SPECIAL REPORT

SCNIA testing for epilepsy: Application in clinical practice

*Shinichi Hirose, †Ingrid E. Scheffer, ‡Carla Marini, §¶Peter De Jonghe, #Eva Andermann, *Alica M. Goldman, ††Marcelo Kauffman, ‡‡Nigel C. K. Tan, §§Daniel H. Lowenstein, ¶¶##Sanjay M. Sisodiya, ***Ruth Ottman, †††Samuel F. Berkovic, and for the Genetics Commission of the International League Against Epilepsy

*Department of Pediatrics and Research Institute for the Molecular Pathomechanisms of Epilepsy, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan; †Florey Institute, Departments of Medicine and Paediatrics, Austin Health and Royal Children's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ‡Epilepsy, Neurophysiology and Neurogenetics Unit, Division of Child Neurology and Psychiatry, University of Pisa and Research Institute Stella Maris Foundation, Pisa, Italy; §Neurogenetics Group, VIB-Department of Molecular Genetics and Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Institute Born-Bunge, University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium; ¶Department of Neurology, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerpen, Belgium; #Neurogenetics Unit, Montreal Neurological Hospital & Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; **Department of Neurology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.; ††Neurogenetics Clinic Hospital JM Ramos Mejia, University of Buenos Aires-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ‡‡Department of Neurology, National Neuroscience Institute, Singapore, Singapore; §\$Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, California, U.S.A.; ¶¶UCL Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom; ##Epilepsy Society, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom; ***Sergievsky Center and Departments of Epidemiology and Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York, U.S.A.; and †††Epilepsy Research Centre, University of Melbourne, Austin Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

SUMMARY

This report is a practical reference guide for genetic testing of SCNIA, the gene encoding the all subunit of neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels (protein name: Na_vI.I). Mutations in this gene are frequently found in Dravet syndrome (DS), and are sometimes found in genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus (GEFS+), migrating partial seizures of infancy (MPSI), other infantile epileptic encephalopathies, and rarely in infantile spasms. Recommendations for testing: (1) Testing is particularly useful for people with suspected DS and sometimes in other early onset infantile epileptic encephalopathies such as MPSI because genetic confirmation of the clinical diagnosis may allow optimization of antiepileptic therapy with the potential to improve seizure control and developmental outcome. In addition, a molecular diagnosis may prevent the need for unnecessary investigations, as well as inform genetic counseling. (2) SCNIA testing should be considered in people with possible DS where the typical initial presentation is of a developmentally normal infant presenting with recurrent, febrile or afebrile prolonged, hemiclonic seizures or generalized status epilepticus. After age 2, the clinical diagnosis of DS becomes more obvious, with the classical evolution of other seizure types and developmental slowing. (3) In contrast to DS, the clinical utility of SCNIA testing for GEFS+ remains questionable. (4) The test is not recommended for children with phenotypes that are not clearly associated with

SCNIA mutations such as those characterized by abnormal development or neurologic deficits apparent at birth or structural abnormalities of the brain. Interpreting test results: (1) Mutational testing of SCN1A involves both conventional DNA sequencing of the coding regions and analyses to detect genomic rearrangements within the relevant chromosomal region: 2q24. Interpretation of the test results must always be done in the context of the electroclinical syndrome and often requires the assistance of a medical geneticist, since many genomic variations are possible and it is essential to differentiate benign polymorphisms from pathogenic mutations. (2) Missense variants may have no apparent effect on the phenotype (benign polymorphisms) or may represent mutations underlying DS, MPSI, GEFS+, and related syndromes and can provide a challenge in interpretation. (3) Conventional methods do not detect variations in introns or promoter or regulatory regions; therefore, a negative test does not exclude a pathogenic role of SCNIA in a specific phenotype. (4) It is important to note that a negative test does not rule out the clinical diagnosis of DS or other conditions because genes other than SCNIA may be involved. Obtaining written informed consent and genetic counseling should be considered prior to molecular testing, depending on the clinical situation and local regulations.

KEY WORDS: Diagnosis, Epileptic encephalopathy, Guideline, Seizures, severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy (SMEI), Dravet syndrome, Sodium channel.

Accepted March 4, 2013.

Address correspondence to Shinichi Hirose, Department of Pediatrics, Fukuoka University, 45-1 7-chome Nanakuma, Jonan-ku Fukuoka, 814-0180 Japan. E-mail: hirose@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

© 2013 International League Against Epilepsy

Genetic testing has become a powerful tool in clinical epilepsy practice in certain situations. In particular, analyses targeting the gene encoding the $\alpha 1$ subunit of the neuronal

S. Hirose et al.

voltage-gated sodium channel *SCN1A* (protein name: Na_v1.1), are clinically valuable in confirming the clinical diagnosis of Dravet syndrome (DS). Mutations of *SCN1A* may also be found as a cause of genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus (GEFS+), migrating partial seizures of infancy (MPSI), and rarely in other syndromes. Mutations of *SCN1A* are found in 70–80% of patients with DS and in up to 10% of families with GEFS+ (Scheffer & Berkovic, 2000). The purpose of this report is to provide clinicians with practical guidance for *SCN1A* gene testing. We discuss the Who, Why, What, Where, and How of testing.

