1	Numerical modeling of bottom trawling-induced sediment transport and
2	accumulation in La Fonera submarine canyon, northwestern Mediterranean Sea
3	M. Payo-Payo ^{1,2} , R. S. Jacinto ¹ , G. Lastras ³ , M. Rabineau ² , P. Puig ⁴ , J. Martín ⁵ , M. Canals ³
4	and N. Sultan ¹
5 6	¹ IFREMER, Géosciences Marines-EDROME, Centre de Brest, BP70, CS10070, 29280 Plouzané, France.
7 8	² Université de Brest, IUEM, UMR CNRS 6538, Domaines Océaniques, Place Nicolas Copernic, 29280 Plouzané, France.
9 10	³ CRG Marine Geosciences, Department de Dinàmica de la Terra i dels Oceans, Universitat de Barcelona, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain.
11	⁴ Institute of Marine Sciences, CSIC, E-08003 Barcelona, Spain.
12	⁵ Centro Austral de Investigaciones Científicas (CADIC-CONICET), Bernardo Houssay 200,
13	V9410CAB Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina.
14	
15	Corresponding author: Marta Payo-Payo (marta.payo.payo@ifremer.fr)
16	Highlights:
17 18	• A novel framework for estimating bottom-trawling sediment resuspension and transport is provided.
19 20	• The results yield a spatial view of submarine canyon sediment dynamics and sedimentation patterns.
21	• Model results are in good agreement with in situ data and sedimentation rates.
22	
23	

24 Abstract

25 Bottom trawling leads to recurrent sediment resuspension events over fishing grounds. Recent 26 studies have shown how bottom trawling can drive seascape reshaping at large spatial scales and 27 enhance sediment transport in submarine canyons, which subsequently impacts deep-sea 28 ecosystems. Present knowledge on the transfer and accumulation of sediment flows triggered by 29 bottom trawling is based on localized and infrequent measurements whilst a more complete picture of the process is needed. The present work focuses on the modeling of sediment transport 30 31 and accumulation resulting from trawling activities in La Fonera submarine canyon, 32 northwestern Mediterranean Sea, thus contributing to an improved assessment of trawling 33 impacts. Based on mooring data within a canyon gully, we use an inverse model to retrieve the 34 unknown time series of resuspension due to trawling over the fishing grounds. This resuspension 35 is later used as forcing for the direct problem: we simulate trawling-induced flows through the 36 canyon and provide a 3D visualization of potential trawling impacts on sediment dynamics, 37 including the identification of the propagation patterns of sediments resuspended by trawling. 38 Flows coming from shallower fishing grounds are funneled through canyon flank gullies towards 39 the canyon axis, with part of the resuspended sediment reaching the continental rise out of the 40 canyon across the open continental slope. Trawling-induced sediment flows promote sediment 41 accumulation beyond the canyon mouth. Given the wide geographical distribution of bottom 42 trawling, our results have far-reaching implications that go much beyond La Fonera submarine 43 canyon. Our study represents a starting point for the assessment of the sedimentary impact of 44 bottom trawling in deep continental margins.

45 Keywords: bottom trawling, inverse model, modeling, sediment transport, submarine canyon,
46 turbidity currents

47 **1. Introduction**

48 Bottom trawling is a non-selective fishing technique involving the towing of heavy collecting 49 devices to harvest living resources. Bottom trawling is a widespread activity with a global trawled surface of up to $22 \times 10^6 km^2$ (WRI, 2001) with 40% of this activity extending beyond 50 51 the continental shelf-break; this is a surface equivalent to twice the surface of Europe. At present, 52 bottom trawling may be considered one of the anthropogenic activities with a stronger and more 53 widespread impact on the seafloor due to its recurrence, intensity, mobility and wide 54 geographical extent (Eastwood et al., 2007; Benn et al., 2010; Puig et al. 2012; Martín et al., 55 2014a). Demersal fisheries, and bottom trawling among them, have extended their activity from 56 traditional shallow fishing grounds towards the continental slope and further offshore (Haedrich 57 et al., 2001; Morato et al., 2006). This forced expansion is due to the depletion of shallow coastal 58 resources as well as to the development of new and more powerful engines and heavier and 59 larger gears allowing the exploitation of previously inaccessible resources. Also, the adoption of 60 Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ) since the seventies has pushed fisheries offshore beyond the 61 200 nautical miles from coastal baselines. Government subsidies have also favored the offshore 62 expansion of deep fisheries (Sumaila et al., 2008, 2010; Martín et al., 2014a). Artificial 63 disturbances of the seafloor tend to be more severe and long-lasting in deep sea environments 64 than in shallow environments due to their lower resilience and higher vulnerability to external 65 disturbances (e.g. Pusceddu et al., 2014). Natural processes such as waves and storms able to overcome human imprints are weaker in the former (Dyerkjaer et al., 1995). Thus, the more 66

powerful engines combined with heavier and larger gear which are employed at greater depths
have an enhanced impact on the deep seafloor (Martín et al., 2014a and references therein).

69 Trawling-induced sediment resuspension and transport, or the initiation of trawling-induced 70 sediment gravity flows, are not fully investigated and understood. Present knowledge is based on 71 seldom and geographically localized direct observations from shallow environments and 72 continental shelves (Churchill, 1989; Palanques et al., 2001; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005; 73 Dellapenna et al., 2006; Ferré et al., 2008), monitoring surveys in the wake of trawl gears 74 (O'Neill and Summerbell, 2011; O'Neill et al., 2013), numerical modeling of the physical impact 75 of the gear on the seabed (Prat et al., 2008; Ivanović et al., 2011; Esmaeli et Ivanović, 2014) and 76 time series analysis from moored instruments (Palanques et al., 2005; Puig et al., 2012; Martín et 77 al., 2014b). A better understanding and prediction of trawling impact would allow the 78 development of methodologies to assess the biological and environmental effects of fishing and 79 the design of low impact gears (Diesing et al., 2013; Depestele et al., 2015). Process-based 80 models can complete insight into physical processes in deep environments but are rarely applied 81 in such systems on a wide scale.

In the present paper, a numerical process-based model developed to reproduce underwater sediment-laden flows is implemented in La Fonera canyon (hereafter LFC, also known as Palamós), in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, an area where extensive bottom trawling takes place. The consequences in terms of sediment fluxes (i.e. suspended sediment concentration and current speed) have been monitored at several depths within the water column by means of an instrumented mooring (Puig et al., 2012; Martín et al., 2014b).

In order to better understand the temporal and spatial propagation of trawling induced turbidity
 currents, the resuspension of sediment due to trawling needs to be determined. As such, two
 4

90 problems are presented and analyzed in the present manuscript (Fig.1): an inverse model, in 91 order to retrieve the resuspension due to trawling, and a direct problem, the numerical simulation 92 of the sediment transfer from the fishing grounds to deeper water sites. The inverse problem 93 allows us to determine the three major unknowns related to the triggering mechanism of turbidity 94 currents: area of influence, transfer function (i.e., response at MGM to different events over the 95 fishing grounds previously defined) and resuspension (R_s) over the fishing grounds. The 96 estimated resuspension issued by the inverse problem is used as forcing in the direct problem.

97 **2. Regional setting**

98

2.1. Physiography, hydrodynamics and sediment transport

99 LFC runs about 110 km from 80 m down to 2550 m depth (Fig. 2) (Amblas et al., 2006). Its head 100 is deeply incised in the 30 km wide North Catalan continental shelf, with its axis located deeper 101 than 1200 m at the equivalent position of the shelf break. The western canyon rim is only about 102 2-3 km from the coastline, with the tip of its western branch at barely 800 m (Palanques et al., 103 2005; Lastras et al., 2011). The canyon head presents a N-S orientation whilst the main canyon 104 axis is orientated WNW-ESE. The canyon walls are steep (over 25°) and indented by numerous 105 gullies (Lastras et al., 2011).

Two hydrosedimentary domains have been identified within the canyon (Palanques et al., 2005; Martín et al., 2006): an "inner" domain, up to 1200 m depth, and an "outer" domain. In the first one the closed circulation is dominated by the influence of the topography and the sediment inputs from the adjacent shelf whilst in the second one the slope dynamics and the seasonal trends play a major role on particle fluxes (Palanques et al., 2005; Martín et al., 2006). The main feature of the regional circulation is a slope current referred to as the Northern Current (Millot,

112 1999), which flows from the Ligurian Sea to the Gulf of Lions (GoL) and then southwards over 113 the continental slope off Catalonia. The microtidal environment of the area facilitates studying 114 the dynamics of the currents within the canyon and its interactions with topography. The 115 closeness of the canyon head to the shore and its incision on the continental shelf allows the 116 canyon to capture the sediments from littoral drift, major storms and dense shelf water cascading 117 (DSWC) via both its head and northern flank (Lastras et al., 2011; Ribó et al, 2011; Canals et al., 118 2013).

119 2.2. Anthropogenic forcing

Deep-sea trawling has been conducted along the flanks of LFC since the early 20th century 120 121 (Alegret and Garrido, 2008). The local fleet targets the deep sea shrimp Aristeus antennatus 122 (Riso 1816). Trawling activities are conducted on the canyon flanks mainly from 200 to 800 m 123 depth along three main fishing grounds: Sant Sebastià and Llevant on the northern flank and 124 Rostoll on the southern flank. Fishing is more intense between 400 m and 750 m (Company et 125 al., 2008; Puig et al., 2012; Martín et al., 2014c). The otter trawl gears employed by the local 126 vessels present heavy doors (400-1300 kg) that spread apart approximately 100 m while fishing 127 (Palanques et al., 2006). Previous studies have revealed the importance of trawling-induced 128 sediment resuspension and associated flows on the near-bottom turbidity and on the sediment 129 dynamics in this canyon (Palanques et al., 2006; Martín et al., 2014b). It has been shown that 130 chronic trawling along the rims of this canyon has resulted in significant modification of the 131 seascape (Puig et al., 2012).

