

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Global and Planetary Change



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gloplacha

Climate change and the distribution and conservation of the world's highest elevation woodlands in the South American Altiplano



G.A.E. Cuyckens ^{a,b,*}, D.A. Christie ^{c,d}, A.I. Domic ^{e,f}, L.R. Malizia ^a, D. Renison ^g

^a Centro de Estudios Territoriales Ambientales y Sociales (CETAS), Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Alberdi 47, 4600 San Salvador de Jujuy, Argentina

^b Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET), Argentina

^c Laboratorio de Dendrocronología y Cambio Global, Instituto de Conservación Biodiversidad y Territorio, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y Recursos Naturales, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 567, Valdivia, Chile

^d Center for Climate and Resilience Research (CR), Chile

^e Herbario Nacional de Bolivia, Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, Campus Universitario de Cota Cota, La Paz, Bolivia

^f Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas, Raúl Bitrán 1305, La Serena, Chile

^g Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas y Tecnológicas, Centro de Ecología y Recursos Naturales Renovables, CONICET – Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Av. VélezSarsfield 1611, X5016GCA Córdoba, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 July 2015 Received in revised form 6 November 2015 Accepted 15 December 2015 Available online 30 December 2015

Keywords: Polylepis tarapacana Models Potential distribution MaxEnt

ABSTRACT

Climate change is becoming an increasing threat to biodiversity. Consequently, methods for delineation, establishment and management of protected areas must consider the species' future distribution in response to future climate conditions. Biodiversity in high altitude semiarid regions may be particularly threatened by future climate change. In this study we assess the main environmental variables that best explain present day presence of the world's highest elevation woodlands in the South American Altiplano, and model how climate change may affect the future distribution of this unique ecosystem under different climate change scenarios. These woodlands are dominated by *Polylepis tarapacana* (Rosaceae), a species that forms unique biological communities with important conservation value. Our results indicate that five environmental variables are responsible for 91% and 90.3% of the present and future *P. tarapacana* distribution models respectively, and suggest that at the end of the 21st century, there will be a significant reduction (56%) in the potential habitat for this species due to more arid conditions. Since it is predicted that *P. tarapacana*'s potential distribution will be severely reduced in the future, we propose a new network of national protected areas across this species distribution range in order to insure the future conservation of this unique ecosystem. Based on an extensive literature review we identify research topics and recommendations for on-ground conservation and management of *P. tarapacana* woodlands.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In high-altitude semiarid mountain regions, the effect of climate change on species distribution could be more rapid and severe given that future changes in climate are expected to be faster in regions where species occur close to their physiological tolerance (Diaz and Bradley, 1997; Innes, 1991). These changes in species distribution or even species extinctions are expected to increase in the future, especially in South America (Urban, 2015). To reduce the rate of species extinctions in an increasingly human dominated world, natural protected areas are often the strategy on which conservation measures are built. However, due to future climate change, conservation strategies and

* Corresponding author at: Centro de Estudios Territoriales Ambientales y Sociales (CETAS), Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Alberdi 47, 4600 San Salvador de Jujuy, Argentina. *E-mail address*: grietcuyckens@yahoo.com (G.A.E. Cuyckens). decisions on the location of protected areas must consider future scenarios of regional climate changes and their effects on species distribution, including retractions and expansions of species ranges (Opdam and Wascher, 2004; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Root et al., 2003).

The use of Species Distribution Models (SDMs) is being proposed more frequently to support decisions about conservation strategies (Guisan et al., 2013). SDMs compare environmental variables of known species locations to areas where their presence is unknown, giving a value to which the pixel in question resembles the pixels with presence records of the species. SDMs can be used to assess the impact of climate change by projecting present species distribution models under future environmental conditions (Phillips et al., 2006). In the case of the semiarid region of the Central Andes where the world's highest elevation woodlands are found between 4000 and 5200 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1; Braun, 1997), climate model simulations indicate a future decrease in precipitation (Minvielle and Garreaud, 2011; Neukom et al., 2015; Thibeault et al., 2010), together with an



Fig. 1. *P. tarapacana* ancient woodland in Cerro Chiguana at 4800 m a.s.l., Chile (18°56'S; 69°00'W). At the back the Salar de Surire salt lake and Puquintica Mountain.

increment in temperature (Bradley et al., 2006). These woodlands are dominated by *Polylepis tarapacana* trees and provide numerous ecological services, such as biodiversity conservation, soil erosion reduction, carbon capture, clean water provision, and wood and medicinal plants for local communities (for examples of other *Polylepis* species see Gareca et al. (2010); Renison et al. (2010)).

Tree-ring studies of P. tarapacana have demonstrated that its annual radial growth is strongly associated with moisture availability (Morales et al., 2004; Solíz et al., 2009; Carilla et al., 2013). These studies have also shown that since the 1930s their growth has exhibited a persistently negative trend at the regional scale, with extraordinarily low values during the last decades as compared to the last 700 years (Morales et al., 2012). These lower growth rates coincide with present climate changes in the Central Andes, which include regional temperature increases (Vuille and Bradley, 2000), an increase in the elevation of the 0 °C isotherm (Carrasco et al., 2008; Vuille et al., 2008), a decline in precipitation (Morales et al., 2012), and widespread glacial retreat (Francou, 2003; Jomelli et al., 2011). According to paleoecological evidence, which includes pollen and tree-ring records, on a secular time-scale drier and warmer climate conditions could reduce the productivity and geographical distribution of *P. tarapacana* woodlands across its range (Gosling et al., 2009). Under this changing scenario and considering future climate predictions, management decisions regarding this species conservation should be based on the potential future effects that climate change will have across its distribution area. Woodlands of P. tarapacana constitute the habitat of several habitat-specialists and threatened birds, mammals and other plant species and therefore, by protecting this species, we will also protect this entire ecosystem (Fjeldså and Kessler, 2004; Walter and Gillet, 1998).

