This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

One dimensional confinement inhibits water dissociation in carbon nanotubes

Journal:	The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Letter
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Perez Sirkin, Yamila; Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Dept. de Quimica Inorganica, Analitica, y Quimica Fisica Hassanali, Ali; International Center for Theoretical Physics, Condensed Matter and Statistical Physics Section Scherlis, Damian; Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Dept. de Quimica Inorganica, Analitica, y Quimica Fisica

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

One dimensional confinement inhibits water dissociation in carbon nanotubes

Yamila Pérez Sirkin,[†] Ali Hassanali,^{*,‡} and Damián A. Scherlis^{*,†}

†Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Analítica y Química Física/INQUIMAE, Facultad

de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Buenos Aires (C1428EHA) Argentina

‡Condensed Matter and Statistical Physics, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, I-34151 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: ahassana@ictp.it; damian@qi.fcen.uba.ar

Abstract

The effect of nanoconfinement on the self-dissociation of water constitutes an open problem whose elucidation poses a serious challenge to experiments and simulations alike. In slit pores of width ≈ 1 nm, recent first-principles calculations have predicted that the dissociation constant of H₂O increases by almost two orders of magnitude [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 056002]. In the present study, QM-MM molecular dynamics combined with a biased sampling strategy, are employed to compute the dissociation free-energy profile of water in a (6,6) carbon nanotube. According to our results, the equilibrium constant K_w drops by 3 orders of magnitude with respect to the bulk phase value, at variance with the trend predicted for confinement in two dimensions. The higher barrier to dissociation can be ascribed to the undercoordination of the hydroxide and hydronium ions in the nanotube, and underscores that chemical reactivity does not exhibit a monotonical behavior with respect to pore size, but may vary substantially with the characteristic length-scale and dimensionality of the confining media.

Graphical TOC Entry



First-principles free energy calculations reveal that the self-dissociation constant of water confined in a carbon nanotube drops by 3 orders of magnitude with respect to its value in bulk.

Following the study by Hummer and collaborators reporting the spontaneous filling and transport of water through a carbon nanotube (CNT),¹ many experimental and theoretical investigations have sought to characterize the structure, the diffusivity, and the phase behavior of H_2O confined in these carbon nanostructures.^{2–16} A wealth of unexpected properties have been postulated arising from one-dimensional confinement in these systems, including fast proton transport,^{2,3,10–14} superpermittivity,¹⁷ high-polarization and ferroelectricity.^{3,8} Based on these findings, CNTs have been considered as model structures for hydrophobic channels in membranes or proton-transferring proteins,^{1,18} as well as candidates for nanotechnological applications as dielectric materials^{8,17} and nanovalves.⁵

Despite all these significant advances in the physical characterization of water in smalldiameter CNTs, a fundamental question still remains unanswered: how is self-dissociation of H₂O affected by 1D confinement? In (6,6) carbon nanotubes, with a diameter of 8.1 Å, water molecules organize in one-dimensional ordered chains, where the diffusion of protons was found to be several times faster than in bulk.^{2,3,10–14} This surprising behavior has been attributed to a proper molecular alignment that makes proton transport possible with almost no reorganization of the hydrogen bonds, at variance with the corresponding process in solution,^{19–22} and to a highly polarized structure that stabilizes the proton in low coordination states. At the same time, molecular simulations indicate that the static dielectric constant of water in small CNTs is an order of magnitude higher than in bulk.¹⁷ It has recently been argued that the rise in permittivity resulting from confinement in slit nanopores is responsible for an increase in the dissociation constant K_w by a factor of 55.²³ In principle, a highly dielectric medium would favor dissociation through the stabilization of the hydronium and hydroxide ions. The question we address in this letter is whether this effect is also operative in a carbon nanotube, where the confining conditions are more extreme.

