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#### Abstract

This paper provides an analytical solution for free transverse vibrations of axially functionally graded beams with step changes in geometry and in material properties. The differential quadrature method using domain decomposition technique is used. Based on Timoshenko beam theory, the equations of motion are derived using Hamilton's principle. Material properties are assumed to vary along the beam in a continuous or an abrupt fashion. The combinations of classical boundary conditions (free, simply supported and clamped) are considered to determine the natural frequencies of many numerical examples. The results for different step locations with different axially functionally graded materials are presented. The phenomenon of dynamic stiffness of beams can be observed in various situations. As there are no available previous results of axially functionally graded beams with step changes, only the results for beams with no abrupt discontinuities are compared with published results. The developed differential quadrature solution has proved its simplicity and robustness to solve the problem presented in the title.
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## 1 Introduction

The dynamic behaviour of stepped beam-like elements is of practical interest in many engineering applications, including civil, aerospace, shipbuilding and automobile engineering. For instance, long span bridges, tall buildings, spacecraft antennae, rotor blades and robot arm manipulators can be modeled with beam-like elements. The presence of steps in cross-section and in material properties may change the natural frequencies. As this situation may cause resonance, if the changed frequency is close to the working frequency, it is crucial to predict the change in the frequency, as well as the mode shape, in a dynamical environment. A recent literature survey on free vibration of stepped beams of functionally graded materials revealed that not many papers cover this topic. In particular, the case of beams with an abrupt change in geometrical and material properties is scarce. To the authors' knowledge, there are no natural frequency data in the literature for axially functionally graded, AFG, Timoshenko beams with step changes in cross-section and in material properties.
The classical Bernoulli-Euler beam theory adequately predicts the frequencies of vibration of lower modes of slender beams. The governing characteristic differential equation of a non-uniform beam is a fourth order ordinary differential equation in the flexural displacement with variable coefficients. Many authors have performed analysis of vibration of stepped beams based on this theory. [110]. Recently Mao el al. [1] employed the Adomain decomposition method to investigate the free vibration of stepped Bernoulli-Euler beams. Duan and Wang [5] and Wang and Wang [6] analyzed the free vibration of multiple-stepped Bernoulli-Euler beams.

In the case of Timoshenko beams, the governing characteristic differential equations are two differential equations coupled in terms of the flexural displacement and the angle of rotation which results from bending. [11-15]. The case of homogeneous stepped beams studies based on Timoshenko beam theory have been presented in [14-15] among other papers.
In the present paper a different point of view that adds the effect of the material inhomogeneity [16] to the step change geometry is modeled for free vibration of Timoshenko beams with various combinations of classical boundary conditions. The functionally graded material properties are assumed to vary along the beam in a linear, quadratic or cubic fashion in each beam element with an abrupt
discontinuity at the stepped change geometry. Various previous studies have been reported for beams made of AFG materials with a continuous variation of the cross-sectional area (tapered beams) [11, 17-20].

The exact solution for the behavior of vibrating Timoshenko beams with variable coefficients does not exist. The problem must be analyzed by approximate procedures. The differential quadrature method, DQM , is a useful technique to solve the governing equations directly. Early references on the DQM can be found in Bellman and Casti [21], Bert and Malik [22], Laura and Gutiérrez [23] and more recent development and applications can be found in [6], [14], [15], [20], [24], [25], [26], and [27] among many others. In particular, Karami and coworkers [14] developed an accurate differential quadrature element method based on the theory of shear deformable beams. They employed it to analyze beams with non-uniform or discontinuous geometry and other complexities.

In 1991 Laura et al. [10] studied the beneficial effects which can be achieved in a straight beam by introducing step variations of the area and the second moment of area of the beam cross-section. They analyzed the possibility of obtaining a lighter structure with a higher fundamental frequency of transverse vibration and presented some experimental data and the corresponding predictions made by using a finite element code.

## 2 Theory

2.1 Axially functionally graded material properties


Fig. 1 Power law relation of AFG material properties. $x=\bar{x} / L$

In the present paper the free vibration of stepped AFG Timoshenko beams with different combinations of classical boundary conditions is analyzed.

The beam could have step jumps in cross-sectional area and in material properties, [16], [28]. In order to obtain the dynamic response, the beam is discretized into elements or subdomains depending on the geometrical and material discontinuities.

The inhomogeneous material, with gradient compositional variation of the constituents, varies in the longitudinal direction of the beam. Properties of AFG materials, like mass density $\rho$, Young's modulus $E$, shear modulus $G$, continuously vary in the axial direction.

The material properties [17-20] are assumed to vary along the beam axis $\bar{x}$ with a power law relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\bar{x})=T_{a}+\left(T_{a}-T_{a}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{L}\right)^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{a}$ and $T_{b}$ are properties of material " $a$ " and material " $b$ ", respectively. They are the constituents of the inhomogeneous material of the beam; $n$ is the material non-homogeneity parameter and $T(\bar{x})$ is a typical material property such as $\rho, E$ or $G$.

The percentage content of material " $a$ " increases as $n$ increases. When $n=1$ the composition changes linearly through the length $L$, while $n=1 / 2$ or $n=2$ corresponds to a quadratic distribution, and so on. In general, any value $n$ outside the range $(1 / 3,3)$ is not desired $[16]$ because such a functionally graded material would contain too much of one of the constituents. (When $n=1 / 3$ or 3 , one constituent has the $75 \%$ of the total AFG material). Fig. 1.

### 2.2. Fundamental formulation

In 2001, Banerjee [29] presented a detailed derivation of the governing differential equations of motion of a Timoshenko beam of homogeneous material undergoing free natural vibration using Hamilton's principle. In the present paper, the differential equations of motion are obtained for AFG Timoshenko beams. Following the Timoshenko beam theory, the axially and shear strains for the beam could be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{x} \cong-y \theta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} w^{\prime 2}  \tag{2}\\
\gamma=\gamma_{x y}=w^{\prime}-\theta \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$w=w \bar{x}, t \quad$ and $\theta=\theta \quad \bar{x}, t$ are the flexural displacement of the beam neutral axis in the $y$ direction and the cross-section rotation, respectively. (Prime mark indicates derivative with respect to the spatial coordinate).

The strain energy due to flexure stretching and shear is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\iiint \int_{V}\left(\frac{E \varepsilon^{2}}{2}+\frac{G \gamma^{2}}{2}\right) d V=\frac{1}{2} \int_{A} \int_{0}^{L} E \varepsilon^{2}+G \gamma^{2} d \bar{x} d A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=A L, E=E \bar{x}$ is the Young modulus and $G=G \bar{x}$ is the shear modulus. $A=A \quad \bar{x}$ is the cross-sectional area; $I=I \quad \bar{x}$ is the second moment of area of the beam cross-section about $\bar{z}$-axis.

Substituting equations (2) and (3) in equation (4) and integrating over the crosssectional area $A$, the total strain energy is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}} \int_{0}^{L_{k}}\left[E I \quad \theta^{\prime 2}+\kappa A G \quad w^{\prime}-\theta\right]_{k} d \bar{x}_{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{e}$ is the total number of beam elements, $\kappa$ is the section shape shear factor and the length of the beam $L$ is:

$$
L=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}} L_{k}
$$

The expression of the kinetic energy is derived from the velocity components of a point at a distance $y$ from the neutral axis.

The velocity components in the $x, y$ and $z$ directions are expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{x}=-y \dot{\theta} ; V_{y}=0 ; V_{z}=\dot{w} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Superimposed dot indicates differentiation with respect to time).
Then the kinetic energy $T$ of the beam is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{A} V_{x}^{2}+V_{y}^{2}+V_{z}^{2} \rho d A d \bar{x}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{A}\left[y \dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right] \rho d A d \bar{x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\rho \bar{x}$ is the material's density.
Simplifying expression (7), the total kinetic energy can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}} \int_{0}^{L_{k}}\left[\rho I \dot{\theta}^{2}+\rho A \dot{w}^{2}\right]_{k} d \bar{x}_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The governing differential equations of motion are derived applying Hamilton's principle that states that

$$
\delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} T-U d t
$$

taken between two specified times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, is stationary for a dynamic trajectory:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} T-U d t=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \delta T-\delta U d t=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expressions (5) and (8) in eq. (9):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}} \int_{0}^{L_{k}}\left[\rho I \dot{\theta} \delta \dot{\theta}+\rho A \dot{w} \delta \dot{w}_{k}-\right.  \tag{10}\\
\quad E I \theta^{\prime} \delta \theta^{\prime}+\kappa A G \quad w^{\prime}-\theta \quad \delta w^{\prime}-\delta \theta_{k}
\end{array}\right] d \bar{x}_{k} \quad d t=0 ;
$$

