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Abstract

The aim of this work is to apply the observable-state model for the quantum field theory of a $\phi^n$ self-interaction. We show how to obtain finite values for the 2-point and $n$-point correlation functions without introducing counterterms in the Lagrangian. Also, we show how to obtain the renormalization group equation for the mass and the coupling constant. Finally, we found the dependence of the coupling constant with the energy scale and we discuss the validity of the observable-state model in terms of the projection procedure.

1 Introduction

This paper is the application of the projection method called "The observable-state model" introduced in papers [1] and [2], to the non-renormalizable $\phi^l$ theories, with $l > 4$.

The non-renormalizable theories have not been extensively studied because it is usual to believe that they do not contain physical predictions. Nevertheless, they can be studied as effective theories, where the predictive power decay at energies of the order of the common mass $M$ that characterizes the various couplings (see [3], page 523). In turn, the effective quantum field theories are very useful because the short distance features can be ignored producing an enormous simplification, where only the light particles are important (see [4]). But in the projection method it is not necessary to introduce counterterms in the Lagrangian to cancel the divergences due to the short-distance interactions that appear in the perturbation expansion. Therefore, the renormalizable and non-renormalizable theories are on equal footing from the point of view of the projection procedure. In [2] we have already shown that the finite contribution to the two and fourth correlation functions in $\phi^4$ theory gives the correct renormalization group equations at one-loop correction. So it makes sense to apply the projection procedure to the $\phi^l$ theory to obtain the corresponding mass and coupling constant renormalization group equations at one loop. Basically, the projection method work as follows: we write the generating functional $Z[J]$ for the correlation functions of $n$ external points as a mean value of an observable, defined through the $J(x_i)$ external sources, in a quantum state defined by the correlation function itself. Then, by making a equivalence class on the quantum states, we can separate the short-distance behavior of the physics which appears in the diagonal part of the quantum state written in the coordinate basis. In this way, the divergences show up as Dirac deltas that are represented in a dimensional regularization scheme by the poles $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon = d - 4$ and $d$ is the space-time dimension. Then we can simply disregard these unphysical infinities by applying a projection operator on the quantum states that remove the diagonal part. A conceptual discussion of the observable-state model has been introduced in Section VI of [2].

The results found in this work not only can be applied to the non-renormalizable theories in Quantum Field Theory, but also to condensed matter system, where the thermal fluctuations are correlated only over atomic
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distances and under special circumstances, over longer ranges. The behavior of any statistical system under a second-order phase transition can be translated into the behavior of a particular quantum field theory. A concrete example is exhibited by a ferromagnet \[3\]. In this case, the quantum field represents the local spin density \(s(x)\) and the self-interaction of this quantum field can be obtained by expanding the Gibbs free energy in terms of \(s(x)\). Then, the successive approximations are introduced as even powers of \(s(x)\). In general, terms of order \(s^6\) or higher are ignored because \(s\) is small. But in the general case, the contributions of these higher orders must be computed. In this sense, this work contributes to this calculation under the renormalization method introduced in the previous works \[1\] and \[2\].

The paper is organized as follows:

In section II a brief review of the observable-state model is introduced.

In section III we compute the first correction to the two-point correlation function in a \(\phi^4\) theory with the subsequent mass renormalization group equation. We show an example with \(l = 6\), which is a non-renormalizable theory in a space-time with dimension \(d = 4\).

In section IV we compute the second correction to the \(l\)-correlation function in a \(\phi^4\) theory. We compute the coupling constant renormalization group equation and we show an example with \(l = 6\).

In section V we present a discussion about the mass renormalization group and the renormalization conditions. Finally, in section VI we present the conclusions and in the Appendix A we show a detailed computation of the second order in the perturbation expansion of the \(l\)-correlation function of a \(\phi^4\) theory.

### 2 Observables and states in quantum field theory: the main idea

The starting point of the observable-state model is some (symmetric) \(n\)-point functions \(\tau^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n)\) (like Feynman or Euclidean functions), and its corresponding generating functional (\[3\], eq. (II.2.21), \[7\], eq. (3.2.11)). Then, the main equation reads:

\[
iZ[J] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{n} p^p \int \langle \Omega_0 | T \phi_0(x_1) ... \phi_0(x_n) \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_1) ... \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_p) | \Omega_0 \rangle J(x_1) ... J(x_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^4 x_i \prod_{i=1}^{p} d^4 y_i}{n! \cdot p!}
\]

(1)

where \(y_i\) are the internal vertices of the perturbation expansion and \(\mathcal{L}^0_1(y_p)\) is the Lagrangian interaction density (see eq.(II.2.33) of \[3\]).

The generating functional \(Z[J]\) can be written as an mean value of an observable defined through the \(J(x_n)\) sources in a quantum state defined by the correlation function \(\langle \Omega_0 | T \phi(x_1) ... \phi(x_n) \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_1) ... \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_p) | \Omega_0 \rangle\). This procedure will be done for each correlation function of \(n\) external points.

Using dimensional regularization (see \[9\]) we can write the one-particle irreducible contribution to the correlation function such that (see \[1\] for \(\phi^4\) theory):

\[
\int \langle \Omega_0 | T \phi(x_1) ... \phi(x_n) \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_1) ... \mathcal{L}^0_1(y_p) | \Omega_0 \rangle \prod_{i=1}^{p} d^4 y_i = f_0^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n) \sum_{l=-L(n,p)}^{+\infty} \beta_l^{(n,p)}(m_0^2, \mu) \epsilon^l
\]

(2)

where \(f_0^{(n)}\) is some function of the external points, \(\beta_l^{(n,p)}(m_0^2, \mu)\) are some coefficients of the dimensional regularization that depends on the external momentum, the mass factor \(\mu\) used to keep the coupling constant dimensionless and the mass of the field \(m_0\). The parameter \(\epsilon\) is \(\epsilon = d - 4\), where \(d\) is the dimension of space-time. The sum in \(l\) starts at \(-L(n,p)\) where \(L(n,p)\) is the number of loops at order \(p\) in the correlation functions of \(n\) external points (see Appendix A, eq.(A6) of \[1\]). The functions \(f_0^{(n)}\) and \(L(n,p)\) for \(\phi^4\) theory reads

\[
f_0^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{d^4 p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_i x_i}}{p_i^2 - m_0^2} \delta(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^2), \quad L(n,p) = p - \frac{n}{2} + 1
\]

(3)

Inserting eq. (2) in eq. (1) we obtain

\[
iZ[J] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{\sum_{l=-L(n,p)}^{0} \beta_l^{(n,p)} \epsilon^l \int f_0^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n) J(x_1) ... J(x_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^4 x_i}{n! \cdot p!}
\]

(4)

\[2\]In some sense, these observables will be the particle detector (see \[8\], page 6, below eq.(2.6)).

\[3\]The infinite sum in the \(l\) index in eq.(2) can be truncated in \(l = 0\), because the remaining terms are proportional to \(\epsilon^l\) and the final result must be computed by taking the \(\epsilon \to 0\) limit. In this sense, what concern us is the principal part plus the constant term of the Laurent serie with poles \(d = 4\).
The observable-state model consist in the assumption that the generating functional of last equation can be rewritten as a mean value of the following observable

\[ O^{(n,p)} = O_{ext}^{(n)} \otimes I_{int}^{(p)} \]  

in the following quantum state

\[ \rho^{(n,p)} = \rho_{ext}^{(n)} \otimes \rho_{int}^{(n,p)} \]

where \( O_{ext}^{(n)} \) is some observable that acts on the external coordinates \( x_i \) and \( I_{int}^{(p)} \) is the identity operator that acts on the internal vertices due to the perturbation expansion. In a similar way, \( \rho_{ext}^{(n)} \) is the quantum state of the external part and \( \rho_{int}^{(n,p)} \) is the quantum state of the internal part.

