e & lechnologi

Atmospheric Concentrations of New Persistent Organic Pollutants ² and Emerging Chemicals of Concern in the Group of Latin America and Caribbean (GRULAC) Region

⁴ Cassandra Rauert,[†][©] Tom Harner,^{*,†}[©] Jasmin K. Schuster,[†] Anita Eng,[†] Gilberto Fillmann,[‡]
⁵ Luisa Eugenia Castillo,[§] Oscar Fentanes,^{||} Martín Villa Ibarra,[⊥] Karina S. B. Miglioranza,[#]
⁶ Isabel Moreno Rivadeneira,[∇] Karla Pozo,[○] and Beatriz Helena Aristizábal Zuluaga

7 [†]Air Quality Processes Research Section, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, Ontario M3H 5T4, Canada

[‡]Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Instituto de Oceanografia, Rio Grande - RS, Brazil 8

9 [§]Central American Institute for Studies on Toxic Substances (IRET), Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica

^{II}CGCSA/INECC, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico

Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Cájeme, Cájeme, Sonora México 11

^tUniversidad Nacional Mar del Plata, IIMyC–CONICET, Mar del Plata, Argentina 12

Laboratorio de Física de La Atmósfera, Instituto de Investigaciones Física, UMSA, La Paz, Bolivia 13

Facultad de Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad San Sebastián, Lientur 1457, Concepción 4080871, Chile 14

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Manizales, Colombia 15

Supporting Information 16

ABSTRACT: A special initiative was run by the Global 17 Atmospheric Passive Sampling (GAPS) Network to provide 18 atmospheric data on a range of emerging chemicals of concern 19 and candidate and new persistent organic pollutants in the 20 Group of Latin America and Caribbean (GRULAC) region. 21 Regional-scale data for a range of flame retardants (FRs) 22 including polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), organo-23 phosphate esters (OPEs), and a range of alternative FRs 24 (novel FRs) are reported over 2 years of sampling with low 25 detection frequencies of the novel FRs. Atmospheric 26 concentrations of the OPEs were an order of magnitude 27 higher than all other FRs, with similar profiles at all sites. 28 Regional-scale background concentrations of the poly- and 29



perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), including the neutral PFAS (n-PFAS) and perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs), and the volatile 30 methyl siloxanes (VMS) are also reported. Ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide (EtFOSA) was detected at highly elevated 31 concentrations in Brazil and Colombia, in line with the use of the pesticide sulfluramid in this region. Similar concentrations of 32 the perfluoroalkyl sulfonates (PFAS) were detected throughout the GRULAC region regardless of location type, and the VMS 33 concentrations in air increased with the population density of sampling locations. This is the first report of atmospheric 34

concentrations of the PFAAs and VMS from this region. 35

INTRODUCTION 36

37 The capacity for the Global Monitoring Plan (GMP) to 38 evaluate the effectiveness of the United Nations Environment 39 Programme's (UNEP) Stockholm Convention on persistent 40 organic pollutants (POPs) is limited by the lack of monitoring 41 information on POPs from developing regions. The GMP aims 42 to provide comparable monitoring data from all United Nations $_{43}$ (UN) regions;¹ however, there is a substantial gap from 44 developing regions such as the Group of Latin American and 45 Caribbean countries (GRULAC).²⁻⁴ This limits the informa-46 tion provided to risk assessments on chemicals of concern; the 47 ability to evaluate regional and global environmental transport^{2,5} and prevents global spatial and temporal trends being 48 developed, thus limiting the ability to evaluate the effectiveness 49 of restrictions.⁶

Passive air sampling is a particularly useful methodology for 51 atmospheric monitoring in developing regions^{7,8} because 52 remote locations can be accessed with no electricity require- 53 ments, and they are cost-effective and easy to deploy. As such, a 54

Received: February 21, 2018 Revised: May 11, 2018 Accepted: May 30, 2018 Published: May 30, 2018

55 special initiative was implemented in 2012 by the Global 56 Atmospheric Passive Sampling (GAPS) network, with support 57 from UNEP, to address the lack of information on emerging 58 contaminants and candidate, and new POPs in the GRULAC 59 region. The GAPS network is a global passive sampling 60 monitoring network that contributes unique atmospheric data 61 on legacy and new and emerging POPs to the GMP.⁹ The 62 GAPS network is run by a central laboratory at Environment 63 and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) and contributes to 64 international regulatory programs such as the Stockholm 65 Convention and also contributes to domestic Canadian risk 66 assessments and management programs under the Chemicals 67 Management Plan (CMP).

The core GAPS sampling campaign includes a few sites in 68 69 the GRULAC region, 9,10 and in this special initiative, additional 70 sites were added to provide a more-comprehensive monitoring 71 reach. The first regional-scale monitoring data for polychlori-72 nated dioxins and furans in the region has been reported from 73 this initiative.¹¹ The second phase of this study has focused on 74 emerging contaminants and candidate and new POPs. The first 75 atmospheric data for organophosphate ester (OPE) flame 76 retardants (FRs) in the GRULAC region has since been 77 reported.² With the conclusion of the second phase of this 78 special initiative, a wide range of new and emerging POPs have 79 been monitored, including the polybrominated diphenyl ethers 80 (PBDEs), OPEs, a range of other brominated and chlorinated 81 alternative FRs (novel FRs), a range of poly- and perfluoroalkyl 82 substances (PFAS), and the volatile methyl siloxanes (VMS).

83 PBDEs have been used historically as FRs in a range of 84 consumer products including foam, electronic, and textile 85 products. They are produced in three different commercial 86 formulations: the PentaBDE, OctaBDE, and DecaBDE 87 formulations, which contain different contributions of the 88 PBDE congeners. Due to concerns over their persistency and 89 toxicity, all three technical formulations have now been added 90 to the Stockholm Convention for reduction with restricted 91 uses.¹² With the restrictions imposed on the use of PBDEs, the 92 use of alternative FRs has increased, ^{13,14} including the OPEs 93 and a range of other brominated and chlorinated novel FRs. 94 These alternative FRs are also detected regularly in the 95 environment^{13,15} and, due to concerns over its persistency and 96 toxicity, hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) was listed in 97 Annex A of the Stockholm Convention in 2013.¹⁶ The OPEs 98 have numerous applications other than as FRs, including as 99 plasticizers, in hydraulic fluid and antifoaming agents.¹⁴ OPE 100 atmospheric concentrations are often reported in levels that are 101 at least an order of magnitude higher than the PBDEs.^{10,14} 102 There are concerns over the persistency of the OPEs, and in 103 Canada, tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP) is prohibited in 104 foam products intended for children under the age of three.¹⁷ 105 These alternative FRs are detected regularly in remote 106 locations, thus showing their potential for long-range 107 atmospheric transport.^{10,18–20}

PFAS are surfactants with applications including as stain repellants in fabric and grease-proof paper, in fluoropolymer manufacture and in aqueous film-forming foams.²¹ The perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) have raised concerns due to their persistency and toxicity, and perfluorooctanesulfonate ris (PFOS) and its salts and precursor compound (perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride) were added to the Stockholm Convention in S2009 for restriction of production and use, with specific ris exemptions.²² Perfluorohexanesulfonate (PFHxS) and perfluorring ooctanoic acid (PFOA) are currently under review for addition 146

to the Stockholm Convention.²³ Also of concern are the neutral 118 PFAS (n-PFAS), which are known to degrade in the 119 environment to the toxic PFAAs.²¹ In the GRULAC region, 120 Brazil has registered the use of PFOS, its salts, and PFOSF in 121 the Register of Acceptable purposes for the use as an 122 intermediate in the production of the pesticide sulfluramid.²⁴ 123 The active ingredient of sulfluramid is ethyl perfluorooctane 124 sulfonamide (EtFOSA), a known precursor for PFOS.²⁵ 125

