

ON THE SPECTRAL DATA OF PERTURBED STARK OPERATORS IN THE HALF-LINE WITH MIXED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Julio H. Toloza^b

^b *Instituto de Matemática (INMABB), Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS) - CONICET, Bahía Blanca, Argentina, julio.toloza@uns.edu.ar*

Abstract: We discuss some asymptotic formulas for the spectral data of perturbed Stark operators associated with the differential expression

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x + q(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty), \quad q \in L^1(0, \infty),$$

and boundary condition $\varphi'(0) - b\varphi(0) = 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Keywords: *Stark operators, spectral theory, asymptotic analysis, Airy functions*

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 34E10 - 34L15 - 81Q05 - 81Q10

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the spectral analysis of self-adjoint operators associated with a differential expression of the form

$$\tau_q = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x + q(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

where q is a real-valued function that lies in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

By standard theory (see e.g. [7, Ch. 6]), τ_q is in the limit-circle case at 0 and in the limit-point case at ∞ . Hence (the closure of) the minimal operator H'_q defined by τ_q is symmetric and has deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. The self-adjoint extensions of H'_q are defined by imposing the usual boundary condition at $x = 0$. Namely, given $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$\mathcal{D}(H_{q,b}) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : \varphi, \varphi' \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty)), \tau_q \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \right. \\ \left. \varphi'(0) - b\varphi(0) = 0 \text{ if } b \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi(0) = 0 \text{ if } b = \infty \right\}, \quad H_{q,b} \varphi = \tau_q \varphi. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, $H_{q,b}$ has only simple, discrete spectrum, with a finite number of negative eigenvalues (if any) because it is semi-bounded from below. Let $\psi(q, z, x)$ be the unique (up to a constant multiple) square-integrable solution to the eigenvalue problem $\tau_q \varphi = z\varphi, z \in \mathbb{C}$. According to the Borg–Marchenko uniqueness theorem [3], $H_{q,b}$ is uniquely determined by the spectral data consisting of the set of eigenvalues

$$\{\lambda_n(q, b)\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : w(q, b, \lambda) = 0\}, \quad w(q, b, z) := \begin{cases} \psi'(q, z, x) - b\psi(q, z, x), & b \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \psi(q, z, x), & b = \infty, \end{cases}$$

along with the set of (logarithmic) norming constants¹

$$\{\kappa_n(q, b)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad \kappa_n(q, b) = \begin{cases} \log \left(\frac{\psi(q, \lambda_n(q), 0)}{i\dot{\psi}(q, b, \lambda_n(q))} \right), & b \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \log \left(-\frac{\psi'(q, \lambda_n(q), 0)}{\dot{\psi}(q, \lambda_n(q), 0)} \right), & b = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

¹The norming constants are given by (minus) the residues of the Weyl function $m(z)$ —which in this case is a meromorphic Herglotz function—at the eigenvalues. That is,

$$e^{\kappa_n(q,b)} := -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} i\epsilon m(q, b, \lambda_n(q) + i\epsilon) = \|\phi(q, b, \lambda_n(q), \cdot)\|^2,$$

where $\phi(q, b, z, x)$ is the solution to $\tau_q \varphi = z\varphi$ that obeys either $\phi(q, b, z, 0) = 1$ and $\phi'(q, b, z, 0) = b$ if $b \in \mathbb{R}$ or else $\phi(q, \infty, z, 0) = 0$. The expression (2) follows after applying the identity $\partial_x(\psi \psi' - \psi' \psi) = -\psi^2$. The notation $\varphi' := \partial_x \varphi$ and $\dot{\varphi} := \partial_z \varphi$ is used throughout this work.

A main task of the (inverse) spectral analysis of this kind of operators is to obtain a precise, i.e. sharp, characterization of the spectral data. This usually can be accomplished when q lies in certain subspaces that are themselves Hilbert spaces (for instance, see [1, 5]). For the problem in hand we consider real-valued perturbations q that belong to the Hilbert space

$$\mathfrak{A}_r := \{q \in \mathcal{A}_r \cap \text{AC}[0, \infty) : q' \in \mathcal{A}_r\}, \quad \|q\|_{\mathfrak{A}_r}^2 := \|q\|_{\mathcal{A}_r}^2 + \|q'\|_{\mathcal{A}_r}^2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_r := L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+x)^r dx), \quad \|q\|_{\mathcal{A}_r} := \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (1+x)^r dx)},$$

and $r > 1$ is arbitrary but fixed. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{A}_r \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (as long as $r > 1$). The following characterization of the spectral data for the Dirichlet problem is shown in [6]; here $\lambda_n(q) := \lambda_n(q, \infty)$ and $\kappa_n(q) := \kappa_n(q, \infty)$:

Theorem 1 For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_n : \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_n : \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are real analytic maps.² Moreover, in terms of

$$\omega_r(n) := \begin{cases} n^{-1/3} \log^{1/2} n & \text{if } r \in (1, 2), \\ n^{-1/3} & \text{if } r \in [2, \infty), \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

one has the following asymptotics:³

$$\lambda_n(q) = -a_n + \pi \frac{\int_0^\infty \text{Ai}^2(x + a_n)q(x)dx}{(-a_n)^{1/2}} + O(n^{-1/3}\omega_r^2(n))$$

and

$$\kappa_n(q) = -2\pi \frac{\int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x + a_n) \text{Ai}'(x + a_n)q(x)dx}{(-a_n)^{1/2}} + O(\omega_r^3(n)),$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of \mathfrak{A}_r .

