

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Clin Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Cancer Res. 2010 April 1; 16(7): 2122–2130. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2765.

MLL-Rearranged B Lymphoblastic Leukemias Selectively Express the Immunoregulatory Carbohydrate-Binding Protein Galectin-1

Przemyslaw Juszczynski¹, Scott J. Rodig², Jing Ouyang¹, Evan O'Donnell¹, Kunihiko Takeyama¹, Wojciech Mlynarski⁴, Katarzyna Mycko⁴, Tomasz Szczepanski⁵, Anna Gaworczyk⁶, Andrei Krivtsov³, Joerg Faber³, Amit U. Sinha³, Gabriel A. Rabinovich⁷, Scott A. Armstrong³, Jeffery L. Kutok², and Margaret A. Shipp¹

¹ Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts ² Department of Pathology, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts ³ Department of Pediatric Oncology, Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts ⁴ Department of Pediatrics, Hematology, Oncology and Diabetology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland ⁵ Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Medical University of Silesia, Zabrze, Poland ⁶ Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Medical University of Lublin, Poland ⁷ Laboratory of Immunopathology, Institute of Biology and Experimental Medicine, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Abstract

Purpose—Patients with mixed lineage leukemia (*MLL*)–rearranged B-lymphoblastic leukemias (B-ALL) have an unfavorable prognosis and require intensified treatment. Multiple *MLL* fusion partners have been identified, complicating the diagnostic evaluation of *MLL* rearrangements. We analyzed molecular markers of *MLL* rearrangement for use in rapid diagnostic assays and found the immunomodulatory protein, Galectin-1 (Gal-1), to be selectively expressed in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL.

Experimental Design—Transcriptional profiling of ALL subtypes revealed selective overexpression of Gal-1 in *MLL*-rearranged ALLs. For this reason, we analyzed Gal-1 protein expression in *MLL*-germline and *MLL*-rearranged adult and infant pediatric B-ALLs and cell lines by immunoblotting, immunohistochemistry, and intracellular flow cytometry of viable tumor cell suspensions. Because deregulated gene expression in *MLL*-rearranged leukemias may be related to the altered histone methyltransferase activity of the *MLL* fusion protein complex, we also analyzed histone H3 lysine 79 (H3K79) dimethylation in the *LGALS1* promoter region using chromatin immunoprecipitation.

Results—Gal-1 transcripts were significantly more abundant in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs. All 32 primary *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs exhibited abundant Gal-1 immunostaining, regardless of the translocation partner, whereas only 2 of 81 germline-*MLL* B-ALLs expressed Gal-1. In addition, Gal-1 was selectively detected in newly diagnosed *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs by intracellular flow

Corresponding Authors: Jeffery L. Kutok, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA, 02115. Phone: 617-732-5714; Fax: 617-264-5169; jkutok@partners.org and Margaret A. Shipp, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 44 Binney Street, Boston, MA 02115. Phone: 617-632-3874; Fax: 617-632-4734; margaret_shipp@dfci.harvard.edu. P. Juszczynski and S.J. Rodig contributed equally to this work.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Clinical Cancer Research Online (http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Conclusion—In B-ALL, Gal-1 is a highly sensitive and specific biomarker of *MLL* rearrangement that is likely induced by a MLL-dependent epigenetic modification.

B-lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) is the most common malignancy of childhood and a disease with a poor prognosis among adults (1). There are several cytogenetic abnormalities characteristic of B-ALL that largely determine the biology of the disease, affect prognosis, and guide therapy (2,3). Leukemias with rearrangements of the *mixed lineage leukemia* (*MLL*) gene on chromosome 11q23 exhibit unique clinical and biological features (4–7). *MLL* rearrangements occur in over 70% of infant B-ALLs and are less frequent in older patients (4–7). *MLL* aberrations are largely restricted to immature CD10-negative blasts that often coexpress myeloid markers (5–7). In both adults and children, *MLL* rearrangements are frequently associated with a particularly poor outcome (1,8–11).

MLL translocations generate a new chimeric gene, in which the NH₂-terminal portion of *MLL* is fused to the COOH-terminal sequence from multiple different partners (4–7). The common result of many of these rearrangements is the expression of a DNA-binding protein that recruits additional histone methyltransferases such as DOT1L and leads to ectopic histone H3 lysine 79 dimethylation (H3K79diMe; refs. 5,12,13). This epigenetic modification is associated with the deregulated transcription of multiple genes including *HOXA* cluster (12). The H3K79diMe histone modification mark and associated gene expression signature are distinguishing features of human and murine *MLL*-rearranged leukemias (12).

Current time-consuming diagnostic techniques such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization or Southern blotting are not always available at initial diagnosis and are not able to detect all genetic abnormalities involving *MLL* (6,7). Because the early identification of *MLL* rearrangements may guide therapy or clinical trial enrollment (8,9,14), it would be useful to have a more efficient method of identifying *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs at diagnosis.