Then, the mean value of \( O^{(n,p)} \) in \( \rho^{(n,p)} \) reads

\[ Tr(\rho^{(n,p)}O^{(n,p)}) = Tr(\rho_{ext}^{(n)}O_{ext}^{(n)})(\rho_{int}^{(n,p)}) \]

Using last equation, the generating functional of eq. \( \text{(4)} \) can be written as

\[ iZ[J] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n p^p}{n! p!} Tr(\rho^{(n,p)}O^{(n,p)}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n p^p}{n! p!} Tr(\rho_{int}^{(n,p)})(\rho_{ext}^{(n,p)}) \]

where

\[ \rho_{ext}^{(n)} = \int J_0^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n) |x_1, ..., x_n \rangle \langle x_1+1, ..., x_n| \prod_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \]

and

\[ O_{ext}^{(n)} = \int J(x_1)...J(x_n) |x_1, ..., x_n \rangle \langle x_1+1, ..., x_n| \prod_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \]

In turn

\[ Tr(\rho_{int}^{(n,p)}) = \sum_{l=-L(n,p)}^{+\infty} \beta_1^{(n,p)} \epsilon^l \]

which implies that the divergences of the quantum field theory are the consequence of taking the trace of the internal quantum state \( \rho_{int}^{(n,p)} \). This point is relevant; because the trace of an operator is an invariant quantity, this means that it is the same in different basis. This implies that if we want to obtain a finite contribution \( \rho_{0}^{(n,p)} \), we must apply a non-unitary transformation on \( \rho_{int}^{(n,p)} \) that changes its trace, i.e., we must project to another \( \rho_{int} \).

### 2.1 Internal quantum state

To define the internal quantum state we will just recall some considerations (see Section VI in \[1\]): the algebra of observables \( O \) is represented by \( *-\)algebra \( A \) of self-adjoint elements and states are represented by functionals on \( O \), that is, by elements of the dual space \( O' \). \( \rho \in O' \). We will construct a \( C^* \)-algebra of operators defined in terms of elements with the property \( Tr(A^*A) < \infty \). As it is well known, a \( C^* \)-algebra can be represented in a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) (GNS theorem\[\text{[8]}\] and, in this particular case \( O = O' \); therefore \( O \) and \( O' \) are represented by \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \) that will be called \( \mathcal{N} \), the Liouville space.

As we are interested in the diagonal and non-diagonal elements of a matrix state we can define a sub algebra of \( \mathcal{N} \), that can be called a van Hove algebra (\[12, 13, 14, 15, 16\]) since such a structure appears in his work as:

\[ \mathcal{N}_{vh} = \mathcal{N}_{S} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{R} \subset \mathcal{N} \]

where the vector space \( \mathcal{N}_{R} \) is the space of operators with \( O(x) = 0 \) and \( O(x, x') \) is a regular function. Moreover \( O = \mathcal{N}_{vh,S} \) is the space of selfadjoint operators of \( \mathcal{N}_{vh} \), which can be constructed in such a way it could be dense in \( \mathcal{N}_{S} \) (because any distribution can be approximated by regular functions) (for the details see \[1\], Section II.B and Section VI). Therefore essentially the introduced restriction is the minimal possible coarse-graining. Now the \( \oplus \) is a direct sum because \( \mathcal{N}_{S} \) contains the factor \( \delta(x - x') \) and \( \mathcal{N}_{R} \) contains just regular functions and a kernel cannot be
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\[4\]Gelfand, Naimark and Segal \[11\].
both a $\delta$ and a regular function. Moreover, as our observables must be self-adjoint, the space of observables must be

$$O = \mathcal{N}_h = \mathcal{N}_S \oplus \mathcal{N}_R \subset \mathcal{N}$$

The states must be considered as linear functionals over the space $O$ ($O'$ the dual of space $O$):

$$O' = \mathcal{N}'_h = \mathcal{N}'_S \oplus \mathcal{N}'_R \subset \mathcal{N}'$$

The set of these generalized states is the convex set $S \subset O'$.

Having this in mind, we can define the internal quantum state in the following way

$$\rho_{int}^{(n,p)}(y_1,\ldots,y_L) = \int L(n,p) \prod_{i=1}^L \left[ \rho_D^{(n,p,i)}(y_i) \delta(y_i - w_i) + \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)}(y_i, w_i) \right] d^4y_i$$

The trace reads

$$Tr(\rho_{int}^{(n,p)}) = \prod_{i=1}^L \left( \rho_D^{(n,p,i)} + \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)} / \pi \epsilon \right)$$

where

$$\rho_D^{(n,p,i)} = \int \rho_D^{(n,p,i)}(y_i)d^4y_i, \quad \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)} = \int \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)}(y_i, y_i)d^4y_i$$

We can see from last equation that $\rho_D^{(n,p,i)}$ and $\rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)}$ are merely normalization factors. Eq. (16) can be written as

$$Tr(\rho_{int}^{(n,p)}) = \sum_{l=-L(n,p)}^{0} \gamma_l^{(n,p)} \epsilon^l$$

where

$$\gamma_0^{(n,p)} = \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)} \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)} ; \ldots ; \gamma_L^{(n,p)} = \frac{1}{\pi L(n,p)} \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)} \rho_D^{(n,p,i)}$$

All the terms $\gamma_l^{(n,p)}$ with $l > 0$ that are multiplied by $\epsilon^l$ contain at least one $\rho_D^{(n,p,i)}$, that is, the diagonal part of the state of the $i$–internal quantum system. In particular, we can make the following equality

$$\beta_l^{(n,p)} = \gamma_l^{(n,p)}$$

In this sense, the coefficients obtained by the dimensional regularization can be associated with the products of the diagonal and non-diagonal parts of the internal quantum state. In particular, the coefficient that is not multiplied by a $\epsilon$ is $\gamma_0^{(n,p)}$ which depends exclusively on the non-diagonal quantum state.

---

5In eq. (16) we have introduced an equivalence between the Dirac delta and the pole parameter of the dimensional regularization that has been shown in Appendix A of [2].
2.2 Projection over the finite contribution

As we saw in eq. (18) and eq. (19), the finite result exclusively depends on the non-diagonal quantum state, so we can construct a projector that projects over the non-diagonal quantum state. This projector reads:

\[ \Pi_p(\rho_{\text{int}}^{(n,p)}) = \rho_{\text{int}}^{(n,p)} - \int \rho_D^{(n,p,1)}(y_1)\rho_D^{(n,p,2)}(y_2)\cdots\rho_D^{(n,p,L(n,p))}(y_{L(n,p)}) \langle y_1, \ldots, y_{L(n,p)} | y_1, \ldots, y_{L(n,p)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)} d^4 y_i \]

(21)

\[ + \int \rho_D^{(n,p,1)}(y_1)\rho_D^{(n,p,2)}(y_2)\cdots\rho_D^{(n,p,L(n,p)-1)}(y_{L(n,p)-1})\rho_{ND}^{(n,p,L(n,p))}(y_{L(n,p)}, w_{L(n,p)}) \langle y_1, \ldots, y_{L(n,p)} | y_1, \ldots, w_{L(n,p)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)-1} d^4 y_i + \cdots \]

The projection procedure consists in the subtraction of the part of the state that contains at least one internal diagonal quantum state. This projector acting on the state \( \rho^{(n,p)} \) yields:

\[ \Pi_p(\rho_{\text{int}}^{(n,p)}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)} \rho_{ND}^{(n,p,i)}(y_i, w_i) \langle y_1, \ldots, y_{L(n,p)} | y_1, \ldots, w_{L(n,p)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^{L(n,p)} d^4 y_i d^4 w_i \]

(22)

Then, using the equivalence of eq. (20), the mean value of \( O^{(n,p)} \) in the state \( \Pi_p(\rho^{(n,p)}) \) reads:

\[ \text{Tr}(\Pi_p(\rho^{(n,p)})O^{(n,p)}) = \beta_0^{(n,p)} \int f_0^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)O_{\text{ext}}^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^4 x_i \]

(23)

where \( O_{\text{ext}}^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = J(x_1)\cdots J(x_n) \) (see eq. (10)). Multiplying by \( \frac{x^p}{p!} \) and summing in \( p \) we obtain:

\[ \text{Tr}(\rho^{(n)}O_{\text{ext}}^{(n)}) = \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^p}{p!} \text{Tr}(\Pi_p(\rho^{(n,p)})O^{(n,p)}) = \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^p}{p!} \beta_0^{(n,p)} \int f_0^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)O_{\text{ext}}^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^4 x_i \]

(24)

where

\[ \rho^{(n)} = \left( \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^p}{p!} \beta_0^{(n,p)} \right) \rho_{\text{ext}}^{(n)} \]

(25)

where \( \frac{x^p}{p!} \beta_0^{(n,p)} \) is the coefficient of the quantum state \( \rho_{\text{ext}}^{(n,p)} \).

In this way, we can eliminate all the divergences of the observable-state model by the application of the projector over a well defined Hilbert subspace. This formalism has been applied to the correlation functions of \( n = 0, n = 2 \) and \( n = 4 \) external points for \( \phi^4 \) theory (see [1] and [2]). The main idea of this work is to apply the same procedure to the correlation function of \( n = 2 \) and \( n = l \) external points of a \( \phi^4 \) self-interaction.