The VMS are used in the manufacture of silicone polymers, 126 as surfactants and lubricants, and also in a wide range of 127 personal-care products.²⁶ They are classified as high-produc- 128 tion-volume chemicals, and highly elevated concentrations (as 129 compared with the FRs and PFAS) are detected regularly in the 130 environment.^{27,28} Concerns arise over the toxicity of the VMS 131 to aquatic organisms,²⁹ and more monitoring is required to 132 understand their distribution in the environment. The PFAS 133 and VMS are also regularly detected in remote locations, again 134 demonstrating the persistency and potential for the long-range 135 transport of these chemicals.^{28,30–32} 136

Previous atmospheric monitoring studies of these chemicals 137 of concern tend to focus on North America and Europe, and 138 more monitoring information is needed from developing 139 regions to provide a complete picture of global distribution 140 and transport. This special initiative provides unique regional 141 monitoring data on emerging chemicals of concern and new 142 and candidate POPs from the GRULAC region, and this is the 143 first reporting of atmospheric concentrations of the PFAAs and 144 VMS from across this region. 145

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Deployment. This special initiative included 9 147 sites covering 7 countries: Mexico (n = 2), Costa Rica (n = 1), 148 Colombia (n = 1), Brazil (n = 2), Bolivia (n = 1), Argentina (n = 1)= 1), and Chile (n = 1). The majority of sites were classified as 150 background sites (n = 5), with 3 urban and 1 agricultural site 151 included. Site classifications follow the guidelines under the 152 guidance document for the global monitoring plan.³³ Rio 153 Gallegos falls under the category of suburban following these 154 guidelines and, in this study, is referred to as an urban site. 155 Further site details are provided in Table S1, and Figure 1 maps 156 fl the location of each sampling site. At each site polyurethane 157 foam passive air samplers (PUF-PAS) were deployed for 158 sampling periods of 3 months, providing 4 sampling quarters 159 throughout a sampling year, referred to as Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4. 160 The PUF-PAS were deployed during 2014 and 2015, and the 161 deployment details are listed in Tables S2-S5. Sorbent- 162 impregnated PUF passive air samplers (SIP-PAS) were also 163 deployed for one sampling quarter in 2015. The SIP-PAS have 164 a greater uptake capacity than the PUF-PAS,³⁴ and for more- 165 volatile compounds that form equilibrium quickly with the 166 PUF-PAS, the SIP-PAS will continue to sample in the linear 167 sampling phase, providing time-averaged concentrations of 168 these analytes. The PUF-PAS and SIP-PAS at Tapanti (Costa 169 Rica) were deployed for a full year (June 2015–June 2016) due 170 to restrictions accessing the site. The SIP-PAS at Chacaltaya 171 (Bolivia) was deployed in 2016 (not 2015) but was still 172 deployed in Q2 (April-July). Deployment details of the SIP- 173 PAS are listed inTable S6. 174

PUF-PAS and SIP-PAS were precleaned, stored in glass jars, 175 and shipped to the sampling sites following previously 176 published methods.^{11,27} The samples were deployed in 177 double-domed sampling chambers (TE-200, Tisch Environ- 178 mental, Cleves, OH), and the deployment and collection 179



Figure 1. Location of the nine sites included in the GRULAC study. The location type is listed below the site name. BA, background; AG, agricultural; and UR, urban.

180 followed procedures previously published.¹¹ After collection,
181 the samples were shipped back to ECCC for analysis.
182 Extraction and Analysis. The PUF-PAS were extracted

183 and analyzed for the PBDEs, novel FRs, and OPEs. Target

analytes are listed in Table S7. The PUF-PAS were extracted 184 and processed following previously reported methods.¹⁰ The 185 sample extracts were analyzed for the PBDEs and novel FRs 186 with gas chromatography—tandem quadrupole mass spectrom- 187 etry (GC–MS/MS) and analyzed for the OPEs and the HBCD 188 diastereomers using ultra-performance liquid chromatography— 189 tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS). 190 Analysis details have been previously reported in Rauert et al.¹⁰ 191

Article

The SIP-PAS were extracted and analyzed for the n-PFAS, 192 PFAAs, and VMS. Target analytes are listed in Table S8. The 193 SIP-PAS were extracted and processed following previously 194 reported methods.²⁸ The sample extracts were analyzed for the 195 n-PFAS and VMS with GC–MS and analyzed for the PFAAs 196 with UPLC–MS/MS. Analysis details have been reported 197 previously in Rauert et al.²⁸ 198

Quality Assurance and Quality Control. Air concen- 199 trations (pg/m^3) were derived from the mass of the target 200 analyte detected on the sample (pg) divided by an effective air 201 sampling volume. The air volume was determined using the 202 GAPS template.³⁵ The OPEs and novel FRs were assumed to 203 stay in the linear sampling phase during deployment, and air 204 sampling volumes were calculated as the number of days the 205 sample was deployed multiplied by a sampling rate of 4 m^3/day , 206 as described in Rauert et al.¹⁰ Field blanks were sent to each site 207 and consisted of an additional precleaned PUF-PAS in 2014 208 and 2015 and a SIP-PAS in 2015. The field blanks were 209 collected following the same protocol as the sample deploy- 210 ment without actual deployment. Further details on field blanks 211 and collection are provided in Schuster et al.¹¹ The field blanks 212 were extracted and analyzed following the same procedures as 213 the samples. Concentrations (ng/PAS) of target analytes 214 detected in each field blank are listed in Tables S9-S15. 215 Instrument detection limits (IDLs) were calculated as the 216 concentration of a peak that would produce a signal-to-noise 217



Figure 2. Box-and-whisker plots of target analyte concentrations (pg/m^3) in 2014 (red) and 2015 (blue) in the GRULAC region. The line indicates the median concentration; boxes correspond to the interquartile range with the upper and lower edges designating the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. The whiskers represent the maximum and minimum concentrations. The diamond indicates the mean concentration and the orange line indicates the MDL. Σ_{18} OPEs, sum of all OPEs; Σ_{14} PBDEs, sum of all PBDEs; Σ_{15} novel FRs, sum of all novel FRs; Σ_{2} FTOH, sum of 8:2 FTOH and 10:2 FTOH; Σ_{4} FOSA/Es, sum of all FOSA and FOSEs; Σ_{3} PFSAs, sum of PFBS, PFHxS, and PFOS; and Σ_{4} VMS, sum of D3, D4, D5, and D6. Where an analyte was not detected, $\frac{1}{2}$ MDL was used.



Figure 3. Map of mean concentrations (pg/m^3) of TCEP, TCPP, TPhP, TBEP, and TDCPP in the GRULAC region in 2014 and 2015. The three urban locations are marked with an asterisk. NS indicates that a sample was not deployed in that year. <MDL indicates that all analytes were below detection limits in that year.