In this changing scenario, a key question is whether the present system of protected areas in Central Andes would encompass the future distribution of *P. tarapacana* woodlands, and what research and conservation strategies might be necessary to protect and manage this unique ecosystem. The objectives of this study were to: (1) determine the main environmental variables that better predict the current distribution of *P. tarapacana* woodlands, (2) model the present potential distribution range of *P. tarapacana*, (3) model the future potential distribution of *P. tarapacana* woodlands under climate change scenarios, and (4) propose high-priority protected areas and management strategies to ensure conservation of this unique ecosystem based on future changes in *P. tarapacana* distribution. This study also considers the main threats the species is facing, along with identifying research gaps that should be filled.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The Altiplano (or "high plain) is an area of inland drainage (endorheism) lying in the central Andes, occupying parts of southern Peru, western Bolivia, northern Argentina and Chile with average height of 3750 m. The Altiplano climate is characterized by a reduced seasonality in temperature, but a marked seasonality in precipitation with cooldry winters and cool-wet summers (Garreaud et al., 2003; Vuille and Bradley, 2000). Annual precipitation in the Altiplano varies between 130 and 450 mm along a northeast-southwest gradient, and is >75% concentrated during the summer months with a uniform pattern of inter-annual variability across the region (Garreaud et al., 2003; Vuille and Keimig, 2004). Precipitation over the Altiplano is highly related to the upper-air circulation with an easterly zonal flow favoring wet conditions and a westerly zonal flow favoring dry conditions (Garreaud et al., 2003). Summer is the warmest season with average temperatures ranging from 9° to 12 °C (Christie et al., 2009).

Our target ecosystem is the world's highest elevation woodlands composed of pure stands of *P. tarapacana* Philippi trees (Rosaceae: Sanguisorbeae). This species grows at elevations between 4000 and 5200 m a.s.l. along the semiarid highlands of the western section of the Altiplano in the Central Andes of Bolivia, Chile, Argentina, and Peru (16°-23°S; Braun, 1997; Navarro et al., 2010; Renison et al., 2013). P. tarapacana is a slow growing tree species (5 mm/year in diameter) that can live for over 700 years (Domic and Capriles, 2009; Morales et al., 2012). It has several morphological and physiological adaptations to the extreme environmental conditions of the Altiplano, including semiarid conditions, high solar radiation, and high daily temperature variations (Azócar et al., 2007; García-Núñez et al., 2004; García-Plazaola et al., 2015; González et al., 2007; Hoch and Körner, 2005; Rada et al., 2001). Presently, P. tarapacana is classified by IUCN simultaneously as both Near Threatened and at Lower Risk, indicating the uncertainty about its conservation status. Main threats mentioned include fires, mining and wood collection for fuel, timber and construction (World Conservation Monitoring Centre, 1998; Renison et al., 2013).

2.2. Distribution models

We obtained presence records of *P. tarapacana* through extensive exploration and fieldwork conducted by the authors, scientific publications (Kleier and Lambrinos, 2005; Morales et al., 2004; Renison et al., 2013; Solíz et al., 2009; Zutta, 2009); herbarium collections of the National University of Jujuy (JUA), National University of Salta (MCNS), Miguel Lillo Institute (LIL) (Argentina), National Herbarium of Bolivia (LPB; Bolivia) and San Marcos Herbarium (USM, Peru), and online databases from the Missouri Botanical Garden and the Global Biodiversity Information Facility [i.e., Tropicos (www.tropicos.org) and GBIF (www.gbif.org)]. A total of 157 *P. tarapacana* presence records were collected, after removing records that were less than 1 km apart, we retained 116 points that were used to build for SDM of the species.

To develop the present and future SDMs of *P. tarapacana*, we used the maximum entropy algorithm implemented by MaxEnt v3.3K software, which has a predictive performance that has proven to have a good general performance compared to other methods (Elith et al., 2011). Following Phillips et al. (2006), we made 100 partitions by randomly selecting 70% of the *P. tarapacana* presence localities as training data and 30% for testing the spatial accuracy of the resulting models. The area under the ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve provided a measure of model performance. An Area Under the Curve value for a specific model scenario may range from 0.5 (random) to 1.0 (perfect discrimination). To convert the models with probability values into binary (absence/presence), we used the 10th percentile training presence logistic threshold. This threshold assumes an error

in 10% of the presence records and therefore excludes the 10% with the lowest probability value. The 10th percentile is commonly used in conservation studies (Abba et al., 2012). For the present model, this value was 0.2429 and approximated well to the distribution of the species based on our knowledge in Argentina (Renison et al., 2013), Bolivia (Navarro et al., 2010) and Chile (personal observations D.C.). As an independent validation of the SDM, after running the model we visited the Altiplano to check the existence of P. tarapacana populations in presence areas indicated by the model. Based on currently published research and our knowledge about P. tarapacana responses to environmental factors, we selected a set of 11 environmental variables available on the WorldClim database to model its distribution (Hijmans et al., 2005). The spatial resolution of these variables was 30 arch s, approximately 0.85 km². Variables chosen for modeling purposes included: 1. (BIO1) annual mean temperature, 2. (BIO2) annual mean diurnal range (mean of monthly (max temp-min temp)), 3. (BIO3) isothermality ((BIO2/BIO7)*(100)), 4. (BIO4) temperature seasonality (standard deviation*100), 5, (BIO7) annual temperature range (max temperature of the warmest month-min temperature of the coldest month), 6. (BIO10) mean temperature of the warmest guarter, 7. (BIO11) mean temperature of the coldest guarter, 8. (BIO12) annual precipitation, 9. (BIO15) precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation as the standard deviation of the monthly precipitation estimates expressed as a percentage of the annual mean), 10. (BIO16) precipitation of the wettest quarter, and 11. (BIO18) precipitation of the warmest quarter. A Jackknife analysis implemented in the MaxEnt program estimated the relative contribution of those variables. We geographically projected the P. tarapacana presence model and divided probabilities of occurrence into the following five categories: values below the threshold value were considered as absent, "low" threshold-0.25, "intermediate" 0.25–0.5, "high" 0.5–0.75, and "very high" >0.75. To calculate the present potential area (km²) of *P. tarapacana* within the existing network of protected areas across it distribution range (Bolivia, Chile, Argentina and Peru), we superimposed the results of the P. tarapacana SDM onto a map of the world's protected areas (IUCN and UNEP-WCMC, 2015).