3 First correction to mass renormalization in \( \phi^4 \) theories

To obtain the first correction to the mass renormalization with a \( \phi^4 \) self interaction, we must expand in a perturbation expansion the two-point correlation function:

\[ \langle \Omega | \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2) | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega_0 | \phi_0(x_1)\phi_0(x_2) | \Omega_0 \rangle + (-i\lambda_0) \int \langle \Omega_0 | \phi_0(x_1)\phi_0(x_2)\phi_0(y_1) | \Omega_0 \rangle d^4 y_1 + \ldots \]

(26)
where \(|\Omega\rangle\) and \(\phi(x)\) are the vacuum and the quantum field of the interacting theory, \(|\Omega_0\rangle\) and \(\phi_0(x)\) are the vacuum and the quantum field of the non-interacting theory. The correction at first order can be written from the observable-state model viewpoint as

\[
G^{(2,1,1)}(x_1, x_2) = (-i\lambda_0) \int \left\langle \Omega_0 \left| \phi_0(x_1)\phi_0(x_2)\phi_0(y_1) \right| \Omega_0 \right\rangle d^4y_1 = \rho^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)\text{Tr}(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}})
\]

(27)

where

\[
\rho^{(2)}_{\text{ext}}(x_1, x_2) = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-i p(x_1-x_2)}}{(p^2 - m_0^2)^2}
\]

(28)

and (see Section II of \[2\])

\[
\text{Tr}(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}}) = -\lambda_0^{(l)} [\Delta(0)]^{\frac{d}{2} - 1}
\]

(29)

where the superscript \(l\), 2 and 1 refers to the power of the interaction, to the first order in the perturbation and to the two-point correlation function respectively. The \(\rho^{(2)}_{\text{ext}}(x_1, x_2)\) function is the coefficient of the following quantum state

\[
\rho^{(2)}_{\text{ext}} = \int \rho^{(2)}_{\text{ext}}(x_1, x_2) |x_1\rangle \langle x_2| d^4x_1 d^4x_2
\]

(30)

and \(\Delta(0)\) is the scalar propagator valuated in zero. This propagator is singular, using dimensional regularization (see \[5\]) we have

\[
\Delta(0) = \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \alpha_j \epsilon^j = \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{\epsilon} + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon + ...
\]

(31)

where \(\epsilon = d - 4\) and \(d\) is the dimension of space-time. The low terms reads

\[
\alpha_{-1} = -\frac{m_0^2}{8\pi^2}
\]

(32)

\[
\alpha_0 = \frac{m_0^2}{16\pi^2} \left(1 - \gamma + \ln \left(\frac{4\pi}{m_0^2}\right)\right)
\]

\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{m_0^2}{384\pi^2} \left(6\ln \left(\frac{m_0^2}{4\pi}\right) \left(2\gamma - 2 + \ln \left(\frac{m_0^2}{4\pi}\right)\right) + \pi^2 + 6\gamma^2 - 12\gamma + 12\right)
\]

and all the \(\alpha_j\) functions depends on \(m_0^2\).

Now we can write

\[
[\Delta(0)]^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} = \left(\sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \alpha_j \epsilon^j\right)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} = \sum_{j=-\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)-1}^{+\infty} \xi_{j-\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)-1}^{(l)} \epsilon^j
\]

(33)

where \(\xi_{j-\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)-1}^{(l)}\) are some coefficients that depends on the coefficients \(\alpha_j\), for example

\[
\xi_{j-\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)-1}^{(l)} = (\alpha_{-1})^{\frac{1}{2} - 1}
\]

(34)

\[
\xi_{j-1} = \alpha_{j-1} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, ...
\]

\[
\xi_{j-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{j-k-1}
\]

When we apply the dimensional regularization to make finite \(\Delta(0)\), we must introduce a mass factor \(\mu\) to keep the coupling constant as a dimensionless constant, this means that we have to replace \(\lambda_0\) with \(\lambda_0\mu^{-\epsilon}\). Then eq. (29) reads

\[
\text{Tr}(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}}) = -\lambda_0 \mu^{-\epsilon} [\Delta(0)]^{\frac{1}{2} - 1}
\]

(35)

*Because we are using \(h = c = 1\) (god-given units), the dimension of \(\lambda_0\) in a space-time of dimension \(d\), is \([\text{mass}]^{d-4}\). But \(\lambda_0\) is the "small" parameter to expand the correlation function, then it has to be dimensionless, so we must introduce some factor to cancel the mass-dependence behavior of \(\lambda_0\). This is achieved by changing \(\lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda_0\mu^{-\epsilon}\) where \([\mu]\) = \([\text{mass}]\) and \(\epsilon = d - 4\).
We can expand $\mu^{-\epsilon}$ as a Taylor series around $\epsilon = 0$, then the first order correction to the two-point correlation function reads

$$Tr(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}}) = -\frac{\lambda_{0}^{(l)}}{\epsilon^{2-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} (\ln \mu)^{k}}{k!} \epsilon^{k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\epsilon^{j} (\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1)^{j}}{j!} \right)$$

(36)

Using that $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} b_{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} b_{n-k}$, then eq. (36) reads

$$Tr(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}}) = -\frac{\lambda_{0}^{(l)}}{\epsilon^{2-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} (-1)^{k} (\ln \mu)^{k} \frac{\epsilon^{l}(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1)^{l}}{k!}$$

(37)

The last sum is a Laurent expansion, where the principal part are the first $\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2$ terms. In the minimal subtraction scheme (see [17], page 56), this principal part is canceled with counterterms which do not contain finite and arbitrary terms, then the renormalized result is the coefficient of the last equation that multiplies to $\epsilon^{0}$. In the observable-state model, the projector operator $\Pi_{1}$ (see Section II.B of [2]) acting on $\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}}$, gives a new quantum state $\Pi_{1}(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}})$. The trace of this projected quantum state is the coefficient in eq. (37) that multiply to $\epsilon^{0}$ which is equivalent to the renormalized result of the minimal subtraction scheme. Then

$$Tr(\Pi_{1}(\rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{int}})) = \beta_{0}^{(l,2,1)} = \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1} \rho_{N}^{(l,2,1,i)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1} \frac{(-1)^{k}(\ln \mu)^{k}}{k!} \xi_{-k}$$

(38)

where the second and third term of last equation comes from the observable-state model (see eq. (24) or eq. (26) of [2]). The product of $\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1$ non-diagonal quantum states is the consequence of how the internal quantum states $\rho_{\text{int}}$ are defined.

### 3.1 Mass renormalization group equation

In [2] we have shown how the renormalization group arises in the context of the observable-state model for a $\phi^{4}$ interaction. The mass renormalization, when we take in account all the orders in the perturbation expansion reads

$$m^{2} = m_{0}^{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{p} \left( \lambda_{0}^{(l)} \right)^{p} h^{p} \beta_{0}^{(l,2,p)}(m_{0}^{2}, \mu) = m_{0}^{2} - \lambda_{0}^{(l)} h \beta_{0}^{(l,2,1)}(m_{0}^{2}, \mu) + ...$$

(39)

In the other side, since $m_{0}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{0}$ do not depend on $\mu$ in the absence of loop correction, we have

$$\frac{dm_{0}^{2}}{d\mu} = O(h) \quad \frac{d\lambda_{0}^{(l)}}{d\mu} = O(h)$$

(40)

The renormalization group can be obtained by imposing the fact that the mass $m^{2}$ do not depend on $\mu$, this is, $\frac{dm^{2}}{d\mu} = 0$. Using the chain rule in eq. (39), we have for $m^{2}$:

$$\frac{dm^{2}}{d\mu} = \frac{\partial m^{2}}{\partial m_{0}^{2}} \frac{dm_{0}^{2}}{d\mu} + \frac{\partial m^{2}}{\partial \lambda_{0}^{(l)}} \frac{d\lambda_{0}^{(l)}}{d\mu} + \frac{\partial m^{2}}{\partial \mu} = 0$$

(41)

using eqs. (39) and (40) at order $h$, eq. (41) reads

$$\frac{dm_{0}^{2}}{d\mu} + \lambda_{0}^{(l)} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}^{(l,2,1)}}{\partial \mu} = 0$$

(42)

From eq. (38) we have that

$$\beta_{0}^{(l,2,1)} = -\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1} (-1)^{k}(\ln \mu)^{k}}{k!} \xi_{-k}$$

(43)

---

9In Section 2.1.1 we show how this projector acts on the quantum state for the $l = 6$ case.

