Instructions for Book Chapter Proofs

Please read your proof carefully, our staff won't read it in detail after you have returned it. Final responsibility for content lies with the author.

- Corrections should be marked on the **PDF proof using electronic notes**. Please do **not** send a revised Word file as we will not be able to use it.
- **Correct only errors**. Rewriting sections or making minor textual changes is not allowed at this stage.
- Please clearly respond to all the **author queries** listed on the following page. Put your responses **in the text** and not on the query page. Click on the query number to jump to the relevant place in the text.
- If **Figures** need correcting, please resupply a new file at the highest possible resolution.
- **Non-native English speakers**: we recommend asking a native English speaking colleague to proof read all content before sending the final corrections.

Please check:

- **Special characters** (e.g. Greek letters/dashes/superscripts) appear correctly. This is especially important in equations.
- **Figures** are correct (they will be printed in black and white as they appear in the proof).
- **Headings and sub-headings** are correctly placed.
- **Copyright acknowledgements** for images reproduced from another source are present and correct, and you have sent copies of the permission to the RSC.

Please note:

- This is a **web quality pdf**, a higher resolution file will be used for the print book.
- Corrections **must not affect the pagination**.

Please **e-mail an annotated PDF (electronic notes)** within **ONE WEEK** of receipt of your proof to: rafal.lukasik@lneg.pt

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us at: booksprod@rsc.org

Books Production Team

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

Book Title: High Pressure Technologies in Biomass Conversion
Chapter 6

Please indicate the changes required in response to the queries within the text. If no changes are needed please clearly state this next to the query marker.

AQ1 “Saeman” is not cited as an author of ref. 15. Please indicate any changes that are required here.

TS1 We have shortened the running head to accommodate it within the text area. Please confirm if this is okay.

CHAPTER 6

Processing of Lignocellulosic Biomass Derived Monomers with High-pressure CO₂ and CO₂-H₂O Mixtures

GIANLUCA GALLINA,^a PIERDOMENICO BIASI,^b
CRISTIAN M. PIQUERAS^c AND JUAN GARCÍA-SERNA^{*a}

^a Department of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Technology, High Pressure Processes Group, University of Valladolid, Valladolid ES-47011, Spain; ^b Process Chemistry Centre, Laboratory of Industrial Chemistry and Reaction Engineering, Åbo Akademi, Biskopsgatan 8, Turku/Åbo FI-20500, Finland; ^c Planta Piloto de Ingeniería Química, PLAPIQUI-Universidad Nacional del Sur-CONICET, Camino La Carrindanga km 7-CC 717, (8000) Bahía Blanca, Argentina
*Email: jgserna@iq.uva.es

6.1 Introduction

The biorefinery concept is analogous to the concept of an oil refinery and assumes the production of fuel, chemicals and energy from different types of biomass. The choice of biomass type to treat can be influenced by economic, environmental or geographic factors; there is also a direct dependence between the raw material, the technology used for its conversion into a usable output and the range of products that can be obtained. Lignocellulosic biomass is considered the most promising feedstock for the production

Figure 6.1 Lignocellulosic biorefinery concept.

of bio-fuels and chemicals. Lignocellulosic materials belong to second-generation feedstocks, and can be obtained from various sources, such as wood residues, agricultural or municipal waste, not interfering with direct crops for human consumption. They are composed mainly of lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose, associated in a resistant structure, whose breakup requires a considerable amount of energy; however, thanks to their differentiated composition, allow to obtain multiple products, like high value chemicals and low value but high volume fuels.¹

Figure 6.1 shows an outline of the concept of a lignocellulosic biorefinery. Lignocellulosic feed can be pre-treated and fractionated into cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Lignin phenolics can be used to produce materials like plastics or adhesives, glucose from cellulose can be converted to fuels or chemicals, while other chemicals, fuels, polymers and materials can be obtained from hemicellulose. Furthermore waste cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin can be used for cogeneration.²

Hydrothermal pre-treatments, as well as facilitate the enzymatic attack by reducing the recalcitrance of the biomass,³ result in the production of different compounds, through the extraction and hydrolysis of the lignocellulosic biopolymers.

The monomeric sugars constituting cellulose and hemicellulose, under particular conditions of temperature, acidity or residence time, can originate furfural, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), glycolaldehyde, acetic acid, pyruvaldehyde, lactic acid and other products resulting from their dehydration or through aldolic reactions.^{4,5}

Although many of them are often considered undesirable by-products, as their presence inhibit a further enzymatic treatment,⁶ often their commercial value exceeds that of sugars, or alcohols produced from their fermentation. For this reason, in some cases it is more correct to define these compounds as added-value products, rather than by-products. Among these chemicals, furfural, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural and lactic acid are of great economic interest: furfural can be the starting material for polymers such as nylon-6 and nylon-6,6; 5-HMF has the potential to replace terephthalic acid;⁷⁻⁹ lactic acid can be used as a food preservative, flavouring agent

and is also employed in pharmaceutical technology to produce water-soluble lactates. 1

A list of the top value added compounds from biomass is widely discussed in two volumes from NRE Laboratories,^{10,11} identifying the products deriving from biomass, and the processes that would economically and technically support the production of fuels, power and chemicals in an integrated biorefinery. After a careful screening, 30 foundation chemicals were selected, which may be competitive with compounds deriving from the petrochemical industry. Some of these products, such as furfural and levulinic acid, can be directly produced *via* hydrothermal hydrolysis of biomasses, without the need for further processing. Other compounds such as formic acid, 5-HMF, acetic acid, glycolaldehyde, glyceraldehyde, pyruvaldehyde, lactic acid can be produced *via* saccharification and subsequent hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose, using only water or mixtures of water and CO₂ as the only reagents, without the addition of any type of additives that presuppose a treatment and a detoxification of liquid effluents.^{12,13} 5 10 15

