



Commentary

A live vaccine against *Neospora caninum* abortions in cattle

Michael P. Reichel^{a,*}, Dadín P. Moore^b, Andrew Hemphill^c, Luis M. Ortega-Mora^d, J.P. Dubey^e, John T. Ellis^f

^a School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Roseworthy Campus, University of Adelaide, Roseworthy 5371, SA, Australia

^b Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), CP C1033AAJ, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^c Institute of Parasitology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Berne, Länggass-Strasse 122 CH-3012, Berne, Switzerland

^d SALUVET, Animal Health Department, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Complutense University of Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, s/n 28040, Madrid, Spain

^e USDA, ARS, APDL BARC, East Bldg 1001, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

^f School of Medical and Molecular Biosciences, University of Technology, PO Box 123, Broadway, Sydney 2007, NSW, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 December 2014

Received in revised form 22 January 2015

Accepted 26 January 2015

Available online 7 February 2015

Dear Editor

We think there is an opportunity to debate the topic of “Why the cattle industries and associated veterinary pharmaceutical industry, veterinarians and farmers alike should not be afraid of using a live vaccine against *Neospora caninum*-associated abortion loss”.

N. caninum has emerged as a major cause of abortion in dairy and beef cattle and it is estimated to be responsible for losses in excess of a billion dollars annually, in cattle industries worldwide [1]. Yet, after more than 25 years of research on this parasite, the control options for this disease appear to be reduced to interrupting the life cycle of this parasite. A commercial, inactivated vaccine for the prevention of bovine neosporosis was introduced to markets more than a decade ago and subsequently withdrawn from sales, presumably due to its very low efficacy [2], as observed with many other parasitic diseases [3].

The remaining options that are proposed include reproductive management, (selective culling through the use of diagnostic tools), limiting access of canids (the known definitive hosts: dog, wolf, coyote and dingo [4,5]) and their fecal material to water and food supplies of cattle to the proper disposal of tissues produced by abortions. The sylvatic life cycle of this protozoan involves rodents, birds and many other mammals and is difficult to control [6]. An efficacious, live vaccine would seem to offer a simple and attractive alternative to these above options [7]. Fortunately, neosporosis does not appear to be a zoonotic disease [8].

N. caninum has such an intriguing adaptation to cattle as the intermediate host that vertical transmission occurs efficiently

without any clinical sign, but for the occasional abortion and, sporadically, neurological clinical signs in newborn calves. Stress, genetics (dairy breeds appearing more susceptible to infection with *N. caninum* than beef breeds [1]), immunosuppression (mycotoxins [9], Bovine Viral Diarrhoea virus [10]), management have all been suggested as risk factors for the expression of disease. In addition, postnatal transmission occurs, involving canids as the definitive host, allowing the parasite to complete its life cycle [11].

Costly management control options include the culling of infected animals. Hitherto no drug has been marketed to prevent either abortions or infections in cattle [6,11], although experimentally a tachyzoite challenge could be curtailed with frequent doses of toltrazuril [12]. As the disease causes serious reproductive losses, one would expect that generous funding from the public and private sector would be available for the development of tools for the control of the disease.

Many research groups previously working on the related protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii* drew from their expertise, methodologies and techniques to understand this economically important coccidian parasite. Continuing efforts have demonstrated that the only way to prevent abortions appears to be through exposure to the parasite before pregnancy, just as is the case with toxoplasmosis in humans and sheep [13,14]. For many livestock diseases, live vaccines are used as effective tools for the prevention of serious disease, such as toxoplasmosis, theileriosis, babesiosis and coccidiosis [7]. Moreover, naturally attenuated strains have proven to be useful in preventing abortions in neosporosis [15,16]. Yet, a live *Neospora* vaccine has not reached the market, despite the fact, that these “proof-of-concept” trials have demonstrated high efficacy against an exogenous (*de-novo* infection of the pregnant dam, which crosses the placenta) transplacental infection. Additional

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 8 83137882; fax: +61 8 8313 7956.
E-mail address: michael.reichel@adelaide.edu.au (M.P. Reichel).

work is needed to assess the ability of such “vaccines” to prevent endogenous (recrudescence of an already established, chronic infection of the dam during pregnancy) transplacental infection; this has not yet been assessed.

