

**ScienceDirect** 



## **Crop yield: challenges from a metabolic perspective** Magdalena Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Luisa Bermudez<sup>2,3,4</sup> and Fernando Carrari<sup>2,3,4</sup>



Considering the dual use of plants, as bio-factories for foods and feedstock for bio-refining, along with a rising world population, the plant biotechnology field is currently facing a dramatic challenge to develop crops with higher yield. Furthermore, convergent studies predict that global changes in climate will influence crop productivity by modifying most yieldassociated traits. Here, we review recent advances in the understanding of plant metabolism directly or indirectly impacting on yield and provide an update of the different pathways proposed as targets for metabolic engineering aiming to optimize source–sink relationships.

#### Addresses

- <sup>1</sup> Departamento de Botânica-IB-USP, Rua do Matão, 277, 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> Instituto de Biotecnología, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología
- Agropecuaria (IB-INTA), B1712WAA Castelar, Argentina
- <sup>3</sup> Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas

(CONICET), B1712WAA Castelar, Argentina

<sup>4</sup> Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Corresponding author: Carrari, Fernando (carrari.fernando@inta.gob.ar)

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2015, 25:79-89

This review comes from a themed issue on  $\ensuremath{\text{Physiology}}$  and  $\ensuremath{\text{metabolism}}$ 

Edited by Steven Smith and Sam Zeeman

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.05.004

1369-5266/ 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Yield components and modeling

Recently, efforts to directly increase yield per hectare have been achieved by the enhancement of harvest index (Box 1). However, food and bioenergy production must increase substantially in the next few years in order to supply the increasing global demand for commodities. It is well accepted that source production and sink utilization of carbohydrates are tightly coordinated and, given that the majority of food and feed comes from sink organs, these determine biomass production and, ultimately, yield. Here, yield is defined as the absolute capacity of a crop/ genotype to produce biomass under optimum conditions and this review particularly focuses on harvestable sink organs. The source–sink relationship is regulated by a highly complex signaling network involving carbon/nitrogen (C/N) status and nutrient availability [1].

The ideal condition for improving crop yield would be the optimization of all metabolic events together with the environmental conditions. This includes optimizing rates of all important processes and also their interactions and duration, which are generally determined by genetically based mechanisms (G) often affected by the environment  $(G \times E)$ . However, crop management (M) must be rationally included in the yield equation: yield =  $G \times E \times M$ . Different kinds of crop modeling are intent on evaluating vield under current and mimicked future environmental conditions [2,3]. The extent to which these models can predict yield effects largely depends on the importance of feedback regulation regarding light interception and conversion to biomass [4]. However, integration of metabolism variables into these models is just now being assessed (reviewed by [5]). An exemplary case is that of wheat productivity, for which yield has reached a plateau in the last 4–5 years despite increasing very rapidly during the last 50 years [3]. Models applied to a broad metabolic data set, from different accessions of Arabidopsis subjected to restrictions in N and C supplies, confirmed that biomass negatively correlates with starch and protein contents supporting the hypothesis that these metabolic traits are integrative signals that capture information about the levels of many low-molecular-weight metabolites [6,7]. Likewise, a kinetic model based on enzyme activity measurements and subcellular compartmentalization also linked growth with sucrose metabolism in tomato fruit [8] and demonstrated that during cell expansion, fruit experiences a decrease in sucrose import and glycolysis, suggesting that much of the C is imported very early in development (cell division). Moreover, the study also incorporated kinetic parameters of tonoplast carriers allowing the proposal that these proteins are involved in the stage-dependent enzyme reprogramming that occurs during tomato fruit development [9], emphasizing the importance of knowledge on compartmentalization kinetics to understand sink growth.

Biomass production is related to photosynthesis, by means of source activity. However, either insufficient sink strength and elevated source activity or inhibition of sugar transport lead to accumulation of carbohydrates in leaves resulting in the feedback downregulation of photosynthesis and of photosynthetic efficiency [10]. Additionally, biomass production is constrained by environmental factors that also alter source–sink partitioning

#### Box 1 Yield components definition

Yield is determined by the size and activity of the harvestable organs. The former is a physical factor that comprises cell number and size, and the latter is a complex physiological factor including carbohydrate metabolism and storage capacity. Definitions of yield components vary according to the reference crop species and are determined in specific phenological stages during plant development. Here we define those main traits which impact the final harvestable biomass per area unit.

- (1) Density at harvest: final plant number per unit area.
- (2) Individual production per plant:
  - 2.1 Number of harvestable organs per plant (e.g. stems in sugar cane, panicles and ears in cereals, fruit in tomato and tubers in potato).
  - 2.2 Number of spikelets per panicle/ear (in cereals).
  - 2.3 Weight of harvestable organs (e.g. 1000 grains in cereals, stems in sugar cane, fruit in tomato and tubers in potato).
- (3) Harvest index = total harvestable weight  $\times$  100/aerial biomass.

[11]. Thus, the experimental evidence clearly shows that yield should be placed in the context of whole-plant source–sink interrelationships. In order to approach a comprehension of agronomic yield, recent advances in carbohydrate production, partitioning and consumption aiming to optimize the source–sink relationship are reviewed in the next sections.

### Morphogenetic influence on yield

Several players and mechanisms by which morphogenetic patterns are determined have been revealed in recent years (Figures 1 and 2) and have been shown to modulate different yield components (Box 1), appearing as interesting targets to improve sink strength. In rice, panicle branching and number of grains per panicle are controlled by the transcriptional activator DROUGHT AND SALT TOLERANCE (DST). This is explained by elevated cytokinin levels in the reproductive shoot apical meristem, controlled by the GRAIN NUMBER 1A/CYTOKININ OX-IDASE 2 gene (Gn1a/OsCKX2) which is in turn activated by DST [12<sup>•</sup>]. Similarly, in wheat, supernumerary spikelet formation is controlled by WHEAT FRIZZY PANICLE, a member of the APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR family [13]. HvAP2, a member of this same gene family that is regulated post-transcriptionally by miR172, controls barley spike architecture, directly affecting the density of grains along the inflorescence [14]. Through alterations in protein metabolism, overexpression of the SPIKELET NUMBER gene (SPIKE) led to increases in spikelet number, leaf size, root dry weight and the number of vascular bundles, indicating an enhancement of source size and translocation capacity as well as sink size in rice [15].