Wно

Who may have a positive SCN1A result?

Suspected Dravet syndrome (DS) is the principal indication for SCN1A testing, and 70-80% of cases have a demonstrable mutation. DS typically presents between 4 and 8 months of age (range: up to 15 months) with recurrent prolonged convulsive seizures that may be lateralized (hemiclonic) or generalized (Dravet, 1978; Dravet et al., 1982, 2002). Seizures are often associated with fever or occur shortly after vaccination, which has led to the misdiagnosis of "vaccine encephalopathy" (Berkovic et al., 2006; McIntosh et al., 2010). Myoclonic, focal, and atypical absence seizures may begin between 1 and 4 years. Infants with DS usually develop normally in the first year. Developmental stagnation or regression becomes evident in the second year of life and cognitive outcome is usually poor. It is important to note that the syndromic picture takes time to evolve and early recognition may be challenging (Dravet, 1978; Dravet et al., 1982, 2002).

DS should be considered in infants with febrile seizures (FS) presenting around 6 months of age, especially those with prolonged and recurrent FS (Hattori et al., 2008; Millichap et al., 2009; Fountain-Capal et al., 2011), hemiclonic seizures, and seizures induced by bathing (Oguni et al., 2001; Hattori et al., 2008).

Genetic testing of older patients with an early history consistent with DS helps to confirm the diagnosis of DS, which may have been missed due to the relatively recent recognition of the syndrome or because of difficulties in obtaining a clear early history. Providing a molecular diagnosis is often extremely helpful to the family because it gives them an understanding of the etiology of their relative's epilepsy and intellectual disability. It also informs the prognosis and is key for genetic counseling for family members. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that optimization of treatment even in later adult life may improve cognition (Catarino et al., 2011).

Individuals with MPSI

Infants with MPSI present with multiple types of focal seizures that begin in early infancy and increase in frequency and prove highly refractory to antiepileptic therapy. The key electroclinical feature is interhemispheric migration during a seizure (Coppola et al., 1995). Electroencephalography (EEG) shows frequent multifocal epileptiform abnormalities. MPSI is more severe than DS, with profound developmental impairment, and is considered one of the most severe forms of early infantile epileptic encephalopathy. The syndrome is much rarer than DS and easily distinguished from DS by its clinical presentation. The main cause appears to be mutations in *KCNT1* (Barcia et al., 2012), but *SCN1A* mutations have been found in a few cases (Carranza Rojo et al., 2011; Freilich et al., 2011). The strategy for genetic testing here is yet to be firmly established, but mutations in *KCNT1* should currently be considered first, and then *SCN1A* may be examined.

Individuals with other severe infantile epilepsies

SCN1A mutations may also be found in approximately 50% of children with disorders that bear some resemblance to DS. These include severe infantile multifocal epilepsy (SIMFE) (Harkin et al., 2007) and intractable childhood epilepsies with frequent generalized tonic—clonic seizures (Fujiwara et al., 2003).

SCN1A mutations are found in a small minority of children (5% or less) with other specific syndromic forms of infantile epilepsy including West syndrome (Wallace et al., 2003), generalized or focal epilepsies of unknown cause (Harkin et al., 2007), epilepsy with myoclonic-atonic seizures (previously called myoclonic-astatic epilepsy) (Wallace et al., 2001), and hemiconvulsion-hemiplegia syndrome (Sakakibara et al., 2009). Routine testing in patients with these syndromes is not currently recommended.

Individuals with epilepsy in families with GEFS+

GEFS+ is a familial syndrome usually with complex inheritance, but sometimes showing autosomal dominant transmission. Family members have extremely variable phenotypes, including typical febrile seizures, febrile seizures plus (FS+), and generalized and focal epilepsies (Scheffer & Berkovic, 1997; Wallace et al., 1998; Scheffer et al., 2009).

The clinical utility of *SCN1A* genetic testing for GEFS+ is limited because few families (approximately 10%) have been found to have mutations, and the identification of a mutation does not predict the phenotype that will develop in an individual (Ottman et al., 2010). At present, therefore, infants and children in families with GEFS+ should not be advised to undergo *SCN1A* testing as it will neither influence management nor provide information regarding the patient's prognosis.

Who is unlikely to harbor an SCN1A mutation?

SCN1A mutations are not found in children with confirmed metabolic disorders, genetic syndromes, or those with structural abnormalities of the brain. For a child with an epileptic encephalopathy with features not found in DS, such as neonatal onset, or developmental delay prior to seizure onset, *SCNIA* testing is unlikely to be helpful. A variety of other genes have been associated with early onset epileptic encephalopathies, including *ARX*, *STXBP1*, and *CDKL5* where developmental delay and interictal epileptiform abnormalities in early infancy are usual.