10In the following equations we will restore the Planck constant $h$ for later convenience.
then

\[
\frac{\partial \rho^{(l,2,1)}_{\text{ext}}}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(\ln \mu)^k}{k!} \xi^{(l-1)}_{k+1}
\]

replacing eq. (44) in eq. (42) we obtain a differential equation for \(m_0^2\) at order \(\hbar\):

\[
\frac{dm_0^2}{d\mu} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(\ln \mu)^k}{k!} \xi^{(l-1)}_{k+1}
\]

we have shown that for \(l = 4\) (see [2]), the differential equation reads

\[
\frac{dm_0^2}{d\mu} = -\frac{\lambda_0}{\mu} \xi^{(4)}_{-1} = -\frac{\lambda_0}{\mu} \frac{m_0^2}{8\pi^2}
\]

which can be solved to obtain

\[
m_0^2 = m_S^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\mu} \right)
\]

where \(m_S^2\) is the value of the mass when \(\mu = \mu_S\) (see eq.(76) of [2], which agrees with eq.(4.6.20) and eq.(4.6.22), page 142 of [18] at order \(\hbar\)).

Thus, eq. (45) is the mass renormalization group equation for a \(\phi^4\) interaction. It is a non-linear differential equation for \(l > 4\), because of the \(m_0^2\) dependence in the \(\xi^{(l-1)}\) coefficients. For this reason, approximate methods must be used to solve it. Is not the purpose of this work to obtain the exact relation between the mass \(m_0\) and the energy scale \(\mu\), but only to obtain the behavior of this relation for the \(l = 6\) case.

### 3.1.1 First order in \(\phi^6\) interaction for the \(n = 2\) correlation function

In the case of \(l = 6\), the quantum state for the first order in the perturbation expansion reads

\[
\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{\text{ext}} = \int \left( \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip(x_1-x_2)}}{(p^2 - m_0^2)^2} \right) |x_1\rangle \langle x_2| d^4x_1 d^4x_2
\]

and

\[
\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{\text{int}} = \int \left[ \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D(y_1) \delta(y_1 - w_1) + \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1) \right] |y_1, y_2\rangle \langle y_1, y_2| d^4y_1 d^4y_2 d^4w_1 d^4w_2
\]

where \(\rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D(y_1)\) and \(\rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1)\) for \(i = 1, 2\) are analytical functions of its arguments.\(^{12}\)

The observable reads

\[
O^{(6,2,1)} = \int J(x_1) J(x_2) |x_1, y_1, y_2\rangle \langle x_2, y_1, y_2| d^4x_1 d^4x_2 d^4y_1 d^4y_2
\]

where \(J(x_i)\) are the external sources that are introduced in the generating functional.\(^{12}\)

Because the observable contains an identity in the \(y_1\) and \(y_2\) coordinates, we must take the trace of \(\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{\text{int}}\), then

\[
Tr(\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{\text{int}}) = \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_D \epsilon^{-2} + \left( \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND} + \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND} \right) \epsilon^{-1} + \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND} \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND}
\]

where \(\rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D, \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND}, \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_D, \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND}\) and \(\rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND}\) are normalization factors and reads

\[
\rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D = \int \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_D(y_1) d^4y_1 \quad \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_D = \int \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_D(y_1) d^4y_1
\]

\[
\rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND} = \int \rho^{(6,2,1,1)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1) d^4y_1 \quad \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND} = \int \rho^{(6,2,1,2)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1) d^4y_1
\]

\(^{11}\)The observable-state model introduce a couple of diagonal quantum state \(\rho_D\) and non-diagonal quantum state \(\rho_{ND}\) for each loop in the Feynman diagram, as it can be seen in [2], eq. (18).

\(^{12}\)These external sources can be considered as plane waves, where the Fourier components are the positive and negative amount of energy of the ingoing and outgoing particles. These values are the eigenvalues of one of the Casimir operator \(M^2 = p^\mu p_\mu\) of the Poincare group, that is valid in the asymptotic times \(t \to \pm \infty\) (see [2], page 75).
Using eq. (53) with \( l = 6 \) we have

\[
P_D^{(6,2,1,1)} P_D^{(6,2,1,1)} = \beta_2^{(6,2,1)} = \xi_{-2}^{(6)}
\]

\[
P_D^{(6,2,1,1)} P_N^{(6,2,1,1)} = \beta_{-1}^{(6,2,1)} = \xi_{-1}^{(6)} - (\ln \mu) \xi_{-2}^{(6)}
\]

\[
P_N^{(6,2,1,1)} P_D^{(6,2,1,1)} = \beta_0^{(6,2,1)} = \xi_0^{(6)} - (\ln \mu) \xi_{-1}^{(6)} + \frac{(\ln \mu)^2}{2!} \xi_{-2}^{(6)}
\]

where, using eq. (53), the coefficients \( \xi_{-2}^{(6)} \), \( \xi_{-1}^{(6)} \) and \( \xi_0^{(6)} \) reads

\[
\xi_{-2}^{(6)} = (\alpha-1)^2 = \frac{m_4^4}{64\pi^4}
\]

\[
\xi_{-1}^{(6)} = 2\alpha_1 \alpha_0 = \frac{m_4^4}{64\pi^2} \left( \ln \left( \frac{m_0^2}{4\pi} \right) + \gamma - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\xi_0^{(6)} = 2\alpha_1 \alpha_1 + \alpha_0^2 = -\frac{m_4^4}{256\pi^4} \left( \ln \left( \frac{m_0^2}{4\pi} \right) \left( 2\gamma - 1 \right) + \ln \left( \frac{m_0^2}{4\pi} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + 1 \right)
\]

The projector that gives the finite contribution to the first order in the perturbation expansion reads

\[
\Pi_1(\rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)}) = \rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)} - \int \rho_D^{(6,2,1,1)}(y_1)\rho_D^{(6,2,1,2)}(y_2) |y_1, y_2\rangle \langle y_1, y_2| d^4y_1 d^4y_2 -
\]

\[
- \int \rho_D^{(6,2,1,1)}(y_1)\rho_D^{(6,2,1,2)}(y_2, w_2) |y_1, y_2\rangle \langle y_1, w_2| d^4y_1 d^4y_2 d^4w_2 -
\]

\[
- \int \rho_D^{(6,2,1,1)}(y_1, w_1)\rho_D^{(6,2,1,2)}(y_2) |y_1, y_2\rangle \langle w_1, y_2| d^4y_1 d^4y_2 d^4w_1
\]

This means that we subtract from \( \rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)} \) the terms that has, at least, one diagonal quantum state. Then

\[
\Pi_1(\rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)}) = \int \rho_N^{(6,2,1,1)}(y_1, w_1)\rho_N^{(6,2,1,2)}(y_2, w_2) |y_1, w_2\rangle \langle w_1, y_2| d^4y_1 d^4y_2 d^4w_1 d^4w_2
\]

which is the finite contribution (see eq. (53)). Then, if we take the mean value of \( O^{(6,2,1)} \) in the quantum state \( \rho_{ext}^{(6,2,1)} \Pi_1(\rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)}) \) we have

\[
Tr(\rho_{ext}^{(6,2,1)} \Pi_1(\rho_{int}^{(6,2,1)})) = \beta_0^{(6,2,1)} \int \left( \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip(x_1-x_2)} \right) J(x_1) J(x_2) d^4x_1 d^4x_2
\]

From eq. (53) we can see that we have an indetermination for the diagonal and non-diagonal quantum states. In fact, this indetermination grows up as \( L - 1 \), where \( L \) is the number of loops at order \( p \). This can be obtained by noting that we have \( 2L \) unknown values, \( L \) coming from the diagonal quantum states and the other \( L \) coming from the non-diagonal quantum states, but, we only have \( L + 1 \) equations (see eq. (23) of [2]). From a different viewpoint, the indetermination can be obtained by noting that the finite contribution, which is a unique equation (see eq. (35)), is the product of \( L \) non-diagonal quantum states. This point deserves to be studied in more detail, since it is possible to apply a unitary transformation to the diagonal and non-diagonal quantum states resulting in a new diagonal and non-diagonal quantum states. But these new quantum states must obey eq. (53) and this will introduce constraints on the unitary transformation.

### 3.1.2 The mass renormalization group for \( \phi^6 \)

In the case of \( l = 6 \), from eq. (43) we obtain

\[
\frac{d m_6^2}{d \mu} = \lambda_0 \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \xi_{-1}^{(6)} - (\ln \mu) \xi_{-2}^{(6)} \right)
\]

using eq. (54) we obtain

\[
\frac{d m_6^2}{d \mu} = \lambda_0 \frac{m_4^4}{64\pi^4} \left( \ln \left( \frac{4\pi\mu}{m_0^2} \right) + \gamma - 1 \right)
\]

\[\text{\footnote{It is source of future works to determine if two successive unitary transformation obey the constraint imposed in eq. (53), then the unitary transformation would be the representation of some symmetry group.}}\]
This differential equation is not linear and the dependent and independent variables cannot be separated.