The role of sugar-mediated signaling pathways in flowering control is well documented. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, high levels of sucrose accelerate flowering through the trehalose-6P (T6P) signal, which inhibits the transcription of miR156, allowing expression of the SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SPL) transcription factor [16]. T6P also regulates the expression of several flowering-time genes throughout the plant. In leaves, this signal molecule induces the FLOWERING LOCUS T(FT), which is a long-distance signal transported to the shoot meristem that triggers flowering [16]. Likewise, tuning the ratios between the flower-promoting SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS (SFT) (FT tomato homolog) and the flower-repressing SELF PRUNING (SP) results in an optimal balance of the flowering signals, defining a partially determinate plant architecture that leads to maximum yields without compromising the source strength [17<sup>•</sup>]. In the above examples, the photoperiodic and metabolic signals converge to ensure optimal conditions for flowering and, hence, affect overall yield. Notwithstanding these findings, until we fully understand the mechanisms underlying source and sink bottlenecks and partitioning that allow enough C supply to sink organs, this cumulative body of knowledge cannot be rationally exploited for increasing yield.

## Improving yield by enhancing source strength

Many factors of plant physiology affect source strength (Figures 1 and 2). Photosynthesis efficiency, by means of increasing photosynthesis per leaf area, might be attained by improving light capture, optimized C fixation and decreasing photosynthetic feedback inhibition. Engineering ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) for improved forms has been a main objective for enhancing photosynthetic efficiency. Although some interesting advances have been achieved, due to the complex quaternary structure of this enzyme, composed by a plastid-encoded large subunit (LSU) and a nuclearencoded small subunit (SSU), and the still limited chloroplast transformation for crop species, more effort should be made to translate RubisCO engineering into enhanced yield [18]. The co-expression of the Synechococcus elongates LSU and SSU genes, together with the assembly chaperone (RbcX) or an internal carboxysomal protein (CcmM35) in transplastomic tobaccos resulted in higher rates of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation per unit of enzyme [19]. Additionally, the engineering of the plastidial LSU in tobacco or the incorporation of the nuclear SSU from Sorghum bicolor in rice resulted in faster carboxylation and catalytic turnover rates of the enzyme, respectively [20,21]. However, the capacity of electron transport seemed insufficient to support the increased enzyme capacity in the transgenic plants [21]. Thus, some interesting works have explored the bottlenecks of the light harvest system and indicated the cytochrome (Cyt)b<sub>6</sub>/f complex and the  $\delta$ -subunit of chloroplast ATP synthase as potential targets for enhancing ATP and production of reducing equivalents especially when  $CO_2$  fixation is not limited [22,23]. Recently, a master regulator of photosynthetic C metabolism was identified in rice. Transgenic lines overexpressing



Overview of integrated strategies towards crop yield improvement. Currently known factors affecting yield through modulation of morphogenetic patterns, source and sink activities and source–sink communication. Numbers in brackets correspond to references cited in the text. Abbreviations (ordered as appearing in the figure). DST (drought and salt tolerance, zinc finger protein); (Cyt)b6/f (cytochrome b6f complex); HYR (higher yield rice); Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase); AGPase (ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase); NAC (NAM, ATAF, and CUC transcription factors family); SUT (sucrose transporters); NRT/PTR (nitrate transporter/peptide transporter); POT (proton-dependent oligopeptide transporters); SPA (sugar partitioning affecting protein); PAP (purple acid phosphatase); SnRK (sucrose nonfermenting (SNF)-related kinase); ASR (abscisic acid, stress, ripening); CWIN (cell wall invertase); CIN (cytoplasmic invertase); SUS (sucrose synthase); GWD (glucan, water dikinase); GLK (Golden 2-like); ARR2 (Arabidopsis response regulator); ARF (auxin response factor).

#### Figure 1





Schematic model of metabolic pathways and transcription factors associated with the modulation of morphogenetic pattern, source and sink activities and source-sink communication. The regulatory points that have been shown to affect final yield are indicated. Numbers in brackets are the same as those for references reviewed in the text. Abbreviations are ordered alphabetically. AGPase (ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase); AP2 (Apetala2); ARF (auxin response factor). ARR2 (Arabidopsis response regulator); ASR (abscisic acid, stress, ripening); CIN (cytoplasmic invertase); CWIN (cell wall invertase); (Cyt)b6/f (cytochrome b6f complex); DST (drought and salt tolerance, zinc finger protein); FT (flowering locus T); GLK (Golden 2-like); GWD (glucan, water dikinase); HYR (higher yield rice); IPT (isopentenyltransferase); NAC (NAM, ATAF, and CUC transcription factors family); NRT/PTR (nitrate transporter/peptide transporter); PAP (purple acid phosphatase); PGI (phosphoglucose isomerase); PGM (phosphoglucomutase); POT (proton-dependent oligopeptide transporters); PWD (phosphoglucan water dikinase); Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase); SNRK (sucrose nonfermenting (SNF)-related kinase); SPA (sugar partitioning affecting protein); SPL (squamosa promote binding protein-like); SPS (sucrose-phosphate synthase); SUS (sucrose synthase); SUT (sucrose transporters).

HIGHER YIELD RICE (HYR) gene showed higher  $CO_2$ assimilation and photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) compared to wild type plants. HYR encodes an AP2/ERF (APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor) transcription factor, which directly activates and represses other genes in a network involved in photosynthesis and carbon metabolism as well as in stress-protective pathways. The improved photosynthetic capacity of HYRoverexpressing lines resulted in an increment of  $\sim 30\%$ in grain yield under well-watered as well as droughtstressed conditions [24<sup>•</sup>]. Losses by photorespiration can reach over 25% of the fixed C [18]. To bypass these

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2015, 25:79-89

costs two alternative pathways have been introduced in *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts: (i) the entire glycolate catabolic pathway from *Escherichia coli* [25] and (ii) overexpression of the native glycolate oxidase, the malate synthase from *Cucurbita maxima* and a bacterial catalase [26<sup>•</sup>]. Promising results have been found in both cases; plants exhibited higher biomass production and showed enhanced  $CO_2$  fixation and growth improvement. However, evidence that such a strategy will prove successful in crop plants is still lacking.

The overexpression of the ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, a key enzyme in regulating starch biosynthesis, under the control of the RubisCO SSU promoter in Arabidopsis and Oryza sativa resulted in higher photosynthetic capacity and increased biomass and yield [27]. These data raised the hypothesis that enhancing the transient starch accumulation in leaves alleviates feedback inhibition of photosynthesis, stimulating the recycling of inorganic phosphate (Pi) by the consumption of triose-P and promoting more vegetative and reproductive growth during the night [28\*\*]. Similarly, the improvement of water use efficiency by the manipulation of stomatal behavior was demonstrated as an indirect way to increase photosynthesis efficiency, especially under drought stress conditions. In this sense, the expression of a hexokinase controlled by a guard cell-specific promoter in transgenic Solanum lycopersicum plants resulted in a 30% reduction of stomatal conductance and decreased transpiration without affecting photosynthesis and plant growth parameters [29].

