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#### Abstract

In this paper we investigate measures over bounded lattices, extending and giving a unifying treatment to previous works. In particular, we prove that the measures of an arbitrary bounded lattice can be represented as measures over a suitably chosen Boolean lattice. Using techniques from algebraic geometry, we also prove that given a bounded lattice $X$ there exists a scheme $\mathcal{X}$ such that a measure over $X$ is the same as a (scheme-theoretic) measure over $\mathcal{X}$. We also define the measurability of a lattice, and describe measures over finite lattices.
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## 1. Introduction

## Overview of the problem

Different notions of measure theory over a $\sigma$-algebra have been adapted to measures over Boolean and orthomodular lattices (see for example [36, 26, 27]). Many important results have been generalized to these settings, such as extension, convergence and decomposition theorems, etc. (see [20, Ch. 6], [34, $6,12,25,33,38,37,10,11,36,18])$. Due to Stone's representation theorem, [43], measures over Boolean lattices are known. In this paper we focus on measures over bounded lattices.

The definition of measure over a lattice depends on the type of the particular lattice. For example, if the lattice is not bounded below, the axiom $\nu(0)=0$ is meaningless. Also, for $\sigma$-measures we need a $\sigma$-lattice. But, if the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is $\sigma$-orthomodular, we have the following definition: a function $\rho$ : $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a $\sigma$-orthomodular-measure if $\rho(0)=0$ and $\rho\left(\vee x_{i}\right)=\sum \rho\left(x_{i}\right)$

[^0]for any countable collection of pairwise orthogonal elements $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. From this definition, it follows the definition of orthomodular-measures and ( $\sigma$-) Boolean-measures (Boolean lattices are orthomodular). Orthomodular (and $\sigma$-orthomodular) measures find applications as states over generalized physical theories [17,22,21].

In the case of a measure over a Boolean lattice, we can replace the definition by an equivalent one. A Boolean-measure over a Boolean lattice $B$ is a function $\nu: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inclusion-exclusion principle, that is, $\nu(0)=0$ and $\nu(x \vee y)+\nu(x \wedge y)=\nu(x)+\nu(y)$. The advantage of this definition is that we do not need to refer to the orthocomplementation and we can extend it to distributive lattices (which are not necessarily Boolean). A distributivemeasure over a distributive lattice $D$ is a function $\nu: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inclusion-exclusion principle. Using the distributivity law on $D$, it follows the inclusion-exclusion principle for any number of elements,

$$
\nu\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \nu\left(x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right)
$$

Notice that the definition of distributive-measure is compatible with the construction that embeds a distributive lattice into a Boolean lattice by adding formal complements (see [31]). Given an arbitrary bounded lattice $X$, we call a function $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded-measure, if it satisfies the inclusion-exclusion principle for any finite number of elements. As it will be clear below, the notion of bounded measure turns out to be the same as that of distributive valuation (see for example, [14], page 465). Also, notice that, when $X$ is Boolean, the definitions of bounded-measure and Boolean-measure coincide. However, if, for example, $X$ is orthomodular, bounded-measures are different from orthomodular-measures. In fact, any orthomodular-measure can be obtained by pasting Boolean-measures [17, p.127]. Specifically, we assign the Boolean-measure $\left.\rho\right|_{B}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to a given orthomodular-measure $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $B \subseteq X$ is a Boolean sublattice. Recall that any orthomodular lattice is the union of its maximal Boolean sublattices [23]. Finally, regarding measures over a $\sigma$-lattice, in a future paper we plan to apply the definition of boundedmeasures and density arguments, to treat (and even characterize) $\sigma$-boundedmeasures. In this paper we concentrate in bounded measures (see [32] for the case of orthomodular measures). It is important to mention that bounded measures are of interest in many branches of mathematics. Remarkably enough, they appear as dimension functions in the problem of classification of factor von Neumann algebras (see Remark 4.6 in Section 4).

## Previous work

The definition of measure dates back to the early twentieth century in the work of Hausdorff and Carathéodory. One of the first authors who extended the notion of measure to lattices is Smiley [41]. The theory has substantially grown since then, and the influence of quantum mechanics inspired many developments in the non-Boolean setting [17]. Particular efforts were dedicated to find results about existence of measures over orthomodular lattices (see
$[1,9,20,17])$. It is also worth to mention here two salient results that characterize measure spaces. The first one is Radon-Nikodym's theorem in measurable spaces $[40, \S 9]$. The second one is Gleason's theorem in the lattice of projectors on a separable Hilbert space and its generalizations (see [15,20] and also [4] for a representation in dimension two).

In this work we study measures over bounded lattices (i.e., bounded measures) by elaborating on the works of Rota [35] and Geissinger [14]. In [35], Rota defined, for each distributive lattice $X$, a ring $V(X)$ called valuation ring, which is defined as $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} / J$, being $J$ the submodule generated by $x \vee y+x \wedge y-x-y$ for all $x, y \in X$. There, Rota proved that the valuation ring represents measures over distributive lattices. In [13], the authors study measures over Boolean lattices, and prove that given a free Boolean lattice $B=B(P)$ over a set $P$, then $V(B)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[P] /\left\langle p^{2}-p: p \in P\right\rangle,[13$, $\S 3]$. This result was called characterization theorem. Here, we will generalize that result for arbitrary bounded lattices.

In [14], Geissinger defines a ring, denoted $\widetilde{V}(X)$, associated to a general lattice $X$. It is the quotient between the free module $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X}$ with the product $x \cdot y=x \wedge y$, and the ideal $\widetilde{J}$ generated by

$$
\{x \vee y+x \wedge y-x-y: x, y \in X\}
$$

Geissinger characterizes the ring $\widetilde{V}(X)$ as representing distributive valuations (maps satisfying the inclusion-exclusion principle for $k=2,3$ ). In [14, p.466], Geissinger also noticed that any distributive valuation must satisfy the inclusion exclusion principle for all $k \geq 2$.

