Accepted Manuscript

Selenium analysis by an integrated microwave digestion-needle trap device with hydride sorption on carbon nanotubes and electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry determination



Ariel Maratta Martínez, Sandra Vázquez, Rodolfo Lara, Luis Dante Martínez, Pablo Pacheco

PII:	80584-8547(17)30362-2
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.sab.2017.12.001
Reference:	SAB 5341
To appear in:	Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy
Received date:	8 August 2017
Revised date:	29 November 2017
Accepted date:	4 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Ariel Maratta Martínez, Sandra Vázquez, Rodolfo Lara, Luis Dante Martínez, Pablo Pacheco, Selenium analysis by an integrated microwave digestionneedle trap device with hydride sorption on carbon nanotubes and electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry determination. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Sab(2017), doi:10.1016/j.sab.2017.12.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

SELENIUM ANALYSIS BY AN INTEGRATED MICROWAVE DIGESTION-NEEDLE TRAP DEVICE WITH HYDRIDE SORPTION ON CARBON NANOTUBES AND ELECTROTHERMAL ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY DETERMINATION.

Ariel Maratta Martínez^a, Sandra Vázquez^b, Rodolfo Lara^c, Luis Dante Martínez^a,

Pablo Pacheco^{a*}

^a Instituto de Química de San Luis, INQUISAL, Centro Científico-Tecnológico de San Luis (CCT-San Luis), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas - Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Chacabuco y Pedernera, Ciudad de San Luis 5700, Argentina

^b Instituto de Ciencias Básicas, FFHA, Universidad Nacional de San Juan, Av. Libertador San Martin 1109 - Oeste, 5400 San Juan, Argentina

c Instituto de Investigaciones Mineras, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de San Juan, Av. Libertador San Martin 1109 - Oeste, 5400 San Juan, Argentina

*Corresponding author

Pablo Pacheco (ppacheco@unsl.edu.ar)

Abstract

An integrated microwave assisted digestion (MW-AD) – needle trap device (NTD) for selenium determination in grape pomace samples is presented. The NTD was filled with oxidized multiwall carbon nanotubes (oxMWCNTS) where Se hydrides were preconcentrated. Determination was carried out by flow injection-electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (FI-ETAAS). The variables affecting the system were established by a multivariate design (Plackett Burman), indicating that the following variables significantly affect the system: sample amount, HNO₃ digestion solution concentration, NaBH₄ volume and elution volume. A Box-Behnken design was implemented to determine the optimized values of these variables. The system improved Se atomization in the graphite furnace, since only trapped hydrides reached the graphite furnace, and the pyrolysis stage was eliminated according to the aqueous matrix of the eluate. Under optimized conditions the system reached a limit of quantification of 0.11 μ g kg⁻¹, a detection limit of 0.032 µg kg⁻¹, a relative standard deviation of 4% and a preconcentration factor (PF) of 100, reaching a throughput sample of 5 samples per hour. Sample analysis show Se concentrations between $0.34 \pm 0.03 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ to $0.48 \pm 0.03 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ in grape pomace. This system provides minimal reagents and sample consumption, eliminates discontinuous stages between samples processing reaching a simpler and faster Se analysis.

Keywords: Selenium; Needle Trap Device; Carbon nanotubes; Hydride trapping; Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.

1. Introduction.

Selenium is an essential element of important metabolic pathways, including defense through the immune system, thyroid hormone metabolism, reproductive development and antioxidant defense [1]. In the environment, selenium is present in both soil and water, and can be mobilized through plants or aquatic organisms thus entering the food chain [2]. Vine production offers a byproduct called pomace that includes skins of grape and seeds [3]. An adequate use of agroindustrial residues, such as pomace, could have an impact on agricultural productivity and soil fertility through composting [4]. Therefore, the environmental impact of this practice should be assessed by determining trace elements in pomace with essential and toxic characteristics, like selenium [5].

In order to monitor selenium in pomace samples it is necessary to develop analytical methods with appropriate detection levels. Sample preparation plays a decisive role throughout the analytical procedure, especially for analysis of solid environmental samples, characterized by complex matrices and the presence of trace and ultratraces of analytes [6, 7]. The microwave assisted wet digestion (MW-AD) presents great advantages over classical methods, allowing a reduction of the extraction time, less use of reagents and the possibility of on-line coupling to other analytical stages [8-10]. Preconcentration strategies for volatile species generated during hydride generation (HG) processes have been described, resulting in gas-phase trapping techniques [11-13]. HG by sodium tetrahydroborate shows advantages like analyte separation from the matrix, eliminating interferences and increasing sensitivity [14]. Not all species of metals can react with NaBH4 and form hydrides, for total Se determination, only Se (IV) forms the volatile compound, H₂Se. Because of this, the transformation of the different selenium compounds to their Se

3

(IV) species is unavoidable. It is possible to reduce Se (VI) to Se (IV) by the use of hydrochloric acid 6 M, or 1 or 2 M HCl with heating [15].