To generate the future *P. tarapacana* SDM we utilized projections to the year 2070 of the previously mentioned environmental variables available on the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al., 2005), which are calculated from future climate projections of General Circulation Models (GCM) of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5). Based on work conducted by Seiler et al. (2013), which evaluated the hindcast skill of different GCMs to predict the Altiplano's climate, we selected the three best available GCMs (MIROC-ESM, IPSL-CM5A-LR, and HadGEM2-ES); each one was run under two different greenhouse gas concentration trajectories (RCP 8.5 and RCP 6.0 [Representative Concentration Pathways]). The RCP 8.5 assumes that global greenhouse gas concentration trajectories will continue to rise throughout the XXI century, and will stabilize in the year 2100. The RCP 6.0 assumes that global greenhouse gas concentration trajectories will continue to rise throughout the XXI century, and will stabilize in the year 2100. The RCP 6.0 assumes that global greenhouse gas concentration trajectories will peak around 2060 and then decline to stabilize around 2080 at levels higher than those of present day (Meinshausen et al., 2011). As in McCollum et al. (2014) we assume that the RCP 8.5 would be the most likely scenario if no measures are taken to avoid the effects of climate change, and that the RCP 6.0 would be a less dramatic scenario assuming some reductions in emissions do occur.

Finally, to assess the effects that future climate change will have on the presence of *P. tarapacana* in the present network of national protected areas, we generated an average SDM according to the mean environmental variables considered in the three selected GCMs (Pierce et al., 2009; Seager et al., 2007; Velásquez-Tibatá et al., 2013) for both the RCP 6.0 and the 8.5 scenarios. We calculated the mean of threshold of different future models to apply to the consensus model, which was 0.2465 (RCP 6.0) and 0.2624 (RCP 8.5). According to these results, we propose a network of priority protected areas for *P. tarapacana*'s conservation under a future scenario of climate change.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution models

The Jackknife analysis showed that five environmental variables explained 91% and 90.3% of *P. tarapacana* presence in the present and the average future models respectively. These variables included mean diurnal range (mean of monthly (max temp–min temp)) (bio2), precipitation seasonality (bio15), mean temperature of the coldest quarter (bio11), mean temperature of the warmest quarter (bio10), and precipitation of the wettest quarter (bio16) (Fig. 2).

With respect to the in situ validation of the SDM carried out by visiting some *P. tarapacana* presence areas indicated by the model, we confirmed the species presence in all the 14 visited localities (blue dots in Fig. 4). The present model is concordant with our knowledge about the species' current distribution and predicts that *P. tarapacana* will occur most frequently in habitats with high mean diurnal range of temperatures and strong variation in precipitation seasonality (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Contribution of the environmental variables determining the potential P. tarapacana distribution for present and future climate (RCP 6.0 - Average Model) across the Altiplano.



Fig. 3. Partial dependence plots showing the marginal response of *P. tarapacana* to the two most important variables (i.e., for constant values of the other variables). The percentage of variable importance is indicated below each graph. The y-axes indicate logistic output.



Fig. 4. Digital elevation model of the study area in the Altiplano, Central Andes. Present potential distribution model of *Polylepis tarapacana*, occurrence probabilities are represented as: absence (<0.24), low (0.24–0.25), intermediate (0.25–0.5), high (0.5–0.75), very high (>0.75). Red dots indicate the *P. tarapacana* presence records used to develop the Species Distribution Models (N = 116), and the blue dots indicate confirmed presence records predicted by the model. The diagonal red (light blue) arrow indicate the direction of the tropospheric westerly (easterly) winds which determine the prevalence of dry (wet) conditions over the study region.

Presence records and species distribution models show that this species is present in Chile, Argentina, Bolivia and marginally in Peru, the latter country with only one presence record and approximately 35 km² of potential distribution predicted by a model with good general performance (AUC > 0.9). The main areas of potential presence are situated along the northern and southern limits of its range, with a fragmented distribution in the center of its distribution area. The principal area of potential presence of *P. tarapacana* is located in the humid northern part of its distribution along the border of Chile and Bolivia and with lower probabilities of occurrence in a semicircular area between Bolivia and Argentina, along the southeastern limit of its distribution. The present P. tarapacana potential distribution model covers approximately 52,349 km² and is congruent with our knowledge about this species' distribution, with the exception of an area suggested by the model as of low probability presence for which we have no records of present day populations in the limit between Bolivia and Argentina and west towards the Atacama Desert.

All *P. tarapacana* future distribution models under the two greenhouse gas concentration trajectories (RPC 8.5 and RPC 6.0) showed a

significant decrease in the potential distribution of *P. tarapacana* (Fig. 5). The minor reductions were under RPC 6.0, ranging from -41.6% (-21,801 km²) for the MIROC-E5M to -67.7% (-35,431 km²) for the IPSL-CM5A-LR models. For the RCP 8.5, the minor reduction of the potential distribution of *P. tarapacana* was -70.8% (-37,054 km²) for the HAdGEM2-ES model, and the most severe reduction was 97.0% (-50,782 km²) for the MIROC-E5M model. All models exhibited a good general AUC performance (Table 1).

At present, the principal protected areas of *P. tarapacana* are located in Bolivia, Chile and Argentina, consisting of 8.1% (4133 km²), 10.1% (5112 km²) and 3.3% (1663 km²), respectively, of the total actual potential distribution, while according to the RCP 6.0 future projection, the current protected areas will contain 13.6% (3885 km²), 17.8% (5095 km²), and 3.6% (1015 km²), respectively, of the total future distribution (Table 2). The RCP 8.5 scenario suggests extreme reductions in the distribution of this species and therefore no new areas for conservation could be identified. Based on the RCP 6.0 average model, we suggest three priority conservation areas. The first two priority areas are already well represented in protected areas situated along the northern edge of



Fig. 5. Future *Polylepis tarapacana* distribution models according to environmental variables from three General Circulation Models (MIROC-ESM, HAdGEM2-ES, and IPSL-CM5A-LR) under two Representative Concentration Pathways of greenhouse gasses (RCP 8.5 and RCP 6.0). *P. tarapacana* occurrence probabilities are indicated as: absence (<0.24), low (0.24–0.25), intermediate (0.25–0.50), high (0.50–0.75), and very high (<0.75). The gray area indicates actual presence.



Fig. 6. Future *Polylepis tarapacana* distribution model according to mean environmental variables from three general circulation climate models (MIROC-ESM, HAdGEM2-ES, and IPSL-CM5A-LR) under two representative concentration pathways of greenhouse gasses (RCP 8.5 and RCP 6.0). *Polylepis tarapacana* occurrence probabilities are indicated as: absence (<0.24), low (0.24–0.25), intermediate (0.25–0.50), high (0.50–0.75), and very high (>0.75). The gray area indicates actual presence, dashed lines indicate the present protected area by national reserves, and the black solid line indicates the proposed future priority conservation areas.

its distribution along the border of Chile and Bolivia, and in the south between Argentina and Bolivia. A third suggested priority area is located in the middle between the two previously mentioned areas (Fig. 6), where at present no protected area exists. Establishing protected areas between the northern and the southern distribution blocks would reduce isolation of the latitudinal extremes of this species' distribution range, and given the presumed long distance pollen transport for this *Polylepis* species, this would ensure gene flow between populations (Schmidt-Lebuhn et al., 2006). The current system of protected areas protects 21.4% (10,908 km²) of the present and 35% (9994 km²) of the future potential species distribution, and our proposed system of priority areas would protect 49% of its future distribution (14,112 km²; Table 2) and 27.7% of current distribution.