Galectins are a conserved family of carbohydrate-binding proteins that regulate innate and adaptive immune responses and promote tumor immune escape (15–17). Galectin-1 (Gal-1), a prototype member of this family, is a potent anti-inflammatory factor and a suppressive agent for T-cell responses (15–18). Through the selective recognition of multiple Gal β 1,4 GlcNAc (N-acetyllactosamine) units on the branches of *N*- or *O*-linked glycans, Gal-1 controls T-cell homeostasis by inducing the selective apoptosis of T_H1 and T_H-17 and cytotoxic T effector cells, promoting the tolerogenic function of dendritic cells, and controlling T regulatory cell function (16–20). In solid tumor models, Gal-1 also promotes escape from T-cell–dependent immunity and confers immune privilege to tumor cells (16,17,21).

Recently, we showed that the malignant Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg cells and variants of classical Hodgkin lymphoma express and secrete high levels of Gal-1 in an activator protein (AP-1)– dependent manner, and that the expression of Gal-1 promotes the skewed, ineffective T_H 2-type T-cell infiltrate that is characteristic of this disease (22). Among other large cell lymphomas, only anaplastic large cell lymphoma exhibits constitutive AP-1 signaling and Gal-1 expression—a finding that suggests a common mechanism of Gal-1 transcriptional regulation by these tumors (23). The expression and regulation of Gal-1 in other hematopoietic neoplasms remains unknown.

Herein, we report that Gal-1 is a highly sensitive and specific marker of *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL. In addition, we show that the specific overexpression of Gal-1 in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs is associated with MLL-mediated modifications of the *LGALS1* locus.

Materials and Methods

Case selection

One series of adult primary B-ALLs was derived from the files of Brigham & Women's Hospital with Institutional Review Board approval (series 1). All diagnoses were established at the time of the original biopsy evaluation and based on the criteria established by the current WHO classification system (24). A second independent series of 60 infant/pediatric B-ALLs was derived from the Department of Pediatrics, Hematology, Oncology & Diabetology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland and the centers of the Polish Pediatric Leukemia/ Lymphoma Study Group with appropriate Institutional Review Board approvals (series 2). Rearrangements of the *MLL* locus (chromosome 11q23) in series 1 were identified in diagnostic bone marrow aspirates by either routine karyotypic analysis (G-banding) or fluorescent *in situ* hybridization analysis with a break-apart probe targeting the *MLL* locus (Vysis probes/ Abbott Molecular). Rearrangements of the *MLL* locus in series 2 were identified using MLL11q23 split probe DC (Qbiogene), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. In series 2, the partner genes for *MLL* rearrangements were identified using a long-distance inverse PCR approach as previously described (25).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry of series 1 primary B-ALLs was performed using 5-µm-thick Zenker'sfixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections on individual slides as previously described (23). Briefly, slides were soaked in xylene, passed through graded alcohols, and then pretreated with 10-mmol/L citrate (pH 6.0; Zymed) in a steam pressure cooker (Decloaking Chamber, BioCare Medical) as per the manufacturer's instructions. All further steps were performed at room temperature in a hydrated chamber. Slides were then treated with Peroxidase Block (DAKO USA) for 5 min to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. A primary rabbit polyclonal anti– Gal-1 antiserum (1:10,000 dilution; generated in the laboratory of M.A.S.) was applied in the DAKO diluent (DAKO) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were washed in 50 mmol/L Tris-Cl (pH 7.4) and anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase–conjugated antibody solution (Envision+ detection kit, DAKO) was applied for 30 min. After further washing, immunoperoxidase staining was developed using a diaminobenzidine chromogen kit (DAKO) per the manufacturer's instruction and counterstained with Harris hematoxylin (Polyscientific).

Immunocytochemistry of series 2 primary B-ALLs was performed using formalin-fixed bone marrow aspirate smears. First, endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched by treatment of the slides with a 3% perhydrol solution in methanol for 5 min. Subsequently, slides were treated with Target Retrieval Solution (pH 9.0; DAKO) in a water bath at 95°C for 45 min. Staining with antibodies was performed as described above. After further washing, immunoperoxidase staining was developed using a diaminobenzidine chromogen kit (DAKO) and was counterstained with Mayer hematoxylin. Following a brief wash in water, slides were dehydratated with a series of alcohol and xylene solutions, mounted in Histokit medium, and coverslipped.

Immunohistochemical evaluation

Reactivity for Gal-1 in series 1 B-ALLs was scored independently by two hematopathologists (S.J.R and J.L.K). Gal-1 staining intensity was scored as follows: 0, no staining detected; 1+, weak staining; 2+, moderate staining; and 3+, strong staining of the tumor cells. Positive staining for a case was defined as 2+ or 3+ cytoplasmic staining in >25% of the tumor cells. Zero or 1+ staining in >25% of tumor cells or only focal reactivity of 2+ or 3+ in <25% of the tumor cells was considered negative. Positive staining of endothelial cells and macrophages served as positive internal controls. All cases were photographed at ×1,000 original magnification with an Olympus BX41 microscope with the objective lens of ×100/0.75

Olympus UPlanFL (Olympus). The pictures were taken using Olympus QColor3 and analyzed with the acquisition software QCapture v2.60 (QImaging) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe).