Trace analysis where a pretreatment of the sample is required, like MW-AD and HG, can be integrated to preconcentration procedures by a novel approach: needle trap devices (NTD) [16]. The axis of the NTD consists of a sorbent material, packed inside a stainless steel needle. In this methodology the sample passes over the sorbent, where the analytes are trapped and then subjected to thermal or solvent desorption [17]. Its main benefits are that the extraction phase is much larger and has an exhaustive extraction nature, allowing the complete extraction of the analytes present in the sample. It also presents greater robustness and ease of handling during sampling and desorption. Since elution can be achieved with volumes in the microliters order, NTD can be coupled on line to sensible techniques like electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) [16, 18-20].

Recently, Bagheri *et al.* developed a methodology where they used for first time a sorbent based on CNTs in a NTD for microextraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from aquatic media [21]. The use of NTCs as NTD sorbents has generated great interest in the scientific community, which is related to their unique properties, such as chemical stability, durability and corrosion resistance [22]. In addition, these materials have a high surface area and can be easy to process. It should be noted that the preferential use of MWCNTs as sorbents is evident, which may be due to the fact that SWCNTs present more resistance to acid treatments [23]. Thus, the acid oxidation conditions required for functionalization are less aggressive for the MWCNTs [24]. Furthermore, MWCNTs have

superior physical properties such as increased strength and thermal and electrical conductivity.

In the present work a method was developed to analyze selenium in grape pomace samples by integrating MW-AD, HG and NTD in a FI system. Selenium hydrides were micro-preconcentrated on oxidized MWCNTs (oxMWCNTs) with ETAAS determination. The study of the different variables affecting the system was performed by a multivariate experimental design. After optimization of these variables, the analytical results as well as the validation studies on grape pomace samples were successfully evaluated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Standard and reagents.

All reagents used were of analytical grade, and no presence of Se was detected in the working range. The MWCNTs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). A standard stock solution (100.0 mg L⁻¹) of Se was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of Na₂SeO₃ (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) in 5% HNO₃. The diluted working solutions were prepared daily by serial dilutions of the stock solution. A solution of 1% (w/v) sodium tetrahydroborate (Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, USA, 98%) was prepared in 0.5% (w/v) sodium hydroxide solution and filtered through filter paper Whatman No. 42 to remove undissolved solids. This solution was prepared daily. H₂SO₄, HNO₃, H₂O₂, HCl used for the functionalization of MWCNTs as well as the digestion and treatment of samples were from Merck, Darmsdat, Germany.

2.2. Apparatus/ Instrumentation.

Determination of Se concentration was carried out on a Shimadzu Model AA-7000 atomic absorption spectrometer (Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a background correction system employing a continuum source, a GFA-EX7 electrothermal atomizer, and an ASC-7000 auto sampler. L'vov graphite tubes (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) were used in all experiments. A selenium hollow-cathode lamp (Hamamatsu, Photonics K.K., Japan) was employed as radiation source at 196.0 nm with a slit of 1.0 nm. The ETAAS instrumental and operating conditions are listed in Table 1.The graphite tubes were pre-treated three times with the iridium modifier as follows: 50μ L of 1000 g L⁻¹ Ir stock solution were injected into the graphite tube and heated according to the temperature program given in Table S1 (Supplementary material) [25]. The temperature of pyrolysis was optimized from 0°C to 700 °C.

A domestic microwave oven Samsung model G245C (Seoul, South Korea) of 2450 MHz frequency and operating at a maximum exit power of 1500 W was employed for MW-AD. For validation, a digestion was carried out in a laboratory microwave digestor (Milestone, Sorisole, Italy). Digestion was performed according to the manufacturer indications: 0.5 g of pomace samples were weighed and placed in individual microwave reactors. The aliquots were treated with 7 mL concentrated HNO₃ and 1 mL H_2O_2 . Reactors were placed in the digestor at a ramp temperature of 10 min up to 200 °C and hold for 10 more minutes. The employed microwave power was up to 1000 W.

Gilson Minipuls 3 peristaltic pumps (Villiers, Le-Bell, France) and Tygon-type pump tubes (Ismatec, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Niles, IL, USA) were

employed to propel sample, eluent and reagents. Headspace vials (20 mL) and accessories were obtained from Perkin Elmer (Thornhill, Canada). These vials were used for sample digestion, as well as for HG. All unions were sealed to avoid gas losses.

The Design Expert® 7.0 software package (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, USA) was used for experimental designs, data analysis and response surfaces.