218 ratio of 3:1. Method detection limits (MDL) were calculated as 219 the mean mass in the field blanks plus three times the standard 220 deviation. For the OPEs, PBDEs, and novel FRs, MDLs were 221 calculated as the mean concentration in field blanks, to keep 222 data sets comparable with the global FR data from Rauert et 223 al.¹⁰ Where a target analyte was detected regularly in field 224 blanks, samples were blank corrected by subtracting the mean 225 mass (in nanograms) from all the field blanks; hence, it is 226 possible for reported concentrations to be below the MDLs. Limits of quantitation (LOQ) were calculated as the 227 concentration of a peak that would produce a signal-to-noise 228 229 ratio of 10:1 and inserted for MDLs where an analyte was not $_{230}$ detected in the field blanks. For calculating average values, $1/_2$ 231 MDL or 1/2 LOQ were inserted when an analyte was not detected. To convert MDLs and LOQs to pg/m³, the average 232 air sampling volume (m^3) was applied. 233

All analytes, except for the novel FRs, were surrogate 234 recovery corrected and the mean recoveries are listed in Table 235 S16. As described in Rauert et al.¹⁰ an enhancement in the 236 surrogate recoveries was observed for the PBDEs and hence 237 they were not used to recovery correct the novel FRs. To 238 improve this methodology, future analyses in the GAPS 239 network will use mass labeled versions of the novel FRs (and 240 the PBDEs) and adopt surrogate recovery correction for the 241 novel FRs. However, for these samples, this option was not 242 available, and we note that this increases the uncertainty with 243 244 the analysis of the novel FRs. Statistical analyses (two-sample ttests assuming unequal variances) were conducted using 245 Microsoft Excel 2010. 246

247 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

PUF-PAS. The PUF-PAS were analyzed for the three FR 249 classes. Concentrations of OPEs, PBDEs, and novel FRs from 250 the 2014 deployment have been reported previously,^{2,10} and 251 this is the first reporting of atmospheric concentrations of these 252 analyte classes from 2015. Concentrations from each sample 253 (2014 and 2015) are listed in Tables S17–S22. Figure 2 depicts 254 box and whisker plots of concentrations of all the analyte classes monitored (including the PFAS and VMS monitored 255 with SIP-PAS), showing the variation in atmospheric 256 concentrations between the different classes. 257

Organophosphate Esters. Total OPE concentrations ²⁵⁸ (Σ_{18} OPEs) were similar between both sampling years (Figure ²⁵⁹ 2), with Σ_{18} OPE concentrations in each sample ranging 90– ²⁶⁰ 1850 pg/m³ in 2014 and 60–2200 pg/m³ in 2015, and were ²⁶¹ not significantly different between the two years (p > 0.05). ²⁶² The urban site of Concepción (Chile) was the exception, where ²⁶³ elevated concentrations were detected in 2015 of 730–7050 ²⁶⁴ pg/m³ (Figure 3). The other two urban sites of São Luis ²⁶⁵ f3 (Brazil) and Rio Gallegos (Argentina) had concentrations in ²⁶⁶ line with the background sites in both sampling years. ²⁶⁷

In this GRULAC study five OPEs were detected the most 268 frequently and in the highest concentrations (TCEP, tris- 269 (chloroisopropyl) phosphate (TCPP), triphenyl phosphate 270 (TPhP), tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBEP), and tris(1,3- 271 dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCPP)). Figure S1 presents 272 box and whisker plots of the concentrations of the five 273 dominating OPEs in 2014 and 2015. The mean profiles of the 274 five dominating OPEs at each site are mapped in Figure 3. 275

The profiles of the OPEs were similar at most sites in both 276 2014 and 2015, although variations were observed at 277 Concepción and Celestún (Mexico). At Concepción, elevated 278 concentrations of TBEP were detected in 2014; however, in 279 2015, the profile was similar to the other sites, although it is 280 noted only two PUF-PAS were deployed in 2014. At Celestún 281 (Mexico), tri-*n*-butyl phosphate (TnBP) was detected at 282 elevated concentrations in Q1 of 2015 but was not detected 283 at this site in 2014. The other OPEs at Celestún were in line 284 with concentrations detected at the other background sites. As 285 discussed later, one challenge of passive air sampling studies is 286 deployment at a location that avoids possible point sources, 287 which may be an influence at these sites.

Seasonal differences in OPE levels in air were not observed in 289 2014 or 2015. This is in line with other global regions 290 monitored in the regular GAPS sampling campaign, in which 291 seasonal differences were not observed in 2014.¹⁰ The 292 ²⁹³ concentrations of OPEs in the GRULAC region in 2014 were ²⁹⁴ significantly higher than concentrations seen in North America ²⁹⁵ and Europe in 2014 in the core GAPS network (p < 0.03), as ²⁹⁶ discussed in more detail in Rauert et al.¹⁰ Further monitoring is ²⁹⁷ needed in the GRULAC region to determine if this is a trend or ²⁹⁸ influenced by the high variability in concentrations at the ²⁹⁹ sampling sites.

Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers. The atmospheric con-301 centrations of the PBDEs were at least an order of magnitude 302 lower than the OPEs (Figure 2). The Σ_{14} PBDE concentrations 303 were not significantly different (p > 0.05) between the two 304 sampling years and ranged 0.40–18 pg/m³ in 2014 and 0.86– 305 20 pg/m³ in 2015. The agricultural site of Sonora (Mexico) was 306 the exception with highly elevated concentrations in both years 307 (ranging 24 to 125 pg/m³), possibly associated with inputs 308 from buildings near the sampling site.¹⁰ This will be evaluated 309 in future sampling campaigns at this site.

Figure S2 presents box-and-whisker plots of the concen-310 311 trations of the sum of the PBDE congeners that dominate in 312 the PentaBDE, OctaBDE, and DecaBDE formulations, and the main congeners in the PentaBDE formulation (BDE28, 47, 99, 313 314 100) dominated the profiles at all 9 sites. The mean profile of 315 the congeners in the PentaBDE formulation at each site is 316 mapped in Figure S3. BDE209 was detected at three sites in 317 this study, Sonora in 70% of samples, Celestún (Mexico) in 1 out of 8 samples, and Concepción (Chile) in 33% of samples. 318 BDE209 has a higher detection limit than the other congeners, 319 which contributes to the lower detection frequency of this 320 321 congener, although the detected concentrations were lower 322 than seen in North America in the core GAPS samples from 323 2014.¹⁰

PBDEs have been reported previously by Pegoraro et al.³⁶ 324 325 from atmospheric samples collected in 2014 at Mar del Plata 326 (Argentina) and off the coast of Argentina. Levels of PBDEs 327 measured in air during this cruise were lower than in the 328 GRULAC study and enriched in BDE209 (70% contribution). 329 The lower levels of PBDEs in the off shore samples reflect their 330 greater distance from point sources of PBDEs, whereas the 331 higher proportion of BDE209 indicates a different source type. 332 PBDEs have also been reported from PUF-PAS deployed in three Chilean cities in 2008-2009, including Concepción. 333 334 Total PBDE concentrations at Concepción were similar 335 between this Chilean study³⁷ (0.5-20 pg/m³) and this 336 GRULAC study. BDE-209 was the dominant congener at the urban and industrial sites, suggesting there may be different 337 sources between the sampling locations in the respective 338 studies. 339

Seasonal differences of the PBDEs were not observed at these sites, which is in line with observations at other global regions sampled in the GAPS Network.¹⁰ As discussed in As Rauert et al.¹⁰ concentrations of PBDEs in the GRULAC tregion in 2014 were significantly lower than concentrations from the core GAPS sites in North America in 2014. This is not set surprising considering the higher historical use of PBDEs in Ar North America,³⁸ as compared to developing regions.