and integrating expression (10) by parts, the expression for each beam element $k$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{L_{k}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}}\left[E I \theta^{\prime}+\kappa A G w^{\prime}-\theta-\rho I \ddot{\theta}\right]_{k} \delta \theta d t d \bar{x}_{k}+ \\
& \int_{0}^{L_{k}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[\rho A \ddot{w}+\kappa A G w^{\prime}-\theta\right]_{k}^{\prime} \delta w d t d \bar{x}_{k}+  \tag{11}\\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}-\left.E I \theta_{k}^{\prime} \delta \theta\right|_{0} ^{L_{k}} d t+\left.\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[\kappa \rho A w^{\prime}-\theta\right]_{k} \delta w\right|_{0} ^{L_{k}} d t+ \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{L_{k}}[\rho I \dot{\theta} \delta \theta+\rho A \dot{w} \delta w]_{k}\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}} d \bar{x}_{k}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\delta w$ and $\delta \theta$ are completely arbitrary, the governing differential equations of motion for AFG Timoshenko beam element $k$ result in:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[E I{\theta^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\kappa A G w^{\prime}-\theta-\rho I \ddot{\theta}\right]_{k}=0}  \tag{12}\\
{\left[\rho A \ddot{w}+\kappa A G w^{\prime}-\theta^{\prime}\right]_{k}=0} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots N_{e}$.
Assuming sinusoidal variation of $w \bar{x}, t$ and $\theta \bar{x}, t$ with circular natural frequency $\omega$, the displacements can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\bar{W} e^{i_{\omega} t} ; \theta=\bar{\Theta} e^{i_{\omega} t} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{W}=\bar{W} \bar{x}$ and $\Theta=\Theta \bar{x}$ are spatial functions. Substituting them in equations (12) and (13) the equations of motion of element $k$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[E I \Theta^{\prime}+\kappa A G \bar{W}^{\prime}-\Theta-\rho I \omega^{2} \Theta\right]_{k}=0}  \tag{15}\\
{\left[\rho A \omega^{2} \bar{W}+\kappa A G \bar{W}^{\prime}-\Theta\right]_{k}^{\prime}=0} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots N_{e}$.
The geometrical compatibility conditions between two adjacent beam elements are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{k} L_{k}=\bar{W}_{k+1} 0 ; \Theta_{k} L_{k}=\Theta_{k+1} 0 ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the form of the internal compatibility conditions of shear force $Q$ and bending moment $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k} L_{k}=Q_{k+1} 0 ; M_{k} L_{k}=M_{k+1} 0 ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots N_{e^{-}} 1$.
The external boundary conditions at the boundary ends ( $\bar{x}_{1}=0$ and $\bar{x}_{N_{e}}=L_{N_{e}}$ ) are assumed as classical conditions: free (F), clamped (C) and simply supported (SS). The DQM is one of the useful procedures to solve differential system equations and it is particularly appropriate when the equations have variable coefficients. As it is known, it is a discrete approach for solving the governing equations for vibration of Timoshenko non-homogeneous beams directly. Non-dimensional expressions are introduced:
for the coordinate variable in each subdomain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\bar{x}_{k}}{L_{k}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for length and displacements:

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{k}=\frac{L_{k}}{L} ;  \tag{20}\\
W_{k}=\frac{\bar{W}_{k} \bar{x}_{k}}{L_{k}} ;  \tag{21}\\
\Psi_{k}=\Theta_{k} \bar{x}_{k} ; \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for the natural frequency coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\sqrt{\rho_{0} A_{0} / E_{0} I_{0}} L^{2} \omega \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}=\rho_{1}(0) ; A_{0}=A_{1}(0) ; E_{0}=E_{1}(0) ; I_{0}=I_{1}(0)$.
Finally, from equations (15) and (16) the governing differential equations of a stepped beam can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}}\left\{\frac{\kappa_{k} s_{1}^{2} s_{k}^{2}}{2(1+v)} E_{k} A_{k} \Psi_{k}-W_{k}^{\prime}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}}\left[E_{k}^{\prime} I_{k} \Psi_{k}^{\prime}+E_{k} I_{k} \Psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right]-\Omega^{2} \rho_{k} I_{k} \Psi_{k}\right\}=0  \tag{24}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{N_{e}}\left\{\frac{\kappa_{k} s_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}}\left[E_{k}^{\prime} A_{k} W_{k}^{\prime}+\Psi_{k}^{\prime}+E_{k} A_{k} W_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right]+\Omega^{2} \rho_{k} A_{k} W_{k}\right\}=0 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $s_{k}=L \sqrt{A_{k}(0) / I_{k}(0)}$ is the slenderness ratio, in particular $s_{1}$ is the slenderness ratio of the beam subdomain $k=1$.
The compatibility conditions at adjacent subdomains $k$ and $k+1$ expressed in terms of the dimensionless variables and parameters are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{k} W_{k}(1)-l_{k+1} W_{k+1}(0)=0 ; \Psi_{k}(1)-\Psi_{k+1}(0)=0 ;  \tag{26}\\
\alpha_{k} Q_{k}(1)-\alpha_{k+1} Q_{k+1}(0)=0 ; \frac{\beta_{k}}{l_{k}} M_{k}(1)-\frac{\beta_{k+1}}{l_{k+1}} M_{k+1}(0)=0 ; \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\alpha_{k}=\rho_{k} A_{k}(0) / \rho_{0} A_{0}$, and $\beta_{k}=E_{k} I_{k}(0) / E_{0} I_{0}$.

## 3 The Differential Quadrature Method

In order to obtain the DQM analog equations of the governing equations of the stepped AFG Timoshenko beam, each beam subdomain $k$ is discretized in a grid of $p$ points using the Chebyshev - Gauss - Lobato expression [21-23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=1-\cos (i-1) \pi /(p-1) \quad / 2, i=1,2, \ldots, p \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the coordinate of node $i$. The $q$ order derivatives of the displacements $W$ and $\psi$, at a node $i$ of the grid, based on the quadrature rules, [22], are expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{(q)} W_{k}}{d x^{q}}\right|_{x_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{i j}^{(q)} W_{k j} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{(q)} \Psi_{k}}{d x^{q}}\right|_{x_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{i j}^{(q)} \Psi_{k j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{k j}$ and $\Psi_{k j}$ are the displacements at node $j$ of subdomain $k$, and $C_{i j}^{(q)}$ are the weighting coefficients obtained using Lagrange interpolating functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Pi\left(x_{i}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) ;  \tag{31}\\
C_{i j}^{(1)}=\frac{\Pi x_{i}}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) \Pi x_{j}}, q=1 ; C_{i j}^{q}=C_{i i}^{q-1} C_{i j}^{1}-\frac{C_{i j}^{q-1}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}, q>1 ; \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $i, j=1,2, \ldots . . n$, for $i \neq j$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i i}^{(1)}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j \neq i}^{(1)}, q=1 ; C_{i i}^{q}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{\text {with }}^{n} C_{j \neq i}^{q}, q>1 ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i, j=1,2, \ldots . n$, for $i=j$.
Using the quadrature rules, (29-30), the differential quadrature analogs of the governing equations (24) and (25) of a node $i$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\eta^{2} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) R_{k}+x_{i}-\frac{\kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} \frac{d a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d x}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(1)} W_{k j}- \\
& -\left(\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} N_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{\kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(2)} W_{k j}+  \tag{34}\\
& +\frac{\kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(1)} \Psi_{k j}+\frac{\kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} \frac{d a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d x} \Psi_{k i}=\Omega^{2} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) W_{k i} ; \\
& -\frac{s_{1}^{2} s_{k}^{2} \kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(1)} W_{k j}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} b_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(2)} \Psi_{k j}+ \\
& +\left(\frac{s_{1}^{2} s_{k}^{2} \kappa_{k}}{2\left(1+v_{k}\right)} a_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)-\eta^{2} b_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \Psi_{k i}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{l_{k}^{2}} \frac{d b_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d x} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j}^{(1)} \Psi_{k j}=\Omega^{2} b_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \Psi_{k i} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and the analog equations of internal forces at node $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k i}=\frac{\kappa_{k i}}{2\left(1+v_{k i}\right)} E_{k i} A_{k i}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{i j}^{(1)} W_{k j}\right)-\Psi_{k i}\right] ; M_{k i}=E_{k i} I_{k i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{i j}^{(1)} \Psi_{k j}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analog continuity equations at adjacent beam elements become:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k} W_{k p}-l_{k+1} W_{k+1}=0 ; \Psi_{k p}-\Psi_{k+11}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k} Q_{k p}-\alpha_{k+1} Q_{k+11}=0 ; \frac{\beta_{k}}{l_{k}} M_{k p}-\frac{\beta_{k+1}}{l_{k+1}} M_{k+11}=0 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of analog equations derived of the governing equations (34-35), the compatibility conditions between subdomains (37-38) and the outer boundary conditions constitute the linear system of equations that allows to determine the natural frequencies of the stepped AFG Timoshenko beam.