2.3. MWCNTs oxidation.

To achieve CNTs functionalization, 0.1 g of MWCNT were weighed and placed in a 500 mL balloon. Then, 200 mL of oxidizing mixture H₂SO₄: HNO₃ (3: 1) were added and covered with a detachment tube. After this, the balloon was placed in an ultrasonic bath and was sonicated for 1 hour. Then the mixture was placed in a thermostatized bath (55 °C) for 1 hour. Then 200 mL of Milli-Q water were added and the mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature. By centrifugation at 4000 rpm, the acid was removed and washed with pure water, until neutral pH is reached. Finally, oxidized MWCNTs were dried at 70 °C for 12 hours and weighed. OxMWCNTs were chosen for Se hydride retention according to previous works were these show a higher hydride retention efficiency [16, 17].

2.4. NTD preparation.

The NTD was built using a stainless steel bevel needle with a length of 40 mm and an internal diameter of 0.5 mm. To avoid sorbent losses, the needle was pre-



Figure 1: Schematic of the packed NTD.

conditioned by placing glass wool in the needle bevel, applying heat to partially fuse the glass wool to generate a porous stopper. The needle was filled by preparing an aqueous suspension with 4 mg of oxMWCNT, which was introduced into the syringe using the FI system. Thus, the porous stopper retained CNTs, allowing the

packaging. Finally glass wool was placed in the upper side of the needle (Figure 1).

2.5. Samples.

Grape pomace samples were obtained from wine industries of Valley of Tulum, San Juan, Argentina. They were dried at room temperature, pulverized and homogenized. Samples were stored in sterilized PVC containers until sample treatment.

2.6. Procedure.

For determination of selenium ultratraces in grape pomace samples, a closed system is proposed as shown in Figure 2 (MW Digestion Stage/HG/NTD).

In a first stage (DIGESTION), 100 mg of solid sample were weighed and placed in a glass headspace vial and sealed with a Teflon[®] septum. After this, the vial was

introduced into the microwave security oven. А valve consisting in a capillary tube was inserted in the vial at medium height avoid to pressure excessive increase digestion. during Another capillary was inserted through the septum to the base of the vial to incorporate reagents of MW-AD and HG. The digestion process was performed as follows: first, 2.5 mL of 2.4 M nitric acid (Pump P1; Valve V1, HNO₃) and then 2.5 mL of 30% v^{-1}) (m Valve V1, H_2O_2) for 2 minutes at a flow rate of 2.5 mL min⁻¹



hydrogen peroxide (Pump P1; Valve V1, H₂O₂) for 2 minutes Figure 2: Schematic diagram instrumental setup. MW Digestion Stage/HG/NTD: E, eluent; V1 and V2, injection valves, valve positions: (A) hydride loading; (B) injection; L, loop. Elution/ Inyection stage: V2: injection valve; E: eluent.

were incorporated. After this valve V1 was closed, generating an airtight atmosphere. The samples were irradiated according to the following power program: 700 W, 13 seconds; 1000 W, 8 seconds; and 1500 W, 5 sec. After this, digests were allowed to cool for 5 minutes. Once digestion is completed, 1 mL of 6 M hydrochloric acid for 0.1 minutes at a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹ (Pump P1; Valve V1, HCl) was added for total selenium reduction.

In a second stage (HG and PRECONCENTRATION), the NTD was inserted through the septum and placed in the vial. The security valve was removed. Valve V2 was placed in the loading position (A) and 2.3 mL of 1% (m v⁻¹) NaBH₄ for 2 minutes at a flow rate of 2.5 mL min⁻¹ was aggregate (Pump P1; Valve V1, NaBH₄). During this step, the selenium hydride was formed and adsorbed in the NTD filled with oxMWCNTs. Loop L in Valve V2 was filled with the eluent (Pump P2).

Immediately, after completing HG, the NTD device, see the Figure 2 (Inyection stage) with the retained Se, was mounted on the robotic arm of ETAAS autosampler in "stand by" position. Elution was achieved by setting the autosampler arm to "injection" position, and the NTD was inserted in the graphite tube with Iridium permanent modifier. The elution step was achieved with eluent E, 30 μ L of 5% (m v⁻¹) HNO₃ (Pump P2 position B, valve V2 elution), for 0.1 minutes a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion.

3.1. Selenium atomization.

Atomization of selenium in graphite furnace shows many problems. Interferences occur on the surface, as well as in the gas phase, reducing drastically the analytical signal [25]. These selenium atomization problems increase when acidic solutions, as the one used for elution, are introduced into the graphite furnace. Under these conditions Ir was selected as a chemical modifier because it exhibited to be appropriate for Se determination in acidic solutions [26]. Ir permanent chemical modifiers have been shown to prolong graphite tube lifetime while reducing the furnace cycle time, thus improving cost-effectiveness [27].

Selenium can volatize during pyrolysis stage. In the proposed methodology, the sample matrix does not reach the graphite furnace since only Se hydrides are adsorbed on oxMWCNTs. Accordingly, a graphite furnace program without pyrolysis was studied and no differences in Se signal were observed. In this way, the graphite furnace temperature program was shortened, becoming attractive in view of analytical frequency [28-30].