In this chapter, different hydrothermal pre-treatments using subcritical and supercritical H₂O, and a CO₂-H₂O mixture will be analyzed, describing their incidence in the dehydration of monomers towards value added compounds and their further transformations. The advantages and effectiveness of using supercritical CO₂ to separate compounds as furfural and acetic acid from aqueous effluents will be explained. 20

Moreover different types of reactors and setup will be illustrated, describing their characteristics and their effectiveness in the hydrolysis of biopolymers and real biomasses for the production of different lignocellulosic based compounds. 25

6.2 Cellulose and Hemicellulose Hydrolysis 30

Cellulose and hemicellulose, which together with lignin are the main components of lignocellulosic biomasses, are biopolymers with different structure, and require different operating conditions to be extracted. 30

While hemicellulose is a branched polymer consisting in short chains of about 500 to 3000 units of different monosaccharides, cellulose presents a crystalline structure, with linear molecules composed by 7000 to 15 000 units of glucose.¹⁴ 35

6.2.1 The Phenomena at a Glance 40

This type of reaction has a rich number of chemical engineering steps. Thus, at a molecular level you will find the bonding of the sugar monomers and aromatic monomers forming the hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin, together with the extracts, starch, essential oils, *etc.* The real reaction takes place there. At the next level, we find the polymers of several molecular weights that can be counted using population balances. Most of the polymers will be solid, crystalline or amorphous, and the oligomers will be 45

soluble or non-soluble depending on the number of monomers that they have got, the acetyl groups, *etc.* Next, we find the particle level, the particles will be porous, with different shapes and sizes. They will create back-mixing due to hydrodynamics at millimetre scale. Finally, we find the reactor level, where the particles distribute in slurry or in a fixed bed, there is heat transfer to the wall, *etc.* All these levels should be considered to determine the kinetics of the reactions and to model and scale up the process.

In hot water media, the fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass takes place in solid phase, where cellulose and hemicellulose start to break into oligomers, with a decrease of their molecular weight. When a certain molecular weight is reached, they became water-soluble and the hydrolysis proceeds both in liquid and in solid phase.^{15,16} Depending on the temperature and on the residence time, the oligomers extracted undergo a fractionation process: they are depolymerized into monomers, which are subsequently decomposed in a broad range of products.

Due to the differences in structure, whereas temperatures between 140 and 190 °C are sufficient for the extraction of hemicellulose, the depolymerization of cellulose requires temperatures above 230 °C.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

6.2.2 Simple Mathematical Models to Describe Hydrolysis

There are mainly two models to study the depolymerization of the polymers: the first model considers a direct hydrolysis of cellulose or hemicellulose to the respective monomers, the second model considers the rupture of the polymers to intermediate oligomers, which are subsequently hydrolyzed to monomers;²⁰ since, in general, it is difficult to experimentally quantify the production of oligomers, the first model is the most extended. It assumes that the kinetics of decomposition of cellulose and hemicellulose can be summarized by two second order reactions, where k_1 represent the dissociation constant of polymers to monomers, and k_2 the reaction rate from monomers to dehydrated products.^{21,22} This model, proposed by Saeman¹⁵ for cellulose can be extended also to hemicellulose depolymerization, and does not consider any intermediate oligomer.

The concentration of monomers can be calculated analytically by integrating the two differential equations with initial value²³

$$M = M_0 e^{-k_2 t} + P_0 \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} (e^{-k_1 t} - e^{-k_2 t}),$$

where M indicates the concentration of monomers, P the concentration of polymers, t the reaction time and the index 0 the initial values. Kinetic constants can be related to the operation temperature by Arrhenius equation:²³ $k_i = k_{i0} e^{-\frac{Ea}{RT}}$, where Ea is the activation energy, R is the gas constant, T the temperature and i the kinetic coefficient (1 or 2). Typical values of k_1 and k_2 constants are represented in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 K_1 and K_2 constants at different temperatures and pressure of CO_2 .

T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	K_1 (min^{-1})	K_2 (min^{-1})	p_{CO_2} (bar)	Ref.
220	0.076	0.044	0	65
235	0.116	0.052	0	65
180	0.093	0.041	0	66
180	0.127	0.064	20	66
180	0.109	0.065	35	66
180	0.073	0.068	50	66

Scheme 6.1 Example of a dehydration reaction for alcohols.

Kinetic studies carried out so far are aimed at maximising the yield of monomers, avoiding their degradation products, there are ~~not therefore many~~ studies that investigate deeply the formation of single degradation compounds from raw biomass;²⁴ there is however a ~~greater~~ number of studies dealing with the formation of degradation products from pure monosaccharides.^{25–27}

6.2.3 The Main Reactions of the Monomers in Water: Tautomerization, Dehydration and Aldol Reactions

The main reactions that involve the monomers of cellulose and hemicellulose in hot pressurized water are: tautomerizations, dehydrations and aldol reactions.⁹

The dehydration reaction is a chemical reaction that involves the loss of a water molecule from the reacting molecule. The hydroxyl group ($-\text{OH}$) is a leaving group with **poor efficacy**, a Brønsted acid often act as a catalyst, helping to protonate the hydroxyl group, producing the leaving group, $-\text{OH}_2^+$. An example of dehydration is shown in Scheme 6.1.