The maintenance of photosynthetic activity for longer periods by delaying leaf senescence might also lead to C assimilation improvement. In mature leaves, photoassimilate export is followed by a phase of N remobilization; this transition corresponds to the initiation of senescence [30]. Genotypes in which the C-N transition point is delayed, named functional stay-greens, extend the transfer of photosynthetic assimilates from sources to harvestable sinks contributing to yield improvement [31,32]. A hormone-based strategy has been applied in several crop species where the gene encoding cytokininsynthesizing enzyme, isopentenyl transferase (IPT), was expressed under the control of senescence-induced promoters. SARK-IPT rice transgenic lines showed higher amounts of shoot dry matter production and seed yield per plant under water stress [33]. Similar strategies resulted in significant yield and salt tolerance increases in cotton [34] and peanut [35]. Delayed leaf senescence has also been approached by down-regulating senescence induction transcription factors that belong to the NAC (NAM/ATAF1/2/CUC2) gene family [36]. Interestingly, depending on the crop species, the impact of the stay-green phenotype on yield was different. In maize and rice, the down-regulation of NAC genes resulted in significant gains in grain weight and number [32]. By contrast, wheat plants silenced for all *NAM* copies showed reduced concentration of grain protein, zinc and iron, with no significant differences in grain size, thus indicating that the extended photosynthetic activity did not compensate for the reduced nutrient translocation from leaves [37]. However, results from a recent study showed a negative correlation between the onset of senescence and grain yield and a positive one with grain protein content [38]. Even the partitioning processes of N and C should be further explored; senescence control thus appears to be a promising target for yield improvement.

#### Sink strength as determinant of crop yield

Sink harvestable organs constitute approximately 75% of global crop food production. Sink strength is the major driving force for maintaining source activity, carbon partitioning and, therefore, yield. Its improvement has been approached by two main lines: by altering the expression of enzyme encoding genes related to sugar metabolism or plastid differentiation regulators (Figures 1 and 2), which are reviewed below.

Invertases, the major sucrolytic plant enzymes, are recognized to play a central role in determining sink strength in many crops species. Ectopic expression of a yeast invertase in the cytosol led to large changes in metabolic profile: reduced starch content in potato tubers, increased respiration rate and accelerated starch degradation during storage. On the other hand, apoplasmic expression resulted in increased tuber size and vield due to an increase in water content. These effects could be explained by a reduced glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) availability in the plastid due to the lower expression of the G6P transporter [39]. This mechanism indicates that assimilate utilization is regulated at the level of sucrose degradation controlling energy versus storage metabolism. Cell wall invertases (CWINs) determine the C partitioning during early grain filling [40<sup>•</sup>] and constitute functional markers associated with kernel weight in wheat [41]. Moreover, under drought stress conditions the heterologous expression of a CWIN gene CIN1 from Chenopodium rubrum in tomato increased fruit yield due to an induced sink metabolism in the leaves [42]. On the other hand, even when vacuolar invertases (VINs) have not been associated directly with yield, it has been hypothesized that they promote cell expansion by an osmotic-independent mechanism stimulating phloem unloading and, thus, sink strength by maintaining the gradient of sucrose from phloem to parenchyma cells [43]. In this regard, VINs are an attractive focus of attention as targets for yield improvement. Overall, however, invertase activities are mostly regulated at posttranslational levels, especially by their pH-dependent interaction with inhibitors [44] and examples in tomato and potato have been described with impacts on yield and quality [45,46].

As well as invertases, sucrose synthase (SUS) has been largely studied as a biochemical marker for sink strength, due to its contribution to starch, protein biosynthesis and energy production [47–49]. The enhancement of SUS activity represents a useful strategy for increasing starch accumulation and yield in heterotrophic organs. Despite conflicting results reported for *Arabidopsis* [50,51], in cotton, seed and fiber growth correlate well with SUS activities [49,52], and the ectopic expression of *StSUS4* in maize resulted in seeds with both higher starch content and amylose/amylopectin balance [53].

The enhancement of starch accumulation in harvestable organs was achieved by the increase of the net balance between starch synthesis and breakdown [28<sup>••</sup>]. Thus, enzymes related to these two processes are good targets for the production of genetically engineered 'high-starch' plants. Endosperm-specific expression of either the BT2 or SH2 gene encoding the maize AGPase small and large subunits, respectively, resulted in enhanced seed weight and starch content [54]. In tomato, it has been reported that malate metabolism affects AGPase activity through an effect on the cellular redox balance determining sugar content [55]. Downregulation of starch phosphorylation by silencing of a glucan water dikinase enzyme resulted in an increase in the final yield in wheat [56]. Furthermore, suppression of  $\alpha$ -amylase genes improves rice grain quality when plants are grown under high temperature conditions [57].

In tomato fruit, photosynthesis affects development and ripening, contributing to final quality and yield. The GOLDEN 2-LIKE (GLK2) transcription factor is a regulator of chloroplast development in tomato fruit that affects sugars and lycopene contents [58,59], this fact identified this gene as an interesting target to enhance quality traits. Another example is the tomato auxin response factor 4 (SIARF4) that affects fruit chlorophyll contents and controls starch accumulation in fruit by repressing the expression of the SlAGPase gene. In this way, SlARF4silenced lines showed denser, firmer, and prolonged shelf-life fruits with reduced water loss [60,61]. Similarly, the tomato ARR-2 LIKE gene (ARABIDOPSIS PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATOR2-LIKE) affects plastid number and area in fruit, enhancing the levels of chlorophyll in immature unripe fruit and carotenoids in red ripe fruit [62]. These studies provide insight into the link between hormone signaling, chloroplast activity and sugar metabolism that could be further targets for improving fruit yield and quality, not only in tomato but in other fruit bearing species.

