Succession of algal communities in headwaters: a comparison of pine afforested and natural grassland streams

Luciana Cibils-Martina, Romina E. Principe, Javier A. Márquez, E. Noemí Gari & Ricardo J. Albariño

Ecological Research

ISSN 0912-3814

Volume 32

Number 3

Ecol Res (2017) 32:423-434

DOI 10.1007/s11284-017-1455-2

Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by The Ecological Society of Japan. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Luciana Cibils-Martina · Romina E. Principe ·
Javier A. Márquez · E. Noemí Gari ·
Ricardo J. Albariño

Succession of algal communities in headwaters: a comparison of pine afforested and natural grassland streams

Received: 13 September 2016 / Accepted: 3 April 2017 / Published online: 10 April 2017
© The Ecological Society of Japan 2017

Abstract We evaluated changes in the succession process in benthic algal communities of an afforested stream by comparing them with those in a grassland stream through analysis of temporal changes in composition, structure and functional traits in a 3-month experiment. We hypothesized that sunlight intensity reduction as a result of streamside implanted tree shading reduces the succession rate and community physiognomic complexity. We selected two streams with different riparian cover (grassland and pine afforestation) for installation of unglazed tiles as artificial substrates. The tiles were collected from each stream after 23, 45 and 73 days of exposure. Afforestation produced changes in algal succession, with lower biomass, lower diversity at the beginning of the experiment, and an increase in the proportion of small, low-profile, tolerant, unicellular and stalked algae, coinciding with the predominance of *Achnanthyidium*. However, the grassland stream contained a higher proportion of intermediate-size algae, as well as a larger proportion of high-profile algae, coinciding with the expected results. In the afforested stream, succession proceeded toward the dominance of low-profile species, that were tolerant of low-light conditions. However, in grassland streams with higher sunlight availability, high-profile algae prevailed

without the displacement of tolerant forms. Overall, our results indicate that algal communities in afforested stream remain structurally simpler.

Keywords Artificial substrates · Exotic pines · Light · Mountain streams · Periphyton

Introduction

Species replacement through time and the mechanisms involved in succession have long been studied in aquatic attached algal communities such as periphyton (Hudon and Bourget 1981; Hoagland et al. 1982; McCormick and Stevenson 1991; Tuji 2000; Passy and Larson 2011). Hoagland et al. (1982) proposed that the three-dimensional structure of periphytic communities through time indicates that their microsuccession is analogous to vascular plant succession, with changes in their vertical structure tending to be dominated by organisms of large stature (owing to cell size or long stalks), and the progressive decrease in succession rates. Tuji (2000) agreed that stalked species develop in later phases of succession, but proposed a new phase, with tangled-type and rosette-type species developing in the upper part of the biofilm, tangled among the stalks.

Some authors have related microalgal succession patterns with those occurring in plants communities (Odum 1969; Hoagland et al. 1982; Steinman and McIntire 1986). However, it is still not clear if stream periphyton follows the pattern from low-profile to high-profile species described for plants, or how environmental factors such as light, current, dissolved substances or nutrients interact in the three-dimensional spatial complexity, affecting succession (Dudley and D'Antonio 1991; Larson and Passy 2012). These factors may be altered by riparian vegetation changes, particularly when grasslands are afforested with perennial tree species without buffer strips.

Monoculture plantation of rapid growth trees in grassland ecosystems has become an accepted land use practice in semiarid areas around the world (Simberloff

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11284-017-1455-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

L. Cibils-Martina (✉) · R. E. Principe · J. A. Márquez ·
E. N. Gari
Departamento de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de
Río Cuarto (UNRC), Córdoba, Argentina
E-mail: lcibils@gmail.com
Tel.: (+ 54) 03584676173-5

Ricardo J. Albariño
Laboratorio de Fotobiología, INIBIOMA, Universidad Nacional
del Comahue- CONICET, Bariloche, Argentina

L. Cibils-Martina · R. E. Principe · J. A. Márquez ·
Ricardo J. Albariño
CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

et al. 2010), resulting in profits for timber and labour production, and enhancing sequestration of carbon from the atmosphere. While those benefits are unquestionable, scientists have pointed out unintended negative effects of such practices, most of which are related to the biogeochemical and hydrological balance of afforested catchments and their potential effects on available water quality and quantity (Farley et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2005; Mátyás and Sun 2014). However, there is a gap in knowledge regarding how aquatic biodiversity is affected. In Argentina, semiarid lands were extensively afforested with exotic evergreen pines during the 1970s as a result of a tax deferral plan implemented by the government. In our study area (Córdoba Province in central Argentina), up to 36,000 ha of mountain grasslands have been converted to pine plantations, which produced changes in the stream algal community (Cibils et al. 2015); however, the effects on succession patterns are still not well known.

Streamside implanted trees have reduced sunlight inputs to streams for years, diminishing the physiognomic options of members of periphytic algal communities. Structural complexity can only develop under ample light conditions (Lowe et al. 1986; Hill 1996; Lange et al. 2011), and light is important to community structure and functioning (Roberts et al. 2004); therefore, it is likely that the succession patterns of algal communities in the study area have been strongly altered. Large species and high-profile algae have a competitive advantage for light against non-motile, prostrate taxa (i.e., low-profile species) (Boston and Hill 1991; Hill 1996; Lange et al. 2011), which may show partial shade adaptation (Hill et al. 1995). Passy (2008) reported that algae with a differential position in the biofilm matrix may have different tolerance to nutrient limitations. In this sense, large and high-profile species may be considered sensitive to light limitations, whereas low-profile algae would be tolerant to lower light intensities. Passy and Larson (2011) proposed that direction and rate of succession are driven by the environment through its influence on sensitive forms. Hence, we expected succession in afforested streams to be limited by shade, which mainly affects sensitive species with higher light demands.

The rapid development and short generation times of benthic algae allow the study of succession in the field, which enables further analysis of the effects of land use changes on this process. Therefore, we evaluated the changes in the succession process in benthic algal communities of an afforested stream by comparison to those in a grassland stream through analysis of temporal changes in composition, structure and functional traits in a 3-month experiment. We hypothesized that reduced sunlight intensity as a consequence of streamside plantation of trees reduces the succession rate and community physiognomic complexity. We expected (1) greater differences in algal composition and structure with time in grassland streams than afforested streams, with grassland communities develop-

ing earlier and reaching higher values of biomass; and (2) a predominance of high-profile algae as late successional species in grassland streams compared to afforested streams, where only shade-tolerant low profile species would grow.

