

## Reassessment of Genotype 1 Hepatitis C Virus Subtype Misclassification by LiPA 2.0: Implications for Direct-Acting Antiviral Treatment

Javier R. Guelfo,<sup>a,b</sup> Juan Macias,<sup>a,b</sup> Karin Neukam,<sup>a,b</sup> Federico A. Di Lello,<sup>a,c</sup> Jose A. Mira,<sup>a,b</sup> Nicolas Merchante,<sup>a,b</sup> María Mancebo,<sup>a,b</sup> Rocío Nuñez-Torres,<sup>a,b</sup> Juan A. Pineda,<sup>a,b</sup> Luis M. Real<sup>a,b</sup>

Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología, Hospital Universitario de Valme, Seville, Spain<sup>a</sup>; Instituto de Biomedicina de Seville (IBIS), Seville, Spain<sup>b</sup>; Cátedra de Virología, Facultad de Farmacia y Bioquímica, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina<sup>c</sup>

The accuracy of LiPA 2.0 for hepatitis C virus 1 (HCV-1) subtype classification was analyzed. LiPA 2.0 genotype results from 101 HCV-1-infected patients were compared to genotype findings determined by direct core sequencing. Eleven (11%) samples were misclassified. Given the influence of the HCV-1-subtype in the anti-HCV therapy response, an alternative classification method is warranted.

he effect of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype 1 subtype on the response to treatment can be dramatic for some direct antiviral agent (DAA)-containing regimens (1-9). This is the case for simeprevir, a DAA recently approved for clinical use, that in combination with pegylated interferon and ribavirin (peg-IFN/ RBV) has a lower sustained virological response (SVR) rate for subtype 1a than for 1b (2). Simeprevir plus peg-IFN/RBV treatment achieves rates of SVR similar to those for peg-IFN/RBV treatment in HCV-1a-infected patients who present the viral variation Q80K. This variation is frequent in the HCV-1a subtype, but it is not found in HCV-1b (2, 5). Similarly, daclatasvir plus peg-IFN/RBV treatment also achieves higher SVR rates among HCV-1b-infected patients than among HCV-1a-infected individuals (4). For interferon-free regimens, DAA combinations such as asunaprevir plus daclatasvir may only be indicated for subtype 1b, because of high relapse rates observed among patients with subtype 1a (3, 4). Because of these, accurate subtyping of HCV genotype 1-infected patients is needed for those who are candidates for DAAs.

Sequencing of specific HCV genome regions with subsequent phylogenetic analysis is considered the gold standard of HCV genotyping (10). However, it includes several complex techniques and is time consuming. For these reasons, LiPA 2.0 is currently one of the most widely used genotyping assays. However, several studies have reported HCV genotyping errors using this commercial method (11–13). Those studies were not designed to evaluate the frequency of HCV-1 subtyping misclassifications, and they included few HCV-1-infected patients. Therefore, due to the high prevalence of HCV-1 infections in western countries and the importance of correct subtyping in clinical decisions, the accuracy of this method for HCV-1 subtyping needs to be evaluated in larger samples. For these reasons, we aimed to evaluate the accuracy of LiPA 2.0 for classifying HCV-1 by subtypes.

This study was performed according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the ethics committee of the Hospital Universitario de Valme (Seville, Spain).

The first 110 consecutive patients starting from October 2001, who received a course of peg-IFN/RBV treatment in our hospital and who were infected with HCV-1, according to the LiPA 2.0 determination, were selected. All of them were Caucasian with a median age (quartile 1 to quartile 3) of 42 years (range, 39 to 46

years). Among the patients, 82 (81%) were male, and 48 (47.5%) were infected with HIV.

To determine the HCV-1 subtype, we analyzed the entire core genetic sequence. This region is more conserved than those traditionally used for HCV genotyping (NS5B or core/E1) and allows higher amplification rates (13, 14). The core region was amplified by nested PCRs. The first PCR was performed with 5 µl of cDNA using primers 1 and 2 (Table 1). For the second PCR, 4 µl of the first PCR product and primers 3 and 4 (Table 1) were used. For those samples that were not amplified with this protocol, primers 1 and 5 for the LiPA 2.0-identified subtype 1a or primers 1 and 4 for subtype 1b were used to perform the external PCR and then primers 6 and 7 (1a) or 8 (1b) were used in the internal PCR (Table 1). The nested PCR products were bidirectionally sequenced using standard capillary electrophoresis techniques. ClustalW2 software (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/) was used for alignment of the forward and reverse sequenced strains to obtain consensus sequences.

As the genotyping method, we analyzed the evolutionary history, which was inferred by using the maximum likelihood method based on the general time reversible model. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 software (15). The initial tree(s) for the heuristic search was obtained by applying the neighbor-joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the maximum composite likelihood (MCL) approach (15). A discrete gamma distribution was used to model the evolutionary rate differences among sites (4 categories [+G, parameter = 0.3742]). The analysis involved 110 sequences, including the sequences from patient samples and those selected as references. In the final data set, there were a total of 573 base pair positions corresponding to the complete core region for each sample.