## 4 Numerical results

The natural frequency coefficients, equation (23), of Timoshenko beams with various boundary conditions, different material properties and locations of the abrupt discontinuities are obtained for a range of illustrative examples. Beams of rectangular cross-section (hxb) are adopted for the numerical examples. Then the geometrical relation between height and length can be expressed as:

$$
\frac{h}{L}=\frac{\sqrt{12}}{s_{1}} .
$$

In all the numerical examples: $\kappa=5 / 6$.
Table 1 lists the first six frequency coefficients of a uniform homogeneous Timoshenko beam under various boundary conditions. The rate of convergence and accuracy of the proposed differential quadrature procedure can be observed. Free vibration coefficients of clamped-free (C-F), clamped-simply supported (CSS) and free-free (F-F) beams are compared with [12], [13] and [17]. The agreement between results is excellent, and it can be concluded that the procedure proposed has adequate accuracy with 41 grid points.

Table 1 Convergence analysis: First six natural frequency coefficients of uniform homogeneous Timoshenko beams: $h / L=0.35 ; \kappa=5 / 6 ; v=0.30$

| B.C. | $p$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-F | 11 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5016 | 47.8895 | 62.3557 | 68.0104 | present |
|  | 21 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 31 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 41 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 51 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  |  | 3.23 | 14.47 | 21.50 | 47.91 | 62.35 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 3.227128 | 14.468928 | 31.502540 | 47.911084 | 62.353342 | - | $[13]$ |
|  |  | 3.2272 | 14.4729 | 31.5425 | 48.0372 | - | - | $[17]$ |


| C-SS | 41 | 11.082499 | 27.114378 | 44.843534 | 59.203032 | 63.339499 | 76.247312 | present |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 11.08 | 27.11 | 44.84 | 59.20 | 63.34 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 11.082499 | 27.114378 | 44.844585 | 59.203448 | 63.349869 | - | $[13]$ |
| C-C | 41 | 13.834758 | 28.517925 | 45.665951 | 61.862050 | 68.283611 | 80.412094 | present |
|  |  | 13.84 | 28.52 | 45.67 | 61.86 | 68.28 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 13.834758 | 28.517926 | 45.667237 | 61.867699 | 68.292529 | - | $[13]$ |
| F-F | 41 | $16.791957^{*}$ | 33.814869 | 51.521440 | 58.991998 | 73.739689 | 75.304144 | present |
|  |  | 16.79 | 33.82 | 51.52 | 58.99 | 73.74 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 16.791957 | 33.814869 | 51.526943 | 58.993336 | 73.763812 | - | $[13]$ |
| *The repeated null eigenvalues for rigid translation and rotation for the F-F case are omitted in the Table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 presents the first six frequency coefficients of a tapered AFG Timoshenko beam under three different combinations of boundary conditions. To make a comparison with published results, the material properties are assumed to vary according to equation (1), with $n=1,2,3$ and 4 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=E_{k}(x)=E_{a} 1+\chi_{E_{k}}-1 x^{n} ; \rho_{k}=\rho_{k}(x)=\rho_{a} 1+\chi_{\rho_{k}}-1 x^{n} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\chi_{E_{k}}=E_{b} / E_{a}$ and $\chi_{\rho_{k}}=\rho_{b} / \rho_{a}$.

Table 2 First six natural frequency coefficients of AFG Timoshenko beams, with a small taper in height: $h(x)=h_{0}(1-0.1 x) ; h_{0} / L=0.35 ; \kappa=5 / 6 ; v=0.30 ; \chi_{E}=0.35 ; \chi_{\rho}=0.47$

| B.C. | $n$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-F | 1 | 3.944636 | 14.93640 | 30.57274 | 46.40688 | 60.9420 | 65.7584 | present |
|  |  | 3.944636 | 14.93640 | 30.57274 | 46.40888 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 3.935789 | 15.15333 | 31.22390 | 47.58364 | 62.7344 | 66.9431 | present |
|  |  | 3.935789 | 15.15333 | 31.22390 | 47.58572 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  |  | 3.9359 | 15.1577 | 31.2638 | 47.7164 | - | - | $[17]$ |
|  | 3 | 3.849497 | 15.19867 | 31.59328 | 48.24423 | 63.7301 | 67.5523 | present |
|  |  | 3.849497 | 15.19869 | 31.59328 | 48.24669 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 4 | 3.77127 | 15.1970 | 31.8164 | 48.6325 | 64.3432 | 67.9315 | present |
|  |  | 3.771269 | 15.19695 | 31.81639 | 48.63501 | - | - | $[13]$ |
| C-SS | 1 | 10.88465 | 25.56609 | 42.18263 | 58.13438 | 60.9556 | 74.1197 | present |
|  |  | 10.88465 | 25.56609 | 42.18907 | 58.14309 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 10.80070 | 25.61789 | 42.64742 | 58.85281 | 62.7800 | 75.2574 | present |
|  |  | 10.80070 | 25.61789 | 42.64780 | 58.85946 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 3 | 10.73937 | 25.63540 | 42.85451 | 59.08722 | 63.7788 | 75.7602 | present |
|  |  | 10.73937 | 25.63540 | 42.85506 | 59.09377 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 4 | 10.71567 | 25.66653 | 42.95520 | 59.14091 | 64.391 | 75.9913 | present |


|  |  | 10.71567 | 25.66653 | 42.95581 | 59.14709 | - | - | $[13]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-C | 1 | 12.68158 | 26.49101 | 42.64171 | 58.65182 | 66.816 | 75.9159 | present |
|  |  | 12.68158 | 26.49101 | 42.64203 | 58.66849 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 12.46329 | 26.38044 | 42.96071 | 59.39162 | 68.058 | 77.0951 | present |
|  |  | 12.46329 | 26.38044 | 42.92108 | 59.40234 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 12.4689 | 26.4153 | 43.0904 | 59.6829 | - | - | $[17]$ |  |
|  | 3 | 12.37525 | 26.31883 | 43.08334 | 59.68936 | 68.5813 | 77.5992 | present |
|  | 12.37525 | 26.31883 | 43.08388 | 59.69942 | - | - | $[13]$ |  |
|  | 4 | 12.36220 | 26.31154 | 43.13433 | 59.80551 | 68.8795 | 77.8249 | present |
|  |  | 12.36220 | 26.31158 | 43.13499 | 59.81504 | - | - | $[13]$ |

In the calculations, the constituents of the inhomogeneous material are assumed to be aluminum Al and zirconia $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$. Their Young modulus and density are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{A l}=70 G P a ; \rho_{A l}=2700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} ; E_{Z r O_{2}}=200 G P a ; \rho_{Z r o_{2}}=5700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v_{A l}=v_{Z r O_{2}}=0.30$. It can be seen that the agreement with previous published results is excellent. Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate the rate of convergence and accuracy of the approach proposed.
The results on Table 3 show the effect of an AFG material (40) on the frequency coefficients of a uniform Timoshenko beam, $s_{1}=12.5$, which is equivalent to $h_{o} / L \cong 0.28 ; L_{1}=L$, for eight different combinations of boundary conditions. The material properties (40) vary according to equations (39), with $n=1,2$ and 3 .