We can write eq. (59) in more compact form by calling \( f = m_0^2, \ \gamma_0 = \frac{\mu}{4\pi c_0} \) and \( \gamma - 1 = \ln(c_0) \), then

\[
\frac{df}{d\mu} = -\gamma_0 f^2 \ln \left( \frac{f}{\mu a} \right)
\]

(60)

where \( a = 4\pi c_0 \). Finally, we can make the following change of variables

\[
z = \ln(f) \quad r = \ln(a\mu)
\]

(61)

and eq. (60) reads

\[
dz = \gamma_0 e^z (r - z)
\]

(62)

Last equation is the most compact form in which we can write the relation between the mass \( m_0^2 \) and from eq.(133) we can see the term \( \frac{f}{\mu a} \) of the sign of the derivative \( dr \) depends on the difference \( r - z \). If \( z(r) > r \) the function \( z \) will decrease with \( r \) and if \( z(r) < r \) the function \( z \) will increase with \( r \).

4 First correction to the coupling constant in \( \phi^4 \) theories

To obtain the first correction to the coupling constant for a \( \phi^4 \) theory, we must solve the second order in the perturbation expansion of the correlation function of \( l \)-external points:

\[
G^{(l,l)}(x_1, ..., x_l) = (-i\lambda_0 \mu^{-\gamma})^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \left\langle \Omega_0 \left| \phi(x_1) ... \phi(x_l) \phi(\epsilon_1) \phi(\epsilon_2) \right| \Omega_0 \right\rangle
\]

(63)

where the first and second superscript in \( G \) refers to the power of the interaction and the number of external points respectively and the 2 superscript refers to the second order in the perturbation expansion. In appendix A we show how to solve last equation which reads

\[
G^{(l,l)}(x_1, ..., x_l) = -\lambda_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{l}{2} - 1} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{\frac{l}{2} - 1 - k} + f^{(l)}_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_i \cdot x_i}}{p_i^2 - m_0^2} \delta \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{l}{2}} (p_j - p_{\frac{l}{2} + j}) \right)
\]

(64)

where \( S^{(l)}_{\frac{l}{2} - 1 - k} \) and \( f^{(l)}_{0} \) are defined in eq. (130) and eq. (127) of Appendix A.

Then, using eq.(14) of [2], we can write

\[
\rho^{(l,l)}_{ext} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_i \cdot x_i}}{p_i^2 - m_0^2} \delta \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{l}{2}} (p_j - p_{\frac{l}{2} + j}) \right)
\]

(65)

and from eq. (133)

\[
Tr(\rho^{(l,l)}_{int}) = \beta^{(l,l)} \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{l}{2} - 1} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{n - k} + \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{l}{2} - 1} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} f^{(l)}_{n - k}
\]

(66)

The finite value of \( Tr(\rho^{(l,l)}_{int}) \) is obtained by applying the projector \( \Pi_2(\rho^{(l,l)}_{int}) \) introduced in eq.(24) or eq.(26) of [2], which gives

\[
Tr(\Pi_2(\rho^{(l,l)}_{int})) = \beta^{(l,l)}_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{l}{2} - 1} \rho^{(\tau,\lambda)}_{N,D} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{l}{2} - 1 - k} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{\frac{l}{2} - 1 - k} + f^{(l)}_{0}
\]

(67)

and this correspond to the value \( n = \frac{l}{2} - 1 \) in eq. (65). In the next section we will show how the projection procedure applies to a \( \phi^6 \) interaction.

\( ^{14} \)The solution \( z(r) \) must be computed by numerical methods such as those introduced in [19]. This will be source of a future work.
4.1 Coupling constant renormalization group equation

Following the same steps for the mass renormalization group equation, the coupling constant renormalization, when we take in account all the orders in the perturbation expansion, reads

\[
\lambda^{(l)} = \lambda^{(l)}_0 + \sum_{p=2}^{+\infty} \hbar^{p-1} (-\lambda^{(l)}_0)^p \beta^{(l.p)}_0 (m^2, \mu) = \lambda^{(l)}_0 + h(\lambda^{(l)}_0)^2 \beta^{(l,2)}_0 (m^2, \mu) + ...
\]  

(68)

In the other side, since \(\lambda^{(l)}_0\) and \(m^2\) do not depend on \(\mu\) in the absence of loop correction, we have

\[
\frac{d\lambda^{(l)}_0}{d\mu} = O(h) \quad \frac{dm^2}{d\mu} = O(h)
\]

(69)

The renormalization group can be obtained by imposing the fact that the coupling constant \(\lambda^{(l)}\) do not depend on \(\mu\), this is, \(\frac{d\lambda^{(l)}_0}{d\mu} = 0\). Using the chain rule in eq. (68), we have for \(\lambda^{(l)}\):

\[
\frac{d\lambda^{(l)}}{d\mu} = \frac{\partial \lambda^{(l)}}{\partial m^2_0} \frac{dm^2}{d\mu} + \frac{\partial \lambda^{(l)}}{\partial \lambda_0} \frac{d\lambda_0}{d\mu} + \frac{\partial \lambda^{(l)}}{\partial \mu} = 0
\]

(70)

using eqs. (68) and (69), eq. (70) reads at order \(\hbar\):

\[
\frac{d\lambda^{(l)}_0}{d\mu} + (\lambda^{(l)}_0)^2 \frac{\partial \beta^{(l,1)}_0}{\partial \mu} = 0
\]

(71)

From eq. (67) we have that

\[
\beta^{(l,2)}_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{l-1-k} + f^{(l)}_0
\]

(72)

then

\[
\frac{\partial \beta^{(l,2)}_0}{\partial \mu} = 1 \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{l-2-k}
\]

(73)

replacing eq. (73) in eq. (71) we obtain a differential equation for \(\lambda^{(l)}_0\) at order \(\hbar\):

\[
\int \frac{\lambda^{(l)}_0}{\lambda^{(l)}_0} \frac{d\lambda^{(l)}_0}{d\mu} = - (\lambda^{(l)}_0)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S^{(l)}_{l-2-k}
\]

(74)

which can be solved by separating \(\lambda^{(l)}_0\) and \(\mu\) in each sides of last equation:

\[
\int \frac{\lambda^{(l)}_0}{\lambda^{(l)}_0} \frac{d\lambda^{(l)}_0}{d\mu} = - \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{S^{(l)}_{l-2-k}}{k!} \int \frac{d\mu}{\mu} (-2 \ln \mu)^k
\]

(75)

and using that

\[
\int \frac{dx}{x} (-2 \ln x)^n = - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} (-2 \ln x)^{n+1}
\]

(76)

eq (75) reads

\[
\lambda^{(l)}_0 = \frac{\lambda^{(l)}_S}{1 + \lambda^{(l)}_S \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \Lambda^{(l)}_k \left( \ln^{k+1} \mu - \ln^{k+1} \mu_S \right)}
\]

(77)

where \(\lambda^{(l)}_0 (\lambda^{(l)}_S) = \mu_S\) and

\[
\Lambda^{(l)}_k = \frac{S^{(l)}_{l-2-k}}{(k+1)!} (-2)^k
\]

(78)
For example, for $l = 4$ we obtain
\[ \lambda_0^{(4)} = \frac{\lambda_S^{(4)}}{1 + \lambda_S^{(4)} \Lambda_0^{(4)} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_S} \right)} \]  
(79)
where (see eq. (139)) of Appendix A
\[ \Lambda_0^{(4)} = S_0^{(4)} = -\frac{3}{16\pi^2} \]  
(80)
then eq. (79) is identical to eq. (4.6.16) of [18].