3.2. Multivariate optimization of NTD variables.

In order to select and optimize the variables of the proposed methodology, multivariate а implemented design was to achieve maximum sensitivity in determination of selenium the ultratraces by ETAAS. First, a screening step was carried out to select the significant variables in the sensitivity of the proposed methodology using an Plackett experimental Burman



experimental Plackett Burman Figure 3: Pareto graph used to determine significant factors. A: Sample amount, H: elution volumen, K: NaBH4 volume and L: HNO3 concentration. The orange bars represent the positive effects and the blue bars the negative effects.

work [32, 33] and trials, eleven parameters were selected for evaluation within specific ranges that could significantly influence selenium micropreconcentration. These parameters were sample amount (100-300 mg), HNO₃ digestion solution concentration (2-3 M), HNO₃ digestion solution volume (2-3 mL), H₂O₂ concentration (30-70 %), H₂O₂ volume (2-3 mL),

HCl concentration (6-12 M), HCl volume (0.5-1.5 mL), NaBH₄ concentration (1-3 %), NaBH₄ volume (0.5-2.5 mL), NaBH₄ flow rate (0.25 - 2.5 mL min⁻¹) and elution volume (20-30 μ L min⁻¹) while the measured response was the peak area absorbance. In this way, 13 experiments were performed with a central point. The Pareto graphic obtained (Figure 3) indicated that the following variables significantly affect the system: sample amount, HNO₃ digestion solution concentration, NaBH₄ volume and elution volume. The selected variables were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) obtaining a significant model for the response and a high R-Squared (0.9369) which shows a good relationship between the experimental data and the adjusted model.

The final optimization of the proposed methodology and the expected response according to the selected factors was carried out using the response surface method (RSM). A Box-Behnken design was implemented to determine the values at which the sensitivity measured from the absorbance is maximum [34]. A total of 29 experiments were performed with 5 central points in random order from this design. For this stage of experiments, the ranges of the variables to be studied were kept in the same ranges as in the screening step. On the other hand, the factors that remained fixed were maintained in the following values: 2.5 mL HNO₃ digestion solution volume, 30% H₂O₂ concentration, 2.5 mL H₂O₂ volume, 6M HCl concentration, 1 mL HCl volume, 1% NaBH₄ concentration and 2.5 mL min⁻¹ NaBH₄ flow rate. Experimental data showed good agreement as a second-order polynomial model. According to the ANOVA result, the model F-value of 64.05 implies that the model is significant. There is only 0.01% probability that the "Model F-Value" of this size can occur due to noise. R-square and adjusted R-squared (with values 0.9846% and 0.9693%, respectively) show a good correlation between experimental and theoretical results. It has been expressed that a model with a good fit should be at least 0.8. Depending on the results

obtained, where the coefficient of determination, R2, is > 0.8, it can be stated that the equation obtained is adequate to correlate the experimental results [33].

The response surfaces (RSMs) shows the interaction between two selected factors determining the optimal conditions to obtain the maximum absorbance. Figure 4 and 1S (Supplementary Material) shows the 3D RSMs response obtained for some possible configurations fixing two factors and varying the other two factors. Figure 4A graphs the response surface calculated as a function of the interaction of the HNO₃ digestion solution concentration and the sample amount, leaving the other two variables in constant values. It can be observed that the optimum values are obtained by decreasing the sample amount and nitric acid concentration during digestion. This observation can be explained considering that an increase in sample quantity and acid concentration stage a sudden gas release, decreasing the interaction of selenium hydrides with the NTCs in the needle. In addition, since HCl is used for prereduction of Se(VI) to Se(IV), strong oxidizing agents such as HNO₃ (or nitrogen oxides) and H₂O₂, which are used during sample digestion, can oxidize HCl to Cl₂ and cause back-oxidation of Se(IV) during the precoduction step.

Figure 4B, shows how the NaBH₄ optimum volume is achieved by increasing this to near maximum values. This could be due to the fact that sodium tetrahydroborate not only provide the hydrogens to generate the selenium hydrides, but would be the responsible for generating the pressure increase in the vial headspace that allows the adequate flow of the gas to achieve the optimal interaction of the selenium hydrides with the NTCs.

Figure 4C shows the interaction between eluent volume and nitric acid volume. The elution efficiency increases with higher elution volumes, inferring that a minimum of 30 μ L is needed to achieve a quantitative release of selenium hydrides from NTD. Selenium hydride can derive in its protonated species, in acidic conditions, called selanium (H₃Se⁺) [35]. This univalent cation is electrostatically adsorbed by the carboxyl groups generated on the surface of the carbon nanotubes. Then, in the elution stage, an ion exchange takes place, between the species in question and the protons contributed by the acid eluent.



Figure 4: Response surfaces for: (A) Sample amount - HNO₃ digestion solution concentration, (B) Sample amount - NaBH₄ volume and (C) HNO₃ digestion solution concentration - Elution volume.