Next, free vibration of stepped AFG Timoshenko beams with different boundary conditions, step locations and material properties is studied.
Three different geometrical situations, as shown in Fig. 2, are assumed introducing step variations of the area and the second moment of area of a rectangular beam cross-section. [1]:

| Case A | $L=L_{1}+L_{2} ;$ | $h_{2}=h_{1} ;$ | $b_{2}=\xi_{b} b_{1} ;$ | $A_{2}=\xi_{b} A_{1} ;$ | $I_{2}=\xi_{b} I_{1}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case B | $L=L_{1}+L_{2}$; | $h_{2}=\xi_{h} h_{1}$; | $b_{2}=b_{1}$; | $A_{2}=\xi_{h} A_{1} ;$ | $I_{2}=\xi_{h}^{3} A_{1}$. |
| Case C | $L=L_{1}+L_{2} ;$ | $h_{2}=\xi_{h} h_{1}$; | $b_{2}=\xi_{b} b_{1} ;$ | $A_{2}=\xi_{h} \xi_{b} A_{1}$ | $I_{2}=\xi_{b} \xi_{h}{ }^{3} A$ |

Table 3 First six natural frequency coefficients of uniform cross-section AFG Timoshenko beams with various boundary conditions. $h_{0} / L=0.28 ; \chi_{E}=0.35 ; \chi_{\rho}=0.47$

| $n$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SS-C |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{(}^{*}$ ) | 12.1785 | 31.2031 | 52.8839 | 75.5682 | 91.1848 | 98.6071 | 12.1785 | 31.2031 | 52.8839 | 75.5682 | 91.1848 | 98.6071 |


| 1 | 10.6527 | 28.775 | 49.4047 | 71.0515 | 87.9797 | 93.3051 | 12.1651 | 29.9625 | 50.4151 | 71.7136 | 84.8549 | 93.2976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 10.6989 | 28.9102 | 49.9738 | 72.0967 | 89.5464 | 94.6851 | 12.0899 | 30.0762 | 50.9878 | 72.6812 | 87.2899 | 94.7715 |
| 3 | 10.7643 | 28.9303 | 50.1384 | 72.4798 | 90.0939 | 95.3002 | 12.0341 | 30.1315 | 51.2538 | 73.0823 | 88.5729 | 95.4611 |
| C-C |  |  |  |  |  |  | SS-SS |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 15.6659 | 33.6285 | 54.4651 | 76.0997 | 98.6071 | 98.8973 | 8.82664 | 28.3570 | 51.2257 | 74.8810 | 88.4591 | 98.5858 |
| 1 | 14.6202 | 31.5549 | 51.3116 | 71.8519 | 93.0976 | 94.0592 | 8.28580 | 26.8203 | 48.4458 | 70.8139 | 83.4161 | 93.2972 |
| 2 | 14.3771 | 31.4512 | 51.6786 | 72.7317 | 94.5697 | 95.9212 | 8.45284 | 27.2194 | 49.237 | 71.9953 | 85.4714 | 94.5548 |
| 3 | 14.2839 | 31.3979 | 51.8204 | 73.097 | 95.176 | 96.7421 | 8.53962 | 27.3644 | 49.5312 | 72.4364 | 86.4428 | 95.0597 |
| C-F |  |  |  |  |  |  | F-C |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 3.32139 | 16.2331 | 36.5346r | 57.9414 | 79.6803 | 93.6481 | 3.32139 | 16.2331 | 36.5346 | 57.9414 | 79.6803 | 93.6481 |
| 1 | 4.02882 | 16.8325 | 35.8482 | 56.0353 | 76.3509 | 88.5229 | 2.39704 | 13.9273 | 33.4166 | 53.8750 | 74.8178 | 89.1143 |
| 2 | 4.01239 | 17.0959 | 36.6134 | 57.3718 | 78.3942 | 90.6695 | 2.47269 | 14.2114 | 33.9864 | 54.8942 | 76.3463 | 90.7864 |
| 3 | 3.91997 | 17.1605 | 37.0517 | 58.1304 | 79.5352 | 91.8588 | 2.56071 | 14.4004 | 34.1414 | 55.1771 | 76.8348 | 91.3604 |
| SS-F |  |  |  |  |  |  | F-SS |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 0 | 13.1082 | 33.8752 | 56.692 | 78.8321 | 90.6865 | 0 | 13.1082 | 33.8752 | 56.692 | 78.8321 | 90.6865 |
| 1 | 0 | 13.3545 | 32.9559 | 54.5518 | 75.286 | 87.1940 | 0 | 11.6817 | 31.5971 | 53.1957 | 74.4974 | 84.2197 |
| 2 | 0 | 13.8453 | 34.0090 | 56.1060 | 77.5417 | 88.6983 | 0 | 12.1240 | 32.4552 | 54.417 | 76.2008 | 86.1989 |
| 3 | 0 | 13.9978 | 34.5127 | 56.9025 | 78.7123 | 89.4351 | 0 | 12.3723 | 32.7588 | 54.811 | 76.7682 | 87.1194 |

One of the elements of the stepped beam is assumed to have constant material properties while the other has AFG properties.


Fig. 2 Stepped AFG Timoshenko beams

The material properties, equations (39), of the portion of the beam of length $l_{1}$ are supposed to have AFG characteristics: $n_{1}=1,2$ and $3 ; \chi_{E_{1}}=70 / 200=0.35$;
$\chi_{\rho_{1}}=2702 / 5700=0.474$ with constant cross-section $A_{1}$. While the other part of the stepped beam of length $l_{2}$, has homogeneous material: $\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1$;
$\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$, the cross-sectional area being constant and equal to $A_{2}$.
Tables 4,5 and 6 present the first six natural frequency coefficients of cantilever beams of Fig. 2 with a step located at $l_{1}=L_{1} / L=0.250,0.370,0.620,0.750$.

Table 4 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free AFG beams with a step.

| $l_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{2}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{3}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{4}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.250 | (*) | 4.3468 | 4.3468 | 24.1602 | 24.1602 | 62.4786 | 62.4811 | 120.3563 | 120.365 | 200.7861 | 299.6742 |
|  | 1 | 3.8637 |  | 23.6956 |  | 64.1197 |  | 121.8507 |  | 199.6484 | 298.4878 |
|  | 2 | 4.0457 |  | 24.1105 |  | 64.2078 |  | 121.4757 |  | 199.4563 | 298.747 |
|  | 3 | 4.1295 |  | 24.2318 |  | 63.9806 |  | 121.055 |  | 199.3913 | 299.0133 |
| 0.375 | (*) | 4.6338 | 4.6337 | 22.9914 | 22.992 | 61.3733 | 61.3763 | 121.9037 | 121.9125 | 198.2126 | 299.2762 |
|  | 1 | 4.0354 |  | 23.6227 |  | 62.0314 |  | 120.8812 |  | 197.9171 | 293.4266 |
|  | 2 | 4.2798 |  | 23.7724 |  | 61.7598 |  | 120.9824 |  | 198.3788 | 294.4107 |
|  | 3 | 4.3884 |  | 23.6998 |  | 61.5064 |  | 121.1276 |  | 198.5901 | 294.9978 |
| 0.625 | (*) | 4.6338 | 4.6337 | 22.9916 | 22.992 | 61.3755 | 61.3763 | 121.9103 | 121.9125 | 198.2126 | 299.2554 |
|  | 1 | 4.3126 |  | 22.2184 |  | 61.1649 |  | 117.8723 |  | 193.6996 | 290.8491 |
|  | 2 | 4.5714 |  | 22.2770 |  | 61.3557 |  | 118.5899 |  | 195.4600 | 293.7625 |
|  | 3 | 4.6547 |  | 22.2811 |  | 61.4775 |  | 119.0521 |  | 196.4258 | 295.3792 |
| 0.750 | (*) | 4.3469 | 4.3468 | 24.1607 | 24.1602 | 62.4806 | 62.4811 | 120.3628 | 120.365 | 200.8059 | 299.7157 |
|  | 1 | 4.3801 |  | 22.0700 |  | 59.4769 |  | 117.2304 |  | 193.6328 | 287.011 |
|  | 2 | 4.5772 |  | 22.4126 |  | 59.9505 |  | 118.4397 |  | 195.8656 | 290.6275 |
|  | 3 | 4.6072 |  | 22.6572 |  | 60.2381 |  | 119.1656 |  | 197.1076 | 292.4955 |

${ }^{(*)}$ homogeneous material $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; ~ \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$.
Table 5 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free beams with a step. $h_{0} / L=0.28$.
$b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=h_{1}$. Beam A

| $l_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.250 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.06449 | 17.8630 | 37.1720 | 57.3490 | 79.4140 | 92.6848 |
|  | 1 | 3.63133 | 17.2152 | 37.8868 | 58.8358 | 79.3544 | 94.5491 |
|  | 2 | 3.79451 | 17.5841 | 38.1841 | 58.6410 | 79.0353 | 94.5874 |
|  | 3 | 3.86955 | 17.7206 | 38.1507 | 58.3178 | 78.8752 | 94.4485 |
| 0.375 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 4.32412 | 17.2855 | 35.7138 | 58.0554 | 78.0655 | 92.5543 |


|  | 1 | 3.78354 | 17.2768 | 37.0641 | 57.7466 | 78.9122 | 91.8550 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | 4.00354 | 17.5597 | 36.7862 | 57.7511 | 79.1242 | 91.3234 |
|  | 3 | 4.10153 | 17.6018 | 36.4833 | 57.7650 | 79.1120 | 91.0414 |
| 0.625 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.32733 | 16.9958 | 36.7192 | 57.6770 | 76.8641 | 93.2328 |
|  | 1 | 4.02863 | 16.6640 | 35.8378 | 56.0373 | 78.1264 | 91.7424 |
|  | 2 | 4.26506 | 16.7748 | 36.0281 | 55.9663 | 78.6646 | 93.2880 |
|  | 3 | 4.34232 | 16.7660 | 36.1687 | 55.9462 | 78.9014 | 94.1504 |
| 0.750 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.06743 | 17.5799 | 37.1502 | 59.1402 | 81.0677 | 89.7291 |
|  | 1 | 4.08903 | 16.5377 | 35.4589 | 55.3383 | 75.7887 | 90.5304 |
|  | 2 | 4.27107 | 16.7656 | 35.8330 | 56.0908 | 76.3512 | 91.5395 |
|  | 3 | 4.30051 | 16.8773 | 36.0521 | 56.7025 | 76.6374 | 91.8365 |

${ }^{(*)}$ homogeneous material $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$.