In this paper we discuss some preliminary steps toward generalizing Theorem 1 to the problem with mixed (including Neumann) boundary condition corresponding to $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We will make use of the (minimal) assumption $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ exclusively.

2 THE UNPERTURBED PROBLEM

The eigenvalue problem $\tau_0\varphi = z\varphi$ has the square-integrable solution

$$\psi_0(z, x) = \sqrt{\pi} \text{Ai}(x - z),$$

where Ai denotes the Airy function of the first kind;⁴ a summary of their relevant properties can be found in [4, Ch. 9]. Thus, the set of eigenvalues and norming constants of $H_b := H_{0,b}$ can be written as

$$\left\{ \lambda_n(b) \in \mathbb{R} : \text{Ai}'(-\lambda_n(b)) - b \text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b)) = 0, \kappa_n(b) = \log \left(\frac{\text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b))}{\lambda_n(b) \text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b)) + b \text{Ai}'(-\lambda_n(b))} \right) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

²Let \mathcal{B} be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} , and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be open. A map $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is (Fréchet) differentiable at $q \in \mathcal{U}$ if there exists a linear functional $d_q f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(q+v) - f(q) - d_q f(v)|}{\|v\|_{\mathcal{B}}} = 0.$$

The map f is continuously differentiable on \mathcal{U} if it is differentiable at every point in \mathcal{U} and the resulting map $df : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{K})$ is continuous. If \mathcal{B} is a complex Hilbert space, then f is analytic on an open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{B} if it is continuously differentiable there. Finally, let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of a real Hilbert space \mathcal{B} and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be open. Then $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic on \mathcal{U} if for every $q \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $\mathcal{V}_q \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$ open and an analytic map $h_q : \mathcal{V}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(v) = h_q(v)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}_q$ (assumed non-empty).

³In this paper an order relation of the form

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

implicitly assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (of course) $n \rightarrow \infty$.

⁴The factor $\sqrt{\pi}$ does not play any role in this work but we keep it to facilitate comparison with [6].

Proposition 1 Given $b \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\lambda_n(b) = -a'_n - \frac{b}{a'_n} + O(n^{-4/3}) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_n(b) = -\log(-a'_n) + \frac{b^2}{a'_n} + O(n^{-4/3}),$$

where a'_n denotes the n -th zero of the derivative of the function Ai (see [4, Ch. 9]).

Proof. The first assertion can be shown by using the method of successive resubstitutions like in [2]. A computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n(b) &= -\log \lambda_n(b) - \log \left(1 + b \frac{\text{Ai}'(-\lambda_n(b))}{\lambda_n(b) \text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b))} \right) \\ &= -\log \lambda_n(b) - b \frac{\text{Ai}'(-\lambda_n(b))}{\lambda_n(b) \text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b))} + O \left(\left(\frac{\text{Ai}'(-\lambda_n(b))}{\lambda_n(b) \text{Ai}(-\lambda_n(b))} \right)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the second assertion follows. □

3 MAIN RESULTS (IN A RELATIVE SENSE)

Let us define

$$\omega(q, z) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|q(x)|}{\sqrt{1 + |x - z|}} dx.$$

Clearly $\omega(q, z)$ is well defined if we only assume $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, (1 + x)^{-1/2} dx)$, although in such a case we cannot control its behavior when $z \rightarrow \infty$. Some decaying property is warranted if q belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$; this is shown next.

Lemma 1 Assume $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $\omega(q, z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $x_* > 0$ and $\mu_* > x_*$ such that

$$\int_{x_*}^\infty |q(x)| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_* - x_*}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \|q\|_1}.$$

Suppose $|\text{Im}(z)| > \mu_*$. Then $|x - z| > \mu_*$ for any $x > 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x - z|}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_*}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \|q\|_1}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_*$, which in turn implies $\omega(q, z) < \varepsilon$. A similar reasoning applies when $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \mu_*$ and $\text{Re}(z) < -\mu_*$. Finally, suppose that $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \mu_*$ and $\text{Re}(z) > \mu_*$. Since $\omega(q, z) \leq \omega(q, \text{Re}(z))$, it suffices to consider $z = \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu > \mu_*$. Then,

$$\omega(q, \mu) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x_* - \mu_*|}} \int_0^{x_*} |q(x)| dx + \int_{x_*}^\infty |q(x)| dx < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have shown that $\omega(q, z) < \varepsilon$ whenever $|\text{Re}(z)| + |\text{Im}(z)| > \mu_*$. □

Let us define the contours

$$\mathcal{F}^m := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = (m - \frac{5}{4})\pi\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta - (n - \frac{3}{4})\pi| = \frac{\pi}{2}\}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Clearly \mathcal{F}_n encloses exactly one zero of $\text{Ai}'(-z)$, namely $-a'_n$, for sufficiently large values of n .