From a more general standpoint, the way in which the dissociation of water changes in situations that are different from the bulk such as near interfaces or in secluded porous media, remains a very relevant, open and rather challenging problem for both experimentalists and theoreticians.²⁴ How the pKw depends on pore radius and on the nature of the interface, is a central—but essentially unresolved—question. An exception is a recent study by Muñoz-Santiburcio and Marx mentioned above,²³ where first-principles simulations were employed to estimate the self-dissociation of water confined between FeS layers at high temperature and pressure. The authors found that in this environment, the dissociation free-energy decreases by more than 15%, an effect that was explained in terms of the increase in the dielectric constant that results from confinement.²³

In the present letter we investigate the self-dissociation of water in (6,6) carbon nanotubes and show that confinement in this case results in a decrease in the K_w . Dissociation free-energies were obtained from QM-MM Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics simulations combined with the umbrella sampling scheme, adopting as the reaction coordinate, the proton coordination n_H introduced by Sprik:²⁵

$$n_H(j) = \sum_{i}^{N_H} \frac{1}{\exp[\kappa(r_i - r_c)] + 1}$$
(1)

where N_H is the number of H atoms in the system, r_i the distance from oxygen atom j to proton i, and the parameters κ and r_c are chosen to produce a continuous coordination index.²⁵ The bias in the umbrella sampling protocol drives n_H from 2 to 1, forcing the separation of a proton from a given water molecule. Molecular dynamics simulations at 300 K were carried out with a DFT QM-MM periodic approach²⁶ implemented in the Quantum-Espresso program.²⁷ Water molecules were modeled quantum-mechanically, whereas the carbon atoms were represented classically (further details are provided in the SI). A snapshot illustrating the model system is presented in Figure 1. The image corresponds to a configuration extracted from the umbrella sampling trajectory with $n_H=1.4$ where it is possible to see the hydroxide-hydronium ion pair.

Figure 2 shows the free-energy profiles for the self-dissociation reaction $2H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + OH^-$, expressed in terms of the coordination number defined in equation 1. The minima at $n_H \approx 2$



Figure 1: Model structure of water confined in a (6,6) carbon nanotube, displaying the boundaries of the periodic cell. The image corresponds to a configuration extracted from the Umbrella sampling simulation with $n_H=1.4$.

correspond to the reactant; as the reaction coordinate evolves from 2 to 1, a proton is transferred to a neighboring water molecule to produce a hydronium and leaving behind a hydroxide anion. At this point it is important to notice that, in order to extract K_w , it is necessary to establish what value of n_H corresponds to the product state. A reasonable criterion is to consider that the reaction is complete when n_H is equal to the coordination number corresponding to aqueous OH⁻. This limit is indicated by the black vertical dotted line in Figure 2, computed as the average n_H value for a single hydroxide in a supercell of 64 water molecules. It is certainly larger than 1.0 due to the hydrogen-bonds that make fractional contributions. Thus, this line is used to define the end of the reaction. The free-energy barrier ΔG_{bulk} obtained in this way for the bulk phase with a model system of 64 water molecules in periodic conditions, turns out to be nearly 30% below the corresponding experimental value at 300 K. This underestimation, similar to that reported by Muñoz-Santiburcio and Marx,²³ can be ascribed to the exchange-correlation functional, and possibly also to the fact that the limited system sizes and timescales do not allow for the ions to separate from each other forming a solvent seperated product state. The biased sampling scheme drives the system to a dissociated state in which the hydronium and hydroxide species are in contact, or separated by at most two bonds. Presumably, a further delocalization of the ions would yield a marginal additional enthalpic cost together with an entropic stabilization that might account for part of the difference between the experimental and the computed values.



Figure 2: Free energy profiles computed for the dissociation of water in the bulk phase and in a (6,6) carbon nanotube. The dotted vertical lines indicate the value of n_H corresponding to the final product state in each environment.