Table 6 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free beams with a step $h_{0} / L=0.0017$.
$b_{2}=b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1}$. Beam B

| $l_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $[1]$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $[1]$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $[1]$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $[1]$ | $\Omega_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.250 ($\left.^{*}\right)$ | 2.7846 | 2.7846 | 15.6249 | 15.6246 | 37.9882 | 37.9887 | 68.9783 | 68.9797 | 115.7087 | 177.3212 |
| 1 | 2.6467 |  | 14.9821 |  | 38.2198 |  | 71.5726 |  | 118.2374 | 177.1747 |
| 2 | 2.7016 |  | 15.3217 |  | 38.6780 |  | 71.4441 |  | 117.7290 | 176.9778 |
| 3 | 2.7255 |  | 15.4488 |  | 38.7363 |  | 71.0993 |  | 117.2629 | 176.8448 |
| 0.375 ( $\left.^{*}\right)$ | 3.4955 | 3.4954 | 15.5133 | 15.5134 | 37.6981 | 37.6984 | 78.7317 | 78.7355 | 127.8980 | 182.6741 |
| 1 | 3.2168 |  | 15.4993 |  | 39.7631 |  | 78.6336 |  | 125.6686 | 185.0525 |
| 2 | 3.3340 |  | 15.8160 |  | 39.5096 |  | 78.4952 |  | 126.3286 | 185.3328 |
| 3 | 3.3840 |  | 15.8727 |  | 39.1949 |  | 78.4009 |  | 126.7853 | 185.3927 |
| 0.625 ( $\left.^{*}\right)$ | 4.4912 | 4.4914 | 16.7903 | 16.7903 | 46.8926 | 46.8937 | 89.9449 | 89.9482 | 148.9669 | 226.3189 |
| 1 | 4.1806 |  | 17.6457 |  | 45.6956 |  | 90.2874 |  | 144.5670 | 221.5364 |
| 2 | 4.4176 |  | 17.4948 |  | 46.0733 |  | 90.4663 |  | 146.0521 | 223.2275 |
| 3 | 4.4952 |  | 17.3331 |  | 46.3366 |  | 90.4909 |  | 147.0350 | 224.0949 |
| 0.750 ($\left.^{*}\right)$ | 4.3318 | 4.3318 | 21.8649 | 21.8650 | 48.1350 | 48.1358 | 99.8838 | 99.8900 | 168.7895 | 238.3905 |
| 1 | 4.3597 |  | 20.6312 |  | 49.2036 |  | 95.5215 |  | 161.9129 | 236.5752 |
| 2 | 4.5553 |  | 20.8023 |  | 49.3366 |  | 96.7237 |  | 163.6992 | 238.9683 |
| 3 | 4.5855 |  | 20.9209 |  | 49.3557 |  | 97.5346 |  | 164.6782 | 240.1911 |

${ }^{(*)}$ homogeneous material $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$.

In Tables 4 and 6 a comparison is made with Mao et al. [1] when the material properties are assumed to be constant in both beam elements. It can be seen that the agreement with the present results is excellent.


Fig. 3 Fundamental natural frequency coefficient for cantilever stepped AFG beams

Fig. 3 shows the fundamental frequency coefficients for cantilever beams, with different locations of the step. $l_{1}$ is equal to $0.25,0.375,0.625,0.75$ and AFG material properties for the part of the beam of length $l_{1}$ are $\chi_{E_{1}}=70 / 200=0.35$; $\chi_{\rho_{1}}=2702 / 5700=0.474 ;$ and $\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$ for the element of length $l_{2}$. $h_{0} / L=0.0017$; (Beam A, color solid line; Beam B, color dotted line; Beam C, color dashed line). The frequency coefficients of the stepped beams can be compared with the coefficients of the uniform beam of similar material properties, which is indicated by a solid black line. It can be seen that it is possible to have lighter structures with higher coefficients of fundamental frequency when the beams are of AFG materials. [10].
Hereafter there are several numerical examples of frequency coefficients of stepped Timoshenko beams with different AFG materials and eight combinations of classical boundary conditions. The step is assumed to be at $l_{1}=0.625$, and the
changes in the cross-section are: $b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1}$. Table 7 presents natural frequency coefficients of stepped beams of AFG materials: $\chi_{E_{1}}=70 / 200=0.35$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\rho_{1}}=2702 / 5700=0.474 ; \chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1 . \text { With } \\
& h_{0} / L=0.28
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 7 First six natural frequency coefficients of beams of AFG materials with a step. $l_{1}=0.625$;
$b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1} ; h_{0} / L=0.28$. Beam-C

| B.C. | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS-C | (*) | 7.19564 | 27.5087 | 48.6301 | 67.294 | 90.7471 | 94.8681 |
|  | 1 | 8.10075 | 27.1250 | 47.9073 | 67.3493 | 87.9421 | 91.0087 |
|  | 2 | 7.88432 | 27.7650 | 48.2833 | 68.4196 | 89.4862 | 92.1438 |
|  | 3 | 7.74909 | 28.0319 | 48.3773 | 68.8784 | 90.3165 | 92.5564 |
|  | (*) | (8.51776**) | (37.4279) | (80.3227) | (129.222) | (211.882) | (277.673) |
| C-SS | (*) | 9.07035 | 28.0166 | 44.0097 | 67.7693 | 91.2451 | 97.3789 |
|  | 1 | 10.3578 | 27.4970 | 45.4545 | 66.7572 | 88.6132 | 96.2024 |
|  | 2 | 10.2765 | 27.7143 | 45.2915 | 67.5657 | 89.9042 | 97.5837 |
|  | 3 | 10.1043 | $27.8085$ | 45.1025 | 68.0746 | 90.5237 | 98.1914 |
|  | (*) | $(10.4072 \text { **) }$ | (42.1479) | (71.4341) | (141.237) | (195.943) | (295.073) |
| C-C | (*) | 11.0143 | 30.3741 | 49.5723 | 69.2024 | 92.5762 | 99.0514 |
|  | 1 | 12.8290 | 30.4488 | 49.6334 | 68.9892 | 89.9604 | 96.9636 |
|  | 2 | 12.5740 | 30.7034 | 49.6123 | 69.7247 | 91.5159 | 98.1565 |
|  | 3 | 12.3046 | 30.7872 | 49.5514 | 70.1695 | 92.2960 | 98.6610 |
|  | (*) | (13.6160**) | (49.4501) | (87.6013) | (151.384) | (224.476) | (308.164) |
| C-F | (*) | 5.20353 | 13.5788 | 30.9211 | 51.5550 | 70.5594 | 93.5004 |
|  | 1 | 5.05507 | 14.4658 | 31.1255 | 51.3059 | 71.2779 | 91.3077 |
|  | 2 | 5.28648 | 14.3217 | 31.3292 | 51.2914 | 71.9772 | 93.3965 |
|  | 3 | 5.34654 | 14.1618 | 31.3901 | 51.2428 | 72.3584 | 94.5246 |
|  | (*) | (5.59372**) | (15.9079) | (49.0616) | (87.1239) | (151.407) | (224.520) |
| F-C | (*) | 0.929072 | 9.20821 | 34.9496 | 51.9305 | 71.4098 | 94.814 |
|  | 1 | 1.012010 | 10.1953 | 32.1938 | 51.6411 | 70.3562 | 90.5051 |
|  | 2 | 0.966524 | 10.0134 | 33.4188 | 52.4965 | 72.1808 | 91.6169 |
|  | 3 | 0.950797 | 9.89641 | 34.0806 | 52.7623 | 72.8943 | 91.9021 |
|  | (*) | (0.947401**) | (11.4575) | (50.0108) | (87.6521) | (151.347) | (224.476) |
| SS-SS | (*) | 4.98837 | 25.1872 | 42.901 | 65.7235 | 90.6115 | 92.4199 |
|  | 1 | 5.63974 | 24.0047 | 43.5573 | 64.9097 | 87.9153 | 89.0929 |
|  | 2 | 5.48827 | 24.6502 | 43.7541 | 66.1185 | 89.469 | 90.1089 |
|  | 3 | 5.39267 | 24.9659 | 43.7127 | 66.6739 | 90.1937 | 90.5524 |
|  | (*) | (5.34078**) | (32.6569) | (63.1071) | (120.781) | (184.236) | (263.781) |


a) Uniform beam $l_{1}=1$, homogeneous material

c) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.625$, AFG material, $n=3$

b) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.375, \mathrm{AFG}$ material, $n=3$

d) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.750$, AFG material, $n=3$