Methods

Study area

The study area included streams belonging to the headwaters of the Ctalamochita River, which are situated between 800 and 1500 m a.s.l. on the east side of the Córdoba Hills, Argentina. Vegetation varies according to altitude, with grassland developing between 1000 and 1500 m a.s.l. (mainly *Festuca hieronymi* Hack., *Nasella* spp., *Schizachyrium condensatum* (Kunth) Nees and *Eragrostis airoides* Nees; Cabido et al. 2003; Oggero and Arana 2012). Annual precipitation in the region reaches 725 mm, occurring mostly between spring and the end of summer (October–March) (Cabido et al. 2003), whereas the maximum temperature reaches 34 °C in summer (December–March), and decreases to as low as –5 °C in winter (June–September). The lithology is dominated by granite, but localized patches of metamorphic rocks (gneiss, schist, migmatite) are present. The study area is affected by anthropogenic activities, mainly livestock and afforestation with exotic pines, dominated by the slash pine *Pinus elliottii* Engelm. from North America (Plevich et al. 2002).

Experimental design and laboratory methods

We selected two streams with different riparian cover, one flowing entirely through grassland (31°58'47"S, 64°48'41"W, 1175 m a.s.l., drainage area 87 ha) and the other flowing entirely through a pine plantation (31°59'22"S, 64°48'44"W, 1144 m a.s.l., drainage area 89 ha). The experiment was conducted during the low flow season (July–September 2012). Water depth, current velocity, dominant substrate type and channel wet width were registered at the beginning of the experiment in four riffles each corresponding to the location of tiles in each stream. Depth, width and current velocity were measured with a digital water velocity meter (Global Flow probe FP101), while substrate type was visually assessed (Gordon et al. 1994) and assigned to a category proposed by Thomson et al. (2001). Some physicochemical parameters were measured three times at each stream during the experiment, coinciding with the extractions of tiles for algal community analysis. The PAR (photosynthetically active radiation) intensity was measured with a QSL–2100 Irradiance Sensor (Biospherical Instruments, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at midday along a 50 m reach in each stream. Water pH, conductivity and temperature were recorded with portable sensors. Total dissolved solids (TDS), carbon-

Table 1 Environmental variables measured in grassland and afforested streams

Environmental variables	Grassland	Afforestation	df	F	P
PAR ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	2028 (169)	533 (353)	1	41.19	0.008
Depth (cm)	15.56 (4.81)	7.95 (0.49)	1	9.95	0.02
Current velocity (m s^{-1})	0.06 (0.02)	0.09 (0.05)	1	1.08	0.34
Width (m)	1.60 (2.7)	1.22 (2.6)	1	3.92	0.09
pH	8.95 (0.64)	8.70 (0.44)	1	0.23	0.66
Conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}$)	84.33 (16.20)	174.33 (72.7)	1	8.84	0.06
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	15.17 (2.84)	10.33 (1.89)	1	4.55	0.12
TDS (mg l^{-1})	135.67 (103.65)	151.67 (54.6)	1	0.14	0.73
Carbonate (mg l^{-1})	2.83 (3.91)	0.00	1	1.69	0.28
Bicarbonate (mg l^{-1})	82.10 (69.65)	97.50 (38.49)	1	0.32	0.61
Nitrate (mg l^{-1})	0.33 (0.58)	< 0.1	1	1.20	0.35

Mean values, standard deviation and results of ANOVAs (*df*, *F* and *P* values) are shown. Significant differences between grassland and afforested streams are in bold ($P < 0.05$). Repeated measures ANOVAs were performed for PAR, pH, conductivity, temperature, TDS, carbonate, bicarbonate, and nitrate

PAR photosynthetically active radiation, TDS total dissolved solids

ate, bicarbonate and nitrates were analyzed by the area of Hydrology, Department of Geology, National University of Río Cuarto, according to standard methods (APHA 1998). Carbonates and bicarbonates were measured by potentiometric titration with a Thermo Orion-selective electrode, while nitrates were determined by potentiometry using an ion selective electrode (Orion Model 9307), a reference electrode and an Orion potentiometer 710 A. To calibrate the potentiometer, six benchmarks (5, 10, 25, 50, 100 and 300 $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{NO}_3^-$) were used. The detection limit for NO_3^- was 0.2 mg L^{-1} and the analytical error was 0.5%.

Thirty unglazed tiles of 7.5×7.5 cm were used as artificial substrates. Substrates were placed on the stream bottom at different riffles. Five tiles were collected from each stream at 23, 45 and 73 days of exposure. We considered this timescale to include several generations of algae (Hulot et al. 2000; Flöder and Hillebrand 2012). At each extraction date, tiles were taken to the laboratory and algae from the upper surface of the substrates were scrubbed off with a stiff nylon brush, after which they were rinsed with clean water and all the dislodged material was collected. The periphyton suspension was homogenized and fractionated in two subsamples. One fraction was filtered through a glass-fiber filter GF/C to extract and quantify Chl *a* by spectrophotometry (Nusch 1980). Next, each filter was dried at 60 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 h, weighed (to the nearest 0.01 mg), combusted at 500 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 h, and then re-weighed to determine the ash free dry mass (AFDM). The autotrophic index (AI) was then calculated from the Chl *a* and AFDM ($\text{AI} = \text{AFDM}/\text{Chl } a$) for each substrate replicate (Weber 1973). This value is indicative of the proportion of the community composed of heterotrophic (plus organic non-living matter) and autotrophic organisms. The AFDM represents the combined mass of heterotrophic and autotrophic biomass (and organic detritus), and Chl *a* reflects the autotrophic component (Biggs and Kilroy 2000). Biofilm is considered to be more heterotrophic for values higher than 400 (Collins

and Weber 1978). Another aliquot was fixed with 4% formalin and used for species identification and density calculations. Algal communities were analyzed quantitatively at 400 $\times$ magnification with organisms grouped taxonomically by genus. For each sample, three subsamples were counted following transects along the coverslip to determine cell densities (cells cm^{-2} , based on Villafañe and Reid 1995) and taxa richness. The counting unit was an individual cell for unicellular organisms and coenobia, a 10 μm length for filaments and a $10 \times 10 \mu\text{m}$ area for colonies. The biovolume was estimated by multiplying the cell densities by the average biovolume of each species according to the size of the analyzed populations and then fitting to the nearest geometric models. The results were given in $\mu\text{m}^3 \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Hillebrand et al. 1999).