Tautomerization is the chemical reaction occurring when two constitutional isomers of organic compounds readily interconvert with each other. Isomers are substances with the same molecular mass and the same composition percentage of atoms, but different physical properties and often also different chemical behaviour. Many isomers have the same (or very similar) bond energy, so they easily interconvert. An example of tautomerization is shown in Scheme 6.2.

The aldol reaction is a reaction in which two molecules of an aldehyde or of a ketone, which have at least one hydrogen atom in α position to the carbonyl group ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), combine with each other to form a β -hydroxyaldehyde or a β -hydroxyketone. Furthermore, the product, commonly called an aldol, being very unstable, can be dehydrated and converted to the corresponding

GIANLUCA EXPLAIN
THIS "POOR EFFICACY"

GIANLUCA THE CARBONS DO NOT MATCH IN THIS TAUT...

Scheme 6.2 Example of a tautomerization reaction.

Scheme 6.3 Example of an aldol reaction.

unsaturated conjugate compound. Scheme 6.3 shows an example of aldol reaction.

The hydrolysis of hemicellulose in water begins at temperatures around 100 °C, the two principal reactions are represented in Scheme 6.4 for xylans:²⁸

- Acetyl groups bonded to oligomers are cleaved and reduced to acetic acid, catalyzing the autohydrolysis of the oligomers;
- The oligomer chain is hydrolyzed to obtain monomeric sugars, which are dehydrated to furfural at harsher conditions.

As stated above, for temperatures above 100 °C, hydrolysis of hemicellulose begins and can be more or less intense, depending on the composition of the treated biomass. Xylans from hardwood contain greater amounts of acetyl groups respect to softwoods and herbaceous plants. Approximately 60% of the units of xylose, carry an acetyl group attached to position C-2 or C-3.²⁹ For this reason, auto-hydrolytic capacity in hemicellulose from hardwood is much more intense compared to that of other species. The dehydration of xylose to furfural begins around 160 °C, and gradually becomes more pronounced as the temperature of the water increase.³⁰ Kinetic studies show that the xylose, before the dehydration to furfural, passes through an isomerization process, forming xylulose.^{31,32}

Scheme 6.4 Hydrolysis of xylans and dehydration to furfural. **Scheme 6.5** Hydrolysis of glucose oligomers, formation and decomposition of 5-HMF.

As mentioned previously, cellulose structure presents a crystalline domain; strong intra-chain hydrogen bonds result in a stable and linear configuration of the fibrils²⁰ that require high temperatures for the depolymerization to glucose units. Once formed, among the glucose molecules, reactions of isomerization and dehydration take place at temperatures above 200 °C.³³

Glucose undergoes isomerization to fructose, the reverse reaction (fructose to glucose) is almost inhibited. Fructose dehydration leads to the formation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural and the HMF formation is directly proportional to the temperature rise of the medium until about 350 °C. 5-HMF can follow principally two pathways: it can be decomposed into furfural and formaldehyde, or can be hydrated to form levulinic acid and formic acid. Reaction pathways are proposed in Scheme 6.5.

REFERENCIA

In addition to the reactions listed above, the glucose molecule is also subject to aldol reactions, producing one molecule of two carbons and one molecule of four carbons; fructose produces two molecules of three carbons (like glyceraldehyde).³⁴

Glucose, through an aldol reaction, can lead to the production of erythrose and glycolaldehyde. Fructose, through a first aldol reaction can produce glyceraldehyde and its isomer dihydroxyacetone. Another aldol reaction and subsequent dehydration can convert the glyceraldehyde into pyruvaldehyde, which can be further converted into lactic acid, with the loss of another water molecule. Dehydration as well as isomerization reactions are favoured in acidic media,³⁴ while aldol reactions are promoted in neutral media.³⁵ Principal aldol reactions involving glucose and fructose monomers are represented in Scheme 6.6.

Also xylose can participate in aldol reactions inside a hydrothermal medium, producing a molecule with three atoms of carbon and a molecule with two atoms of carbon (Scheme 6.7). Glyceraldehyde, consequently,

Scheme 6.6 Aldol reactions involving glucose and fructose.

Scheme 6.7 Aldol reactions involving xylose.

Scheme 6.8 Reaction pathway for hydrolysis of xylose and glucose oligomers.

through another aldolic reaction can lead to the production of glycolaldehyde and formaldehyde, or can be isomerized into dihydroxyacetone.

Scheme 6.8 shows schematically a simplified pathway for compounds resulting from the depolymerization of cellulose and hemicellulose oligomers and decomposition of the monomers (xylose oligomers were chosen as representatives of hemicellulose oligomers).^{5,13,26,32,36–38}

6.3 Reaction Medium and Operational Conditions

As mentioned, there are not many studies with the main objective to carry out pre-treatments for lignocellulosic biomass to maximize the conversion of carbohydrate to form dehydration products, since often the main purpose is to avoid the so-called “degradation”; however, there are conditions that promote the formation of these chemicals.