Received 31 July 2014 Returned for modification 1 August 2014 Accepted 13 August 2014

Published ahead of print 20 August 2014

Editor: Y.-W. Tang

Address correspondence to Luis M. Real, Imreal67b@gmail.com. Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/JCM.02209-14

TABLE 1 Primers used for amplification of the HCV core gene

Primer no.	Designation	Sequence (5' to 3')	Location in genome <sup>a</sup>
1	FAD-ES-Fw	CGAAAGGCCTTGTGGTACTG	272 → 291
2	FAD-EA-Rv	CTCCCGAACGCAGGCAC	$1020 \leftarrow 1037^b$
3	FAD-IS-Fw	TTGTGGTACTGCCTGATAGGGT	$281 \rightarrow 302$
4	FAD-IA-Rv	ATGCTTGAGTTGGAGCAGTCG	$956 \leftarrow 976^b$
5	JLM-Ea-Rv	CGCCTCGTACACAATACTCG	$970 \leftarrow 989^b$
6	JLM-Iab-Fw	TGTGGTACTGCCTGATAGGG	$282 \rightarrow 301$
7	JLM-Ia-Rv	GGGCAATCATTGGTGACATGG	$944 \leftarrow 964^b$
8	JLM-Ib-Rv	TTGGAGCAGTCGTTCGTGAC	$948 \leftarrow 967^b$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Nucleotide position according to reference sequence H77 (GenBank accession number NC\_004102).

Successful sequencing and genotyping were obtained in 101 (91.8%) patients. The 9 (8.2%) samples that were not amplified had a viral load of <3 log IU/ml.

According to LiPA 2.0, 58 (57.4%) samples were identified as HCV-1a, and the remaining 43 (42.6%) were identified as HCV-1b. By the sequence-based method, 67 (66.3%) samples were classified as genotype 1a, 33 (32.7%) samples were classified as 1b, and 1 (1%) was classified as 4d (Table 2). The overall Cohen kappa index obtained was 0.773 (95% confidence interval, 0.647 to 0.900). The proportion of patients with misclassified HCV-1 subtypes was 11%. All HCV-1 subtype misclassifications were observed among individuals identified by LiPA 2.0 as the HCV-1b subtype. Thus, 10 (23%) patients classified as HCV-1b by LiPA 2.0 were identified as HCV-1a by sequencing methods (Table 2). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for HCV-1b subtyping by LiPA 2.0 were 1, 0.85, 0.77, and 1, respectively. The corresponding numbers for HCV-1a subtyping were 0.85, 0.97, 0.98, and 0.77, respectively.

This is the largest study, to our knowledge, that has analyzed the accuracy of HCV-1 subtype identification by LiPA 2.0. The study of Avó et al. (11) included a total of 31 HCV-1 samples (of them, only 7 were 1b), whereas in the study of Bouchardeau et al. (12), there were a total of 62 HCV-1 samples and only 21 of them were 1b. In a similar study, HCV-1a-infected patients were not included because of the HCV genotype distribution (13).

In conclusion, our results show that the LiPA 2.0 assay is not an adequate tool for subtyping HCV genotype 1. This genotyping method has subtyping failures, and it is prone to errors with HCV subtype 1b. Because the HCV subtype 1 misclassification might lead to inadequate treatment associated with a high likelihood of nonresponse, more accurate genotyping methods than LiPA 2.0 should be used.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The sequences obtained in this study were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers KF060663 to KF060714 and KJ739737 to KJ739786.

TABLE 2 HCV genotyping results obtained with sequence-based methods and the LiPA 2.0

HCV genotype by	HCV genotype by core sequence:			
LiPA 2.0	1a	1b	4d	Total
la	57		1	58
1b	10	33		43
Total	67	33	1	101

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was partially funded by the RD12/0017/0012 project as part of the Plan Nacional R+D+I and cofinanced by ISCIII-Subdirección General de Evaluación y el Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), the Ministerio de Sanidad y Servicios Sociales (EC11-304), and the Fundación para la Investigación y la Prevención del Sida en España (121004/10). J.M. is the recipient of a grant from the Servicio Andaluz de Salud de la Junta de Andalucía (B-0037). J.A.P. is the recipient of an intensification grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (grant Programa-I3SNS). K.N. is the recipient of a Miguel Servet research grant (CP13-00187).