Data analysis

Environmental variables were compared between grassland and afforested streams using one-way ANOVAs for those registered at the beginning of the experiment in four riffles at each stream, and repeated

Fig. 1 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of algal communities developed on substrates of grassland (open symbols) and afforested streams (filled symbols) collected on three dates (1 23 days, 2 45 days and 3 73 days)

Fig. 2 Genera making the greatest contribution to differences between vegetation type and extraction date according to SIMPER (similarity percentages) and with relative abundance (p_i) higher than 5% at some extraction dates in grassland (a) and afforested (b) streams. Ency: *Encyonema*, Achi: *Achnanthyidium*, Gomp: *Gomphonema*, Frag: *Fragilaria*, Cym: *Cymbella*, Phor: *Phormidium*

measures ANOVA for those measured three times at each stream. We evaluated differences in benthic algal communities between riparian vegetation types and time of exposure using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based in the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix, and performed permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson 2001; McArdle and Anderson 2001) using distance matrices (Bray–Curtis) to statistically test differences between groups, with 999 permutations. To determine if groups differed in terms of their centroids not being induced by differences in variances, we used analysis of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (PERMDISP, Anderson 2001). Additionally, SIMPER (similarity percentages) analysis was performed to determine which species contributed most to the dissimilarity between sampling units (Clarke and Warwick 2001; Quinn and Keough 2002). All statistical analyses described above were performed in R version 3.0.1, using vegan library (Oksanen et al. 2013; R Core Team 2013).

Structural attributes of algal communities [density, richness, and Shannon diversity (H') and evenness indices (J')] were calculated. Shannon's diversity index was calculated from the biovolume data as follows:

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^S p_i \log_{10} p_i,$$

where, p_i is the contribution of the i_{th} species to the total biovolume of the community and S is species number (Hillebrand and Sommer 2000). Evenness was calculated as H'/H'_{max} . Additionally, genera were grouped in categories of functional traits according to Cibils et al. (2015): size, morphological guild, resources requirement, attachment mechanism and life-form (Table S1). Taxa were placed into five size classes, consisting of those with different biovolumes from class 1, which included smaller species, to class 5, which contained larger species. Morphological guild was defined according to the access of taxa to resources and vulnerability to disturbances. In particular, short-statured algae constituted the low-profile guild, while tall-statured algae extending above the substrate were considered the high-profile guild and fast-moving biraphid diatoms or flagellated soft algae were considered the motile guild. Resource requirements were defined related to the tolerance or sensitivity of species to nutrients and light limitation. Algae requiring high resource levels for growth and reproduction are considered sensitive, while those proliferating under low resource levels are viewed as tolerant. The attachment mechanism determines the spatial position in biofilm and the ability to withstand disturbances. Adnates were algae firmly attached by their valve face or by their girdle view. Algae with mucilage pads secrete a small mucilage pad at one end of the valve to attach to the substrate, allowing them to stand upright. Species with mucilage stalks grow attached to surfaces by the stalks, which can be simple (one cell) or can link several cells forming arbuscular colonies. In species assigned to the holdfast category, filaments are attached by an initial cell. Unattached included species without any specific mechanism of attachment, because

Table 2 Density, biovolume and traits designation of genera with relative abundance > 5% at some extraction dates in grassland (Grass) and afforested (Aff) streams

Taxa	Density (org cm ⁻²)		Biovolume (μm ³ cm ⁻²)		Morphological and functional traits				
	Grass	Aff	Grass	Aff	Size classes	Guild	Res. req.	Attach. mech.	Life form
<i>Achnanthyidium</i>	182,097	221,808	1.48×10^7	1.83×10^7	c1	L	T	S	Un
<i>Cymbella</i>	87,177	1137	2.52×10^8	3.29×10^6	c5	H	S	S	C
<i>Encyonema</i>	82,045	14,622	1.66×10^7	3.16×10^6	c2	H	S	A	C
<i>Fragilaria</i>	400,810	7221	1.13×10^8	2.16×10^6	c2	H	T	P	C
<i>Gomphonema</i>	91,589	23,156	2.33×10^7	5.90×10^6	c2	H	S	S	Un
<i>Phormidium</i>	1870	78,924	7.92×10^5	3.43×10^7	c5	H	S	U	F

Density and biovolume values presented are the mean values for each stream at three extraction dates. Morphological and functional traits: size classes: c1 < 99 μm³, c2 100–299 μm³, c3 300–599 μm³, c4 600–1499 μm³, c5 > 1500 μm³

Guild morphological guild: *H* high profile, *L* low profile. *Res. req.*: resources requirement: *S* sensitive, *T* tolerant. *Attach. mech.*: attachment mechanism: *A* adnate, *P* pad mucilage, *S* stalked, *U* unattached. Life form: *C* colonial: diatoms that usually form chains or rosettes or arbuscular aggregates were assigned to colonial categories, even when these are not real colonies, *F* filamentous, *Un* unicellular

Table 3 Results of repeated measures ANOVAs for structural variables of algal communities developed in grassland (G) and afforested (F) streams (factor vegetation, Veg), in substrates collected on three dates (factor time, 1: 23 days, 2: 45 days and 3: 73 days)