What are the main factors influencing the reactions of depolymerization and hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass in aqueous media? 1

- Temperature 5
- Residence/reaction time of the compounds inside the reaction medium
- pH at which the reaction takes place (*i.e.* proton concentration)

The different properties that the pressurized water may assume varying these conditions, can be used to control the selectivity of the reactions, in other words, to tune the reaction. According to the idea of thermohydrolysis reactions performed using pure water, without addition of mineral acids or other chemical additives that presuppose a subsequent disposal or neutralization of the sludge, two kind of aqueous media can be considered: subcritical water (high polarity, medium temperature) and supercritical water (low polarity, high to very high temperature). By choosing the appropriate temperature and pressure it is therefore possible to obtain a solvent with distinct and peculiar characteristics, which can promote some reactions than other. The addition of carbon dioxide to the aqueous medium allows more possibilities to influence the kinetics of the reactions, by increasing the conversion of oligosaccharides and modifying the selectivity towards certain products. 10 15 20

In this section we will study the aqueous reaction media mentioned above; we will see which conditions to adopt, in order to achieve high selectivity towards value-added products from lignocellulosic biomasses. 25

6.3.1 Subcritical Water and Carbonated Subcritical Water

It was verified that the addition of CO₂ in hot pressurized water enhances the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials^{39,40} due to the decrease in pH, by the formation and the dissociation of carbonic acid in the aqueous mixture.²² When formation of carbonic acid occurs, an increase in hydronium ion concentration is observed due to the dissociation of the unstable acid, thus promoting acid-catalyzed dissolution of the biomass⁴¹ and leading to reduce the temperature and the reaction time of the reactions. 30

By controlling the amount of CO₂ dissolved in water, which is dependent on the temperature and the pressure of the mixture, the pH of the solution mixture can be controlled. Over the temperature range 25 to 70 °C and pressure range 70 to 200 bar, pH may decrease to values down to 2.8–~~or~~ 2.95.⁴² 35

Figure 6.2 shows the variation of solubility of CO₂ in water at different temperatures and pressure according to experimental data.⁴³ 40

Unlike the pre-treatments with acids, the addition of CO₂ does not require a subsequent neutralization processes, because the CO₂ removal can be accomplished by the reduction in pressure and CO₂ desorption. 45

In an aqueous pre-treatment, the combination of temperature and reaction time define the severity of the reaction,⁴⁴ according to the experimental

Figure 6.2 Solubility of CO₂ in water at different temperatures and pressures. Data taken for ref. 43.

equation⁴⁵ $R_0 = t * \exp[(T - 100)]/14.75]$, where t is the reaction time (min), and T is the temperature (°C) (Figure 6.3).

If carbon dioxide is added to water, the pH variation changes the severity of the reaction; it is therefore necessary to modify the factor taking into account of this influence. Van Walsum *et al.* defined a combined severity factor for binary systems with water and CO₂ in the temperature range of 100 – 250 °C and partial pressure of CO₂ up to 151.9 bar, suggesting the equation:⁴⁶ $CS_{P_{CO_2}} = \log(R_0) - 8.00 * 10^{-6} * T^2 + 0.00209 * T - 0.216 * \ln(p_{CO_2}) + 3.92$, in which $CS_{P_{CO_2}}$ is the severity due to the presence of CO₂, R_0 is the severity factor calculated as above, p_{CO_2} is the partial pressure of CO₂ (atm) and T is the temperature (°C). This combined equation includes all the most important parameters affecting the hydrolysis of oligomers to monomers, and to dehydration products.

Some of the early experiments in which carbon dioxide was added to the aqueous medium to improve the efficiency of hydrothermal pre-treatments were carried out by Van Walsum *et al.* As well as studying the production of monosaccharaides, they investigated the formation of degradation products in lignocellulosic pre-treatment with mixture of water and CO₂.⁴⁷ They analyzed the composition of the extraction liquors from experiments in a batch reactor, at 180 °C, after a cooking time of 16 min; comparing the data obtained using only water as a solvent and water with 55 bar of CO₂. Two biomasses were tested: corn stover and aspen wood, Figure 6.4 shows the differences in concentration between the experiments without CO₂ and with CO₂ for both the biomasses and for the different compounds.

The two biomasses have a different behaviour: while in aspen wood the addition of CO₂ results in an increase of all the products analyzed (despite the weak analytical reproducibility), in corn stover it leads to the increase in

Figure 6.3 Values of $\log(R_O)$ varying temperature and residence time.

the concentration of some products and to the decrease of others. Acetic acid concentration increases in both biomasses, confirming the capacity of CO_2 to hydrolyze xylan oligomers, even if in the case of aspen wood the yield of xylose monomers does not seem to show relevant differences if compared with experiments with only water.⁴⁸ In corn stover, furfural and formic acid concentrations decrease when adding CO_2 while in aspen wood seem to increase. This behaviour should indicate that in aspen wood, the auto-hydrolytic capacity of the raw material in water is sufficient to break the hemicellulose oligomers into xylose, and the acidification of the aqueous medium due to the presence of CO_2 leads to the degradation of the monomers.⁴⁸ In the case of corn stover, the autocatalytic capacity is less strong, and CO_2 , with its capacity of penetrating small pores of recalcitrant lignocellulosic structure, favours the extraction of hemicellulose oligomers from the wooden matrix and with its acidifying effect, leads to the hydrolysis of the oligomers into monosaccharides, more than the degradation of the lasts.³⁹ The hydrolyzing power of carbonic acid, therefore, seems to exert a different effect depending on the type of pre-treated biomass.

Aside from the considerations made so far, the hydrolyzing effect due to CO_2 in aqueous media, appears to depend very much on the partial pressure of the gas, the temperature of the system and thus the solubility of CO_2 in water. Figure 6.2 indicates that the solubility of CO_2 in water increases with

Figure 6.4 Concentration differences between experiments with water-CO₂ mixtures and only water. Data obtained from ref. 47.

increasing pressure, in addition, with the operating conditions tested in the experiments, the solubility of CO_2 increases at increasing the temperature. To have catalytic effect due to the presence of carbon dioxide in the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic compounds, it is therefore necessary to operate with sufficiently high pressures.