## Main results

Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is called the lattice ring of $X$, where $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is the polynomial ring generated by $X$ and

$$
I=\left\langle 0_{X}, 1_{X}-1, x \wedge y-x y, x \vee y-x-y+x y\right\rangle
$$

In this article, all rings are assumed to be commutative with an identity element. Morphisms between rings respect addition, multiplication and the identity element.

Here, we provide a characterization of the generators of $\widetilde{J}$ and the isomorphism between $\widetilde{V}(X)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ given in Theorem 3.5. We also extend the results presented in [14], by proving the existence of a Boolean lattice $Y$ such that $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}[Y] / I$ given in Theorem 3.13.

First, we prove the representability of the functor of bounded measures:
Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice where a group $G$ acts. Let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be an $A$-module where $G$ acts trivially. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(X, B) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right), \\
\mathcal{M}(X, B ; G) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B)^{G} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right)^{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$ is the space of $B$-valued bounded measures on $X, \mathcal{M}(X, B ; G)$ the space of $G$-invariant $B$-valued measures on $X$ and $I_{A}$ is the ideal extended to $A[X]$.

Our second result is to prove that measures over bounded lattices are in bijection with certain measures over Boolean lattices:

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. There exists a Boolean lattice $Y$ such that the functor $\mathcal{M}(X,-)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(Y,-)$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}[Y] / I$ and the study of measures over a bounded lattice is equivalent to the study of measures over a Boolean lattice. The lattice $Y$ is the Boolean lattice of closed-open subsets on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\right)$. The ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is reduced, 0-dimensional and its spectrum $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is a Stone space.

As a third result we describe the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ in the Noetherian case:
Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. If $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is Noetherian (this is the case, for example, when $X$ is finite), then there exists $0 \leq n<\infty$ such that

$$
\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

Regarding finite lattices, we prove that measurability completely characterizes measures. The measurability of a bounded lattice $X$ is defined as $n(X)=\operatorname{rk}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a finite lattice. Let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be an A-module. Then, $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$ is isomorphic (as $A$-module) to $B^{n}$, where $n=$ $n(X)<\infty$. If the number $n$ is zero, the lattice is called non-measurable (as for example, the lattice M3).

Summing up, our approach gives a unifying treatment to measures over bounded lattices, by generalizing the Characterization Theorem of Rota and the definition of valuation rings to any bounded lattice. We think that working with bounded lattices is a relevant generalization. As an example, we notice that, in many situations, when a group acts on a lattice, it is in general false that the orbit lattice $X / G$ is Boolean (not even distributive nor modular). More examples are provided in Section 4.

Research on measure theory spreads on different areas, such as functional analysis, mathematical physics, theory of ordered structures and quantum probability theory. Here, we formulate the problem of studying bounded measures in such a way to provide a closer connection to algebraic geometry. This is done by defining a functor of measures and asking for its representability (this is a standard idea in deformation theory [19] and category theory [29]). More specifically, we prove that given a bounded lattice $X$, there exists a scheme $\mathcal{X}$ such that measures on $X$ are in bijection with (scheme-theoretic) measures on $\mathcal{X}$ (see Definition 3.11). While the different approaches used to study measures over lattices do not rely on algebraic geometry, it is important to remark that the techniques presented in $[8,7]$ are similar to the ones used in this article. The main difference is that we work with more general lattices than distributive ones. It is also important to remark that our method can be easily extended to the study of invariant measures (that is, measures which are stable under a given group of transformations).

## Summary

In Section 2, we give several elementary definitions. In Section 3, we define the Measure functor $\mathcal{M}(X,-)$ and prove its representability by an Abelian group $M(X)$. We prove that $M(X)$ has a natural structure of ring, and we characterize this structure as a quotient of the polynomial ring generated by $X, \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. Next, we prove several universal properties of this ring. We show that any measure on a bounded lattice can be given as a measure on a Boolean lattice. We describe this Boolean lattice as the lattice of closed-open subsets on a scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\right)$. In Section 4, we show relevant examples of measures over lattices. In Section 5, we describe measures on finite lattices by defining a new invariant, the measurability (the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)$. We compute this number for every lattice with six elements or less.

## 2. General definitions

The following general definitions can be found in many books about lattice theory, for example [3,39]

- A poset $(X, \leq)$ is called a lattice if every two elements $x, y$ have a supremum $x \vee y$ and an infimum $x \wedge y$. The operations $\vee$ and $\wedge$ satisfy associativity, commutativity, idempotency and absorption

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x \vee(y \vee z)=(x \vee y) \vee z, & x \vee y=y \vee x, \\
x \wedge(y \wedge z)=(x \wedge y) \wedge z, & x \wedge y=y \wedge x, \\
x \wedge x, \\
x \wedge(x \vee y)=x, & x \vee(x \wedge y)=x .
\end{array}
$$

We denote as $X$ to the lattice $(X, \leq, \vee, \wedge)$.

- A lattice $X$ is called bounded if there exist two elements $0_{X}, 1_{X} \in X$ such that

$$
0 \wedge x=0, \quad 0 \vee x=x, \quad 1 \wedge x=x, \quad 1 \vee x=1
$$

- A morphism (or a map or a function) between bounded lattices $f: X \rightarrow$ $Y$ is a poset function such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x \wedge_{X} y\right)=f(x) \wedge_{Y} f(y), \quad f\left(x \vee_{X} y\right)=f(x) \vee_{Y} f(y) \\
f\left(0_{X}\right)=0_{Y}, \quad f\left(1_{X}\right)=1_{Y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- A lattice $X$ is called complemented if it is bounded and for every $x \in X$ there exists $y \in X$ such that

$$
x \vee y=1, \quad x \wedge y=0
$$

- A lattice $X$ is called distributive if for every $x, y, z \in X$,

$$
x \vee(y \wedge z)=(x \vee y) \wedge(x \vee z), \quad x \wedge(y \vee z)=(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z)
$$

In a distributive lattice, complements are unique, [39, Ch.1, Birkhoff Th.]. Hence, if $x$ has a complement, we denote it as $x^{\perp}$.

