Research Article

Fosfomycin *in vivo* Penetration in Swine Intestinal Cells

Pérez Gaudio DS[1,2*], Martínez G[1,2], Fernández Paggi MB[1,2], Decundo JM[1,2], Romanelli A[1,2], Mozo J[3], Dieguez SN[1,4] and Soraci AL[1,2]

[1]Laboratorio de Toxicología, Centro de Investigación Veterinaria de Tandil, Departamento de Fisiopatología, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina
[2]Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina
[3]Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica, Buenos Aires, Argentina
[4]Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (CIC), La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina
*Corresponding author: denisa@vet.unicen.edu.ar

ABSTRACT

Enteric diseases have a high economic impact on animal production, being the interstitial and intracellular fluids, the main sites of infection (biophase) of the pathogens responsible for these disorders. Fosfomycin is an antibiotic widely used for the treatment and prevention of swine infections caused by resistant bacteria. For most of the important pathogens in swine production, fosfomycin MIC_{90} has been established in 0.25-4 µg/mL. Calcium fosfomycin concentrations in swine intestinal cells were previously determined by *in vitro* and *ex vivo* studies, although, still now, there are no *in vivo* studies showing the exposure of minimum inhibitory concentrations of fosfomycin in the enteric infectious site. According to this background, the aim of this research was to determine *in vivo* calcium fosfomycin concentrations on swine intestinal cells. Four clinically healthy post-weaning piglets 4-5 weeks old were used. Animals were sacrificed after 15 days of calcium fosfomycin consumption in the drinking water (30 mg/kg). After slaughtering, jejunum was removed. Intracellular concentrations of the antibiotic were analyzed by HPLC MS/MS and they ranged from 0.82 to 2.05 µg/mL. These concentrations exceed the MIC_{90} of intestinal pathogens such as *E.coli* (0.5 μg/mL), although they are lower than the MIC_{90} of *Salmonella enterica* (4 μg/mL).

Key words: Fosfomycin, *in vivo*, Enterocytes, Swine, MIC_{90}

INTRODUCTION

In pig production, weaning is considered as a critical period for piglets. It is characterized by a decrease in food intake that leads to a status of undernutrition, affecting other aspects of animal physiology and metabolism (Dirkzwager *et al*., 2005). During this period animals are more susceptible to infectious diseases (Nabuurs *et al*., 1993). In this regard, enteric diseases have a high economic impact on animal production, being the interstitial and intracellular fluids, the main sites of infection (biophase) of the pathogens responsible for these disorders (Dosen *et al*., 2007; Ross, 2006). It should be noted that most of the enteric diseases are caused by intracellular organisms, such as *Salmonella sp.* (facultative intracellular) and *Lawsonia intracellularis* (obligate intracellular) (Pedersen *et al*., 2008; Pluske *et al*., 1996), although other microorganisms that are located at the extracellular level are also important, such as

Escherichia coli, *Brachyspira hyodisenteriae* and *Brachyspira pilosicoli*.

Different antibiotics have been used for decades to reduce pathogen infections in pigs, leading to irrational use. For this reason, many bacteria have become resistant to the most frequently used antimicrobials (Dirkzwager *et al*., 2005; Mathew *et al*., 1998; Rood *et al*., 1985). Fosfomycin (cis-1,2-epoxyphosphonic acid), an intensive production widely used drug (Serrano, 2002), is among the most recently used antimicrobials. It is a broad-spectrum antibiotic, structurally unrelated to other classes of agents. Fosfomycin inhibits cell wall synthesis as it interferes with peptidoglycan production at an earlier stage than beta-lactams or glycopeptide antibiotics (Gobernado, 2003; Kahan *et al*., 1974; Lin, 1976; Popovic, 2010). It has a low molecular weight (138.059 Da) and its chemical structure is similar to that of phosphoenolpyruvate. In animals, the fosfomycin-calcium salt formulation is used orally, whereas the more water-

soluble disodium salt is used intravenously and intramuscularly.