In the hydrothermal pre-treatment of wheat straw with a temperature of 210°C , Magalhães da Silva *et al.*, found an increase in the production of furfural from a concentration of 0.1 g L^{-1} to 5.4 g L^{-1} when adding CO_2 at 60 bars to the aqueous medium;^{49,50} with the same raw material, increasing the temperature at 225°C , the same group noticed only a small difference between the amount of furfural when increasing the initial pressure of CO_2 (Figure 6.5).^{46,51}

Of great interest are the results obtained by the experiments carried out by Rogalinski *et al.*⁵² and King *et al.*⁵³ The two groups studied the hydrolysis of similar biomass (rye straw and switchgrass, respectively) in mixtures of water under subcritical conditions with the addition of carbon dioxide at different pressures. Pressure of carbon dioxide was 0 and 100 bar in the experiments of the first group, whereas ranged from 150 to 550 bar in the experiments of the second group. While no significant differences were reflected between experiments performed with pure water and those made with carbonated water at 100 bar, an increased catalytic effect was recognized with increasing the pressure: the yield of xylose increased approximately 2 wt%, by increasing the pressure from 350 bar to 450 bar at constant temperature of 170°C , a value only slightly smaller than that obtained using dilute sulfuric acid hydrolysis. Moreover, at these conditions, the hydrolysis of switchgrass resulted in a production of a larger amount of HMF and furfural respect to those produced using dilute acid pre-treatment. An enhance in the hydrolysis of cellulose by means of carbon dioxide under high pressure was also confirmed by other experiments carried out by the group of Brunner.⁵⁴

Figure 6.5 Concentration of furfural and HMF in water mixtures with different pressures of CO_2 .
Data obtained from Morais *et al.*⁵¹

The addition of carbon dioxide to water, if carried out at sufficiently high pressures, seems to have a catalytic effect in the hydrolysis of oligosaccharides and in the decomposition of monosaccharides; it is necessary to analyze which is the combined effect of the temperature change and the carbonation, and which prevails over the other.

Dhamdere *et al.*⁵⁵ tested the hydrolysis of switchgrass at different temperatures (220, 250, 280, 310 °C) in a semi-continuous batch flow system, with and without the addition of CO₂ with a pressure of 68 bars. Results indicated that at temperatures between 220 °C and 280 °C, the production of furfural was higher in the water-CO₂ mixture than in pure water; when the temperature was increased to 310 °C the difference in the yield between the two media was only minimal, indicating that at that temperature there was no catalytic activity due to the carbonated water.⁵⁵ A maximum yield of 1.3 wt% was obtained at 310 °C.

At all the temperatures tested, the production of 5-HMF was enhanced in carbonated water mixtures, as 5-HMF is a product deriving from the dehydration of glucose, and thus from cellulose, its production is favoured at high temperatures. Yield of 5-HMF was similar at 280 °C and at 310 °C, indicating that most of the formation occurs at 280 °C. A maximum yield of 1.8 wt% was obtained at optimum conditions.

The main operating condition that controls the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass is therefore the temperature. Hemicellulose and cellulose polymers are extracted from biomass and fractionated into oligomers for effect of temperature, carbon dioxide is proven to have a slight additional role in this phase, by swelling the plant material, and favouring the breakage.⁵⁶ The rupture of the oligomers is always influenced for the most part on the temperature, however, the addition of carbon dioxide with sufficiently high pressures, can play a significant supporting role.

Temperature is also the main responsible for the decomposition of the monomers: the increase of temperature leads to a higher conversion of xylose and glucose to furfural and HMF, and their subsequent processing in the other products depicted in Scheme 6.8. The addition of carbon dioxide has a catalytic effect in the production of furfural at temperatures below 300 °C, at higher temperatures its incidence is negligible. The dehydration of glucose to HMF is catalyzed by carbon dioxide up to temperatures above 310 °C.

Summarizing what has been said so far, carbon dioxide at high pressures can be used in conjunction with pressurized hot water to enhance the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic oligomers to obtain monomers, and to catalyze the dehydration of monosaccharides to obtain added values of products.

Experiments conducted starting from pure xylose as a raw material, indicate that a conversion of 97% is achieved in water at 230 °C with CO₂ at 12 MPa; with a yield of 68% to production of furfural. Lower pressures lead to lower yields of furfural, while higher pressures did not show significant changes.²⁵ The initial concentration of xylose in the reaction influences the production of furfural; optimal concentrations of monomer to obtain a high selectivity, are around 4%, higher concentrations lead to lower yields.

Carbon dioxide, in addition to favour the production of monosaccharaides dehydration compounds, can also facilitate the removal from the aqueous phase and recovery, in particular that of furfural. The use of supercritical CO₂ for extracting low concentration of furfural (around 1 wt%) from aqueous solutions, is a good alternative to organic solvents.⁵⁷ A 48.1% of produced furfural can be extracted with CO₂ at 8 MPa from an aqueous mixture with a temperature of 230 °C; higher temperatures decrease the extraction solubility, as furfural solubility in CO₂ decrease with increasing the temperature. The increase in the concentration of xylose in the reactor is proportional to the concentration of furfural extract; depending on whether the goal is to recover furfural or increase the yield, different concentrations of initial xylose can be selected.^{25,57}

6.3.2 Reactions in Supercritical Water

Water is under supercritical conditions at temperatures higher than 374 °C and pressures higher than 22.1 MPa. The intermolecular structure of water, at these conditions, varies significantly as hydrogen bonds are significantly reduced in number, giving both gas-like properties (like high diffusivity and low viscosity) and liquid-like properties like high density.