- A lattice is called Boolean if it is complemented and distributive. Any distributive lattice can be extended in a unique way to a Boolean lattice, [30, Th. 13.18].
- A lattice is called complete (resp. $\sigma$-lattice) if any subset (resp. countable subset) has both a join and a meet. The join and meet of a subset $S$ are respectively the supremum (least upper bound) of $S$ and infimum (greatest lower bound) of $S$.
- An element in a bounded lattice $z \in X$ is called an atom if $z \neq 0$ and

$$
\{x \in X: 0 \leq x \leq z\}=\{0, z\} .
$$

- A bounded lattice is called atomic if for every non-zero $x \in X$, there exists an atom $z$ such that $z \leq x$.


## 3. Measures on bounded lattices as a functor

The measures defined below are valued in $A$-modules (where $A$ is a ring). Thus, our definitions contain the usual notion of measure (valued in the field of real numbers) as a particular case.

Definition 3.1. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice, let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be an $A$-module. A function $\nu: X \rightarrow B$ is called a measure (or a bounded-measure) with values in $B$ if it satisfies

$$
\nu\left(0_{X}\right)=0_{B}, \quad \nu\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \nu\left(x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right)
$$

for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X, k \geq 2$. For example, if $k=2$,

$$
\nu(x \vee y)=\nu(x)+\nu(y)-\nu(x \wedge y), \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

If a group $G$ is acting on $X$ by lattice-automorphisms, an invariant measure is a measure stable under $G$.

The space of measures on $X$ with values in $B$ is an $A$-module denoted $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$ and the space of invariant measures with values in $B$ is denoted as $\mathcal{M}(X, B ; G)$. By definition $\mathcal{M}(X, B)=\mathcal{M}(X, B ; 0)$, where 0 is the trivial group.

Proposition 3.2. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. Then, there exists an Abelian group $M(X)$ representing the functor $\mathcal{M}(X,-)$, i.e. we have a natural isomorphism,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M(X),-) \cong \mathcal{M}(X,-)
$$

Proof. This proof follows easily from general principles. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X}$ be the free Abelian group generated by $X$ and let $J$ be the submodule generated by $0_{X}$ and

$$
x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}
$$

for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X, k \geq 2$. Let $M(X)=\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} / J$ and let $\pi: X \rightarrow M$ be the function given by $x \mapsto[x]$.

Let $B$ be an Abelian group and let $\nu^{\prime}: M(X) \rightarrow B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map. Then, $\nu=\nu^{\prime} \pi: X \rightarrow B$ is clearly a measure,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(0_{X}\right) & =\nu^{\prime}\left(\left[0_{X}\right]\right)=\nu^{\prime}(0)=0_{B}, \\
\nu\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right) & =\nu^{\prime}\left(\left[x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right]\right) \\
& =\nu^{\prime}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k}\left[x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \nu^{\prime}\left(\left[x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \nu\left(x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $\nu^{\prime} \pi \equiv 0$ implies $\nu^{\prime} \equiv 0$. Then, $\pi^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M(X), B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, B)$ is injective.

Now, a measure $\nu: X \rightarrow B$ extends to a linear map $\nu^{\prime}: \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} \rightarrow B$ such that $\nu^{\prime}(J)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu^{\prime}\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right) \\
& \quad=\nu\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \nu\left(x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\nu^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M(X), B)$ and $\nu=\nu^{\prime} \pi$. Hence, $\pi^{*}$ is surjective.
Finally, if $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is a linear map, the following diagram commutes,


This completes the proof.
Remark 3.3. In the next Theorem, we prove that the wedge product in $X$ induces a ring structure on $M(X)$. The fact that $X$ is bounded implies that $M(X)$ becomes a commutative ring with an identity element.

Let us define for a moment the notion of a non-normalized-measure. Let $X$ be a lattice, let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be an $A$-module. We say the $\mu: X \rightarrow B$ is a non-normalized-measure if

$$
\mu\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} \mu\left(x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right)
$$

for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X, k \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X, B)$ be the $A$-module of nonnormalized measures with values in $B$. Notice that $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X, B)$ contains the constant functions.

A similar construction as before proves that the functor $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X,-)$ is representable by an Abelian group $M^{n n}(X)$. Furthermore, the wedge product in $X$ induces a structure of a commutative ring without an identity element in $M^{n n}(X)$. In this article, we restrict to the case of bounded-measures over a bounded lattice due to the fact that $M(X)$ becomes a commutative ring with an identity element. Although we have chosen to study $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$, the analysis of $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X, B)$ is not relegated; the spaces $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X, B)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$ are related. For example, if $X$ is bounded, then the map $\mu \mapsto(\mu-\mu(0), \mu(0))$ is an $A$-module isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}^{n n}(X, B)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X, B) \times B$.

Definition 3.4. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice and let $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the ring generated by $X$, that is, formal polynomials in the elements of $X$. Consider the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ generated by

$$
I=\left\langle 0_{X}, \quad 1_{X}-1, \quad x \wedge y-x y, \quad x \vee y-x-y+x y\right\rangle .
$$

We call $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ the lattice ring of $X$.
In the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ the element $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the class of $0 \in X$ coincide (the same holds for 1 ). Also the meet operation is equal to the product operation and the join operation satisfies

$$
x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{n}=1-\left(1-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-x_{n}\right), \quad \forall x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X
$$

Indeed, by induction, if $n=2$, then

$$
1-x_{1} \vee x_{2}=1-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}=\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in X
$$

If $n>2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-x_{1} \vee\left(x_{2} \vee \cdots \vee x_{n}\right) & =\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2} \vee \cdots \vee x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x_{n}\right), \quad \forall x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$, complements are identified: if $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are two complements of $x$, then $x+y=1=x+y^{\prime}$, hence, $y=y^{\prime}$. Also, the distributive law is formally satisfied,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(x \vee y) \wedge & (x \vee z)=(x+y-x y)(x+z-x z)=x+y z-x y z=x \vee(y \wedge z), \\
(x \wedge y) \vee & (x \wedge z)=x y+x z-x y z=x(y+z-y z)=x \wedge(y \vee z)
\end{array}
$$

We denote $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)$ to the spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. Regular functions on $\mathcal{X}$ are the same as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ and closed points of $\mathcal{X}$ correspond to maximal ideals.