Fig. 4 Fundamental mode shapes of cantilever Timoshenko beams. $h_{0} / L=0.28$. Beam C

Fig. 4 shows the fundamental mode shapes of cantilever Timoshenko beams. Fig. 4 a) corresponds to a uniform beam of homogeneous material. While Figs. 4 b), c) and d) correspond to stepped beams, (case Beam C; $b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1}$ ), with the step located at $l_{1}=0.375,0.625$ and 0.750 , respectively. Again the portion of the beam of length $l_{1}$ is made of AFG material, equations (40) with $n=3$, and the span of length $l_{2}$ has homogeneous material. In general, the effect of the step on the dynamic behavior of the beam can be observed in the magnitude of the fundamental frequency coefficient and in the shape associated to this mode.

## 5 Conclusions

This paper examines the case of vibrations of stepped inhomogeneous beams on the basis of the Timoshenko beam theory. Different combinations of classical boundary conditions are considered. The equations of motion for the stepped AFG beams are obtained applying Hamilton's principle.

An approximate differential quadrature model is developed since the DQM can easily be applied for any type of inhomogeneity in the axial direction (step change in geometry and/or material properties).

The results of natural frequencies of stepped Timoshenko beams made of AFG materials are provided.

The variation of the material properties and step changes plays an important role in the variations of the natural frequency coefficients. It is possible to have lighter structures with higher coefficients of fundamental frequency when the beams are of AFG materials and have step variations of the cross-sectional area, second moment of area and material properties.
Additionally, since to the authors' knowledge this technological situation has not been previously studied in the literature, the present results may be used as a means of comparison for future studies.
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Fig. 1 Power law relation of AFG material properties. $x=\bar{x} / L$


Fig. 2 Stepped AFG Timoshenko beams


Fig. 3 Fundamental natural frequency coefficient for cantilever AFG stepped beams


Fig. 4 Fundamental mode shapes of cantilever Timoshenko beams. $h_{0} / L=0.28$. Beam C
3.32139. Fundamental frequency.


Fig. 4 a) Uniform beam $l_{1}=1$, homogeneous material
3.69493. Fundamental frequency.


Fig. 4 b) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.375$, AFG material, $n=3$
5.34654. Fundamental frequency.


Fig. 4 c) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.625$, AFG material, $n=3$
5.12592. Fundamental frequency.


Fig. 4 d) Stepped beam $l_{1}=0.750$, AFG material, $n=3$

## Free vibrations of stepped axially functionally graded Timoshenko beams: Tables

## List of tables
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Table 6 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free beams with a step $h_{0} / L=0.0017$.
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Table 7 First six natural frequency coefficients of beams of AFG materials with a step. $l_{1}=0.625$; $b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1} ; h_{0} L=0.28$. Beam C

Table 1 Convergence analysis: First six natural frequency coefficients of uniform homogeneous Timoshenko beams: $h / L=0.35$; $\kappa=5 / 6 ; v=0.30$

| B.C. | $p$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-F | 11 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5016 | 47.8895 | 62.3557 | 68.0104 | present |
|  | 21 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 31 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 41 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  | 51 | 3.22713 | 14.4689 | 31.5025 | 47.9090 | 62.3470 | 67.9901 |  |
|  |  | 3.23 | 14.47 | 21.50 | 47.91 | 62.35 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 3.227128 | 14.468928 | 31.502540 | 47.911084 | 62.353342 | - | $[13]$ |
|  |  | 3.2272 | 14.4729 | 31.5425 | 48.0372 | - | - | $[17]$ |
| C-SS | 41 | 11.082499 | 27.114378 | 44.843534 | 59.203032 | 63.339499 | 76.247312 | present |
|  |  | 11.08 | 27.11 | 44.84 | 59.20 | 63.34 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 11.082499 | 27.114378 | 44.844585 | 59.203448 | 63.349869 | - | $[13]$ |
| C-C | 41 | 13.834758 | 28.517925 | 45.665951 | 61.862050 | 68.283611 | 80.412094 | present |
|  |  | 13.84 | 28.52 | 45.67 | 61.86 | 68.28 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 13.834758 | 28.517926 | 45.667237 | 61.867699 | 68.292529 | - | $[13]$ |
| F-F | 41 | 16.791957 | 33.814869 | 51.521440 | 58.991998 | 73.739689 | 75.304144 | present |
|  |  | 16.79 | 33.82 | 51.52 | 58.99 | 73.74 | - | $[12]$ |
|  |  | 16.791957 | 33.814869 | 51.526943 | 58.993336 | 73.763812 | - | $[13]$ |
| *The repeated nulleigenvalues for rigidtranslation and rotation for the F-F case are omittedin the Table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 2 First six natural frequency coefficients of AFG Timoshenko beams, with a small taper in height: $h(x)=h_{0}(1-0.1 x) ; h_{0} / L=0.35 ; \kappa=5 / 6 ; v=0.30 ; \chi_{E}=0.35 ; \chi_{\rho}=0.47$

| B.C. | $n$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-F | 1 | 3.944636 | 14.93640 | 30.57274 | 46.40688 | 60.9420 | 65.7584 | present |
|  |  | 3.944636 | 14.93640 | 30.57274 | 46.40888 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 3.935789 | 15.15333 | 31.22390 | 47.58364 | 62.7344 | 66.9431 | present |
|  |  | 3.935789 | 15.15333 | 31.22390 | 47.58572 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  |  | 3.9359 | 15.1577 | 31.2638 | 47.7164 | - | - | $[17]$ |
|  | 3 | 3.849497 | 15.19867 | 31.59328 | 48.24423 | 63.7301 | 67.5523 | present |
|  |  | 3.849497 | 15.19869 | 31.59328 | 48.24669 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 4 | 3.77127 | 15.1970 | 31.8164 | 48.6325 | 64.3432 | 67.9315 | present |
|  |  | 3.771269 | 15.19695 | 31.81639 | 48.63501 | - | - | $[13]$ |
| C-SS | 1 | 10.88465 | 25.56609 | 42.18263 | 58.13438 | 60.9556 | 74.1197 | present |
|  |  | 10.88465 | 25.56609 | 42.18907 | 58.14309 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 10.80070 | 25.61789 | 42.64742 | 58.85281 | 62.7800 | 75.2574 | present |
|  |  | 10.80070 | 25.61789 | 42.64780 | 58.85946 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 3 | 10.73937 | 25.63540 | 42.85451 | 59.08722 | 63.7788 | 75.7602 | present |
|  |  | 10.73937 | 25.63540 | 42.85506 | 59.09377 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 4 | 10.71567 | 25.66653 | 42.95520 | 59.14091 | 64.391 | 75.9913 | present |
|  |  | 10.71567 | 25.66653 | 42.95581 | 59.14709 | - | - | $[13]$ |
| C-C | 1 | 12.68158 | 26.49101 | 42.64171 | 58.65182 | 66.816 | 75.9159 | present |
|  |  | 12.68158 | 26.49101 | 42.64203 | 58.66849 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 2 | 12.46329 | 26.38044 | 42.96071 | 59.39162 | 68.058 | 77.0951 | present |
|  |  | 12.46329 | 26.38044 | 42.92108 | 59.40234 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  |  | 12.4689 | 26.4153 | 43.0904 | 59.6829 | - | - | $[17]$ |
|  | 12.37525 | 26.31883 | 43.08334 | 59.68936 | 68.5813 | 77.5992 | present |  |
|  |  | 12.37525 | 26.31883 | 43.08388 | 59.69942 | - | - | $[13]$ |
|  | 12.36220 | 26.31154 | 43.13433 | 59.80551 | 68.8795 | 77.8249 | present |  |
|  |  | 12.36220 | 26.31158 | 43.13499 | 59.81504 | - | - | $[13]$ |