The use of fosfomycin in animals and humans has been proposed because of its low toxicity and potential efficacy (Gallego *et al.*, 1974). It is also widely used in animal production due to its rapid effect, good tolerance and lack of side effects (Aramayona *et al.*, 1997; Caramiñana *et al.*, 2004). It is indicated for the treatment of a variety of porcine bacterial pathogens *(Haemophilus parasuis, Streptococcus suis, Pasteurella multocida, Bordetella bronchiseptica, Staphylococcus hyicus, E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica*) associated with stress and/or several viral diseases (Martineau, 1997). For most of the important pathogens in swine production, fosfomycin minimum inhibitory concentration which kills the 90% of the microorganisms (MIC_{90}) has been established in 0.25-4 µg/mL (Fernández *et al.*, 1995; Ibar *et al.*, 2009; Sumano *et al.*, 2007). In this regard, an aspect of importance is that fosfomycin exhibits a time dependent-killing, so it kills bacteria when its concentrations remain constantly above the MIC (Aliabadi and Lees, 1997; Toutain *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, for an antibiotic to be effective against relevant pathogens, it is essential to reach concentrations higher than the MIC at the site of action (Nix *et al.*, 1991; Schentag *et al.*, 1991; Toutain *et al.*, 2002).

Another relevant point to consider is that antibiotics with high lipid solubility are able to penetrate cell membranes, however, fosfomycin is a hydrophilic drug and, therefore, transmembrane passive diffusion appears as a mechanism of lower cellular penetration (Hoger, 1985). In this regard, Soraci *et al.* (2012) and Pérez *et al.* (2012a), have demonstrated that disodium fosfomycin penetrates into pulmonary epithelial lining fluid and in respiratory cells (HEP-2 cells), respectively, and reaches concentrations that exceed the MIC_{90} for the most important pathogens in swine respiratory infections. These findings show that disodium fosfomycin is an alternative for the treatment of intracellular respiratory infections in pigs. Disodium fosfomycin penetration has also been demonstrated in swine white blood cells at concentrations higher than the MIC_{90} (Pérez Gaudio *et al.*, 2018a). In previous studies, we have determined calcium fosfomycin pharmacokinetics and bioavailability in post weaning piglets after oral administration of a 30 mg/kg dose. According to our studies, F% of calcium FOS is low (20). Therefore, considering the large quantity of drug that remains in the intestine and that fosfomycin is also a good antibiotic to treat pathogens at the extracellular level, calcium fosfomycin would be appropriate for the treatment of some enteric infections in pigs (Pérez *et al.*, 2012b). Previously, we have also studied the penetration of calcium fosfomycin into an *in vitro* model of intestinal cells (IPEC-J2 cells), where cultures were subjected to a concentration of 580 µg/ml (Pérez *et al.*, 2013). On our work group we have also demonstrated *ex vivo* calcium fosfomycin penetration on swine intestinal explants (Pérez Gaudio *et al.*, 2018b). Both in the IPEC-J2 cell assays and in the studies with intestinal explants, calcium fosfomycin reached intracellular concentrations higher than the MIC_{90} for pathogens responsible for swine enteric diseases. Although we have determined, by *in vitro* and *ex vivo* studies, calcium fosfomycin concentrations in swine intestinal cells which exceed the MIC_{90} for enteric pathogens, still now, there are no *in vivo* studies showing that this antibiotic reaches adequate concentrations in the enteric biophase where the most important pathogens operate. According to this background, the aim of this work was to determine *in vivo* calcium fosfomycin concentrations on swine intestinal cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
To carry out this study, four clinically healthy post-weaning piglets 4-5 weeks old were used. Animals were weighed, identified and housed in pens in the weaning room, with free access to water and food.

Antibiotic
Calcium fosfomycin (98.9% of purity) was from Bedson Laboratory, Pilar, Buenos Aires, Argentina. For PO administration, calcium fosfomycin formulation (30 mg/kg), was weighed and diluted in drinking water, taking into account a water consumption per animal of 1 L daily.

Experimental design
After 15 days of antibiotic consumption in the drinking water, pigs were sacrificed. After slaughtering, small intestines (jejunum) were immediately removed and intestinal contents were washed and detached with physiological saline solution (PSS). Samples were transported to the Laboratory of Toxicology at 2-4°C. Jejunum samples were cut into fragments of 3 cm in length and then opened along the mesenteric border. Tissues were placed in 60-mm Petri dishes. To eliminate the mucus and the remaining intestinal contents, they were washed twice with PSS by shaking for 10 min. The mucosa was cut into circular pieces of 1.3 cm^2, with a weight of 0.1 g (Nietfeld *et al.*, 1991; Zhu *et al.*, 1995). Samples were analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS, using our previously developed methodology as described in Pérez Gaudio *et al.* (2018b).

