary axis we have to avoid passing by this points, say, by using small semi-circumferences to the right of the axis (see figure 2). In principle, this might introduce some kind of modification to the APF. But we can easily see that the integral of $\frac{f(z)}{e^{2 \pi z z}-1}$ over any of the semicircles centered at $z_{n}$ vanishes as its radius does, so the complete integral along this contour is equivalent to the integral over the whole imaginary axis, which leads to the usual APF

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d x f(x)+i \int_{0}^{\infty} d x \frac{f(i x)-f(-i x)}{e^{2 \pi x}-1}
$$

The calculation of the first integral is straightforward. The result is

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} d k k^{2} \log \left(1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{a}}\right)=-\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{45 \beta^{3}}
$$

In the second term we have to deal with the logarithms with some care due to the changes of the arguments with $x$. The result is shown to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}=-\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{45 \beta^{3}}+\frac{\zeta(3)}{4 \pi^{2}}+2 \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_{2 n \pi a / \beta}^{2(n+1) \pi a / \beta} d x \frac{x^{2}}{e^{2 \pi x}-1} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The summation term can be further simplified. Using the geometric sum formula

$$
\frac{1}{e^{2 \pi x-1}}=e^{-2 \pi x} \frac{1}{1-e^{-2 \pi x}}=e^{-2 \pi x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \pi k x}
$$

and interchanging this new sum with the (finite) integral, we obtain the following result


Figure 2. Deformed contour of the Abel-Plana method.
for this last term

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\left.2 \pi \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2 \pi k x}}{4 \pi^{3} k^{3}}\left(1+2 \pi k x+2 \pi^{2} k^{2} x^{2}\right)\right|_{2 l \pi / \beta} ^{2(l+1) \pi / \beta} \\
&=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}\left\{e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2}(l+1) k}{\beta}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2}(l+1) k}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2}(l+1) k}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]-\right. \\
&\left.\quad-e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can change the index in the first term of the $l$-sum to obtain finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{eff}, S^{3}}=-\frac{\pi^{4} a^{3}}{45 \beta^{3}}+\frac{\zeta(3)}{4 \pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum_{l, k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}} e^{-\frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}}\left[2+2 \frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}+\left(\frac{4 \pi^{2} l k}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides with the one obtained by zeta-function regularization (eq. (3.10)). The last two terms, that are missing in ref. [43], arise from the above-mentioned jumps in the argument of the complex logarithms.

The Abel-Plana derivation of the topological subleading entropy shows that it can be related to the Stefan-Boltzmann leading term of the entropy of the same conformal theory in one less dimension. Indeed, in this case entropy can also be calculated from the AbelPlana formula. The effective action of a conformal scalar on a $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ space-time is given
by the expression (4.4) with $\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{2 a}(2 k+1)$ and $d_{k}=2 k+1$, i.e.

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}}-\beta E_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2 k+1) \log \left(1-e^{-\frac{\beta(2 k+1)}{2 a}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \log \frac{1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{2 a}}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{a}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Thus, the extensive term of this action is

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} d k k \log \frac{1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{2 a}}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{a}}\right)^{2}}
$$

The corresponding Stefan-Boltzmann contribution to the entropy $S=\left(\beta \partial_{\beta}-1\right) S_{\text {eff }}$ is then given by

$$
S_{P}=\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \frac{k^{2}}{2 a}\left[\frac{1}{e^{\frac{\beta k}{2 a}}-1}-\frac{4}{e^{\frac{\beta k}{a}}-1}\right]-\int_{0}^{\infty} d k k \log \frac{1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{2 a}}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\beta k}{a}}\right)^{2}}
$$

or

$$
S_{P}=\frac{2 a^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \frac{k^{2}}{e^{k}-1}-\frac{a^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k k \log \frac{1-e^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{\left(1-e^{-k}\right)^{2}}
$$

Now, scaling arguments show that

$$
S_{P}=\frac{3 a^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \frac{k^{2}}{e^{k}-1}=\frac{6 \zeta(3) a^{2}}{\beta^{2}}
$$

and the corresponding entropy density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{P}=\frac{S_{P}}{4 \pi a^{2}}=\frac{3}{4 \pi \beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \frac{k^{2}}{e^{k}-1}=\frac{3 \zeta(3)}{2 \pi \beta^{2}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s_{P}$ does not depend on the radius of the sphere it does coincide with the entropy density for the same theory on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ space-times. In fact, this Stefan-Boltzmann density contribution is universal and the same for all compact manifolds. On the other hand, the topological subleading entropy of the conformal massless scalar field theory on $S^{3}$ is given by (see (A.1))

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{top}, S^{3}}=-\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \frac{k^{2}}{e^{2 \pi k}-1}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \zeta(3) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interesting close relation between both quantities

$$
S_{\mathrm{top}, S^{3}}=-\frac{\beta^{2}}{6 \pi} s_{P, S^{2}}
$$

is due to their dependence on the same integral in formulas (A.3) and (A.4), which can be generalized for arbitrary dimensions thanks to the relation between both Abel-Plana formulas.
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