Theorem 3.5. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. Then, the Abelian group $M(X)$ representing the functor $\mathcal{M}(X,-)$ has a structure of ring and as a ring it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. The ring structure on $M(X)$ is functorial in $X$.
Proof. Recall that $M(X)=\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} / J$, where $J$ is generated by $0_{X}$ and

$$
x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}
$$

for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X, k \geq 2$. In this proof we use another notation for the generators:

$$
x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S
$$

Let us first define a product in $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X}$ as $x \cdot y:=x \wedge y$. By the lattice axioms, this product is associative, commutative and has an identity element $1_{X} \in X$. The zero element in $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X}$ is different from the vector $0_{X}$.

Let us prove that $J$ becomes an ideal with this product. First, $x \cdot 0_{X}=$ $x \wedge 0_{X}=0_{X} \in J$ for all $x \in X$. Second, let $z \in X$ and consider the expressions $m, m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m:= & z \cdot\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right), \\
m_{1}: & =z \vee\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)-z-x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+z \wedge\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right), \\
m_{2}: & =z \vee x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S \\
= & z \vee x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k} \\
& +\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S+1} z \wedge \bigwedge S+\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the expression in the middle, when $S=\emptyset$, is equal to $-z$. In other words,
$\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S+1} z \wedge \bigwedge S=-z+\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} z \wedge x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}$.
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m-m_{1}+m_{2}= & -\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} z \wedge x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}} \\
& +z-z \vee\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)+x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+m_{2} \\
= & -\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S+1} z \wedge \bigwedge S-z \vee\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right) \\
& +x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+m_{2} \\
= & x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}+\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given that $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $m-m_{1}+m_{2}$ are in $J$, we deduce that $m$ is also in $J$. Then, $M(X)$ has a ring structure. Let us check the functoriality. A lattice map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a linear map $f_{*}: \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus Y}$ compatible with the ring structure and $f_{*}\left(J_{X}\right) \subseteq J_{Y}$. Then, $f_{*}$ induces a ring map $f_{*}: M(X) \rightarrow M(Y)$ such that the following diagram commutes,


It remains to check the isomorphism $M(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. Consider the surjective ring map $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow M(X)$ and the surjective group map $\psi$ : $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. Both maps induced by the identity $\operatorname{Id}_{X}: X \rightarrow X$. Note that $\psi$ is also a ring map

$$
\psi(x y)=\psi(x \wedge y)=[x \wedge y]=[x][y]=\psi(x) \psi(y)
$$

and $\phi(I)=0$ and $\psi(J)=0$. Let us check $\psi(J)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right) & =\left[x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right] \\
& =1-\left(1-\left[x_{1}\right]\right) \cdots\left(1-\left[x_{k}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k}\left[x_{i_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[x_{i_{s}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k}\left[x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\psi(J)=0$. Finally, it is easy to check that

$$
\psi \phi=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}[X] / I} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi \psi=\operatorname{Id}_{M(X)}
$$

Remark 3.6 [Relation with Geissinger's construction]. In [14], a ring is constructed, which is denoted by $\widetilde{V}(X)$, and it is associated to a general lattice $X$. It is the quotient between the free module $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus} X$ with the product $x \cdot y=x \wedge y$ and the ideal $\widetilde{J}$ generated by

$$
\{x \vee y+x \wedge y-x-y: x, y \in X\}
$$

From our previous developments, it is easy to prove the equality $\tilde{V}(X) /\left\langle 0_{X}\right\rangle=$ $M(X)$. Indeed, denoting

$$
\iota_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right):=x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}-\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s} \leq k} x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_{s}}
$$

it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.5,

$$
z \cdot \iota_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)-\iota_{2}\left(z, x_{1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{k}\right)+\iota_{k+1}\left(z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\iota_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) .
$$

Given that $\widetilde{J}$ is an ideal, if $\iota_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{J}$, then $z \cdot \iota_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{J}$. Then, from the previous equation $\iota_{k+1}\left(z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \widetilde{J}$ for all $z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$. In particular, $J=\widetilde{J}+\left\langle 0_{X}\right\rangle$.

Notation 3.7. Let $G$ be a group and let $Z$ be an Abelian group on which $G$ acts by additive maps on the left. We write $g z$ for the action of $g \in G$ on $z \in Z$. We denote $Z^{G}$ to the invariant subgroup of $Z$ and $Z_{G}$ to the coinvariants of Z,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{G} & :=\{z \in Z: g z=z \quad \forall g \in G\} \\
Z_{G} & :=Z / \text { submodule generated by }\{g z-z: g \in G, z \in Z\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice where a group $G$ acts. Let $B$ be an Abelian group where $G$ acts trivially.

The map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is a measure and satisfies the following universal property. Any measure with values in $B$ factorizes as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \rightarrow B$. Also, the map $\pi_{G}: X \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G}$ is an invariant measure and satisfies the following universal property. Any invariant measure with values in $B$ factorizes as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G} \rightarrow B$.

We can represent the properties of $\pi$ and $\pi_{G}$ by the following diagrams,

where $\nu\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\nu^{\prime}\right)$ is a measure (resp. invariant measure) and $\bar{\nu}, \overline{\nu^{\prime}}$ are linear maps. The commutativity means that $\nu=\bar{\nu} \pi, \nu^{\prime}=\overline{\nu^{\prime}} \pi_{G}$. Alternatively,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\pi^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, B) \quad \text { and } \\
\pi_{G}^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left((\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G}, B\right) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, B ; G) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.5, it follows that $\pi$ is a measure with values in $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ and satisfies the universal property. Let us define $\pi_{G}$ and prove its universal property.