RESULTS

A total of 16 samples of intestinal mucosa (four per animal) were analyzed. The average intracellular concentration of fosfomycin in intestinal cells after consumption of the antibiotic in drinking water for 15 days (at a rate of 30 mg/kg of b.w.) was 1.35 µg/mL, with an SD of 0.37. Figure 1 shows the obtained concentrations for each sample.

In vivo intracellular concentrations of calcium fosfomycin in swine intestinal cells

0.96 1.12 1.34 1.19 0.82 1.70 0.83 0.91 1.57 1.79 1.74 1.34 1.41 2.05 1.59 1.22

Fig. 1: Intracellular penetration of calcium fosfomycin in intestinal cells, after a 15-day continuous consumption of the antibiotic in drinking water.

DISCUSSION

In vivo calcium fosfomycin concentrations (between 0.82 and 2.05 μg/mL) were significantly lower than in our previous *in vitro* studies on IPEC-J2 cells (P<0.005) (Pérez *et al.*, 2013). Among the factors that influence these lower concentrations it should be considered the processes that the drug suffers *in vivo* in the animal as fosfomycin degradation by the acid pH of pigs' stomach, the great adsorption of this drug to the food (Pérez *et al.*, 2012b), and dilution with digestive fluids at intestinal level, not only with the volume of liquid present (0.62 L) (Ruckebusch *et al.*, 1981), but also with secretions that are dumped into the duodenum from the gallbladder and pancreas. In addition, the intestinal epithelium is renewed every 2-3 days and in the *in vivo* study, there was a continuous entry of the drug with many cells exposed to a low concentration of the antibiotic.

Conclusions

Concentrations found *in vivo* exceed the MIC$_{90}$ of intestinal pathogens such as *E.coli* (0.5 μg/mL), although they are lower than the MIC$_{90}$ of *Salmonella enterica* (4 μg/mL). Both pathogens are aerobic-anaerobic facultative, and most of their life cycle goes outside the cell. The MIC$_{90}$ of fosfomycin for *L. intracellularis*, obligate intracellular pathogen, has yet not been determined. However, calcium fosfomycin penetration on intestinal explants infected with this pathogen has been confirmed (Pérez Gaudio *et al.*, 2018b).

REFERENCES

Aliabadi FS and P Lees, 1997. Pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic interrelationships of antibacterial drugs. J Vet Pharmacol Therap, 20: 14-17.

Aramayona JJ, MA Bregante, C Solans, S Rueda, LJ Fraile and MA García, 1997. Pharmacokinetics of fosfomycin in chickens after a single intravenous dose and tissue levels following chronic oral administration. Vet Res, 28(6): 581-588.

Carramiñana JJ, C Rota, I Agustín and A Herrera, 2004. Antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella Enteritidis* isolated from broiler carcasses. Vet Microbiol, 104: 133-139.

Dirkzwager A, B Veldmana and PA Bikkera, 2005. Nutritional approach for the prevention of post weaning syndrome in piglets. Anim Res, 54: 231-236.

Dosen R, J Prodanov, D Milanov, I Stojanov and I Pusic, 2007. The bacterial infections of respiratory tract of swine. Biotechnol Anim Husb, 23:237-243.

Fernández P, I Herrera, P Martinez P, L Gómez and J Prieto, 1995. Enhancement of the susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* to phagocytosis after treatment with fosfomycin compared with other antimicrobial agents. Chemotherapy, 41: 45-49.

Gallego A, A Rodríguez and JM Mata, 1974. Fosfomycin: pharmacological studies. Drugs Today, 10: 161-168.

Gobernado M, 2003. Fosfomicina. *Revista Española de Quimioterapia*, 16(1): 15-40.

Hoger PH, 1985. Influence of intracellularly active antibiotics (fosfomycin, rifampin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim) on normal neutrophil function *in vitro*.

Proceedings of the International Symposium. Libro Resumen, pp: 46-57.

Ibar MP, G Vigo, P Piñeyro, MI Caffer, P Quiroga, C Perfumo, D Centrón and G Giacoboni, 2009. Serovariedades de *Salmonella entérica subespecie entérica* en porcinos de faena y su resistencia a los antimicrobianos. Revista Argentina de Microbiología, 41: 156-162.

Kahan FM, JS Kahan, PJ Cassidy and H Kropp, 1974. The mechanism of action of fosfomycin (phosphonomycin). Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 235: 364-386.

Lin EC, 1976. Glycerol dissimilation and its regulation in bacteria. Annual Rev Microbiol, 30: 535-578.

Martineau GP, 1997. Maladies d'elevage des porcs. Editions France Agricole, 174-209.