Infection with *N. caninum* during pregnancy can have different outcomes, often depending on the time point of infection and the immune status of the fetus. If fetal infection (“not cow infection”) occurs in the first trimester of gestation, the outcome is often abortion; transplacental transmission of the parasite is expected to be low in this time of pregnancy. However, calves born from *Neospora*-positive dams might continue to develop through gestation, and are either born weak, show neurological signs of disease later in development, or can also be completely unaffected [17]. In the latter case, such calves can then, in turn, give birth to *N. caninum* infected offspring, which are either affected by the disease or not. This complicates the situation immensely, and renders the development of a vaccine, no matter whether a live or a subunit version, a difficult task. A vaccine that can both, prevent abortion as well vertical transmission, might be difficult to achieve [18] and be seen by the industry as being too technically challenging.

Another important factor that makes vaccine development in neosporosis difficult is the fact that there is no small animal laboratory model available that reliably simulates the placental environment in cattle. Ultimately, safety and efficacy need to be shown in the target species, i.e. primarily cattle. Therefore, “proof-of-concept” studies in the rodent model, although possibly an important staging point in the decision making process of the pharmaceutical industry, have to be treated with caution, and do not necessarily predict the outcomes in cattle [18], and their utility might thus be queried even on animal welfare grounds. Small ruminants, in particular sheep may provide a further model system [19].

Disadvantages that might be seen to be associated with live vaccines include the potential for reversion to pathogenicity, costly production and distribution channels, and latency in the intermediate host [7], which might also explain why no live vaccines have been commercially produced for neosporosis yet. If cattle vaccinated with a live, attenuated vaccine were to suffer abortions, this would potentially expose the vaccine manufacturer to lawsuits. Live vaccine production would likely rely on cell-culture-derived organisms the production process of which is labour intensive in its maintenance. Live vaccine production also present challenges in terms of parasite preservation and viability thereafter, although the successful production and distribution of Toxovax® (a live vaccine for the prevention of *T. gondii* abortions in sheep) shows this is achievable through a “made to order” process. As live vaccines might possibly be expected to result in chronic infection of the host, a residual risk exists that the life cycle could ultimately be completed again, if tissues from vaccinates was to be fed to canid definitive hosts. With Toxovax®, the tachyzoites of *T. gondii* appear to have lost the ability to encyst (and thus persist) in the vaccinated host; a live vaccine for *N. caninum* ideally would use similarly modified life stages of that parasite. Also, cattle that are vaccinated with a live isolate might be difficult to distinguish from their naturally *N. caninum* infected cohorts and a diagnostic approach that facilitates DIVA (Differentiating Infected from Vaccinated Animals) may be required.

Molecular techniques are, however, available to distinguish *N. caninum* vaccinated from naturally infected animals, including the possibility for genetic characterization of isolates [20,21]. These techniques also might give rise to the possibility of the development of live marker vaccines with gene deletions for specific proteins, which could, in turn, be used in a given serological test to distinguish those cattle thus vaccinated from those animals that were naturally infected.

Currently, no vaccine composed of recombinant antigens is commercially available for diseases caused by apicomplexan

parasite. However, some vaccines based on native antigens are available. CoxAbic™ is composed of affinity-purified gametocyte antigens from *Eimeria maxima* and confers protection to hens and their offspring against coccidiosis by transmission of specific antibodies via egg yolk [22]. Another marketed vaccine composed of soluble antigens from two *Babesia* species (Nobivac Piro™) confers protection against babesiosis in dogs [23]. The success of these vaccines in preventing parasitic disease provides “proof-of-concept” that subunit vaccines can be produced. They are also considerably cheaper to manufacture than live vaccines and this may influence the decision-making process of the leaders of pharmaceutical companies, deciding that focus on the development of recombinant subunit vaccines is worth the trouble.