Let $\nu^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B$ be an invariant measure. From the universal property of $\pi$ there exists a linear map $\nu^{\prime \prime}: \mathbb{Z}[X] / I \rightarrow B$ such that $\nu^{\prime}=\nu^{\prime \prime} \pi$. Clearly, $\nu^{\prime \prime}$ is $G$-invariant. Let $p$ be the quotient $p: \mathbb{Z}[X] / I \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G}$ and consider the following diagram,


Recall that any $G$-linear map into a trivial $G$-module factorizes uniquely over a map from $(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G}$. Then, there exists a unique map $\overline{\nu^{\prime}}:(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G} \rightarrow B$ such that $\nu^{\prime \prime}=\overline{\nu^{\prime}} p$. The result follows by defining $\pi_{G}:=p \pi$ and noting $\nu^{\prime}=\nu^{\prime \prime} \pi=\overline{\nu^{\prime}} p \pi=\overline{\nu^{\prime}} \pi_{G}$.

Definition 3.9. We call $\pi$ the universal measure and $\pi_{G}$ the universal invariant measure. If no confusion arises, we write $x$ instead of $\pi(x)$ (or $\pi_{G}(x)$ ).

Corollary 3.10. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice where a group $G$ acts. Let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be an $A$-module where $G$ acts trivially.

Then, the universal measure and the universal invariant measure induce the following three equivalent characterization of the spaces $\mathcal{M}(X, B)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X, B ; G)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(X, B) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right), \\
\mathcal{M}(X, B ; G) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B)^{G} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right)^{G} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First, from the $\otimes$-Hom adjunction we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A, B\right) \\
\cong & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, B)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, recall that the functor $(-)_{G}$ is naturally isomorphic to $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}$ and the functor $(-)^{G}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z},-)$, [44, Lemma 6.1.1]. Then, using again the $\otimes$-Hom adjunction, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left((\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)_{G}, B\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B\right) \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I, B)^{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.11. Let $A$ be a ring and let $B$ be a $A$-module. Consider the affine scheme $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A[X] / I_{A}\right)$ over $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with canonical map $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and let $\widehat{B}$ be the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$-modules associated to $B$. Following [5, III.7], we can adapt the definition of a measure to the context of schemes. We define the sheaf of measures over the lattice $X$ as the sheaf in $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$-modules

$$
\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}\right)
$$

and we define the sheaf of measures over the lattice $X$ with values in $B$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{B}:=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}\left(f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{B}\right) .
$$

Since $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{S}, f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\right)=A[X] / I_{A}$, the space of global sections of $\mathcal{F}_{B}$ is

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}_{B}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\right), B\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right)
$$

Then, from the previous Corollary, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(X, B) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\right), B\right) \\
\mathcal{M}(X, B ; G) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\right), B\right)^{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next result generalizes the functor given in [35] to bounded lattices.
Corollary 3.12. Let $A$ be a ring, let $\boldsymbol{A}$-Mod be the category of $A$-modules and let Bou be the category of bounded lattices. Then, we have a functor

$$
\mathcal{M}(-,-): \text { Bou }^{o p} \times \boldsymbol{A}-\text { Mod } \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}-\mathbf{M o d}
$$

Proof. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice and let $B$ be an $A$-module. Clearly $\mathcal{M}(X, B)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A[X] / I_{A}, B\right)$ is an $A$-module. Let $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a map between two bounded lattices and let $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be a map between two $A$-modules. Then $\left(f^{*}, g_{*}\right): \mathcal{M}\left(X^{\prime}, B\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(X, B^{\prime}\right), \nu \mapsto g \nu f$, is $A$-linear.

Theorem 3.13. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice and consider the natural map $\pi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$. Let $Y$ be the Boolean lattice generated by the image of $\pi$. Then,

$$
\pi^{*}: \mathcal{M}(Y,-) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X,-)
$$

is a natural isomorphism. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}[Y] / I$ and the study of measures over bounded lattices is equivalent to the study of measures over Boolean lattices.

Proof. Recall that for any commutative ring with unity, the set $E$ of idempotents forms a Boolean lattice with $x \wedge y:=x y$ and $x \vee y:=x+y-x y$. Let us prove that the Boolean lattice $Y \subseteq E$ generated by the image of $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ satisfies,

$$
\pi^{*}: \mathcal{M}(Y,-) \cong \mathcal{M}(X,-)
$$

Indeed, if $B$ is an Abelian group and $\nu: Y \rightarrow B$ a measure such that $\nu \pi$ : $X \rightarrow B$ is zero, then, $\nu$ is zero over the image of $\pi$ (which is a distributive lattice) and by [36, Cor. 2.2.2], $\nu$ extends uniquely to the Boolean lattice $Y$. The uniqueness of the extension implies that it must be zero. Hence, $\pi^{*}$ is injective.

Let us prove that $\pi^{*}: \mathcal{M}(Y, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, B)$ is surjective. Let $\nu^{\prime}$ be a measure in $X$. Define $\nu$ over the image of $\pi$ as $\nu(\pi(x)):=\nu^{\prime}(x)$ for $x \in$ $X$. Let us see that $\nu$ is well-defined. Given that $\nu^{\prime}$ factorizes through $\pi$, if $\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\pi\left(x_{2}\right)$, then $\nu^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\nu^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)$. This implies that $\nu$ does not depend on the representative of $\pi(x)$. By [36, Cor. 2.2.2], $\nu$ extends uniquely to $Y$. Hence, $\pi^{*}$ is surjective.

The naturality follows from the following diagram,

where $F: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is defined as the restriction of the natural ring map $f_{*}$ : $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[X^{\prime}\right] / I$. Indeed, from the commutativity of the previous diagram, we obtain the following commutativity


Finally, from the naturality we deduce the isomorphism of groups $\pi_{*}$ : $M(X) \rightarrow M(Y)$ and it is easy to check that $\pi_{*}$ is compatible with the ring structures. Then, we get a natural isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}[Y] / I$.

