XML-IS

P-authorquery-vx

Our reference: LIVSCI 2075

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

ELSEVIER		Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:
	Journal: LIVSCI	E-mail: corrections.eseo@elsevier.macipd.com
	Article Number: 2075	Fax: +44 1392 285878

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the \underline{Q} link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in	Query / Remark: <u>click on the Q link to go</u>		
article	Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof		
<u>Q1</u>	Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly and are presented in the desired order.		
<u>Q2</u>	The reference given here is cited in the text but is missing from the reference list – please make the list complete or remove the reference from the text: Little et al., 1998.		
<u>Q3</u>	The reference given here is cited in the text but is missing from the reference list – please make the list complete or remove the reference from the text: Diggle et al. (1994, p. 17).		
<u>Q4</u>	The reference given here is cited in the text but is missing from the reference list – please make the list complete or remove the reference from the text: Falconer and Mackay (1996).		
<u>Q5</u>	Please check the fax number of the corresponding author, and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q6</u>	Please check the hierarchy of the section headings.		
<u>Q7</u>	The name of the author in reference Te Pas et al.(2004) was spelled differently in the text than in the reference list. The spelling in the reference list has been followed. Please check, and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q8</u>	De la Rosa et al., 2010 has been changed to De la Rosa Reyna et al., 2010 as per the reference list. Please check and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q9</u>	Please check for the addition of year to 'QTL Data Base' as a reference citation and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q10</u>	Please check for the edit made to 'beta' symbol here to maintain consistency (as per the above equation) and correct if necessary		
<u>Q11</u>	Please check for the journal name here (taken as Neuroendocrinology Letters) and correct if necessary.		

Thank you for your assistance.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Livestock Science ∎ (■■■) ■■−■■



1

3

5

7

q

11

13

15

17

19

21

23

25

2

3

3

3

3

47

49

55

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Livestock Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/livsci

Longitudinal analysis of the effects of *IGF1-SnaBI* genotypes on the growth curve of Angus bull calves

<u>Andrés</u> Rogberg-Muñoz^{1,a,b,*}, <u>Rodolfo J.C. Cantet^{b,c,1}</u>, <u>María Elena</u> Fernández^a, <u>Juan Pedro Lirón^a, Alberto Prando^d, Ana Nélida Birchmeier^c</u>, Q1 María Verónica Ripoli^a, Guillermo Giovambattista^a

^a Instituto de Genética Veterinaria (IGEVET), CCT La Plata – CONICET – Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata. Argentina

^b Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina

^c Departamento de Producción Animal. Facultad de Agronomía. Universidad de Buenos Aires. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires. Argentina

^d Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

. /	Article history:
9	Received 30 August 2012 Received in revised form 14 March 2013
81	Accepted 15 March 2013
3	<i>Keywords:</i> Insulin Growth Factor 1
5	Growth Cattle DNA
57	Bull calves
9	
11	
3	
5	

ABSTRACT

The Insulin Growth Factor 1 (IGF1) has been proposed as a candidate gene for growth related traits as it plays a central role in growth and development of mammals. A relationship between serum IGF1 concentration and different growth traits in cattle has been documented. Furthermore, the IGF1-SnaBI SNP in the gene promoter region influences gene expression and IGF1 blood level. The current research was conducted to perform a genetic analysis of longitudinal data for growth curves through the evaluation of the influence of *IGF1-SnaBI* genotypes on the growth curve of beef cattle during highly anabolic stages. Data were taken on 275 Angus bull calves on two consecutive years, in two commercial cow-calf operations. Calves were weighed at birth, at weaning, and at 3 to 4 times before the year of age. A random regression animal model was employed for the analysis. Fixed effects were age of dam, herd-year, and age of calf (linear and quadratic terms nested within IGF1-SnaBI genotype). Random effects were age at measure (linear and a quadratic terms nested within animal). The analysis showed significant differences (P < 0.01) in the growth curves at ages in between 66 and 291 days for the IGF1-SnaBI genotypes. At 210 days of age, the effect of substituting a T by a C was estimated to be 5.21 kg. These results suggest that the effect of IGF1-SnaBI over growth in cattle take place mostly before puberty.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