Another differential diagnosis to be considered is epilepsy and mental retardation limited to females (EFMR), perhaps better denoted *PCDH19*-female-limited epilepsies (as not all affected females have mental retardation (Dibbens et al., 2008; Scheffer et al., 2008), which share phenotypic features with DS (Depienne et al., 2009). However, status epilepticus induced by fever is a rare clinical presentation in this syndrome, which presents with clusters of brief febrile seizures continuing over several days (Marini et al., 2010; Higurashi et al., 2011). PCDH19-femalelimited epilepsies are less likely to be associated with myoclonic and absence seizures, more likely to be associated with autistic features, and carry a better intellectual prognosis than does DS (Dibbens et al., 2008; Scheffer et al., 2008). PCDH19-female-limited epilepsies have a distinctive inheritance pattern as only females are affected, so recognition of this pattern in a family suggests that PCDH19 may be more appropriate for initial testing than SCN1A.

Why

Why is SCN1A testing useful in DS?

Molecular confirmation of SCN1A defects supports the clinical diagnosis and is of considerable importance for genetic counseling. Knowledge of the gene involved will guide the selection of antiepileptic treatment. Some antiepileptic drugs such as specific sodium channel blocking agents, for example, carbamazepine and lamotrigine, are contraindicated as they may aggravate symptoms (Guerrini et al., 1998), whereas stiripentol has been shown to be effective in children (Chiron et al., 2000). Anecdotal evidence suggests that the early use of appropriate drugs, and avoidance of medications that may worsen DS, may lead to an improved long-term outcome, but this needs more rigorous assessment.

When an *SCN1A* mutation is identified, the parents must be investigated for this particular mutation to establish if it has arisen de novo. Among *SCN1A* mutations identified in patients with DS, 90% are de novo (Depienne et al., 2009). The remaining 10% of identified mutations are inherited and family members often have milder GEFS+ phenotypes. It is notable that there are now many well-documented instances of mosaicism (i.e., a mixture of mutation-carrying and noncarrying cells) in the parents of DS patients, either in the germ cells (parental germ line mosaicism) or somatic cells (parental somatic mosaicism). Both forms of parental mosaicism markedly increase the risk of parents having a second child with DS (Depienne et al., 2006). Also notable

is that the percentage of abnormal cells in the mosaic parent correlates with whether the parent is affected and the severity of the parent's epilepsy (Depienne et al., 2010). These observations highlight the importance of genetic counseling for families with DS or another *SCNIA*-related epileptic encephalopathy.

WHAT

What do the tests mean?

Comprehensive evaluation for an SCN1A mutation requires that two different testing methods be performed (Table 1). Conventional DNA sequencing has the highest yield and should be carried out first to screen the gene's coding regions and associated splice junctions. The approach is based on classic PCR (polymerase chain reaction) methodology followed by Sanger sequencing. Because directed sequencing is limited in coverage and commonly restricted to gene regions likely to affect the encoded protein and previously identified regulatory regions (the coding sequence, all splice junctions, and—in rarer cases—the 5' and 3' untranslated regions as well as the proximal and distal promoter, mutations in areas of apparently lesser relevance can be overlooked (Nakayama et al., 2010). Second, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) or comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) (Mulley et al., 2006; Suls et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Marini et al., 2009) should be carried out to detect genomic rearrangements, such as microdeletions or microduplications within SCN1A and also in the SCN1A gene neighborhood of chromosomal region 2q24.

Table 2 explains mutation nomenclature. Truncations of the all subunit of Na_v1.1, which often result from nonsense mutations, splice site mutations, and small insertions and deletions (indels), are likely to have considerable impact on the function of Na_v1.1 and hence to cause severe phenotypes such as DS or MPSI. A missense mutation is a single nucleotide mutation that alters only one amino acid. Compared with truncation mutations, missense mutations generally have less impact on the Na_v1.1 function but nevertheless are responsible for about half the cases of DS, so the nature of the mutation does not allow the clinician to confidently predict the phenotype (Zuberi et al., 2011). The SCN1A mutations identified in GEFS+ have been largely missense mutations. Missense mutations altering the polarity of the amino acids in the pore-forming and voltage-sensor regions may be more likely to have a severe phenotype (Zuberi et al., 2011).

Genomic rearrangements, typically chromosomal microdeletions and microduplications, may involve several genes contiguous to *SCN1A* and hence result in severe forms of DS and other epilepsies, but sometimes with more extensive features involving other systems.

The SCN1A mutations identified are heterozygous, affecting one allele (either the maternal or the paternal gene

S. Hirose et al.

Table 1. SCNIA mutations and interpretations			
	Common	Uncommon/rare	Explanatory examples
Type of variations	phenotypes	phenotypes	for the description (Lossin, 2009)
Small scale variations			
Missense mutation	DS, GEFS+	MPSI, SIMFE, ICEGTC	c.3820T>A: p.Y1274N c.5075T>C: p.F1692S
Nonsense mutation	DS	SIMFE	c. 1834 C>T: p.R612X c. 3858 G>A: p.W1286X
Splice-site mutation	DS		c.265-1G>A c.1662+1G>T
Deletion mutation	DS	MPSI	c.429_430delGT: p.V143VfsX149 c.5296_5298delTTT: p.F1766del
Insertion mutation	DS		c.992_993insT: p.L331fsX340 c.1640_1641insA: p.K547fsX549
Large-scale variations			
Microdeletion	DS		
Microduplication	DS	SGE	
cf) Nonpathogenic small-scale v	variations		
Polymorphism	Nonpathogenic coding variant found in general population	c.1662G>A: p.Q554Q c.5771G>A: p.R1924H	

DS, Dravet syndrome; MPSI, migrating partial seizures of infancy; GEFS+, genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus; SIMFE, severe infantile multifocal epilepsy; ICEGTC, intractable childhood epilepsy with generalized tonic-clonic seizures, SGE, symptomatic generalized epilepsy.