One of the outstanding advantages of the proposed methodology, is the combination of analytes preconcentration in gaseous phase with minimal reagents consumption compared to liquid SPE systems [36]. In addition, there are not backpressure problems, which are very common in liquid SPE systems employing CNTs as sorbent [37].

The optimal conditions shown by the model were: sample amount 100 mg, concentration of 2.4 M HNO₃ digestion solution, volume of NaBH₄ 2.3 mL and volume of elution of 30 μ L. Subsequently, an experimental confirmation of these optimal conditions was performed, where the response was compared with that predicted by the model and no significant difference was observed at a 95% confidence level.

3.3. Analytical Performance.

Under optimum conditions (Table 1), the proposed methodology showed a linear calibration curve with a correlation coefficient of 0.9975 from levels close to the quantification limit (LOQ) up to at least 10 µg kg⁻¹. The NTD retention at these concentration levels was of 98.8%. The LOQ was calculated as 10 times the amount of Se required to produce a net peak that was equal to three times the standard deviation of the background signal (10 σ , n=3) obtaining a value of 0.11 µg kg⁻¹. Detection limit (DL), defined as the amount of analyte required to produce a net peak equal to three times the standard deviation of the background signal (3 σ , n = 3) was 0.03 µg kg⁻¹. Precision was assessed by relative standard deviation (RSD %) corresponding to 4% (n = 3) [38].

Parameter	Value
Sample amount	100 mg
HNO ₃ digestion solution concentration	2.4 M
HNO3 digestion solution volume	2.5 mL
H ₂ O ₂ concentration	30 %
H ₂ O ₂ volume	2.5 mL
HCl concentration	6 M
HCl volume	1 mL
NaBH ₄ concentration	1 %
NaBH ₄ volume	2.3 mL
NaBH ₄ flow rate	2.5 mL min ⁻¹
Elution volume	30 µL

Table 1: Experimental conditions for Se determination in grape pomace.

The preconcentration factor (PF) was calculated as the ratio of the final concentration of analyte in the eluent phase and the concentration in the sample under the optimum conditions [39]. In order to calculate the PF of the analyte, preconcentration replicates were performed under optimum conditions from the sample containing 4 μ g kg⁻¹ of the analyte, therefore, the calculated PF corresponds to 100.

The time of analysis was calculated as follows: the time required for the MW-AD of 100 mg of sample (2 minutes, at a flow rate of 2.5 mL min⁻¹ for the addition of HNO₃ digestion solution and H_2O_2 and 6 minutes to implement the microwave power program), preconcentration (0.1 minute at a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹ for HCl addition and 2 minutes at a flow rate of 2.5 mL min⁻¹ for NaBH₄ addition), elution (0.1 min, at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹), washing and conditioning (0.3 min), and atomization (0.9 min) was approximately 11 min, reaching a throughput sample of 5 samples per hour.

In Table 2, it is possible to observe a comparison between some characteristics of this technique and others that use treatment of an organic sample and determination of the analyte by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The developed methodology presents lower LOQ and LD, with lower sample consumption and consequently a lower use of reagents and a significant reduced time of analysis.

Table 2: Comparison of methodologies AAS introducing Se determination in organic samples.

Sample	Sample amount	Sample treatment	Extraction technique	Analysis time	LD	LOQ	Reference
Food	250 mg	UV- photolysis	Evaporation	>120 minutes	$1.2~\mu g$ L $^{\text{-1}}$	$4.0 \mu g L^{-1}$	[40]
Food	1000 mg	Microwave digestion	Vortex-assisted ionic liquid dispersive - microextraction	>120 minutes	0.098 μg L ⁻¹	-	[41]
Vegetables	500 mg	Microwave digestion	Activated carbon (AC) separation	>120 minutes	$0.5-1.3 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	1.7-3.3 μg L ⁻¹	[42]
Food	1000 mg	Wet digestion	-	>120 minutes	2.0–7.0 μg kg ⁻¹	22 µg kg ⁻¹	[43]
Grape pomace	100 mg	Microwave digestion	NTD	11 minutes	$0.03\mu g \ kg^{-1}$	0.11 µg kg ⁻¹	This work

3.4. Validation and application.

Since there is no certified reference material (CRM) with similar characteristics or Se concentration range to the sample used in the present study, the standard addition method and comparison with other techniques were implemented to determine at least the precision of the proposed methodology. Thus, 3 aliquots of grape pomace sample were analyzed by the proposed method, setting the selenium concentration founded as base value. Increasing concentrations of Se standard solution were added to three other aliquots. The recovery was then calculated and results are shown in the Table 3. Recoveries were between 98.3 ± 9.5 and $102.9 \pm 9.2\%$.