The dielectric constant is subject to significant variations, reaching at supercritical conditions values inside the common range of most organic solvents. At normal conditions of 25 °C and pressure of 1 bar, water has a dielectric constant of about 78.⁵⁸ Figure 6.6 shows that at a pressure of 25 MPa bar and temperature of 375 °C the dielectric constant of water is around 12, and decrease rapidly at increasing the temperature. A range

Figure 6.6 Properties of water at subcritical and supercritical at 25 MPa. Data taken from ref. 60, 63, and 64.

between 2 and 30 is typical for most organic solvents for dissolving organic macromolecules such as cellulose.

Another property which varies significantly at supercritical conditions is the ionic product of water (K_w). At ambient conditions, the value of K_w , represented as the product of H^+ and OH^- concentrations, is 10^{-13} , at temperatures around 300 °C it reaches its maximum value (10^{-11}), which creates a medium with high ions concentration, favouring acid-base catalyzed reactions. Under supercritical conditions, K_w decreases drastically to 10^{-25} ,⁵⁹ promoting non-ionic reactions.^{58,60}

The combination of these properties and the high temperature that allows high reaction rates makes supercritical water an effective solvent and an excellent reaction medium in the hydrolysis processes of lignocellulosic materials. At near critical conditions, therefore, the drastic changes in the ionic product and in the density of water influences the reaction of degradation of monosaccharaides. In the case of xylose, while at subcritical temperatures, the main product of degradation is furfural, with a maximum production between 300 and 350 °C, at supercritical conditions aldolic reactions are favoured, with an increase in the formation of glycolaldehyde and glyceraldehyde. A maximum yield of retro-aldol products of around 45 wt% obtained at 400 °C and 100 MPa.^{31,32}

Similarly, with glucose as base monomer, the dehydration reaction leading to the formation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural is promoted at subcritical conditions with a maximum at around 350 °C, while retro-aldol condensations are favoured at higher temperatures, with water under supercritical conditions; glycolaldehyde is the main product deriving from glucose, and at 450 °C and 35 MPa, yields as high as 70 wt% can be reached.⁶¹

Also the pressure can influence the dehydration reactions, as low densities favour aldolic reactions, while high densities and high ionic products enhance the formation of furfural and 5-HMF. As stated by Aida *et al.*, higher yields of 5-HMF from glucose (8 wt%) were obtained at 350 °C and 80 MPa, compared to other experiments conducted at the same temperature and lower pressures; also the furfural yield reaches the maximum value at the maximum pressure tested, of 80 MPa.^{9,27} Higher pressures than 100 bar, under supercritical conditions (400 °C) promote also the formation of lactic acid, showing a decrease of the yield of glyceraldehyde, dihydroxyacetone and pyruvaldehyde.

Even if 5-HMF and furfural achieve the maximum production at subcritical conditions, the use of supercritical water as reaction medium allows the intensification of the process by reducing the required residence time of the reactions. Typical residence times of glucose and fructose reactions in water at near critical and supercritical conditions are between 20 ms and 5 s. These residence times are three orders of magnitude lower than those required at low temperature catalyzed processes and up to five orders of magnitude lower than those needed in microorganism processes.³⁵ At 400 °C and 100 MPa, glycolaldehyde can be produced from xylose with residence times of 0.5 s with a yield of 40%, and; lactic acid from glucose at 400 °C

requires residence times between 10 s and 20 s to be produced,³⁵ while at reaction temperatures around 300 °C will require residence times of 60 s.⁶²

6.4 Reaction Configuration

What is the best reaction technology for each case study? When facing the question of what type of equipment will be the best option to study a sub-critical or supercritical biomass fractionation or hydrolysis there are several guidelines that might help.

1. Subcritical or supercritical? How much time do I need?

The products from the hydrolysis will highly depend on the residence time that we use, provided the residence time will depend on temperature too. If we are using subcritical water (*e.g.* typically between 160 °C and 250 °C) hemicellulose usually takes from 10 to 20 min to hydrolyze, while cellulose takes from 40 to 60 min at least. In that case the use of a batch reactor is feasible, although heating-up takes 10 to 20 min and cooling down might take 5 min, and temperature-time profile must be considered in kinetics calculation. The use of a semi-continuous reactor is also valid as the real residence time of the liquid phase is usually less than 1 min, although the solid rests in the reactor until it is opened.

When supercritical water is used the temperature goes over 374 °C, but even operating at 300 °C (not supercritical) accelerates the hydrolysis so much that the produced sugars degrade quite easily and sometimes even re-polymerize. In such cases, using batch is not recommended. Semi-continuous at high flowrate ~~it~~ is an option, but some degradation might appear. The perfect system will be the continuous reactor, but for this milling under 200–300 µm is usually needed, as a slurry needs to be pumped at high pressure. To assure low residence times (*e.g.* 20 to 40 ms, less than 1 s always) an effective system for heating-up and quenching is required.