4. Discussion

Future reduction of the potential distribution of *P. tarapacana* would be a consequence of warmer and drier conditions predicted by climate models in Central Andes, as indicated by a lower mean diurnal range of temperatures due to an increment of minimum daily temperatures with respect to maximum daily temperatures (Collins et al., 2013), and a reduction in precipitation seasonality as a consequence of the reduced rainfall in the summer (Minvielle and Garreaud, 2011; Neukom et al., 2015; Thibeault et al., 2010). The fact that MaxEnt model uses certain climatic factors to describe the current distribution of *Polylepis* does not mean that these fully determine the distribution of the species (i.e. a causality effect);

Table 1

Predicted potential distribution of *Polylepis tarapacana* woodlands and general performance (AUC or *area under the ROC curve*) of present and future SDM. In the case of the difference with present surfaces (km²) between present and future (MIROC-ESM, HAdGEM2-ES, IPSL-CM5A-LR) models, negative values indicate retraction of *P. tarapacana* woodlands. The results of each future climate model are from two Representative Concentration Pathways of greenhouse gasses (RCP 8.0 and RPC 6.5). AUC ranges from 0.5 (random) to 1.0 (perfect discrimination).

Model	RCP	AUC	Surface (km ²)	Difference with present		
				km ²	(%)	
Present	-	0.978	52,349			
MIROC-ESM	6	0.976	8928	-43,421	(-82.9)	
HAdGEM2-ES	6	0.975	29,631	-22,718	(-43.4)	
IPSL-CM5A-LR	6	0.965	30,548	-21,801	(-41.6)	
Average	6	0.976	28,608	-23,741	(-45.4.6)	
MIROC-ESM	8.5	0.976	373	-51,976	(-99.3)	
HAdGEM2-ES	8.5	0.976	15,295	-37,054	(-70.8)	
IPSL-CM5A-LR	8.5	0.976	1567	-50,782	(-97.0)	
Average	8.5	0.977	1911	- 50,438	(-96.3)	

nevertheless, our results are consistent with the current ecological knowledge available for *P. tarapacana*.

All future distribution models showed a significant decrease in the potential distribution range of *P. tarapacana*, especially towards the southern and driest portion of its current distribution (Garreaud et al., 2003). The current lower limit of annual precipitation (bio12) according to the present model is 111 mm, similar to the 100 mm limit proposed by Kessler (1995). The northern and southern limits of *P. tarapacana* would practically remain unchanged; from 23.402°S in the present to 23.059°S in the future and 17.919°S to 17.567°S in the future.

At present, during the P. tarapacana growing season the lower altitudinal limit of the species distribution exhibits more arid microclimatic conditions than the upper limit (García-Plazaola et al., 2015), generated by higher temperatures (15%) and vapor pressure deficit (19%). Considering that these microenvironmental conditions determine diurnal march of stomatal opening and carbon assimilation by P. tarapacana (García-Plazaola et al., 2015), we expect that under the future scenario of more arid conditions the lowest elevation populations will be the most negatively affected, while it is very likely that higher mountain altitudes will be occupied by P. tarapacana, areas that will constitute a refuge for the species. Interestingly, recent studies of the genetic diversity of the species across its distribution range suggest that during warmer periods of the Pleistocene P. tarapacana populations were restricted to higher elevations and that during cooler periods they migrate to lower altitudes (Peng et al., 2015). Moreover, it remains unknown if P. tarapacana has the potential to respond positively to increments of atmospheric CO₂, as has been described in other species under drought stress (Hamann and Wang, 2006). To further comprehend the species' resilience and adaptation to future environmental conditions more studies related to its genetics, migration capability, and physiology are needed.

Our models show a northern and southern main nucleus of potential distribution of *P. tarapacana* separated by a patchy distribution in the middle. If the species' population would be separated in the future, this could have important genetic consequences despite that *P. tarapacana* presently exhibits a high gene flow within and between populations (Peng et al., 2015). Given the current high gene flow of *P. tarapacana* (Schmidt-Lebuhn et al., 2006) and the long distance wind dispersal capacity of the pollen of *Polylepis* (Liu et al., 2005), it is reasonable to signal the Alto del Loa as a priority corridor area, and thus increases future connectivity between the northern and southern proposed nuclei (Fig. 6).

MaxEnt software accounts for some pitfalls, for example, it does not estimate the probability of occurrence directly, but rather the environmental suitability for the species that can be mapped in a geographic space (Royle et al., 2012). Other software such as MaxLike has been shown to outperform MaxEnt in some cases (Fitzpatrick et al., 2013). Nevertheless, MaxEnt is by far the most widely-used and it has a good general performance (Elith et al., 2006). By using presence records from its entire range and testing for collinearity among bioclimatic variables, we improved the scientific rigor of MaxEnt analysis.

Besides designating a new protected area, existing protected areas should have effective management plans (Bonham et al., 2008; Bruner, 2001), such as the management plan of Sajama National Park that has a specific chapter for the conservation and management of *P*. tarapacana (BID et al., 1997) and the Chilean national reserves where logging of the species is forbidden and controlled. Management plans should contemplate tourism, restriction of grazing and fire use, and regularization of firewood extraction, involving social actors including local governments, mining companies, ONG's and local communities. The tripartite area between Argentina, Bolivia and Chile is of special conservation interest as it is also a key area for the conservation of the endangered Andean cat (Marino et al., 2010), flamingos (Caziani et al., 1999), vicuñas (Arzamendia et al., 2006), and several bird species. This is also the area where P. tarapacana exhibits the highest genetic diversity in this area (Peng et al., 2015). The remoteness of this area could facilitate its conservation, but also makes management and implementation more difficult. In Bolivia, main conservation efforts should be focused in the northern extreme by setting a larger single protected area. In Peru, the species has a distribution which is so restricted that probably conservation efforts would not have a significant impact at a global scale, but it is up to the country its national heritage.