132 Mooring observations revealed the occurrence of frequent peaks in suspended sediment 133 concentration (SSC) and sharp increases in near-bottom velocity (Palanques et al., 2005, 2006;

134 Martín et al., 2007). Since these bursts always occurred on working days and hours, and not 135 under rough sea conditions, they were related to turbidity currents associated to bottom trawling 136 activities along the northern canyon flank. Such trawling-induced turbidity currents were initially 137 recorded in 2001 with a mooring located 12 m above the bottom in the canyon axis at 1200 m 138 depth, (P2 in Fig. 2c) reaching velocities of ~25 cm/s and SSCs of ~35 mg/l, but were not 139 recorded at a mooring located at 1700 m depth in the canyon axis at a deeper position (P4 in Fig. 140 2c) (Palanques et al., 2005, 2006; Martín et al., 2007). Mooring data showed that currents only 141 affected a limited part of the canyon and sediment did not travel too far along the canyon axis. 142 Isolated turbidity currents observed in the records at 1700 m depth in the canyon axis were 143 associated with slope failures from the untrawled southern canyon flank (Martín et al., 2007).

144 In 2011, an instrumented mooring (hereafter MGM, from Montgrí gully mooring, Fig. 2c) was 145 placed inside the tributary gully of Montgrí at 980 m depth. The position of deployment, 200 m 146 below the limit of the Sant Sebastià fishing ground on the northern flank, was limited by the 147 operational working depth of the instrumented mooring. A tight coupling was observed between 148 the temporal distribution of high turbidity events and the working schedule of the local fleet, 149 with turbidity currents observed repeatedly on weekdays during working hours. Maximum 150 velocities of up to 38 cm/s at 12 m above seafloor and SSCs of 236 mg/l at 5 m above seafloor 151 were recorded (Puig et al., 2012; Martín et al., 2014b)

The effects of trawling were found to extend beyond the fishing grounds, modifying sediment accumulation rates in the lower canyon (Martín et al., 2008). A sediment core (P4 in Fig. 2c) retrieved in 2002 from the canyon axis at 1700 m depth allowed the identification of two sedimentary regimes. The upper part of the core showed a fine layering corresponding to enhanced sediment accumulation that allowed the preservation of physical structures. The lower 7

part records a relatively slow accumulation and significant bioturbation. The transition from nonlaminated to laminated sediments in the cores coincides with a two-fold increase in the sediment accumulation rate linked to the rapid technical development and increase in the engine power undergone by the local trawling fleet in the seventies. A recent study that analyzed a sediment core at the same site 9 years later, suggests that the accumulation rate during the last decade has dramatically increased and could approach 2.4 cm/yr (Puig et al., 2015).

163

164 **3. Data and tools**

165 3.1. In situ data

A 100 m resolution bathymetric grid was generated from a compilation of bathymetric data from
French and Spanish research institutions and has been included in the model (Fig. 2c).

In situ monitoring data are used to calibrate the inverse model and to reliably reproduce sediment resuspension due to trawling. Current velocity and suspended sediment concentration data (Puig et al., 2012) from MGM (41°52.49'N; 3°20.66'E, Fig. 2c) has been used to construct a synthetic time series of instantaneous sediment transport through integration over the vertical of the available dataset.

The area of the fishing fleet over the canyon flanks is defined on the basis of navigation tracks recorded by the Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) between the years 2007 and 2010 provided by the Fishing Monitoring Centre of the Spanish Secretariat of Marine Fishing (SEGEMAR). The data depict two active fishing grounds in the zone: Sant Sebastià and Llevant on the northern flank and Rostoll on the southern flank (Fig. 3a). A straight line delimits the NE border of the 178 fishing grounds as resuspension here was deemed not to influence MGM dynamics where data179 are available.

Surface sediment near MGM corresponds to silty mud with sand content less than 3%. However, in the trawled areas, previous studies have described an upward coarsening trend and a sorting of grains (Martín et al., 2014a, 2014c). A grain solid density (ρ_s) of 2600 kg/m³ is considered for the sediment in the area.

184 3.2. Numerical model

185 We implement Nixes-TC (Jacinto and Burel, 2003), a numerical process-based model developed 186 to reproduce underwater sediment-laden flows, to LFC. The model follows the principles of 187 those developed by Parker et al. (1986) and Bradford and Katopodes (1999). The spatial 188 development of an unsteady turbidity current flowing in deep ambient fluid (Fig. 4) can be 189 described by the following set of vertically integrated partial differential equations derived by 190 (Parker et al., 1986): the vertically integrated fluid, momentum and sediment conservation 191 equations. Key improvements of Nixes-TC are the inclusion of the sedimentation model (settling 192 velocity) in the equation of flux conservation, together with the development of a non-linear 193 flow-dependent shear stress coefficient valid for all Reynolds numbers from laminar to fully 194 turbulent flows.

195 3.2.1. Ed

3.2.1. Equations and processes included

The continuity and momentum equations at the basis of the model are virtually identical to the shallow water equations with the exception of the hydrostatic thrust. In our case, the inertial pressure is still given by Bernoulli's principle (i.e. pressure is proportional to the loss of kinetic

energy along a streamline; however, the deviation from hydrostatic pressure is given by the reduced specific gravity of the particles. The slow-varying slope between the different grid elements justifies the use of the hydrostatic pressure approximation since variations from hydrostatic pressure can be considered as negligible. We use the Boussinesq approximation, which implies that the effect of density difference between the turbidity current and the ambient fluid is neglected in the acceleration terms but kept in the gravity terms, where it drives the flow.

Equations forming the basis of the model are the fluid continuity equation, the momentum conservation in x and y and the sediment mass conservation. The horizontal coordinates x and yand the upward normal coordinate z are assumed to be boundary-attached coordinates along the ocean bed. The system of equations can be written in flux vector form (Bradford et al., 1997) as:

209
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} = \boldsymbol{Q}$$
 (1)

where U is the vector of conservative variables, F and G are the flux vectors in x and y direction respectively, and Q is the vector containing the source terms:

212
$$\boldsymbol{U} = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ U_x h \\ U_y h \\ hC \end{pmatrix}$$
(1a)

213
$$\boldsymbol{F} = \begin{pmatrix} U_x h \\ U_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} RCgh^2 \\ U_x U_y h \\ U_x hC \end{pmatrix}$$
(1b)

214
$$\boldsymbol{G} = \begin{pmatrix} U_y h \\ U_x U_y h \\ U_y^2 h + \frac{1}{2} RCgh^2 \\ U_y hC \end{pmatrix}$$
(1c)
10

215
$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} e_w \sqrt{U_x^2 + U_y^2} - w_s \\ -RCghS_x - C_D U_x \sqrt{U_x^2 + U_y^2} \\ -RCghS_y - C_D U_y \sqrt{U_x^2 + U_y^2} \\ w_s (pE_s - C_b) \end{pmatrix}$$
(1d)

216 where h is the flow thickness, U_x and U_y are the layer-averaged velocities in the x and y directions respectively, C is the layer-averaged suspended sediment concentration, $R = (\rho_s - \rho_s)$ 217 ρ)/ ρ is the submerged specific gravity, where ρ_s is the grain solid density and ρ the density of 218 the ambient fluid, $S_x = tan \alpha_x$ and $S_y = tan \alpha_y$ are the bottom slope in the x and y directions, g 219 220 is the acceleration due to gravity, u_* is the shear velocity, e_w is the ambient fluid entrainment 221 coefficient derived by Parker et al. (1987), E_s is the sediment entrainment coefficient developed 222 by Garcia and Parker (1991, 1993), C_D is the coefficient of bed friction, w_s is the settling velocity, (1 - p) is the porosity of the sediment and C_b is the near-bed sediment concentration. 223 In our simulations we consider $C_b = C$. 224

225 The inclusion of a sedimentation model (w_s) in the equation of continuity is one of the novelties 226 of Nixes-TC and enables the existence of equilibrium solutions. It arises from the definition of h227 as the vertical scale of the TC and hence, the thickness over which sediment is present. Since h 228 can be defined as twice the average position of the particles, the variation of the average position 229 of the particles of sediment will give the variation of h. Physically, as in a 3D formulation there 230 must be a balance, between vertical turbulent mixing (here water entrainment) and sediment settling fluxes (here settling velocity). The settling velocity w_s in the model is function of the 231 232 settling velocity in clear water. The settling velocity of sediment with grain diameter D is 233 computed by the Zanke's formula (Zanke, 1977) that extends the Stokes' law for larger particles:

234
$$w_{s,w} = 10 \frac{\nu}{D} \sqrt{1 + \frac{0.01 \left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho} - 1\right) g D^3}{\nu^2}}$$
 (2)

235

The contribution of bed shear stress to motion is expressed by the quadratic formulation τ_{bx} = 236 $\rho C_D U_x \sqrt{U_x^2 + U_y^2}$ and $\tau_{by} = \rho C_D U_y \sqrt{U_x^2 + U_y^2}$ in the x and y directions. At equilibrium 237 conditions (i.e. $Fr_d = \frac{U}{\sqrt{RgCh}} = 1$) the driving force of the slope must be compensated by the bed 238 shear. Hence, C_D is not constant and it may change as much as the forcing slope, with values 239 ranging between 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} for turbulent flows as shown in Figure 5. The bed drag depends on 240 241 the local properties of the flow: Reynolds number Re and relative roughness k_s/h , where k_s is the bed roughness associated to the grain sediment size and it is defined as $k_s = D$. Provided that the 242 local flow properties may change at every time step and from one grid to another, C_D is 243 244 calculated at every time step for every grid point. It includes the contribution of viscosity and 245 turbulence to the bed shear stress, thus it is valid for all Reynolds numbers from laminar to fully turbulent. Figure 5 shows the evolution of C_D depending on Reynolds number for different 246 247 relative roughness (k_s/h) .