# Source-sink partitioning and its relationship with crop yield

Most of the fixed C not required to support leaf homeostasis is loaded to phloem and partitioned to sink organs. Crop yield depends on the source–sink relationship, which in turns is highly influenced by environmental responses and metabolic demands (Figures 1 and 2). Thus, knowledge about the balance between assimilate production and consumption must be very precise if improvement in crop productivity is desired. An increase in night temperature during the rice reproductive period impacted negatively on grain yield and total dry matter. This is in line with N and non-structural carbohydrate content reductions and decreased 1000-grain weight and grain yield. Increments in the abundance of molecular chaperones and nucleic acid/protein modification proteins at early grain filling stages indicate that the observed source limitation is under genetic control and provides a basis for metabolic engineering approaches [63]. The above described results somehow contrast with the hypothesis postulated for wheat and barley. By removing sink-strength and/or source-strength, these authors proposed that these two crop species do not seem to be source-limited under a range of different production conditions, as the source availability exceeds their sink capacity [64]. On the other hand, removing secondary inflorescences in Arabidopsis resulted in a stimulation of elongation of the primary inflorescences and in the development of longer and larger siliques that contained fewer, bigger seeds of higher fatty acid content [65].

Resource allocation in plants is completely dependent on the stage of the plant's life cycle and the reproductive strategy of the species under consideration. So far, with a handful of exceptions, efforts to increase the partitioning of fixed C into harvestable organs have largely been restricted to the manipulation of C fluxes via the modification of individual enzymatic steps (reviewed in [66]). Nevertheless, sugar movement systems across plasma membranes for phloem loading, which ultimately define the total biomass allocated into harvestable organs, are an intense focus of research. Two types of phloem loading can occur in the same vein: apoplastic and symplastic. Once loaded into the phloem, sucrose moves along hydrostatic pressure gradients by bulk flow through the transport phloem. It was not until recently, however, that a 'missing link' of sugar movement systems was identified: the SWEETs sugar efflux carriers. These transport proteins are responsible for the efflux of sucrose from the phloem parenchyma to the sieve element-companion cell complex for translocation toward sink organs where it is loaded actively with the help of a sucrose transporter (SUT1) and energized by H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases into the actual conduits [67,68<sup>••</sup>]. These proteins are now starting to be identified in economically important crops and proposed as promising new ways of engineering both crop yield and pathogen resistance [69,70<sup>•</sup>,71].

Sugars are not the only metabolites that comprise sourcesink relationships, but N uptake and transport also sustains development and growth and finally impacts on yield. N can be transported as free amino acids and small peptides. In some tropical and subtropical legumes (i.e. soybean, common bean, chickpea and cowpea), ureides represent the major form for long-distance transport of N. This is mediated by various types of proteins involving different tightly controlled transport mechanisms (reviewed by [72]). A more specialized nitrate and dipeptide and tri-peptide transport system (NRT/PTRs), which distributes nitrogen throughout the vascular system involves a very large transporter family belonging to the ubiquitous proton-dependent oligopeptide transporter family (POT) (reviewed by [73]). This vast protein family is an emerging field for engineering with potential impact on crop yield and quality.

New molecular mechanisms concerning source and sink communicating signals have been recently described. Plant purple acid phosphatases (PAPs) catalyze the hydrolysis of a wide range of phosphomonoester and amide substrates and are considered to mediate phosphorus acquisition and redistribution. Ectopic overexpression AtPAP2) resulted in earlier bolting and higher seed yield in Arabidopsis [74]. These lines presented upregulation of sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) activity and enhanced sucrose and hexose levels in leaves, with no changes in starch contents. The authors hypothesized that AtPAP2 operates in a novel mechanism, independent of the action of the well-known SnRK kinases. which releases Pi, promoting triose-P production in the chloroplast and subsequently enhances sucrose synthesis. Similarly, in the mitochondria, Pi provision modulates the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and activates oxidative phosphorylation and ATP synthesis. Overexpression of this enzyme in potato increased tuber number and starch content, and raised the photosynthesis rate by a mechanism also mediated by increments in SPS activity and the sucrose transporter 1 (StSUT1) [75]. Similarly, SnRK1 is proposed as a common player in regulating the source-sink relationship and, although under debate [76], its connection with T6P in improving wheat yield is illustrated (reviewed by [77]). This protein kinase is regulated by T6P, a sensor of sucrose availability [78,79]. Under conditions of low temperature or low N T6P regulation of SnRK1 provides an explanation for the control of growth in response to tissue sucrose availability [11]. However, contrasting results have been reported regarding consequences of modifying T6P on crop productivity. For example, in potato, increased or decreased T6P leads to lower tuber size and yield. Tubers with elevated T6P have lower levels of sucrose and hexose phosphates, decreased starch, higher respiration and more lenticels [80]. Source-sink balance coordination is intimately linked to triose-P metabolism and sensing. In this vein, a recently described plausible regulator of source-sink partitioning with an impact on yield is a small plastidial protein from tomato, named SPA (SUG-AR PARTITIONING AFFECTING), whose role in plastid metabolism limits the rate of sucrose translocation to fruits. Source leaves from SPA-silenced plants have a lower content of soluble sugars and starch, while ripe fruit accumulates over two-fold more starch but soluble sugars remain unaltered. As a consequence, silenced plants produce more and heavier fruits summing to a considerably higher harvest index [81<sup>•</sup>]. Surprisingly, the Arabidopsis ortholog LOW QUANTUM YIELD (LQY) [82] interacts in vivo with HYPERSENSITIVE TO HIGH LIGHT1 (HHL1) [83] and together maintains PSII activity by regulating repair and reassembling of PSII complexes under light stress. These findings are, by no means divergent, and instead suggest that SPA/ LQY1 could operate as a bifunctional protein involved in sugar partitioning and PSII stabilization in both species.

Further, sugar-hormone signals and molecular networks have been long implicated in controlling plant growth through modulation of source-sink partitioning (reviewed by [84]) and plausible molecular mechanisms are being revealed. A small DNA-binding protein from the ASR (ABSCICIC ACID, STRESS AND RIPENING) family has been found to act at the convergence of glucose and abscisic acid signaling cascades through HEXOKINASE1 and SnRK1 [85]. In potato, ASR1 suppresses the expression of hexose transporters in tubers, in opposition to the observed function of this protein in leaves [86]. Thus, ASR1 could have antagonistic effects on source and sink tissues in sugar metabolism. This duality in the effect of ASR1 could be caused by the interaction of ASR1 with different factors that regulate gene expression. In maize, ASR1 overexpression has a large impact on vegetative biomass and increases yield and this phenotype correlates with changes in the branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis [87]. More recently, it was shown that ASR1 regulates leaf glucose levels and C partitioning in tobacco through its action in the glucose-ABA and glucose-gibberellin crosstalks [88]. Many genes with a role in abiotic stress tolerance also seem to have a direct or indirect effect on source-sink relations and it has been hypothesized that under stress conditions plants may display adaptive responses to recover functional equilibrium. However, even when this would be attractive from a biotechnological point of view, until the mechanisms behind this link are elucidated their potential application cannot be fully exploited.