Corollary 3.14. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. Then, the Boolean lattice $\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$, of closed and open subsets on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice $Y$ of Theorem 3.13.

Proof. The isomorphism $Y \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}[Y] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ can be found in [13, Cor. p. 234] or below in Proposition 4.2. The isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \cong \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ follows from Stone's Theorem, $[28,13.7]$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}[Y] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ follows from Theorem 3.13.

## 4. Some examples

Example 4.1. An interesting example is the space of measures with values in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. In this case, the ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is Boolean (every element is idempotent) and by Stone's Theorem, $[28,13.7]$, it is isomorphic to the space of continuous functions from $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\right)$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \cong \operatorname{Cont}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{M}\left(X, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ is characterized as linear function from $\operatorname{Cont}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.
It is possible to give to a Boolean lattice $Z$ a ring structure with addition $z_{1}+z_{2}=\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}^{\perp}\right) \vee\left(z_{1}^{\perp} \wedge z_{2}\right)$ and multiplication $z_{1} z_{2}=z_{1} \wedge z_{2}$, [2, Exerc. 24, p.14]. Also, we can associate to the lattice $Z$ the Boolean ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}[Z] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$. The next result can be found in [13].

Proposition 4.2. Let $Z$ be a Boolean lattice. Then, $Z$, viewed as a ring, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2}[Z] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$.

Proof. This proof is straightforward. Let us prove that the universal measure with values in $\mathbb{F}_{2}, \pi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}[Z] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is an isomorphism of rings. Notice the equality $\pi\left(z^{\perp}\right)=1-\pi(z)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) & =\pi\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}\right)=\pi\left(z_{1}\right) \pi\left(z_{2}\right) \\
\pi\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) & =\pi\left(\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}^{\perp}\right) \vee\left(z_{1}^{\perp} \wedge z_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\pi\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}^{\perp}\right)+\pi\left(z_{1}^{\perp} \wedge z_{2}\right)-\pi\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}^{\perp} \wedge z_{1}^{\perp} \wedge z_{2}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(z_{1} \wedge z_{2}^{\perp}\right)+\pi\left(z_{1}^{\perp} \wedge z_{2}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(z_{1}\right)\left(1-\pi\left(z_{2}\right)\right)+\left(1-\pi\left(z_{1}\right)\right) \pi\left(z_{2}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(z_{1}\right)+\pi\left(z_{2}\right)-2 \pi\left(z_{1}\right) \pi\left(z_{2}\right) \\
& =\pi\left(z_{1}\right)+\pi\left(z_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The identity Id : $Z \rightarrow Z$ induces a ring map $\mathbb{F}_{2}[Z] \rightarrow Z$ and by De Morgan's law, its kernel contains $I$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \vee y+x \wedge y & =\left((x \vee y) \wedge(x \wedge y)^{\perp}\right) \vee\left((x \vee y)^{\perp} \wedge(x \wedge y)\right) \\
& =\left((x \vee y) \wedge\left(x^{\perp} \vee y^{\perp}\right)\right) \vee\left(x^{\perp} \wedge y^{\perp} \wedge x \wedge y\right) \\
& =(x \vee y) \wedge\left(x^{\perp} \vee y^{\perp}\right) \\
& =\left((x \vee y) \wedge x^{\perp}\right) \vee\left((x \vee y) \wedge y^{\perp}\right) \\
& =\left(y \wedge x^{\perp}\right) \vee\left(x \wedge y^{\perp}\right) \\
& =x+y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\overline{\operatorname{Id}}: \mathbb{F}_{2}[Z] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \rightarrow Z$ is well-defined and inverse to $\pi$.
Example 4.3. From the previous Proposition, we deduce that there exists a lattice $X$ such that $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is not Noetherian (resp. of finite type). Let $X=$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots\right] /\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}, \ldots\right\rangle$ be a Boolean ring with infinitely many variables viewed as a Boolean lattice.

Assume $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is Noetherian (resp. of finite type), then $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \cong X$ is Noetherian (resp. of finite type), a contradiction.

Example 4.4. Consider the lattice $X=\mathcal{P}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right)$ of subsets of a set with $n$ elements. The atoms of this lattice are $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and every element in $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is a polynomial in these variables. Also, $x_{i} x_{j}=0$ and $x_{i}^{2}=x_{i}, i \neq j$. Then, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with addition and multiplications coordinate-wise. Recall that a finite Boolean lattice is a power set, [16, Ch.II.1, Cor.12]

In general, not every Boolean lattice is a power set. It is known that a Boolean lattice is isomorphic to a power set if and only if it is complete and atomic, see [3, Ch.V, $\S 6$, Th. 18$]$. The Boolean lattice of measurable subsets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is atomic but not complete and the Boolean lattice of measurable subsets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ modulo sets of measure zero is complete but not atomic (it follows from $\left.|S|=|\bar{S}|=\left|S^{\circ}\right|\right)$.

Example 4.5. Consider the lattice $X$ of subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The atoms of this lattice are the one-dimensional subspaces. Every measure invariant under the orthogonal group $O(n)$ is determined by its value $\lambda$ at some one-dimensional subspace. Specifically, if $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is a basis for $L$, then

$$
\nu(L)=\nu\left(v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\nu\left(v_{k}\right)=\lambda \operatorname{dim}(L) .
$$

Then, any $O(n)$-invariant measure on $X$ is, up to a constant, the dimension.
A similar situation occurs with $\operatorname{Sym}(S)$-invariant measures on $\mathcal{P}(S)$, where $\# S<\infty$. Any measure invariant under the group of symmetries on $S$ is, up to a constant, the cardinal.

We will discuss dimension functions in more general spaces in the next example.

Remark 4.6. In the theory of von Neumann algebras, dimension functions play a key role in the classification of factors. Factors are classified by appealing
to the ranges of their dimension functions. As is well known, the collection of projection operators of a factor can be endowed with an orthomodular lattice structure.