63

65

1. Introduction

Q6 The Insulin Growth Factor 1 (IGF1) has been proposed 51 as a candidate gene for growth related traits in cattle and other farm animal species (Parmentier et al., 1999), as it 53 plays a central role in growth and development of

57 05 * Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +54 221 4211799. E-mail address: arogberg@fcv.edu.ar (A. Rogberg-Muñoz). Both authors contribute equally to the present work. 59

1871-1413/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. 61 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.03.016

mammals. Associated with the Growth Hormone (GH), 67 IGF1 participates in hormonal regulation of many anabolic processes like glucose and lipid metabolism, myogenesis, 69 and in the development of puberty (Werner et al., 1994). Furthermore, a relationship has been demonstrated 71 between serum IGF1 concentration and different growth traits in cattle (Anderson et al., 1988; Graml et al., 1994; 73 Kitagawa et al., 2001). In this regard, Te Pas et al. (2004) indicated that the relationship between growth rate and Q_{75}^{2} IGF1 is mainly at the expression level. Noteworthy, Maj et al. (2008) have recently reported that a SNP (SnaBI) in

77

Please cite this article as: Rogberg-Muñoz, A., et al., Longitudinal analysis of the effects of IGF1-SnaBI genotypes on the growth curve of Angus bull calves. Livestock Science (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.03.016

2

 the promoter region of the *IGF1* gene influences gene expression in cattle. They have also observed a significant
 association between the *IGF1* genotype and IGF1 blood level, and suggested that the polymorphism introduces a
 putative binding site (TCCA) for the Nuclear Factor I (NFI) transcription factor. Casas et al. (2003), Li et al. (2002), and
 McClure et al. (2010) have detected quantitative trait loci

(QTLs) associated with growth traits in the region of BTA5
between 55 and 80 cM, where *IGF1* gene is located. Two polymorphisms have been described in the promoter
region of the *IGF1* gene: a microsatellite (Kirkpatrick,

1992), and a SNP, identified as a C/T transition at position
-472 (Ge et al., 2001). The microsatellite has been associated with birth and weaning weight (Andrade et al.,

- 2008; Moody et al., 1996; Rogberg-Muñoz et al., 2011).
 A nearby SNP (named *IGF1-SnaBI*) was reported to affect animal growth rate and meat performance in Angus, Beefbooster. Holstein-Friesian and Charolais cattle (De la
- 19 Rosa Reyna et al., 2010; Ge et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004;

Q8 Siadkowska et al., 2006), even though these studies are not consistent in the period where the marker is associated.

Genetic evaluation programs for beef cattle employ three or four weight measurements as indicators of animal 23 growth, and those usually are the weights at birth, at 25 weaning (between 200 and 210 days), at 15 months (or 400 days) and at 18 months (or 600 days). Therefore, 27 most of OTL detection and marker association tests on growth traits are performed at these particular ages 29 **Q9** (see QTL Data Base, 2012). Fitting growth models on different body weight data and extracting the relevant growth para-31 meters provides a way to combine phenotypic information from multiple measurements into a biologically meaningful 33 manner (Hadjipavlou and Bishop, 2009). There are several studies of genotype association with growth curves (or its parameters) in pigs, sheep or chickens (Buitenhuis et al., 35 2004; Hadjipavlou and Bishop, 2009; Podisi, 2011; Varona 37 et al., 2005), and also in humans (Podolsky et al., 2007). Moreover, growth curve is affected by breed genotype 39 (Lambe et al., 2006) and can be modified by selection (Köhn et al., 2008). However, little has been researched in 41 cattle (Lusk, 2007). As the period between birth to 18 months includes different physiological stages, an analysis of the 43 growth curve could be more informative in explaining the influence of the *IGF1* gene for animal growth than analyzing 45 the data at individual ages. The most apt approach to the genetic analysis of long-