Novel Flame Retardants. The novel FRs were detected less frequently and in generally lower concentrations than the between sites and years, although 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabetween sites and years, although 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrasites between sites and years, although 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrasites and years, although 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5highlighting that the detected concentrations were in the same 356 range as the MDLs. Total novel FR (Σ_{15} novel FR) 357 concentrations (excluding HBCD) ranged <0.1-13 pg/m³ in 358 2014 and <0.1-30 pg/m³ in 2015 except for an anomalously 359 high concentration of EH-TBB and bis(2-ethyl-1-hexyl) 360 tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP) at Concepción (Chile) in 361 Q4 of 2015 (2880 and 2890 pg/m³, respectively). This sample 362 may have been contaminated during processing and is removed 363 from further discussion. The Σ_{15} novel FR concentrations in 364 2015 were significantly higher than in 2014 (p = 0.01), 365 primarily due to the higher detection frequencies of both EH- 366 TBB and HBB in 2015 (86–93% in 2015 and 14–24% in 367 2014). Figure S5 maps the average concentrations of EH-TBB, 368 HBB, and Dec 602 at the GRULAC sites, clearly showing the 369 elevated concentrations of EH-TBB in 2015. Due to the low 370 detection frequencies of the novel FRs, and the uncertainty due 371 to detected concentrations being close to the detection limits, 372 more monitoring is required to determine if an increasing trend 373 of EH-TBB is being observed in this region. 374

Concepción was the only site where HBCD was detected, 375 and only the γ -HBCD diastereomer was detected. Concentrations at this site in 2014 were the highest of all the 44 global 377 sites in the GAPS network monitored in 2014.¹⁰ Concentrations in 2015, meanwhile, were an order of magnitude lower 379 than in 2014. Figure S6 graphs the concentrations of γ -HBCD 380 detected in 2014 and 2015, the diastereomer profile expected in 381 the HBCD technical formulation and the profile expected after 382 thermal rearrangement of the diastereomers.³⁹ There is no 383 obvious explanation for why only the γ -HBCD diastereomer 384 was detected and further monitoring will be conducted at this 385 site. 386

Seasonal differences of the novel FRs were not observed at ³⁸⁷ these sites in either 2014 or 2015. This is again in line with ³⁸⁸ other global regions monitored in the regular GAPS sampling ³⁸⁹ campaign, where seasonal differences were not observed in ³⁹⁰ 2014.¹⁰ The low detection frequencies of these compounds, ³⁹¹ however, make comparisons difficult, and this is a challenge that ³⁹² should be addressed in future passive air sampling campaigns, ³⁹³ as discussed further in Rauert et al.¹⁰

Flame-Retardant Summary. As the GRULAC region is 395 developing, it is expected to become a major e-waste and waste 396 electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) producer in the 397 next 10 years.^{38,40} Brazil in particular has a rapidly expanding 398 market and is undergoing a "boom" in e-waste generation.⁴¹ 399 The importation of e-waste is prohibited in Brazil under Brazil's 400 National Solid Waste Policy;⁴² however, Mexico is expected to 401 receive significant quantities of e-waste, exported from 402 developed countries.⁴⁰ Electronic equipment can contain high 403 percentage levels of FRs, and the dismantling of e-waste 404 (whether produced domestically or imported) is a major 405 contributor of FRs to the surrounding environment as well as 406 to the workers and the surrounding communities.⁴⁰ Limited 407 controls over the disposal of e-waste in developing regions, 408 where the majority of disposal areas are open area dumping 409 sites, also contributes to environmental levels. Therefore, the 410 GRULAC region is a key region for future monitoring studies 411 for the assessment of a change in environmental FR levels with 412 development. 413

SIP-PAS. SIP-PAS were deployed for one sampling quarter 414 in 2015, at 7 sites. The SIP-PAS were only deployed at one 415 urban site (Rio Gallegos, Argentina) and all of the background 416 and agricultural sites. Concentrations of n-PFAS, perfluor- 417



Figure 4. Map of detected concentrations (pg/m^3) of (a) FOSA and FOSEs and (b) PFSAs in the GRULAC region from SIP-PAS deployed in 2015. Note the different scales on the two maps. The one urban location is marked with an asterisk. NS indicates that a sample was not deployed at that site.

418 ocarboxylic acids (PFCAs), perfluorosulfonic acids (PFSAs), 419 and VMS from each sample are listed in Tables S23–S26.

Neutral Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances. The 420 421 fluorotelomer alcohols (FTOHs) dominated over the per-422 fluorooctane sulfonamides and sulfonamido ethanols (FOSA and FOSEs) at the sites where they were detected (Figure 2). 423 The 8:2 FTOH concentrations were 2-7 times higher than the 424 10:2 FTOH, while the 6:2 FTOH was below the MDL in all 425 samples. The FOSAs were detected at all seven sites, and the 42.6 FOSEs were only detected at three sites with concentrations 42.7 close to method detection limits. Figure S7 presents box-and-428 whisker plots of individual n-PFAS. 429

Figure 4 maps the profiles of concentrations of the FOSA/ 430 431 FOSEs over the GRULAC region, and Figure S8 maps 432 concentrations of the FTOHs. EtFOSA was detected at the 433 highest concentrations at São Jose dos Ausentes (Brazil) and 434 Manizales (Colombia) where concentrations were at least an 435 order of magnitude higher than the other GRULAC sites 436 (Figure 4). The concentrations at these two sites were also an 437 order of magnitude higher than concentrations detected in 438 North America and Europe in the core GAPS sampling 439 campaign in 2015²⁸ (Table \$23). EtFOSA is still produced and 440 in use in Brazil as the active ingredient in the pesticide sulfluramid²⁵ and is exported from Brazil to other GRULAC 441 countries for use, including Argentina, Colombia, and Costa 442 443 Rica.²⁵ In Colombia, there are no specific regulations on the 444 production or use of sulfluramid, and it is acknowledged in the Colombian National Implementation Plan of the Stockholm 445 Convention that its use and registered sales have continued to 446 at least 2015.43 As such, the elevated atmospheric concen-447 trations of EtFOSA detected in Brazil and Colombia are in line 448 with the production and use of this pesticide. 449

450 Global n-PFAS levels (ng/PAS) have been previously 451 reported from XAD-PAS deployed at up to 46 GAPS sites, 452 including 8 GRULAC sites, in 2006–2011⁴⁴ (Table S23). The 453 rural site of Indaiatuba (Brazil) and the background site of Saint 454 Peter (Brazil) saw elevated concentrations of EtFOSA, which 455 were also an order of magnitude higher than at the other GRULAC and global sites sampled in 2006–2011. A calibration 456 study was also conducted at the suburban site of San Antonio 457 de Belen (Costa Rica). Elevated levels of EtFOSA (similar to 458 the levels at Brazil) were again seen, which is in line with 459 known export of sulfluramid to Costa Rica.²⁵ In this 2015 460 GRULAC study, concentrations of EtFOSA were not elevated 461 at the background site of Tapanti (Costa Rica), indicating 462 different use patterns of EtFOSA in suburban and background 463 (national park) areas of Costa Rica. 464