In the same Figure the free-energy corresponding to water dissociation inside the nanotube, ΔG_{CNT} , is depicted in red. It scales up much faster with the decrease in coordination number than does ΔG_{bulk} . Therefore, the value of K_w is extremely sensitive to the election of the reaction end-point. If the same criterion as in bulk is adopted here to mark the end of the reaction, the final n_H turns out to be 1.68. This value, signalled in Figure 2 by the red vertical line, corresponds to the coordination of a hydroxide anion in a water-filled nanotube. Such a large value is explained by the proton-avidity of the undercoordinated OH⁻ in the nanopore, which tends to abstract the hydrogen atoms from neighboring water molecules, yielding very short H···OH⁻ distances. In fact, inspection of the trajectories shows that these protons are essentially shared between the hydroxide and the two surrounding water molecules intermittently resembling $\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{O}_2^-$ or $\mathrm{H}_5\mathrm{O}_3^-$ anions, resulting in strong fluctuations in n_H between 1.1 and 1.9 and producing an unexpectedly high mean value for the coordination number of the anion, much larger than the one in bulk.

In any case, regardless of the gauge adopted to specify the ending point, Figure 2 reveals that self-dissociation will be severely inhibited in this nanotube. In particular, assuming that the final state coincides with the vertical line implies an increase in the free-energy barrier by a factor of 1.25 and a value of K_w of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ in the CNT. Noticeably, this result appears to be independent of the nature of the pore but is essentially the outcome of

confinement. Figure 3 shows that the alteration of the nanopore properties does not have a significant impact on the computed ΔG_{CNT} . This Figure presents the effect on the freeenergy profiles of: (i) a change in the water-carbon interactions, where the C-O σ and ε QM-MM parameters have been adjusted to reproduce the DFT forces; (ii) the suppression of nanopore thermal vibrations; and (iii) the doubling of the supercell. All these modifications have a marginal effect on ΔG_{CNT} , indicating that this behavior of K_w originates from the quasi one-dimensional alignment exerted by confinement within hydrophobic or weakly interacting walls.



Figure 3: Free energy profiles computed in the (6,6) carbon nanotube altering the simulation conditions. The labels " $\varepsilon\sigma$ ", "doubled", and "rigid walls", represent, respectively, a change in the QM-MM Lennard-Jones parameters, the duplication of the supercell size, and the freezing of the carbon atoms.

How can this drastic drop in the dissociation constant be explained? As mentioned earlier, in a recent study of the pKw in slit pores, the reason for an enhanced dissociation was attributed to the high dielectric constant of confined water.²³ These authors have suggested that this phenomenon could be also relevant in carbon nanotubes,²⁸ but our simulations have shown the opposite, pinpointing that in the present case the trend reverts to a nondissociative behavior. Importantly, in the slit pore, the solvation structure of aqueous H^+ and OH^- was found to be essentially the same as in the bulk limit.²³ In the (6,6) CNT environment, instead, the solvation structures of the hydroxide and hydronium ions are significantly affected, exhibiting a number of hydrogen bonds always smaller than in bulk. Figure 4 depicts the oxygen-oxygen radial distribution function and the running coordination number for each species, reflecting a considerable undercoordination in the nanotube with respect to the bulk phase. There have been numerous studies investigating the solvation structure of aqueous hydronium and hydroxide ions in the bulk, at interfaces and also in water clusters.^{20,29–34} In the bulk phase, the hydronium ion donates 3 strong and accepts one weak hydrogen bond, while the hydroxide accepts 4 strong and donates one weak hydrogen bond. In the nanopore, however, both ions form only two hydrogen bonds with the surrounding water molecules, evinced in both Figures 4 and 5, where the later displays the distribution of the number of hydrogen bonds for each ion in the bulk and in the pore. Clearly, the decay of the dissociation constant in the CNT results from the destabilization of the hydroxide and hydronium species, which cannot be surrounded by a solvation shell. The dissociation freeenergy is seemingly much more sensitive to solvation than to the dielectric or the polarization effects arising from confinement, which may modulate the value of ΔG only by a small fraction.



Figure 4: Oxygen-oxygen radial distribution functions (solid lines) and running coordination numbers (dashed lines) for OH^- (left panel) and H_3O^+ (right panel). Results in the bulk and in the CNT are depicted in black and in red respectively.