47 itudinal data for growth curves is random regressionrepeated measures by means of mixed models (Little et al., **428 Q2** 1998). In words of Diggle et al. (1994, p. 17) the analysis is characterized by "its effectiveness for studying change". 51 This is due to: (1) all information is used to produce tests of hypothesis at given time points; (2) the number of 53 degrees of freedom for the error term in hypothesis testing greatly increases. This is even true after correcting degrees 55 of freedom for the lack of independence of error terms and/or random effects (Kenward and Rogers, 1997); and (3) estimable functions of fixed effects are Best Linear 57 Unbiased Estimators (BLUE), which is not the case with the 59 analysis at individual time points. Therefore, the goal of

this research was to estimate the additive and dominance
 effects of *IGF1-SnaBI* genotypes on the growth curve of

Angus bull calves by a random regression animal model for
longitudinal data. Animals were fed on a pasture based
system during highly anabolic stages.63

67

103

105

2. Materials and methods

69 The experimental population consisted of 275 Angus bull calves belonging to two herds located in Buenos Aires 71 Province, Argentina, Groups of half-sib calves sired by 24 related bulls, which were born between July and 73 September of 2008 and 2009. The animals were bred in an extensive pasture system with ad libitum access to 75 cultivated grass. The average daily gain in the whole period (birth to year) was 0.739 kg/day; with a maximum value 77 of 1003 kg/day and a minimum of 0439 kg/day. Calves were weighed at birth; at weaning (range of age=170 to 79 240 days); and at 3 to 4 additional times before one year of age. DNA was extracted from blood samples using Wizard 81 Genomic kit following manufacturer instructions (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The IGF1-SnaBI promoter SNP, previously 83 reported by Ge et al. (2001), was genotyped by the pyrosequencing technique (Lirón et al., 2012; see Supplementary 85 materials-Appendix A, for further detail).

The trait analyzed was weight gain between birth up to 87 one year of age. A random regression animal model for repeated measures using either 5 or 6 weights per animal 89 was employed for the analysis. Fixed effects were the classification variables of age of dam (4 levels: 2-3 years 91 old, 4 years old, 5–7 years old and more than 8 years old), and herd-year (3 levels), and linear and guadratic effects of 93 the covariate age of calf nested within IGF1-SnaBI genotype (CC, CT, and TT). Random effects were the covariate age at 95 measure, with a linear and a quadratic term, nested within animal. Let **A** be the additive relative matrix of order 97 275×1 , and *H* be the 2×2 matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Li}) & Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Li}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Qi}) \\ Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Qi}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Li}) & Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Qi}) \end{bmatrix}$$
99

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Li}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Qi}$ are the linear and quadratic random **Q10** regressions of growth on age for animal \underline{I} , respectively. 1 Then, the variance of age effects for all animals was equal to $\boldsymbol{H} \otimes \boldsymbol{A}$.

To detect the age at which the maximum value of the additive effect was observed, the contrast CC vs. TT was 107 formed, the first derivative of the resulting function was 109 set to 0, and the value was solved for. Other appropriate linear contrasts were used to test for differences between genotypes and to estimate additive and dominance effects 111 (Falconer and Mackay, 1996) at the usual average age at weaning of 210 days. To this purpose, allelic frequencies 113 were calculated as suggested by McPeek et al. (2004), to avoid the effects of genetic drift and non-random mating 115 on the gene frequency across generations. Let **s** be a vector of order 275×1 , with element s_i being equal to 1, 0.5, or 0, 117 if the genotype of individual *i* is CC, CT, or TT, respectively. Then, the allelic frequency for C was calculated as 119 $f_{C} = (s'As)/(2n)$ where n = 275.