Gal-1 reactivity in series 2 B-ALLs was assessed independently by two hematopathologists (K.M. and W.M.). Gal-1 staining intensity was scored using the above-mentioned criteria. The cases were photographed in ×400 magnification with the Nikon Microphot FXA (Nikon). The pictures were analyzed using the MultiScanBase v 8.08 Image Analysis System (Computer Scanning Systems).

Immunoblotting

MLL-rearranged (SEM-K2, RS4;11) and *MLL*-germline (REH and NALM6) B-ALL cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro Mediatech), 10 mmol/L HEPES buffer, 4 mmol/L L-glutamine, 50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 U/ mL streptomycin. For immunoblotting, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed with 1% NP40 buffer. Lysates were size fractionated on NuPAGE Novex 4% to 12% Bis-Tris Gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Blots were incubated with primary antibodies (α Gal-1 or α - β -actin) and appropriate horseradish peroxidase–labeled secondary antibodies and developed by enhanced chemiluminescence as previously described (22).

Intracellular flow cytometry

Intracellular flow cytometry was performed on NALM6 (*MLL* germline) and SEM-K2 (*MLL* rearranged) cell lines and viable primary tumor specimens from four B-ALL patients with known *MLL* translocation status (2 with *MLL* rearrangements and 2 with *MLL*-germline). After thawing the previously cryopre-served primary B-ALL specimens, viable tumor cells were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque (GE Healthcare) gradient centrifugation and washed. Thereafter, 1×10^6 cells were fixed and permeabilized with the Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation/ Permeabilization kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then stained sequentially with the affinity-purified anti-human Gal-1 (diluted 1:5,000; ref. 22) at 4°C for 30 min and anti-rabbit FITC– or Cy5-conjugated AffiniPure Fab Fragment (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.). For background fluorescence control, cells were stained with normal rabbit IgG and anti-rabbit FITC– or Cy5-conjugated Fab fragment alone. Intracellular Gal-1 expression was analyzed with the BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

RS4;11, SEM-K2, and NALM6 cells (50×10^6) were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Reactions were subsequently quenched in 0.125 mol/L glycine for 5 min. Cells were then washed with 1×PBS and lysed in 1% SDS lysis buffer [1% SDS, 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.0), and 10 mmol/L EDTA] containing protease inhibitors (Complete protease inhibitor cocktail; Roche Applied Science) and were sonicated. Lysates were diluted 10× with the dilution buffer [0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton-X100, 1.2 mmol/L EDTA, 16.7 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.0), and 167 mmol/L NaCl, supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail], precleared and subsequently incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-H3K79diMe antibody (Abcam) or with normal rabbit IgG antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 4 h. Immunocomplexes were captured with protein A/G plus agarose preblocked with salmon sperm DNA (Abcam) and washed twice with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, twice with high salt wash buffer [0.1% SDS, 1% Triton-X100, 2 mmol/L EDTA, 20 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.0), and 500 mmol/L NaCl], twice with LiCl wash buffer [0.25 mol/L LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mmol/L EDTA, and 10 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.0)], and once with TE buffer. Thereafter, immune complexes were eluted with 1% SDS in 100 mmol/L NaHCO3 and cross-links were reversed by incubating samples for 8 h at 65°C. DNA fragments enriched by chromatin

immunoprecipitation were recovered by standard phenol-chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation and quantified by real-time PCR using *LGALS1* promoter and control region primers (*LGALS1* promoter amplicon 1, F: GGGTGGAGTCTTCTGACAGCTG, R: CCTGCCCTATCCCCTGGAC; *LGALS1* promoter amplicon 2, F: TGGACTCAATCATGGCTTGTG, R: GGGCTAGAATCT-GCTCCCGAT; control region 1, F: ATGAGCCACAGTGCT-TGGC, R: GCCGCAGTGCTCTGTGGGTAT; Control region 2, F: CTGATTGCTGGGCAGAGAGAAA, R: TTTGCCTCCCATCT-CAAAGCC), the PowerSYBR green kit (Applied Biosystems), and an ABI 7700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). Relative enrichment in H3K79 dimethylation in Gal-1 locus and control regions versus input in H3K79diMe- and IgG-immunoprecipitated samples was calculated by using the $2^{-(\Delta CT H3K79diMe - \Delta CT IgG)}$ method. SDs were calculated from triplicate $\Delta\Delta CT$ values.