Samples	Base value (µg kg ⁻¹)	Added Se (µg kg ⁻¹)	Found Se (µg kg ⁻¹)	Recovery (%)
1	-	0	0.48 ± 0.01	-
2	0.48	1	1.51 ± 0.05	102.9 ^a
3	0.48	3,5	3.92 ± 0.12	98.4 ^a
4	0.48	5	5.45 ± 0.15	99.4 ^a
1 ^b		0	0.48 ± 0.01	-
2 ^b	0.48	1	1.49 ± 0.04	101.3 ^a
3 ^b	0.48	3,5	3.92±0.1	98.4 ^a
4 ^b	0.48	5	5.53 ± 0.14	101.0 ^a

Table 3: Recovery study and digestion validation	ı. ^c
---	-----------------

^a Se 100x(found-base)/(added).

^b Analysis performed with a laboratory microwave digester.

^c Confidence intervals: $t_{(0.05,df)}S/(n)^{0.5}$. Informed values as average amounts of three replicate determinations (n = 3).

To evaluate the method accuracy regard sample digestion results obtained with the proposed methodology were compared by MW-AD in closed vessels, with a laboratory microwave digestor. This comparison results appropriate considering possible Se losses during the open vessel MW-AD in a domestic microwave digestor developed in this

manuscript. Results can be observed in Table 3. The determined selenium concentrations show no significant difference at 95% confidence level. It has been stated that As and Bi cause Se HG interferences [44]. These elements, at the concentration range expected in the analyzed samples $(0.1 - 10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1})$ showed no interferences.

The analysis of grape pomace used for the wine industry of the Tulum Valley, San Juan, Argentina, provided a range of concentrations between $0.34 \pm 0.03 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ to $0.48 \pm 0.03 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ for a total of 30 samples determinates. Although these concentrations do not represent a risk, considering that selenium content in most soils is very low, between 0.01-2 mg kg⁻¹ (the world average is 0.4 mg kg⁻¹) [45], it is important to emphasize the importance of controlling the use of marc pomace as fertilizer. Because on one hand, Se can be adsorbed on the organic matter generating deficiencies of the element. On the other hand, when it is used in large quantities in crop soil, selenium leaching may occur if the irrigation water presents an acidic pH with subsequent contamination of groundwater by accumulation of selenium over time [46]. This is most important when selenium tolerance limits in water reported by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) correspond to only 50 μ g L⁻¹[47].

4. Conclusion.

This research described a miniaturized methodology that integrates MW-AD, HG and NTD in FI system for determination of selenium in grape pomace samples. The proposed methodology allowed minimal reagents and sample consumption. Thus, the

preparation of the sample was much simpler and faster than conventional methods, reducing the probability of contamination by sample manipulation.

The NTD filled with oxidized MWCNTs was used for the first time in the preconcentration of selenium gaseous hydrides, presenting an alternative to the conventional hydride entrapment method. By means of a multivariate study it was possible to set the optimum conditions of several variables affecting the proposed methodology reaching a quantitative adsorption under the working concentrations range.

The system was successfully coupled to an FI system and ETAAS for selenium determination. The low eluent volume required for Se release from oxidized MWCNTs encompassed with the graphite furnace volume. Detection limits obtained by this configuration reach the nanogram level, a concentration range compatible with selenium concentrations in pomace samples.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (FONCYT) (PICT BID), Universidad Nacional de San Luis (Argentina) and Universidad Nacional de San Juan (Argentina).

Appendix A. Supplementary data.

References

[1] R. Swart, A. Schutte, J. van Rooyen, C. Mels, Serum selenium levels, the selenoprotein glutathione peroxidase and vascular protection: The SABPA study, Food Research International, (2017) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.06.054.

[2] Ž. Gojkovic, I. Garbayo, J.L.G. Ariza, I. Márová, C. Vílchez, Selenium bioaccumulation and toxicity in cultures of green microalgae, Algal Research, 7 (2015) 106-116.

[3] E.C. Sousa, A.M.A. Uchôa-Thomaz, J.O.B. Carioca, S.M.d. Morais, A.d. Lima, C.G. Martins, C.D. Alexandrino, P.A.T. Ferreira, A.L.M. Rodrigues, S.P. Rodrigues, Chemical composition and bioactive compounds of grape pomace (Vitis vinifera L.), Benitaka variety, grown in the semiarid region of Northeast Brazil, Food Science and Technology (Campinas), 34 (2014) 135-142.

[4] I.S. Arvanitoyannis, D. Ladas, A. Mavromatis, Potential uses and applications of treated wine waste: a review, International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 41 (2006) 475-487.

[5] O. Sagdic, I. Ozturk, H. Yetim, A. Kayacier, M. Dogan, Mineral contents and nutritive values of the pomaces of commercial Turkish grape (Vitis vinifera L.) varieties, Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods, 6 (2014) 89-93.

[6] L. Farzin, M. Shamsipur, S. Sheibani, A review: Aptamer-based analytical strategies using the nanomaterials for environmental and human monitoring of toxic heavy metals, Talanta, 17 (2017) 619-627.