Nixes-TC includes an equation of bed continuity (equation (3)), similar to Exner equation,although here the sediment flux is vertical.

$$250 \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \frac{D - E}{1 - \gamma} \tag{3}$$

251 Where $\partial z/\partial t$ is the temporal evolution of the bed, D - E is the net vertical flux of sediment onto 252 the bed $(w_s C_b - w_s p E_s)$ and γ is the porosity of the bed.

253 3.2.2. Numerical scheme

254 The Volume Finite Method permits evaluating the coupled system of nonlinear hyperbolic partial 255 differential equations provided by Bradford et al. (1997) and Bradford and Katopodes (1999). 256 Source terms are calculated following an implicit scheme. The Riemann problem at each 257 interface is solved following Roe (1981). The typical boundary condition of open outflow 258 boundary is used in the present study. The implementation of the boundary conditions is 259 accomplished through the use of a ghost cell (outside the domain of interest) at the boundary. 260 The reflection of the concentration, current thickness and velocities perpendicular and parallel to 261 the wall are placed in the ghost cell (i.e. concentration, current thickness and perpendicular and 262 horizontal velocity remain unchanged). These non-reflective open outflow boundaries ensure 263 that information can freely exit the computational domain without causing disturbances of to the 264 solution. The implicit numerical scheme ensures that numerical instability does not occur as 265 implicit algorithms can cope with sharp changes in solution by using reduced time steps. The 266 condition of stability is the Courant-Friedrichs-Levy (CFL) condition.

Inputs of the model are the orthogonal grid corresponding to the bathymetry of the canyon, 267 268 sediment properties (i.e. grain size, fraction of a given sediment grain size and density) and 269 initial deposit (i.e. volume concentration and porosity) which will be equivalent to an initial 270 sediment resuspension. The kinematic viscosity and density of water as well as the von Karman 271 coefficient for the turbulence closure are also included in the parameters file. Initial and 272 boundary conditions are provided to the model in terms of position, mass and volume of 273 sediment remobilized (i.e. trawled area and remobilization due to trawling). Outputs of the model 274 are the values of current thickness, current velocity (streamwise and transverse direction) and

sediment concentration at each grid point. These variables allow the calculation of mass flux andinstantaneous sediment transport, bed shear stress and spatial distribution of sedimentary deposit.

4. The inverse problem: assessment of the triggering mechanism

278 Resuspension fluxes due to trawling are not fully understood. The assessment of trawling 279 disturbances and trawling-induced resuspension requires quantifying the rate at which trawls 280 inject sediment into the water column, the height of the plume generated by the trawl gear and 281 the rate at which this plume fades (Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005).

282 Trawling activity is patchy both in time and space. The trawl gear ploughs furrows along the 283 towpath leading to sediment remobilization. Seabed disturbance is simultaneous to sediment 284 cloud release into the water column (Fig. 6a). However, the effective quantity of sediment 285 resuspended by trawling in deep environments remains largely unknown. The parameters that 286 allow the quantification of trawling impact over the fishing grounds show variation of one order 287 of magnitude according to studies conducted in shallow environments. Trawling resuspension 288 depends on the characteristics of the gear, the nature of the sediment and towing speed (Durrieu 289 de Madron et al., 2005). The penetration depth (P_f) of the trawl in the sediment depends on the 290 gear component and while the heavier parts, such as the otter doors that keep the net open, will 291 penetrate down to several centimeters; others, such us the sweep lines, will only skim some 292 millimeters of the seafloor surface (Schwinghamer et al., 1998; Dellapenna et al., 2006; O'Neill 293 and Summerbell, 2011). The rate at which sediment plumes are released and fade depends on the 294 sediment's clay content (Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005; Ivanović et al., 2011). The height (h) of 295 sediment plumes after a trawler's passage on soft bottom sediments on continental shelves varies 296 between 5 and 10 m (Churchill, 1989; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005). The towing speed (V_T)

297 also influences the sediment release and can vary during fishing hauls with common values 298 between 2 and 5 knots (He and Winger, 2010). The amount of sediment remobilized by a trawler 299 in fishing operation is given by the surface trawled per unit of time and the depth scraped (Fig. 300 6b). Since we lack information about the trawling resuspension fluxes over the fishing grounds 301 and given the wide range of values and uncertainties in the variables involved, we consider a 302 mean value of resuspension (R_s) spread over the whole fishing grounds under consideration. 303 This approach averages the uncertainties while providing a more general picture of the sediment 304 dynamics in the canyon. The resuspension is expressed in terms of equivalent remobilized 305 sediment thickness and is considered to be instantaneously injected in the water column and 306 uniformly resuspended over the first 10 m above the bottom in the water column (Churchill, 307 1989) (Fig. 6c). This initial height allows us to take into account the scale of turbulence, which is 308 given by the fishing gear size. The volume concentration of the sediment plume released is 309 calculated by means of mass conservation (equation (4)) between the sediment scrapped from the 310 bed layer (i.e. left part of the equation) and the sediment injected into the water column (i.e. right 311 part of the equation):

$$312 \quad C_d \cdot R_s = C_s \cdot h \tag{4}$$

where C_d is the volumetric concentration of the sediment in the bed, R_s is the sediment thickness remobilised, C_s is the volumetric concentration of the sediment cloud and *h* is the height of the sediment cloud. In our simulations we consider a value of $C_d = 0.25$ (650 g/l).

317 4.1. Influence of the depth reached by trawlers in the sediment transport in
318 MGM/Definition of the area of influence (i.e. critical depth) of the trawling fleet

319 A first set of simulations was performed to define the area of influence of the local trawling fleet 320 and to determine the critical depth from which trawling-induced turbidity currents develop down 321 the canyon reaching MGM. For all the simulations an initial homogeneous instantaneous 322 remobilization is considered over the northern continental shelf, upper continental slope and 323 canyon flank at an increasing range of depths. The shallower area considered reached the 200 m 324 isobath, the second area increased the depth considered to 300 m and so on in 100 m increments 325 until a depth of 800 m. (Fig. 3b). The 200 m isobath roughly corresponds to the canyon rim 326 depth, thus restricting trawling to the continental shelf, whilst in the trawled area down to 800 m 327 it covers both this part of the continental shelf and the canyon flank. The 800 m isobath defines 328 the limit of actual trawling activity over the northern canyon flank. The initial sediment thickness 329 considered is 1 cm provided the mean scraped depth values given in previous studies (Churchill, 330 1989; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005), which is equivalent to a volumetric concentration of $\Phi_{\rm s} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (0.65g/l). A grain size of 63µm is considered since no significant differences 331 332 were observed in the sensitivity tests performed for the range of values corresponding to silt 333 (Supplementary Figure 1).

Turbidity currents do not reach the MGM mooring site when trawling is limited to the shelf at less than 200 m water depth (Fig. 7). Shallower than this depth threshold, bottom trawling increases local turbidity without sediment laden flows developing down the continental slope due to the shelf's low gradient.

338

4.2. Development of the transfer function

A second set of simulations for different initial events was carried out. Remobilization is imposed over the fishing grounds (Fig. 3a) defined on the basis of VMS data for the period 2007-2010. The resulting area is a conservative estimation of the potential area that can be bottom 342 trawled. The remobilized thickness (R_s) ranges from 0.1 cm to 0.75 cm and is considered to be 343 instantaneously injected in the water column and uniformly resuspended over the first 10 m 344 above the bottom. These values correspond, according to equation (4), to resuspended sediment 345 concentrations of 0.065 g/l and 0.4875 g/l respectively and trigger turbidity currents that, at the 346 location of MGM, display values of instantaneous sediment transport of the same order of 347 magnitude as those measured. Two functions are derived from this exercise: (i) a transfer function $f(t-\tau)$, and (ii) an amplitude function $A(R_s)$. The transfer function contains 348 349 information about the response of the system at MGM to an event over the fishing grounds (i.e. 350 temporal evolution and time scale). The transfer function is obtained by means of normalization 351 of the responses at MGM for the different initial conditions. The application of a moving average 352 filter with a window size of 600 s allows the removal of undesired noise associated with the combination of the different signals. The maximum window size applied is limited by the time 353 354 lag between the first peak and the first valley and by the time lag of the arrival of the response at 355 MGM, this is to say, 2100 s. The amplitude function contains information about the forcing 356 imposed. The amplitude function relating amplitude at MGM and remobilization over the fishing grounds is found through spline fitting. The instantaneous transport $(M_{mp}(t))$ at MGM at a time 357 t caused by a punctual remobilization R_s over the fishing grounds at a time τ_o is given by the 358 product of both functions. 359

$$360 \quad M_{mp}(t) = A(\tau_o) \cdot f(t - \tau_o) \tag{5}$$

361 Similar responses are obtained for different initial conditions over the fishing grounds considered 362 (Fig. 8a). Each event of resuspension over the fishing grounds triggers a response at MGM that 363 appears to last about 16 hours (Fig. 8a and 8c). There is a time lag of about 30' between the 364 remobilization over the canyon flanks and arrival time at MGM (Fig. 8a and 8c). The peak of the 365 event reaches MGM at about 90' after the remobilization (Fig. 8a and 8c). The similar responses 366 obtained for each individual event in terms of time scale and the fact that response amplitudes 367 are proportional to the forcing allow the decomposition of the signal into two functions: a quasi-368 linear relationship (Fig. 8b) between the remobilization (R_s) over the fishing grounds (i.e. 369 forcing) and the amplitude (A) of the response at MGM, and a transfer function (Fig. 8c) 370 containing the physical processes and time scales inherent to the system. By splitting the 371 response of an event of resuspension into these two functions (i.e. transfer function and 372 relationship $A \propto R_s$), we isolate the part of the response at MGM due to the system itself (i.e. transfer function) and the part of the response due to the external forcing (i.e. relationship $A \propto$ 373 374 R_s).