The A matrix was calculated using PROC INBREED, and121the covariance components were estimated by RestrictedMaximum Likelihood (REML) using PROC MIXED. Both123

Please cite this article as: Rogberg-Muñoz, A., et al., Longitudinal analysis of the effects of *IGF1-SnaBI* genotypes on the growth curve of Angus bull calves. Livestock Science (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.03.016

procedures are built into SAS 9.3 (SAS, 2012). The α -level for all tests of significance was set equal to 0.01, and 3 degrees of freedom were corrected by the method of Kenward and Roger (1997).

3. Results and discussion

The association between IGF1-SnaBI (SNP in the 5'-noncoding region of the IGF1 gene) and gain of weight was tested in Angus bull calves. The rough estimate of allelic frequencies gave a value of 0.55 for C and 0.45 for the T allele. After adjusting for non-random mating and genetic drift (McPeek et al., 2004), the corresponding frequencies of C and T for the Angus resource population used herein were 0.52 and 0.48, respectively. In a previous work, Ge et al. (2001) had found rough estimates of frequencies for the C allele equal to 0.52 and 0.75, in two divergent Angus populations.

Underwood et al. (1994) demonstrated that nutritional 63 restrictions affect the expression and the action of the IGF1 gene and hormone. In our experiment, animals were 65 constantly gaining weight: the ADGs were 0.740, 0.739 and 0.735 kg/day, for the genotypes CC, CT and TT, respec-67 tively. Using a longitudinal (multivariate) mixed animal model for analyzing the effects of change, differences 69 among linear functions of estimated fixed effects for the three genotypes were deemed significant for weight gain 71 (third column in Table 1). Estimated weight gain at 210 days was larger for CC than for CT, and the latter was larger 73 than TT. At 210 days, the estimated difference between the genotypes CC and TT was 10.47 kg (P < 0.01). This is 75 displayed by the growth curves in Fig. 1. The magnitude of the estimated effects suggests that growth of these 77 calves was mostly linearly related with age. Age of dam and herd-vear were also significant (P < 0.01). The additive 79 effect (a, Falconer and Mackay, 1996) for CC at 210 days of

Table 1

1

5

7

9

11

13

15

17

19

21

61

83 Predicted average weight for each IGF1-SnaBI genotype at 210 days age, and the contrast between them, are presented. Additive (a), dominant (d) and substitution (α) effects for each age calculated as suggested by Falconer and Mackay (1996) are also showed. 04



presented in the plot on the right. The graph in the left is an enlargement from the first graph showing the period between 190 and 250 days.

Please cite this article as: Rogberg-Muñoz, A., et al., Longitudinal analysis of the effects of IGF1-SnaBI genotypes on the growth curve of Angus bull calves. Livestock Science (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.03.016

81

123

4

A. Rogberg-Muñoz et al. / Livestock Science I (IIII) III-III

1 age was equal to 5.24 kg (P < 0.01), whereas the estimated value of *d* was equal to 0.13 kg and non-significant. There-3 fore, the substitution effect was equal to 5.21 kg. These

fore, the substitution effect was equal to 5.21 kg. These results agree with those of Maj et al. (2008) who found
 increased blood IGF1 concentration with the number of C alleles, in a gene expression analysis of Holstein-Friesian