**Domain of validity of the perturbation expansion** From eq. (79) we can see that $\lambda_0^{(4)}$ increases with $\mu$, but $\lambda_0^{(4)}$ is the parameter that we use to expand the perturbation of the correlation functions, so we must demand that this parameter do not leaves the domain of validity of the perturbation theory, that is, $|\lambda_0^{(4)}| < 1$. But using eq. (79), this implies that
\[ \mu < e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{3\lambda_S^{(4)}(1-\lambda_S^{(4)})}} \]  
(81)
where we put $\mu_S = 1$ without loss of generality. Restoring the Planck constant $\hbar$ and the velocity of light $c$, the last inequality can be written in terms of a characteristic distance $d = \frac{\hbar}{\mu c}$. Then, the last inequality reads
\[ d > \frac{\hbar}{c} e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{3\lambda_S^{(4)}(1-\lambda_S^{(4)})}} \]  
(82)

This means that interactions that occur at a distance less than $\frac{\hbar}{c} e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{3\lambda_S^{(4)}(1-\lambda_S^{(4)})}}$ are out of scope of the perturbation expansion (see [18], page 139). This point deserves a more detailed study from the conceptual and mathematical viewpoint because it is an argument for the projection method, in fact, the projector remove the diagonal part of the quantum state, that is, the interaction at the point, but eq. (82) implies that we have to remove the interactions occurring below $d$. In this sense, the projection procedure is an approximation of an exact projection that neglects the $\lambda_0^{(4)}$ sector that is out of the domain of validity.\(^{15}\) Also, this suggest to write the correlation function as a Laurent series which converges for $d < |z| < R$. Following the same argument on eq. (77), we can see that the coupling constant $\lambda_0^{(l)}$ depends with $\mu$, then the perturbation theory will give reasonable results only when $|\lambda_0^{(l)}| < 1$, which implies that
\[ \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{(l)}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{l}{2}-2} \Lambda_k^{(l)} x^{k+1} > 1 \]  
(83)
where again we use that $\mu_s = 1$ and $x = \ln \mu$.

Eq. (83) is a polynomial inequality of order $\frac{l}{2} - 1$, then there will be, at least, $\frac{l}{2} - 1$ inequalities for the maximum or minimum distance where the interaction process can occur.

Summing up, the dimensional regularization introduces an arbitrary energy scale or equivalently, an arbitrary distance scale. The perturbation expansion puts a bound on the possible values of the coupling constant which shows an upper or lower limit for the distance scale. Finally, we have to remove the interaction that occurs below the lower limit or above the upper limit, because the coupling constant is out of the domain of validity of the perturbation expansion. The observable-state model uses the fact that if we do not have a theory for the short-distance scale,\(^{16}\) we can remove it by a suitable projector operator, but this projector also renormalize the theory, in the sense that takes away the divergences that arise from the short-distance scale. In this sense, the idea is not different from the Wilson approach of renormalization group,\(^{20}\) where the high momentum modes are integrated out.

### 4.1.1 First order in $\phi^6$ interaction for the $n = 6$ correlation function

In the case of $l = 6$, the quantum state for the first order in the perturbation expansion reads
\[ \rho_{\epsilon_4}^{(6,6,2)} = \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{6} \int \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_i \cdot x}}{p_i^2 - m_0^2} \delta(\sum_{j=1}^{6} p_j) \right) |x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \langle x_4, x_5, x_6 | \prod_{i=1}^{6} d^4x_i \]  
(84)
\(^{15}\)In this sense, the cut-off is not defined by a possible quantum gravity theory, but rather it is defined as the value at which the coupling constant is no longer a valid parameter for the perturbation expansion.

\(^{16}\)This means, the expansion do not converge for some values of the expansion parameter.
where the first superscript 6 refers to the power of interaction, the second superscript 6 refers to the number of external point of the correlation function and the third superscript 2 refers to the second order in the perturbation expansion. The internal quantum state reads

$$\rho^{(6,2)}_{int} = \int \left[ \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D}(y_1)\delta(y_1 - w_1) + \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1) \right]$$

$$\left[ \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D}(y_2)\delta(y_2 - w_2) + \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_2, w_2) \right] |y_1, y_2, w_1, w_2\rangle |d^4y_1d^4y_2d^4w_1d^4w_2$$

and the observable reads

$$O^{(6,2)} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{6} J(x_i) |x_1, x_2, x_3\rangle |x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{6} d^4x_i$$

(86)

Because the observable contains an identity in the $y_1$ and $y_2$ coordinates, we must take the trace of $\rho^{(6,2)}_{int}$, then

$$Tr(\rho^{(6,2)}_{int}) = \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D} \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D} \epsilon^{-2} + \left( \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D} \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND} + \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D} \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND} \right) \epsilon^{-1} + \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND} \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}$$

(87)

where

$$\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D} = \int \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D}(y_1)d^4y_1$$

$$\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D} = \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D}(y_2)d^4y_2$$

$$\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND} = \int \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND}(y_1)d^4y_1$$

$$\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND} = \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_2)d^4y_2$$

Using eq. (38) of Appendix A with $l = 6$ we have

$$\Gamma^{(6)}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = S_0^{(6)} \epsilon^{-2} + \left( S_1^{(6)} - 2 \ln \mu S_0^{(6)} \right) \epsilon^{-1} + S_2^{(6)} - 2 \ln \mu S_1^{(6)} + 2 \ln^2 \mu S_0^{(6)}$$

$$+ f^0_{(6)} + O(\epsilon)$$

(89)

then

$$\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D} \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D} = \beta^{(6,2)}_{-2} = S_0^{(6)}$$

$$\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND} \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND} = \beta^{(6,2)}_{-1} = S_1^{(6)} - 2 \ln \mu S_0^{(6)}$$

$$\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D} \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND} = \beta^{(6,2)}_{0} = S_2^{(6)} - 2 \ln \mu S_1^{(6)} + 2 \ln^2 \mu S_0^{(6)} + f^0_{(6)}$$

(90)

where

$$S_0^{(6)} = \frac{3m_0^2}{128\pi^4}$$

$$S_1^{(6)} = -\frac{3m_0^2}{256\pi^4} \left[ \gamma + 1 - \ln \left( \frac{4\pi}{m_0^2} \right) \right]$$

$$S_2^{(6)} = -\frac{m_0^2}{8\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{768} + \frac{\gamma}{32\pi^2} \left( \frac{\gamma - 2}{2} - \ln(2\sqrt{\pi}) \right)^2 + \frac{3}{32\pi^2} \left( 1 - \gamma + \ln \left( \frac{4\pi}{m_0^2} \right) \right) \left( \ln \left( \frac{4\pi}{m_0^2} \right) - \gamma \right) + \gamma \right]$$

$$+ \frac{3}{768\pi^2} \left[ 6\ln \left( \frac{m_0^2}{4\pi} \right) \left( 2\gamma - 2 + \ln \left( \frac{m_0^2}{4\pi} \right) \right) + \pi^2 + 6\gamma^2 - 12\gamma + 12 \right]$$

The projector that gives the finite contribution to the first order in the perturbation expansion reads

$$\Pi_2(\rho^{(6,2)}_{int}) = \rho^{(6,2)}_{int} - \int \rho^{(6,2,1)}_{D}(y_1)\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D}(y_2) |y_1, y_2\rangle \langle y_1, y_2| d^4y_1d^4y_2 -$$

$$- \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D}(y_2)\rho^{(6,2,1)}_{ND}(y_1, w_2) |y_1, w_2\rangle \langle y_1, w_2| d^4y_1d^4t^4y_2d^4w_2 -$$

$$- \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_2)\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{D}(y_1, w_1) |y_1, w_1\rangle \langle y_1, w_1| d^4y_1d^4y_2d^4w_1$$

$$+ \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_2)\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_1, w_1) |y_1, w_1\rangle \langle y_1, w_1| d^4y_1d^4y_2d^4w_1d^4w_2$$

(92)

Finally, the mean value of observable of eq. (38) in the projected quantum state reads

$$\Pi_2(\rho^{(6,2)}_{int}) = \int \rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_2)\rho^{(6,2,2)}_{ND}(y_1, w_2) |y_1, w_2\rangle \langle y_1, w_2| d^4y_1d^4t^4y_2d^4w_1d^4w_2$$

(93)
\[ \text{Tr}(\rho_{\text{ext}}^{(6,2,2)}\Pi_1(\rho_{\text{int}}^{(6,2,2)})O^{(6,2,2)}) = \beta_0^{(6,2,2)} \int \left( \prod_{j=1}^{6} \int \frac{d^4p_j}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_jx_j}}{p_j^2 - m_0^2} \delta(\sum_j p_j) \right) \int J(x_k) d^4x_k \] (94)

where, using eq.(90), eq.(91) and eq.(127), \( \beta_0^{(6,2,2)} \) reads

\[ \beta_0^{(6,2,2)} = -\frac{m_0^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{768} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( \gamma - 2 - \ln(2\sqrt{\pi}) \right)^2 + \frac{3}{32\pi^2} (1 - \gamma + \ln(\frac{4\pi}{m_0^2}) + \ln(\frac{4\pi}{m_0^2}) - \gamma) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{3}{768\pi^2} [6\ln(\frac{m_0^2}{4\pi}) (2\gamma - 2 + \ln(\frac{m_0^2}{4\pi}) + \pi^2 + 6\gamma^2 - 12\gamma + 12)] + \frac{3m_0^2}{128\pi^2} \left( \gamma + 1 - \ln(\frac{4\pi}{m_0}) \right) \ln\mu + \frac{3m_0^2}{64\pi^4} \ln^2 \mu + f_0^{(6)}(p_1, p_2, p_3) \] (95)

where, using eq.(121), eq.(128) and eq.(129), \( f_0^{(6)} \) reads

\[ f_0^{(6)}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \int \frac{d^4q_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_0^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \sum_{z=s,t,u} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{z^2}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{z^2}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{z^2}} - 1} \right) \right] \] (96)

where \( s, t, u \) are the Mandelstam variables

\[ s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \quad t = (p_1 + p_3)^2 \quad u = (p_1 + p_4)^2 \] (97)

and we have to add in the evaluation of the six-point correlation function.