375 4.3. Inverse analysis model

376 Our aim is to quantify the unknown sediment removal $R_s(t)$ along the fishing grounds that 377 would cause turbidity events comparable to those measured in 2011 at MGM. To do so, we use 378 an inverse analysis model, i.e. a model that calculates from a set of observations the causal 379 factors that produce them. Our set of observations is the data measurements M(t) at MGM, and the causal factor is the sediment remobilization over the fishing grounds $R_s(t)$. Our inverse 380 381 model is based on the previously determined functions for a single event (i.e. transfer function $f(t - \tau)$ and amplitude function = $g(R_s)$. The signal obtained at MGM is considered as linear 382 383 superposition of n discrete instantaneous remobilization events over the fishing grounds (Fig. 9). Each one of these events condenses the remobilization associated to its 60 s window, implying 384 385 that resuspension is expressed in terms of equivalent thickness removal per minute. The modeled

instantaneous sediment $(M_m(t))$ transport at MGM is given by the convolution of the transfer function and the different amplitudes.

388
$$M_m(t) = \int_0^t A(\tau) \cdot f(t-\tau) d\tau$$
(6)

By linearizing and discretizing the problem, we are able to relate the discrete data measurements (M(t)) at the mooring site to the discrete inverse model parameters (i.e. unknown amplitude of the different events $A(\tau)$). The comparison between measurements (M(t)) and inverse model output $(M_m(t))$ at MGM allows us to infer the amplitude $A(\tau)$ of the different events at MGM and due to the relationship $A_o \propto R_s$ (see Fig. 8b), we can infer the latter.

$$394 \qquad M(t) = M_m(t) \tag{7}$$

The left part of the previous expression M(t) is the synthetic series of instantaneous sediment transport (kg/m²) obtained from the dataset measured at MGM. The right part of the equation (7) $M_m(t)$ is the instantaneous sediment transport issued by the inverse model obtained through linear superposition of the different punctual events modeled.

The aim of the inverse modeling is to retrieve the amplitude $(A(\tau))$ of the n different events that will allow us to infer the forcing over the fishing grounds (R_s) . However, $A(\tau)$ is largely unknown and multiple solutions are possible. In order to reduce the degrees of freedom of the system we follow two alternative approaches to determine the discrete inverse model parameters $A(\tau)$ and hence, infer the time series of resuspension $R_s(t)$ over the fishing grounds: Gaussian distribution and Autoregressive Moving Average Model (ARMA).

405 4.3.1. Gaussian distribution

406 A preliminary assessment of the impact of bottom trawling is explored by means of a Gaussian 407 distribution of the fishing effort over the fishing grounds. This first simplified assessment is 408 presented for illustration purposes and to evaluate the order of magnitude involved. A Gaussian 409 distribution of the fishing effort over the two fishing grounds is considered. The relationship 410 found between $A_o \propto R_s$ (Fig. 8b) would suggest that, in a linear approach, if the fishing effort 411 follows a Gaussian distribution, the amplitude of the events at MGM should also follow a 412 Gaussian distribution.

Fishing activity over the flanks of the canyon takes place on working days, whilst on Saturday
and Sunday there is no activity. Vessels head offshore at 6 a.m. and head back to port at about 3415 4 p.m. (Martín et al., 2014b). We impose the following distribution for a working day:

416
$$A(t) = \gamma \cdot e^{-\frac{(t-t_0)^2}{2(dt)^2}}$$
 (8)

417 Where $t_o=11$ h so that the distribution is centered at 11 a.m. and its temporal window is $dt^2=2$. 418 The coefficient γ is necessary so that the response of the inverse model and the measurements at 419 MGM are of the same order of magnitude, and takes a value of $\gamma = 0.0091$. Once the time series 420 of amplitude A(t) at MGM of the different events considered is obtained, the remobilization R_s 421 over the fishing grounds can be inferred from the relationship $A = g(R_s)$ (See Fig. 8b).

422 Maximal resuspension values $R_s(t)$ of $5\mu m/min$ over the fishing grounds are obtained through 423 inverse modeling under the hypothesis of a Gaussian distribution of the fishing effort (Fig. 10a). 424 The total volume of sediment remobilized per unit of time in the model should be equivalent to 425 that remobilized by a reasonable fishing activity (see Fig. 6b). This maximal resuspension over

towing speed in the area between 2 and 3 knots scraping $3.8 \pm 0.7 \, mm$ on average. Despite the uncertainty in the variables involved in its calculation, these penetration depth values of the fishing gears are in the agreement with those found in the literature (Jones, 1992; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005; Ivanović et al., 2011; O'Neill and Summerbell, 2011).

432

426

427

433

3 4.3.2. Autoregressive Moving Average Model

A more accurate assessment of the impact of bottom trawling over the fishing grounds can be determined by means of the Autoregressive Moving Average Model (ARMA) (Whittle, 1951; Box and Jenkins, 1976). The ARMA model allows splitting a signal in two parts: (i) an autoregressive part (M_{AR}) expressing the inherent behavior of the system itself, and (ii) a moving average part (M_{MA}) related to the external forcing of the system (i.e. the external forcing would be the time series of resuspension over the fishing grounds). The term $\varepsilon(t)$ corresponds to white noise error terms.

441
$$M(t) = M_{AR}(t) + M_{MA}(t) + \varepsilon(t)$$
(9)

442 $M_{AR}(t)$ explains the part of the signal due to the system itself as the output variable depends 443 linearly on its own previous values. One can isolate the part of the signal at MGM due 444 exclusively to the external forcing by subtracting the autoregressive part (M_{AR}) as follows:

445
$$M_T(t) = M(t) - M_{AR}(t)$$
 (10)

446 Thus, if we construct the time series of amplitude of events A(t) as a function of $M_T(t)$, we will 447 obtain the remobilization over the fishing grounds $R_s(t)$ solely associated to the external forcing 448 (i.e. trawling).

$$449 \quad A(t) = \gamma \cdot g(M_T(t)) \tag{11}$$

450 We propose the use of a polynomial $g(M_T(t)) = \alpha_1 M_T + \alpha_2 M_T^{\beta}$. This non-linear solution 451 allows us to consider basal values and intensifies the value of the peaks.

The modeled response $M_m(t)$ at MGM is obtained by applying equation (7). The comparison of the measurements M(t) and the inverse model $M_m(t)$ allows us to infer the time series of amplitudes A(t). Best agreement between model outputs and measurements at MGM is found for $g(M_T(t)) = M_T^{1.3}$ and $\gamma = 0.3379$. Once A(t) is determined, the time series of resuspension $R_s(t)$ (Fig. 11a) is obtained through the relationship between the remobilization R_s along the fishing grounds and the amplitude A of the event at MGM (shown in Fig. 8b).

This time series of trawling resuspension $R_s(t)$ over the fishing grounds is more accurate since 458 459 in this alternative approach A (t) is reconstructed as function of the forcing part $(M_{MA}(t))$ of the signal at MGM. Values of remobilized sediment thickness greater than 0.01 mm/min (Fig. 11a) 460 461 over the fishing grounds trigger turbidity events capable of reaching MGM. The resuspension 462 obtained through inverse model should be equivalent to that of the actual fishing fleet in the area. This uniform resuspension over the whole area would correspond to the activity of 22 vessels in 463 464 the area operating at a towing speed between 2 and 3 knots and effectively scraping about 7.5 ± 1 mm of sediment and injecting it into the water column. We obtain reasonable scraped 465 depth ranges for realistic towing speeds for the actual fishing fleet in the area. Note the non-466 uniqueness of the solution, the number of vessels could be less but would need a deeper effective 467 22

erosion to create the same observed turbidity currents. The total amount of remobilized sediment 468 due to trawling during the period analyzed (i.e. fifteen days) is of about 1.27x10⁻³ km³. Good 469 470 agreement is found between the inverse model results and the measures at MGM (Fig. 11b). 471 Pearson correlation coefficients between the re-suspension series $R_s(t)$ and the measurements M(t) and between the results of the inverse model $M_m(t)$ and the measurements M(t) are 472 $r_{XY}(R_s(t), M(t)) = 0.0773$ and $r_{XY}(M_m(t), M(t)) = 0.8381$ respectively. These respective 473 474 values evidence the fact that it is the transfer function which is integrating the physical processes 475 and time scales of the system.

476

477 **5.** The direct problem: numerical modeling of trawling induced turbidity currents.

The time series of resuspension $R_s(t)$ obtained through inverse modeling (Fig. 11a) is used as forcing to model the sediment dynamics and sedimentation patterns due to trawling in the canyon. The resuspension is spread over the whole fishing grounds; hence the area considered is larger than the area actually trawled at a precise instant of time. The results provided by this approach might have a larger spatial distribution than in reality.