(1) Small-scale SCNIA variations

Missense mutations are due to single nucleotide exchange resulting in a substitution of a single amino acid. This exchange suggests protein dysfunction using evidence drawn from in vitro or in vivo testing or in silico analysis.

Nonsense mutations generate premature stop codons truncating the αI subunit molecule, thus named also "truncation mutation." The truncation mutations generally result in DS or related epileptic encephalopathies. They are designated by adding an "X" at the end of their descriptions, for example, c.C1834T: p.R612X, c.429-430delGT: p.V143VfsX149.

Splice-site mutations are located in the vicinity of a splice junction at the intron/exon boundaries. They affect the messenger RNA (mRNA) and lead to considerable changes in the αI subunit amino acid content (e.g., exon skipping, nonsensical translation of introns) that commonly result in premature truncation due to stochastic occurrence of a stop codon. Determining the effect of splicing abnormalities is challenging and usually exceeds the scope of standard genetic analyses. As such, typical genetic testing will comment only on those splice-site mutations that have been previously extensively studied in a laboratory and have proven functional impact.

Deletions of base pairs have one of two consequences: (1) an in-frame deletion eliminates one or more amino acids from the α 1 subunit molecule; (2) a frame-shift mutation recodes all residues downstream of the variation site and frequently results in a premature stop codon. As such they severely impair protein function. Several meta-analyses attempting to identify SCN1A genotype—phenotype correlations have found evidence for higher seizure severity with structurally/functionally more significant changes in the Na_v 1.1 protein.

Insertions are analogous to deletions. They add contiguous nucleotides in the SCN IA gene. The consequences are also similar to that of deletion mutations, commonly resulting in DS.

(2) Large-scale SCN IA variations

Variations of this kind commonly affect the copy number of the SCNIA gene as they involve chromosomal rearrangements that may delete or duplicate entire gene regions.

Microdeletions: Approximately 10% of all individuals for whom conventional sequence analysis of SCN1A does not reveal any abnormalities harbor microdeletions that may affect not only SCN1A but also adjacent genes (Wang et al., 2008; Marini et al., 2009). Microdeletions typically cause DS, even if adjacent genes (e.g., SCN2A, SCN7A, etc.) are involved (Wang et al., 2008).

Microduplications: Chromosomal duplications ranging from 1 kb to several Mbp are a rare cause of DS (Marini et al., 2009; Heron et al., 2010; Raymond et al., 2011).

Table 2. Checklist for SCNIA genetic test

Explain the potential benefit and harms of the test

Disclose limitations of the test

Provide genetic counseling

Obtain written informed consent depending on local regulations

copy). As noted above, in DS most *SCN1A* mutations are de novo, occurring for the first time as the result of a genetic event either in the germ cell line of one of the parents or during early embryogenesis, since neither parent has the mutation. The possibility of germ line or somatic mosaicism needs to be considered (see above). Detection of *SCN1A*

mosaicism is often difficult, especially when it affects the germ line, which complicates genetic counseling for DS (Depienne et al., 2006; Gennaro et al., 2006; Marini et al., 2006). In contrast, cases of GEFS+ with *SCN1A* mutations are usually familial, although de novo cases are known. Occasionally, a patient with DS may be observed in a family where other members have GEFS+ with mild seizure disorders. All the affected family members have the familial *SCN1A* mutation and it is presumed that the more severely affected family member with DS has additional genetic variants that contribute to their severe phenotype.

Databases listing all currently reported variations are available: *The SCN1A Infobase* (http://www.scn1a.info/)

and *The SCN1A Variant Database* (http://www.molgen.ua. ac.be/SCN1AMutations/Statistics/Mutations.cfm).

What does a negative SCN1A test suggest in DS and GEFS+?

A negative SCN1A test is found in 20-30% of DS and 90% of GEFS+ families. Mutations in the SCN2A gene. which codes for the α2 subunit of the neuronal sodium channel Na_v1.2 (Shi et al., 2009), and in GABRG2, which encodes the γ 2 subunit of the γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)_A receptor, also lead to severe epileptic encephalopathies resembling DS, as well as GEFS+ (Harkin et al., 2002). Finally, heterozygous mutations of SCN1B, the gene encoding the β1 subunit in the voltage-gated Na⁺ channel complex, can also cause GEFS+ (Wallace et al., 1998), whereas homozygous mutations in the same gene have been reported to cause DS or an early onset epileptic encephalopathy (Patino et al., 2009; Ogiwara et al., 2012). In females with a Dravet-like phenotype in whom SCN1A testing is negative, testing for PCDH19 mutations should be considered (see above). Negative SCN1A testing therefore does not rule out the diagnosis of DS or GEFS+, which are based on their electroclinical phenotypes.

WHERE

Where can SCN1A testing be requested; availability and costs?