Few studies have reported n-PFAS from the southern 465 hemisphere, namely over the Atlantic and Southern Oceans, 466 and are summarized in Table S23. Janke et al.,⁴⁵ Dreyer et al.,⁴⁶ 467 and Wang et al.⁴⁷ reported concentrations of n-PFAS in 2005, 468 2007-2008, and 2010-2011, respectively, that were in line 469 with concentrations seen in the GRULAC region. All three 470 ship-based studies reported a strong concentration gradient 471 with significantly lower concentrations in the southern 472 hemisphere than the northern hemisphere. This concentration 473 gradient was not observed at the sites sampled in the GAPS 474 Network. As mentioned previously, the n-PFAS have been 475 reported from North America and Europe in 2015 from the 476 regular GAPS sampling campaign.²⁸ Concentrations of the 477 FTOHs and the FOSA and FOSEs at the GRULAC sites (with 478 the elevated EtFOSA concentrations at São Jose dos Ausentes 479 and Manizales removed from the comparison) were in line with 480 concentrations at the background and polar sites in North 481 America and Europe in 2015²⁸ (Table S23). The concen- 482 trations between the GRULAC region, North America and 483 Europe were not significantly different (p > 0.05), although 484 there is a small data set for the European (n = 4) and the 485 GRULAC sites (n = 7), reducing the statistical reliability. The 486 similar concentrations of the n-PFAS detected in the 487 developing and developed regions (where use and production 488 is higher) demonstrates the global reach of these chemicals of 489 concern and the long-range atmospheric transport properties of 490 these volatile precursors of the PFAAs.48,49 491

Perfluoroalkyl Acids. The PFSAs were detected at every site 492 with PFOS dominating, followed by perfluorobutanesulfonate 493 494 (PFBS) and PFHxS. PFDS was not detected in the GRULAC 495 region. Figure S7 presents box-and-whisker plots of the 496 individual PFSAs. The PFCAs had elevated concentrations in 497 the field blanks, as described further in Rauert et al.,²⁸ with 498 target PFCAs only detected above MDLs at Tapanti (Costa 499 Rica) (Table S24). Hence, the PFCAs are not included in the 500 figures due to the low detection of these analytes.

⁵⁰¹ PFSA concentrations were similar at all sites, including the ⁵⁰² urban site of Rio Gallegos (Argentina), except for Tapanti ⁵⁰³ (Costa Rica), where lower concentrations were observed ⁵⁰⁴ (Table S25). Figure 4 maps the profiles of atmospheric ⁵⁰⁵ concentrations of the PFSAs. The concentrations of the PFSAs ⁵⁰⁶ were 10 times higher than the precursor FOSAs/FOSEs, which ⁵⁰⁷ is in line with observations at other global regions sampled in ⁵⁰⁸ the GAPS Network.²⁸

⁵⁰⁹ PFOS is listed in the Stockholm Convention for reduction of ⁵¹⁰ production and use, but acceptable purposes are also listed, ⁵¹¹ including the production of sulfluramid.^{22,24} Although elevated ⁵¹² EtFOSA concentrations were detected in Brazil and Colombia, ⁵¹³ atmospheric concentrations of PFOS were similar in all ⁵¹⁴ countries in the GRULAC region (Figure 4). The numerous ⁵¹⁵ sources of PFOS to the atmosphere in this region, including the ⁵¹⁶ transformation of precursors, long-range transport, and ⁵¹⁷ localized emissions, all contribute to the similar regional ⁵¹⁸ background atmospheric concentrations observed.

PFAAs have been previously reported from a few 519 520 atmospheric studies in the southern hemisphere, namely shipbased studies, summarized in Table S24-S25. Janke et al. 521 522 reported concentrations of PFOS in 2005 that were up to 2 orders of magnitude lower than the concentrations seen in the 523 GRULAC region in 2015. Only the particulate phase was 524 analyzed, and so concentrations may be underestimated. Dreyer 525 526 et al.⁴⁶ also reported lower concentrations of the PFAS in 527 2007-2008 than in the GRULAC region in 2015. There are 528 different sources to atmospheric samples from ship (marine)-529 based locations and land-based sites, with an increased number 530 of potential local sources at land-based locations. The differences between these studies demonstrate that more 531 532 monitoring is required from the southern hemisphere to 533 determine the environmental fate and regional trends of the PFAS. 534

Similar concentrations of the PFSAs were seen between the Side North American and European sites in the GAPS Network and Big the GRULAC sites. PFSAs and PFCAs have been reported Side from North America and Europe in 2015 from the core GAPS Sig sampling campaign²⁸ (Table S24–25). Concentrations of the PFSAs were not statistically different between the GRULAC Side PFSAs were not statistically different between the GRULAC Side Europe (p > 0.05). This again demonstrates the global reach of Side PFAAs and long-range transport properties.^{49–51}

544 Volatile Methyl Siloxanes. The SIP-PAS from São Jose 545 (Brazil) had unacceptably low surrogate recoveries (<5%), and 546 this sample has been removed from the data set. The following 547 text compares the remaining six SIP-PAS that were deployed 548 around this region.

549 Dodecamethylpentasiloxane (L5) was the only linear VMS 550 (IVMS) detected, and concentrations were close to the 551 detection limit. The cyclic VMS (cVMS), meanwhile, were 552 detected at every site and had the highest concentrations of all 553 of the analyte classes monitored (Figure 2). Figure S9 presents 554 box-and-whisker plots of the individual cVMS. The urban site 555 of Rio Gallegos (Argentina) had higher concentrations of the 556 cVMS than the background and agricultural sites by at least a factor of 2. The elevated concentrations at this urban site are in 557 line with other studies that have reported increasing cVMS 558 atmospheric concentrations with increasing population den- 559 sity.^{28,52} Figure 5 maps the profiles of atmospheric concen- 560 f5 trations of the cVMS in the GRULAC region. 561



Figure 5. Map of detected concentrations (ng/m^3) of the cVMS in the GRULAC region from SIP-PAS deployed in 2015. The one urban location is marked with an asterisk. NS indicates that a sample was not deployed at that site.

This is the first report of VMS atmospheric concentrations 562 from the GRULAC region as well as from the southern 563 hemisphere (to the author's knowledge). The VMS have been 564 reported previously from North America and Europe from the 565 core GAPS sampling campaign in 2015.²⁸ Concentrations of 566 the cVMS in the GRULAC region were not statistically 567 different (p > 0.05) to the concentrations in North America or 568 Europe, summarized in Table S26. The profiles were also 569 similar between the three regions with octamethylcyclotetrasi- 570 loxane (D4) and decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D5) dominat- 571 ing. It is estimated that Europe, North America, and China 572 account for about one-quarter each of the world consumption 573 market of the VMS.²⁶ The similar atmospheric concentrations 574 in the developed regions (with higher production and use of 575 the VMS) and the developing GRULAC region, highlights that 576 long-range atmospheric transport is a significant contributor to 577 atmospheric concentrations in developing regions and also 578 shows the global coverage of these chemicals as shown in 579 modeling studies.^{32,53,54} 580

To further investigate long-range transport as a source to the 581 atmosphere at these sites, the ratio of D5 to D4 was 582 investigated as an indicator of atmospheric inputs of cVMS to 583 a location.^{28,52} A higher ratio (>2) indicates that local sources, 584 such as emissions from wastewater treatment plants,²⁷ are the 585 main contributors to atmospheric concentrations and a lower 586 ratio (<2) indicates long-range atmospheric transport is the 587

sss main input.²⁸ At the GRULAC sites, the highest ratios were ss9 seen at the urban site of Rio Gallegos and the agricultural site of S90 Sonora (Mexico) of 4.4 and 8.6, respectively, suggesting that S91 local inputs are a primary contributor to the air mass at these s92 areas. The background site of Manizales (Colombia) had a ratio S93 of 2.2 indicating a mix of sources to this location, and the other S94 sites all had ratios of <2, indicating that long-range atmospheric S95 transport is the main contributor to atmospheric concen-S96 trations. All ratios are listed in Table S26.