The resuspension is integrated in the model in terms of instantaneous sediment flux over the fishing grounds, allowing us to take into account the non-linearity inherent to turbidity currents. The sediment remobilized is equivalent to an additional volume concentration that is calculated at each grid point of the area affected by trawling, taking into account the instantaneous thickness of the sediment cloud at each time step. A height cloud threshold is imposed over the fishing grounds in order to take into account the scale of the turbulence provided by the fishing 489 gears. Sensitivity tests were performed for this height threshold and best results were found for 490 $h_{lim} = 1$ m. (Fig. 12). The grain size considered for the direct problem is also 63µm.

491

492 This time series $R_s(t)$ uniformly spread over the whole fishing grounds triggers turbidity 493 currents reaching MGM of the order of magnitude of those measured at MGM for the period analyzed between the 9th and the 21st June 2011 (Fig. 13). The model accurately reproduces both 494 495 in time and magnitude the instantaneous sediment transport at MGM. The model is able to 496 reproduce the sharp burst in sediment transport corresponding to the peak in the morning and the 497 steady decay towards baseline values in the afternoon once the vessels head back to port. The 498 good agreement found at the mooring site provides a validation of both the resuspension 499 obtained through inverse model and of the results of the numerical model at the mooring sites.

500 We identify potential propagation patterns of the resuspended sediment from the fishing grounds 501 towards the canyon axis and beyond when we force Nixes-TC with the $R_s(t)$ issued by the 502 inverse modeling (see Fig. 14). The results obtained depict an overall picture of pathways and 503 areas indirectly affected by trawling. The spatial view of the canyon sediment dynamics may 504 improve the definition of strategic locations for mooring arrays and cores. These additional data 505 together with a better constraint of the forcing (i.e. quantification of the sediment resuspended by 506 each vessel in fishing operation) would enable a more realistic simulation of trawling impact. For 507 the forcing imposed, the flow is funneled through gullies from the fishing grounds towards the 508 canyon axis and beyond (Fig. 14 and Supplementary video MS1). A minor part of the 509 remobilized sediment flows along the continental slope and rejoins the flow coming along the 510 canyon axis at the glacis.

511 Mean current speed over the modeled two week-period goes up to 20 cm/s (Fig. 15) with peak 512 values reaching up to 50 cm/s (Fig. 16) along the canyon axis and gullies. The flow along the 513 northern continental slope presents much lower values of current speed than those along the 514 canyon axis and flanks. Maximum values of current speed along this northern branch of the flow 515 go up to 25 cm/s whilst mean values reach 0.04 cm/s. Higher values are observed in the gullies of the northern flank and along the lower canyon axis where topographic constraints are at play. 516 517 Once the flow reaches the continental rise, it spreads and lower values of mean velocity are 518 obtained.

519 Figure 17 shows the evolution of the flow at different locations along the LFC axis and northern 520 canyon flank gullies (see Fig. 2c for P1 to P7 output points). There is no propagation of turbidity 521 events associated with trawling at the point P1 at the canyon head (Fig. 17a). For other control 522 points, depending on their location, two different types of responses are identified. On one hand, 523 the control points located inside gullies (MGM and P3) reproduce the forcing signal with well-524 defined working hours and holidays (Fig. 17b). On the other hand, along the canyon axis, 525 transport seems to be continuous during the week only reaching natural baseline levels during the 526 weekend (Fig. 17c and d). For these points along the canyon axis, there is an increasing trend in 527 the values of instantaneous sediment transport through the week, with baselines levels on week 528 days in the range of half of the peak value of the previous day. We observe a time lag in the 529 signal along the canyon axis. Instantaneous sediment transport seems more intense in the mid-530 low canyon and in the monitored gully MGM compared to the upper canyon. The lower values 531 of the points of cumulated sediment transport for the low canyon points are due to the time lag in 532 the signal that prevents all the events from going through by the end of the analyzed period. 533 Table 1 summarizes the averaged and maximum instantaneous sediment transport (i.e. hC, Sup. 25

Fig. 2); the averaged and maximum sediment transport (i.e. hCU) and the cumulated sediment transport after the 15 day period analyzed for the control points.

536 The deposition thickness at the end of the study period is presented in Figure 18. Sediment gain 537 on the lower part of southern canyon flank appears to be more important than on the northern 538 wall. However these deposits and those along the canyon axis are probably ephemeral, at least in 539 part, given the values of mean current speed. The deposition over the fishing grounds 540 corresponds to the decantation of part of the sediment injected to simulate trawling activity. An 541 accumulation area develops at the canyon mouth, beyond the 2000 m isobath, due to sediment 542 flows propagating through the canyon axis and also those coming from the continental slope. 543 This depocenter is coherent with the loss of transport capacity of the flow observed and with the 544 gradients of current speed obtained. Some deposition is observed in the southern lower canyon 545 probably due to flow overbank.

546 **6. Discussion**

547 By spreading resuspension over a potential area affected by trawling, we provide a wide picture 548 of the trawling-induced dynamics in the canyon and show the value of numerical models in 549 understanding deep processes associated with trawling. We propose a novel methodology based 550 on an inverse model that allows assessing the resuspension due to trawling. Our novel approach 551 allows us to establish the link between the trawling activity over the fishing grounds and the 552 large-scale TC triggered by it. This resuspension is used as forcing for the numerical model to 553 provide a large scale view of the potential impacts of trawling. We show here that numerical 554 models can provide insight into how fast these flows move, how far they can travel and how 555 much sediment can be carried that are difficult to achieve by punctual measurements alone.

556 The results obtained in this study indicate notable differences between trawling shoreward and 557 seaward of the 200 m isobath. Trawling over the flatter shallower areas can locally enhance 558 turbidity in the water column, similarly to the observations of (Palanques et al. (2001) over the 559 inner shelf off Barcelona; however, no sediment flows reach MGM. The results confirm previous 560 observations in LFC (Palanques et al., 2006): it is only when fishing activity takes place on the 561 steep slopes of the canyon flanks that trawling triggers turbidity currents. The high values of 562 gradient slopes favor the ignition of sediment gravity flows that extend the impact of trawling 563 beyond the fishing grounds.

564 We find similar responses for the different single event forcing scenarios evaluated. We separate 565 physical processes and temporal evolution (i.e. transfer function), and intensity of the event (i.e. 566 amplitude A_{α} , forcing). Our results suggest that for the identified trawled area, the intensity of 567 the event at MGM (A_o) is strongly determined by the initial condition (R_s) . The dynamics and 568 temporal aspects of the flow are included in the transfer function that reproduces the behavior of 569 turbidity currents discussed in literature (Middleton and Hampton, 1973; Kneller and Buckee, 570 2000) with a sharp waxing phase and a more gradual waning phase as sediment settles. Velocity 571 and sediment concentration within the flow follow the same behavior for a single turbidity 572 current event. The transfer function and the quasilinear relationship between the modeled 573 amplitude at MGM and its respective initial condition, R_s , allow the linearization of the problem 574 and are the basis of the inverse modeling.

Inverse modeling strategies have been proposed to estimate flow speed from tsunami deposits
(Jaffe et Gelfenbuam, 2007; Jaffe et al., 2011), for reconstruction of deposits from turbidity
currents (Lesshafft et al., 2011), and to infer paleo-flow conditions from turbidites (Falcini et al.,
2009). Here the objective is not to relate deposits and flow conditions, but to obtain a forcing 27

579 (i.e. resuspension over the fishing grounds) capable of triggering events similar to those 580 measured at MGM. By using the inverse model we are able to link the trawling activity to the 581 formation of "large-scale" turbidity currents. Our inverse model employs a novel approach based 582 on the deconvolution of the transfer and amplitude functions previously determined. The first 583 approximation through a Gaussian distribution of the fishing effort provides a first validation of 584 our approach since the equivalent estimated removal of $3.8 \pm 0.7 \, mm$ by the fishing gears of the 585 local trawling fleet falls in the range of values found in literature (Durrieu de Madron et al., 586 2005; Ivanović et al., 2011; O'Neill and Summerbell, 2011).

By using the ARMA model to isolate the part of the signal due to the external forcing M_{MA} , we 587 588 were able to obtain a synthetic series of resuspension $R_s(t)$ due to trawling that triggers turbidity 589 events reaching MGM comparable to those measured on June 2011. We observe a tight coupling 590 between the temporal distribution of the resuspension $R_s(t)$ over the fishing grounds and the 591 measured instantaneous sediment transport M(t), and between the latter and the results of the 592 inverse model $M_m(t)$. The inverse model accurately reproduces both phase and amplitude 593 modulation of the instantaneous sediment transport at MGM showing an intensification of the 594 sediment transport during the working hours of the local fishing fleet. The model presents accurate results, with a significant value of Pearson correlation coefficient of $r_{XY}(M_m(t), M(t))$ 595 596 = 83.81% between model outputs $M_m(t)$ and measurements M(t). The time series $R_s(t)$ would 597 be roughly equivalent to the activity of 22 vessels in the area towing at 2 and 3 knots and 598 effectively scraping about 7.5 ± 1 mm, values that are coherent with those found in the 599 bibliography (Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005; Ivanović et al., 2011; O'Neill and Summerbell,

600 2011). The accurate results obtained at MGM, together with the coherent equivalent value in601 terms of fishing effort and penetration of the fishing gears, validate our methodology.