## **Conclusions and perspectives**

The experimental data discussed above make it evident that results produced by individual interventions in the source–sink relationship have had limited success and 'multiple targeted engineered plants' may suit the requirement for achieving high yield and elevated fitness of crops. In this sense, a pioneer study has reported a combined 'pull' and 'push' approach aiming to improve potato tuber yield. Source capacity was increased by mesophyll-specific overexpression of a pyrophosphatase or, alternatively, by antisense expression of the ADPglucose pyrophosphorylase. In contrast, sink capacity was enhanced by the overexpression of two plastidic transporters in tubers, a glucose 6-phosphate/phosphate and an adenylate translocator. Both combinations of engineered plants resulted in reduced leaf starch accumulation and double the starch yield in tubers [89].

As stressed in this review, many metabolic pathways have direct impacts on crop yield through a plethora of different mechanisms. Whether the plasticity of such mechanisms can overcome yield constraints in a context of global climate change (i.e. higher temperatures and water limitation) should be one of the most important questions for the plant science field in the coming years. Moreover, a current urgent challenge is to transfer these findings to open field trials and demonstrate the effects on yields on a per unit area basis.

## Acknowledgements

Work in the authors' laboratories is supported by FAPESP-CONICET (2013/50481-5, ARG-BRZ), CAPES (BRZ), CNPq (BRZ), FAPEPS (2014/ 10651-1, BRZ), INTA (ARG) and ANPCyT (ARG).

#### **References and recommended reading**

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Paul MJ, Foyer CH: Sink regulation of photosynthesis. *J Exp Bot* 2001, **52**:1383-1400.
- Rosenzweig C, Elliott J, Deryng D, Ruane AC, Müller C, Arneth A, Boote KJ, Folberth C, Glotter M, Khabarov N et al.: Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014, 111:3268-3273.
- 3. Lobell DB, Gourdji SM: The influence of climate change on global crop productivity. *Plant Physiol* 2012, 160:1686-1697.
- 4. Parent B, Tardieu F: Can current crop models be used in the phenotyping era for predicting the genetic variability of yield of plants subjected to drought or high temperature? *J Exp Bot* 2014, **65**:6179-6189.
- Baghalian K, Hajirezaei M-R, Schreiber F: Plant metabolic modeling: achieving new insight into metabolism and metabolic engineering. *Plant Cell* 2014, 26:3847-3866.
- Sulpice R, Pyl E-T, Ishihara H, Trenkamp S, Steinfath M, Witucka-Wall H, Gibon Y, Usadel B, Poree F, Piques MC et al.: Starch as a major integrator in the regulation of plant growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106:10348-10353.
- Sulpice R, Nikoloski Z, Tschoep H, Antonio C, Kleessen S, Larhlimi A, Selbig J, Ishihara H, Gibon Y, Fernie AR et al.: Impact of the carbon and nitrogen supply on relationships and connectivity between metabolism and biomass in a broad panel of Arabidopsis accessions. *Plant Physiol* 2013, 162:347-363.
- Beauvoit BP, Colombié S, Monier A, Andrieu M-H, Biais B, Bénard C, Chéniclet C, Dieuaide-Noubhani M, Nazaret C, Mazat J-P et al.: Model-assisted analysis of sugar metabolism throughout tomato fruit development reveals enzyme and carrier properties in relation to vacuole expansion. *Plant Cell* 2014, 26:3224-3242.

- Steinhauser M-C, Steinhauser D, Koehl K, Carrari F, Gibon Y, Fernie AR, Stitt M: Enzyme activity profiles during fruit development in tomato cultivars and Solanum pennellii. Plant Physiol 2010, 153:80-98.
- Adams WW III, Muller O, Cohu CM, Demmig-Adams B: May photoinhibition be a consequence, rather than a cause, of limited plant productivity? *Photosynth Res* 2013, 117:31-44.
- Nunes C, O'Hara LE, Primavesi LF, Delatte TL, Schluepmann H, Somsen GW, Silva AB, Fevereiro PS, Wingler A, Paul MJ: The trehalose 6-phosphate/SnRK1 signaling pathway primes growth recovery following relief of sink limitation. *Plant Physiol* 2013, 162:1720-1732.
- Li S, Zhao B, Yuan D, Duan M, Qian Q, Tang L, Wang B, Liu X,
   Zhang J, Wang J et al.: Rice zinc finger protein DST enhances grain production through controlling Gn1a/OsCKX2 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013, 110:3167-3172.

This paper provides convincing evidence concerning the molecular mechanisms controlling the activity and function of the shoot apical meristem through cytokinin action.

- Dobrovolskaya O, Pont C, Sibout R, Martinek P, Badaeva E, Murat F, Chosson A, Watanabe N, Prat E, Gautier N *et al.*: FRIZZY PANICLE drives supernumerary spikelets in bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Plant Physiol* 2015, 167:189-199.
- 14. Houston K, McKim SM, Comadran J, Bonar N, Druka I, Uzrek N, Cirillo E, Guzy-Wrobelska J, Collins NC, Halpin C *et al.*: Variation in the interaction between alleles of HvAPETALA2 and microRNA172 determines the density of grains on the barley inflorescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013, 110:16675-16680.
- Fujita D, Trijatmiko KR, Tagle AG, Sapasap MV, Koide Y, Sasaki K, Tsakirpaloglou N, Gannaban RB, Nishimura T, Yanagihara S et al.: NAL1 allele from a rice landrace greatly increases yield in modern indica cultivars. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013, 110:20431-20436.
- Wahl V, Ponnu J, Schlereth A, Arrivault S, Langenecker T, Franke A, Feil R, Lunn JE, Stitt M, Schmid M: Regulation of flowering by trehalose-6-phosphate signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 2013, 339:704-707.
- Park SJ, Jiang K, Tal L, Yichie Y, Gar O, Zamir D, Eshed Y,
   Lippman ZB: Optimization of crop productivity in tomato using induced mutations in the florigen pathway. Nat Genet 2014, 46:1337-1342.

New components of the florigen complex are reported here, along with a balanced combination of alleles to maximize yield.

- Maurino VG, Weber APM: Engineering photosynthesis in plants and synthetic microorganisms. J Exp Bot 2013, 64:743-751.
- Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Parry MA, Hanson MR: A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* 2014, 513:547-550.
- Whitney SM, Sharwood RE, Orr D, White SJ, Alonso H, Galmés J:
   Isoleucine 309 acts as a C4 catalytic switch that increases ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (rubisco) carboxylation rate in *Flaveria*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, 108:14688-14693.