H3K79 dimethylation in primary tumors

Normal bone marrow samples and diagnostic primary leukemia samples (peripheral blood or bone marrow) were obtained with informed consent from individuals treated according to protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute between 2000 and 2007. Samples were immunoprecipitated with anti–dimethyl H3K79 antibody (Abcam 3594) and hybridized to an Affymetrix-GeneChip Human Promoter 1.0R Array as described (12). The raw CEL files were processed with MAT (26) to obtain the signal strength at each probe. To analyze *LGALS1* gene promoter methylation in normal pre-B cells, *MLL*-germline, and *MLL*-rearranged tumors, the signal strength of all of the probes in the promoter region (7.5 kb upstream to 2.5 kb downstream of TSS) was added and compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The MAT library, mapping files, and TSS definitions are based on the National Center for Biotechnology Information Build 36.1 human reference sequence.

Results

Gal-1 is overexpressed in MLL-rearranged B-ALLs

To identify molecular markers of *MLL* translocation that might be used in rapid diagnostic assays, we first compared the transcriptional profiles of primary B-ALLs with and without *MLL* translocations. Gal-1 transcripts were significantly more abundant in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs from two large independent data sets (Supplementary Data; refs. 27, 28). Consistent with these findings, Gal-1 protein was also more abundant in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL cell lines and primary tumors than in *MLL*-germline B-ALL lines and tumors by Western blotting (Fig. 1A).

To assess the diagnostic utility of Gal-1 expression in identifying the *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL subtype, we performed Gal-1 immunostaining on an initial series of adult primary B-ALLs with known *MLL* status (series 1; Table 1). All 11 *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs exhibited Gal-1 expression (11 of 11, 100%); in 10 of 11 cases, strong Gal-1 staining was present in >50% of the tumor cells. Gal-1⁺ tumors included seven *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs with t(4;11) and four *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs with t(11;19; Table 1; Fig. 1B). In marked contrast, only 1 of 40 B-ALLs without a cytogenetically detectable *MLL* translocation expressed Gal-1 (2.5%; *P* < 0.001, Fisher exact test; Table 1; Fig. 1B).

To further evaluate the utility of Gal-1 expression in the early diagnosis of primary *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL, we performed Gal-1 immunostaining of routine bone marrow smears from an independent infant/pediatric series of 21 *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs and 39 B-ALLs without detectable *MLL* rearrangement (series 2). This series of *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs included primary tumors with t(4;11), t(11;19), or additional *MLL* translocations that were not included in series 1, t(9;11) or t(10;11). All 21 *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs exhibited strong Gal-1 expression (21 of 21, 100%), regardless of the specific *MLL* translocation and associated fusion

partner (Table 1; Fig. 1C). In marked contrast, only 1 of 39 cases without a cytogenetically detectable *MLL* translocation expressed Gal-1 (2.6%; P < 0.001, Fisher exact test; Table 1).

Because intracellular flow cytometry is routinely used in the diagnostic evaluation of B-ALL, we next evaluated the utility of this approach for Gal-1 detection in B-ALL cell lines and primary B-ALLs with known *MLL* status. In fixed permeabilized cells, Gal-1 protein expression was high in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL lines and primary tumors (Fig. 2A and B, left) and low/undetectable in *MLL*-germline B-ALL lines and primary tumors (Fig. 2A and B, right).

Mechanism of Gal-1 overexpression in MLL B-ALLs

We have previously shown that Gal-1 overexpression in classical Hodgkin lymphoma is mediated, in large part, by an AP-1–dependent enhancer (22). The AP-1–dependent Gal-1 enhancer did not increase the expression of a luciferase reporter in representative *MLL*-rearranged cell lines, suggesting an alternative mechanism of Gal-1 overexpression (data not shown). Because the Gal-1 promoter contains putative HOXA9 binding sites (data not shown), we next evaluated the consequences of HOXA9 overexpression on Gal-1 in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs. In two *MLL*-rearranged cell lines, shRNA-mediated depletion of HOXA9 did not decrease Gal-1 abundance, indicating that HOXA9 is not a major transcriptional activator of Gal-1 in *MLL*-rearranged ALL (data not shown).

Ectopic histone H3 lysine 79 (H3K79) dimethylation, a distinguishing feature of murine and human MLL B-ALLs, is related to the altered histone methyltransferase activity of MLL fusion protein complex (12). For this reason, we next analyzed H3K79 dimethylation in the *LGALS1* promoter region using chromatin immunoprecipitation. *LGALS1* promoter H3K79 dimethylation was ~5-fold higher in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL cell lines (RS4;11 and SEM-K2) than in a *MLL*-germline B-ALL line (NALM-6; Fig. 3A). To determine whether similar abnormalities were found in primary *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs, we next analyzed the H3K79 dimethylation of the *LGALS1* locus in primary *MLL*-rearranged and germline B-ALLs and normal Lin⁻ CD34⁺ CD19⁺ cells. Cumulative *LGALS1* promoter region (-7.5 to + 2.5 kb) H3K79 dimethylation was significantly higher in primary *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs than in *MLL*-germline BALLs and normal Lin⁻ CD34⁺ CD19⁺ cells and normal Lin⁻ CD34⁺ CD19⁺ cells and normal Lin⁻ CD34⁺ CD19⁺ cell samples (Fig. 3B and C), suggesting that this epigenetic modification plays a role in the selective overexpression of Gal-1 in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs.