While recombinant antigens may be more attractive from a financial point of view, they have, in the case of neosporosis, not yet shown similar efficacy [18]. There is also a large body of scientific evidence for apicomplexan parasites which shows the live vaccination route is still the most promising [7]. While, however, these recombinant and sub-unit approaches are being actively investigated, and further work should investigate these, the animal health and primary industry, and veterinarians should nevertheless, in the meantime, invest in the development of a live vaccine against bovine neosporosis. This seems to be an approach that could lead to an efficacious vaccine for the prevention of abortions in cattle, and should be investigated more thoroughly.

Refinement of the current experimental vaccine regimes might yield vaccine candidates that are cheaper to produce: reduced doses still sufficient to induce protective immunity should be investigated, as they might also decrease the cost of production. Vaccination before puberty may be another option. Application of the vaccine onto mucosal surfaces could be an alternative as well, making for easier and cheaper application on the farm.

Recent publications have calculated the considerable losses inflicted by *N. caninum* on cattle industries world-wide [1]. Vaccination, at least at this stage, appears to be the only viable intervention strategy that appears feasible. The development of a live vaccine against neosporosis should be pursued, in order that its benefits can be transferred as soon as possible to veterinarians and farmers in order to prevent the reproductive, productive and economic losses caused by *N. caninum*.

Yours sincerely

Prof Michael P Reichel
School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Roseworthy Campus
University of Adelaide
Roseworthy, SA 5371

Australia

Dr Dadín P Moore

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)

CP C1033AAJ
Buenos Aires

Argentina

Prof Andrew Hemphill
Institute of Parasitology
Vetsuisse Faculty
University of Berne
Länggass-Strasse 122
CH-3012 Berne

Switzerland

Prof Luis M Ortega-Mora
SALUVET
Animal Health Department
Faculty of Veterinary Sciences
Complutense University of Madrid
Ciudad Universitaria s/n