Introduction of LSU from different *Flaveria* species into tobacco demonstrated that a single amino acid modification is responsible for the switch from  $C_3$  (309Met) to  $C_4$  (309Ile) RubisCO activity resulting in faster carboxylation rate and lower  $CO_2$  affinity.

- 21. Ishikawa C, Hatanaka T, Misoo S, Miyake C, Fukayama H: Functional incorporation of sorghum small subunit increases the catalytic turnover rate of Rubisco in transgenic rice. *Plant Physiol* 2011, **156**:1603-1611.
- Yamori W, Takahashi S, Makino A, Price GD, Badger MR, von Caemmerer S: The roles of ATP synthase and the cytochrome b6/f complexes in limiting chloroplast electron transport and determining photosynthetic capacity. *Plant Physiol* 2011, 155:956-962.
- Rott M, Martins NF, Thiele W, Lein W, Bock R, Kramer DM, Schöttler MA: ATP synthase repression in tobacco restricts photosynthetic electron transport, CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, and plant growth by overacidification of the thylakoid lumen. *Plant Cell* 2011, 23:304-321.

- 24. Ambavaram MMR, Basu S, Krishnan A, Ramegowda V, Batlang U,
- Rahman L, Baisakh N, Pereira A: Coordinated regulation of photosynthesis in rice increases yield and tolerance to environmental stress. Nat Commun 2014, 5:5302.

This paper reports on the finding of a photosynthesis master regulator gene (*HYR*) with a role in yield stability under environmental stress conditions. *HYR* encodes an AP2/ERF (APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor) transcription factor which directly induces and represses a number of genes involved in photosynthesis and carbon metabolism.

- Kebeish R, Niessen M, Thiruveedhi K, Bari R, Hirsch H-J, Rosenkranz R, Stäbler N, Schönfeld B, Kreuzaler F, Peterhänsel C: Chloroplastic photorespiratory bypass increases photosynthesis and biomass production in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Biotechnol 2007, 25:593-599.
- Maier A, Fahnenstich H, von Caemmerer S, Engqvist MKM,
  Weber APM, Flügge U-I, Maurino VG: Transgenic introduction of
- Weber APM, Flügge U-I, Maurino VG: Transgenic introduction of a glycolate oxidative cycle into A. thaliana chloroplasts leads to growth improvement. Front Plant Sci 2012, 3:38.

Here an alternative to reduce photorespiratory losses is explored. The introduction of a synthetic glycolate oxidative cycle into *Arabidopsis* improved dry weight and photosynthetic rates and changed the glycine/serine ratio, compared to the wild type.

- 27. Gibson K, Park J-S, Nagai Y, Hwang S-K, Cho Y-C, Roh K-H, Lee S-M, Kim D-H, Choi S-B, Ito H *et al.*: Exploiting leaf starch synthesis as a transient sink to elevate photosynthesis, plant productivity and yields. *Plant Sci* 2011, 181:275-281.
- 28. Tuncel A, Okita TW: Improving starch yield in cereals by over expression of ADPglucose pyrophosphorylase: expectations and unanticipated outcomes. *Plant Sci* 2013, 211:52-60.

This review presents a good overview of the current knowledge about the regulatory role of AGPase on starch accumulation in different crop species and discusses historical and recent papers where attempts to improve crop yield were presented.

- 29. Lawson T, Simkin AJ, Kelly G, Granot D: Mesophyll photosynthesis and guard cell metabolism impacts on stomatal behaviour. New Phytol 2014, 203:1064-1081.
- 30. Thomas H, Ougham H: The stay-green trait. J Exp Bot 2014, 65:3889-3900.
- Gregersen PL, Culetic A, Boschian L, Krupinska K: Plant senescence and crop productivity. Plant Mol Biol 2013, 82:603-622.
- Guo Y, Gan S-S: Translational researches on leaf senescence for enhancing plant productivity and quality. J Exp Bot 2014, 65:3901-3913.
- Peleg Z, Reguera M, Tumimbang E, Walia H, Blumwald E: Cytokinin-mediated source/sink modifications improve drought tolerance and increase grain yield in rice under waterstress. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2011, 9:747-758.
- Liu YD, Yin ZJ, Yu JW, Li J, Wei HL, Han XL, Shen FF: Improved salt tolerance and delayed leaf senescence in transgenic cotton expressing the Agrobacterium IPT gene. *Biol Plantarum* 2012, 56:237-246.
- 35. Qin H, Gu Q, Zhang J, Sun L, Kuppu S, Zhang Y, Burow M, Payton P, Blumwald E, Zhang H: Regulated expression of an isopentenyltransferase gene (IPT) in peanut significantly improves drought tolerance and increases yield under field conditions. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2011, 52:1904-1914.
- Matallana-Ramirez LP, Rauf M, Farage-Barhom S, Dortay H, Xue G-P, Dröge-Laser W, Lers A, Balazadeh S, Mueller-Roeber B: NAC transcription factor ORE1 and senescence-induced BIFUNCTIONAL NUCLEASE1 (BFN1) constitute a regulatory cascade in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 2013, 6:1438-1452.
- Uauy C, Distelfeld A, Fahima T, Blechl A, Dubcovsky J: A NAC gene regulating senescence improves grain protein, zinc, and iron content in wheat. *Science* 2006, 314:1298-1301.
- Kipp S, Mistele B, Schmidhalter U: Identification of stay-green and early senescence phenotypes in high-yielding winter wheat, and their relationship to grain yield and grain protein concentration using high-throughput phenotyping techniques. Funct Plant Biol 2014, 41:227-235.

- **39.** Ferreira SJ, Sonnewald U: **The mode of sucrose degradation in potato tubers determines the fate of assimilate utilization**. *Front Plant Sci* 2012, **3**:23.
- 40. Li B, Liu H, Zhang Y, Kang T, Zhang L, Tong J, Xiao L, Zhang H:
   Constitutive expression of cell wall invertase genes increases grain yield and starch content in maize. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2013, 11:1080-1091

This work presents an excellent example of a biotechnological application derived from engineering the sucrolytic pathway in maize crops. The constitutive overexpression of the CWIN MN1 resulted in enlarged ears with both enhanced grain size and number accompanied by higher starch content, leading to a 145.3% increment in grain yield.