A practical concern is that the test may not be commercially available worldwide and when available insurance companies may or may not cover the costs. There are several commercial providers of services that sequence the SCN1A gene. Insurance companies may cover the costs associated with molecular diagnosis; this varies between countries and insurance plans and should be checked before ordering. International research laboratories set up for SCN1A sequencing (academic or industrial) are an alternative resource imposing a minimal cost or sometimes none at all. They often are not, however, formally approved for providing a diagnostic testing service and may not be allowed to report results back to physicians and families. Laboratory information, both commercial and research, is available at GeneTests http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/GeneTests/. Hopefully, recent advances in molecular testing may allow commercial companies to significantly lower pricing. The benefits derived from the testing may persuade insurance companies or government providers to fund testing.

How

How must the subject be prepared for the test?

Prior to any *SCN1A* genetic testing, the patient's history should be reviewed to ensure that the phenotype warrants the suggested analysis (Ottman et al., 2010) (Table 2). The benefit and potential harms of the test, as well as its

limitations, must be carefully explained to individuals offered *SCN1A* testing. Detailed information on these matters is available elsewhere (Ottman et al., 2010). Genetic counseling should be offered. Written informed consent may be appropriate (and may be required; e.g., in the United States) depending on the clinical context and on specific local regulations; where doubt exists, advice from a medical geneticist is helpful.

DNA for genetic diagnoses can be obtained in a variety of ways, such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated peripheral blood, heparinized blood, or saliva; the specific submission details are usually dictated by the diagnostic laboratory.

Conclusions

SCN1A genetic testing for DS and related disorders is a valuable tool in the clinical practice of epilepsy. DS should be suspected in an initially developmentally normal infant presenting with recurrent, febrile or afebrile prolonged, hemiclonic seizures or generalized status epilepticus. Testing can confirm the clinical diagnosis and thus reduce the likelihood of additional, unnecessary investigations. It aids in genetic counseling and assists with prognostic counseling. In contrast, SCN1A genetic testing for GEFS+ is not encouraged, because only 10% of families with GEFS+ have mutations, and a positive test in an individual does not provide meaningful information about prognosis.

Detection of *SCN1A* mutations is also useful for treatment because it can guide the selection of antiepileptic medications (Chiron & Dulac, 2011) and may thereby influence outcome, although this needs further validation. If experimental treatments based on genetic information alter the long-term outcome, then earlier genetic diagnosis will become even more important.

Finally, it is noteworthy that the landscape for the detection of *SCNIA* mutations is shifting rapidly given the evolving technology for whole genome sequencing (WGS). The era of "precision medicine," when WGS and surveying for a vast spectrum of susceptibility and pathogenic mutations will be a standard part of the evaluation of an individual's health, will soon arrive. This will undoubtedly make the process related to identification of *SCNIA* mutations in clinical practice simpler, although this will be more than offset by the complexity of understanding the appropriate strategies for counseling and treating patients based on information gleaned from their entire genome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Christoph Lossin (US Davis, CA) for his valuable suggestions. This work was supported in part by the International League Against Epilepsy, a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 21249062, a Grant-in-Aid for for Challenging Exploratory Research 23659529, a Grant-in-Aid for Bilateral Joint Research Projects from Japan Society for the Promotion

S. Hirose et al.

of Science (JSPS); Research Grants (21B-5) for Nervous and Mental Disorder, and Health and Labour Science Research Grant 21210301, KB220001 and Grant-in-aid for the Research on Measures for Intractable Diseases, No. H22-Nanji-Ippan-49 from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; Adaptable and Seamless Technology Transfer Program through Target-driven R&D (A-STEP) Exploratory Research, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JSP); and Research grant from the Japan Epilepsy Research Foundation. This report was written by experts selected by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and was approved for publication by the ILAE. Opinions expressed by the authors, however, do not necessarily represent official policy or position of the ILAE.

DISCLOSURES

SFB and IES were previously supported by Bionomics Inc, which holds a patent for *SCNIA* testing in diagnosis. IES also serves as a consultant and speaker for Athena Diagnostics, which performs *SCNIA* testing. None of the other authors has any conflict of interest to disclose. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