7 cattle. In a different analysis using the same Angus calves that

9 were employed in the current study, Lirón et al. (2012) found that the average age at puberty was 290 days. In the 11 current study the differences between homozygous genotypes were significant between 66 and 291 days of age, a 13 period of high anabolism in mammals. The observation that IGF1-SnaBI mostly affects early stages of growth in bull 15 calves (i.e. the period before and closely after weaning), whereas later on differences between IGF1-SnaBI genotypes 17 become smaller and eventually vanish, is consistent with the findings in mice of Lupu et al. (2001). These authors found 19 that after weaning and few days after reaching puberty the contribution of the GH/IGF1 system to growth was practi-21 cally terminated. In the same direction, Curi et al. (2005) compared the growth of cattle with CC and CT after 110 days 23 of confinement. They found that CC genotype animals have a superior final (1 year old) weight, but the same daily weight 25 gain during the test period. They observed that CC genotype animals had entered confinement (240 days age) with a 27 larger body weight, indicating that animals would have been heavier at weaning. The fact that the effect of *IGF1-SnaBI* was 29 significant, and hence detectable, in a restricted period before weaning and little after puberty could support those 31 findings. Furthermore, the present results expand the ones obtained in the previous association tests of IGF1-SnaBI 33 genotypes with growth traits in different beef cattle breeds. Ge et al. (2001) detected a significant additive effect between 35 genotypes in the growth rate 20 days after weaning. Interestingly, the effect was detected in an Angus herd selected 37 for a low concentration of circulating IGF1, but no effect was observed in a herd selected for a high concentration of 39 circulating IGF1. Furthermore differences in growth were not significant in both herds in the off-test weight. 41 Siadkowska et al. (2006) found that the daily weight gain during the 8th month (post-weaning) was superior in 43 CC genotype Holstein young bulls and heifers, but there was no difference in the weight at 15 months between 45 genotypes. In both studies, differences in the period close to weaning were detected but no effect was detected in the 47 post year weight.

49 51

4. Conclusions

The analysis of longitudinal data detected a possible effect of the marker *IGF1-SnaBI* on the early growth of cattle. The substitution effect at 210 days of age of a C by a 55 T in the SNP *IGF1-SnaBI* was estimated to be 5.21 kg, in Angus bull calves. The effect was significant at about the 57 time of weaning whereas it vanished later: the maximum difference was detected around 217 days of age, and it 59 became not significant 74 days after. These results suggest that the effect of *IGF1-SnaBI* over growth in cattle takes

61 place mostly before puberty.

Conflict of interest statement

None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or <u>organizations</u> that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper. 63

65

67

69

71

73

75

77

79

81

83

85

87

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Flores Chicas de Areco and La Trinidad de Pertino studs for their collaboration. We also want to thanks the reviewers and editor for their comments, as they help us to improve the article. This research was supported by ANPCYT, CONICET, CICBA, UBA and UNLP.

Appendix A. Supplementary materials

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.livsci.2013.03.016.

References

Anderson, P.T., Bergen, W.G., Merkel, R.A., Enright, W.J., Zinn, S.A., Refsal, K.R., Hawkins, D.R., 1988. The relationship between composition of	89
gain and circulating normones in growing beer buils fed three dietary crude protein levels. J. Anim. Sci. 66, 3059–3067.	91
Munari, D.P., 2008. Association of an insulin-like growth factor 1 gene microsatellite with phenotypic variation and estimated breeding	93
Buitenhuis, A.J., Lund, M.S., Labouriau, R., Petersen, A.H., Madsen, L.B., Panitz, F. Bendixen, C. 2004. Comparing body weight measured as	95
single time point versus modelled as a longitudinal trait for QTL detection in pigs. In: Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the EAAP, Session: PG6.9. September 5th–9th 2004, Bled, Slovenia.	97
Casas, E., Keele, J.W., Shackelford, S.D., Koohmāraie, M., Stone, R.T., 2003. Identification of quantitative trait loci for growth and carcass com- position in critica Anime Carca 22-22.	99
Curi, R.A., de Oliveira, H.N., Silveira, A.C., Lopes, C.R., 2005. Association between <i>IGF-1</i> , <i>IGF-I</i> R and <i>CHRH</i> gene polymorphisms and growth	101
and carcass traits in beef cattle. Livest. Sci. 94, 159–167. De la Rosa Reyna, X.F., Montoya, H.M., Castrellón, V.V., Rincón, A.M.S.,	103
Bracamonte, M.P., Vera, W.A., 2010. Polymorphisms in the IGF1 gene and their effect on growth traits in Mexican beef cattle. Genet. Mol. Res. 9, 875–883	105
Ge, W., Davis, M.E., Hines, H.C., Irvin, K.M., Simmen, R.C., 2001. Associa- tion of a genetic marker with blood serum insulin-like growth factor- I concentration and growth traits in Angus cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 79,	107
1757–1762. Graml, R., Olbrich-Bludau, A., Schwab, M., Schallenberger, E., Schams, D.,	109
Pirchner, F., 1994. Relationship between plasma hormone and meta- bolite levels and breeding values of bulls. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 112, 313–326.	111
Hadjipavlou, G., Bishop, S.C., 2009. Age-dependent quantitative trait loci affecting growth traits in Scottish Blackface sheep. Anim. Genet. 40, 165–175	113
Kenward, M.C., Roger, J.H., 1997. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53, 983–997.	115
Kirkpatrick, B.W., 1992. Identification of a conserved microsatellite site in the porcine and bovine insulin-like growth factor-I gene 5' flank. Anim Cenet 23 543-548	117
Kitagawa, H., Kitoh, K., Ito, T., Ohba, Y., Nishii, N., Katoh, K., Obara, Y., Motoi, Y., Sasaki, Y., 2001. Serum growth hormone and insulin-like	119
growth factor-1 concentrations in Japanese black cattle with growth retardation, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 63, 167–170.	121