Discussion

Herein, we show that Gal-1 expression is a highly sensitive and specific marker of *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL in infant/pediatric and adult patients. Furthermore, Gal-1 expression can be evaluated in diagnostic clinical samples by immunohistochemistry of fixed, paraffin-embedded bone marrow biopsies or smears or by flow cytometry of permeabilized tumor cell suspensions. Gal-1 expression in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL is likely driven by MLL-mediated chromatin modification.

Patients with *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs have a particularly unfavorable prognosis, compared with patients with other types of B-ALL, and require intensified treatment (1,8,9). Therefore, it is important to identify *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs at the earliest possible time point. To date, over 20 *MLL* fusion partners in B-ALL have been identified, making diagnostic evaluation of *MLL* rearrangements and follow-up monitoring a challenging and difficult task (6). Although several markers of *MLL* rearrangement have been proposed (7,29–31), their specificity remains poor (7).

Several studies have shown that unique transcriptional profiles are characteristic of B-ALLs with distinct cytogenetic abnormalities (27,28). The results suggest candidate tumor markers

Gal-1 expression is a highly sensitive, specific, and reproducible marker of *MLL* rearrangement, regardless of the fusion partner gene involved in the translocation. Fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsy specimens or routine bone marrow smears of B-ALL may be interrogated by rapid, standard immunohistochemical techniques to assess *MLL* translocation status. Furthermore, Gal-1 may be detected in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs by intracellular flow immunophenotyping at the time of diagnosis. Of note, the same high sensitivity and specificity of Gal-1 expression was observed in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs from adult and infant/pediatric patients. Finally, our results raise the possibility of monitoring minimal residual disease in patients with *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL (32,33) by the detection of malignant Gal-1–positive B-lymphoblasts by flow cytometry, although additional studies are needed to verify this hypothesis.

In contrast to our previous studies in classical Hodgkin lymphoma and anaplastic large-cell lymphomas (22,23), Gal-1 expression in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs was independent of constitutive AP-1 signaling. Instead, Gal-1 expression in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs is likely due to the aberrant H3K79 dimethylation of the *LGALS1* promoter. The ectopic histone H3K79 dimethylation is a consequence of the MLL fusion protein complex–mediated activity and specifically correlates with unique gene expression signature in *MLL*-rearranged leukemias (12). The *LGALS1* promoter had the same pattern of H3K79 diMe mark distribution as additional known MLL targets such as HOXA9. This epigenetic modification was significantly more abundant in *MLL*-rearranged primary B-ALLs than in *MLL*-germline B-ALLs or normal Lin⁻ CD34⁺ CD19⁺ cells (12). Given that Gal-1 is not a transcriptional target of HOXA9, these observations suggest Gal-1 may be a direct target of the MLL fusion protein complex.

Although the mechanisms of Gal-1 overexpression differ in specific hematologic malignancies, this carbohydrate-binding protein plays a general role in limiting host anti-tumor immune responses (16,17). In several tumor models, Gal-1 expression is associated with inefficient, Th2-skewed immune responses (16–18,21,22,34). In one of the most extensively evaluated models, Gal-1 blockade resulted in tumor rejection that required intact CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses (17). Gal-1 may also promote the generation of tolerogenic dendritic cells that dampen tumor-specific T-cell–mediated immunity (19). Because spontaneous cytotoxic T-cell responses against leukemic cells are elicited in ALL and are used in experimental ALL immunotherapies (35–37), Gal-1 blockade may augment host antileukemia immune responses in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs.

Recent studies highlight the pathogenetic role of dynamic and bilateral interactions of leukemic blasts with bone marrow microenvironment (38–40). Specifically, leukemic cells modulate the architecture of the bone marrow microvasculature and rely upon protective, adhesion-mediated, and soluble signals from bone marrow stromal and endothelial cells. Given the additional roles of Gal-1 in the modulation of angiogenesis, adhesion, and cellular motility in tumor models (41–43), this protein may have additional pleiotropic effects in the pathogenesis of *MLL*-rearranged leukemias. More broadly, the identification of Gal-1 expression in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs represents a knowledge-based approach to biomarker discovery with both diagnostic and potential therapeutic implications.