Table 3 First six natural frequency coefficients of uniform cross-section AFG Timoshenko beams with various boundary conditions. $h_{0} / L=0.28 ; \chi_{E}=0.35 ; \chi_{\rho}=0.47$

| $n$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS-C |  |  |  |  |  |  | C-SS |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 12.1785 | 31.2031 | 52.8839 | 75.5682 | 91.1848 | 98.6071 | 12.1785 | 31.2031 | 52.8839 | 75.5682 | 91.1848 | 98.6071 |
| 1 | 10.6527 | 28.775 | 49.4047 | 71.0515 | 87.9797 | 93.3051 | 12.1651 | 29.9625 | 50.4151 | 71.7136 | 84.8549 | 93.2976 |
| 2 | 10.6989 | 28.9102 | 49.9738 | 72.0967 | 89.5464 | 94.6851 | 12.0899 | 30.0762 | 50.9878 | 72.6812 | 87.2899 | 94.7715 |
| 3 | 10.7643 | 28.9303 | 50.1384 | 72.4798 | 90.0939 | 95.3002 | 12.0341 | 30.1315 | 51.2538 | 73.0823 | 88.5729 | 95.4611 |
| C-C |  |  |  |  |  |  | SS-SS |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 15.6659 | 33.6285 | 54.4651 | 76.0997 | 98.6071 | 98.8973 | 8.82664 | 28.3570 | 51.2257 | 74.8810 | 88.4591 | 98.5858 |
| 1 | 14.6202 | 31.5549 | 51.3116 | 71.8519 | 93.0976 | 94.0592 | 8.28580 | 26.8203 | 48.4458 | 70.8139 | 83.4161 | 93.2972 |
| 2 | 14.3771 | 31.4512 | 51.6786 | 72.7317 | 94.5697 | 95.9212 | 8.45284 | 27.2194 | 49.237 | 71.9953 | 85.4714 | 94.5548 |
| 3 | 14.2839 | 31.3979 | 51.8204 | 73.097 | 95.176 | 96.7421 | 8.53962 | 27.3644 | 49.5312 | 72.4364 | 86.4428 | 95.0597 |
| C-F |  |  |  |  |  |  | F-C |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 3.32139 | 16.2331 | 36.5346r | 57.9414 | 79.6803 | 93.6481 | 3.32139 | 16.2331 | 36.5346 | 57.9414 | 79.6803 | 93.6481 |
| 1 | 4.02882 | 16.8325 | 35.8482 | 56.0353 | 76.3509 | 88.5229 | 2.39704 | 13.9273 | 33.4166 | 53.8750 | 74.8178 | 89.1143 |
| 2 | 4.01239 | 17.0959 | 36.6134 | 57.3718 | 78.3942 | 90.6695 | 2.47269 | 14.2114 | 33.9864 | 54.8942 | 76.3463 | 90.7864 |
| 3 | 3.91997 | 17.1605 | 37.0517 | 58.1304 | 79.5352 | 91.8588 | 2.56071 | 14.4004 | 34.1414 | 55.1771 | 76.8348 | 91.3604 |
| SS-F |  |  |  |  |  |  | F-SS |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 0 | 13.1082 | 33.8752 | 56.692 | 78.8321 | 90.6865 | 0 | 13.1082 | 33.8752 | 56.692 | 78.8321 | 90.6865 |
| 1 | 0 | 13.3545 | 32.9559 | 54.5518 | 75.286 | 87.1940 | 0 | 11.6817 | 31.5971 | 53.1957 | 74.4974 | 84.2197 |
| 2 | 0 | 13.8453 | 34.0090 | 56.1060 | 77.5417 | 88.6983 | 0 | 12.1240 | 32.4552 | 54.417 | 76.2008 | 86.1989 |
| 3 | 0 | 13.9978 | 34.5127 | 56.9025 | 78.7123 | 89.4351 | 0 | 12.3723 | 32.7588 | 54.811 | 76.7682 | 87.1194 |

Table 4 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free AFG beams with a step.

| $l_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{2}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{3}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{4}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.250 | (*) | 4.3468 | 4.3468 | 24.1602 | 24.1602 | 62.4786 | 62.4811 | 120.3563 | 120.365 | 200.7861 | 299.6742 |
|  | 1 | 3.8637 |  | 23.6956 |  | 64.1197 |  | 121.8507 |  | 199.6484 | 298.4878 |
|  | 2 | 4.0457 |  | 24.1105 |  | 64.2078 |  | 121.4757 |  | 199.4563 | 298.747 |
|  | 3 | 4.1295 |  | 24.2318 |  | 63.9806 |  | 121.055 |  | 199.3913 | 299.0133 |
| 0.375 | (*) | 4.6338 | 4.6337 | 22.9914 | 22.992 | 61.3733 | 61.3763 | 121.9037 | 121.9125 | 198.2126 | 299.2762 |
|  | 1 | 4.0354 |  | 23.6227 |  | 62.0314 |  | 120.8812 |  | 197.9171 | 293.4266 |
|  | 2 | 4.2798 |  | 23.7724 |  | 61.7598 |  | 120.9824 |  | 198.3788 | 294.4107 |
|  | 3 | 4.3884 |  | 23.6998 |  | 61.5064 |  | 121.1276 |  | 198.5901 | 294.9978 |
| 0.625 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 4.6338 | 4.6337 | 22.9916 | 22.992 | 61.3755 | 61.3763 | 121.9103 | 121.9125 | 198.2126 | 299.2554 |
|  | 1 | 4.3126 |  | 22.2184 |  | 61.1649 |  | 117.8723 |  | 193.6996 | 290.8491 |
|  | 2 | 4.5714 |  | 22.2770 |  | 61.3557 |  | 118.5899 |  | 195.4600 | 293.7625 |
|  | 3 | 4.6547 |  | 22.2811 |  | 61.4775 |  | 119.0521 |  | 196.4258 | 295.3792 |
| 0.750 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 4.3469 | 4.3468 | 24.1607 | 24.1602 | 62.4806 | 62.4811 | 120.3628 | 120.365 | 200.8059 | 299.7157 |
|  | 1 | 4.3801 |  | 22.0700 |  | 59.4769 |  | 117.2304 |  | 193.6328 | 287.011 |
|  | 2 | 4.5772 |  | 22.4126 |  | 59.9505 |  | 118.4397 |  | 195.8656 | 290.6275 |
|  | 3 | 4.6072 |  | 22.6572 |  | 60.2381 |  | 119.1656 |  | 197.1076 | 292.4955 |
| (*) hom | mog | us mate | erial $\chi_{E_{1}}$ | $=\chi_{E_{2}}$ | $=200 /$ | $200=1 ;$ | $\chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi$ | $\chi_{\rho_{2}}=570$ | 0/5700 | $=1$. |  |

Table 5 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free beams with a step. $h_{0} / L=0.28$.

$$
b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=h_{1} . \text { Beam A }
$$

| $l_{1}$ | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.250 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.06449 | 17.8630 | 37.1720 | 57.3490 | 79.4140 | 92.6848 |
|  | 1 | 3.63133 | 17.2152 | 37.8868 | 58.8358 | 79.3544 | 94.5491 |
|  | 2 | 3.79451 | 17.5841 | 38.1841 | 58.6410 | 79.0353 | 94.5874 |
|  | 3 | 3.86955 | 17.7206 | 38.1507 | 58.3178 | 78.8752 | 94.4485 |
| 0.375 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.32412 | 17.2855 | 35.7138 | 58.0554 | 78.0655 | 92.5543 |
|  | 1 | 3.78354 | 17.2768 | 37.0641 | 57.7466 | 78.9122 | 91.8550 |
|  | 2 | 4.00354 | 17.5597 | 36.7862 | 57.7511 | 79.1242 | 91.3234 |
|  | 3 | 4.10153 | 17.6018 | 36.4833 | 57.7650 | 79.1120 | 91.0414 |
| 0.625 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.32733 | 16.9958 | 36.7192 | 57.6770 | 76.8641 | 93.2328 |
|  | 1 | 4.02863 | 16.6640 | 35.8378 | 56.0373 | 78.1264 | 91.7424 |
|  | 2 | 4.26506 | 16.7748 | 36.0281 | 55.9663 | 78.6646 | 93.2880 |
|  | 3 | 4.34232 | 16.7660 | 36.1687 | 55.9462 | 78.9014 | 94.1504 |
| 0.750 | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 4.06743 | 17.5799 | 37.1502 | 59.1402 | 81.0677 | 89.7291 |
|  | 1 | 4.08903 | 16.5377 | 35.4589 | 55.3383 | 75.7887 | 90.5304 |
|  | 2 | 4.27107 | 16.7656 | 35.8330 | 56.0908 | 76.3512 | 91.5395 |
|  | 3 | 4.30051 | 16.8773 | 36.0521 | 56.7025 | 76.6374 | 91.8365 |
| $\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ homogeneous material $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 First six natural frequency coefficients of clamped-free beams with a step $h_{0} / L=0.0017$.
$b_{2}=b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1}$. Beam B