Köhn, F., Sharifi, A.R., Täubert, H., Malovrh, S., Simianer, H., 2008. Breeding for low body weight in Gottingen minipigs. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 125, 20–28.

Please cite this article as: Rogberg-Muñoz, A., et al., Longitudinal analysis of the effects of *IGF1-SnaBI* genotypes on the growth curve of Angus bull calves. Livestock Science (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.03.016

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Lambe, N.R., Navajas, E.A., Simm, G., Bunger, L., 2006. A genetic investigation of various growth models to describe growth of lambs of two contrasting breeds. J. Anim. Sci. 84, 2642–2654.

1

3

5

7

15

17

29

31

33

35

37

39

41

43

45

47

49

51

53

55

57

59

61

- Li, C., Basarab, J., Snelling, W.M., Benkel, B., Murdoch, B., Hansen, C., Moore, S.S., 2004. Assessment of positional candidate genes myf5 and *IGF1* for growth on bovine chromosome 5 in commercial lines of *Bos Taurus*. J. Anim. Sci. 82, 1–7.
- Li, C., Basarab, J., Snelling, W.M., Benkel, B., Murdoch, B., Moore, S.S., 2002. The identification of common haplotypes on bovine chromosome 5 within commercial lines of *Bos taurus* and their associations with growth traits. J. Anim. Sci. 80, 1187–1194.
- growth traits. J. Anim. Sci. 80, 1187–1194.
 Lirón, J.P., Prando, A.J., Fernández, M.E., Ripoli, M.V., Rogberg-Muñoz, A., Goszczynski, D.E., Posik, D.M., Peral-García, P., Baldo, A., Giovambat-tista, G., 2012. Association between *GNRHR*, *LHR* and *IGF1* polymorphisms and timing of puberty in male Angus cattle. BMC Genet. 5 (13), 26.
 Lupu F. Tenwilliger, J.D. Lee K. Segre, C.V. Efstratiadis, A. 2001. Roles of
 - Lupu, F., Terwilliger, J.D., Lee, K., Segre, G.V., Efstratiadis, A., 2001. Roles of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 in mouse postnatal growth. , Dev. Biol. 229, 141–162.
 - Lusk, J.L., 2007. Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the leptin gene with body weight and backfat growth curve parameters for beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 85, 1865–1872.
- Maj, A., Snochowski, M., Siadkowska, E., Rowinska, B., Lisowski, P., Robakowska-Hyzorek, D., Oprzadek, J., Grochowska, R., Kochman, K., Zwierzchowski, L., 2008. Polymorphism in genes of growth hormone receptor (*GHR*) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (*IGF1*) and its
- 21 Q11 association with both the IGF1 expression in liver and its level in blood, Neuroendocrinol. Lett. 29, 981–989.
- McClure, M.C., Morsci, N.S., Schnabel, R.D., Kim, J.W., Yao, P., Rolf, M.M., McKay, S.D., Gregg, S.J., Chapple, R.H., Northcutt, S.L., Taylor, J.F., 2010. A genome scan for quantitative trait loci influencing carcass, postnatal growth and reproductive traits in commercial Angus cattle. Anim. Genet. 41, 597–607.
- McPeek, S., Wu, X., Ober, C., 2004. Best <u>linear unbiased allele-frequency</u> estimation in <u>complex pedigrees</u>. Biometrics 60, 359–367.
 - Moody, D.E., Pomp, D., Newman, S., MacNeil, M.D., 1996. Characterization of DNA polymorphisms in three populations of Hereford cattle and their associations with growth and maternal EPD in line 1 Herefords. J. Anim. Sci. 74, 1784–1793.