602 The inclusion of trawling-induced resuspension as forcing in the numerical model allows the 603 identification of transport patterns and accumulation areas. The outputs of the model for this 604 given scenario show good agreement with the measurements at the validation point of MGM. At 605 the canyon head (i.e. point P1, 470 m depth), model results show no influence of trawling, as 606 other studies based on mooring data have already reported (Martín et al., 2007). Turbidity 607 currents in the model seem to propagate further down than expected from previous studies 608 (Palanques et al., 2005; Martín et al., 2006, 2007). We propose three possible explanations for 609 this result. First, there are few measurements beyond 1700 m along the canyon axis, and what 610 happens in the canyon beyond that depth remains uncertain. Second, the model only includes the 611 turbidity current dynamics but not the general pattern of circulation in the canyon. Measurements 612 in 2001 showed periodical inversions up and down, with low mean values at different depths 613 ranging between 2 and 3 cm/s and peaks reaching 20 to 40 cm/s, and net water mass flows close 614 to the bottom directed upward (Palanques et al., 2005; Martín et al., 2006, 2007). These internal 615 hydrodynamics of the canyon can interact with the turbidity flows and slow them down, limiting 616 their propagation. Third, different intensities of trawling impact can be expected since the data 617 analyzed in the present study is from a different time period to that used in previous studies. The 618 mooring data used to infer the remobilization over the fishing grounds corresponds to 619 measurements from 2011 whilst the mooring data in the other studies correspond to 2001. 620 Despite the slow reduction in the number of vessels in Palamós, the local fleet underwent a 621 dramatic increase in the installed power at the beginning of the 21st century (Puig et al., 2015). 622 Since the installed power is a reliable proxy of the capacity of trawlers to resuspend bottom 29

sediments (Martín et al., 2014a), the further propagation of turbidity currents in the model for2011 could be reasonable.

625 Intensification of instantaneous sediment transport is concomitant with higher mean and 626 maximal values of current speed. This would reflect the bathymetric control on the flows while 627 funneled through gullies. The canyon buffers transport similarly to river catchments; the signal is 628 amplified along the canyon axis and weekend days do not imply a return to baselines values of sediment transport but to roughly half the values of the previous day. Part of the transfer of 629 630 sediment from the fishing grounds to the deep takes place through the northern open continental 631 slope following an alternative path for turbidity currents. This northern branch would interact 632 with local along slope currents in the area with mean values of 2-4 cm/s and peaks reaching 20 633 cm/s (Palanques et al., 2005), presumably deflecting their path. Nevertheless, values of current 634 speed and mean sediment flux are lower through this northern path than along the canyon axis 635 indicating the canyon role as a preferential conduit of sediment transfer. The current speed (i.e. 636 bed shear stress) along the canyon axis indicates that most of the canyon acts as a bypass where 637 ephemeral deposits could develop and might be reintegrated by the following flows. The 638 thickness of the deposit after 15 days of activity along the deeper canyon axis region is coherent 639 with the high sediment rates of 2.4 cm/yr measured for the last decade (Puig et al., 2015). The 640 interaction of the turbidity currents with the hydrodynamics of the canyon axis can shift the 641 accumulation areas further onshore than the results shown here. Previous studies (Martín et al., 642 2007; Puig et al., 2012) show a decreasing pattern in the sediment fluxes in the canyon for the 643 spring and summer months which can be related to the higher availability of sediment on the 644 external part of the continental shelf and the upper flanks after the winter storms. Since the time 645 series analyzed corresponds to the beginning of the fishing season, when more sediment is 30

available, more heavily sediment laden currents can occur. The accumulation obtained over the fishing grounds, where erosion is expected (Martín et al., 2014c), is due to the decantation of the injected sediment over this area in order to simulate the source of sediment in the model. Due to lower gradients, the flow is slower over the fishing grounds implying that at the final stage of the simulation there is still sediment in the area which has not flowed downslope (Fig. 18).

The generalization of the area, defining it as the potential area of trawling, makes our approach more generally applicable and can be considered as an upper bound of the trawling process in the canyon. However, the reasonable orders of magnitude obtained for the different variables analyzed validate our methodology.

It has been claimed that the effects of trawling on bottom sediments are not limited to the fishing grounds but can propagate further and deeper from them (Martín et al., 2008, 2014b). Our results definitely support that view and provide a spatial vision of these long-range effects. The span of these trawling-induced flows highly depends on the area over which fishing activities take place. Our methodology can help in the definition of fishing grounds with lesser physical impacts at the scale of the canyon and of the continental margin. The global extent of bottom trawling gives further-reaching implications to our methodology beyond the local study of LFC.

VMS are deployed by several nations on large commercial fishing vessels (Molenaar and Tsamenyi, 2000). VMS are mandatory in the EU for vessels larger than 15 m and larger than 24 m in the USA. The application of the inverse model based on mooring data allowed us to obtain the value of resuspension over the fishing grounds defined on the basis of VMS data. Therefore, similar approaches could be followed in other regions where VMS data are available. Furthermore, the approach presented allows the definition of larger areas that could be affected

by fishing activities taking into account the effects of bottom trawling on the sedimentarydynamics.

The application of the model provides a spatial vision of the sediment dynamics in the canyon. It enlarges the present understanding of trawling-induced sediment transfer from the fishing grounds towards deeper areas. The results obtained in terms of sediment transport provide valuable information for the definition of future mooring/coring sites that should improve the insight and knowledge of the canyon dynamics and help both to better constrain the forcing we impose in the model and with its validation. Feedback loops between field measurements and modeling are needed for an improved knowledge of turbidity current processes in general.

677 **7. Conclusion**

678 We have developed a numerical methodology to calculate the trawling resuspension over the 679 fishing grounds and its consequences in terms of sediment transport in canyons. This novel 680 approach is based on the definition of an area susceptible to being trawled on the basis of VMS 681 data, inverse analysis and numerical process modeling. The key variable in trawling impact on 682 sediment dynamics, which is the sediment remobilized, is inferred from the inverse modeling 683 over the area defined on the basis of VMS data. The transfer and amplitude function at the basis 684 of the inverse model allow us to link the trawling activity over the fishing activity and its effect 685 in terms of sediment transport. Similar methodologies can be applied in other areas where 686 trawling techniques are present. The numerical process based model validated against in situ 687 mooring data has been used to study the sediment transfer due to trawling resuspension. The 688 results obtained complete and enlarge the interpretations of the trawling impact on the 689 sedimentary functioning of the canyon, since present knowledge is based on snapshots of field

690 data limited in time and space. Our study allows the identification of the transfer patterns from 691 the fishing grounds where resuspension is generated, towards the canyon axis and beyond and 692 the associated trawling-induced accumulation areas. The canyon scale vision may help in the 693 identification of strategic mooring and coring sites to further advance the state of our knowledge 694 on sediment dynamics of the canyon and validate this model. The definition of trawling area 695 based on VMS data allows identifying the potentially "damaging" and affected areas for 696 sediment transport and would allow the identification of trawling areas with lesser impacts, with 697 the aim of a management of fisheries not only based on the short term impact on individual 698 species but with a more long term vision of ecosystem processes.

699 Acknowledgments

700 This work was supported by various research projects: HERMIONE (226354 and CTM2010-701 11084-E), DOS MARES (CTM2010-21810-C03), OASIS DEL MAR-Obra Social "la Caixa", 702 GRACCIE-CONSOLIDER (CSD2007-00067), REDECO (CTM2008-04973-E) and ABIDES (CTM2015-65142-R). We also received support from Catalan Government Grups de Recerca 703 704 Consolidats grants (2009 SGR 899 and 1305). VMS data and support were provided by the 705 Spanish General Secretariat of Maritime Fishing (SEGEMAR). Assistance at sea by the crews of 706 RV Hespérides, RV Sarmiento de Gamboa and RV García del Cid is also acknowledged. MPP's 707 work was supported by the "Laboratoire d'Excellence" LabexMER and co-funded by a state 708 grant from the French government through Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under the « 709 Investissements d'Avenir » Programme, reference ANR-10-LABX-19-01, and by a grant from 710 the Regional Council of Brittany. Additional support was also provided by Actions-Marges 711 Program (Mediterranée Occidentale).