[7] H. Wang, J. Ding, N. Ren, Recent advances in microwave-assisted extraction of trace organic pollutants from food and environmental samples, TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 75 (2016) 197-208.

20

[8] M. Ahmed, Y.H. Chin, X. Guo, X.-M. Zhao, Microwave assisted digestion followed by ICP-MS for determination of trace metals in atmospheric and lake ecosystem, Journal of Environmental Sciences, 55 (2017) 1-10.

[9] A. Alsharaa, C. Basheer, M. Sajid, Single-step microwave assisted headspace liquidphase microextraction of trihalomethanes and haloketones in biological samples, Journal of Chromatography B, 1007 (2015) 43-48.

[10] W. Maher, F. Krikowa, S. Foster, Decomposition of six common selenium species found in animal tissues using microwave digestion with nitric acid and ICP-MS, Microchemical Journal, 126 (2016) 92-95.

[11] E. Nováková, P. Rychlovský, T. Resslerová, J. Hraníček, V. Červený, Electrochemical generation of volatile form of cadmium and its in situ trapping in a graphite furnace, Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 117 (2016) 42-48.

[12] O. Alp, N. Ertaş, In-situ trapping arsenic hydride on tungsten coil and comparing interference effect of some hydride forming elements using different types of atomizers, Microchemical Journal, 128 (2016) 108-112.

[13] Z. Furdíková, B. Dočekal, Trapping interference effects of arsenic, antimony and bismuth hydrides in collection of selenium hydride within iridium-modified transversallyheated graphite tube atomizer, Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 64 (2009) 323-328.

[14] F.A. de Santana, L.A. Portugal, A.M. Serra, L. Ferrer, V. Cerdà, S.L.C. Ferreira, Development of a MSFIA system for sequential determination of antimony, arsenic and selenium using hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry, Talanta, 156-157 (2016) 29-33.

[15] U.B. Barache, A.B. Shaikh, T.N. Lokhande, M.A. Anuse, G.S. Kamble, V.M. Gurame,S.H. Gaikwad, Acid switched efficient, cost effective, selective separation anddetermination of selenium (IV), Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 5 (2017)4828-4840.

[16] K. Kędziora, W. Wasiak, Extraction media used in needle trap devices—progress in development and application, Journal of Chromatography A, 1505 (2017) 1-17.

[17] H.L. Lord, W. Zhan, J. Pawliszyn, Fundamentals and applications of needle trap devices: a critical review, Analytica Chimica Acta, 677 (2010) 3-18.

[18] X. Zang, W. Liang, Q. Chang, T. Wu, C. Wang, Z. Wang, Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Pen Inks by a Dynamic Headspace Needle Trap Device Combined with Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography A, 1513 (2017) 27-34.

[19] A.R. Ghiasvand, F. Yazdankhah, Single-step reinforced microextraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soil samples using an inside needle capillary adsorption trap with electropolymerized aniline/multi-walled carbon nanotube sorbent, Journal of Chromatography A, 1487 (2017) 47-53.

[20] M. Heidari, S.G. Attari, M. Rafieiemam, Application of solid phase microextraction and needle trap device with silica composite of carbon nanotubes for determination of perchloroethylene in laboratory and field, Analytica Chimica Acta, 918 (2016) 43-49.

[21] H. Bagheri, Z. Ayazi, A. Aghakhani, A novel needle trap sorbent based on carbon nanotube-sol–gel for microextraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from aquatic media, Analytica Chimica Acta, 683 (2011) 212-220.

[22] C. Herrero Latorre, J. Álvarez Méndez, J. Barciela García, S. García Martín, R.M. Peña Crecente, Carbon nanotubes as solid-phase extraction sorbents prior to atomic

spectrometric determination of metal species: A review, Analytica Chimica Acta, 749 (2012) 16-35.

[23] A.V. Herrera-Herrera, M.Á. González-Curbelo, J. Hernández-Borges, M.Á. Rodríguez-Delgado, Carbon nanotubes applications in separation science: A review, Analytica Chimica Acta, 734 (2012) 1-30.

[24] L.M. Ravelo-Pérez, A.V. Herrera-Herrera, J. Hernández-Borges, M.Á. Rodríguez-Delgado, Carbon nanotubes: Solid-phase extraction, Journal of Chromatography A, 1217(2010) 2618-2641.

[25] P.H. Pacheco, R.A. Gil, P. Smichowski, G. Polla, L.D. Martinez, Selective determination of inorganic selenium species in parenteral solutions using L-methionine as retaining agent in ETAAS, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 23 (2008) 397-401.
[26] J. Stripeikis, J. Pedro, A. Bonivardi, M. Tudino, Determination of selenite and selenate in drinking water: a fully automatic on-line separation/pre-concentration system coupled to electrothermal atomic spectrometry with permanent chemical modifiers, Analytica Chimica Acta, 502 (2004) 99-105.