REFERENCES

- Barcia G, Fleming MR, Deligniere A, Gazula VR, Brown MR, Langouet M, Chen H, Kronengold J, Abhyankar A, Cilio R, Nitschke P, Kaminska A, Boddaert N, Casanova JL, Desguerre I, Munnich A, Dulac O, Kaczmarek LK, Colleaux L, Nabbout R. (2012) De novo gain-of-function KCNT1 channel mutations cause malignant migrating partial seizures of infancy. Nat Genet 44:1255–1259.
- Berkovic SF, Harkin L, McMahon JM, Pelekanos JT, Zuberi SM, Wirrell EC, Gill DS, Iona X, Mulley JC, Scheffer IE. (2006) De-novo mutations of the sodium channel gene SCN1A in alleged vaccine encephalopathy: a retrospective study. Lancet Neurol 5:488–492.
- Carranza Rojo D, Hamiwka L, McMahon JM, Dibbens LM, Arsov T, Suls A, Stodberg T, Kelley K, Wirrell E, Appleton B, Mackay M, Freeman JL, Yendle SC, Berkovic SF, Bienvenu T, De Jonghe P, Thorburn DR, Mulley JC, Mefford HC, Scheffer IE. (2011) De novo SCN1A mutations in migrating partial seizures of infancy. Neurology 77:380–383.
- Catarino CB, Liu JY, Liagkouras I, Gibbons VS, Labrum RW, Ellis R, Woodward C, Davis MB, Smith SJ, Cross JH, Appleton RE, Yendle SC, McMahon JM, Bellows ST, Jacques TS, Zuberi SM, Koepp MJ, Martinian L, Scheffer IE, Thom M, Sisodiya SM. (2011) Dravet syndrome as epileptic encephalopathy: evidence from long-term course and neuropathology. *Brain* 134:2982–3010.
- Chiron C, Dulac O. (2011) The pharmacologic treatment of Dravet syndrome. *Epilepsia* 52(Suppl. 2):72–75.
- Chiron C, Marchand MC, Tran A, Rey E, d'Athis P, Vincent J, Dulac O, Pons G. (2000) Stiripentol in severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy: a randomised placebo-controlled syndrome-dedicated trial. STICLO study group. *Lancet* 356:1638–1642.
- Coppola G, Plouin P, Chiron C, Robain O, Dulac O. (1995) Migrating partial seizures in infancy: a malignant disorder with developmental arrest. *Epilepsia* 36:1017–1024.
- Depienne C, Arzimanoglou A, Trouillard O, Fedirko E, Baulac S, Saint-Martin C, Ruberg M, Dravet C, Nabbout R, Baulac M, Gourfinkel-An I, LeGuern E. (2006) Parental mosaicism can cause recurrent transmission of SCN1A mutations associated with severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. Hum Mutat 27:389.
- Depienne C, Trouillard O, Saint-Martin C, Gourfinkel-An I, Bouteiller D, Carpentier W, Keren B, Abert B, Gautier A, Baulac S, Arzimanoglou A, Cazeneuve C, Nabbout R, LeGuern E. (2009) Spectrum of SCN1A gene mutations associated with Dravet syndrome: analysis of 333 patients. *J Med Genet* 46:183–191.
- Depienne C, Trouillard O, Gourfinkel-An I, Saint-Martin C, Bouteiller D, Graber D, Barthez-Carpentier MA, Gautier A, Villeneuve N, Dravet C, Livet MO, Rivier-Ringenbach C, Adam C, Dupont S, Baulac S, Heron D, Nabbout R, Leguern E. (2010) Mechanisms for variable expressivity of inherited SCNIA mutations causing Dravet syndrome. J Med Genet 47:404–410.