Translational Relevance

Patients with mixed lineage leukemia (*MLL*)–rearranged B-lymphoblastic leukemias (B-ALL) have an unfavorable prognosis and require intensified treatment. Multiple *MLL* fusion partners have been identified, complicating the diagnostic evaluation of *MLL*

rearrangements and highlighting the need for a robust and rapidly detectable biomarker of MLL B-ALL. Herein, we show that *MLL*-rearranged B-ALLs selectively express the immunoregulatory protein, Galectin-1 (Gal-1), regardless of the specific *MLL* translocation and associated fusion partner. Gal-1 can be evaluated in diagnostic ALL samples using established techniques including intracellular flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry. The analysis of Gal-1 expression may accelerate the diagnosis, and guide therapy and clinical trial enrollment of patients with *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL. Furthermore, it may be possible to monitor minimal residual disease in patients with *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL by assessing malignant Gal-1–positive B-lymphoblasts by flow cytometry. Finally, because Gal-1 inhibits host anti-tumor immune responses and modulates tumor angiogenesis and adhesion, Gal-1 may also represent a rational therapeutic target.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Polish Pediatric Leukemia/Lymphoma Study Group for providing B-ALL cell samples, Drs. R. Marschalek and C. Meyer (Diagnostic Center of Acute Leukemia, Frankfurt/Main, Germany) for their assistance in the analysis of *MLL* partner genes, and Prof. Jozef Kobos and Dr. Elzbieta Los from the Medical University of Lodz for assistance in immunohistochemical evaluation.

References

- Pui CH, Robison LL, Look AT. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Lancet 2008;371:1030–43. [PubMed: 18358930]
- Teitell MA, Pandolfi PP. Molecular genetics of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Annu Rev Pathol 2009;4:175–98. [PubMed: 18783329]
- 3. Meijerink JP, den Boer ML, Pieters R. New genetic abnormalities and treatment response in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Semin Hematol 2009;46:16–23. [PubMed: 19100364]
- 4. Harper DP, Aplan PD. Chromosomal rearrangements leading to MLL gene fusions: clinical and biological aspects. Cancer Res 2008;68:10024–7. [PubMed: 19074864]
- Krivtsov AV, Armstrong SA. MLL translocations, histone modifications and leukaemia stem-cell development. Nat Rev Cancer 2007;7:823–33. [PubMed: 17957188]
- 6. Meyer C, Kowarz E, Hofmann J, et al. New insights to the MLL recombinome of acute leukemias. Leukemia 2009;23:1490–9. [PubMed: 19262598]
- Burmeister T, Meyer C, Schwartz S, et al. The MLL recombinione of adult CD10-negative B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia—results from the GMALL study group. Blood 2009;113:4011–5. [PubMed: 19144982]
- Pieters R, Schrappe M, De Lorenzo P, et al. A treatment protocol for infants younger than 1 year with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (Interfant-99): an observational study and a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet 2007;370:240–50. [PubMed: 17658395]
- 9. van der Linden MH, Valsecchi MG, De Lorenzo P, et al. Outcome of congenital acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated on the Interfant-99 protocol. Blood 2009;114:3764–8. [PubMed: 19657114]
- Pullarkat V, Slovak ML, Kopecky KJ, Forman SJ, Appelbaum FR. Impact of cytogenetics on the outcome of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of Southwest Oncology Group 9400 study. Blood 2008;111:2563–72. [PubMed: 18156492]
- Hilden JM, Dinndorf PA, Meerbaum SO, et al. Analysis of prognostic factors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in infants: report on CCG 1953 from the Children's Oncology Group. Blood 2006;108:441– 51. [PubMed: 16556894]
- Krivtsov AV, Feng Z, Lemieux ME, et al. H3K79 methylation profiles define murine and human MLL-AF4 leukemias. Cancer Cell 2008;14:355–68. [PubMed: 18977325]