Variable	Factor	df	F	P	A posteriori
Ln density	Veg	1	0.69	0.44	
	Time	2	10.19	0.002	1 < 3 = 2
	Veg × time	2	0.48	0.63	
Richness	Veg	1	1.18	0.32	
	Time	2	5.46	0.02	1 < 2 = 3
	Veg × time	2	2.95	0.08	
Diversity	Veg	1	3.79	0.09	
	Time	2	5.93	0.05	1 < 2 = 3
	Veg × time	2	5.11	0.06	
Evenness	Veg	1	2.42	0.17	
	Time	2	3.37	0.12	
	Veg × time	2	7.20	0.04	F1 < F2 = G3 = F3 = G1 = G2
Chl <i>a</i>	Veg	1	0.77	0.41	
	Time	2	20.75	<0.0001	1 < 2 < 3
	Veg × time	2	27.88	<0.0001	G1 = F1 = G2 = F3 < F2 < G3
AFDM	Veg	1	0.46	0.52	
	Time	2	16.80	<0.0001	1 < 3 < 2
	Veg × time	2	1.25	0.30	
Ln AI	Veg	1	0.23	0.65	
	Time	2	9.24	0.001	3 < 1 = 2
	Veg × time	2	8.95	0.001	G3 < F2 = F3 = F1 = G1 < G2

For each variable, factors that showed a significant effect are in bold ($P < 0.05$), with degrees of freedom (*df*), *F* value, *P* value and a posteriori results (DGC test)

Chl a chlorophyll *a*, *AFDM* ash free dry mass, *AI* autotrophic index

they float or move freely. Life-form categories are related to biofilm architecture, and the response of a community to environmental factors changes if it is dominated by unicellular forms or filamentous and colonial organisms. Diatoms which usually form chains or rosette or arbuscular aggregates, were categorized as colonies, even when they were not real colonies.

Structural attributes, Chl *a*, AFDM, AI variables and the proportion of algae corresponding to different functional trait categories were compared by repeated measures ANOVA. This was used to determine if differences between riparian vegetation types and time × riparian vegetation type interactive effects were significant during succession. ANOVAs were performed using InfoStat, which implements an interface of platform R to estimate general and mixed linear models (Di Rienzo et al. 2011, 2012). Validation of assumptions of the models was performed reviewing standardized residuals vs. predicted, the normal Q–Q plot of standardized residuals and the Shapiro–Wilks test. Variables that did not meet ANOVA assumptions were natural logarithm transformed. The DGC test (Di Rienzo–Guzmán–Casanoves), which is a hierarchical method that controls type I error while maintaining acceptable power, was used for multiple comparisons.

Results

Environmental variables measured to characterize grasslands and afforested streams are shown in Table 1. The PAR intensity was 70% lower in afforested streams.

Additionally, afforested streams showed higher conductivity than grassland streams, although ANOVA was marginally significant. Time had no effect on the variables measured in triplicated in each stream ($P > 0.05$). For variables measured only once at the beginning of the experiment, there might not have been differences throughout the experimental period based on previous studies in the area (see Cibils et al. 2015; Márquez et al. 2015).

Up to 88 genera were identified and counted, with 50% being diatoms, 20% Cyanobacteria, 20% Chlorophyta, 10% Charophyta, and one genus of Euglenozoa (Table S1). NMDS revealed differentiation of communities from grasslands and afforested streams (Fig. 1, stress = 0.12, PERMANOVA, $F_{1,24} = 8.30$, $P = 0.001$) and differences between assemblages present at each extraction date (PERMANOVA, $F_{1,24} = 6.14$, $P = 0.001$). In addition, differentiations were not due to differences in dispersion within groups (PERMDISP, $F_{1,25} = 0.001$, $P = 0.97$). According to SIMPER, the genera that made the greatest contributions to differences between vegetation type and extraction dates were *Fragilaria*, *Achnantheidium*, *Phormidium* and *Gomphonema*, which are shown in Fig. 2 with two other genera that presented a relative abundance higher than 5% on some extraction dates (*Encyonema* and *Cymbella*). The mean density and biovolume of these genera at each stream type and designation to functional traits categories are shown in Table 2. *Fragilaria capucina* Desmazières and *Achnantheidium minutissimum* (Kützinger) Czarnecki were the most abundant species in the study (Fig. 2). In the grassland stream, the proportion of

Fig. 3 Structural variables of algal communities developed on substrates of grassland (open symbols) and afforested streams (filled symbols) collected at 23, 45 and 73 days. For each variable, the mean values and standard error are shown. **a** Algal abundance,

b richness, **c** Shannon diversity, **d** evenness, **e** Chl *a*, chlorophyll *a* values, **f** AFDM, ash free dry mass, **g** Ln AI, natural logarithm of autotrophic index

Fragilaria decreased and that of *Achnantheidium* increased with time, while in afforested stream *Achnantheidium* also increased, dominating at the end of the experiment (Fig. 2). As the predominance of *Fragilaria* in the grassland stream was decreasing, some stalked species become more important, such as *Cymbella cymbiformis* C.Agardh, *Gomphonema pumilum* (Grunow) Reichardt & Lange-Bertalot and *Gomphoneis herculeana*, the latter having long and thick stalks. In this stream, there were also some important filamentous algae, including *Bulbochaete*, or mucilaginous colonies such as *Tetraspora*. Some frequent taxa in the grassland stream, but absent from the afforested stream were: *Aphanothece*, *Bulbochaete*, *Euastrum*, and among diatoms *Aulacoseira granulata* (Ehrenberg) Simonsen, *Craticula cuspidata* (Kützinger) D.G.Mann, small fragiliarioids and *G. herculeana* (Ehrenberg) Cleve.

In relation to structural variables, density and richness increased with time, but were not affected by riparian vegetation (Table 3; Fig. 3). However, the

grassland stream had 12 more species at the end of the experiment. Diversity and evenness values were lower at the beginning of the experiment in the afforested stream, but increased at 45 days, reaching values similar to grassland communities. Chl *a* values increased with time in both streams, but higher values were observed in the grassland stream at the end of the experiment, while in the afforested stream it was higher at the second extraction date. AFDM varied similarly in the grassland and afforested streams, showing lower values at 23 days and higher at 45 days. As a result, the autotrophic index was more variable in the grassland stream, and at the end of the experiment the AI was low in the grassland stream (mean value = 71), but remained high in the afforested stream (mean value = 169).