2. How much sample do I need to analyze? How much power do I need?

Analyzing the products from biomass hydrolysis is expensive and time consuming, as it normally requires further hydrolysis, *e.g.* using NREL procedures. The question is, is my system reliable? Biomass exhibit great differences and it is important to be sure having a representative for the experiment. Common subcritical batch reactors are between 25 mL and 1 L (not many cases of 5 or 20 L), supercritical batch are normally below 250 mL. You can considered to fill the reactor 50–60% with water and a water/biomass mass ratio of 20:1, this means treating 6.25 g of biomass in a typical 250 mL (*e.g.* Autoclave, Parr, *etc.*). For that case, you should expect 40–50% sugars yield, so a total of 2 to 3 grams of sugars (pentoses and hexoses).

For the continuous reactor the mass of solid obtained depends on the flowrate and the time you collect sample. It is typical a 10% solid concentration at the inlet. Regarding the flowrate consider your electric power, as you will need approx. 65 W per mL min⁻¹ of flowrate (e.g. 20 mL min⁻¹ of liquid inlet will require 1.3 kW). This will be acute for a supercritical continuous reactor that requires high flowrates to reduce the residence times.

In the case of semi-continuous the case can be similar to the batch in terms of solid handled and similar to the continuous in terms of power needed.

3. Do I need to mill?

Batch and semi-continuous do not require extensive milling, if you need to keep the particle as it is, e.g. beans, chips, pellets, etc. batch and semi-continuous can be the option. You will only need a filter to hold the particle bed.

On the other hand, continuous operation requires very fine particles. At lab scale equipment (e.g. pipes of 1/8", 1/4", etc.) pumps like HPLC pumps, or membrane pumps can handle some solids (not always, check with your provider) under 100 microns. The bottleneck is normally the checkvalves of the pump. At a higher scale, i.e. pilot or demo, there are pumps that can even handle 1 mm particles in slurry.

4. Can or should I recycle the CO₂?

If you have used CO₂ to enhance the reactivity and hydrolysis, as explained in the book, you will probably need to recover it to improve your economic balance. At laboratory scale recovering it is probably not recommended and not needed, but at pilot scale (e.g. a 5 L reactor) it starts being required. It will require basically a high-pressure vapour-liquid separator to split the two phases and a condenser to recover CO₂ as a liquid for easier pumping. There are many examples of these options in the reference books for supercritical fluid extraction, like the one from G. Brunner.

6.5 Conclusions

In this chapter it has been shown that temperature is the main operating variable to manipulate for modifying the kinetics of the reactions that lead to the rupture of oligosaccharides, and to the formation of degradation products in aqueous media. The addition of carbon dioxide at high pressures, in water at subcritical conditions, enhances the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic compounds, through the formation of carbonic acid and its subsequent dissociation. The decompression of the carbon dioxide at the end of the extraction process, moreover, facilitates the removal and recuperation of compounds such as furfural from the aqueous mixture.

The pre-treatment with mixtures of water and CO₂ constitute therefore an effective way to produce value added products from lignocellulosic biomass.

References

1. D. King, O. Inderwildi, A. Williams and A. Hagan, *The future of industrial biorefineries*, *World Economic Forum*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2010. 1
2. B. Kamm, P. R. Gruber and M. Kamm, *Biorefineries—industrial processes and products. Status Quo and Future Directions*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2006. 5
3. Y. Pu, F. Hu, F. Huang, B. Davison and A. Ragauskas, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2013, **6**, 15.
4. F. Cherubini, *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, 2010, **51**, 1412–1421. 10
5. P. Mäki-Arvela, B. Holmbom, T. Salmi and D. Y. Murzin, *Catal. Rev.*, 2007, **49**, 197–340.
6. M. S. Moreno, F. E. Andersen and M. S. Díaz, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 4146–4160.
7. F. W. Lichtenthaler and S. Peters, *C. R. Chim.*, 2004, **7**, 65–90. 15
8. C. Moreau, M. N. Belgacem and A. Gandini, *Top. Catal.*, 2004, **27**, 11–30.
9. T. M. Aida, Y. Sato, M. Watanabe, K. Tajima, T. Nonaka, H. Hattori and K. Arai, *J. Supercrit. Fluid.*, 2007, **40**, 381–388.
10. T. Werpy and G. R. Petersen, *Top Value Added Chemicals From Biomass – Volume I: Results of Screening for Potential Candidates from Sugars and Synthesis Gas* the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy04osti/35523.pdf>, 2004. 20
11. J. E. Holladay, J. J. Bozell, J. F. White and D. Johnson, *DOE Report PNNL-16983 (website: http://chembioprocess.pnl.gov/staff/staff_info.asp)*, 2007. 25
12. P. Mäki-Arvela, T. Salmi, B. Holmbom, S. Willför and D. Y. Murzin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 5638–5666.
13. D. A. Cantero, M. D. Bermejo and M. J. Cocero, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2013, **75**, 48–57.
14. L. J. Gibson, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2012, 1–18. 30
15. A. Cabeza, C. Piqueras, F. Sobrón and J. García-Serna, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, **200**, 90–102.
16. G. Gallina, Á. Cabeza, P. Biasi and J. García-Serna, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, **148**, 350–360.
17. D. A. Cantero, M. D. Bermejo and M. J. Cocero, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2015, **96**, 21–35. 35
18. A. Romani, G. Garrote, F. López and J. C. Parajó, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 5896–5904.
19. O. Bobleter, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 1994, **19**, 797–841.
20. L. Negahdar, I. Delidovich and R. Palkovits, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **184**, 285–298. 40
21. J. F. Saeman, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1945, **37**, 43–52.
22. C. Schacht, C. Zetzl and G. Brunner, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2008, **46**, 299–321.
23. R. Aguilar, J. Ramirez, G. Garrote and M. Vázquez, *J. Food Eng.*, 2002, **55**, 309–318. 45