- Okada Y, Feng Q, Lin Y, et al. hDOT1L links histone methylation to leukemogenesis. Cell 2005;121:167–78. [PubMed: 15851025]
- Tomizawa D, Koh K, Sato T, et al. Outcome of risk-based therapy for infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia with or without an MLL gene rearrangement, with emphasis on late effects: a final report of two consecutive studies, MLL96 and MLL98, of the Japan Infant Leukemia Study Group. Leukemia 2007;21:2258–63. [PubMed: 17690691]
- van Kooyk Y, Rabinovich GA. Proteinglycan interactions in the control of innate and adaptive immune responses. Nat Immunol 2008;9:593–601. [PubMed: 18490910]
- Liu FT, Rabinovich GA. Galectins as modulators of tumour progression. Nat Rev Cancer 2005;5:29– 41. [PubMed: 15630413]
- Rubinstein N, Alvarez M, Zwirner NW, et al. Targeted inhibition of galectin-1 gene expression in tumor cells results in heightened T cell-mediated rejection; a potential mechanism of tumor-immune privilege. Cancer Cell 2004;5:241–51. [PubMed: 15050916]
- Toscano MA, Bianco GA, Ilarregui JM, et al. Differential glycosylation of TH1, TH2 and TH-17 effector cells selectively regulates susceptibility to cell death. Nat Immunol 2007;8:825–34. [PubMed: 17589510]
- Ilarregui JM, Croci DO, Bianco GA, et al. Tolerogenic signals delivered by dendritic cells to T cells through a galectin-1-driven immunoregulatory circuit involving interleukin 27 and interleukin 10. Nat Immunol 2009;10:981–91. [PubMed: 19668220]
- 20. Garin MI, Chu CC, Golshayan D, Cernuda-Morollon E, Wait R, Lechler RI. Galectin-1: a key effector of regulation mediated by CD4+CD25+ T cells. Blood 2006;109:2058–65. [PubMed: 17110462]
- 21. Le QT, Shi G, Cao H, et al. Galectin-1: a link between tumor hypoxia and tumor immune privilege. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8932–41. [PubMed: 16219933]
- 22. Juszczynski P, Ouyang J, Monti S, et al. The AP1-dependent secretion of galectin-1 by Reed Sternberg cells fosters immune privilege in classial Hodgkin lymphoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:13134–9. [PubMed: 17670934]
- 23. Rodig SJ, Ouyang J, Juszczynski P, et al. AP1-dependent galectin-1 expression delineates classical hodgkin and anaplastic large cell lymphomas from other lymphoid malignancies with shared molecular features. Clin Cancer Res 2008;14:3338–44. [PubMed: 18519761]
- 24. Jaffe, ES.; Harris, NL.; Stein, H.; Vardiman, JW. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. 3. IARC; 2001. p. 111-4.
- 25. Meyer C, Schneider B, Reichel M, et al. Diagnostic tool for the identification of MLL rearrangements including unknown partner genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:449–54. [PubMed: 15626757]
- 26. Johnson WE, Li W, Meyer CA, et al. Model-based analysis of tiling-arrays for ChIP-chip. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:12457–62. [PubMed: 16895995]
- Armstrong SA, Staunton JE, Silverman LB, et al. MLL translocations specify a distinct gene expression profile that distinguishes a unique leukemia. Nat Genet 2002;30:41–7. [PubMed: 11731795]
- Yeoh EJ, Ross ME, Shurtleff SA, et al. Classification, subtype discovery, and prediction of outcome in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia by gene expression profiling. Cancer Cell 2002;1:133–43. [PubMed: 12086872]
- Hilden JM, Smith FO, Frestedt JL, et al. MLL gene rearrangement, cytogenetic 11q23 abnormalities, and expression of the NG2 molecule in infant acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 1997;89:3801–5. [PubMed: 9160687]
- 30. Behm FG, Smith FO, Raimondi SC, Pui CH, Bernstein ID. Human homologue of the rat chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, NG2, detected by monoclonal antibody 7.1, identifies childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemias with t(4;11)(q21;q23) or t(11;19)(q23;p13) and MLL gene rearrangements. Blood 1996;87:1134–9. [PubMed: 8562939]
- Pui CH, Frankel LS, Carroll AJ, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment outcome of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the t(4;11)(q21;q23): a collaborative study of 40 cases. Blood 1991;77:440–7. [PubMed: 1991161]
- Van der Velden VH, Corral L, Valsecchi MG, et al. Prognostic significance of minimal residual disease in infants with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated within the Interfant-99 protocol. Leukemia 2009;23:1073–9. [PubMed: 19212338]

- 33. Szczepanski T. Why and how to quantify minimal residual disease in acute lymphoblastic leukemia? Leukemia 2007;21:622–6. [PubMed: 17301806]
- Salatino M, Croci DO, Bianco GA, Ilarregui JM, Toscano MA, Rabinovich GA. Galectin-1 as a potential therapeutic target in auto-immune disorders and cancer. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2008;8:45– 57. [PubMed: 18081536]
- Yotnda P, Garcia F, Peuchmaur M, et al. Cytotoxic T cell response against the chimeric ETV6-1 protein in childhood acute lympho blastic leukemia. J Clin Invest 1998;102:455–62. [PubMed: 9664088]
- 36. Fujii H, Trudeau JD, Teachey DT, et al. *In vivo* control of acute lymphoblastic leukemia by immunostimulatory CpG oligonucleotides. Blood 2007;109:2008–13. [PubMed: 17068155]
- Nijmeijer BA, Willemze R, Falkenburg JH. An animal model for human cellular immunotherapy: specific eradication of human acute lymphoblastic leukemia by cytotoxic T lymphocytes in NOD/ scid mice. Blood 2002;100:654–60. [PubMed: 12091361]
- Costa LF, Balcells M, Edelman ER, Nadler LM, Cardoso AA. Proangiogenic stimulation of bone marrow endothelium engages mTOR and is inhibited by simultaneous blockade of mTOR and NFκB. Blood 2006;107:285–92. [PubMed: 16141350]
- Veiga JP, Costa LF, Sallan SE, Nadler LM, Cardoso AA. Leukemia-stimulated bone marrow endothelium promotes leukemia cell survival. Exp Hematol 2006;34:610–21. [PubMed: 16647567]
- 40. Fragoso R, Pereira T, Wu Y, Zhu Z, Cabecadas J, Dias S. VEGFR-1 (FLT-1) activation modulates acute lymphoblastic leukemia localization and survival within the bone marrow, determining the onset of extramedullary disease. Blood 2006;107:1608–16. [PubMed: 16249383]
- 41. van den Brule F, Califice S, Garnier F, Fernandez PL, Berchuck A, Castronovo V. Galectin-1 accumulation in the ovary carcinoma peritumoral stroma is induced by ovary carcinoma cells and affects both cancer cell proliferation and adhesion to laminin-1 and fibronectin. Lab Invest 2003;83:377–86. [PubMed: 12649338]
- 42. Thijssen VL, Postel R, Brandwijk RJ, et al. Galectin-1 is essential in tumor angiogenesis and is a target for antiangiogenesis therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:15975–80. [PubMed: 17043243]
- 43. Hsieh SH, Ying NW, Wu MH, et al. Galectin-1, a novel ligand of neuropilin-1, activates VEGFR-2 signaling and modulates the migration of vascular endothelial cells. Oncogene 2008;27:3746–53. [PubMed: 18223683]