### 4.1.2 The coupling constant renormalization group for \( \phi^6 \)

In the case of \( l = 6 \), from eq.(77) we obtain

\[ \lambda_0^{(6)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_S^{(6)}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_S^{(6)} + \Lambda_0^{(6)} \ln \mu + \Lambda_1^{(6)} \ln^2 \mu} \] (98)

where we use that \( \mu_S = 1 \) and using eq.(128) and eq.(130)

\[ \Lambda_0^{(6)} = S_1^{(6)} = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \xi_{-1-k} \eta_{-k} = \xi^{(4)}_{-1} \eta_0 + \xi^{(4)}_0 \eta_{-1} = \alpha_{-1} \eta_0 + \alpha_0 \eta_{-1} = -\frac{3m_0^2}{256\pi^2} \left( \ln(\frac{4\pi}{m_0^2}) - \gamma - 1 \right) \] (99)

and

\[ \Lambda_1^{(6)} = -S_0^{(6)} = -\xi^{(4)}_{-1} \eta_{-1} = -\alpha_{-1} \eta_{-1} = -\frac{3m_0^2}{128\pi^2} \] (100)

Then, \( \Lambda_0^{(6)} \) can be either positive or negative and \( \Lambda_1^{(6)} \) is strictly negative. Using eq.(83), the domain of validity of the coupling constant \( \lambda_0^{(6)} \) is given by the following inequality

\[ \frac{1}{\lambda_S^{(6)}} + \Lambda_0^{(6)} x - \Lambda_1^{(6)} |x|^2 > 1 \] (101)

where \( \ln \mu = x \). This last equation can be written as

\[ \left| x - \frac{\Lambda_0^{(6)}}{2 \Lambda_1^{(6)}} \right| < \sqrt{\left( \frac{\Lambda_0^{(6)}}{2 \Lambda_1^{(6)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_1^{(6)} \lambda_S^{(6)}} (1 - \lambda_1^{(6)})} \] (102)

The two solutions are

\[ \mu_1 < e^{\theta^{+ \nu}} \] (103)

and

\[ \mu_2 > e^{-\theta^{+ \nu}} \] (104)
functions and not over the Lagrangian. Then the renormalization, from the observable-state model viewpoint, is a procedure on the level of correlation introduced as diagonal generalized functions and not as counterterms, which are then discarded by the projector. Because the condition \( d - 2q < 0 \) the perturbation expansion converges in the region \( \mu, \lambda \in (0, e^{q^+}) \) and if \( e^2q > 1 \), the perturbation expansion converges in the region \( \mu \in (e^{-q}, e^{q^+}) \). In terms of a distance scale, conditions (104) and (105) reads

\[
d_1 > e^{-q-v} \tag{107}
\]

and

\[
d_2 < e^{-q-v} \tag{108}
\]

Then, if \( e^2q < 1 \), perturbation expansion converges in the region \( d \in (0, e^{q^+}) \cup (e^{-q^+}, +\infty) \) and if \( e^2q > 1 \), the perturbation expansion converges in the region \( d \in (e^{-q^+}, e^{q^+}) \). This first convergence region is not allowed because the condition \( e^2q < 1 \) implies that \( g < 0 \), and there is no real number whose square root is negative. This make sense because otherwise the perturbation expansion would converge for distances between 0 and \( e^{-q^+} \), but this would contradict the projector method, that remove the short-distance interactions. The second convergence region implies that we have to disregard the short-distance physics below \( e^{-q^+} \) and above \( e^{q^+} \) as occurs in Laurent series.\(^17\)

## 5 Renormalization conditions

In the observable-state model, the renormalization procedure is exchanged for a projection operation acting on a Hilbert space. This implies that is not necessary to introduce counterterms in the Lagrangian, but only to remove the diagonal elements of multivalued distributions. From this viewpoint, the observable-state model is only a formal development of the ideas introduced in Section 3.7, page 59 of [17], "...the divergences comes from a region in coordinate space where several interactions occur very close to each other. The divergence can then be cancelled by a counterterm which is a \( \delta \)-function in the position of these interactions". In our formalism, this \( \delta \)-functions are introduced as diagonal generalized functions and not as counterterms, which are then discarded by the projector. Then the renormalization, from the observable-state model viewpoint, is a procedure on the level of correlation functions and not over the Lagrangian.

But then, is necessary to understand what are the \( m_0 \) and \( m \) masses. In this sense, we take the definition of the mass of a particle as the the value of the momentum \( p \) at which the propagator in momentum space has a pole. If the propagator reads

\[
G_0(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m_0^2} \tag{109}
\]

then \( p = m_0 \) is the mass of the particle. In a similar way, the propagator of the interacting theory reads

\[
G(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - (m_0^2 + M^2)} \tag{110}
\]

where \( M^2 \) is the contribution of the one-particle irreducible Feynman diagrams and without renormalization it reads

\[
M^2(m_0, \mu, \lambda_0, p) = \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} (-i\lambda_0)^p \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \beta^{(2p)}_j (m_0, \mu, p) e^j \tag{111}
\]

\(^17\) From eq. (101), the coordinate of the vertex of the parabola is located at \( \left(v, \left| \Lambda_1^{(6)} \right| v^2 + \frac{\Lambda_0^{(6)}}{\lambda_0} \right) \) and the parabola open downward. Then, if \( \left| \Lambda_1^{(6)} \right| v^2 + \frac{\Lambda_0^{(6)}}{\lambda_0} > 1 \) we will have convergence for some \( \mu \) points, but if \( \left| \Lambda_1^{(6)} \right| v^2 + \frac{\Lambda_0^{(6)}}{\lambda_0} < 1 \) the parabola will not cross the \( y = 1 \) horizontal axis and we will not have convergence points for the perturbation expansion.
where $\beta_j^{(2,p)}$ are functions of the mass $m_0$ introduced in the Lagrangian, $\mu$ is the energy scale introduced to maintain the coupling constant $\lambda_0$ dimensionless and $p$ is the external momentum (see eq.(B19) of [1]). The projection leaves only the $j = 0$ term in the second sum on eq. (111), then

$$M^2_{\text{finite}}(m_0, \mu, \lambda_0, p) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-i\lambda_0)^p \beta_0^{(2,p)}(m_0, \mu, p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (112)

Then, the mass of the self-interacting field is located where the denominator of eq. (110) is zero, this is at the value $p^2 = m^2$, precisely

$$m^2 - m_0^2 = M^2_{\text{finite}}(m_0^2, \mu, m^2, \lambda_0) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (113)

But in our renormalization scheme, we do not have counterterms, and in particular, we do not have introduce a finite and arbitrary constant that has no pole in $\epsilon = d - 4$. Then, we cannot put $m^2 = m_0^2$ in eq. (113), because this implies that $M^2_{\text{finite}}(m_0^2, \mu, \lambda_0) = 0$, but the correction at first order do not depends on $p$, so, there are no other term in $M^2_{\text{finite}}$ that cancel this correction. This means that $M^2_{\text{finite}} \neq 0$, so the renormalization condition introduced in page 328, eq.(10.28) of [21] cannot be applied in the observable-state model. But eq. (113) has two arbitrary parameters, $m^2$, which is the physical mass and $\mu$, which is an arbitrary mass factor. Then, the mass renormalization group equation of eq. (41) can be obtained from the condition of eq. (113) if we allow the mass $m_0^2$ introduced in the Lagrangian to be a function of $\mu$ and that the physical mass do not depend on it.