In order to provide a definition of dimension function for abstract orthomodular lattices, we need the notion of dimension lattice. Let " $\equiv$ " be an equivalence relation in a complete orthomodular lattice $X$. A dimension lattice is a pair $(X, \equiv)$, where the following conditions hold [24]:

- if $a \equiv 0$, then $a=0$.
- If $a \perp b$ and $c \equiv a \vee b$, then there exist $d$ and $e$ such that $a \equiv d, b \equiv e$, $d \perp e$ and $c=d \vee e$.
- Let $A$ and $B$ be two orthogonal sets (i.e., all their elements are pairwise orthogonal) with a bijection $g: A \longrightarrow B$ such that $a \equiv g(a)$ for all $a$. Then, we have $\bigvee A \equiv \bigvee B$.
- Let $a \sim b$ if and only if there exists $c \in X$ such that $a \vee c=1_{X}=b \vee c$ and $a \wedge c=0_{X}=b \wedge c$. Then, $a \sim b$ implies $a \equiv b$.
An element $x \in X$ is said to be finite whenever $x \equiv y$ and $y \leq x$ imply $x=y$. A real-valued dimension function $\alpha$ on a dimension lattice $X$ is a map from $X$ to the set $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following conditions:
- $\alpha\left(0_{X}\right)=0$
- $\alpha(\bigvee A)=\sum_{a \in A} \alpha(a)$, for all non-empty orthogonal and countable family in $X$.
- $\alpha(a)<+\infty$ for all finite $a$.
- $a \equiv b$ if and only if $\alpha(a)=\alpha(b)$.

As is well known, the set of projection operators $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{V}$ can be endowed with a natural complete orthomodular lattice structure. A key result is that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ generates $\mathcal{V}$ in the sense that $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}))^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{V}$ (in words: the double commutant of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ equals $\mathcal{V}$ ). Two projections $a$ and $b$ in $\mathcal{V}$ are called equivalent (and we denote $a \simeq b$ ) if there exists an operator in $\mathcal{V}$ that maps the vectors in $a^{\perp}$ into zero, and it is an isometry between the subspaces of $a$ and $b$. In formulae: $a \simeq b$ if there exists a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $a=v^{*} v$ and $b=v v^{*}$. Clearly, $\simeq$ is an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{V}$ and we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) / \simeq$ to the set of its equivalence classes. A partial order relation in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$ can be defined by appealing to $\simeq: a \preceq b$ if and only if there exists $c$ such that $c \leq b$ and $a \simeq c$ (here " $\leq$ " denotes the usual partial order between operators). Notice that " $\simeq$ " satisfies the properties of " $\equiv$ " for the orthomodular lattice of projection operators in a factor von Neumann algebra.

It can be proved that if $\mathcal{V}$ is a factor von Neumann algebra, then $\preceq$ becomes a total order in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) / \simeq$. This can be used to prove the following: if $\mathcal{V}$ is a factor von Neumann algebra there exists a dimension function $d$ : $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow[0,+\infty]$ with the following properties:
(1) $d(a)=0$ if and only if $a=0$.
(2) If $a \perp b$, then $d(a \vee b)=d(a)+d(b)$.
(3) $d(a) \leq d(b)$ if and only if $a \preceq b$.
(4) $d(a)<+\infty$ if and only if $a$ is a finite projection (a projection $a$ is finite if for all $b$ such that $a \simeq b$ and $b \leq a$, it follows that $a=b$ ).
(5) $d(a)=d(b)$ if and only if $a \sim b$.
(6) $d(a)+d(b)=d(a \vee b)+d(a \wedge b)$.

Properties 1 and 6 guarantee that dimension functions in factor von Neumann algebras are of the kind of measures on bounded lattices studied in this paper (compare with Definition 3.1). Thus, we can apply all the machinery of algebraic geometry introduced here for their study. In particular, dimension functions can be seen as invariant measures under the action of partial isometries. The above Example 4.5 can be seen as a particular case of the more general framework of dimension functions in orthomodular lattices.

Example 4.7. Let M3 be the following lattice,


The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{M} 3] / I$ has three generators, $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ that satisfy, $x_{1}+x_{2}=x_{1}+$ $x_{3}=x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1$. Simplifying, we get $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0$ and then $1=0$. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{M} 3] / I$ is the zero ring.

A more extreme case is the lattice $\mathrm{M} \omega$,


Notice that $\#(\mathrm{M} \omega)=\infty$ and also, $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{M} \omega] / I=0$. The last example of this type is the following lattice $X$,


It is easy to prove $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and the equalities $x_{i}=1-y$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$.

Example 4.8. Let N5 and M2 be the following lattices,


The ring associated to N5 and to M2 are the same and equal to $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Then, measures on N5 are the same as measures on M2,

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{N} 5,-) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{M} 2,-)
$$

A more extreme case is the following non-complete lattice $N \omega$,


It is easy to prove that the ring associated to $N \omega$ is also $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
Proposition 4.9. Let $X$ be the lattice $0 \leq 1 \leq \cdots \leq n$, where $i \vee j=\max (i, j)$ and $i \wedge j=\min (i, j)$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ as rings.