Juszczynski et al.



Fig. 1.

Gal-1 is overexpressed in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL cell lines and primary tumors. A, Gal-1 protein expression in B-ALL cell lines (left) and primary tumors (right) with or without *MLL*-rearrangements [MLL-r, MLL-g (*MLL*-germline), respectively]. B and C, immunohistochemical analyses of Gal-1 in representative primary B-ALLs with known *MLL* status from two independent series. B, series 1 bone marrow biopsies were analyzed; C, series 2 bone marrow aspirates were assessed. B and C, representative primary B-ALLs with specific *MLL* translocations t(4;11), t(11;19), t(9;11), or t(10;11) or germline *MLL* are shown.

Juszczynski et al.



Fig. 2.

Gal-1 is detected by intracellular flow cytometry in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL cell lines and primary tumors. Intracellular flow cytometry was performed on B-ALL cell lines (A) and viable primary tumor specimens from four B-ALL patients with known *MLL* translocation status (B). B, mean fluorescence intensity for control and anti–Gal-1 immunostaining was as follows: P1, 21.5 versus 108; P2, 24.2 versus 117; P3, 20.3 versus 35.5; and P4, 26.6 versus 63.3.

Juszczynski et al.





Fig. 3.

LGALS1 promoter exhibits enrichment of H3K79 dimethylation in *MLL*-rearranged B-ALL. A, *LGALS1* H3K79diMe in B-ALL cell lines with known *MLL* status. B, H3K79diMe ChiPchip analysis of primary *MLL*-rearranged and *MLL*-germline B-ALLs and normal CD34/ CD19⁺ cells. C, quantitative analysis of Gal-1 promoter H3K79 dimethylation in normal pre-B cells, *MLL*-germline (MLL-g), and *MLL*-rearranged (MLL-r) ALLs.

Table 1

Gal-1 expression and *MLL* status in primary B-ALLs

Karyotypic abnormality	No. of Gal-1-positive cases	No. of total cases	% positive for Gal-1
Series 1*			
MLL-rearranged			
t(4;11)(q21;q23)	7	7	100
t(11;19)(q23;p13)	4	4	100
Total	11	11	100
MLL-germline			
46 XX or XY	1	8	13
t(9;22)/Ph+	0	16	0
Hyperdiploid	0	2	0
Hypodiploid	0	2	0
Simple abnormal	0	4	0
Complex abnormal	0	8	0
Total	1	40	3
Series $2^{\dagger \ddagger}$			
MLL-rearranged			
t(4;11)(q21;q23)	14	14	100
t(11;19)(q23;p13)	2	2	100
t(9;11)	3	3	100
t(10;11)	1	1	100
t(11;?)	1	1	100
Total	21	21	100
MLL-germline			
46 XX or XY	1	24	4
t(9;22)/Ph+	0	4	0
t(1;19)	0	1	0
Hyperdiploid	0	6	0
Hypodiploid	0	2	0
Complex abnormal	0	2	0
Total	1	39	3

*In series 1, the median age at onset was 52 y (range, 18–73) for MLL-rearranged cases and 52.5 y (range, 24–84) for MLL-germline cases.

 † In series 2, the median age at onset was 0.4 y (range, 0–12.1) for *MLL*-rearranged cases and 5.75 y (range, 0.8–17.2) for *MLL*-germline cases.

 ‡ In series 2, *MLL* fusion partner genes were confirmed by sequencing. Partner genes for the respective translocations included: t(4;11), *MLL-AFF1*; t(1;19), *MLL-MLLT1*; t(9;11), *MLL-MLLT3*; and t(10;11), *MLL-MLLT1*0.