6 Conclusions

The aim of this work was to extend the observable-state model to $\phi^l$ self-interaction. We have found the first correction for the two-point correlation function and the second correction to the $l$--correlation function. Besides this, we have computed the mass and coupling renormalization group equations at one loop correction. In the latter case we have solved the differential equation finding the distance scale at which the coupling constant leaves the domain of validity for the perturbation expansion. This is an important result because it validates the projection procedure.
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A $l$-correlation function for a $\phi^l$ interaction

Let us remember eq. (63):

$$G^{(l,l)}(x_1, ... , x_l) = (-i\lambda_0)^2 \mu^{-2\epsilon} \int d^4 y_1 d^4 y_2 \langle \Omega_0 | \phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_l) \phi^4(y_1) \phi^4(y_2) | \Omega_0 \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (114)

the only connected diagram which contributes to $G^{(l,l)}$ reads

$$G^{(l,l)}(x_1, ... , x_l) = (-i\lambda_0)^2 \mu^{-2\epsilon} \int d^4 y_1 d^4 y_2 [\Delta(y_1 - y_2)]^{\frac{l}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{l} \Delta(x_k - y_1) \Delta(x_k^{\perp} - y_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (115)

using that

$$\Delta(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip(x-y)}}{p^2 - m_0^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (116)

\footnote{In fact, the following terms are multiplied with $(\lambda_0)^j$, with $j > 1$, where $j$ is the number of internal vertices, then, there is no term independent of $p$ that multiplies $\lambda_0$, that cancel the first correction of the two-point correlation function.}

\footnote{This condition would implies that the propagator of the free field and the interacting field are the same, which reminds us the Haag theorem (see [22], chapter 8).}
and integrating in $d^4y_1$ and $d^4y_2$, eq.\textsuperscript{115} reads

$$G^{(1,2)}(x_1, ..., x_t) = (-i\lambda_0)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{-ip_i \cdot x_i}}{p_i^2 - m_0^2} \delta \left( \sum_{j=1}^{t} \left( p_j - p_{+} \right) \right) \Gamma^{(t)}(p_1, ..., p_+). \tag{117}$$

where

$$\Gamma^{(t)}(p_1, ..., p_+) = \mu^{-2t} \prod_{s=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_s^2 - m_0^2} \delta \left( \sum_{k=1}^{t} (p_k - q_k) \right) \tag{118}$$

and where $p_i$ are the external momentum and $q_i$ are the loop momentum. The two Dirac deltas are the conservation of momentum in each vertex.

Using the Dirac delta with $q_+$ coordinate in eq.\textsuperscript{118} we obtain

$$\Gamma^{(t)}(p_1, ..., p_+) = \mu^{-2t} \prod_{s=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_s^2 - m_0^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i \right) \frac{1}{(r - q_{+1})^2 - m_0^2} \tag{119}$$

in last equation we can separate the integral in $d^4q_{+1}$, then

$$\Gamma^{(t)}(p_1, ..., p_+) = \mu^{-2t} \prod_{s=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_s^2 - m_0^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i \right) \frac{1}{(r - q_{+1})^2 - m_0^2} \tag{120}$$

where

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i \tag{121}$$

that is, we separate the coordinate $q_{+1}$ on the sum in the denominator of eq.\textsuperscript{119}. The integral in $d^4q_{+1}$ can be computed where the result can be seen in \textsuperscript{[8}, page 122, eq.(4.4.16)\textsuperscript{[20}} For simplicity we will assume that the result can be written as

$$\int \frac{d^4q_{+1}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(q_{+1}^2 - m_0^2)} \frac{1}{(r - q_{+1}^2) - m_0^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \eta_i \epsilon^i + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} R_i(p_1, ..., p_+, q_1, ..., q_+) \epsilon^i \tag{122}$$

where $\eta_i$ are constants and $R_i$ are functions of the argument. For example

$$\eta_{-1} = -\frac{3}{10\pi^2}, \quad \eta_0 = -\frac{3}{10\pi^2} \left( \ln \frac{4m_0}{m_0} - \gamma \right), \quad \eta_1 = \frac{1}{168} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} - \ln(2\sqrt{\pi}) \right]^2 \tag{123}$$

$$R_0(r) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} \ln \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} + 1 \right\} \tag{124}$$

Introducing the result of eq.\textsuperscript{122} in eq.\textsuperscript{120} we have

$$\Gamma^{(t)}(p_1, ..., p_+) = \mu^{-2t} \prod_{s=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_s^2 - m_0^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i \right) \frac{1}{(r - q_{+1}^2) - m_0^2} \tag{125}$$

But

$$\prod_{s=1}^{t} \int \frac{d^4q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_s^2 - m_0^2} = \int \frac{d^4q_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_1^2 - m_0^2} \times \cdots \times \int \frac{d^4q_{+1}^2}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q_{+1}^2 - m_0^2} = |\Delta(0)|^{-2} \tag{125}$$

\textsuperscript{[20]There will be $t - 1$ contributions corresponding to the different channels.}
Then, if the second term in the r.h.s. of eq.124 do not contribute with more poles in \( \epsilon \) we can write

\[
\Gamma^{(l)}(p_1, ..., p_\perp) = \mu^{-2\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\Delta(0)}{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \eta_i \epsilon^i + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i f_i^{(l)} \right]
\]  

(126)

where

\[
f_i^{(l)} = \prod_{s=1}^{l/2} \int \frac{d^4 q_s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{R_s}{(q_s^2 - m_0^2)}
\]

(127)

The following step is to use eq.33 to compute the first term of the r.h.s. of eq.126. Using eq.33 we have

\[
[\Delta(0)]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{(l-2)/2} \epsilon^j
\]

(128)

Then

\[
[\Delta(0)]^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \eta_i \epsilon^i = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{n-1} S_n^{(l)}
\]

(129)

where we use \( \sum_n a_n \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_k b_{n-k} \) and

\[
S_n^{(l)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \epsilon^{(l-2)/2} \eta_{n-k-1}
\]

(130)

Replacing eq.129 in eq.126 we have

\[
\Gamma^{(l)}(p_1, ..., p_\perp) = \mu^{-2\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{n-1} S_n^{(l)} + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i f_i^{(l)} \right]
\]

(131)

Finally we have to multiply the factor \( \mu^{-2\epsilon} \), to do it, we expand it in Taylor series around \( \epsilon = 0 \):

\[
\mu^{-2\epsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^i}{i!} \epsilon^i
\]

(132)

then

\[
\Gamma^{(l)}(p_1, ..., p_\perp) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S_{n-k}^{(l)} + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} f_{n-k}^{(l)}
\]

(133)

The observable-state model projects over the finite contribution, which corresponds to the term in eq.133 with \( \epsilon^0 \), then the first term of the r.h.s. of last equation implies that \( n - 1 = \frac{l}{2} - 2 \) and the second term implies that \( n = 0 \), then

\[
\Gamma^{(l)}_{\text{finite}}(p_1, ..., p_\perp) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S_{l-1-k}^{(l)} + f_0^{(l)}
\]

(134)

For example, with \( l = 4 \) we obtain

\[
\Gamma^{(4)}_{\text{finite}}(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{(-2 \ln \mu)^k}{k!} S_{l-1-k}^{(4)} + f_0^{(4)} = S_4^{(4)} - 2 \ln \mu S_0^{(4)} + f_0^{(4)}
\]

(135)

\[\text{In eq.127, when } l = 4 \text{, the product start at } s = 1 \text{ and ends at } s = 0, \text{ in this case, it only remains the integrand, which reads } R_s.\]
Using eq. (127) and eq. (130), each term in the last equation reads

\[ S_0^{(4)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k^{(2)} \eta_{k-1} = \xi_0^{(2)} \eta_0 = \eta_1 = -\frac{3}{16\pi^2} \] (136)

\[ S_1^{(4)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k^{(2)} \eta_k = \xi_0^{(2)} \eta_0 + \xi_1^{(2)} \eta_1 = \eta_0 = -\frac{3}{16\pi^2} \left( \ln \left( \frac{4\pi}{m_0^2} \right) - \gamma \right) \]

\[ f_0^{(4)} = R_0 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} - 1} \right\} \]

where we have used that \( \xi_0^{(2)} = 1 \) and \( \xi_1^{(2)} = 0 \) and eq. (123).

Then

\[ \Gamma_{\text{finite}}^{(4)}(p_1, p_2) = -\frac{3}{16\pi^2} \left( -\gamma + \ln \left( \frac{4\pi m_0^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_0^2}{r^2}} - 1} \right\} \right) \] (137)

which coincide with eq. (4.4.16) of [18].
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