Mathew AG, WG Upchurch and SE Chattin, 1998. Incidence of antibiotic resistance in fecal *Escherichia coli* isolated from commercial swine farms. J Anim Sci, 76: 429-434.

Nabuurs MJA, A Hoogendoorn, EJ van der Molen and ALM van Osta, 1993. Villous height and crypt depht in weaned and unweaned pigs, reared under various circumstances in the Netherlands. Res Vet Sci, 55: 78-84.

Nietfeld JC, DE Tyler, RH Lenn, JR Cole, KS Latimer and AC Wayne, 1991. Culture and morphologic features of small intestinal mucosal explants from weaned pigs. Amer J Vet Res, 52: 1142-1146.

Nix DE, SD Goodwin, CA Peloquin, DL Rotella and JJ Schentag, 1991. Antibiotic tissue penetration and its relevance: impact of tissue penetration on infection response. Antimicrob Agents Chemoth, 35: 1953-1959.

Pedersen K, B Lotte, H Ole Eske, MA Danilo, W Lo Fo and B Nauerby, 2008. Reproducible Infection Model for Clostridium perfringens in broiler chickens. Avian Dis, 52: 34-39.

Pérez DS, G Martínez, AL Soraci and MO Tapia, 2013. *In vitro* penetration of fosfomycin in IPEC J2 cells. Int J Med Pharmac Sci, 4: 6-16.

Pérez DS, AL Soraci and MO Tapia, 2012. *In vitro* penetration of fosfomycin in respiratory cells. Pig J, 67: 43-53.

Pérez DS, AL Soraci and MO Tapia, 2012. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of calcium fosfomycin in post weaning piglets. International J Agro-Vet Med Sci, 6: 424-435.

Pérez Gaudio DS, G Martínez, MB Fernández Paggi, JM Decundo, A Romanelli, SN Dieguez and AL Soraci, 2018a. *Ex vivo* penetration of fosfomycin into healthy and *Lawsonia intracellularis*-colonized swine intestinal mucosa. J Vet Pharmacol Therap, 41: 878-886.

Pérez Gaudio DS, G Martínez, MB Fernández Paggi, JM Decundo, A Romanelli, J Mozo, SN Dieguez and AL Soraci, 2018b. Fosfomycin penetration into swine leukocytes. Int J Appl Res Vet Med, 16: 159-165.

Pluske JR, DJ Hampson and FX Aherne, 1996. Maintenance of villous height and crypt depth in piglets by providing continuous nutrition after weaning. Anim Sci, 62: 131-144.

Popovic M, D Steinort, S Pillai and C Joukhadar, 2010. Fosfomycin: an old, new friend? European Journal of Clin Microbiol Infec Dis, 29: 127-142.

Rood JI, JR Buddle, AJ Wales and R Sidhu, 1985. The occurrence of antibiotic resistance in *Clostridium perfringens* from pigs. Australian Vet J, 62: 276-279.

Ross RF, 2006. *Pasteurella multocida* and its role in porcine pneumonia. Anim Health Res Rev, 7: 13-29.

Ruckebusch Y, 1981. Motor functions of the intestine. Adv Vet Sci Compar Med, 25: 345-369.

Schentag JJ and CH Ballow, 1991. Tissue-directed pharmacokinetics. Amer J Med, 91: 5-11.

Serrano L, 2002. Biodisponibilidad de los antimicrobianos en los nuevos sistemas de producción. http://www.apavic.com/html/sections/presentaciones/biodisponibilidad.asp.

Soraci AL, DS Pérez, G Martínez, F Amanto, MO Tapia, SN Dieguez and MB Fernández Paggi, 2012. Fosfomycin concentrations in epithelial lining fluid in weaning piglets. J Vet Pharmacol Therap, 35: 406-409.

Sumano LH, CL Ocampo and OL Gutiérrez, 2007. Intravenous and intramuscular pharmacokinetics of a single-daily dose of disodium-fosfomycin in cattle, administered for 3 days. J Vet Pharmacol Therap, 30: 49-54.

Toutain PL, RE del Castillo and A Bousquet-Mélou, 2002. The pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic approach to a rational dosage regimen for antibiotics. Res Vet Sci, 73: 105-114.

Zhu CH, J Harel, M Jacques and JM Fairbrother, 1995. Interaction with pig ileal explants of *Escherichia coli* 045 isolates from swine with post-weaning diarrhea. Vet Res, 59: 118-123.