Implications. The time series of newer POPs (and emerging 597 598 chemicals of concern) from long-term monitoring programs in 599 North America and Europe are still too short to distinguish 600 trend changes from annual fluctuations.⁶ The time-series data 601 from developing regions is even shorter or nonexistent. This 602 study highlights the importance and need for monitoring data 603 from developing countries, providing regional-scale monitoring 604 data of the OPEs, PBDEs, novel FR, and n-PFAS and the first atmospheric data of the PFAAs and VMS from the GRULAC 605 606 region. Further monitoring is required to investigate the 607 tendencies observed in this study, such as the significantly 608 higher concentrations of OPEs in the GRULAC region, as compared to North America and Europe, and the elevated 609 concentrations of EtFOSA in Brazil and Colombia. 610

As discussed in Rauert et al.,¹⁰ there are a number of 611 612 challenges that passive air sampling monitoring networks may 613 face. In this GRULAC study, we have observed anomalous 614 results at select sites in which certain compounds were elevated during one sampling year but not the next or over the entire 615 616 study. This highlights the need to conduct longer term sampling and to exercise caution when deploying samplers to 617 618 avoid possible point sources such as indoor air from ventilation 619 systems. Placement of samplers should take advantage of the 620 electricity-free nature of PAS, with the samplers deployed well 621 away from buildings, electrical equipment and other potential 622 sources of target analytes. Furthermore, to obtain representative 623 results for urban areas, which tend to be more heterogeneous in 624 terms of sources compared to background sites, it is advisable 625 to deploy at multiple sites. Another uncertainty occurs with the 626 use of an average sampling rate (4 m³/day) instead of site-627 specific sampling rates. The use of models of global sampling 628 rates, currently being developed, may improve the accuracy of this measurement in future studies. 629

Another challenge, highlighted further in this GRULAC 630 631 study, is that for some compound classes (e.g., the PBDEs and 632 novel FRs), detection in air at background sites is difficult, as 633 shown in Figure 2. The concentrations at these sites are in the 634 same range as the MDLs, resulting in a lower confidence in the data and trends produced. Higher MDLs can be partly 635 636 attributed to contamination issues and should be explored through improved laboratory practices, although this can be 637 difficult and costly. Another option is to focus on representative 638 639 urban sites, with higher air concentrations, to help overcome 640 limitations related to MDLs and to develop meaningful regional and temporal trends. 641

Lastly, the study highlights the versatility of the PUF disk and G43 SIP disk samplers for conducting region-scale sampling in a G44 simple and cost-effective way. These samplers are capable of G45 sampling multiple target chemical classes that span an G46 enormous range of volatilities. The GAPS-type sampler allows G47 for both gas-phase and particle-phase chemicals to be sampled G48 at similar rates,⁵⁶ which allows for the comparison of data G49 against results obtained using conventional high-volume G50 samplers. 651

652

668

690

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 653 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b00995. 654

Tables showing site and sampling information, target 655analyte information, and concentrations of target analytes 656in each sample. Figures showing box and whisker plots of 657target analytes and maps of target analytes over the 658GRULAC region. (PDF)659

AUTHOR INFORMATION	660
Corresponding Author	661
*Phone: +1-416-739-4837; e-mail: Tom.Harner@Canada.ca.	662
ORCID [©]	663
Cassandra Rauert: 0000-0002-2543-9023	664
Tom Harner: 0000-0001-9026-3645	665
Notes	666
The authors declare no competing financial interest.	667

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial funding and support was provided by the United 669 Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the Chemicals 670 Management Plan (CMP). These data have been generated in 671 the frame of the Global Monitoring Plan for POPs under the 672 Stockholm Convention, with support from the Secretariat of 673 the Stockholm Convention and UN Environment. The 674 implementation of the project as part of the Global Monitoring 675 Plan for POPs has been made possible thanks to the generous 676 contribution to the Stockholm Convention Voluntary Trust 677 Fund by the European Commission through its Global Public 678 Goods and Challenges (GPGC) programme. Thanks go to the 679 team at Rio Blanco nature reserve, Aguas de Manizales in 680 Manizales, for the collaboration during the sampling period. 681 G.F. is a research fellow of the Brazilian Research Council 682 (CNPg PQ 312341/2013-0) and CAPES (88881.120814/ 683 2016-01). K.P. is funded by the Fondecyt project no. 1161673. 684 Deep thanks go to the Chacaltaya GAW station team helping 685 on the deployment and recovery of the samples, especially 686 Fernando Velarde and Marcelo Peñaloza. Thanks go to 687 Clemens Ruepert from the Laboratory of Pesticide Residue 688 Analysis of IRET for his collaboration during field work. 689

REFERENCES

(1) United Nations Environment Programme. Stockholm Con- 691 vention-Global Monitoring Plan. http://chm.pops.int/ 692 Implementation/GlobalMonitoringPlan/Overview/tabid/83/Default. 693 aspx. 694

(2) Rauert, C.; Harner, T.; Schuster, J. K.; Quinto, K.; Fillmann, G.; 695 Castillo, L. E.; Fentanes, O.; Villa Ibarra, M.; Miglioranza, K.; Moreno 696 Rivadeneira, I.; Pozo, K.; Padilla Puerta, A.; Aristizabal Zuluaga, B. H. 697 Towards a regional passive air sampling network and strategy for new 698 POPs in the GRULAC region: Perspectives from the GAPS Network 699 and first results for organophosphorus flame retardants. *Sci. Total* 700 *Environ.* **2016**, *573*, 1294–1302. 701

(3) Meire, R. O.; Lee, S. C.; Yao, Y.; Targino, A. C.; Torres, J. P. M.; 702 Harner, T. Seasonal and altitudinal variations of legacy and current-use 703 pesticides in the Brazilian tropical and subtropical mountains. *Atmos.* 704 *Environ.* **2012**, *59*, 108–116. 705

(4) Tominaga, M. Y.; Silva, C. R.; Melo, J. P.; Niwa, N. A.; Plascak, 706 D.; Souza, C. A. M.; Sato, M. I. Z. PCDD, PCDF, dl-PCB and 707 organochlorine pesticides monitoring in São Paulo City using passive 708 air sampler as part of the Global Monitoring Plan. *Sci. Total Environ.* 709 **2016**, *571*, 323–331. 710

711 (5) Leslie, H. A.; van Bavel, B.; Abad, E.; de Boer, J. Towards 712 comparable POPs data worldwide with global monitoring data and 713 analytical capacity building in Africa, Central and Latin America, and 714 the South Pacific. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *46*, 85–97.

715 (6) Wöhrnschimmel, H.; Scheringer, M.; Bogdal, C.; Hung, H.; 716 Salamova, A.; Venier, M.; Katsoyiannis, A.; Hites, R. A.; Hungerbuhler, 717 K.; Fiedler, H. Ten years after entry into force of the Stockholm 718 Convention: What do air monitoring data tell about its effectiveness? 719 *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *217*, 149–158.