| $l_{1} \quad n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{2}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{3}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{4}$ | [1] | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.250 (*) | 2.7846 | 2.7846 | 15.6249 | 15.6246 | 37.9882 | 37.9887 | 68.9783 | 68.9797 | 115.7087 | 177.3212 |
| 1 | 2.6467 |  | 14.9821 |  | 38.2198 |  | 71.5726 |  | 118.2374 | 177.1747 |
| 2 | 2.7016 |  | 15.3217 |  | 38.6780 |  | 71.4441 |  | 117.7290 | 176.9778 |
| 3 | 2.7255 |  | 15.4488 |  | 38.7363 |  | 71.0993 |  | 117.2629 | 176.8448 |
| 0.375 (*) | 3.4955 | 3.4954 | 15.5133 | 15.5134 | 37.6981 | 37.6984 | 78.7317 | 78.7355 | 127.8980 | 182.6741 |
| 1 | 3.2168 |  | 15.4993 |  | 39.7631 |  | 78.6336 |  | 125.6686 | 185.0525 |
| 2 | 3.3340 |  | 15.8160 |  | 39.5096 |  | 78.4952 |  | 126.3286 | 185.3328 |
| 3 | 3.3840 |  | 15.8727 |  | 39.1949 |  | 78.4009 |  | 126.7853 | 185.3927 |
| 0.625 (*) | 4.4912 | 4.4914 | 16.7903 | 16.7903 | 46.8926 | 46.8937 | 89.9449 | 89.9482 | 148.9669 | 226.3189 |
| 1 | 4.1806 |  | 17.6457 |  | 45.6956 |  | 90.2874 |  | 144.5670 | 221.5364 |
| 2 | 4.4176 |  | 17.4948 |  | 46.0733 |  | 90.4663 |  | 146.0521 | 223.2275 |
| 3 | 4.4952 |  | 17.3331 |  | 46.3366 |  | 90.4909 |  | 147.0350 | 224.0949 |
| 0.750 (*) | 4.3318 | 4.3318 | 21.8649 | 21.8650 | 48.1350 | 48.1358 | 99.8838 | 99.8900 | 168.7895 | 238.3905 |
| 1 | 4.3597 |  | 20.6312 |  | 49.2036 |  | 95.5215 |  | 161.9129 | 236.5752 |
| 2 | 4.5553 |  | 20.8023 |  | 49.3366 |  | 96.7237 |  | 163.6992 | 238.9683 |
| 3 | 4.5855 |  | 20.9209 |  | 49.3557 |  | 97.5346 |  | 164.6782 | 240.1911 |

$\left(^{*}\right)$ homogeneous material $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$.

Table 7 First six natural frequency coefficients of beams of AFG materials with a step. $l_{1}=0.625$;
$b_{2}=0.5 b_{1} ; h_{2}=0.5 h_{1} ; h_{0} / L=0.28$. Beam-C

| B.C. | $n_{1}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{3}$ | $\Omega_{4}$ | $\Omega_{5}$ | $\Omega_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS-C | (*) | 7.19564 | 27.5087 | 48.6301 | 67.294 | 90.7471 | 94.8681 |
|  | 1 | 8.10075 | 27.1250 | 47.9073 | 67.3493 | 87.9421 | 91.0087 |
|  | 2 | 7.88432 | 27.7650 | 48.2833 | 68.4196 | 89.4862 | 92.1438 |
|  | 3 | 7.74909 | 28.0319 | 48.3773 | 68.8784 | 90.3165 | 92.5564 |
|  | (*) | (8.51776 **) | (37.4279) | (80.3227) | (129.222) | (211.882) | (277.673) |
| C-SS | (*) | 9.07035 | 28.0166 | 44.0097 | 67.7693 | 91.2451 | 97.3789 |
|  | 1 | 10.3578 | 27.4970 | 45.4545 | 66.7572 | 88.6132 | 96.2024 |
|  | 2 | 10.2765 | 27.7143 | 45.2915 | 67.5657 | 89.9042 | 97.5837 |
|  | 3 | 10.1043 | 27.8085 | 45.1025 | 68.0746 | 90.5237 | 98.1914 |
|  | (*) | $(10.4072$ **) | (42.1479) | (71.4341) | (141.237) | (195.943) | (295.073) |
| C-C | (*) | 11.0143 | 30.3741 | 49.5723 | 69.2024 | 92.5762 | 99.0514 |
|  | 1 | 12.8290 | 30.4488 | 49.6334 | 68.9892 | 89.9604 | 96.9636 |
|  | 2 | 12.5740 | 30.7034 | 49.6123 | 69.7247 | 91.5159 | 98.1565 |
|  | 3 | 12.3046 | 30.7872 | 49.5514 | 70.1695 | 92.2960 | 98.6610 |
|  | (*) | (13.6160**) | (49.4501) | (87.6013) | (151.384) | (224.476) | (308.164) |
| C-F | (*) | 5.20353 | 13.5788 | 30.9211 | 51.5550 | 70.5594 | 93.5004 |
|  | 1 | 5.05507 | 14.4658 | 31.1255 | 51.3059 | 71.2779 | 91.3077 |
|  | 2 | 5.28648 | 14.3217 | 31.3292 | 51.2914 | 71.9772 | 93.3965 |
|  | 3 | 5.34654 | 14.1618 | 31.3901 | 51.2428 | 72.3584 | 94.5246 |
|  | (*) | $(5.59372 * *)$ | (15.9079) | (49.0616) | (87.1239) | (151.407) | (224.520) |
| F-C | (*) | 0.929072 | 9.20821 | 34.9496 | 51.9305 | 71.4098 | 94.814 |
|  | 1 | 1.012010 | 10.1953 | 32.1938 | 51.6411 | 70.3562 | 90.5051 |
|  | 2 | 0.966524 | 10.0134 | 33.4188 | 52.4965 | 72.1808 | 91.6169 |
|  | 3 | 0.950797 | 9.89641 | 34.0806 | 52.7623 | 72.8943 | 91.9021 |
|  | (*) | (0.947401**) | (11.4575) | (50.0108) | (87.6521) | (151.347) | (224.476) |
| SS-SS | (*) | 4.98837 | 25.1872 | 42.901 | 65.7235 | 90.6115 | 92.4199 |
|  | 1 | 5.63974 | 24.0047 | 43.5573 | 64.9097 | 87.9153 | 89.0929 |
|  | 2 | 5.48827 | 24.6502 | 43.7541 | 66.1185 | 89.469 | 90.1089 |
|  | 3 | 5.39267 | 24.9659 | 43.7127 | 66.6739 | 90.1937 | 90.5524 |
|  | (*) | (5.34078**) | (32.6569) | (63.1071) | (120.781) | (184.236) | (263.781) |
| SS-F | (*) | 0 | 11.7121 | 28.0948 | 50.5545 | 68.684 | 90.7496 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 11.4088 | 27.8168 | 49.5263 | 69.6462 | 87.9270 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 11.5026 | 28.4034 | 49.9353 | 70.6627 | 89.4768 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 11.5485 | 28.6469 | 50.0470 | 71.0366 | 90.3489 |
|  | (*) | (0) | (12.9282 **) | (37.2397) | (79.7088) | (129.215) | (211.932) |
| F-SS | (*) | 0 | 6.72053 | 31.5573 | 47.1766 | 70.2838 | 92.4005 |


| 1 | 0 | 7.37897 | 28.6308 | 47.6707 | 68.3732 | 89.0052 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 7.25495 | 29.6362 | 48.521 | 70.3443 | 90.0524 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 7.17966 | 30.1991 | 48.7932 | 71.1526 | 90.255 |  |
|  | $(*)$ | $(0)$ | $\left(7.52668 *^{* *}\right)$ | $(42.5766)$ | $(71.6266)$ | $(141.200)$ | $(195.939)$ |
| F-F | $(*)$ | 0 | 0 | 13.5386 | 35.5016 | 54.0474 | 72.4241 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.4427 | 32.8224 | 53.5280 | 72.2935 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 13.5085 | 34.0342 | 54.4367 | 74.0526 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 13.5416 | 34.6933 | 54.7046 | 74.6769 |  |
|  | $(*)$ | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(15.2543 * *)$ | $(49.6032)$ | $(87.1795)$ | $(151.369)$ |
| $(*)$ homogeneous material; $\chi_{E_{1}}=\chi_{E_{2}}=200 / 200=1 ; \chi_{\rho_{1}}=\chi_{\rho_{2}}=5700 / 5700=1$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**slender beam with $h 0 / L=0.0017$.


[^0]:    * The repeated null eigenvalues for rigid translation and rotation for the F-F case are omitted in the Table.