These funding institutions did not have any role in the study design or collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data. They did not participate in the writing of the report or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

We declare no conflicts of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Forns X, Lawitz E, Zeuzem S, Gane E, Bronowicki JP, Andreone P, Horban A, Brown A, Peeters M, Lenz O, Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan S, Scott J, Kalmeijer R, De La Rosa G, Sinha R, Beumont-Mauviel M. 2013. Simeprevir (TMC435) with peg-interferon α-2a/ribavirin for treatment of chronic HCV genotype 1 infection in patients who relapsed after previous interferon-based therapy: efficacy and safety in patient subpopulations in the PROMISE phase III trial. Hepatology 58:737A–738A. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.26858.
- Jacobson I, Dore GJ, Foster GR, Fried MW, Radu M, Rafalskiy VV, Moroz L, Craxi A, Peeters M, Lenz O, Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan S, Kalmeijer R, Beumont-Mauviel M. 2013. Simeprevir (TMC435) with peginterferon/ribavirin for chronic HCV genotype 1 infection in treatment-naive patients: results from QUEST-1, a phase III trial. J. Hepatol. 58(Suppl 1):S574. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8278(13)61424-5.
- Lok AS, Gardiner DF, Hezode C, Lawitz EJ, Bourliere M, Everson GT, Marcellin P, Rodriguez-Torres M, Pol S, Serfaty L, Eley T, Huang SP, Li J, Wind-Rotolo M, Yu F, McPhee F, Grasela DM, Pasquinelli C. 2014. Randomized trial of daclatasvir and asunaprevir with or without PegIFN/RBV for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 null responders. J. Hepatol. 60:490-499. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2013.10.019.
- Lok AS, Gardiner DF, Lawitz E, Martorell C, Everson GT, Ghalib R, Reindollar R, Rustgi V, McPhee F, Wind-Rotolo M, Persson A, Zhu K, Dimitrova DI, Eley T, Guo T, Grasela DM, Pasquinelli C. 2012. Preliminary study of two antiviral agents for hepatitis C genotype 1. N. Engl. J. Med. 366:216–224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1104430.
- 5. Poordad F, Manns MP, Marcellin P, de Araujo ES, Buti M, Horsmans Y, Janczewska E, Villamil F, Peeters M, Lenz O, Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan S, Kalmeijer R, Beumont-Mauviel M. 2013. Simeprevir (TMC435) with peginterferon/ribavirin for treatment of chronic HCV genotype-1 infection in treatment-naive patients: results from QUEST-2, a phase III trial. Gastroenterology 144:S151.
- Sarrazin C, Zeuzem S. 2010. Resistance to direct antiviral agents in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. Gastroenterology 138:447–462. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.11.055.
- 7. Schneider MD, Sarrazin C. 2014. Antiviral therapy of hepatitis C in 2014:

4028 jcm.asm.org Journal of Clinical Microbiology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Reverse complement sequence with respect to that specified in the reference sequence.

- do we need resistance testing? Antiviral Res. 105:64–71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2014.02.011.
- Sulkowski MS, Gardiner DF, Rodriguez-Torres M, Reddy KR, Hassanein T, Jacobson I, Lawitz E, Lok AS, Hinestrosa F, Thuluvath PJ, Schwartz H, Nelson DR, Everson GT, Eley T, Wind-Rotolo M, Huang SP, Gao M, Hernandez D, McPhee F, Sherman D, Hindes R, Symonds W, Pasquinelli C, Grasela DM. 2014. Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir for previously treated or untreated chronic HCV infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 370:211–221. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1306218.
- Zeuzem S, Soriano V, Asselah T, Bronowicki JP, Lohse AW, Mullhaupt B, Schuchmann M, Bourliere M, Buti M, Roberts SK, Gane EJ, Stern JO, Vinisko R, Kukolj G, Gallivan JP, Bocher WO, Mensa FJ. 2013. Faldaprevir and deleobuvir for HCV genotype 1 infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 369:630–639. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1213557.
- Robertson B, Myers G, Howard C, Brettin T, Bukh J, Gaschen B, Gojobori T, Maertens G, Mizokami M, Nainan O, Netesov S, Nishioka K, Shin I, Simmonds TP, Smith D, Stuyver L, Weiner A. 1998. Classification, nomenclature, and database development for hepatitis C virus (HCV) and related viruses: proposals for standardization. International Committee on Virus Taxonomy. Arch. Virol. 143:2493–2503.

- 11. Avó AP, Agua-Doce I, Andrade A, Padua E. 2013. Hepatitis C virus subtyping based on sequencing of the C/E1 and NS5B genomic regions in comparison to a commercially available line probe assay. J. Med. Virol. 85:815–822. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.23545.
- 12. Bouchardeau F, Cantaloube JF, Chevaliez S, Portal C, Razer A, Lefrere JJ, Pawlotsky JM, De Micco P, Laperche S. 2007. Improvement of hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype determination with the new version of the INNO-LiPA HCV assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1140–1145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01982-06.
- 13. Cai Q, Zhao Z, Liu Y, Shao X, Gao Z. 2013. Comparison of three different HCV genotyping methods: core, NS5B sequence analysis and line probe assay. Int. J. Mol. Med. 31:347–352.
- 14. Simmonds P, Smith DB, McOmish F, Yap PL, Kolberg J, Urdea MS, Holmes EC. 1994. Identification of genotypes of hepatitis C virus by sequence comparisons in the core, E1 and NS-5 regions. J. Gen. Virol. 75(Part 5):1053–1061. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-75-5-1053.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011.
  MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2731–2739. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121.