The potential *P. tarapacana* distribution does not necessarily mean real presence or future disappearance of the species. Nevertheless, niche modeling is a very useful method for species distribution projections. After running the SMD, all the visited *P. tarapacana* presence areas presented populations of the species, corroborating the good predictive power and the usefulness of the model. It is also a valuable tool to estimate future biodiversity representation in protected areas and set up measurable conservation goals. Moreover, results of habitat loss do not necessary mean extinction but certainly increase the local extinction risk (Alarcón and Cavieres, 2015). The Convention on Biological

Table 2

Present and future climate projections (RCP 6.0 - Average Model) of *Polylepis tarapacana* potential distribution, and surface covered by the current network of protected areas, which include Lauca National Park, Las Vicuñas National Park, Volcan Isluga National Park, Salar de Huasco National Park, and Natural Monument Salar de Surire in Chile, Sajama National Park, Llica National Park, and Eduardo Avaroa Andean Fauna National Reserve in Bolivia, and Provincial Reserve Altoandino de la Chinchilla in Argentina.

Potential h	Potential habitat distribution of <i>Polylepis tarapacana</i>											
Present				Future								
Total surface Curren		Current p	rrent protected areas		Total surface		Current protected areas		Proposed protected areas			
km ²	%	km ²	% from total surface	km ²	%	km ²	% from total surface	km ²	% from total surface			
85	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			
27,652.2	54.4	4133	8.1	13,513	47.3	3885	13.6	4870	17.0			
15,015.3	29.5	5112	10.1	13,075	45.7	5095	17.8	8112	28.4			
8106.5	15.9	1663	3.3	1995	7.0	1015	3.6	1131	4.0			
50,859	100.0	10,908	21.4	28,583	100.0	9994	35.0	14,112	49.4			
-	Potential h Present Total surfac km ² 85 27,652.2 15,015.3 8106.5 50,859	Potential habitat distril Present Total surface km² 85 0.2 27,652.2 54.4 15,015.3 29.5 8106.5 15.9 50,859 100.0	Potential habitat distribution of Poly Present Current p Total surface km² 85 0.2 0 27,652.2 54.4 4133 15,015.3 29.5 5112 8106.5 15.9 1663 50,859 100.0 10,908	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Present Current protected areas Km² % from total surface 85 0.2 0 0.0 27,652.2 54.4 4133 8.1 15,015.3 29.5 5112 10.1 8106.5 15.9 1663 3.3 50,859 100.0 10,908 21.4	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Future Present Future Total surface Mm ² % from total surface Mm ² State	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Present Future Total surface Current protected areas Total surface km² % from total surface m² % 85 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 27,652.2 54.4 4133 8.1 13,513 47.3 15,015.3 29.5 5112 10.1 13,075 45.7 8106.5 15.9 1663 3.3 1995 7.0 50,859 100.0 10,908 21.4 28,583 100.0	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Future Present Future Current protected areas Total surface Current km ²	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Present Future Total surface Current protected areas Total surface Current protected areas km² % m² % from total surface Total surface Current protected areas 85 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 27,652.2 54.4 4133 8.1 13,513 47.3 3885 13.6 15,015.3 29.5 5112 10.1 13,075 45.7 5095 17.8 8106.5 15.9 1663 3.3 1995 7.0 1015 3.6 50,859 100.0 10,908 21.4 28,583 100.0 9994 35.0	Potential habitat distribution of Polylepis tarapacana Present Future Total surface Current protected areas Total surface Current protected areas Proposed km ² % km ² % from total surface Mm ² % from total surface Proposed 85 0.2 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 27,652.2 54.4 4133 8.1 13,513 47.3 3885 13.6 4870 15,015.3 29.5 5112 10.1 13,075 45.7 5095 17.8 8112 8106.5 15.9 1663 3.3 1995 7.0 1015 3.6 1131 50,859 100.0 10,908 21.4 28,583 100.0 9994 35.0 14,112			

Diversity recommended a minimum representation level of ecosystems and species habitat under protection between 10% and 12% (Burgess et al., 2005; Tear et al., 2005), or 17% for terrestrial ecosystems (Moilanen et al., 2013). According to our results, the current network of protected areas achieves this recommended area (21%) and in the future will include 35% of the species distribution, and with our proposed areas; this would increase to 49%. However, this is not due to an increase in protection, but to the reduction in future potential habitat that take place outside protected areas, what could be called "a blessing in disguise" (Fig. 6).

P. tarapacana woodlands represent the world's highest elevation woodlands that protect watershed and offer habitat for unique biological communities (Kessler, 2006; Yallico, 1992). Presently, the *Polylepis* woodlands are threatened by logging, grazing, fire and in some areas by large-scale mining (Renison et al., 2013; Segovia et al., 2012). Consumption of large water volumes is the most important environmental challenge of mining activities (Kirschbaum et al., 2012; Mighanetara et al., 2009; Navarro et al., 2008) since groundwater has a very slow rate of natural recovery (Strahler and Strahler, 1989). A relatively new threat for the species is the infection with the *Lepthosphaeriapolylepidis* fungus, which may become more severe under future climate conditions (Coca-Morante and Oteng-Amoako, 2012). Our results demonstrate that future climate change would severely and negatively impact *P. tarapacana* distribution and may become the main factor threatening the future conservation of these ecosystems.

Presently, water stress is increasing tree mortality at global scale (Allen et al., 2010). An increment in the number of meteorological stations in the Altiplano region would help improve climate data utilized in *P. tarapacana* distribution models and thus the reliability of SDM. The presented future *P. tarapacana* distribution models suggest important changes over the present century, a relative short timescale compared to the lifespan of the species. The present study represents the first effort to assess how climate change may affect the future distribution and conservation of *P. tarapacana*, the world's highest elevation woodlands in the South American Altiplano.

Acknowledgments

We thank Rosa Isela Meneses (Herbario Nacional de Bolivia) for facilitating presence records in Bolivia. D.C. thanks the financial support of FONDECYT 1120965 and FONDAP 1511009. D.R. thanks the financial support from DFG-Germany and CONICET-Argentina. Duilio Schinner and Julio Dominguez were extremely helpful in the explorations to find new populations. We thank all the organizers of the *Polylepis* meetings ConsPolylepis I, II and III where the authors met and discussed the ideas which eventually lead to this paper. We thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers of this paper.