- Parmentier, I., Portetelle, D., Gengler, N., Prandi, A., Bertozzi, C., Vleurick, L., Gilson, R., Renaville, R., 1999. Candidate gene markers associated with somatotropic axis and milk selection. Dom. Anim. Endocrinol. 17, 139–148.
- Podisi, B.K., 2011. Quantitative <u>Trait Loci Mapping of Sexual Maturity</u> Traits Applied to <u>Chicken Breeding</u>. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Edinburg. (http://www.era.lib.ed.ac.uk/bitstream/1842/5561/2/ Podisi2011.pdf) (accessed 17.2.11).
- Podolsky, R.H., Barbeau, P., Kang, H-S., Zhu, H., Treiber, F.A., Snieder, H., 2007. Candidate genes and growth curves for adiposity in African-and European-American youth. Int. J. Obesity 31, 1491–1499.
- QTL Data Base. (http://www.animalgenome.org/cgi-bin/QTLdb/BT/index) (accessed 07.08.2012).
- Rogberg-Muñoz, A., Melucci, L., Prando, A., Villegas-Castagnasso, E.E., Ripoli, M.V., Peral-García, P., Baldo, A., Añon, M.C., Giovambattista, G., 2011. Association of bovine chromosome 5 markers with birth and weaning weight in Hereford cattle raised under extensive conditions. Livest. Sci. 135, 124–130.
- SAS, 2012. SAS/STAT 9.3 User's Guide. The MIXED Procedure (Book Excerpt). SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Siadkowska, E., Zwierzchowski, L., Oprzadek, J., Strzałkowska, N., Bagnicka, E., Krzyżewski, J., 2006. Effect of polymorphism in *IGF-1* gene on production traits in Polish Holstein-Friesian cattle. Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep. 24, 225–237.
- Te Pas, M.F., Visscher, A.H., de Greef, K.H., 2004. Molecular genetic and physiologic background of the growth hormone-IGF-I axis in relation to breeding for growth rate and leanness in pigs. Dom. Anim. Endocrinol. 27, 287–301.
- Underwood, L.E., Thesen, J.P., Lemozy, S., Ketelslegers, J.-M., Clemmons, D. R., 1994. Hormonal and nutritional regulation of IGF-I and its binding proteins. Horm. Res. 42, 145–151.
- Varona, L., Gòmez-Raya, L., Rauw, W.M., Ovilo, C., Clop, A., Noguera, J.L., 2005. The value of prior information for detection of QTL affecting longitudinal traits: an example using Von Bertalanffy growth function. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 122, 37–48.
- Werner, H., Adamo, M., Roberts Jr., C.T., LeRoith, D., 1994. Molecular and cellular aspects of insulin-like growth factor action. Vit. Horm. 48, 1–58. 91

5

67