In conclusion, these results highlight that the effect of confinement on the pKw cannot be generalized from previous results, because it may vary substantially depending on the size and dimensionality of the confining media. With the evidence at hand, it seems plausible to conclude that under nanometric confinement, a rise in the self-dissociation constant can be expected due to the increase in the permittivity of the confined fluid, pro-



Figure 5: Distribution of the number of hydrogen bonds formed by the hydronium and the hydroxide ions. Blue: hydronium in the bulk phase. Red: Hydroxide in the bulk phase. Green: hydronium in the CNT. Indigo: hydroxide in the CNT.

viding that the solvation structure of the dissociation products $H^+(aq)$ and $OH^-(aq)$ are preserved. At subnanometer confinement, however, or in low-dimensional geometries that restrain the hydrogen-bond network around the ions, undercoordination will strongly dominate the chemical equilibrium. All in all, the dependence of pKw with pore size is not a monotonical function with a previsible trend, but may vary in sharp and unexpected ways in severely confined environments.

Supporting Information Available

Methodological details and an analysis of the QM-MM forces. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

Acknowledgement

This study has been funded by grants of ANPCYT/PICT 2012-2292 and UBACYT 20020160100124BA. We thank the Center of High Performance Computing of the University of Utah for an award of computing time and technical support.

References

- Hummer, G.; Rasaiah, J. C.; Noworyta, J. P. Water conduction through the hydrophobic channel of a carbon nanotube. *Nature* 2001, 414, 188.
- (2) Dellago, C.; Naor, M. M.; Hummer, G. Proton Transport through Water-Filled Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *90*, 105902.
- (3) Mann, D. J.; Halls, M. D. Water Alignment and Proton Conduction inside Carbon Nanotubes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 90, 195503.
- (4) Mashl, R. J.; Joseph, S.; Aluru, N. R.; Jakobsson, E. Anomalously Immobilized Water: A New Water Phase Induced by Confinement in Nanotubes. *Nano Lett.* 2003, *3*, 589–592.
- (5) Maniwa, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Kyakuno, H.; Ogasawara, S.; Hibi, T.; Kadowaki, H.; Suzuki, S.; Achiba, Y.; Kataura, H. Water-filled single-wall carbon nanotubes as molecular nanovalves. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6*, 135.
- (6) Takaiwa, D.; Hatano, I.; Koga, K.; Tanaka, H. Phase diagram of water in carbon nanotubes. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008, 105, 39–43.
- (7) Wang, L.; Zhao, J.; Li, F.; Fang, H.; Lu, J. P. First-Principles Study of Water Chains Encapsulated in Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube. J. Pyhs. Chem. C 2009, 113, 5368– 5375.
- (8) Mikami, F.; Matsuda, K.; Kataura, H.; Maniwa, Y. Dielectric Properties of Water inside Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. ACS Nano 2009, 3, 1279–1287.
- (9) Zuo, G.; Shen, R.; Guo, W. Self-Adjusted Sustaining Oscillation of Confined Water Chain in Carbon Nanotubes. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 5297–5300.

- (10) Cao, Z.; Peng, Y.; Yan, T.; Li, S.; Li, A.; Voth, G. A. Mechanism of Fast Proton Transport along One-Dimensional Water Chains Confined in Carbon Nanotubes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11395–11397.
- (11) Lee, S. H.; Rasaiah, J. C. Proton transfer and the diffusion of H+ and OH ions along water wires. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 139, 124507.
- (12) Chen, J.; Li, X.-Z.; Zhang, Q.; Michaelides, A.; Wang, E. Nature of proton transport in a water-filled carbon nanotube and in liquid water. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 15, 6344–6349.
- (13) Li, S.; Schmidt, B. Molecular dynamics simulations of proton-ordered water confined in low-diameter carbon nanotubes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 7303–7316.
- (14) Rossi, M.; Ceriotti, M.; Manolopoulos, D. E. Nuclear Quantum Effects in H+ and OH
 Diffusion along Confined Water Wires. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 3001–3007.
- (15) Chen, Q.; Wang, Q.; Liu, Y.-C.; Wu, T. The effect of hydrogen bonds on diffusion mechanism of water inside single-walled carbon nanotubes. J.Chem. Phys. 2014, 140, 214507.
- (16) Agrawal, K. V.; Shimizu, S.; Drahushuk, L. W.; Kilcoyne, D.; Strano, M. S. Observation of extreme phase transition temperatures of water confined inside isolated carbon nanotubes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2017**, *12*, 267.
- (17) Renou, R.; Szymczyk, A.; Maurin, G.; Malfreyt, P.; Ghoufi, A. Superpermittivity of nanoconfined water. J. Chem. Phys. 2015, 142, 184706.
- (18) Schaaf, C.; Gekle, S. Spatially resolved dielectric constant of confined water and its connection to the non-local nature of bulk water. J.Chem. Phys. 2016, 145, 084901.
- (19) Agmon, N. The Grotthuss mechanism. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 244, 456 462.