References

- Abba, A.M., Tognelli, M.F., Seitz, V.P., Bender, J.B., Vizcaíno, S.F., 2012. Distribution of extant xenarthrans (Mammalia: Xenarthra) in Argentina using species distribution models. Mammalia 76, 123–136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2011-0089.
- Alarcón, D., Cavieres, L.A., 2015. In the right place at the right time: habitat representation in protected areas of South American Nothofagus-dominated plants after a dispersal constrained climate change scenario. PLoS One 10, e0119952. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0119952.
- Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.T., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. For. Ecol. Manag. 259, 660–684 (others).
- Arzamendia, Y., Cassini, M.H., Vilá, B.L., 2006. Habitat use by vicuña Vicugna vicugna in Laguna Pozuelos Reserve, Jujuy, Argentina. Oryx 40, 198–203.
- Azócar, A., Rada, F., García-Núñez, C., 2007. Functional characteristics of the arborescent genus *Polylepis* along a latitudinal gradient in the high Andes. Interciencia 32, 663–668.
- BID, SNC, DNCB, 1997. Plan de Manejo el Área Natural de Manejo Integral "Parque Nacional Sajama.". SERNAP, La Paz.

- Bonham, C.A., Sacayon, E., Tzi, E., 2008. Protecting imperiled "paper parks": potential lessons from the Sierra Chinajá, Guatemala. Biodivers. Conserv. 17, 1581–1593. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9368-6.
- Bradley, R.S., Vuille, M., Diaz, H.F., Vergara, W., 2006. Threats to water supplies in the tropical Andes. Science 312, 1755–1756. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1128087.
- Braun, G., 1997. The use of digital methods in assessing forest patterns in an Andean environment: the *Polylepis* example. Mt. Res. Dev. 17, 253–262.
- Bruner, A.G., 2001. Effectiveness of parks in protecting tropical biodiversity. Science 291, 125–128. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5501.125.
- Burgess, N., KÜper, W., Mutke, J., Brown, J., Westaway, S., Turpie, S., Meshack, C., Taplin, J., McClean, C., Lovett, J.C., 2005. Major gaps in the distribution of protected areas for threatened and narrow range Afrotropical plants. Biodivers. Conserv. 14, 1877–1894. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-004-1299-2.
- Carilla, J., Grau, H.R., Paolini, L., Mariano, M., 2013. Lake fluctuations, plant productivity, and long-term variability in high-elevation tropical Andean ecosystems. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 45, 179–189. http://dx.doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-45.2.179.
- Carrasco, J.F., Osorio, R., Casassa, G., 2008. Secular trend of the equilibrium-line altitude on the western side of the southern Andes, derived from radiosonde and surface observations. J. Glaciol. 54, 538–550.
- Caziani, S.M., Derlindati, E.J., Malvárez, A.I., 1999. Humedales altoandinos del noroeste de Argentina: su contribución a la biodiversidad regional. Tópicos Sobre Humedales Subtropicales y Templados de Sudamérica. Oficina Regional de Ciencia y Tecnología de la UNESCO para América Latina y el Caribe-ORCYT-Montevideo, Uruguay, pp. 1–13 [Links].
- Christie, D.A., Lara, A., Barichivich, J., Villalba, R., Morales, M.S., Cuq, E., 2009. El Niñosouthern oscillation signal in the world's highest-elevation tree-ring chronologies from the Altiplano, Central Andes. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 281, 309–319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.11.013.
- Coca-Morante, M., Oteng-Amoako, A.A., 2012. Assessment of Lepthosphaeriapolylepidis decline in *Polylepis tarapacana* Phil.Trees in district 3 of the Sajama National Park, Bolivia. New Advances and Contributions to Forestry Research.
- Collins, W.J., Fry, M.M., Yu, H., Fuglestvedt, J.S., Shindell, D.T., West, J.J., 2013. Global and regional temperature-change potentials for near-term climate forcers. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 2471–2485. http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2471-2013.
- Diaz, H.F., Bradley, R.S., 1997. Temperature variations during the last century at high elevation sites. Clim. Chang. 36, 253–279.
- Domic, A.I., Capriles, J.M., 2009. Allometry and effects of extreme elevation on growth velocity of the Andean tree *Polylepis tarapacana* Philippi (Rosaceae). Plant Ecol. 205, 223–234.
- Elith, J., Graham, H.C., Anderson, P.R., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., Hijmans, R., Huettmann, F., Leathwick, R.J., Lehmann, A., Li, J., Lohmann, G.L., Loiselle, A.B., Manion, G., Moritz, C., Nakamura, M., Nakazawa, Y., McC, Overton, M.J., Townsend Peterson, A., Phillips, J.S., Richardson, K., Scachetti-Pereira, R., Schapire, E.R., Soberón, J., Williams, S., Wisz, S.M., Zimmermann, E.N., 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography 29, 129–151. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x.
- Elith, J., Phillips, S.J., Hastie, T., Dudík, M., Chee, Y.E., Yates, C.J., 2011. A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. Divers. Distrib. 17, 43–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j. 1472-4642.2010.00725.x.
- Fitzpatrick, M.C., Gotelli, N.J., Ellison, A.M., 2013. MaxEnt versus MaxLike: empirical comparisons with ant species distributions. Ecosphere 4, art55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/ ES13-00066.1.
- Fjeldså, J., Kessler, M., 2004. Conservación de la Biodiversidad de los Bosques de Polylepis de las Tierras Altas de Bolivia. Una Contribución al Manejo Sustentable de los Andes. DIVA, Dinamarca.
- Francou, B., 2003. Tropical climate change recorded by a glacier in the central Andes during the last decades of the twentieth century: Chacaltaya, Bolivia, 16°S. J. Geophys. Res. 108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002959.
- García-Núñez, C., Rada, F., Boero, C., González, J., Gallardo, M., Azócar, A., Liberman-Cruz, M., Hilal, M., Prado, F., 2004. Leaf gas exchange and water relations in *Polylepis tarapacana* at extreme altitudes in the Bolivian Andes. Photosynthetica 42, 133–138. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:PHOT.0000040581.94641.ed.
- García-Plazaola, J.I., Rojas, R., Christie, D.A., Coopman, R.E., 2015. Photosynthetic responses of trees in high-elevation forests: comparing evergreen species along an elevation gradient in the central Andes. AoB Plants plv058.
- Gareca, E.E., Hermy, M., Fjeldså, J., Honnay, O., 2010. Polylepis woodland remnants as biodiversity islands in the Bolivian high Andes. Biodivers. Conserv. 19, 3327–3346. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-010-9895-9.
- Garreaud, R., Vuille, M., Clement, A.C., 2003. The climate of the Altiplano: observed current conditions and mechanisms of past changes. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 194, 5–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(03)00269-4.
- González, J.A., Gallardo, M.G., Boero, C., Liberman Cruz, M., Prado, F.E., 2007. Altitudinal and seasonal variation of protective and photosynthetic pigments in leaves of the world's highest elevation trees *Polylepis tarapacana* (Rosaceae). ActaOecologica 32, 36–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2007.03.002.
- Gosling, W.D., Hanselman, J.A., Knox, C., Valencia, B.G., Bush, M.B., 2009. Long-term drivers of change in *Polylepis* woodland distribution in the central Andes. J. Veg. Sci. 20, 1041–1052.
- Guisan, A., Tingley, R., Baumgartner, J.B., Naujokaitis-Lewis, I., Sutcliffe, P.R., Tulloch, A.I.T., Regan, T.J., Brotons, L., McDonald-Madden, E., Mantyka-Pringle, C., Martin, T.G., Rhodes, J.R., Maggini, R., Setterfield, S.A., Elith, J., Schwartz, M.W., Wintle, B.A., Broennimann, O., Austin, M., Ferrier, S., Kearney, M.R., Possingham, H.P., Buckley, Y.M., 2013. Predicting species distributions for conservation decisions. Ecol. Lett. 16, 1424–1435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ele.12189.
- Hamann, A., Wang, T., 2006. Potential effects of climate change on ecosystem and tree species distribution in British Columbia. Ecology 87, 2773–2786.

- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 25, 1965–1978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276.
- Hoch, G., Körner, C., 2005. Growth, demography and carbon relations of *Polylepis* trees at the world's highest treeline. Funct. Ecol. 19, 941–951. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2435.2005.01040.x.
- Innes, J.L., 1991. High-altitude and high-latitude tree growth in relation to past, present and future global climate change. The Holocene 1, 168–173. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1177/095968369100100210.
- IUCN, UNEP-WCMC, 2015. Protected planet [WWW document]. The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA). URL www.protectedplanet.net (accessed 12.3.15).
- Jomelli, V., Khodri, M., Favier, V., Brunstein, D., Ledru, M.-P., Wagnon, P., Blard, P.-H., Sicart, J.-E., Braucher, R., Grancher, D., Bourlès, D.L., Braconnot, P., Vuille, M., 2011. Irregular tropical glacier retreat over the Holocene epoch driven by progressive warming. Nature 474, 196–199. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10150.
- Kessler, M., 1995. Present and potential distribution of *Polylepis* (Rosaceae) forests in Bolivia. Biodiversity and Conservation of NeotropicalMontane Forests, pp. 281–294.
- Kessler, M., 2006. Bosques de *Polylepis*. 110–120. Botánica económica de los Andes centrales.
- Kirschbaum, A., Murray, J., López, E., Equiza, A., Arnosio, M., Boaventura, G., 2012. The environmental impact of Aguilar mine on the heavy metal concentrations of the Yacoraite River, Jujuy Province, NW Argentina. Environ. Earth Sci. 65, 493–504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1496-8.
- Kleier, C., Lambrinos, J.G., 2005. The importance of nurse associations for three tropical alpine life forms. Artic Antar. Alp. Res. 331–336.
- Liu, K., Reese, C.A., Thompson, L.G., 2005. Ice-core pollen record of climatic changes in the central Andes during the last 400 yr. Quat. Res. 64, 272–278. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.yqres.2005.06.001.
- Marino, J., Lucherini, M., Villalba, M.L., Bennett, M., Cossios, D., Iriarte, A., Perovic, P.G., Sillero-Zubiri, C., 2010. Highland Cats: Ecology and Conservation of the Rare and Elusive Andean Cat. In: Macdonald, D.W., Loveridge, A.J. (Eds.), Biology and Conservation of Wild Felids. Oxford University Press, pp. 581–596.
- McCollum, D., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Kitous, A., Riahi, K., 2014. Fossil resource and energy security dynamics in conventional and carbon-constrained worlds. Clim. Chang. 123, 413–426. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0939-5.
- Meinshausen, M., Smith, S.J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J.S., Kainuma, M.L.T., Lamarque, J.-F., Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S.A., Raper, S.C.B., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Velders, G.J.M., van Vuuren, D.P.P., 2011. The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. Clim. Chang. 109, 213–241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10584-011-0156-z.
- Mighanetara, K., Braungardt, C.B., Rieuwerts, J.S., Azizi, F., 2009. Contaminant fluxes from point and diffuse sources from abandoned mines in the River Tamar catchment, UK. J. Geochem. Explor. 100, 116–124. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2008.03.003.
- Minvielle, M., Garreaud, R.D., 2011. Projecting rainfall changes over the South American Altiplano. J. Clim. 24, 4577–4583. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00051.1.
- Moilanen, A., Anderson, B.J., Arponen, A., Pouzols, F.M., Thomas, C.D., 2013. Edge artefacts and lost performance in national versus continental conservation priority areas. Divers. Distrib. 19, 171–183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12000.
- Morales, M.S., Villalba, R., Grau, H.R., Paolini, L., 2004. Rainfall-controlled tree growth in high-elevation subtropical treelines. Ecology 85, 3080–3089.
- Morales, M.S., Christie, D.A., Villalba, R., Argollo, J., Pacajes, J., Silva, J.S., Alvarez, C.A., Llancabure, J.C., Soliz Gambaa, C.C., 2012. Precipitation changes in the South American Altiplano since 1300 AD reconstructed by tree-rings. Clim. Past 8, 653–666. http://dx. doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-653-2012.
- Navarro, M.C., Pérez-Sirvent, C., Martínez-Sánchez, M.J., Vidal, J., Tovar, P.J., Bech, J., 2008. Abandoned mine sites as a source of contamination by heavy metals: a case study in a semi-arid zone. J. Geochem. Explor. 96, 183–193. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo. 2007.04.011.
- Navarro, G., Arrázola, S., Balderrama, J.A., Ferreira, W., De la Barra, N., Antezana, C., Gómez, I., Mercado, M., 2010. Diagnóstico del Estado de conservación y caracterización de los bosques de polylepis en Bolivia y su avifauna. Rev. Bol. Ecol. Cons. Amb. 28, 1–35.
- Neukom, R., Rohrer, M., Calanca, P., Salzmann, N., Huggel, C., Acuña, D., Christie, D.A., Morales, M.S., 2015. Facing unprecedented drying of the Central Andes? Precipitation variability over the period AD 1000–2100. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 084017. http://dx. doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/8/084017.
- Opdam, P., Wascher, D., 2004. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: linking landscape and biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. Biol. Conserv. 117, 285–297.
- Parmesan, C., Yohe, G., 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. Nature 421, 37–42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01286.
- Peng, Y., Lachmuth, S., Gallegos, S.C., Kessler, M., Ramsay, P.M., Renison, D., Suarez, R., Hensen, I., 2015. Pleistocene climatic oscillations rather than recent human disturbance influence genetic diversity in one of the world's highest treeline species. Am. J. Bot. 102, 1676–1684. http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1500131.

- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Model. 190, 231–259.
- Pierce, D.W., Barnett, T.P., Santer, B.D., Gleckler, P.J., 2009. Selecting global climate models for regional climate change studies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 8441.
- Rada, F., García-Núñez, C., Boero, C., Gallardo, M., Hilal, M., Gonzalez, J., Prado, F., Liberman-Cruz, M., Azocar, A., 2001. Low-temperature resistance in *Polylepis* tarapacana, a tree growing at the highest altitudes in the world. Plant Cell Environ. 24, 377–381.
- Renison, D., Hensen, I., Suarez, R., Cingolani, A.N.A.M., Marcora, P., Giorgis, M.A., 2010. Soil conservation in *Polylepis* mountain forests of Central Argentina: is livestock reducing our natural capital? Austral Ecol. 35, 435–443.
- Renison, D., Cuyckens, G.A.E., Pacheco, S., Guzmán, G.F., Grau, H.R., Marcora, P., Robledo, G., Cingolani, A.M., Dominguez, J., Landi, M., Bellis, L., Hensen, I., 2013. Distribución y Estado de conservación de las poblaciones de árboles y arbustos del género polylepis (Rosaceae) en las montañas de Argentina. Ecol. Austral 23, 27–36.
- Root, T.L., Price, J.T., Hall, K.R., Schneider, S.H., Rosenzweig, C., Pounds, J.A., 2003. Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. Nature 421, 54–57. http://dx. doi.org/10.1038/nature01309.
- Royle, J.A., Chandler, R.B., Yackulic, C., Nichols, J.D., 2012. Likelihood analysis of species occurrence probability from presence-only data for modelling species distributions: Likelihood analysis of presence-only data. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 545–554. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00182.x.
- Schmidt-Lebuhn, A.N., Kumar, M., Kessler, M., 2006. An assessment of the genetic population structure of two species of polylepis Ruiz & amp; Pav. (Rosaceae) in the Chilean Andes. Flora Morphol. Distribution Funct. Ecol. Plants 201, 317–325. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2005.07.007.
- Seager, R., Ting, M., Held, I., Kushnir, Y., Lu, J., Vecchi, G., Huang, H.-P., Harnik, N., Leetmaa, A., Lau, N.-C., Li, C., Velez, J., Naik, N., 2007. Model projections of an imminent transition to a more arid climate in Southwestern North America. Science 316, 1181–1184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1139601.
- Segovia, A.D., Speranza, F.C., Malizia, L.R., 2012. Relevamiento de Bosques en la Quebrada de Humahuaca y Puna, Provincia de Jujuy (Informe Final de Consultoría). Unidad de Gestión de Bosques Nativos. Dirección Provincial de Biodiversidad Secretaría de Gestión Ambiental, Provincia de Jujuy, Jujuy.
- Seiler, C., Hutjes, R.W.A., Kabat, P., 2013. Likely ranges of climate change in Bolivia. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 52, 1303–1317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0224.1.
- Solíz, C., Villalba, R., Argollo, J., Morales, M.S., Christie, D.A., Moya, J., Pacajes, J., 2009. Spatio-temporal variations in *Polylepis tarapacana* radial growth across the Bolivian Altiplano during the 20th century. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 281, 296–308. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.07.025.

Strahler, A.N., Strahler, A.H., 1989. Geografía Física. Ediciones Omega, Barcelona.

- Tear, T.H., Kareiva, P., Angermeier, P.L., Comer, P., Czech, B., Kautz, R., Landon, L., Mehlman, D., Murphy, K., Ruckelshaus, M., Scott, J.M., Wilhere, G., 2005. How much is enough? The recurrent problem of setting measurable objectives in conservation. Bioscience 55, 835. http://dx.doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0835:HMIETR]2.0.CO;2.
- Thibeault, J.M., Seth, A., Garcia, M., 2010. Changing climate in the Bolivian Altiplano: CMIP3 projections for temperature and precipitation extremes. J. Geophys. Res. 115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012718.
- Urban, M.C., 2015. Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. Science 348, 571–573.
- Velásquez-Tibatá, J., Salaman, P., Graham, C.H., 2013. Effects of climate change on species distribution, community structure, and conservation of birds in protected areas in Colombia. Reg. Environ. Chang. 13, 235–248. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10113-012-0329-y.
- Vuille, M., Bradley, R.S., 2000. Mean annual temperature trends and their vertical structure in the tropical Andes. Geophys. Res. Lett. 27, 3885–3888.
- Vuille, M., Keimig, F., 2004. Interannual variability of summertime convective cloudiness and precipitation in the central Andes derived from ISCCP-B3 data. J. Clim. 17, 3334–3348.
- Vuille, M., Kaser, G., Juen, I., 2008. Glacier mass balance variability in the Cordillera Blanca, Peru and its relationship with climate and the large-scale circulation. Glob. Planet. Chang. 62, 14–28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.11.003.
- Walter, K.S., Gillet, H.J., 1998. World Conservation Monitoring Centre, International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources and Species Survival Commission [WWW Document] URL (accessed 10.13.15).
- World Conservation Monitoring Centre, 1998. Polylepis tarapacana. The IUCN red list of threatened species [WWW document]. www.iucnredlist.org URL (accessed 11.13.14).
- Yallico, E., 1992. Distribución de Polylepis en el sur de Puna. Arbolandino, Pomata, Peru. Zutta, B.R., 2009. Predicting the Distribution of an Increasingly Vulnerable Ecosystem: The Past, Present, and Future of the Polylepis Woodlands (Thesis). University of California,

California, USA.