Proof. Let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be the surjective linear map given by $\phi\left(0_{X}\right)=0$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n, \phi(i)=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ is the vector with $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i}=1$ and $\left(e_{i}\right)_{j}=0$ for $j \neq i$. Let us prove that the ideal $J$ is equal to $J=\left\langle 0_{X}\right\rangle$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S & =0_{X}-x_{1}, \quad x_{1} \in X \\
\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S & =0_{X}-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{1} \\
& =0_{X}-x_{2}, \quad x_{1} \leq x_{2} \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k>2$, let $x_{1} \leq \cdots \leq x_{k}$ in $X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S= & \sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S+1} x_{1} \wedge \bigwedge S \\
& +\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S} \bigwedge S \\
= & -x_{1}\left(\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S}\right)+0_{X}-x_{k} \\
= & 0_{X}-x_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the previous line we used the inductive hypothesis and the following equation

$$
\sum_{S \subseteq\left\{x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}}(-1)^{\# S}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\# S=i}(-1)^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{i}(-1)^{i}=(1-1)^{k-1}=0 .
$$

Then $J=\left\langle 0_{X}\right\rangle$. In particular, $\phi$ induces an isomorphic linear map $M(X) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Finally, assume $i<j$ and let us view $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ as a ring. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(i \wedge j) & =\phi(\min (i, j))=\phi(i) \\
& =e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i} \\
& =\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{i}\right)\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{j}\right) \\
& =\phi(i) \phi(j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Measurability and measures on a finite lattice

Remark 5.1. Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is isomorphic, as a ring, to $M(X)=\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} / J$. In particular, if $X$ is finite and $A$ is a field, $A[X] / I_{A}$ is always Artinian (i.e. $\mathcal{X}_{A}$ is a finite union of points). Furthermore, $\# \mathcal{X}_{A} \leq \# X-1$. This bound is sharp, the lattice $0 \leq 1$ has 2 elements and $\# \mathcal{X}_{A}=1$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. If $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is Noetherian or $X$ is finite, then $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, where $0 \leq n<\infty$. The case of the zero ring is included with $n=0$.

Furthermore, if the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is equal to $n<\infty$, then $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.13 we can assume, without loss of generality, that $X$ is a Boolean lattice. If $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is Noetherian, then $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is also Noetherian and by Proposition 4.2 it is also a Boolean ring. From [28, 2.18] it has Krull dimension 0 and then, it is Artinian, see [2, Th. 8.5, p.90]. Given that $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ is reduced, $[28,3.23]$, we get that it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, see [2, Th. 8.7, p. 90 and Ex. 28, p.35]. Clearly, if $X$ is finite, then $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I$ is Noetherian (the converse is false as shown in example $M \omega$ above). Finally, from Proposition 4.2, $Y \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and from Example 4.4, $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}[Y] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] / I$.

Assume now that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbb{Z}[X] / I)<\infty$. Then, $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] / I_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and again $Y \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Definition 5.3. Let $X$ be a bounded lattice. If the rank as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $M(X)$ is finite, we set $n(X)=\operatorname{rk}(M(X))$, else $n(X)=\infty$. The number $n(X)$ is called the measurability of $X$. Notice that the measurability completely characterizes measures on a finite lattice $X$, that is, $\mathbb{Z}[X] / I \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}, n=n(X)$.

Let $A$ be a field and let $\mathcal{X}_{A}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A[X] / I_{A}\right)$. From Proposition 5.2 it follows that, independently of $A$,

$$
n(X)= \begin{cases}\# \mathcal{X}_{A} & \text { if } n(X)<\infty \\ \infty & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

The next result can be found in [14].
Proposition 5.4. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be two bounded lattices. Then, we have a natural isomorphism of rings

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[X \times X^{\prime}\right] / I_{X \times X^{\prime}} \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] / I_{X} \times \mathbb{Z}\left[X^{\prime}\right] / I_{X^{\prime}}
$$

In particular, $n\left(X \times X^{\prime}\right)=n(X)+n\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Recall that the lattice structure on $X \times X^{\prime}$ is coordinate-wise,
$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \wedge\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}, y_{1} \wedge y_{2}\right), \quad\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \vee\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}, y_{1} \vee y_{2}\right)$.
The projection $\pi_{1}: X \times X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a lattice map, hence it induces a ring map $\pi_{1}: \mathbb{Z}\left[X \times X^{\prime}\right] / I_{X \times X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I_{X}$. Same for $\pi_{2}$. Then,

$$
\pi=\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}: \mathbb{Z}\left[X \times X^{\prime}\right] / I_{X \times X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X] / I_{X} \times \mathbb{Z}\left[X^{\prime}\right] / I_{X^{\prime}}
$$

It remains to check that $\pi$ is bijective. Consider the following linear map,

$$
\psi: \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus X^{\prime}} \rightarrow M\left(X \times X^{\prime}\right), \quad([x],[y]) \mapsto \overline{[(x, y)]}
$$

It is easy to check that $\psi\left(J_{X},\left[0_{X^{\prime}}\right]\right)=0$ and $\psi\left(\left[0_{X}\right], J_{X^{\prime}}\right)=0$. Then, $\psi$ induces $\bar{\psi}: M(X) \times M\left(X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow M\left(X \times X^{\prime}\right)$, the inverse of $\pi$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $X$ be a Boolean lattice. Then, $n(X)<\infty$ if and only if $X$ is finite.

Proof. If $n(X)<\infty$, then $X \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n(X)}$ which it is finite. If $X$ is finite, then $n(X)<\infty$, see Proposition 5.2.

Remark 5.6. Let us give a method to compute $n(X)$. Assume that $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a surjective lattice map between two bounded lattices. Then, it is easy to check that $f_{*}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is also surjective and then, $n(X)=n(Y) \geq$ $n\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=n\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, where $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are the Boolean lattices associated to $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ respectively (see Theorem 3.13). Hence, it is possible to bound (or compute) the measurability of a finite lattice by collapsing edges of its Hasse diagram. The following list, taken from [42, Fig.3.5,p.248], shows the Hasse diagrams of all lattices with at most six elements,



We computed the corresponding numbers $n(X)$,

$$
0,1,2,3,2,4,3,3,0,2 .
$$

$$
5,4,4,4,3,3,1,1,2
$$

$$
2,1,1,3,0,0 .
$$

The 3 in the last row follows from the fact that the lattice is the cartesian product of $0 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq 1 \leq 2$. Then, $3=1+2$.

An effective way to compute the measurability is by using Gröbner bases over $\mathbb{Q}$. The goal is to find the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}[X] / I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. For example, the following lattice has $n(X)=2$,

$0 \quad 0$
0
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