28040-Madrid

Spain

Dr J. P. Dubey

USDA, ARS, APDL

BARC-East Bldg 1001

Beltsville, MD 20705

USA

Prof John T Ellis

School of Medical and Molecular Biosciences

University of Technology, Sydney

PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007,

Australia

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no known conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Reichel MP, Alejandra Ayanegui-Alcérreca M, Gondim LF, Ellis JT. What is the global economic impact of *Neospora caninum* in cattle: the billion dollar question. *Int J Parasitol* 2013;43(2):133–42.
- [2] Weston JF, Heuer C, Williamson NB. Efficacy of a *Neospora caninum* killed tachyzoite vaccine in preventing abortion and vertical transmission in dairy cattle. *Prev Vet Med* 2012;103(2–3):136–44.
- [3] Monney T, Hemphill A. Vaccines against neosporosis: what can we learn from the past studies? *Exp Parasitol* 2014;140(Mar):52–70.
- [4] McAllister MM, Dubey JP, Lindsay DS, Jolley WR, Wills RA, McGuire AM. Dogs are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol* 1998;28(9):1473–8.
- [5] King JS, Šlapeta J, Jenkins DJ, Al-Qassab SE, Ellis JT, Windsor PA. Australian dingoes are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol* 2010;40(Jul (8)):945–50.
- [6] Reichel MP, McAllister MM, Pomroy WE, Campero C, Ortega-Mora LM, Ellis JT. Control options for *Neospora caninum*—is there anything new or are we going backwards? *Parasitology* 2014;141(Mar):1455–70.
- [7] McAllister MM. Successful vaccines for naturally occurring protozoal diseases of animals should guide human vaccine research. A review of protozoal vaccines and their designs. *Parasitology* 2014;141(Apr (5)):624–40.
- [8] Petersen E, Lebech M, Jensen L, Lind P, Rask M, Bagger P, et al. *Neospora caninum* infection and repeated abortions in humans. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1999;5(Mar–Apr (2)):278–80.
- [9] Bartels CJ, Wouda W, Schukken YH. Risk factors for *Neospora caninum*-associated abortion storms in dairy herds in The Netherlands (1995 to 1997). *Theriogenology* 1999;52(Jul (2)):247–57.
- [10] Björkman C, Alenius S, Manuelsson U, Ugglä A. *Neospora caninum* and bovine virus diarrhoea virus infections in Swedish dairy cows in relation to abortion. *Vet J* 2000;159(Mar (2)):201–6.
- [11] Dubey JP, Schares G, Ortega-Mora LM. Epidemiology and control of neosporosis and *Neospora caninum*. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007;20(Apr (2)):323–67.
- [12] Kritznier S, Sager H, Blum J, Krebber R, Greif G, Gottstein B. An explorative study to assess the efficacy of Toltrazuril-sulfone (Ponazuril) in calves experimentally infected with *Neospora caninum*. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2002;1(Oct (1)):4.
- [13] Buxton D. Toxoplasmosis: the first commercial vaccine. *Parasitol Today* 1993;9(9):335–7.
- [14] Frenkel JK. Pathophysiology of toxoplasmosis. *Parasitol Today* 1988;4(10):273–8.
- [15] Williams DJ, Guy CS, Smith RF, Ellis J, Björkman C, Reichel MP, et al. Immunization of cattle with live tachyzoites of *Neospora caninum* confers protection against fetal death. *Infect Immun* 2007;75(3):1343–8.
- [16] Rojo-Montejo S, Collantes-Fernandez E, Perez-Zaballos F, Rodriguez-Marcos S, Blanco-Murcia J, Rodriguez-Bertos A, et al. Effect of vaccination of cattle with the low virulence Nc-Spain 1H isolate of *Neospora caninum* against a heterologous challenge in early and mid-gestation. *Vet Res* 2013;44:106.
- [17] Williams DJ, Guy CS, McGarry JW, Guy F, Tasker L, Smith RF, et al. *Neospora caninum*-associated abortion in cattle: the time of experimentally-induced parasitaemia during gestation determines foetal survival. *Parasitology* 2000;121(Oct (Pt 4)):347–58.
- [18] Reichel MP, Ellis JT. *Neospora caninum*—how close are we to development of an efficacious vaccine that prevents abortion in cattle? *Int J Parasitol* 2009;39(Sep (11)):1173–87.
- [19] Benavides J, Collantes-Fernandez E, Ferre I, Perez V, Campero C, Mota R, et al. Experimental ruminant models for bovine neosporosis: what is known and what is needed. *Parasitology* 2014;141(Sep (11)):1471–88.
- [20] Al Qassab S, Reichel MP, Ivens A, Ellis JT. Genetic diversity detected amongst isolates of *Neospora caninum* and development of a multiplex PCR for typing strains. *Mol Cell Probes* 2009;23:132–9.
- [21] Regidor-Cerrillo J, Diez-Fuertes F, Garcia-Culebras A, Moore DP, Gonzalez-Warleta M, Cuevas C, et al. Genetic diversity and geographic population structure of bovine *Neospora caninum* determined by microsatellite genotyping analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8(8):e72678.
- [22] Sharman PA, Smith NC, Wallach MG, Katrib M. Chasing the golden egg: vaccination against poultry coccidiosis. *Parasite Immunol* 2010;32(8):590–8.
- [23] Schetters TP, Moubri K, Cooke BM. Comparison of *Babesia rossi* and *Babesia canis* isolates with emphasis on effects of vaccination with soluble parasite antigens: a review. *J S Afr Vet Assoc* 2009;80(Jun (2)):75–8.