720 (7) Estellano, V. H.; Pozo, K.; Harner, T.; Franken, M.; Zaballa, M. 721 Altitudinal and seasonal variations of persistent organic pollutants in 722 the Bolivian Andes mountains. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 2528–

723 2534.
724 (8) Francisco, A. P.; Nardocci, A. C.; Tominaga, M. Y.; da Silva, C.

725 R.; de Assunção, J. V. Spatial and seasonal trends of polychlorinated 726 dioxins, furans and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls in air using 727 passive and active samplers and inhalation risk assessment. *Atmos.* 728 *Pollut. Res.* **2017**, *8*, 979–987.

729 (9) Pozo, K.; Harner, T.; Wania, F.; Muir, D. C. G.; Jones, K. C.; 730 Barrie, L. A. Toward a global network for persistent organic pollutants 731 in air: Results from the GAPS study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40, 732 4867–4873.

733 (10) Rauert, C.; Schuster, J. K.; Eng, A.; Harner, T. Global 734 atmospheric concentrations of brominated, chlorinated flame 735 retardants and organophosphate esters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, 736 52, 2777–2789.

(11) Schuster, J. K.; Harner, T.; Fillmann, G.; Ahrens, L.; Altamirano,
J. C.; Aristizábal, B.; Bastos, W.; Castillo, L. E.; Cortés, J.; Fentanes, O.;
Gusev, A.; Hernandez, M.; Ibarra, M. V.; Lana, N. B.; Lee, S. C.;
Martínez, A. P.; Miglioranza, K. S. B.; Padilla Puerta, A.; Segovia, F.;
Siu, M.; Tominaga, M. Y. Assessing polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
and polychlorinated dibenzofurans in air across Latin American
countries using polyurethane foam disk passive air samplers. *Environ.*Sci. Technol. 2015, 49 (6), 3680–3686.

745 (12) United Nations Environment Programme. The new POPs
746 under the Stockholm Convention. http://chm.pops.int/
747 TheConvention/ThePOPs/TheNewPOPs/tabid/2511/Default.aspx.

748 (13) Covaci, A.; Harrad, S.; Abdallah, M.A-E.; Ali, N.; Law, R. J.; 749 Herzke, D.; de Wit, C. A. Novel brominated flame retardants: A review 750 of their analysis, environmental fate and behaviour. *Environ. Int.* **2011**, 751 37, 532–556.

752 (14) Van der Veen, I.; de Boer, J. Phosphorus flame retardants: 753 Properties, production, environmental occurrence, toxicity and 754 analysis. *Chemosphere* **2012**, *88*, 1119–1153.

755 (15) Wei, G.-L.; Li, D.-Q.; Zhuo, M.-N.; Liao, Y.-S.; Xie, Z.-Y.; Guo, 756 T.-L.; Li, J.-J.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Liang, Z.-Q. Organophosphorus flame 757 retardants and plasticizers: Sources, occurrence, toxicity and human 758 exposure. *Environ. Pollut.* **2015**, *196*, 29–46.

(16) United Nations Environment Programme. SC-6/13: Listing of
 hexabromocyclododecane; http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/
 ThePOPs/TheNewPOPs/tabid/2511/Default.aspx.

(17) Government of Canada. Canada Consumer Product Safety Act
(S.C. 2010, c.21). http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-1.68/page10.html.

765 (18) Salamova, A.; Hermanson, M. H.; Hites, R. A. Organophosphate 766 and halogenated flame retardants in atmospheric particles from a 767 European Arctic site. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 6133–6140.

768 (19) Hung, H.; Katsoyiannis, A. A.; Brorström-Lundén, E.;
769 Olafsdottir, K.; Aas, W.; Breivik, K.; Bohlin-Nizzetto, P.; Sigurdsson,
770 A.; Hakola, H.; Bossi, R.; Skov, H.; Sverko, E.; Barresi, E.; Fellin, P.;
771 Wilson, S. Temporal trends of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in
772 Arctic air: 20 years of monitoring under the Arctic Monitoring and
773 Assessment Programme (AMAP). *Environ. Pollut.* 2016, 217, 52–61.

(20) Sühring, R.; Diamond, M. L.; Scheringer, M.; Wong, F.; Púcko,
775 M.; Stern, G.; Burt, A.; Hung, H.; Fellin, P.; Li, H.; Jantunen, L. M.
776 Organophosphate esters in Canadian Arctic air: Occurrence, levels and
777 trends. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50*, 7409–7415.

778 (21) Buck, R. C.; Franklin, J.; Berger, U.; Conder, J. M.; Cousins, I. 779 T.; de Voogt, P.; Jensen, A. A.; Kannan, K.; Mabury, S. A.; van Leeuwen, S. P. J. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the 780 environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integr. Environ.* 781 Assess. Manage. **2011**, 7, 513–541. 782

(22) United Nations Environment Programme. SC-4/17: Listing of 783 perfluorooctane sulfonic acid, its salts and prefluorooctane sulfonyl 784 fluoride. http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/ 785 TheNewPOPs/tabid/2511/Default.aspx. 786

(23) United Nations Environment Programme. Chemicals proposed 787 for listing under the Convention. http://chm.pops.int/ 788 TheConvention/ThePOPs/ChemicalsProposedforListing/tabid/ 789 2510/Default.aspx. 790

(24) United Nations Environment Programme. Acceptable Purposes: 791 PFOS, its salts and PFOSF. http://chm.pops.int/Implementation/ 792 Exemptionsandacceptablepurposes/RegistersofAcceptablePurposes/ 793 AcceptablePurposesPFOSandPFOSF/tabid/794/Default.aspx. 794

(25) Löfstedt Gilljam, J.; Leonel, J.; Cousins, I. T.; Benskin, J. P. Is 795 ongoing sulfluramid use in South America a significant source of 796 perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS)? Production inventories, environ- 797 mental fate and local occurrence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 653–798 659. 799

(26) Wang, D.-G.; Norwood, W.; Alaee, M.; Byer, J. D.; Brimble, S. 800 Review of recent advances in research on the toxicity, detection, 801 occurrence and fate of cyclic volatile methyl siloxanes in the 802 environment. *Chemosphere* **2013**, 93, 711–725. 803

(27) Shoeib, M.; Schuster, J.; Rauert, C.; Su, K.; Smyth, S.-A.; 804 Harner, T. Emission of poly and perfluoroalkyl substances, UV-filters 805 and siloxanes to air from wastewater treatment plants. *Environ. Pollut.* 806 **2016**, 218, 595–604. 807

(28) Rauert, C.; Shoieb, M.; Schuster, J. K.; Eng, A.; Harner, T. 808 Atmospheric concentrations and trends of poly- and perfluoroalkyl 809 substances (PFAS) and volatile methyl siloxanes (VMS) over 7 years 810 of sampling in the GAPS Network. *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, 238, 94–102. 811

(29) Government of Canada. Siloxane D4 (Cyclotetrasiloxane, 812 octamethyl-). https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/ 813 chemical-substances/challenge/batch-2/cyclotetrasiloxane-octamethyl. 814 html. 815

(30) Shoeib, M.; Harner, T.; Vlahos, P. Perfluorinated chemicals in 816 the Arctic atmosphere. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40, 7577–7583. 817

(31) Genualdi, S.; Lee, S. C.; Shoeib, M.; Gawor, A.; Ahrens, L.; 818 Harner, T. Global Pilot Study of Legacy and Emerging Persistent 819 Organic Pollutant using Sorbent-Impregnated Polyurethane Foam 820 Disk Passive Air Samplers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 5534–5539. 821 (32) Genualdi, S.; Harner, T.; Cheng, Y.; MacLeod, M.; Hansen, K. 822