Streamside afforestation primarily affected the temporal dynamics of algal functional traits. The proportion of small (c1) and large algae (c5), respectively, increased and decreased with time in the afforested stream (Table 4; Fig. 4). In contrast, these size classes did not

Table 4 Results of repeated measures ANOVAs for the proportion (Pi) of genera corresponding to each category of functional traits of algal communities developed in grassland (G) and afforested (F) streams (factor vegetation, Veg), in substrates collected on three dates (factor time, 1: 23, 2: 45 and 3: 73 days)

Traits	Variable (Pi)	Factor	df	F	P	A posteriori
Size	c1	Veg	1	23.66	0.003	G < F
		Time	2	25.64	<0.0001	1 < 2 = 3
		Veg × time	2	9.07	0.003	G1 = F1 = G2 = G3 < F2 < F3
	c2	Veg	1	121.84	<0.0001	F < G
		Time	2	1.98	0.17	
		Veg × time	2	0.90	0.43	
	c3	Veg	1	0.27	0.62	
		Time	2	1.51	0.25	
		Veg × time	2	0.51	0.61	
	Ln c5	Veg	1	1.44	0.27	
		Time	2	16.62	0.0002	3 < 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	8.60	0.003	F3 < G2 = G3 = G1 = F2 < F1
Morphological guild	High profile	Veg	1	26.59	0.002	F < G
		Time	2	16.86	0.0001	3 = 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	4.73	0.02	F3 = F2 < G3 = G2 = F1 = G1
	Low profile	Veg	1	26.27	0.002	G < F
		Time	2	20.28	0.0001	1 < 2 = 3
		Veg × time	2	6.16	0.01	G1 = F1 = G2 = G3 < F2 < F3
	Motile	Veg	1	0.44	0.53	
		Time	2	0.13	0.88	
		Veg × time	2	0.42	0.66	
Resources requirement	Sensitive	Veg	1	0.06	0.80	
		Time	2	3.85	0.04	3 = 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	8.94	0.002	F3 = G1 = F2 = G2 = G3 < F1
	Tolerant	Veg	1	0.06	0.80	
		Time	2	3.85	0.04	1 < 2 = 3
		Veg × time	2	8.94	0.002	F1 < G3 = G2 = F2 = G1 = F3
Attachment mechanism	Adnate	Veg	1	5.72	0.05	F < G
		Time	2	1.81	0.20	
		Veg × time	2	0.09	0.92	
	Pad	Veg	1	32.66	0.001	F < G
		Time	2	13.22	0.0005	3 = 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	8.54	0.003	F3 = F2 = F1 < G3 = G2 < G1
	Stalked	Veg	1	4.23	0.08	
		Time	2	23.69	<0.0001	1 < 2 < 3
		Veg × time	2	2.90	0.09	
	Holdfast	Veg	1	0.69	0.44	
		Time	2	1.23	0.32	
		Veg × time	2	1.12	0.35	
Life form	Unattached	Veg	1	7.60	0.03	G < F
		Time	2	4.68	0.03	3 = 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	4.78	0.02	F3 = G2 = G1 = G3 = F2 < F1
	Unicellular	Veg	1	7.96	0.03	G < F
		Time	2	21.55	<0.0001	1 < 2 < 3
		Veg × time	2	1.40	0.28	
	Colonial	Veg	1	66.34	0.0002	F < G
		Time	2	13.08	0.0005	3 < 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	6.11	0.01	F3 = F2 = F1 < G3 < G2 < G1
	Coenobial	Veg	1	4.70	0.07	
		Time	2	0.11	0.89	
		Veg × time	2	4.75	0.02	F3 = G1 = F2 = F1 = G2 < G3
	Ln Filamentous	Veg	1	17.87	0.005	G < F
		Time	2	7.25	0.007	3 = 2 < 1
		Veg × time	2	2.45	0.12	

For each variable, factors that showed a significant effect are in bold ($P < 0.05$), with degrees of freedom (df), F value, P value and a posteriori results (DGC test). References: size classes: c1 <99 μm^3 , c2 100–299 μm^3 , c3 300–599 μm^3 , c4 600–1499 μm^3 , c5 >1500 μm^3

changed during succession in the grassland stream, where mid-size algae (c2) predominated (Tables 2, 4; Fig. 4). The succession of morphological guilds was also affected by afforestation, with low-profile algae increasing and high-profile algae decreasing with time. No temporal changes were observed in the algal com-

munity of the grassland stream, where high-profile algae dominated (Tables 2, 4; Fig. 4). Sensitive species were predominant in the afforested stream at 23 days, and were replaced by tolerant species. In the grassland stream, there was a higher proportion of tolerant species at the beginning of the experiment, but a similar pro-

◀ **Fig. 4** Variation in relative abundance (pi) of the different categories of functional traits of algae developed on substrates of grassland and afforested streams collected at 23, 45 and 73 days. For each variable, mean values and standard error are shown. **a** Size classes in afforestation, **b** size classes in grassland, **c** morphological guild in afforestation, **d** morphological guild in grassland, **e** resources requirements in afforestation, **f** resources requirements in grassland, **g** attachment mechanism in afforestation, **h** attachment mechanism in grassland, **i** life form in afforestation, **j** life form in grassland. Categories with a proportion < 1% were not plotted (i.e., c3, c4, adnates, holdfast, coenobial). References: size classes: c1 < 99 μm^3 , c2 100–299 μm^3 , c3 300–599 μm^3 , c4 600–1499 μm^3 , c5 > 1500 μm^3 . Morphological guilds: low-profile: short-statured algae; high-profile: tall-statured algae; motile: fast-moving biraphid diatoms or flagellated soft algae. Resources requirements: sensitive: algae requiring high resource levels; tolerant: low resource requirements

portion of tolerant and sensitive species at 73 days (Tables 2, 4; Fig. 4). Algae with different attachment mechanisms changed with time in both streams. Stalked algae increased in both the grassland and afforested stream. Unattached forms were dominant at 23 days in the afforested stream, while algae with pad mucilage predominated in the grassland stream, and both forms decreased with time (Tables 2, 4; Fig. 4). The proportions of algae from different life-form categories also changed with time depending on streamside vegetation (Tables 2, 4; Fig. 4). In the afforested stream, unicellular algae increased and filamentous forms decreased. In the grassland stream, the proportion of unicellular forms was lower than in the afforested stream, but they also increased with time, while filamentous forms comprised < 1%. Colonial forms decreased with time in the grassland stream and were kept in low proportion in the afforested stream. Coenobial algae (e.g., *Scenedesmus*, *Pediastrum*) presented a low proportion throughout the experimental period in both streams.