- Dibbens LM, Tarpey PS, Hynes K, Bayly MA, Scheffer IE, Smith R, Bomar J, Sutton E, Vandeleur L, Shoubridge C, Edkins S, Turner SJ, Stevens C, O'Meara S, Tofts C, Barthorpe S, Buck G, Cole J, Halliday K, Jones D, Lee R, Madison M, Mironenko T, Varian J, West S, Widaa S, Wray P, Teague J, Dicks E, Butler A, Menzies A, Jenkinson A, Shepherd R, Gusella JF, Afawi Z, Mazarib A, Neufeld MY, Kivity S, Lev D, Lerman-Sagie T, Korczyn AD, Derry CP, Sutherland GR, Friend K, Shaw M, Corbett M, Kim HG, Geschwind DH, Thomas P, Haan E, Ryan S, McKee S, Berkovic SF, Futreal PA, Stratton MR, Mulley JC, Gecz J. (2008) X-linked protocadherin 19 mutations cause female-limited epilepsy and cognitive impairment. Nat Genet 40:776–781.
- Dravet C. (1978) Les epilepsies garaves de l'enfant. Vie Med 8:543-548.
- Dravet C, Roger J, Bureau M, Dalla Bernardina B. (1982) Myoclonic epilepsies in childhood. In Akimoto H, Kazamatsuri H, Seino M, Ward A Jr (Eds) Advances in epileptology, the XIII Epilepsy International Symposium. Raven Press, New York, NY, pp. 135–140.
- Dravet C, Bureau M, Oguni H, Fukuyama Y, Cokar O. (2002) Severe myoclonioc epilepsy in infancy (Dravet syndrome). In Roger J, Bureau M, Dravet C, Genton P, Tassinari CA, Wolf P (Eds) *Epileptic* syndromes in infancy, childhood and adlescence. John Libbey Co Ltd., Eastleigh, UK, pp. 81–103.
- Fountain-Capal JK, Holland KD, Gilbert DL, Hallinan BE. (2011) When should clinicians order genetic testing for dravet syndrome? *Pediatr Neurol* 45:319–323.
- Freilich ER, Jones JM, Gaillard WD, Conry JA, Tsuchida TN, Reyes C, Dib-Hajj S, Waxman SG, Meisler MH, Pearl PL. (2011) Novel SCNIA mutation in a proband with malignant migrating partial seizures of infancy. Arch Neurol 68:665–671.
- Fujiwara T, Sugawara T, Mazaki-Miyazaki E, Takahashi Y, Fukushima K, Watanabe M, Hara K, Morikawa T, Yagi K, Yamakawa K, Inoue Y. (2003) Mutations of sodium channel α subunit type 1 (SCN1A) in intractable childhood epilepsies with frequent generalized tonic–clonic seizures. Brain 126:531–546.
- Gennaro E, Santorelli FM, Bertini E, Buti D, Gaggero R, Gobbi G, Lini M, Granata T, Freri E, Parmeggiani A, Striano P, Veggiotti P, Cardinali S, Bricarelli FD, Minetti C, Zara F. (2006) Somatic and germline mosaicisms in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 341:489–493.
- Guerrini R, Dravet C, Genton P, Belmonte A, Kaminska A, Dulac O. (1998) Lamotrigine and seizure aggravation in severe myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 39:508–512.
- Harkin LA, Bowser DN, Dibbens LM, Singh R, Phillips F, Wallace RH, Richards MC, Williams DA, Mulley JC, Berkovic SF, Scheffer IE, Petrou S. (2002) Truncation of the GABA_A-receptor γ2 subunit in a family with generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. Am J Hum Genet 70:530–536.
- Harkin LA, McMahon JM, Iona X, Dibbens L, Pelekanos JT, Zuberi SM,
 Sadleir LG, Andermann E, Gill D, Farrell K, Connolly M, Stanley T,
 Harbord M, Andermann F, Wang J, Batish SD, Jones JG, Seltzer WK,
 Gardner A, Sutherland G, Berkovic SF, Mulley JC, Scheffer IE. (2007)
 The spectrum of SCNIA-related infantile epileptic encephalopathies.
 Brain 130:843–852.
- Hattori J, Ouchida M, Ono J, Miyake S, Maniwa S, Mimaki N, Ohtsuka Y, Ohmori I. (2008) A screening test for the prediction of Dravet syndrome before one year of age. *Epilepsia* 49:626–633.
- Heron SE, Scheffer IE, Grinton BE, Eyre H, Oliver KL, Bain S, Berkovic SF, Mulley JC. (2010) Familial neonatal seizures with intellectual disability caused by a microduplication of chromosome 2q24.3. *Epilepsia* 51:1865–1869.
- Higurashi N, Shi X, Yasumoto S, Oguni H, Sakauchi M, Itomi K, Miyamoto A, Shiraishi H, Kato T, Makita Y, Hirose S. (2012) PCDH19 mutation in Japanese females with epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 99:28–37.
- Lossin C. (2009) A catalog of SCN1A variants. Brain Dev 31:114–130.
- Marini C, Mei D, Helen Cross J, Guerrini R. (2006) Mosaic SCNIA mutation in familial severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. Epilepsia 47:1737–1740.
- Marini C, Scheffer IE, Nabbout R, Mei D, Cox K, Dibbens LM, McMahon JM, Iona X, Carpintero RS, Elia M, Cilio MR, Specchio N, Giordano L, Striano P, Gennaro E, Cross JH, Kivity S, Neufeld MY, Afawi Z, Andermann E, Keene D, Dulac O, Zara F, Berkovic SF, Guerrini R, Mulley JC. (2009) SCNIA duplications and deletions detected in Dravet syndrome: implications for molecular diagnosis. Epilepsia 50:1670–1678.