M.; van Egmond, R.; Shoeib, M.; Lee, S. C. Global Distribution of 823 Linear and Cyclic Volatile Methyl Siloxanes in Air. *Environ. Sci.* 824 *Technol.* **2011**, 45, 3349–3354. 825

(33) Stockholm Convention. Guidance on the Global Monitoring 826 Plan for Persistent Organic Pollutants, January 2013; http://chm.pops. 827 int/Implementation/GlobalMonitoringPlan/Overview/tabid/83/ 828 Default.aspx. 829

(34) Shoeib, M.; Harner, T.; Lee, S. C.; Lane, D.; Zhu, J. Sorbent- 830 impregnated polyurethane foam disk for passive air sampling of 831 volatile fluorinated chemicals. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 675–682. 832

(35) Harner, T. 2017_v1_5_Template for calculating PUF and SIP 833 disk sample air volumes_September_15. 834

(36) Pegoraro, C. A.; Harner, T.; Su, K.; Chiappero, M. S. Assessing 835 levels of POPs in air over the South Atlantic ocean off the coast of 836 South America. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *571*, 172–177. 837

(37) Pozo, K.; Oyola, G.; Estellano, V. H.; Harner, T.; Rudolph, A.; 838 Prybilova, P.; Kukucka, P.; Audi, O.; Klánová, J.; Metzdorff, A.; 839 Focardi, S. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the atmosphere of 840 three Chilean cities using passive air samplers. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, 841 *586*, 107–114. 842

(38) Hale, R. C.; Alaee, M.; Manchester-Neesvig, J. B.; Stapelton, H. 843 M.; Ikonomou, M. G. Polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants 844 in the North American environment. *Environ. Int.* **2003**, *29*, 771–779. 845 (39) Covaci, A.; Gerecke, A. C.; Law, R. J.; Voorspoels, S.; Kohler, 846 M.; Heeb, N. V.; Leslie, H.; Allchin, C. R.; de Boer, J. 847 848 Hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) in the Environment and 849 Humans: A Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 3679–3688.

850 (40) Robinson, B. H. E-waste: an assessment of global production 851 and environmental impacts. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2009**, 408, 183–191.

(41) Araújo, M. G.; Magrini, A.; Mahler, C. F.; Bilitewski, B. A model so for estimation of potential generation of waste electrical and electronic equipment in Brazil. *Waste Manage.* **2012**, *32*, 335–342.

855 (42) Política nacional de resíduos sólidos. Lei n. 12.305, de 2 de
856 agosto de 2010. 3. ed., Brasília: Câmara dos Deputados, Edições
857 Câmara (Série legislação No 230); http://bd.camara.leg.br/bd/
858 bitstream/handle/bdcamara/14826/politica_residuos_solidos_3ed.
859 pdf?sequence=15.

860 (43) National Implementation Plan. *Colombia Stockholm convention* 861 *on persistent organic pollutants - POPs*; Ministry of Environment and 862 Sustainable Development: Bogota, Colombia, 2017.

863 (44) Gawor, A.; Shunthirasingham, C.; Hayward, S. J.; Lei, Y. D.;
864 Gouin, T.; Mmereki, B. T.; Masamba, W.; Ruepert, C.; Castillo, L. E.;
865 Shoeib, M.; Lee, S. C.; Harner, T.; Wania, F. Neutral polyfluoroalkyl
866 substances in the global Atmosphere. *Environmental Science Processes &*867 *Impacts* 2014, *16*, 404–413.

868 (45) Jahnke, A.; Berger, U.; Ebinghaus, R.; Temme, C. Latitudinal
869 gradient of airborne polyfluorinated alkyl substances in the marine
870 atmosphere between Germany and South Africa (53° N-33° S).
871 Environ. Sci. Technol. 2007, 41, 3055–3061.

872 (46) Dreyer, A.; Weinberg, I.; Temme, C.; Ebinghaus, R. 873 Polyfluorinated compounds in the atmosphere of the Atlantic and 874 Southern Oceans: Evidence for a global distribution. *Environ. Sci.* 875 *Technol.* **2009**, *43*, 6507–6514.

(47) Wang, Z.; Xie, Z.; Mi, W.; Möller, A.; Wolschke, H.; Ebinghaus,
R. Neutral poly/per-fluoroalkyl substances in air from the Atlantic to
878 the Southern Ocean and in Antarctic Snow. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015,
879 49, 7770–7775.

(48) Yarwood, G.; Kemball-Cook, S.; Keinath, M.; Waterland, R. L.;
Korzeniowski, S. H.; Buck, R. C.; Russell, M. H.; Washburn, S. T.
High-resolution atmospheric modeling of fluorotelomer alcohols and
perfluorocarboxylic acids in the North America troposphere. *Environ.*Sci. Technol. 2007, 41, 5756–5762.

885 (49) Wang, Z.; Boucher, J. M.; Scheringer, M.; Cousins, I. T.; 886 Hungerbühler, K. Toward a comprehensive global emission inventory 887 of C_4 - C_{10} perfluoroalkanesulfonic acids (PFSAs) and related 888 precursors: Focus on the life cycle of C_8 - based products and ongoing 889 industrial transition. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 4482–4493.

890 (50) Wallington, T. J.; Hurley, M. D.; Xia, J.; Wuebbles, D. J.;

891 Sillman, S.; Ito, A.; Penner, J. E.; Ellis, D. A.; Martin, J.; Mabury, A.; 892 Nielsen, O. J.; Sulbaek Andersen, M. P. Formation of $C_7F_{15}COOH$ 893 (PFOA) and other perfluorocarboxylic acids during the atmospheric 894 oxidation of 8:2 fluorotelomer alcohol. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 895 924–930.

896 (51) Armitage, J. M.; MacLeod, M.; Cousins, I. T. Comparative 897 assessment of the global fate and transport pathways of long-chain 898 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) and perfluorocarboxylates (PFCs) 899 emitted from direct sources. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43*, 5830– 900 5836.

901 (52) Yucuis, R. A.; Stanier, C. O.; Hornbuckle, K. C. Cyclic siloxanes 902 in air, including identification of high levels in Chicago and distinct 903 diurnal variation. *Chemosphere* **2013**, *92*, 905–910.

904 (53) Krogseth, I. S.; Kierkegaard, A.; McLachlan, M. S.; Breivik, K.; 905 Hansen, K. M.; Schlabach, M. Occurrence and seasonality of cyclic 906 volatile methyl siloxanes in Arctic air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47, 907 502–509.

908 (54) Janechek, N. J.; Hansen, K. M.; Stanier, C. O. Comprehensive 909 atmospheric modeling of reactive cyclic siloxanes and their oxidation 910 products. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17*, 8357–8370.

911 (55) Herkert, N. J.; Spak, S. N.; Smith, A.; Schuster, J. K.; Harner, T.; 912 Martinez, A.; Hornbuckle, K. C. Calibration and evaluation of PUF-913 PAS sampling rates across the global atmospheric passive sampling 914 (GAPS) network. *Environmental Science Processes & Impacts* **2018**, *20*, 915 210–219. (56) Markovic, M. Z.; Prokop, A.; Staebler, R. M.; Liggio, J.; Harner, 916 T. Evaluation of the particle infiltration efficiency of three passive 917 samplers and the PS-1 active air sampler. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *112*, 918 289–293. 919