Discussion

Our study revealed that afforestation of grassland streams changes the succession dynamic of algal communities. We expected higher differences with time in the grassland stream, and we indeed found earlier growth and higher biomass in these algal assemblages. Most structural attributes showed different trajectories under pine afforestation (i.e., richness, diversity, evenness, biomass as Chl *a* and the autotrophic index) compared to grassland streams. Regarding functional traits, succession in the afforested stream was differentiated by an increase in the proportion of small, low-profile, tolerant, unicellular and stalked algae, which coincided with the predominance of *Achnanthes*. Instead, a higher proportion of intermediate-size algae was registered in grassland streams, as well as an increase in the proportion of high-profile algae, coinciding with the expected results, which is coincident with the results reported by Lange et al. (2011). These findings for the grassland stream can be compared with the increase in structural

complexity described for microalgal communities (Hoagland et al. 1982; Steinman and McIntire 1986; Hill 1996; Lange et al. 2011), macroalgae (Branco et al. 2005) and plants of tropical and deciduous forests (Terborgh 1985; Guariguata and Ostertag 2001).

Communities from grassland streams reached higher density and biomass. Similarly, Villeneuve et al. (2010) reported an increase in periphyton biomass and algal density at higher light intensities, and other researchers have found that colonization is slower at low light intensities and temperature (Stevenson 1996; Díaz Villanueva and Modenutti 2004). Accordingly, even when algal density increased through time in the afforested stream, it was at a lower rate compared to grassland. Furthermore, some authors proposed that algae could compensate for low light intensities by increasing their cellular Chl *a* content (Wellnitz and Ward 2000; Roberts et al. 2004). This could explain the high values of Chl *a* in the afforested stream at 45 days. This compensation mechanism was also inferred in a previous experiment in the area, in which similar values of Chl *a* concentration and AFDM were found between grassland and afforested streams in a 30-day community (Principe et al. 2015).

Temporal changes in periphyton growth can be conceptualized in three phases after a major flood event: colonization, exponential growth and sloughing (Biggs 1996; Biggs and Stokseth 1996). At the beginning, there is low biomass and high diversity. Over time, biomass and cell density increase until reaching a plateau, while diversity decreases, although some processes such as detachment can alter these phases (Roemer et al. 1984; Boulêtreau et al. 2006). In our reference grassland stream, density, richness and Chl *a* increased with time. However, in the afforested stream we noticed a decrease in these variables, but an increase in diversity with time given that, at the beginning of the experiment, the total biovolume of the community was dominated by *Phormidium*, which was subsequently dislodged and replaced by diatoms. This resulted in a more equitable and therefore more diverse community. The results obtained with structural variables could reflect differences in the succession process with different riparian vegetation, with a longer accrual phase occurring in grassland streams. Other researchers have reported altered duration of phases given differences in hydrological (Artigas et al. Artigas et al. 2012) or pollution (Duong et al. 2007) regimes. Our results could also reflect inhibition of the growth of microorganisms given the release of substances from large needle packs present year round in afforested streams (Bärlocher and Oertli Bärlocher and Oertli 1978a, b). Our experiment coincided with the low discharge period (i.e., winter-early spring dry season), which may have led to higher ambient leachate concentrations from this detritus accumulation. In addition, the physical wearing down by needle packs accumulated on the algal communities could occur in afforested streams, though further experiments are needed to fully understand these effects.

The identity of dominant taxa was heavily altered by afforestation. The most abundant species were *Fragilaria capucina* and *A. minutissimum*, which is in agreement with the results of other succession studies (Sekar et al. 2002; Díaz Villanueva and Modenutti 2004; Villeneuve et al. 2010). *A. minutissimum* has been cited as an early colonizer (Korte and Blinn 1983; Roemer et al. 1984; Stevenson et al. 1991), though in our study its proportion increased with time, both in the grassland and afforested streams, possibly because of its high immigration rate (Stevenson et al. 1991). The increase through time of some stalked species in the grassland stream (*C. cymbiformis*, *G. pumilum*, *G. herculeana*) is in agreement with the results proposed by other authors (Hoagland et al. 1982; Tuji 2000), especially that of *G. herculeana*, which has long and thick stalks. In this stream, there were also important filamentous algae such as *Bulbochaete*, and mucilaginous colonies such as *Tetrastroma*. In agreement with these results, Wu et al. (1999) highlighted the importance of filamentous algae and stalks as structural components of the assemblage during the final stages of succession. Roemer et al. (1984) mentioned that the mucilage of diatoms affects community structure, since it allows the attachment of other algae (e.g., *A. minutissimum*) and vertical stratification of the community. Similarly, Tuji (2000) noted that stalks could be a secondary substrate for the colonization of algae loosely attached in the final stage of succession. In the afforested stream, the community succeeded to one dominated by *A. minutissimum*, which is likely explained by low sunlight intensities that usually prevent the settlement of larger algae (Lamberti et al. 1989; Lange et al. 2011), together with the shade-tolerance characteristics of *A. minutissimum* (Johnson et al. 1997; Díaz Villanueva and Modenutti 2004). This behavior is analogous to that of non-pioneer species able to germinate and establish under forest shade (Swaine and Whitmore 1988).

The observed trend in the afforested stream was toward the predominance of small, low-profile, tolerant species, coinciding with other studies that showed a mature community dominated by low-profile algae forming a thin and simple layer under low resource availability (Hudon and Bourget 1981, 1983; Passy and Larson 2011; Hlúbíková et al. 2014; Cibils et al. 2015). In contrast, all species could grow in high sunlight availability, as occurred in the grassland stream, with predominance of high-profile species that accumulated higher amounts of biomass (i.e., Chl *a* concentration) and formed a superior thick layer without eliminating tolerant forms (Passy 2008; Passy and Larson 2011). We also observed that communities from the grassland stream reached higher algal biomass and richness, which was expected, and supported the assumption that reduced sunlight intensity as a result of trees planted along streams reduces the succession rate and community physiognomic complexity.