- (20) Marx, D.; Chandra, A.; Tuckerman, M. E. Aqueous Basic Solutions: Hydroxide Solvation, Structural Diffusion, and Comparison to the Hydrated Proton. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 2174–2216.
- (21) Knight, C.; Voth, G. A. The Curious Case of the Hydrated Proton. Accounts Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 101–109.
- (22) Hassanali, A.; Giberti, F.; Cuny, J.; Khne, T. D.; Parrinello, M. Proton transfer through the water gossamer. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2013, 13723.
- (23) Muñoz-Santiburcio, D.; Marx, D. Nanoconfinement in Slit Pores Enhances Water Self-Dissociation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2017, 119, 056002.
- (24) Agmon, N.; Bakker, H. J.; Campen, R. K.; Henchman, R. H.; Pohl, P.; Roke, S.; Thmer, M.; Hassanali, A. Protons and Hydroxide Ions in Aqueous Systems. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 7642–7672.
- (25) Sprik, M. Computation of the pK of liquid water using coordination constraints. *Chem. Phys.* 2000, 258, 139 150.
- (26) Hunt, D.; Sanchez, V. M.; Scherlis, D. A. A quantum-mechanics molecular-mechanics scheme for extended systems. J. Phys-Condens. Mat. 2016, 28, 335201.
- (27) Giannozzi, P.; Baroni, S.; Bonini, N.; Calandra, M.; Car, R.; Cavazzoni, C.; Ceresoli, D.; Chiarotti, G. L.; Cococcioni, M.; Dabo, I. et al. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. J. Phys-Condens. Mat. 2009, 21, 395502.
- (28) Munoz-Santiburcio, D.; Marx, D. Chemistry in nanoconfined water. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 3444–3452.
- (29) Tuckerman, M.; Laasonen, K.; Sprik, M.; Parrinello, M. Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics

Simulation of the Solvation and Transport of H3O+ and OH- Ions in Water. J. Phys. Chem. **1995**, 99, 5749–5752.

- (30) Tuckerman, M.; Laasonen, K.; Sprik, M.; Parrinello, M. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation of the solvation and transport of hydronium and hydroxyl ions in water. J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 103, 150–161.
- (31) Markovitch, O.; Chen, H.; Izvekov, S.; Paesani, F.; Voth, G. A.; Agmon, N. Special Pair Dance and Partner Selection: Elementary Steps in Proton Transport in Liquid Water. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 9456–9466.
- (32) Baer, M. D.; Kuo, I.-F. W.; Tobias, D. J.; Mundy, C. J. Toward a Unified Picture of the Water Self-Ions at the AirWater Interface: A Density Functional Theory Perspective. J. Phys. Chem. B 2014, 118, 8364–8372.
- (33) Crespo, Y.; Hassanali, A. Unveiling the Janus-Like Properties of OH. J. Pyhs. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 272–278.
- (34) Egan, C. K.; Paesani, F. Assessing Many-Body Effects of Water Self-Ions. I: OH(H2O)n Clusters. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2018, 14, 1982–1997.