Lemma 2 There exists $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_0$ and $n \geq n_0$, the following statement holds true:

$$\sigma(z)g_A(-z) < 16\sqrt{\pi} |\text{Ai}'(-z)|,$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{F}^m$ or $z \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Proof. This inequality follows from argument like in the proof of Lemma A.2 of [6]. □

Proposition 2 *Suppose $(q, b) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the eigenvalues and norming constants of $H_{q,b}$ satisfy*

$$\lambda_n(q, b) = -a'_n + O(n^{-1/3}) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_n(q, b) = -\log(-a'_n) + o(1).$$

Proof. Let us abbreviate

$$w(z) = w(q, b, z), \quad \omega(z) = \omega(q, z), \quad \psi_0(z) = \psi_0(z, 0), \quad \psi(z) = \psi(q, z, 0), \quad \text{et cetera.}$$

Resorting to Lemma 3.1 of [6], we obtain

$$|w(z) - \psi'_0(z)| \leq |\psi'(z) - \psi'_0(z)| + |b| |\psi(z)| \leq C e^{C\omega(z)} \left[\omega(z) + \frac{|b|}{(\sigma(z))^2} \right] \sigma(z) g_A(-z),$$

where $\sigma(w) := 1 + |w|^{1/4}$ and $g_A(w) := \exp(-\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{Re} w^{3/2})$. According to Lemma 1, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{C\omega(z)} \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(z) + \frac{|b|}{(\sigma(z))^2} \leq \frac{1}{32C},$$

whenever $|z| \geq (\frac{3}{2}\pi(n_1 - \frac{5}{4}))^{2/3}$. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that

$$|w(z) - \psi'_0(z)| < |\psi'_0(z)| \tag{4}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{F}^{n_2}$. Moreover, by increasing n_2 if necessary, we can assume that \mathcal{F}^{n_2} encloses the (finitely many) negative zeros of $w(z)$. Finally, increasing n_2 one more time if necessary, we can ensure that (4) holds true for z on every contour \mathcal{F}_n whenever $n \geq n_2$. Now, Rouché's theorem implies that $w(z)$ has as many zeros as $\psi'_0(z)$ within \mathcal{F}^{n_2} and exactly one zero $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(q, b)$ nearby $-a'_n$ within each \mathcal{F}_n with $n \geq n_2$. That is, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\left| \frac{2}{3} \lambda_n^{3/2} - \frac{2}{3} (-a'_n)^{3/2} \right| \leq \pi$$

whence the asymptotics for the eigenvalues follows. Finally, a computation like in the proof of Proposition 1 yields

$$\kappa_n(q, b) = -\log \lambda_n(q, b) + O(\omega(q, \lambda_n(q, b))),$$

which in turn implies the asymptotics for the norming constants. □

Clearly these asymptotic expansions are rather coarse. They cannot be improved because we have insufficient control on the decay of the function $\omega(q, z)$ if we just assume $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. However, sharper results akin to Theorem 1 are expected if $q \in \mathfrak{A}_r$; this will be the subject of a subsequent paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is based upon work supported by Universidad Nacional del Sur (Argentina) under grant PGI 24/L117.

REFERENCES

[1] D. CHELKAK, AND E. KOROTYAEV, *The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line with a Dirichlet boundary condition*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 8 (2007), pp. 1115–1150.
 [2] B. R. FABIJONAS, AND F. W. J. OLVER, *On the reversion of an asymptotic expansion and the zeros of the Airy functions*, SIAM Review 41 (1999), pp. 762–773.
 [3] F. GESZTESY, AND B. SIMON, *Uniqueness theorems in inverse spectral theory for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), pp. 349–373.
 [4] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT, AND C. W. CLARK, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington D.C., 2010.
 [5] J. PÖSCHEL, AND E. TRUBOWITZ, *Inverse Spectral Theory (Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 130)*, Academic Press, Boston, 1987.
 [6] J. H. TOLOZA, AND A. URIBE, *The Dirichlet problem for perturbed Stark operators in the half-line*, Anal. Math. Phys. 13 (2023), 8 (40pp).
 [7] J. WEIDMANN, *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1258, Springer, Berlin, 1987.