Acknowledgements We thank the two reviewers, two editors and the editorial coordinator, who greatly improved the quality of the manuscript. This work was funded by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT, Grant PICT 1113), Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología de la provincia de Córdoba (MinCyT Córdoba, Grant GRF 08), and Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto. LCM and JM were supported by fellowships of Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Anderson MJ (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecol* 26:32–46
- APHA (1998) Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater, 20th edn. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and the Water and Environment Federation, Washington, DC
- Artigas J, Fund K, Kirchen S, Morin S, Obst U, Romaní AM, Sabater S, Schwartz T (2012) Patterns of biofilm formation in two streams from different bioclimatic regions: analysis of microbial community structure and metabolism. *Hydrobiologia* 695:83–96
- Bärlocher F, Oertli JJ (1978a) Colonization of conifer needles by aquatic hyphomycetes. *Can J Bot* 56:57–62
- Bärlocher F, Oertli JJ (1978b) Inhibitors of aquatic hyphomycetes in dead conifer needles. *Mycologia* 70:964–974
- Biggs BJF (1996) Patterns in benthic algae of streams. In: Stevenson RJ, Bothwell ML, Lowe RL (eds) *Algal ecology: freshwater benthic ecosystems*. Academic Press Inc, San Diego, pp 31–56
- Biggs BJF, Kilroy C (2000) Stream periphyton monitoring manual. Publishers NIWA for the New Zealand Ministry for the Environment, New Zealand
- Biggs BJF, Stokseth S (1996) Hydraulic habitat suitability for periphyton in rivers. *Regul rivers* 12:251–261
- Boston HL, Hill WR (1991) Photosynthesis-light relations of stream periphyton communities. *Limnol Oceanogr* 36:644–656
- Boulêtreau S, Garabétian F, Sauvage S, Sánchez-Pérez JM (2006) Assessing the importance of a self-generated detachment process in river biofilm models. *Freshw Biol* 51:901–912
- Branco CCZ, Branco LHZ, Moura MO, Bertusso FR (2005) The succession dynamics of a macroalgal community after a flood disturbance in a tropical stream from São Paulo State, south-eastern Brazil. *Revista Brasil Bot* 28:267–275
- Cabido D, Cabido M, Garre SM, Gorgas JA, Miatello R, Rambaldi S, Ravelo A, Tassile JL (2003) *Regiones Naturales de la Provincia de Córdoba, Serie C. Publicaciones Técnicas*, Agencia Córdoba, Córdoba
- Cibils L, Principe R, Márquez J, Gari N, Albariño R (2015) Functional diversity of algal communities from headwater grassland streams: how does it change following afforestation? *Aquat Ecol* 49:453–466
- Clarke KR, Warwick RM (2001) A further biodiversity index applicable to species lists: variation in taxonomic distinctness. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 216:265–278
- Collins GB, Weber CT (1978) Phycoperiphyton (algae) as indicators of water quality. *T Am Microsc Soc* 97:36–43
- Di Rienzo JA, Macchiavelli RE, Casanoves F (2011) *Modelos lineales mixtos: aplicaciones en InfoStat*, 1a edn. Grupo Infostat, Córdoba