- Ma D, Yan J, He Z, Wu L, Xia X: Characterization of a cell wall invertase gene TaCwi-A1 on common wheat chromosome 2A and development of functional markers. *Mol Breed* 2012, 29:43-52.
- Albacete A, Cantero-Navarro E, Balibrea ME, Großkinsky DK, de la Cruz González M, Martínez-Andújar C, Smigocki AC, Roitsch T, Pérez-Alfocea F: Hormonal and metabolic regulation of tomato fruit sink activity and yield under salinity. J Exp Bot 2014, 65:6081-6095.
- Sergeeva LI, Keurentjes JJB, Bentsink L, Vonk J, van der Plas LHW, Koornneef M, Vreugdenhil D: Vacuolar invertase regulates elongation of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as revealed by QTL and mutant analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:2994-2999.
- 44. Hothorn M, Van den Ende W, Lammens W, Rybin V, Scheffzek K: Structural insights into the pH-controlled targeting of plant cell-wall invertase by a specific inhibitor protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107:17427-17432.
- 45. Jin Y, Ni D-A, Ruan Y-L: Posttranslational elevation of cell wall invertase activity by silencing its inhibitor in tomato delays leaf senescence and increases seed weight and fruit hexose level. *Plant Cell* 2009, 21:2072-2089.
- Bhaskar PB, Wu L, Busse JS, Whitty BR, Hamernik AJ, Jansky SH, Buell CR, Bethke PC, Jiang J: Suppression of the vacuolar invertase gene prevents cold-induced sweetening in potato. *Plant Physiol* 2010, 154:939-948.
- Bahaji A, Li J, Sánchez-López ÁM, Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Ovecka M, Almagro G, Montero M, Ezquer I, Etxeberria E et al.: Starch biosynthesis, its regulation and biotechnological approaches to improve crop yields. *Biotechnol Adv* 2014, 32:87-106.
- 48. Ruan Y-L: Sucrose metabolism: gateway to diverse carbon use and sugar signaling. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2014, 65:33-67.
- Xu S-M, Brill E, Llewellyn DJ, Furbank RT, Ruan Y-L: Overexpression of a potato sucrose synthase gene in cotton accelerates leaf expansion, reduces seed abortion, and enhances fiber production. *Mol Plant* 2012, 5:430-441.
- Barratt DH, Derbyshire P, Findlay K, Pike M, Wellner N, Lunn J, Feil R, Simpson C, Maule AJ, Smith AM: Normal growth of Arabidopsis requires cytosolic invertase but not sucrose synthase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106:13124-13129.
- 51. Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Li J, Bahaji A, Almagro G, Montero M, Etxeberria E, Hidalgo M, Sesma MT, Pozueta-Romero J: Sucrose synthase activity in the sus1/sus2/sus3/ sus4 Arabidopsis mutant is sufficient to support normal cellulose and starch production. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012, 109:321-326.
- Jiang Y, Guo W, Zhu H, Ruan Y-L, Zhang T: Overexpression of GhSusA1 increases plant biomass and improves cotton fiber yield and quality. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2012, 10:301-312.
- 53. Li J, Baroja-Fernández E, Bahaji A, Muñoz FJ, Ovecka M, Montero M, Sesma MT, Alonso-Casajús N, Almagro G, Sánchez-López AM et al.: Enhancing sucrose synthase activity results in increased levels of starch and ADP-glucose in maize (Zea mays L.) seed endosperms. Plant Cell Physiol 2013, 54:282-294.
- 54. Li N, Zhang S, Zhao Y, Li B, Zhang J: Over-expression of AGPase genes enhances seed weight and starch content in transgenic maize. *Planta* 2011, 233:241-250.

- 55. Centeno DC, Osorio S, Nunes-Nesi A, Bertolo ALF, Carneiro RT, Araújo WL, Steinhauser M-C, Michalska J, Rohrmann J, Geigenberger P et al.: Malate plays a crucial role in starch metabolism, ripening, and soluble solid content of tomato fruit and affects postharvest softening. *Plant Cell* 2011, 23:162-184.
- Ral J-P, Bowerman AF, Li Z, Sirault X, Furbank R, Pritchard JR, Bloemsma M, Cavanagh CR, Howitt CA, Morell MK: Downregulation of glucan, water-dikinase activity in wheat endosperm increases vegetative biomass and yield. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2012, 10:871-882.
- 57. Hakata M, Kuroda M, Miyashita T, Yamaguchi T, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Mitsui T, Yamakawa H: Suppression of α-amylase genes improves quality of rice grain ripened under high temperature. Plant Biotechnol J 2012, 10:1110-1117.
- Powell ALT, Nguyen CV, Hill T, Cheng KL, Figueroa-Balderas R, Aktas H, Ashrafi H, Pons C, Fernández-Muñoz R, Vicente A et al.: Uniform ripening encodes a golden 2-like transcription factor regulating tomato fruit chloroplast development. Science 2012, 336:1711-1715.
- Nguyen CV, Vrebalov JT, Gapper NE, Zheng Y, Zhong S, Fei Z, Giovannoni JJ: Tomato GOLDEN2-LIKE transcription factors reveal molecular gradients that function during fruit development and ripening. *Plant Cell* 2014, 26:585-601.
- Sagar M, Chervin C, Roustan J-P, Bouzayen M, Zouine M: Underexpression of the Auxin Response Factor SI-ARF4 improves post-harvest behavior of tomato fruits. *Plant Signal Behav* 2013, 8:e25647.
- Sagar M, Chervin C, Mila I, Hao Y, Roustan J-P, Benichou M, Gibon Y, Biais B, Maury P, Latché A et al.: SIARF4, an auxin response factor involved in the control of sugar metabolism during tomato fruit development. *Plant Physiol* 2013, 161:1362-1374.
- 62. Pan Y, Bradley G, Pyke K, Ball G, Lu C, Fray R, Marshall A, Jayasuta S, Baxter C, van Wijk R et al.: Network inference analysis identifies an APRR2-like gene linked to pigment accumulation in tomato and pepper fruits. *Plant Physiol* 2013, 161:1476-1485.
- Shi W, Muthurajan R, Rahman H, Selvam J, Peng S, Zou Y, Jagadish KSV: Source-sink dynamics and proteomic reprogramming under elevated night temperature and their impact on rice yield and grain quality. New Phytol 2013, 197:825-837.
- 64. Serrago RA, Alzueta I, Savin R, Slafer GA: Understanding grain yield responses to source-sink ratios during grain filling in wheat and barley under contrasting environments. *Field Crop Res* 2013, **150**:42-51.
- 65. Bennett E, Roberts JA, Wagstaff C: Manipulating resource allocation in plants. J Exp Bot 2012, 63:3391-3400.
- Nunes-Nesi A, Araújo WL, Fernie AR: Targeting mitochondrial metabolism and machinery as a means to enhance photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol* 2011, 155:101-107.
- Chen L-Q, Hou B-H, Lalonde S, Takanaga H, Hartung ML, Qu X-Q, Guo W-J, Kim J-G, Underwood W, Chaudhuri B *et al.*: Sugar transporters for intercellular exchange and nutrition of pathogens. *Nature* 2010, 468:527-532.
- 68. Chen L-Q, Qu X-Q, Hou B-H, Sosso D, Osorio S, Fernie AR,
- Frommer WB: Sucrose efflux mediated by SWEET proteins as a key step for phloem transport. Science 2012, 335:207-211.