- Marini C, Mei D, Parmeggiani L, Norci V, Calado E, Ferrari A, Moreira A, Pisano T, Specchio N, Vigevano F, Battaglia D, Guerrini R. (2010) Protocadherin 19 mutations in girls with infantile-onset epilepsy. Neurology 75:646–653.
- McIntosh AM, McMahon J, Dibbens LM, Iona X, Mulley JC, Scheffer IE, Berkovic SF. (2010) Effects of vaccination on onset and outcome of Dravet syndrome: a retrospective study. *Lancet Neurol* 9:592–598.
- Millichap JJ, Koh S, Laux LC, Nordli DR Jr. (2009) Child Neurology: Dravet syndrome: when to suspect the diagnosis. *Neurology* 73:e59–e62.
- Mulley JC, Nelson P, Guerrero S, Dibbens L, Iona X, McMahon JM, Harkin L, Schouten J, Yu S, Berkovic SF, Scheffer IE. (2006) A new molecular mechanism for severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy: exonic deletions in SCN1A. Neurology 67:1094–1095.
- Nakayama T, Ogiwara I, Ito K, Kaneda M, Mazaki E, Osaka H, Ohtani H, Inoue Y, Fujiwara T, Uematsu M, Haginoya K, Tsuchiya S, Yamakawa K. (2010) Deletions of SCN1A 5' genomic region with promoter activity in Dravet syndrome. Hum Mutat 31:820–829.
- Ogiwara I, Nakayama T, Yamagata T, Ohtani H, Mazaki E, Tsuchiya S, Inoue Y, Yamakawa K. (2012) A homozygous mutation of voltagegated sodium channel β1 gene *SCN1B* in a patient with Dravet syndrome. *Epilepsia* 53:e200–e203.
- Oguni H, Hayashi K, Awaya Y, Fukuyama Y, Osawa M. (2001) Severe myoclonic epilepsy in infants—a review based on the Tokyo Women's Medical University series of 84 cases. *Brain Dev* 23:736–748.
- Ottman R, Hirose S, Jain S, Lerche H, Lopes-Cendes I, Noebels JL, Serratosa J, Zara F, Scheffer IE. (2010) Genetic testing in the epilepsies–report of the ILAE Genetics Commission. *Epilepsia* 51:655–670.
- Patino GA, Claes LR, Lopez-Santiago LF, Slat EA, Dondeti RS, Chen C, O'Malley HA, Gray CB, Miyazaki H, Nukina N, Oyama F, De Jonghe P, Isom LL. (2009) A functional null mutation of SCN1B in a patient with Dravet syndrome. J Neurosci 29:10764–10778.
- Raymond G, Wohler E, Dinsmore C, Cox J, Johnston M, Batista D, Wang T. (2011) An interstitial duplication at 2q24.3 involving the SCN1A, SCN2A, SCN3A genes associated with infantile epilepsy. Am J Med Genet A 155:920–923.
- Sakakibara T, Nakagawa E, Saito Y, Sakuma H, Komaki H, Sugai K, Sasaki M, Kurahashi H, Hirose S. (2009) Hemiconvulsion-hemiplegia syndrome in a patient with severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. Epilepsia 50:2158–2162.
- Scheffer IE, Berkovic SF. (1997) Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. A genetic disorder with heterogeneous clinical phenotypes. *Brain* 120:479–490
- Scheffer IE, Berkovic SF. (2000) Genetics of the epilepsies. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 12:536–542.
- Scheffer IE, Turner SJ, Dibbens LM, Bayly MA, Friend K, Hodgson B, Burrows L, Shaw M, Wei C, Ullmann R, Ropers HH, Szepetowski P, Haan E, Mazarib A, Afawi Z, Neufeld MY, Andrews PI, Wallace G, Kivity S, Lev D, Lerman-Sagie T, Derry CP, Korczyn AD, Gecz J, Mulley JC, Berkovic SF. (2008) Epilepsy and mental retardation limited to females: an under-recognized disorder. *Brain* 131:918–927.

- Scheffer IE, Zhang YH, Jansen FE, Dibbens L. (2009) Dravet syndrome or genetic (generalized) epilepsy with febrile seizures plus? *Brain Dev* 31:394–400.
- Shi X, Yasumoto S, Nakagawa E, Fukasawa T, Uchiya S, Hirose S. (2009) Missense mutation of the sodium channel gene *SCN2A* causes Dravet syndrome. *Brain Dev* 31:758–762.
- Suls A, Claeys KG, Goossens D, Harding B, Van Luijk R, Scheers S, Deprez L, Audenaert D, Van Dyck T, Beeckmans S, Smouts I, Ceulemans B, Lagae L, Buyse G, Barisic N, Misson JP, Wauters J, Del-Favero J, De Jonghe P, Claes LR. (2006) Microdeletions involving the SCN1A gene may be common in SCN1A-mutation-negative SMEI patients. Hum Mutat 27:914–920.
- Wallace RH, Wang DW, Singh R, Scheffer IE, George AL Jr, Phillips HA, Saar K, Reis A, Johnson EW, Sutherland GR, Berkovic SF, Mulley JC. (1998) Febrile seizures and generalized epilepsy associated with a mutation in the Na⁺-channel β1 subunit gene SCN1B. Nat Genet 19:366–370.
- Wallace RH, Scheffer IE, Barnett S, Richards M, Dibbens L, Desai RR, Lerman-Sagie T, Lev D, Mazarib A, Brand N, Ben-Zeev B, Goikhman I, Singh R, Kremmidiotis G, Gardner A, Sutherland GR, George AL Jr, Mulley JC, Berkovic SF. (2001) Neuronal sodium-channel α1-subunit mutations in generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. *Am J Hum Genet* 68:859–865.
- Wallace RH, Hodgson BL, Grinton BE, Gardiner RM, Robinson R, Rodriguez-Casero V, Sadleir L, Morgan J, Harkin LA, Dibbens LM, Yamamoto T, Andermann E, Mulley JC, Berkovic SF, Scheffer IE. (2003) Sodium channel α1-subunit mutations in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy and infantile spasms. *Neurology* 61: 765–769.
- Wang JW, Kurahashi H, Ishii A, Kojima T, Ohfu M, Inoue T, Ogawa A, Yasumoto S, Oguni H, Kure S, Fujii T, Ito M, Okuno T, Shirasaka Y, Natsume J, Hasegawa A, Konagaya A, Kaneko S, Hirose S. (2008) Microchromosomal deletions involving SCN1A and adjacent genes in severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. Epilepsia 49: 1528–1534.
- Zuberi SM, Brunklaus A, Birch R, Reavey E, Duncan J, Forbes GH. (2011) Genotype–phenotype associations in *SCN1A*-related epilepsies. *Neurology* 76:594–600.

USEFUL WEBSITES

GeneTests: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/GeneTests/. The SCNIA infobase: http://www.scn1a.info/.

SCN1A Variant Database: http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/SCN1AMutations/Statistics/Mutations.cfm.

Recommendations for the description of DNA sequence variants: http://www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/recs-DNA.html.