24. S. Rivas, M. J. González-Muñoz, V. Santos and J. C. Parajó, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2014, **162**, 192–199. 1
25. K. Gairola and I. Smirnova, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2012, **123**, 592–598.
26. B. M. Kabyemela, T. Adschiri, R. M. Malaluan and K. Arai, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1999, **38**, 2888–2895. 5
27. T. M. Aida, K. Tajima, M. Watanabe, Y. Saito, K. Kuroda, T. Nonaka, H. Hattori, R. L. Smith and K. Arai, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2007, **42**, 110–119.
28. G. Garrote, H. Dominguez and J. C. Parajo, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 1999, **74**, 1101–1109.
29. A. Teleman, M. Nordström, M. Tenkanen, A. Jacobs and O. Dahlman, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2003, **338**, 525–534. 10
30. S. Noguki, R. Uehara, M. Sasaki and M. Goto, Thermal stability of monosaccharides in subcritical water, in 8th International Symposium on Supercritical Fluids Kyoto, Japan, 2006.
31. N. Paksung and Y. Matsumura, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **54**, 7604–7613. 15
32. T. M. Aida, N. Shiraishi, M. Kubo, M. Watanabe and R. L. Smith, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2010, **55**, 208–216.
33. T. Zhang, Glucose production from cellulose in subcritical and supercritical water, University of Iowa, 2008.
34. R. S. Assary, T. Kim, J. J. Low, J. Greeley and L. A. Curtiss, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 16603–16611. 20
35. D. A. Cantero, Intensification of cellulose hydrolysis process by supercritical water: Obtaining of added value products, University of Valladolid, 2014.
36. B. M. Kabyemela, T. Adschiri, R. Malaluan and K. Arai, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1997, **36**, 2025–2030. 25
37. N. Akiya and P. E. Savage, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2725–2750.
38. H. Rasmussen, H. R. Sorensen and A. S. Meyer, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2014, **385**, 45–57.
39. G. P. van Walsum and H. Shi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2004, **93**, 217–226. 30
40. J. S. Luterbacher, Q. Chew, Y. Li, J. W. Tester and L. P. Walker, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6990–7000.
41. A. R. C. Morais, A. M. da Costa Lopes and R. Bogel-Lukasik, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 3–27.
42. K. L. Toews, R. M. Shroll, C. M. Wai and N. G. Smart, *Anal. Chem.*, 1995, **67**, 4040–4043. 35
43. S. Takenouchi and G. C. Kennedy, *Am. J. Sci.*, 1964, **262**, 1055–1074.
44. R. P. Overend, E. Chornet and J. A. Gascoigne, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 1987, **321**, 523–536.
45. H. L. Chum, D. K. Johnson, S. K. Black and R. P. Overend, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 1990, **24–5**, 1–14. 40
46. G. P. van Walsum, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2001, **91–93**, 317–329.
47. G. P. Van Walsum, M. Garcia-Gil, S.-F. Chen and K. Chambliss, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2007, 301–311.
48. R. C. McWilliams and G. P. van Walsum, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2002, **98**, 109–121. 45

49. F. Carvalheiro, T. Silva-Fernandes, L. C. Duarte and F. M. Gírio, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2009, **153**, 84–93. 1
50. S. P. Magalhães da Silva, A. R. C. Morais and R. Bogel-Lukasik, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 238–246.
51. A. R. C. Morais, A. C. Mata and R. Bogel-Lukasik, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 4312–4322. 5
52. T. Rogalinski, T. Ingram and G. Brunner, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2008, **47**, 54–63.
53. J. W. King, K. Srinivas, O. Guevara, Y. W. Lu, D. F. Zhang and Y. J. Wang, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2012, **66**, 221–231. 10
54. G. Brunner, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2009, **47**, 373–381.
55. R. T. Dhamdere, K. Srinivas and J. W. King, Carbochemicals Production from Switchgrass using Carbonated Subcritical Water at High Temperatures, in 10th International Symposium on Supercritical Fluids, San Francisco, 2012. 15
56. M. Stamenic, I. Zizovic, R. Eggers, P. Jaeger, H. Heinrich, E. Roj, J. Ivanovic and D. Skala, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2010, **52**, 125–133.
57. T. Gamse, R. Marr, F. Froschl and M. Siebenhofer, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 1997, **32**, 355–371.
58. K. B. Olanrewaju, Reaction kinetics of cellulose hydrolysis in subcritical and supercritical water, University of Iowa, 2012. 20
59. H. L. Clever, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1968, **45**, 231.
60. D. A. Cantero, M. D. Bermejo and M. J. Cocero, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **135**, 697–703.
61. M. Sasaki, K. Goto, K. Tajima, T. Adschiri and K. Arai, *Green Chem.*, 2002, **4**, 285–287. 25
62. X. Yan, F. Jin, K. Tohji, T. Moriya and H. Enomoto, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2007, **42**, 9995–9999.
63. W. L. Marshall and E. Franck, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1981, **10**, 295–304.
64. M. Uematsu and E. Frank, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1980, **9**, 1291–1306. 30
65. H. Piñkowska, P. Wolak and A. Złocińska, *Biomass Bioenerg.*, 2011, **35**, 3902–3912.
66. F. M. Relvas, A. R. C. Morais and R. Bogel-Lukasik, *J. Supercrit. Fluid.*, 2015, **99**, 95–102. 35