This paper reports on the finding of the 'missing link' in the sugar movement system; the SWEETs efflux carriers, which are responsible for the efflux of sucrose from the phloem parenchyma to the sieve element companion cell.

- Deol KK, Mukherjee S, Gao F, Brûlé-babel A, Stasolla C, Ayele BT: Identification and characterization of the three homeologues of a new sucrose transporter in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum* aestivum L.). BMC Plant Biol 2013, 13:181.
- 70. Schroeder JI, Delhaize E, Frommer WB, Guerinot ML, Harrison MJ,
  Herrera-Estrella L, Horie T, Kochian LV, Munns R, Nishizawa NK

et al.: Using membrane transporters to improve crops for sustainable food production. *Nature* 2013, **497**:60-66.

This paper highlights the perspectives in the engineering of a minimum number of transport systems to improve crop yields

- Li M, Feng F, Cheng L: Expression patterns of genes involved in sugar metabolism and accumulation during apple fruit development. PLoS One 2012, 7:e33055.
- Tegeder M: Transporters involved in source to sink partitioning of amino acids and ureides: opportunities for crop improvement. J Exp Bot 2014, 65:1865-1878.
- Nour-Eldin HH, Halkier BA: The emerging field of transport engineering of plant specialized metabolites. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2013, 24:263-270.
- Sun F, Suen PK, Zhang Y, Liang C, Carrie C, Whelan J, Ward JL, Hawkins ND, Jiang L, Lim BL: A dual-targeted purple acid phosphatase in Arabidopsis thaliana moderates carbon metabolism and its overexpression leads to faster plant growth and higher seed yield. New Phytol 2012, 194:206-219.
- Zhang Y, Sun F, Fettke J, Schöttler MA, Ramsden L, Fernie AR, Lim BL: Heterologous expression of AtPAP2 in transgenic potato influences carbon metabolism and tuber development. *FEBS Lett* 2014, 588:3726-3731.
- Lunn JE, Delorge I, Figueroa CM, Van Dijck P, Stitt M: Trehalose metabolism in plants. Plant J 2014, 79:544-567.
- 77. Lawlor DW, Paul MJ: Source/sink interactions underpin crop yield: the case for trehalose 6-phosphate/SnRK1 in improvement of wheat. Front Plant Sci 2014, 5:418.
- Yadav UP, Ivakov A, Feil R, Duan GY, Walther D, Giavalisco P, Piques M, Carillo P, Hubberten H-M, Stitt M et al.: The sucrosetrehalose 6-phosphate (Tre6P) nexus: specificity and mechanisms of sucrose signalling by Tre6P. J Exp Bot 2014, 65:1051-1068.
- Martins MCM, Hejazi M, Fettke J, Steup M, Feil R, Krause U, Arrivault S, Vosloh D, Figueroa CM, Ivakov A et al.: Feedback inhibition of starch degradation in arabidopsis leaves mediated by trehalose 6-phosphate. Plant Physiol 2013, 163:1142-1163.
- Debast S, Nunes-Nesi A, Hajirezaei MR, Hofmann J, Sonnewald U, Fernie AR, Börnke F: Altering trehalose-6-phosphate content in transgenic potato tubers affects tuber growth and alters responsiveness to hormones during sprouting. *Plant Physiol* 2011, 156:1754-1771.
- Bermúdez L, de Godoy F, Baldet P, Demarco D, Osorio S,
   Quadrana L, Almeida J, Asis R, Gibon Y, Fernie AR et al.: Silencing of the tomato sugar partitioning affecting protein (SPA) modifies sink strength through a shift in leaf sugar metabolism. *Plant J* 2014, 77:676-687.

Presents evidence that SPA might be involved in triose-P sensing and coordination of the sink-source balance in tomato plants impacting on yield.

- 82. Lu Y, Hall DA, Last RL: A small zinc finger thylakoid protein plays a role in maintenance of photosystem II in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 2011, 23:1861-1875.
- Jin H, Liu B, Luo L, Feng D, Wang P, Liu J, Da Q, He Y, Qi K, Wang J et al.: HYPERSENSITIVE TO HIGH LIGHT1 interacts with LOW QUANTUM YIELD OF PHOTOSYSTEM II1 and functions in protection of photosystem ii from photodamage in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2014, 26:1213-1229.
- 84. Smeekens S, Ma J, Hanson J, Rolland F: Sugar signals and molecular networks controlling plant growth. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2010, **13**:274-279.
- Saumonneau A, Laloi M, Lallemand M, Rabot A, Atanassova R: Dissection of the transcriptional regulation of grape ASR and response to glucose and abscisic acid. J Exp Bot 2012, 63:1495-1510.
- Frankel N, Nunes-Nesi A, Balbo I, Mazuch J, Centeno D, Iusem N, Fernie A, Carrari F: ci21A/Asr1 expression influences

glucose accumulation in potato tubers. Plant Mol Biol 2007, 63:719-730.

- Virlouvet L, Jacquemot M-P, Gerentes D, Corti H, Bouton S, Gilard F, Valot B, Trouverie J, Tcherkez G, Falque M et al.: The ZmASR1 protein influences branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis and maintains kernel yield in maize under water-limited conditions. *Plant Physiol* 2011, 157:917-936.
- Dominguez PG, Frankel N, Mazuch J, Balbo I, Iusem N, Fernie AR, Carrari F: ASR1 mediates glucose-hormone cross talk by affecting sugar trafficking in tobacco plants. *Plant Physiol* 2013, 161:1486-1500.
- Jonik C, Sonnewald U, Hajirezaei M-R, Flügge U-I, Ludewig F: Simultaneous boosting of source and sink capacities doubles tuber starch yield of potato plants. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2012, 10:1088-1098.