- Di Rienzo JA, Casanoves F, Balzarini MG, Gonzalez L, Tablada M, Robledo CW (2012) InfoStat versión 2012. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. <http://www.infostat.com.ar>
- Díaz Villanueva V, Modenutti B (2004) Experimental analysis of grazing by the mayfly *Meridialaris chiloeensis* on different successional stages of stream periphyton. *Internat Rev Hydrobiol* 89:263–277
- Dudley TL, D'Antonio CM (1991) The effects of substrate texture, grazing, and disturbance on macroalgal establishment in streams. *Ecology* 72:297–309
- Duong TT, Feurtet-Mazel A, Coste M, Dang DK, Boudou A (2007) Dynamics of diatom colonization process in some rivers influenced by urban pollution (Hanoi, Vietnam). *Ecol Ind* 7:839–851
- Farley KA, Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB (2005) Effects of afforestation on water yield: a global synthesis with implications for policy. *Glob Change Biol* 11:1565–1576
- Flöder S, Hillebrand H (2012) Species traits and species diversity affect community stability in a multiple stressor framework. *Aquat Biol* 17:197–209
- Gordon ND, McMahon TA, Finlayson BL (1994) Stream hydrology, an introduction for ecologists. Wiley, New York
- Guariguata MR, Ostertag R (2001) Neotropical secondary forest succession: changes in structural and functional characteristics. *Forest Ecol Manag* 148:185–206
- Hill WR (1996) Effects of light. In: Stevenson RJ, Bothwell ML, Lowe RL (eds) *Algal ecology: freshwater benthic ecosystems*. Academic Press Inc, San Diego, pp 121–148
- Hill WR, Ryon MG, Schilling EM (1995) Light limitation in a stream ecosystem: responses by primary producers and consumers. *Ecology* 76:1297–1309
- Hillebrand H, Sommer U (2000) Diversity of benthic microalgae in response to colonization time and eutrophication. *Aquat Bot* 67:221–236
- Hillebrand H, Dürselen CD, Kirschtel D, Pollinger U, Zohary T (1999) Biovolume calculation for pelagic and benthic microalgae. *J Phycol* 35:403–424
- Hlubíková D, Novais MH, Dohet A, Hoffmann L, Ector L (2014) Effect of riparian vegetation on diatom assemblages in headwater streams under different land uses. *Sci Total Environ* 475:234–247
- Hoagland KD, Roemer SC, Rosowski JR (1982) Colonization and community structure of two periphyton assemblages, with emphasis on the diatoms (Bacillariophyceae). *Am J Bot* 69:188–213
- Hudon C, Bourget E (1981) Initial colonization of artificial substrate: community development and structure studied by scanning electron microscopy. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 38:1371–1384
- Hudon C, Bourget E (1983) The effect of light on the vertical structure of epibenthic diatom communities. *Bot Mar* 26:317–330
- Hulot FD, Lacroix G, Lescher-Moutoué F, Loreau M (2000) Functional diversity governs ecosystem response to nutrient enrichment. *Nature* 405:340–344
- Jackson RB, Jobbágy EG, Avissar R, Roy SB, Barrett D, Cook CW, Farley KA, Le Maitre DC, McCarl BA, Murray BC (2005) Trading water for carbon with biological carbon sequestration. *Science* 310:1944–1947
- Johnson RE, Tuchman NC, Peterson CG (1997) Changes in the vertical microdistribution of diatoms within a developing periphyton mat. *J N Am Benthol Soc* 16:503–519
- Korte VL, Blinn DW (1983) Diatom colonization on artificial substrata in pool and riffle zones studied by light and scanning electron microscopy. *J Phycol* 19:332–341
- Lamberti GA, Gregory SV, Ashkenas LR, Steinman AD, McIntire CD (1989) Productive capacity of periphyton as a determinant of plant–herbivore interactions in streams. *Ecology* 70:1840–1856
- Lange K, Liess A, Piggott JJ, Townsend CR, Matthaei CD (2011) Light, nutrients and grazing interact to determine stream diatom community composition and functional group structure. *Freshw Biol* 56:264–278
- Larson CA, Passy SI (2012) Taxonomic and functional composition of the algal benthos exhibits similar successional trends in response to nutrient supply and current velocity. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 80:352–362
- Lowe RL, Golladay SW, Webster JR (1986) Periphyton response to nutrient manipulation in streams draining clearcut and forested watersheds. *J N Am Benthol Soc* 5:221–229
- Márquez J, Cibils L, Principe R, Albariño R (2015) Stream macroinvertebrate communities change with grassland afforestation in central Argentina. *Limnologia* 53:17–25
- Mátyás C, Sun G (2014) Forests in a water limited world under climate change. *Environ Res Lett* 9:1–10
- McArdle BH, Anderson MJ (2001) Fitting multivariate models to community data: a comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology* 82:290–297
- McCormick PV, Stevenson RJ (1991) Mechanisms of benthic algal succession in lotic environments. *Ecology* 72:1835–1848
- Nusch EA (1980) Comparison of different methods for chlorophyll and phaeopigment determination. *Arch Hydrobiol* 14:14–36
- Odum EP (1969) Strategy of ecosystem development. *Science* 164:262–270
- Oggero A, Arana M (2012) Inventario de la biodiversidad de plantas vasculares del sur de la zona serrana de Córdoba, Argentina. *Hoehnea* 39:171–199
- Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Wagner H (2013) *Vegan: community ecology package*. R package version 2.0–8
- Passy SI (2008) Continental diatom biodiversity in stream benthos declines as more nutrients become limiting. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:9663–9667
- Passy SI, Larson CA (2011) Succession in stream biofilms is an environmentally driven gradient of stress tolerance. *Microb Ecol* 62:414–424
- Plevich J, Nuñez C, Cantero J, Demaestri M, Viale S (2002) Biomasa del pastizal bajo diferentes densidades de pino (*Pinus elliotii*). *Agroforesteria en las Américas* 9:19–23
- Principe R, Márquez J, Cibils Martina L, Jobbágy EG, Albariño R (2015) Pine afforestation changes more strongly community structure than ecosystem functioning in grassland mountain streams. *Ecol Indic* 57:366–375
- Quinn GP, Keough MJ (2002) *Experimental design and data analysis for biologists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- R Core Team (2013) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Roberts S, Sabater S, Beardall J (2004) Benthic microalgal colonization in streams of differing riparian cover and light availability. *J Phycol* 40:1004–1012
- Roemer SC, Hoagland KD, Rosowski JR (1984) Development of a freshwater periphyton community as influenced by diatom mucilages. *Can J Bot* 62:1799–1813
- Sekar R, Nair KVK, Rao VNR, Venugopalan VP (2002) Nutrient dynamics and successional changes in a lentic freshwater biofilm. *Freshw Biol* 47:1893–1907
- Simberloff D, Nuñez MA, Ledgard NJ, Pauchard A, Richardson DM, Sarasola M, Vanwilgen VW, Zalba SM, Zenni RD, Bustamante R et al (2010) Spread and impact of introduced conifers in South America: lessons from other southern hemisphere regions. *Austral Ecol* 35:489–504
- Steinman AD, McIntire CD (1986) Effects of current velocity and light energy on the structure of periphyton assemblages in laboratory streams. *J Phycol* 22:352–361
- Stevenson RJ (1996) An introduction to algal ecology in freshwater benthic habitats. In: Stevenson RJ, Bothwell ML, Lowe RL (eds) *Algal ecology: freshwater benthic ecosystems*. Academic Press Inc, San Diego, pp 3–30
- Stevenson RJ, Peterson CG, Kirschtel DB, King CC, Tuchman NC (1991) Density-dependent growth, ecological strategies and effects of nutrients and shading on benthic diatom succession in streams. *J Phycol* 27:59–69

- Swaine MD, Whitmore TC (1988) On the definition of ecological species groups in tropical rain forests. *Vegetatio* 75:81–86
- Terborgh J (1985) The vertical component of plant species diversity in temperate and tropical forests. *Am Natur* 126:760–776
- Thomson JR, Taylor MP, Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ (2001) A geomorphological framework for river characterization and habitat assessment. *Aquat Conserv* 11:373–389
- Tuji A (2000) Observation of developmental processes in loosely attached diatom (Bacillariophyceae) communities. *Phycol Res* 48:75–84
- Villafañe VE, Reid FMH (1995) Métodos de microscopía para la cuantificación del fitoplancton. In: Alveal K, Ferrario ME, Oliveira EC, Sar E (eds) *Manual de Métodos Ficológicos*. Universitaria, Concepción, Chile, pp 169–185
- Villeneuve A, Montuelle B, Bouchez A (2010) Influence of slight differences in environmental conditions (light, hydrodynamics) on the structure and function of periphyton. *Aquat Sci* 72:33–44
- Weber CI (1973) Biological monitoring of the aquatic environment. In: Cairns J Jr, Dickson KL (eds) *Biological methods for the assessment of water quality*, ASTM STP 528. American Society of Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, USA, pp 46–60
- Wellnitz TA, Ward JV (2000) Herbivory and irradiance shape periphytic architecture in a Swiss alpine stream. *Limnol Oceanogr* 45:64–75
- Wu Y, Antoine SE, Bowker DW (1999) Colonisation of epilithic diatom population of the river Taff, South Wales, UK. *Limnologia* 29:174–185