712

713 **References**

- Alegret, J. L., and A. Garrido (2008), Historia del puerto pesquero de Palamós: migraciones,
 asociacionismo y desarrollo. AREAS, Rev. Int. Cienc. Soc., 27, 27-40.
- Allen, S. E., and X. Durrieu de Madron (2009), A review of the role of submarine canyons in deep-ocean exchange with the shelf, *Ocean Sci.*, 5(4), 607–620.
- Amblas, D., M. Canals, R. Urgeles, G. Lastras, C. Liquete, J. E. Hughes-Clarke, J. L. Casamor,
 and A. M. Calafat (2006), Morphogenetic mesoscale analysis of the northeastern Iberian
 margin, NW Mediterranean Basin, *Mar. Geol.*, 234(1–4), 3–20,
 doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2006.09.009.
- BOE Boletin Oficial del Estado (2013), Orden AAA/923/2013, de 16 de mayo por la que se
 regula la pesca de gamba rosada (Aristeus antennatus) con arte de fondo en determinadas
 zonas marítimas próximas a Palamós,
- Box, G. E. P., and G. M. Jenkins (1976), *Time series analysis: forecasting and control*, Holden Day.
- 727 Bradford, S. F., Katapodes, N. D. and Parker, G. (1997). "Characteristic analysis of turbid
- 128 underflows." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE* **123**(5): 420-431.
- Bradford, S. F., and N. D. Katopodes (1999), Hydrodynamics of turbid underflows. I:
 Formulation and numerical analysis, *J. Hydraul. Eng.-Asce*, 125(10), 1006–1015, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1999)125:10(1006).
- Churchill, J. H. (1989), The effect of commercial trawling on sediment resuspension and
 transport over the Middle Atlantic Bight continental shelf, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 9(9), 841–
 865, doi:10.1016/0278-4343(89)90016-2.
- Company, J. B., P. Puig, F. Sardà, A. Palanques, M. Latasa, and R. Scharek (2008), Climate
 Influence on Deep Sea Populations, *PLoS ONE*, 3(1), e1431,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001431.
- Dellapenna, T. M., M. A. Allison, G. A. Gill, R. D. Lehman, and K. W. Warnken (2006), The
 impact of shrimp trawling and associated sediment resuspension in mud dominated,
 shallow estuaries, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 69(3–4), 519–530,
 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.04.024.
- Depestele, J., A. Ivanović, K. Degrendele, M. Esmaeili, H. Polet, M. Roche, K. Summerbell, L.
 R. Teal, B. Vanelslander, and F. G. O'Neill (2015), Measuring and assessing the physical impact of beam trawling, *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.*, fsv056, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv056.
- Diesing, M., D. Stephens, and J. Aldridge (2013), A proposed method for assessing the extent of
 the seabed significantly affected by demersal fishing in the Greater North Sea, *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.*, 70(6), 1085–1096, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst066.
- Durrieu de Madron, X., B. Ferré, G. Le Corre, C. Grenz, P. Conan, M. Pujo-Pay, R. Buscail, and
 O. Bodiot (2005), Trawling-induced resuspension and dispersal of muddy sediments and
 dissolved elements in the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean), *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 25(19–20),
 2387–2409, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2005.08.002.

- Dyerkjaer, S. M., J. K. Jensen, and E. Hoffmann (1995), *Mussel dredging and effects on the marine environment*, ICES CM 95/ E:13.
- Eastwood, P. D., C. M. Mills, J. N. Aldridge, C. A. Houghton, and S. I. Rogers (2007), Human
 activities in UK offshore waters: an assessment of direct, physical pressure on the seabed, *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.*, 64(3), 453–463, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsm001.
- Esmaeili, M., and A. Ivanović (2014), Numerical modelling of bottom trawling ground gear element on the seabed, *Ocean Eng.*, *91*, 316–328, doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2014.08.014.
- Falcini, F., M. Marini, S. Milli, and M. Moscatelli (2009), An inverse problem to infer paleoflow
 conditions from turbidites, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 114(C10), C10019,
 doi:10.1029/2009JC005294.
- Ferré, B., X. Durrieu de Madron, C. Estournel, C. Ulses, and G. Le Corre (2008), Impact of
 natural (waves and currents) and anthropogenic (trawl) resuspension on the export of
 particulate matter to the open ocean: Application to the Gulf of Lion (NW
 Mediterranean), *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 28(15), 2071–2091, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.02.002.
- Garcia, M., and G. Parker (1991), Entrainment of Bed Sediment into Suspension, *J. Hydraul. Eng.-Asce*, *117*(4), 414–435, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1991)117:4(414).
- Garcia, M., and G. Parker (1993), Experiments on the Entrainment of Sediment into Suspension
 by a Dense Bottom Current, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 98(C3), 4793–4807,
 doi:10.1029/92JC02404.
- Haedrich, R. L., N. R. Merrett, and N. R. O'Dea (2001), Can ecological knowledge catch up with
 deep-water fishing? a North Atlantic perspective, *Fish. Res.*, 51(2–3), 113–122,
 doi:10.1016/S0165-7836(01)00239-9.
- He, P., and P. D. Winger (2010), Effect of Trawling on the Seabed and Mitigation Measures to
 Reduce Impact, in *Behavior of Marine Fishes*, edited by P. He, pp. 295–314, WileyBlackwell.
- Ivanović, A., R. D. Neilson, and F. G. O'Neill (2011), Modelling the physical impact of trawl
 components on the seabed and comparison with sea trials, *Ocean Eng.*, *38*(7), 925–933,
 doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2010.09.011.
- Jacinto, R. S., and D. Burel (2003), Modélisation du devenir à court terme des boues de dragage
 rejetées par clapage, *Rev. Fr. Génie Civ.*, 7(9), 1151–1166,
 doi:10.1080/12795119.2003.9692539.
- Jaffe, B., M. Buckley, B. Richmond, L. Strotz, S. Etienne, K. Clark, S. Watt, G. Gelfenbaum,
 and J. Goff (2011), Flow speed estimated by inverse modeling of sandy sediment
 deposited by the 29 September 2009 tsunami near Satitoa, east Upolu, Samoa, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 107(1–2), 23–37, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.03.009.
- Jaffe, B. E., and G. Gelfenbuam (2007), A simple model for calculating tsunami flow speed from
 tsunami deposits, *Sediment. Geol.*, 200(3–4), 347–361,
 doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2007.01.013.
- Jones, J. (1992), Environmental-Impact of Trawling on the Seabed a Review, N. Z. J. Mar.
 Freshw. Res., 26(1), 59–67.
- Jordi, A., A. Orfila, G. Basterretxea, and J. Tintoré (2005), Shelf-slope exchanges by frontal
 variability in a steep submarine canyon, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 66(2–4), 120–141,
 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2004.07.009.

- Kneller, B., and C. Buckee (2000), The structure and fluid mechanics of turbidity currents: a
 review of some recent studies and their geological implications, *Sedimentology*, 47, 62–
 94, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3091.2000.047s1062.x.
- Lastras, G. et al. (2011), Understanding sediment dynamics of two large submarine valleys from
 seafloor data: Blanes and La Fonera canyons, northwestern Mediterranean Sea, *Mar. Geol.*, 280(1–4), 20–39, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2010.11.005.
- Lesshafft, L., E. Meiburg, B. Kneller, and A. Marsden (2011), Towards inverse modeling of
 turbidity currents: The inverse lock-exchange problem, *Comput. Geosci.*, *37*(4), 521–529,
 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2010.09.015.
- Martín, J., A. Palanques, and P. Puig (2006), Composition and variability of downward
 particulate matter fluxes in the Palamós submarine canyon (NW Mediterranean), *J. Mar. Syst.*, 60(1–2), 75–97, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.09.010.
- Martín, J., A. Palanques, and P. Puig (2007), Near-bottom horizontal transfer of particulate
 matter in the Palamós Submarine Canyon (NW Mediterranean), *J. Mar. Res.*, 65(2), 193–
 218.
- Martín, J., P. Puig, A. Palanques, P. Masqué, and J. García-Orellana (2008), Effect of
 commercial trawling on the deep sedimentation in a Mediterranean submarine canyon,
 Mar. Geol., 252(3–4), 150–155, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2008.03.012.
- Martín, J., P. Puig, A. Palanques, and A. Giamportone (2014a), Commercial bottom trawling as a
 driver of sediment dynamics and deep seascape evolution in the Anthropocene,
 Anthropocene, 7, 1–15, doi:10.1016/j.ancene.2015.01.002.
- Martín, J., P. Puig, A. Palanques, and M. Ribó (2014b), Trawling-induced daily sediment
 resuspension in the flank of a Mediterranean submarine canyon, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 104, 174–183, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.05.036.
- Martín, J., P. Puig, P. Masqué, A. Palanques, and A. Sánchez-Gómez (2014c), Impact of Bottom
 Trawling on Deep-Sea Sediment Properties along the Flanks of a Submarine Canyon,
 PLoS ONE, 9(8), e104536, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104536.
- Middleton, G. V., and M. A. Hampton (1973), Part I. Sediment Gravity Flows: Mechanics of
 Flow and Deposition, , 1–38.
- Millot, C. (1999), Circulation in the Western Mediterranean Sea, J. Mar. Syst., 20(1–4), 423–
 442, doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00078-5.
- Molenaar, E., and M. Tsamenyi (2000), Satellite-based Vessel Monitoring Systems. International
 legal aspects and developments in state practice, FAO United Nations.
- Morato, T., R. Watson, T. J. Pitcher, and D. Pauly (2006), Fishing down the deep, *Fish Fish.*,
 7(1), 24–34, doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2006.00205.x.
- O'Neill, F. G., and K. Summerbell (2011), The mobilisation of sediment by demersal otter trawls, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62(5), 1088–1097, doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.01.038.
- O'Neill, F. G., S. M. Simmons, D. R. Parsons, J. L. Best, P. J. Copland, F. Armstrong, M. Breen,
 and K. Summerbell (2013), Monitoring the generation and evolution of the sediment
 plume behind towed fishing gears using a multibeam echosounder, *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.*, 70(4), 892–903, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst051.
- Palanques, A., J. Guillén, and P. Puig (2001), Impact of bottom trawling on water turbidity and
 muddy sediment of an unfished continental shelf, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46(5), 1100–1110,
 doi:10.4319/lo.2001.46.5.1100.
 - 36