[27] X. Hou, Z. Yang, B.T. Jones, Determination of selenium by tungsten coil atomic absorption spectrometry using iridium as a permanent chemical modifier, Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 56 (2001) 203-214.

[28] A.F. Da Silva, F.G. Lepri, D.L.G. Borges, B. Welz, A.J. Curtius, U. Heitmann, Determination of mercury in biological samples using solid sampling high-resolution continuum source electrothermal atomization atomic absorption spectrometry with calibration against aqueous standards, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 21 (2006) 1321-1326.

[29] D.J. Halls, Inter-laboratory note. Reduction of the sampling time in electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 9 (1994) 1177-1178.

[30] I. López-García, P. Viñas, N. Campillo, M. Hernández-Córdoba, Determination of Selenium in Seafoods Using Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry with Slurry Sample Introduction, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 44 (1996) 836-841.

[31] M. El Ati-Hellal, F. Hellal, A. Hedhili, Application of Plackett–Burman and Doehlert designs for optimization of selenium analysis in plasma with electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Clinical Biochemistry, 47 (2014) 95-100.

[32] A. Maratta, M. Acosta, L.D. Martinez, P.H. Pacheco, R.A. Gil, Ultratrace arsenic determination through hydride trapping on oxidized multiwall carbon nanotubes coupled to electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 28 (2013) 916-922.

[33] A. Maratta, L.D. Martinez, P. Pacheco, Development of an on line miniaturized non-chromatographic arsenic speciation system, Microchemical Journal, 127 (2016) 199-205.
[34] J. Zhang, Y. Wei, H. Li, E.Y. Zeng, J. You, Application of Box–Behnken design to optimize multi-sorbent solid phase extraction for trace neonicotinoids in water containing high level of matrix substances, Talanta, 170 (2017) 392-398.

[35] W.-W. Du Mont, F. Devillanova, Handbook of Chalcogen Chemistry: New Perspectives in Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2013.

[36] C.H. Latorre, J.B. García, S.G. Martín, R.P. Crecente, Solid phase extraction for the speciation and preconcentration of inorganic selenium in water samples: A review, Analytica Chimica Acta, 804 (2013) 37-49.

24

[37] X. Liang, S. Liu, S. Wang, Y. Guo, S. Jiang, Carbon-based sorbents: carbon nanotubes, Journal of Chromatography A, 1357 (2014) 53-67.

[38] D.C. Harris, Quantitative chemical analysis, Macmillan, 2010.

[39] H. Asiabi, Y. Yamini, S. Seidi, M. Shamsayei, M. Safari, F. Rezaei, On-line electrochemically controlled in-tube solid phase microextraction of inorganic selenium followed by hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry, Analytica Chimica Acta, 922 (2016) 37-47.

[40] R. Manjusha, K. Dash, D. Karunasagar, UV-photolysis assisted digestion of food samples for the determination of selenium by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS), Food Chemistry, 105 (2007) 260-265.

[41] E. Bağda, M. Tüzen, A simple and sensitive vortex-assisted ionic liquid-dispersive microextraction and spectrophotometric determination of selenium in food samples, Food Chemistry, 232 (2017) 98-104.

[42] B. Izgi, S. Gucer, R. Jaćimović, Determination of selenium in garlic (Allium sativum) and onion (Allium cepa) by electro thermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Food Chemistry, 99 (2006) 630-637.

[43] M. Sigrist, L. Brusa, D. Campagnoli, H. Beldoménico, Determination of selenium in selected food samples from Argentina and estimation of their contribution to the Se dietary intake, Food Chemistry, 134 (2012) 1932-1937.

[44] J. Dedina, Interference of volatile hydride-forming elements in selenium determination by atomic absorption spectrometry with hydride generation, Analytical Chemistry, 54 (1982) 2097-2102.

[45] F.M. Fordyce, Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment, in: Essentials of medical geology, Springer, 2013, 375-416.

[46] Z. Li, D. Liang, Q. Peng, Z. Cui, J. Huang, Z. Lin, Interaction between selenium and soil organic matter and its impact on soil selenium bioavailability: A review, Geoderma, 295 (2017) 69-79.

[47] L.C. Tan, Y.V. Nancharaiah, E.D. van Hullebusch, P.N. Lens, Selenium: environmental significance, pollution, and biological treatment technologies, Biotechnology Advances, 34 (2016) 886-907.

K Charles and a second second

Graphical abstract



Highlights

- Pomace samples were digested by FI-MW-AD for Se analysis.
- A needle trap device filled with oxidized carbon nanotubes is presented.
- Se hydrides are successfully trapped on oxidized multiwall carbon nanotubes.
- The system is miniaturized with minimal samples and reagents consumption.
- Detection limits obtained by this configuration reach the nanogram level.

A CERTINAN