- Palanques, A. et al. (2005), General patterns of circulation, sediment fluxes and ecology of the
 Palamós (La Fonera) submarine canyon, northwestern Mediterranean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*,
 66(2–4), 89–119, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2004.07.016.
- Palanques, A., J. Martín, P. Puig, J. Guillén, J. B. Company, and F. Sardà (2006), Evidence of
 sediment gravity flows induced by trawling in the Palamós (Fonera) submarine canyon
 (northwestern Mediterranean), *Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 53(2), 201–214,
 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2005.10.003.
- Parker, G., Y. Fukushima, and H. M. Pantin (1986), Self-accelerating turbidity currents, *J. Fluid Mech.*, *171*, 145–181, doi:10.1017/S0022112086001404.
- Parker, G., M. Garcia, Y. Fukushima, and W. Yu (1987), Experiments on turbidity currents over
 an erodible bed, *J. Hydraul. Res.*, 25(1), 123–147, doi:10.1080/00221688709499292.
- Prat, J., J. Antonijuan, A. Folch, A. Sala, A. Lucchetti, F. Sardà, and A. Manuel (2008), A
 simplified model of the interaction of the trawl warps, the otterboards and netting drag, *Fish. Res.*, 94(1), 109–117, doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2008.07.007.
- Puig, P., M. Canals, J. B. Company, J. Martín, D. Amblas, G. Lastras, A. Palanques, and A. M.
 Calafat (2012), Ploughing the deep sea floor, *Nature*, 489(7415), 286–289, doi:10.1038/nature11410.
- Puig, P., J. Martín, P. Masqué, and A. Palanques (2015), Increasing sediment accumulation rates
 in La Fonera (Palamós) submarine canyon axis and their relationship with bottom
 trawling activities, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42(19), 2015GL065052,
 doi:10.1002/2015GL065052.
- Pusceddu, A., S. Bianchelli, J. Martín, P. Puig, A. Palanques, P. Masqué, and R. Danovaro
 (2014), Chronic and intensive bottom trawling impairs deep-sea biodiversity and
 ecosystem functioning, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 201405454,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1405454111.
- Ribó, M., P. Puig, A. Palanques, and C. Lo Iacono (2011), Dense shelf water cascades in the Cap
 de Creus and Palamós submarine canyons during winters 2007 and 2008, *Mar. Geol.*,
 284(1-4), 175–188, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2011.04.001.
- Roe, P. L. (1981), Approximate Riemann solvers, parameter vectors, and difference schemes, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 43(2), 357–372, doi:10.1016/0021-9991(81)90128-5.
- Sanchez-Vidal, A., et al. (2012), Impacts on the deep-sea ecosystem by a severe coastal storm,
 PLOS ONE, 7 (1), e30395, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030395.
- Sumaila, U. R., L. Teh, R. Watson, P. Tyedmers, and D. Pauly (2008), Fuel price increase,
 subsidies, overcapacity, and resource sustainability, *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.*, 65(6),
 873 832–840, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsn070.
- Sumaila, U. R., A. Khan, L. Teh, R. Watson, P. Tyedmers, and D. Pauly (2010), Subsidies to
 high seas bottom trawl fleets and the sustainability of deep-sea demersal fish stocks, *Mar. Policy*, *34*(3), 495–497, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2009.10.004.
- Whittle, P. (1951), *Hypothesis testing in time series analysis.*, Almqvist & Wiksells boktr.,
 Uppsala.
- WRI (2001), World Resources 2000-2001 / World Resources Institute, World Resources
 Institute.
- Zanke, U. (1977), *Berechnung der Sinkgeschindigkeiten von Sedimenten*, Mitt. des FranziusInstituts für Wasserbau, Heft46, Seite 243, Technical University, Hannover, West
 Deutschland.

884
885
886 TABLE CAPTION
887

888 Table 1. Averaged and maximum and cumulated instantaneous sediment transport for the 889 control points analyzed.

890

891 FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1. Flow chart showing the two different problems analyzed (i.e. inverse problem and direct problem) and the methodology applied in each case. For each phase of the work flow, methods are shown in the left column and output on the right column.

Figure 2. Location of La Fonera submarine canyon. (**a**) The study area is located in the Mediterranean Sea. (**b**) Bathymetric map of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. GoL: Gulf of Lion, GoR: Gulf of Roses. The red rectangle represents the extension of the modeling domain in this study. (**c**) Bathymetric data used for numerical modeling. Red stars indicate the position of the mooring site (MGM) and output points (P1-P7) analyzed. The white rectangle represents the zoom over the fishing grounds provided in Fig. 3.

Figure 3. Fishing grounds considered in the present study. (**a**) Fishing grounds of Rostoll (southern flank) and Sant Sebastià and Llevant (northern flank) defined on the basis of VMS data. (**b**) The analyzed fishing grounds extend over the continental shelf and towards La Fonera canyon axis reaching different depths from the canyon rims (200 m) to the isobaths of 800 m that defines the limit of real trawling activity over the northern canyon flank.

906

Figure 4. Definition sketch of a turbidity current flowing from left to right in deep ambient fluid.
Major processes taken into account are included as well as vertical profiles of concentration and
velocity. The sketch is presented for a two dimensional current for simplicity.

910 **Figure 5**. Bed friction coefficient (C_d) as a function of the Reynolds number (Re) for different 911 relative roughness (k_s /h, where k_s is the bed roughness and h the current thickness). The range of 912 values corresponding to turbulent flows is also indicated. **Figure 6**. Fishing trawlers characteristics and parameters: Side (**a**) and top (**b**) views of a trawler during fishing operation at a towing speed of V_T . The surface area of sediment scrapped can be estimated by the horizontal opening (L_{OD}) of the otter boards multiplied by the distance $(V_T \cdot dt)$ travelled by the trawler by unit time $(L_{OD} \cdot V_T \cdot dt)$. (**c**) Sketch of the instantaneous release of a sediment cloud of *h* height due to the scraping of sediment thickness P_f by the trawler.

918 Figure 7. Results for the influence area of the trawling activity. (a) The fishing grounds 919 considered cover part of the continental shelf and extend down to different depths (i.e. the 920 trawled area considered is larger as the depth increases). The star indicates the position of the 921 MGM mooring where the results are analyzed. (b) Outputs of the model in terms of instantaneous sediment transport (kg/m^2) at MGM. The depths reached by the fishing grounds 922 923 are expressed in the legend: 200 m depth implies trawling action over part of the continental 924 shelf and down to 200 m whilst 800 m depth implies trawling action over part of the continental 925 shelf and down 800 m.

Figure 8. (a) Results in terms of instantaneous sediment transport hC (kg/m^2) at MGM for the different initial conditions analyzed. (b) Quasi-linear relationship found between the forcing (i.e. initial conditions in terms of resuspension R_s) imposed over the fishing grounds and the amplitude *A* of the instantaneous sediment transport at MGM. (c) Transfer function obtained for MGM.

931 Figure 9. Basis of the inverse modeling applied to MGM data. The boxes on the left correspond 932 to the forcing over the fishing grounds that we aim to determine. The boxes on the right 933 correspond to the response at MGM to the forcing that can be obtained by the convolution of an 934 amplitude function $A(\tau)$ and a transfer function $f(t-\tau)$. We discretize and linearize the 935 problem by considering the forcing over the fishing grounds as a linear superposition of n 936 different events whose amplitude is unknown. From the comparison between measurements at 937 MGM (M(t)) and results of the inverse model $(M_m(t))$, the amplitude $A(\tau)$ of the different 938 events at MGM can be determined. The relationship found between amplitude of the response at MGM and the forcing over the fishing grounds $(A_o \propto R_s)$ is used to infer the latter. 939

940

Figure 10. (a) Time series of resuspension due to trawling over the fishing grounds issued by the inverse model (Gaussian approach) for the period 9^{th} to 21^{st} June 2011. (b) Measured (grey line) and inverse modeled (blue line) instantaneous sediment transport at MGM between the 9^{th} and the 21^{st} June 2011.

945 Figure 11. (a) Time series of resuspension due to trawling over the fishing grounds issued of the 946 inverse model and ARMA model for the period 9th to 21st June 2011. Red dots represent the 947 punctual events considered, in order to ease the visualization the envelope of the remobilization 948 is also plotted (green line) (b) Measured (grey line) and inverse modeled (blue line) 949 instantaneous sediment transport at MGM between the 9th and the 21st June 2011.

950 **Figure 12** Sensitivity tests performed for different height cloud threshold over the fishing 951 grounds. Outputs are presented at MGM in terms of instantaneous sediment transport $hC\left(\frac{kg}{m^2}\right)$. 952 Modeled total flux is closer to the measurements when considering a height cloud threshold of 953 1m.

Figure 13. Measured and modeled instantaneous sediment transport at MGM for the period 9^{th} to 21st June 2011. The forcing of the numerical model is the resuspension time series Rs(t) obtained through the inverse model under the ARMA approach.

957 Figure 14. Modeled average sediment flux for the two-week period analyzed. The forcing 958 imposed triggers turbidity currents reaching MGM of the same order of magnitude than those 959 measured at MGM for period 9th to 21st June 2011. Note that the results obtained provide an 960 overall picture of the potential dynamics due to trawling in the La Fonera submarine canyon.

Figure 15. Modeled average current speed over the two week period considered in La Fonera submarine canyon. The resuspension imposed over the fishing grounds provides values of instantaneous sediment transport at MGM in agreement with those measured for the period 9th to 21st June 2011 at MGM.

Figure 16. Modeled maximum current speed for the two week period analyzed in La Fonera
 submarine canyon. The resuspension imposed over the fishing grounds provides values of
 instantaneous sediment transport at MGM in agreement with those measured for the period 9th to
 21st June 2011 at MGM

Figure 17. Modeled sediment transport at different locations along the canyon axis and northern canyon flank gullies of La Fonera submarine canyon for the two-week period analyzed. The numerical model is forced with the resuspension time series Rs(t) obtained through the inverse model under the ARMA approach that triggers turbidity currents reaching MGM of the same order of magnitude than those measured at MGM for the period 9th to 21th June 2011. The results are presented in terms of flux (*hCU*) and cumulated flux ($\int hCUdt$) on the left and right column respectively.

976 Figure 18. Map of modeled sediment thickness accumulated for a two week period of trawling977 activity.